

**Profit to Fertilizer Industry**

3115. SHRI SOM PAL:

SHRI SARADA MOTHANTY :

SHRI SANKAR DAYAL  
SINGH :SHRI BRAHAMDEO ANAND  
PASWAN:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have forwarded a proposal to Ministry of Finance with regard to extending two per cent additional profit to the fertilizer industry ;

(b) if so, the basis and propriety of this proposal ;

(c) whether Ministry of Finance has expressed any reaction in the matter; and

(d) if so, the details thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE  
MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND  
FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO  
FALEIRO) : (a) No, Sir.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

**Submission of Details in Form 6 by  
Companies**

3116. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA :

Will the PRIME MINISTER  
be pleased to refer to the answer to

Unstarred Question No. 517 given in Rajya Sabha on 26th November, 1992 and state :

(a) what are the names of the companies which have submitted details in Form-6 to Government during the year 1991-92 ;,

(b) what is the amount of trade commission and promotional expenses on formulations incurred by each company in 1991-92, separately and what percentage of the total expenses do they form;

(c) whether Government have formed any view or conducted any study on the genuineness and reasonableness of these expenses and if so, what are the findings thereof;

(d) whether it is a fact that fair prices of medicines to the consumers cannot be ensured unless these costs are studied, rationalised and their ceilings are decided by the Government; and

(e) by when final decision on this issue would be taken ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE  
MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND  
FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO  
FALEIRO) : (a) to (e) To the extent available the required details as per Form 6 for 1991-92 are given in the enclosed Statement. (See below) Promotional expenses are not required to be monitored under the DPCO, 1987.**Statement***Trade Commission on formulations during 1991-92*

		(Rs. in Lakhs)		
Sl. No.	Name of the Co.	Total Expenses	Trade Commission	% to total Expen. Col. 3/2
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Alembic Chemicals . . . . .	12137	1068*	8.8
2.	Apte Amalgamation . . . . .	3850	102*	2.6
3.	Andhra Sugars . . . . .	585	14	2.4
4.	B. Wellcome . . . . .	14492	—	—
5.	Bayer (I) . . . . .	19123	191	1.0
6.	Cyanamid . . . . .	9021	2	0.02
7.	Duphar Interfran . . . . .	6160	71	1.2
8.	Garman Remedies . . . . .	9358	2241	23.9
9.	Geoffray Manners . . . . .	9123	322	3.5
10.	Hoechst . . . . .	27210	4859	17.9
11.	E. Merck . . . . .	15254	2323	15.2
12.	Glaxo . . . . .	50352	7281	14.5
13.	Boots . . . . .	12009	2612	21.8
14.	Rhone Poulenc . . . . .	10041	—	—
15.	Sunil Syachem . . . . .	325	—	—