During their meetings with Government officials, they apparently put forward the Pakistani view of the situation in J&K and the Parliamentary and State Assembly elections in the state. It is understood that the US, officials, on their part, reterated the US Government position on the matter.

Written Answers

(d) The Government, of India regards J&K as an integral and inalienable part of India.

Outstanding Dues of Maharashtra from Central Government for Providing police services

- 1343 SHRI SANJAY NIRUPAM: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a sum of Rs. 19 crores was spent by State Government of Maharashtra on deputing 12 companies of State Police Force in New Delhi between May, 1990 to April, 1993 and out of which Rs. 57 lakhs is still recoverable from his Ministry:
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) by when the outstanding claim of the State Government is likely to be settled?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI MOHD, MAQBOOL DAR); (a) to (c) The State Government Maharashtra had raised bills amounting Rs. 17,22,95,606.00 to toward deployment charges in respect of 12 companies of State police force placed at the disposal of Delhi Police for assisting the law and order duties between May. 1990 to July, 1993. All these bills excepting four amounting 37,83,571.00 have since been settled. The remaining four bills had to be returned to State Government because incomplete documentation.

Clogging in the Ports

1344. SHRI JANARDHANA POOJARY: Will the Minister SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that expansion

- of trade and industries in India is suffering due to chronically clogged ports;
- (b) if so, whether Government have conducted any study about the extent of clogging in the ports; if so, the names of such ports; and
- (c) the steps propose to be taken to improve the situation?

OF SURFACE THE MINISTER TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN): (a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Law for Wearing Helmets by Pillion Riders

- KUMAR 1345. SHRI KRISHNA BIRLA: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Delhi High Court has recently asked the Union Government to state the reasons for not enforcing the law compelling pillion riders on two wheelers to wear helmets, since its enactment in 1988;
- SO. whether the Union (b) if Government propose to enforce the law; and
- (c) if so, by when, and if not, the reasons therefor?

MINISTER OF SURFACE THE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VEN-KATRAMAN): (a) to (c) A case has been filed in the High Court of Delhi in which the petitioner has challenged the non-implementation of Section 129 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 as per which it is compulsory for the driver as well as pillion rider of a 2-wheeler to wear a helmet conforming to the standards of BIS. The Government of India has stated in its affidavit that provisions of Motor Vehicles Act including that of Section 129 need to be enforced by the enforcing agencies i.e. State Government/Union Territories. No final orders/directions have been received in this matter from the High Court.