

**RE. FATAL BOMB ATTACK ON
SHRI P. SIVA REDDY, TELUGU
DESAM MLA, ANDHRA PRADESH**

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM:
(Andhra Pradesh): Madam, this is a very serious matter. Madam Vice-Chairperson this is a very serious matter. A number of times we have discussed about the increased violence against the public personalities and also...*[Interruptions]*...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): Please keep order in the House. We are discussing a serious matter.

**कुछ माननीय सदस्य: मैडम, मिनिस्टर
सहव जा रहे हैं... (व्यवधान)**

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज):
देखिए, मिस्टर रामलाल राही यहां बैठे
हैं। आप लोग क्यों नहीं समझते कि
गृह मंत्रालय का एक मंत्री यहां बैठा
है। दूसरे को आपने चाहा है कि ज़फ़र
संपर्क करें। फिर भी आप शोर कर
रहे हैं।

Mr. Ram Lal Rahi is present in the House. Mr. P.M. Sayeed has gone to contact Mr. Rajesh Pilot.

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN (Maharashtra): We are not used to Mr. Ram Lal Rahi

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर (उत्तर प्रदेश):
अभी तक तो गुमराह ही समझ रहे थे
... (व्यवधान)

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM:
Madam, on a number of occasions we have discussed the issue of increased violence in politics and criminalisation of politics. If I remember correctly, even the Prime Minister was very much concerned about the increase of violence in politics. But unfortunately no party which is in power is able to reduce this increase of violence in politics or able to do anything in restraining anyone over the increase of violence in politics. This is the concern of the State Governments as well as the Centre.

I am very, very unhappy to say that the Governments which are in power at the Centre and in the State of Andhra Pradesh belong to the Congress (I) party which was instrumental in creating conditions for increased violence in Andhra Pradesh. I would like to give some background with regard to the murder of Mr. Siva Reddy, who was one of our senior-most MLAs in the State. He was a former Minister. He came to Hyderabad from his place to attend the Assembly session; and in connection with some marriage he went to a public place on the 7th August and while he was coming back with two other colleagues, Mr. K. Kala Venkata Rao MLA, and former Deputy Speaker of the Andhra Pradesh Assembly, Mr. A. V. Suryanarayana Raju, they were attacked right at the Satya Sai Kalyana Mandapam wherein 1000 to 1500 people had assembled. They were attacked and bombs were hurled wherein Mr. Siva Reddy was killed and his two colleagues were injured. They are now in hospital.

Madam, before this incident took place on the 7th August, Mr. Siva Reddy pleaded in the Assembly to provide him with security. The State Government did not say anything. Then the Speaker had intervened and directed the Government that he should be provided with all possible security to protect his life. This was the order given by the Speaker.

Earlier to this, in December, 1992, Mr. Siva Reddy had approached the High Court to direct the Government to provide him with security because he did not believe the State Government that they would provide him with security and feared that they would take all possible measures to see that he was attacked and killed. That was the reason why he had approached the High Court in December, 1992. He had appealed to the High Court that the Government should be directed to provide him with security. The High Court in no uncertain terms had explicitly issued directives to the State Government that Mr. Siva Reddy should be provided with personal security and wherever he was he should be given that security and under no circumstances that security should be withdrawn. Madam, after two months of

[Sh. Mentay Padmanabham]

three months, on 15th or 16th August, the Home Ministry intervened in the matter of security and had withdrawn the security which was provided to him by the State Government in view of the High Court's order. This is all premeditated and in a planned way, the Government is functioning. This is the reason why the Government was actively involved in the murder of Mr. Siva Reddy. The Government is squarely responsible for the murder of Mr. Siva Reddy. I accuse the Government that they have been planning for the last so many years, at least for the last two years, to eliminate Mr. Siva Reddy from the political scene because they were not able to match his political zeal and his public work at a political plane. They wanted to liquidate him physically. There were a number of instances when he was attacked previously, also in his home town and home district. Somehow or other, he escaped. But, all the same, the Government was still doing this kind of harassment to Mr. Siva Reddy. Ultimately, Mr. Siva Reddy was attacked and he was killed on 7th August.

Madam, two issues are very, very important and this House should take note of those two issues. One issue is that the spite of explicit orders from the High Court, in spite of specific orders from the High Court, the Government failed to protect Mr. Siva Reddy. They failed to give him adequate protection. The other issue is that in spite of specific instructions from the Speaker on the previous day, the Government failed to give adequate protection to Mr. Siva Reddy. These are two Constitutional obligations of any State Government. On these two grounds, the Government failed. Therefore, Madam, I accuse that the Government in Andhra Pradesh is not able to function, is not functioning, in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution and hence it is liable to be dismissed. I demand that the Central Government should take immediate steps and dismiss the Vijayabhaskara Reddy Government in Andhra Pradesh forthwith, without any hesitation or without any delay. Thank you very much.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. M. SAYEED): Madam, may inform the House that Mr. Rajesh Pilot would be making a statement at 5 O'clock on the other matter and this Hyderabad matter also.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY (Andhra Pradesh): Madam, we are faced with certain facts. What we are going to discuss and apply our mind to is not a piece of fiction and there is nothing hypothetical about it. Fact No. 1 is that Mr. Siva Reddy was an elected, sitting, Member of the Legislative Assembly of Andhra Pradesh. Fact No. 2 is that there were several attempts on this gentleman's life in the past also. On the 6th August, in the Andhra Pradesh Assembly, the entire opposition applied to the Home Minister to give him adequate protection. The Speaker of the Assembly gave a specific directions saying that there would be security arrangement for the gentleman concerned. But what has happened is, this man is killed. How is Mr. Siva Reddy killed? Where is he killed? The location is the Capital of Andhra Pradesh, the twin-cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad. The actual location is the heart of the city, a few metres away from the Chief Minister's residence. Where does the killing take place? It takes place outside a Kalyana Mantap where hundreds of people were thronging to attend the wedding. Madam, we had to apply our mind here. Do you believe that a man who is going to commit assassination is going to specifically choose a place where many people are going to congregate in broad day-light in the presence of other elected representatives of the Legislative Assembly? They knew who was going to attend the meeting. He was a man of a political office. This is what is of concern to me. When the Legislative Assembly Speaker on the 6th August, has given a specific responsibility that this MLA will be protected, the Government makes no haste, moves in no direction to give any protection to this gentleman. Now, we come to the question 'why'. Why does the Government not give him protection? Why does the man who has been plotting and planning to kill this MLA for the past two years several attempts were made on this gentleman-do such

a dastardly deed on the 7th August? This is where I have to join my colleague, Mr. Mentay Padmanabham, in the accusation that the people in the State Government, in the act of governance, are misusing the powers vested in them to settle personal scores and liquidate political adversaries. I am forced to say this on the basis of a problem that I am facing. Madam, as you are aware, on the floor of this very House the entire Opposition had united and said that I was to be given protection. It is the very same Chief Minister, who is now ruling Andhra Pradesh, who was then the candidate against me in the Panyam election and everybody knows what the Chief Minister had said during the Panyam election when he had gone back to Rayalaseema. He had made categorical accusations and statements and had questioned my character. He had even questioned the character of the people of Rayalaseema and had given threats of dire consequences if the people would not ask forgiveness from him. Madam, I am only making a point here. The Union Home Minister had given an assurance on the floor of this House in the presence of the entire Opposition that an unqualified protection should be given to me as a Member of this august house. But nothing has happened, so much so that I have not been able to go back to Andhra Pradesh this week-end to mourn the loss of a colleague, a friend, Mr. Siva Reddy, and I was not allowed to go back to Andhra Pradesh by my well-wishers who pleaded with me to remain here. Madam, this has all come about because collectively, we have no trust, we have no confidence in the State Government of Andhra Pradesh. Madam, I am asking: what action has the State Government taken on the merits of this case? How did the intelligence machinery fail to realise that bombs were being brought in to a place which is very close to the Chief Minister's house? What motive would any other man have to kill this man on that day at that particular spot if it wasn't the Government which was pinned down to protect this man? Hence, they delayed the protection allegedly for a few hours—the alleged protection which they were going to give—so that they could terminate him and then say, "Oh it is over." I know for a fact that they have got a hit list.

**जो लो गया, हो गया। कार विस्फोटक
होगा, उसके बाद मजिस्ट्रेट परमनैटली क्लॉप हो
जायेगा।**

They have a list in which various names have been put and one of them is mine.

I do not want to have obituary in my name afterwards. I don't want to be discussed in the way in which Mr. Siva Reddy is being discussed afterwards. I have a right to live peacefully in Andhra Pradesh as Mr. Siva Reddy had. I don't want to divert the issue. Mr. Siva Reddy is a victim of political crime; Mr. Siva Reddy is a victim of political assassination; Mr. Siva Reddy is a victim of political vendetta conducted by people who are sitting in the name of governance in Andhra Pradesh.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Madam, I completely concur with the points made by my colleagues, Mr. Padmanabham and Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury. The politics of mafiosi is increasingly dominating in Andhra Pradesh and this phenomenon has gained a new dimension after the Congress (I) came into power in Andhra Pradesh. I do not want to traverse the ground already covered by my colleagues. The fact remains that Mr. Siva Reddy, who was slain in this tragic fashion, had pleaded for security in the State Assembly 24 hours prior to his death. When he was pleading for security, the Home Minister of Andhra Pradesh had the cheek to say in the Assembly that protection would not be given to Mr. Siva Reddy because he was apprehending a threat from mafia gangs and not from Naxalites. The Speaker of the Assembly had to upbraid him for that and he directed the Government that special and adequate protection be extended to Mr. Siva Reddy. One would like to know as to why the Government did not provide protection soon after the direction was even by the Speaker. Secondly, as has been pointed out, Madam, this ghastly incident took place, not within 2 kms, as Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury said but within a distance of a few hundreds of yards of the residence of both the Chief Minister and the Home Minister. And the Home Minister, Mr. Mysore Reddy is no good Samaritan. He does

[Sh. S. Jaipal Reddy]

not have the reputation of a good Samaritan. And he was a rival of Mr. Siva Reddy in the same district and from the same area and it is widely believed that* Madam, you can well imagine how the people of Andhra Pradesh would react to a Government which is adorned by such a Home Minister. The spontaneous reaction of the people of Andhra Pradesh could be judged from the total and peaceful bandh that was observed in Andhra Pradesh after the assassination of Mr. Siva Reddy. I do not know whether Home Ministry here has obtained information from the Government of Andhra Pradesh on this incident. He was an MLA and earlier he had been a Minister. Would the Home Ministry like to find out from the Government of Andhra Pradesh as to whether it would be willing to hand over the case to CBI? The people of Andhra Pradesh have absolutely no faith—I say this with all the responsibility at my disposal—in the impartiality of the State Administration. The Chief Minister there is from Rayalaseema region. Therefore, even he is not, I would say, above suspicion.

SHRI P. M. SAYEED: Thanks, you have confidence in us.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: I would not say that, but there I go by the theory of lesser evil. Even your evil motives, I hope, will be attenuated by the territorial distance. Therefore, I would appeal to the Government of India to see that the matter is enquired into by the CBI.

Madam, we are told, the prime accused has surrendered in this case. I am surprised by the alacrity with which the Government of Andhra Pradesh arrested the prime accused. Do you know why? The prime accused is not the real accused. The real accused is there in the Cabinet. Therefore, it is entirely possible that the Government of Andhra Pradesh has got the so-called prime accused to surrender so that they could flaunt this as a piece of evidence of their impartiality. Let me tell you, Madam, the Government of Andhra Pradesh is under*(Interruptions)*

*Expunged, as ordered by the Chair.

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL (Gujarat): Madam, I am on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): Yes, Mr. Patel.

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL: Has Mr. Reddy given you advance information that he is going to accuse the Home Minister.....*(Interruptions)*.....

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): He says, he is in the Cabinet.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY (Andhra Pradesh): He has stated the facts which are given in the newspapers.

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL: He said it.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): He is not saying 'Home Minister'.

He is saying, he is in the Cabinet.

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL: Earlier, he was saying it.*(Interruptions)*... He is naming the Home Minister. Can he do it*(Interruptions)*

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): No. What have you said, Mr. Reddy? Let me ask him what he has said.

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL: He is naming the Home Minister. This should not be allowed*(Interruptions)*..... How can you allow it?*(Interruptions)*

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): Mr. Patel, just listen to him.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Let me clarify to Mr. Patel that I did not make any specific allegation against the Home Minister of Andhra Pradesh. The fact remains that the Home Minister of Andhra Pradesh was a political rival of Mr. Siva Reddy. The fact remains that the Home Minister of Andhra Pradesh on the floor of the House resisted the plea for security

being extended to Mr. Siva Reddy. All the circumstances have led people to believe that* it is for the powers that be, to inquire into it and fix the responsibility.(Interruptions).....

SHRI JAGESH DESAI (Maharashtra): The people will feel that he is involved.(Interruptions)...

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: These are the facts.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: It is mentioned in the papers. (Interruptions). ..

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Mr. Mysoora Reddy is the Home Minister of Andhra Pradesh. That is a fact. (Interruptions)... Mr. Mysoora Reddy on 6th resisted in the Assembly providing him any security. That is also a fact. (Interruptions). ..

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Anybody can say like that (Interruptions)...

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Mr. Mysoora Reddy comes from the same district from where Mr. Siva Reddy comes. That is also a fact. (Interruptions)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury, please sit down. Mr. Padmanabham, please. (Interruptions)...

जहाँ तक फैक्ट्स का सवाल है कि उन्होंने उनको सिक्योरिटी देना रजिस्ट्र किया, वे उनके पोलिटिकल राइवल हैं, ये चीजें तो ठीक हैं। लेकिन यह कहना कि उन तमाम चीजों से यह लगता है कि वे इन्वाल्ड हैं यह बात .. (व्यवधान) यह जो आपने कहा .. (व्यवधान)

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Madam, I am not saying (Interruptions)... All these circumstances lead people to believe this. (Interruptions)...

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) : इन्वाल्डमेंट की जो बात है वह निकाल दें।

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL: The accused has been arrested. (Interruptions)...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) : एक मिनट, आप बैठिये।

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Then you are compounding the crime. (Interruptions)... We want to know what you are going to do. (Interruptions)...

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: They are doing it only for political purposes. (Interruptions). ..

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) : ठीक है आप बैठिये। मैंने कह दिया है। अब आप कंकलूड करिये।

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: We lost a sitting MLA. He is our dear brother. What is the political purpose? (Interruptions)...

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: We all feel very sorry and we are all agitated. (Interruptions)...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) : इन्वाल्डमेंट की बात निकाल दें।

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: मैडम, इस सदन में एक बार नहीं अनेक बार मुख्य मंत्री, राजस्थान, मुख्य मंत्री, उत्तर प्रदेश, मुख्य मंत्री, मध्य प्रदेश, मुख्य मंत्री हिमाचल, मुख्य मंत्री तमिलनाडु के नाम से बातें कही जा चुकी है और कभी रिकार्ड से एक्सपंज नहीं की गयीं।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) : माथुर जी, यह बात नहीं है।

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: रेड्डी जी ने जो कुछ कहा है वह ठीक कहा है। वह रिकार्ड में जाना चाहिए।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
अ.प. उसके शब्द बदलकर कहिए ।

SHRI JAIPAL REDDY: Madam, I have not made any allegations at all. I have referred to a widespread popular perception ... (Interruptions)...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
अ.प. उसकी शब्दावली बदलकर कहिए ।

श्रीमती रेणुका चौधरी : कैसे बदलेंगे
... (व्यवधान)

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Madam, I am only urging ... (Interruptions)... that an impartial enquiry be ordered to dispel this impression. (Interruptions)... That such an impression is there is a fact. (Interruptions). ..

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर : जयललिता जी के बारे में स्वामी जी ने अनेक बार एलीगेंस लगे हैं ... (व्यवधान)

(व्यवधान)

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: This impression could be wrong. But this impression is an existential reality and the Government of India must act in such a manner as to dispel the impression or confirm the facts. The credibility of the Congress administration in Andhra Pradesh is at the lowest ebb. Therefore, the Government of India needs to act.

SHRI P. UPENDRA (Andhra Pradesh): Madam it was the worst incident that took place in broad day-light in the heart of the city of Hyderabad. I do not want to go to the extent Mr. Jaipal Reddy or Mr. Padmanabham has gone in accusing the A.P. Home Minister.*

But the very fact that the Home Minister point-blank refused to give additional protection a day earlier and his action of

withdrawing the security two months ago indicate the dereliction of duty on the part of the Home Minister. Suspicion has been raised by my hon. colleagues because the Home Minister also happens to come from the same district, the same area, and his followers are involved in the factional politics of that district.

Mr. Siva Reddy was actually asking for an appointment with the Chief Minister on that day. When he found that the Speaker's order was not being carried out by the Home Minister he sought an appointment with the Chief Minister on that day after the wedding to impress upon him the need to give him additional security and before he could do so he was felled. Now the State Government has claimed that they have taken action and apprehended one of the culprits suspected to be involved in this and the law will take its own course. But from the Government side we expected the minimum action on the political front also. When the Home Minister is found to have abdicated his responsibility and when he did not take action even according to the orders of the Speaker. I feel he has no business to continue as the Home Minister. Either he should voluntarily resign or he should be dismissed by the Governor. I hope the Chief Minister also will not carry this burden on his shoulders because a man who is deeply involved in factional politics at the district level is not qualified to be the Home Minister of the State. I hope the Congress High Command from here will give suitable advice to the Chief Minister, if he does not act quickly, to see that the Home Minister is removed immediately. Madam in the State itself there have been many incidents lately, involving political figures, public figures, many of whom were kidnapped and killed and many corporators were also killed. Even a high police official of the rank of DIG was killed in broad daylight in public stadium. Even Naxalite activities are still continuing there. There is a complete breakdown of law and order in the State. The new Chief Minister could not control this situation. It is a very serious matter which has to be considered both by the Central Government and the Parliament. When it comes the question of

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

providing security to our colleague, Shri-mati Renuka Chowdhury, I also add my voice to her demand that she should be provided adequate security. In fact, the House itself expressed once on this point. The Chief Minister also assured that he would provide necessary security to her. But even today a Member of Parliament is going there without security, whereas about 230 Legislators in the State have been provided adequate security. Only she has been denied the security. It does not behove of the Chief Minister to deny this, particularly when she was his rival in the election.

When I demand the Home Minister's resignation or dismissal I am reminded of a similar incident in 1987 when a Congress Legislator was killed in Vijayawada. The entire House on the left side here demanded the dismissal of the State Government headed by Shri Rama Rao. He removed the Home Minister of his Cabinet and also he removed the DGP just for that one incident. I hope this Government will also emulate that example and take immediate action.

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :

श्री खलीलुर रहमान, थोड़ा जल्दी-जल्दी खत्म करें, क्योंकि बिजनेस बहुत ज्यादा है।

श्री मोहम्मद खलीलुर रहमान (आंध्र प्रदेश) : मैडम वाईस चेंबरमैन, 7 अगस्त को हैदराबाद में जो कुछ भी हुआ, वह कुल हमारे हिंदुस्तान की जम्हूरियत पर बदनमा दाग है। वह किसी शख्स का कत्ल नहीं था, वह किसी अब्राम के नुमाइंदे का कत्ल नहीं था, वह एम.एल.ए. का कत्ल नहीं था, बल्कि वह एक जम्हूरियत का कत्ल था।

सिर्फ चौबीस घंटे पहले उसी एम.एल.ए. ने असेम्बली के स्पीकर से ब-दीदयेनम यह दर्खास्त की थी कि उनको जान को खतरा है, हत्ता कि एम.एल.ए. क्वार्टरज से असेम्बली हाल तक आने के लिए वह खतरा महसूस कर रहे थे। तो

उन्होंने इंतहाई अफसोस के साथ ब-दीदयेनम स्पीकर से दर्खास्त की थी कि उन्हें मुनासिब प्रोटेक्शन फराहम किया जाए।

पूरा हाऊस आंध्र प्रदेश लेजिस्लेटिव असेम्बली का मुकम्मल युनैनिमस था कि उनको सैक्युरिटी दी जाए। चुनावे वहां के स्पीकर साहब ने हुकूमत को यह डाइरेक्शन दी कि फौरी इनको मुनासिब सैक्युरिटी दी जाए। मगर इंतहाई अफसोस की बात है कि वहां की रिय.सती हुकूमत उन्हें सैक्युरिटी देने में नाकाम रही, और चौबीस घंटे के अंदर-अंदर उसी एम.एल.ए. का कत्ल कल्बे शहर के एक ऐसे शादीखाने में हुई जोकि वहां का इंतहाई अलीशान शादीखाना समझा जाता है और अभी छह महीने पहले हिंदुस्तान के वजीरेअज्रम ने इस शादीखाने का इफतताह किया था। उस शादीखाने में जबकि तीन-चार हजार लोग वहां पर मौजूद थे, तो उनका कत्ल किया जाता है, छह बम यकेबाद-दीगरे उन पर फेंके जाते हैं। पहले बम से बच कर वह भाग रहे थे, तो उनका तअक्कुब किया जाता है। दूसरा बम फेंका जाता है, तीसरा बम फेंका जाता है। इस तरह से 6 बम मुसलसल फेंके जाते हैं और उनको खत्म कर दिया जाता है। इंतहा अफसोस की बात है। मैं अ.प.से अपने तबसुत से हुकूमत से यह पूछना चाहूंगा कि जब स्पीकर साहब ने सैक्युरिटी देने के लिए आर्डर पास किए थे तो क्यों उनको सैक्युरिटी नहीं दी गई? बरखिलाफ इससे पहले जैसे अभी जो कहा गया कि हाई कोर्ट का हुकम भी था कि उनको मुनासिब सैक्युरिटी दी जाए। मगर उस हाईकोर्ट के हुकम के चंद दिन के बाद सैक्युरिटी विदझा कर ली गई। ये तमाम वाकयात इस बात का सबूत है कि जान-बूझ कर उन्हें सैक्युरिटी

[श्री मोहम्मद खलीलुर रहमान]

नहीं दी गई। उनके कत्ल की जिम्मेदारी पूरी की पूरी वहां की रियासती हकूमत पर आयद होती है। उसके कत्ल के बाद पूरे आन्ध्र प्रदेश में तेलुगूदेशम पार्टी की जानिव से बंद की अपील की गई और यह इतना मुकमिल बंद रहा कि जिसकी मिसाल नहीं मिलती और खुद उनकी तदफोन में उनका आबाई गांव जो है वहां पर जो तदफोन हुई वहां पर उनकी आबादी बड़ी मुश्किल से 3-4 हजार भी नहीं है। मगर 25-30 हजार लोग उस मौके पर मौजूद थे। इससे खुद यह अंदाजा लगता है कि कितनी उनकी अवामी मकबूलियत थी, कितनी हमदर्दी उन्होंने अवाम से हासिल की है इस वहशियाना और बहीमाना कत्ल के बाद मैं आपके तवसुत से यहां की सरकार की हकूमत से दरखवास्त करूंगा कि वहां रियासती हकूमत से यह तवक्को नहीं है कि वह सही तरीके से तहकीकात करके कातिलों को मंजरे आम पर लाएगी और उनको सजा देगी। लिहाजा यहां की सरकार की हकूमत उसमें फौरी मदखलत करे, वहां की हकूमत को बरतर्फ करे और बिल्कुल गैर-जानिव-दराना तहकीकात करके कातिलों को मंजरे आम पर लेकर आए और उनको सजा दे। शुक्रिया।

† [श्री محمد خلیل الرحمن] آندھرا پردیش -
میڈم وائس چیئرمین - ۷۔ اگست کو حیدرآباد
میں جو کچھ بھی ہوا وہ کل بھاسے ہندوستان
کی جمہوریت پر بدنامدار غصے سے وہ کسی شخص

کا قتل نہیں تھا۔ وہ ایم۔ ایل۔ اے۔ کا قتل
نہیں تھا۔ بلکہ وہ ایک جمہوریت کا قتل تھا۔

صرف ۲۲ گھنٹہ پہلے اسی ایم۔ ایل۔ اے
نے اسمبلی کے اسپیکر سے بدیدہ نم یہ درخواست
کی تھی کہ ان کی جان کو خطرہ ہے حتیٰ کہ ایم۔
ایل۔ اے کو آرڈر سے اسمبلی ہال تک آنے
کے لئے وہ خطرہ محسوس کر رہے تھے تو انہوں
نے انتہائی افسوس کے ساتھ بدیدہ نم اسپیکر
سے درخواست کی تھی کہ انہیں مناسب پوزیشن
فراہم کیا جائے۔

پورا ہاؤس آندھرا پردیش اسمبلی کا مکمل
یونینسی تھا کہ ان کو سیکورٹی دی جائے پرنسپل
وہاں کے اسپیکر صاحب نے حکومت کو یہ
ڈانکیشن دی کہ فوری ان کو مناسب سیکورٹی
دی جائے۔ مگر انتہائی افسوس کی بات ہے
کہ وہاں کی ریاستی حکومت انہیں سیکورٹی
دینے میں ناکام رہی اور چوبیس گھنٹے کے
اندر اندر اسی ایم۔ ایل۔ اے کا قتل قلب شہر
کے ایک ایسے شادی خانے میں ہوا جو کہ وہاں
کا انتہائی عالی شان شادی خانہ سمجھا جاتا ہے
اور ابھی ۶ مہینے پہلے ہندوستان کے وزیراعظم
نے اس شادی خانے کا افتتاح کیا تھا۔ اس
شادی خانے میں جبکہ تین چار ہزار لوگ

وہاں پر موجود تھے تو ان کا قتل کیا جاتا ہے۔ پھر بم یکے بعد دیگرے ان پر پھینکے جاتے ہیں۔ پہلے بم سے بچ کر وہ بھاگ رہے تھے تو ان کا تعقب کیا جاتا ہے دھراکم پھینکا جاتا ہے۔ تیسرا بم پھینکا جاتا ہے اس طرح سے پھر بم مسلسل پھینکے جاتے ہیں اور ان کو ختم کر دیا جاتا ہے انتہائی افسوس کی بات ہے۔ میں آپ سے اپنے توسط سے حکومت سے یہ پوچھنا چاہوں گا کہ جب اسپیکر صاحب نے سیکورٹی دینے کے لئے آرڈر پاس کئے تھے تو کیوں ان کو سیکورٹی نہیں دی گئی۔ برخلاف اس کے پہلے جیسے ابھی جو کہا گیا ہے کہ ہائی کورٹ کا حکم بھی تھا کہ ان کو مناسب سیکورٹی دی جائے مگر اس ہائی کورٹ کے حکم کے چند دن کے بعد سیکورٹی وڈرا کر لی گئی۔ یہ تمام واقعات اس بات کا ثبوت ہیں کہ جان بوجھ کر انہیں سیکورٹی نہیں دی گئی۔ ان کے قتل کی ذمہ داری پوری کی پوری وہاں کی ریاستی حکومت پر عائد ہوتی ہے۔ اس کے قتل کے بعد پورے آندھرا پردیش میں تیلگو دھیم پارٹی کی جانب سے بند کی اپیل کی گئی اور یہ اتنا مکمل بند رہا کہ جس کی مثال نہیں ملتی اور خود ان کی تدفین میں ان کا آبائی گاؤں جو ہے وہاں پر جو تدفین ہوئی وہاں پر انکی آبادی بڑی مشکل سے ۲-۳ ہزار

بھی نہیں ہے۔ مگر ۲۰-۲۵ ہزار لوگ اس موقع پر موجود تھے۔ اس سے خود یہ اندازہ لگتا ہے کہ کتنی ان کی عوامی مقبولیت تھی۔ کتنی ہمدردی انہوں نے عوام سے حاصل کی ہے۔ اس وحشیانہ اور بہیمانہ قتل کے بعد میں آچکے توسط سے یہاں کی مرکزی حکومت سے درخواست کروں گا کہ وہاں ریاستی حکومت سے یہ توقع نہیں ہے کہ وہ صحیح طریقہ سے تحقیقات کر کے قاتلوں کو منظر عام پر لائے گی اور ان کو سزا دے گی۔ لہذا یہاں کی مرکزی حکومت اس میں فوری مداخلت کرے وہاں کی حکومت کو برطرف کرے اور بالکل غیر جانبدارانہ تحقیقات کر کے قاتلوں کو منظر عام پر لے آئے اور ان کو سزا دے۔ [شکریہ]

DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI (Andhra Pradesh): Madam, I share the sentiments expressed by my colleagues earlier and at the same time I would like to add that criminalisation of politics is at its worst in Andhra Pradesh. As mentioned by Shri Upendra, it is a sad commentary that more than 3/4th of the legislators are to be provided with escorts. It is a fact that every day the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly is having more number of police personnel escorting the Members than the Members themselves in the House. Stripping of women and parading them on the streets is also a fact. I am sorry to say that in the presence of the Chief Minister in Kurnool recently or in Nandyal, a person went on record saying that Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury has to be cut into pieces. When one of the correspondents, one of the reporters asked the Chief Minister, "How about your comment on the statements?", the Chief Minister said that there was nothing wrong in it and had

[Dr. Yelamanchili Sivaji]

Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury been a man she should have been cut into pieces as per the tradition of Rayalaseema. The Chief Minister went thus on record. Madam, it is time, if not high time, that we should try cutting across party lines, to see that a decision is taken and some guidelines are fixed for all political parties. Myself and my leader, Mr. Padmanabham, appealed to the Prime Minister. We met him one day and stated that the Prime Minister is not only the Prime Minister, but he is also the President of the largest political party in this country. That political party has a tradition. It is more than 100 years old. Let the Congress party come out with a definite resolution that a person with a criminal record will not be given active membership in the party, that no person with a criminal record is going to be selected for an elected post... (Interruptions)... The Congress party should come out with such a proposal and convene a meeting of all the political parties in this country to see that it is binding on all the political parties. If the Congress party says that it is not going to field any rowdy element in the elections, no political party in this country would be daring enough to say that all the left-outs and all the rowdy elements will be fielded from the opposite side. So, let the Congress Party which is the largest party come cut with a proposal to see to it that the criminals are eliminated from the political field once and for all. Unless this is done, I am very much afraid that we may go in the same way as that of our neighbouring countries. Madam, whether Mr. Mysoor Reddy is involved or not, it is a fact that the wife of Mr. Siva Reddy is going on fast unto death from tomorrow in front of the Assembly. My demand is that Mr. Mysoor Reddy who is the main culprit

... (Interruptions)...

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Madam, I would like to mention one thing. Mrs. Siva Reddy, the wife of the deceased has filed a complaint before the Police that Mr. Mysoor Reddy is the prime accused, the No. 1 accused, in the murder of her husband. It is on record. It is with the police-

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): All right. Yes, Mr. Sivaji, you conclude now.

DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: I am concluding, Madam.

As Mr. Jaipal Reddy was also mentioning, thanks to the performance of the Department of Police in Andhra Pradesh in catching the culprit. And a similar incident took place at Vijayawada also a month back and the culprits were immediately brought to book within half-an-hour or twenty minutes. So, it portrays that the police were aware of the culprits even before the incident. The police that *could capture the culprits immediately* within half-an-hour could as well have done the same an hour before the incident and thus could have prevented the incident. The police slept over it until the incident took place and, thereafter, came into the picture and captured the culprit within five minutes. So, Madam, it is a fit case to show that the law and order situation has completely broken down in Andhra Pradesh, and Article 356 should be invoked there, and President's rule imposed in Andhra Pradesh without any further loss of time.

श्रीमती सरला माहेश्वरी (पश्चिमी बंगाल): माननीय उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, पिछले दो-तीन दिनों में देश में लगातार हिंसा की घटनाएँ घटित हुई हैं जिनमें तमिलनाडु में मद्रास शहर में राष्ट्रीय स्वयंसेवक संघ के दफ्तर को बम से उड़ाया जाना, आंध्र प्रदेश में तेलगू देशम पार्टी के विधायक की निर्मम हत्या और मणिपुर में 20 कुक्की लोगों की बर्बरतापूर्ण हत्याएँ हुई हैं। महोदय, हत्याओं का यह सिलसिला किसी भी सभ्य और स्वस्थ समाज के भविष्य पर एक बहुत बड़ा प्रश्नचिह्न है।

महोदय, इन तीनों घटनाओं में जो एक साम्य हम देख पा रहे हैं, वह यह है कि इन तीनों घटनाओं को टाला जा सकता था, लेकिन इन घटनाओं को

टाला नहीं गया और इन घटनाओं का टाला न जाना हमारी सरकार की असहिष्णुता और लपरवाही को दर्शाता है वहीं हमारे समाज के लिए भी यह एक सोचने का विषय है कि हम किस दिशा की ओर पिछले वर्षों में अग्रसर होते रहे हैं। महोदया, पिछले कुछ वर्षों में जिस तरह हमारे देश में अराजकता, राजनीतिक परिस्थिति व सांप्रदायिकता की बाढ़ आयी है, इन्हीं कारणों से हमारे देश में यह परिस्थितियाँ पैदा हुई हैं जिनके प्रतिफलन के रूप में ये तमाम घटनाएँ हमारे सामने हैं।

महोदया, आज खासकर आंध्रप्रदेश में जिस तेलगू देशम पार्टी के विधायक की हत्या पर यहां चर्चा हो रही है, उस पर तमाम माननीय सदस्यों ने इस बात पर बल देकर कहा है कि आंध्रप्रदेश विधान सभा के उस सदस्य ने अपनी जिंदगी की सुरक्षा के लिए राज्य विधान सभा के पटल पर और अदालत के पटल पर बार-बार अपील की कि उसकी जिंदगी को खतरा है, उसकी जिंदगी को बचाया जाय, लेकिन एक विधायक की जान को न बचा पाना आंध्रप्रदेश की सरकार और आंध्रप्रदेश के प्रशासन की कमजोरी को उजागर करता है। अगर किसी सरकार का अपने ही विधायकों के प्रति यह रवैया हो तो आम नागरिकों की स्थिति की कल्पना सहज ही की जा सकती है और आंध्रप्रदेश की जनता का आंध्रप्रदेश की सरकार के विरुद्ध आक्रोश वहां शांतिपूर्ण ढंग में आयोजित सफल बंद के रूप में प्रतिफलित हुआ है। महोदया, मैं कहना चाहूंगी कि केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह बहुत बड़ी जिम्मेदारी है कि वह इस तरह की घटनाओं को मौन रहकर न देखे। इस तरह की घटनाओं के प्रति उसका मौन रहना एक बहुत

बड़ा अपराध है और अगर इस तरह की घटनाओं को प्रश्रय दिया जाता रहेगा तो न ये सदन सुरक्षित रहेगा और न ही हमारे देश का भविष्य सुरक्षित रहेगा। इसलिए मैं सरकार से और खासकर केन्द्र सरकार से यह अपील करती हूँ कि इस घटना की निष्पक्ष रूप में जांच करवाई जाए और भविष्य में इस तरह की घटनाओं पर रोक लगाई जा सके इसके लिए हमें इन घटनाओं की बुनियाद में जाना होगा कि किस तरह हम एक मानव समाज का निर्माण कर सकें।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम (उत्तर प्रदेश) :
उप सभाध्यक्ष महोदया, प्रारंभ में तो मैं अपनी ओर से और अपनी पार्टी की ओर से उस विधायक की निर्गम हत्या की घोर निन्दा करता हूँ और संतप्त परिवार तथा उनसे जुड़े हुए व्यक्तियों के प्रति अपनी ओर से और अपनी पार्टी की ओर से संवेदना व्यक्त करता हूँ।

उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदया, मैं क्षमा चाहूंगा, हम रस्म अदायगी के लिए यह बातें नहीं कर रहे बल्कि हम इन समस्याओं का निदान और निराकरण चाहते हैं। मैं सरकार से कोई मांग नहीं करूंगा, जो खुद भिखारी हो उससे हम क्या मांगे, लेकिन मैं सदन के माननीय सदस्यों से एक ज़रूर प्रार्थना करूंगा। अखिर कारण क्या है? हम आज कहाँ जा रहे हैं? अ.ब.दी पर नियंत्रण की हम बात कर रहे हैं, लेकिन मुबह टेलीविजन की सुई की रफ़्तार कम होती नहीं दिखाई देती। हम भ्रष्टाचार निवारण समिति बना रहे हैं, लेकिन भ्रष्टाचार बढ़ता ही चला जा रहा है, हम मध्य-निषेध समिति बनाकर मध्यपान के

[श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम]

खिलाफ प्रचार कर रहे हैं, लेकिन मध्यमान बढ़ता ही चला जा रहा है।.....

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज):
गौतम, जी, विषय की परिधि में रहिए। प्लीज, विषय की परिधि के बाहर मत जाइए।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : मैं विषय पर आ रहा हूँ, उसी पर आ रहा हूँ
(व्यवधान).....

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE
MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND
BROADCASTING (SHRI K. P. SINGH
DEO): Lot of thunder, but no lightning.

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : हम कानून व्यवस्था को दुस्त करने के लिए नए नए कानून लाते हैं, टाडा और मीसा लाते हैं, लेकिन कानून व्यवस्था बिगड़ती ही चली जा रही है।

महोदया, राजनीतिक हत्याएं हमारे देश में अभी बड़े पैमाने पर होनी शुरू नहीं हुई हैं। आज से करीब 20-25 साल पहले एक हत्या हुई थी श्री ललित नारायण मिश्र की, थोड़ा सा उसमें गंध आया था, लेकिन आज भी हमारे देश में एक अच्छी बात है कि राजनीतिक दल अपने राजनीतिक उद्देश्यों के लिए दूसरे राजनीतिक नेताओं की हत्या नहीं करवाते, फिर भी राजनीतिक नेताओं की हत्या होती है। कारण क्या है? एक तो कारण है, उस राजनीतिक नेता की हत्या होती है जो क्रंतिकारी कदम उठाता है, जिससे उस वर्ग को, जिसके खिलाफ क्रांति हुई है, आयात पहुंचता है और वह हत्या करवा देता है। आमतौर से जो हत्याएं हो रही हैं राजनीतिक नेताओं की, वह

गैंगवार हैं ज्यादातर और माफिया लोग इसमें शामिल हैं। जो अपराधी है वह राजनीतिक में आ गए हैं और यह हत्याएं माफिया लोग ही कराते हैं।... (समय की घंटी) ... मुझे कम समय दे रही है, आपने बहुत समय दिया है दूसरे सदस्यों को।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
नहीं तो आप विषय की परिधि में रहिए। परिधि से बाहर मत जाइए।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : मैं विषय पर ही बोल रहा हूँ।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
आप जल्दी समाप्त करिए। यह भाषण का कोई मसौदा नहीं है।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : मैं विषय पर ही बोल रहा हूँ। जैसी अभी लोगों ने मांग की, हम तेलुगु देशम के विधायक को वापस तो ला नहीं सकते, जिसकी हत्या हो गई, लेकिन आगे हत्या न हो, उसे कैसे रोक सकते हैं, यह मैं कहना चाहता हूँ। मैंने संवेदना व्यक्त कर बी, मुआयजा आपने दे दिया, मैं आपसे यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि चार-पांच हादसे अभी हुए हैं, लेकिन अपराधियों का कुछ नहीं हुआ। मैं आपको मिसाल देना चाहता हूँ, हमारे कल्याण सिंह जी की सरकार थी उत्तर प्रदेश में, एक मंत्री पर एक महीने में ही आरोप लगा कि स्वास्थ्य मंत्री ने ट्रांसकर में पैसे लिए हैं तो...

H; was asked to resign. When he did not resign, he was dismissed by the Chief Minister.

अभी यहां बात कही गई थी 6 दिसंबर की, रोजाना होती है, इनका खाना जम नहीं होता, आज भी जिक्र

किया, लेकिन कल्याण सिंह जी ने उसी समय कहा कि मैं वादा पूरा नहीं कर पाया, मैं इस्तीफा देता हूँ... (व्यवधान)

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
प्लीज, गौतम जी: कृपया विषय के भीतर रहिए।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : मेडम, मैं आपसे यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि इनके मुख्यमंत्रियों पर आरोप बराबर लगे हैं, क्या किसी ने इस्तीफा दिया अपनी सरकार का। आप एक उदाहरण कायम करिए। जब उच्च न्यायालय ने आपको आदेश दे दिया, जब वहाँ की विधानसभा के अध्यक्ष ने आदेश दे दिया, स्वयं विधायक ने कहा कि मेरी जान सतरे में है तो ... उस विधायक की सुरक्षा क्यों नहीं हुई।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
अब आप समाप्त करें।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : फिर भी उसकी हत्या हो गई।

What right do you have to continue in office?

आपके मुख्यमंत्री को क्यों नहीं इस्तीफा देना चाहिए? अगर एक भी उदाहरण आप कायम करते हैं तो इस देश के और भी लोग उसको फालों करेंगे।

दूसरी बात मैं कहना चाहता हूँ सदन के लोगों से कि मेहरबानी करके, मेडम, बहुत जरूरी बात है, दो सेंटें हैं। एक झांसी डिवीजन के डी० आई० जी० का प्रेस इंटरव्यू हुआ। पत्रकारों ने उनसे कहा कि पुलिस नाकामयाब हो गई और यह माफिया को नहीं पकड़ पा रही। तो उन्होंने कहा, माफ करेंगे पत्रकार महोदय, पुलिस तो बहुत

कामयाब है, लेकिन जिन माफिया को पकड़कर पुलिस लाती थी, वे अब एम० एल० ए०, एम० पी० या मिनिस्टर हैं और हम उन्हें कैसे पकड़ ले। पहले पुलिस उनको पकड़ने जाती थी, अब खुद उनकी रक्षा के लिए जाती है। इसलिए मैं राजनितिक दलों से अपील करूंगा कि आप आत्म-विवेचन कीजिए और जितने माफिया आपके दलों में एम० एल० ए०, एम० पी० या मिनिस्टर बनकर आ गए हैं, उनकी छटना कर दीजिए और आइंदा किसी को इन्वाइट मत कीजिए। पहले आप अपनी तस्वीर तो साफ कीजिए, तब ...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
आप खत्म कीजिए।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : बड़ी परेशानी की बात है कि जब मतलब की बात होती है, तो हमें ही रोका जाता है।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज) :
अब आप कन्कलूड कीजिए।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : अगर कोई गलत बात हो तो मैं बैठ जाऊं चूप होकर। क्या यह है नहीं? क्या माफिया है नहीं राजनीतिक दलों में? क्या राजनीतिक नेता माफिया को शरण नहीं देते?

श्री कमल मोरारका (राजस्थान) :
देते हैं, आप ठीक बोल रहे हैं।

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम : तो फिर? आज आत्म-विवेचन की जरूरत है। नोबांडी केन प्रवोक भी। मैं तो एक देशभक्त हूँ और मानव हूँ, मैं अपने अंत-करण की बात कहता हूँ। किसी के कहने से कोई बात न मैं कहता हूँ, न रोकता हूँ। इसलिए मेरा पहला सुझाव है,

[श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम]

महोदया, कि जैसे गरीब आदमी अगर कोई अपराध करे तो उसके घरवालों को पकड़कर पुलिस ले आती है, उसी तरह से जो-जो इस हत्या में शामिल हैं उनकी तमाम जायदाद कुर्क कर ली जाए और उनके तमाम रिश्तेदार, पैरोकार थाने में बिठा लिए जाएं जब तक कि असली मुजरिम न आ जाए वहां पर। जब गरीब के साथ यह धड़ डिग्री मैचड इस्तेमाल हो सकता है तो इन बड़े लोगों के साथ क्यों नहीं हो सकता?

दूसरे वहां के मुख्य मंत्री इस्तीफा दे, दूसरा (मुख्य मंत्री) बनाएं। अभी सरकार आपकी ही बनेगी, आप बड़े माहिर हैं देश के विपक्ष को तोड़ने में और तोड़-फोड़ से अभी सरकार थोड़े दिन चला लेंगे।

अंतिम वाक्य है, लेकिन मत भूलो कि जो एक हजार रुपए आपसे लेकर मेरी हत्या कर सकता है, वह दस हजार रुपए मुझसे लेकर आपकी भी हत्या कर सकता है। जिसे आप तोड़ सकते हो, कोई दूसरा भी तोड़ सकता है। यह तरीका स्थाई नहीं है और यह लोकतंत्र के लिए कोई अच्छी परम्परा नहीं है। इसलिए मैं मांग करूंगा इस सदन से, मैं भिखारियों से मांग नहीं कर रहा, मैं सदन से मांग करता हूं कि इस तरह की प्रवृत्तियों को करने वालों को किसी तरह का कोई प्रोत्साहन दिया जाए, उनको हतोत्साहित किया जाए। धन्यवाद।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSMA SWARAJ): Yes, Mr. Gurudas Das Gupta.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA (West Bengal): Madam, in my opinion, the deli-

berate inaction on the part of the Government of Andhra Pradesh is more criminal in nature than the criminality of the murderers.

Madam, we know how people are murdered by the mafia gangs because we have come across many instances and we know in what way the laws of the country are violated. But we rarely come across an instance where the Government refuses to provide security to an elected Member of the Assembly even when the Speaker orders for the same and even when the High Court has given orders to that effect. Therefore, in my opinion, the criminality of the Government of Andhra Pradesh in its failure to provide security is unprecedented.

It has no parallel, I suppose, in the history of parliamentary democracy in our country. Of course, the same was done in the case of Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury. But she still lives and, I believe, she will continue to live despite the intention of the Government there. My point is that the Prime Minister of the country has a greater responsibility because the Prime Minister comes from Uttar Pradesh... (Interruptions) Yes, Andhra Pradesh. The Prime Minister has a greater responsibility because he comes from Andhra Pradesh, and the Prime Minister has a greater responsibility because he is the President of the Party which has its own Government in Andhra Pradesh. Therefore, the Prime Minister has to create a situation where we can believe that the Prime Minister will not play soft with the violation that has taken place in Andhra Pradesh. The country must be given that understanding that the Prime Minister is not playing soft, the Government is not playing soft, the system is not playing soft. Madam, why I say so? It is because, Madam, if this is what is happening in Andhra Pradesh with impunity, then you can very well imagine what is going to happen to the parliamentary system. The Assembly has a responsibility in protecting the life of a Member of the Assembly, not because he is a VIP. The Government has a responsibility in protecting him. If the Government does not discharge its responsibility, Madam, then I say the end of democracy, the end of parliamentary democracy is in sight. Therefore, I do not

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY:
Chief Minister also.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY:
The Chief Minister himself has threatened
me.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY (Tamil Nadu): Madam Vice-Chairman, I share with a painful heart the anguished sentiments expressed by Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury and other Members of this House over this gruesome killing of Mr. Siva Reddy, a legislator of Andhra Pradesh and a former Labour Minister of Andhra Pradesh Government. Madam, the deceased Mr. Siva Reddy with his relatives went to attend a wedding ceremony. They attended the wedding ceremony and had lunch there and on their return they were attacked with bombs. He was brutally murdered in cold blood. The place of joy and happiness—the wedding hall—suddenly turned into a place of blood bath and sorrow.

Therefore, if you want to uphold the highest traditions of the Congress Party, may I appeal to my friends from the

[Sh. V. Gopalswamy]

Treasury Benches and ask as to why don't they ask the Home Minister to resign because he is under a cloud, because he is under suspicion. When Mr. Chidambaram submitted his resignation when a question was raised, his resignation was accepted by Mr. Narasimha Rao. I don't say Mr. Chidambaram committed any wrong or not. I don't know. But when he was under a cloud and he tendered his resignation, it was readily accepted by Mr. Narasimha Rao, the Prime Minister of India. Now the Home Minister of a State from where the Prime Minister hails is under a cloud. The wife of the deceased has lodged a complaint that her husband was killed at the behest of the Home Minister. I would appeal to you that if you want to uphold prestige and honour, if anything of it remains, let the Home Minister tender his resignation.

My final submission is, because the people have lost faith in the Government since long and the Home Minister still continues, may I suggest that a judicial probe and enquiry into the whole episode may be conducted by a sitting judge. At the same time I express my condolences to the members of the bereaved family.

One more important point is that our Member, Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury, is under a threat. She has received many threatening letters from Hyderabad and Delhi and on the floor of the House, the Home Minister gave an assurance that protection will be provided to her. But till this minute, that has not materialised. We are gravely concerned about her safety and security. In the presence of the Chief Minister, if somebody says that Renuka Chowdhury would be cut to pieces and that is endorsed, in an indirect manner, by the Chief Minister himself, I really feel ashamed. That person does not deserve to sit on the throne of the Andhra Pradesh Government, if it is true.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: It is true. It is on record.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Therefore, Madam. I would request the Home Minister to provide proper protection to Shrimati

Renuka Chowdhury. When protection has been given to more than 200 MLAs in Andhra Pradesh, why not for Shrimati Renuka Chowdhury, a sitting Member of the Rajya Sabha? It is high time protection is provided to our lady Member by the Union Home Ministry.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Madam, I want to add my voice to what my colleagues have said. Today is a sad day for the House. The whole day we have been discussing two gruesome incidents which had taken place.

In regard to this particular incident, after hearing everybody—I do not know the details of this incident—I feel that the situation is bizarre. If a sitting MLA can be killed before the Chief Minister's house, near the Chief Minister's house I do not think we should waste so much money on our police apparatus. We have been wasting so much on security, on police, on intelligence. If the intelligence set-up of the State cannot be smart enough to find out what is happening in the vicinity of the Chief Minister's house, I think we should have a relook at the whole thing.

I was surprised at my esteemed colleagues, Mr. Patel and Mr. Jagesh Desai, talking about technicalities and saying that we should not refer to the name of X or Y gentleman. Madam, this is far too serious a matter. Here, the wife of the deceased herself has accused the Home Minister. I think any rational person, if he had been the Home Minister, would have resigned first as soon as he heard his name being mentioned and then would have entered the Cabinet again. I do not understand how anybody can defend the indefensible.

I would appeal to the treasury benches, through you. Madam. The Congress Party has a glorious past. It is high time they take some action and keep up certain norms of public life.

Finally, I want to add just one thing. Shrimati Renuka Chowdhury keeps on referring to the charge that somebody had threatened to cut her to pieces.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: I did not refer. This has appeared in all the national dailies. The Chief Minister has not denied it. I asked him about it.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: We are naturally concerned. She is a sitting Member of this House. As you know, Mr. Raoof Valliullah, an Ex-Member of this House, was earlier killed in broad daylight in Ahmedabad. He was the General Secretary of the Congress (I) and this happened in a Congress (I) Government. I am not talking of party politics. We have now come to a stage where individuals are liquidated, they are getting each other liquidated, to settle political scores. This way, political activity would come to a halt. Political process would come to a stop. We will not be able to function. The matter is far too serious and, therefore, the Government needs to look into it immediately.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): Shri S. Muthu Mani.

SHRI S. MUTHU MANI (Tamil Nadu): Thank you for providing me this opportunity, Madam.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): Just two minutes.

SHRI S. MUTHU MANI: I will take only a few seconds.

The assassination of an ex-Minister of Andhra Pradesh, Mr. Siva Reddy, is unprecedented. On behalf of my party, the All-India Anna D.M.K., I associate myself with the sentiments expressed by senior Members, Madam Renuka Chowdhury, Mr. Jaipal Reddy, Mr. Upendra and others. It is a brutal murder. It is very sad and surprising to hear that he was not given adequate security even after a specific directive in this regard by the Speaker of the Andhra Pradesh Assembly as well as by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh.

I demand, through you, Madam, that the Centre should order a C.B.I. enquiry into this matter. The Centre should also take effective measures to eradicate political goondaism witnessed all over the nation. In this connection, I suggest that the Cen-

tre should convene a meeting of all political parties to find a solution to preserve morality in politics to some extent, at least.

I offer my condolences to the bereaved family.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): Maulana Obaidullah Khan Azmi. Just two minutes, like Mr Muthu Mani

मौलाना ओबैदुल्ला खान आजमी
 (उत्तर प्रदेश) : मेडम, मिथासत में मनी पावर और मसल पावर धीरे-धीरे अपना रंग दिखला रहा है। इसी के साथ साथ हम इस खतरनाक मोड़ पर आ गये हैं कि सिथासतवां अगर इस राह पर चलते रहे तो हमारी पालियमेंट और मुल्क भर की असंबलिगं धीरे-धीरे कातिलों, गुंडों और माफिया युपों के सरदारों के कब्जे में चले जायेंगे और मुल्क में हुकूमत रहने के बावजूद जंगल का राज देखने का समां हमें देखने को मिलेगा। आंध्र प्रदेश में तेलुगु देशम के एम० एल० ए० की जिस तरह से दर्दनाक मौत हुई है, हमारा पूरा हाउस गमो और दोख के माहोल में डूबकर इस कत्ल की मुजम्मत करता है और इस तरीके को रोकने के लिये हुकूमत पर जबर्दस्त दबाव डाल रहा है कि आइंदा इस तरह का अंदोहनाक वारदाते न होने पाये। राजाओं और बादशाहों के जमाने में सिथासी बदला लेने के लिये एक दूसरे का इत्कामन कत्ल करते थे। लेकिन मुल्क में अब राजाओं और बादशाहों की हुकूमत नहीं है, जम्हूरियत है। सेकुलरिज्म और लोकतंत्र की बात कही जा रही है। इसमें हम हाउस में या नजरवाती तौर पर अखराबात और तकरीरों के जरिये एक दूसरे के खिलाफ अपने बयानात दे सकते हैं। मगर जम्हूरियत

[श्री मौलाना अबुलकलाम आझमी]

और लोकतन्त्र हमें इस बात की इजाजत हरगिज नहीं देता कि जाती इंतकाम लेने के लिये किसी हस्ती को सफय हस्ती से ही नाबूद कर दिया जाय। एक बात बिल्कुल सफाई के साथ सामने आती है कि आंध्र प्रदेश के होम मिनिस्टर पर कत्ल होने वाले एम० एल० ए० की बीबी ने बरहरास इल्जाम लगाया है कि होम मिनिस्टर ने यह इंतकामी कार्यवाही की है और सी० बी० आई० के जरीये इसकी जांच जरूर होनी चाहिये और उस वक्त तक अगर हुकूमत इसाफ और दयानतदारी के साथ काम करे तब तो होम मिनिस्टर को फौरन बरस्वास्त किया जाना चाहिये और अगर हुकूमत में यह जुरंत नहीं है जैसा कि सार्विकारिवायत से हुकूमत की साबित हो चुका है तो कम से कम उतने दिनों तक उन्हें जरूर मुअत्तल करके कुर्सी से हटा देना चाहिये ताकि आजदाना जांच हो सके और मुजरिम को कैफिरे किरदार तक पहुंचाया जा सके। मुक्रिया।

† [मौलाना अबुलकलाम आझमी] :
मैमिम سیاست میں منی پاور اور مسل پاور
دھیرے دھیرے اپنا رنگ دکھلا رہا ہے اس
کے ساتھ ساتھ ہم اس خطرناک موڑ پر آگئے
ہیں کہ سیاست دان اگر اس راہ پر چلتے رہے
تو ہماری پارلیمنٹ اور ملک بھر کی اسمبلیاں
دھیرے دھیرے قاتلوں غنڈوں اور مافیا
گروپوں کے سرداروں کے قبضہ میں چلی جائے گی
اور ملک میں حکومت رہنے کے باوجود جنگل

کا راج دیکھنے کا سماں نہیں دیکھنے کو ملے گا۔
آندھرا پردیش میں تیلگو ڈیشم کے ایم۔ ایل
ایسے کی جس طرح سے دردناک موت ہوئی ہے
سچا پورا باؤس غم اور دکھ کے ماحول میں
ڈوب کر اس قتل کی مذمت کرتا ہے اور اس
طریقہ کو روکنے کے لئے حکومت پر زبردست
دباؤ ڈال رہا ہے کہ آئندہ اس طرح کی
اندھیناگ وار دانتیں نہ ہونے پائیں۔ راجاؤں
اور بادشاہوں کے زمانے میں سیاسی بدلہ
لینے کے لئے ایک دوسرے کا انتقاماً قتل
کرتے تھے لیکن ملک میں اب راجاؤں اور
بادشاہوں کی حکومت نہیں ہے۔ جمہوریت ہے
سیکیورٹیزم اور لوک تنتر کی بات کہی جا رہی
ہے۔ اس میں ہم باؤس میں یا نظر یا فی طور پر
اخبارات اور تقریروں کے ذریعہ ایک دوسرے
کے خلاف اپنے بیانات دے سکتے ہیں مگر
جمہوریت اور لوک تنتر ہمیں اس بات کی
اجازت نہ دیتا کہ ذاتی انتقام لینے
کے لئے کسی ہستی کو صفحہ ہستی سے ہی
نیمت و نابود کر دیا جائے۔ ایک بات بالکل
صفائی کے ساتھ سامنے آئی ہے کہ آندھرا پردیش
کے ہوم منسٹر پر قتل ہونے والے ایم۔ ایل۔
ایس کی بیوی نے براہ راست الزام لگایا ہے
کہ ہوم منسٹر نے یہ انتقامی کارروائی کی ہے
اور سی بی آئی کے ذریعہ اس کی جانچ ضرور

ہونی چاہیے اور اس وقت اگر حکومت انصاف
اور دیانت داری کے ساتھ کام کرے تب تو
ہوم منسٹر کو فوراً برخاست کیا جانا چاہیے اور
اگر حکومت میں یہ جرأت نہیں ہے جیسا کہ سابقہ
روایات سے حکومت کی ثابت ہو چکا ہے تو
کم سے کم اتنے دنوں تک انہیں مزور معطل
کر کے کسی سے ہٹا دینا چاہیے تاکہ آزادانہ
جانچ ہو سکے اور مجرم کو کیفر کردار تک پہنچایا
جاسکے۔ [شکر یہ]

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Madam Vice-Chairman, it is deplorable that in broad daylight a sitting MLA has been murdered and liquidated. We all feel very sorry about that. I convey my heart-felt condolence to the members of the bereaved family:

Madam, if the Speaker or the Chairman gives a direction, it is the duty of the person concerned to convey it to the Home Minister. I would like to ask two questions. Firstly whether the direction was conveyed to the Home Minister...

SHRI P. UPENDRA: He was in the House.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: In spite of that if the Home Minister has not taken action, that is a negligence of the first category, of the highest order. If protection was not given to the MLA, then I think that Minister should be hauled up.

SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Just one minute. This matter was discussed on the floor of the House. The discussion went on for about 1^{1/2} or 2 hours. Even after the Home Minister's consistent resistance, the Speaker assured the House and also gave directions to the Government to provide security to the unfortunate MLA.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: In spite of that, if protection was not given, then the Home

Minister should be hauled up and necessary action should be taken. About that I have no doubt in my mind because if the Home Minister is present in the House and the Speaker has given a direction, it is the duty of the Home Minister to take action on that. Therefore, I would like to know from the hon. Home Minister whether protection was given to the MLA. We have doubts in our mind. So, I would like the hon. Home Minister to clarify whether protection was provided to the MLA, so that the doubts could be cleared from our mind.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ): This matter is over now. The Home Minister will reply at 5 O'clock.

Now Shri John Fernandes will raise a matter regarding reported ISI base in Nepal to conduct subversive activities in India.

RE. REPORTED ISI BASE IN NEPAL TO CONDUCT SUBVERSIVE ACTI- VITIES IN INDIA

SHRI JOHN F. FERNANDES (Goa): Madam, there are reports in the press that the Pakistani intelligence agency, ISI, has established a base to train terrorists and spies in the Nepalese capital of Kathmandu. It is clear from this that the army in sealing the international boundary between India and Pakistan, with the result that the Pakistani espionage agency has taken shelter in Kathmandu.

Madam, we know that the two countries of Nepal and India have excellent relations and no documents are required to travel from one country to another and there are no security checks on the border. I would request the Government to see that some sort of mechanism is brought in so that the nationals of both the countries are required to carry passports, if not visas.

Again, it is mentioned in the same report that terrorists and anti-social elements go to Nepal and take refuge there. So I would like the External Affairs Ministry and the Home Ministry to react to these specific reports in the press. Thank you.