THE VICE-CHAIRMAN SHRI SHKOMAL SEN"

'Clause 1, the Enatcing Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI DALBIR SINGH: Sir, I move

That the Bill be passed.

The motion was adopted.

#### STATEMENTS BY MINISTER

## 1. Organisation of International Film Festival of India, 1993 at New Delhi

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY INFORMATION OF AND BROADCASTING (SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA): Sir, International Film Festival of India (IFFI) is organinised by the Directorate of Film Festivals (DFF) under the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, every year in the month of January. The IFFI, '87 at New Delhi was the last competitive Film Festival organised by the DFF. All the 5 International Film Festivals<sup>1</sup> which were organised after that were noncompetitive events. After the last festival at Bangalore in January, '92, a review was conducted with a view to make the festival attractive and to organise it in a better way so as to fulfil the objectives for which it was designed. In principle, it was decided to organise the next IFFI as a competitive event and this August House was apprised of this decision of the Government in reply to an UnStarred Question No. 3951 on 26.3.1992.

2. I am happy to inform the members that arrangements have already been initiated for organising this International went in Delhi. However, in view of the resource crunch faced hv the countiy in the current financial year and also ihe tight position in respect of the foreing exchange availability, the matter of making the next IFFI, a competitive event has been reconsidered. The Film Advisory Committee of this Ministry has gone into the matter of revival of the concept of the competitive festival and has recommended that first of all we have to see that all necessary I

infrastructural facilities become available, since it is the perception of the importance of a festival that attracts the best films and outstanding film personalities. Also, a lead-time of 15—18 months is required by the Directorate of Film Festivals to plan in a systematic manner the organisation of a world class competitive event. In the current scenario, it has been considered prudent to retain the existing character of International Film Festival and to hold it as a noncompetitive event at New Delhi in January, 1993.

SHRI JOHN F. **FERNANDES** (Goa): It is mentioned in the statement that it was decided by the Government to hold the next IFFI as a competitive event. I am sure the Ministry is already in touch with other nations. Now it is not proper for us at this moment to back out. May I know from the hon. Minister, because it is the prestige of the country which is involved, what is the foreign exchange implication for conducting this competitive Film Festival? I would also like to know why such decision was taken without making available the necessary infrastructural facilities. Sir, when we put an invitation forward and We don't have the necessary infrastructural facilities, we are making a mockery of ourselves. May I know from the hon. Minister as to why the Government made this proposal to hold a competitive Film Festival?

It is also mentioned in the staement that the last competitive Film Festival was held in 1987. That means we have the paraphernalia with us. May I know from the hon. Minister what more paraphernalia is to be added to facilitate this Film Festival?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): Shri Digvijay Singh —not present. Shri Syed Sibtey Razi.

श्री सैयद सिक्ते रजी (उत्तर प्रदेश) : मैं माननीय म ती जी से जानना चाहंगा कि बंगलीर में जो पिछला इटरनेशनल फिल्म फेस्टिवल हम्रा था हमारे तत्वाघान

में, क्या उम सिलसिले में फेडरेशन आफ इंटरनेशनल एसोसिएशन आफ फिल्म प्रोड्यूसर्ज की तरफ से शिकायतें आपको मिली हैं, जिसमें कहा गया है कि थियेटर्ज की कंडीशंस बहुत खराब है, साऊंड सिस्टम सही नहीं है और जो प्रोजैक्शन फैसिलीटीज इटरनेशनल नार्म्स के स्टैंडर्ड की होनी चाहिए, वह नहीं है ।

पिछले फैस्टिवल के ग्रदर जो तजुबें हुए हैं, जिसकी वजह से हमारी पोजीशन पर भी काफी ग्रसर पड़ा है, क्या उनको मिनिस्ट्री दिमाग में रख कर जो नया दिल्ली के ग्रंदर फेस्टिवल करने जा रहे हैं, उन बातों पर भी विचार करेंगे ?

पिछली बार नैशनल फिल्म डिवैलपमेंट कार्पोरेशन (एन०एफ०डी०सी०) का भी इन्दाल्वमेंट किया गया था और उसका तजुर्बाकोई, बहुत अच्छा नहीं हुआ और डाइरेक्टर श्राफ फिल्म फेस्टिवल्ज ने उस तरह से मुख्य रूप से जो प्रोविजन करना चाहिए था, वह नहीं किया । ऐसे पिछले 3-4 साग के ग्रंदर जो हमने नान-कंपीटेटिव फेस्टिवल्ज किये हैं, उससे परिस्थिति जो ग्राई है, वह यह है कि जो बहत ग्रच्छीं इंटरनेशनल फिल्मज हैं, वह हमारे इस फेस्टिवल्ज में नहीं आ रही हैं। में जानना आहंगा कि इसमें कितनी सत्यता है ? ग्रीर दिल्ली में जो फैस्टीवल ग्रागेंताइच होने जा रहे हैं जो हमारी इंटरनेशतल कैंडरेशन है इस सिलसिले की डिवीजुंस की फैस्टीवल्ज की जो फांस में बेस्ड है । उसका तस्करा अभी मैंने किया उसका यह भी कहना है कि जो शो प्रैस के लिए ग्रांर खास तौर पर डेलीगेट्स के सिए किंग जाते हैं उनमें ज्यादा बी०वी० धाई०पीज की ओर फी पास होल्डसे की तादाद ज्यादा हो जाती है, जिससे फिल्म्स का जो रेष्यू धौर फिल्म्स के बारे में जो किटिक एप्रोज है बहु खत्म हो जाती है। तो क्या मर्वनमेंट इन चीजों पर भी प्रवान देगी ? दूसरी बात में कहना चाहूंगा कि म्राज जो लिबरलाइजेशन की गालिसी चल रही है

और जिसके कारणवश उम्मीद है कि हमें सज्छी फिल्मस मिलनी चाहिए' लेकिन जिस तरह से अमेरिकन सैकण्ड प्रेड की जो फिल्मस हैं उनके आने की संभावना ज्यादा बढ़ रही है और इधर 3-4 साल के ग्रन्दर ग्रगर देखा जाए तो जो ग्राच्छी फिल्बस हैं जिन्हे दर्शक देखना चाहते हैं उन फिल्मों का बहुत कम इभ्पोर्ट हुन्रा है । इस फैस्टीवल के माध्यम से क्या इस बात का भी प्रयास किया जाएगा कि हिन्दूस्तान के ग्रंदर भी बाहर की फिल्म कंपनीज़ आ करके अपनी फिल्मस बनाए ? और उसके लिए जो टैक्नोलोजी है, जो मार्डन टेंदनीलोजी है, जहां तक सीन्स का सवाल है, सिनिक ब्युटीज का सवाल है, एक्सपर्टाइज का सवाल है, वह सारी चीजें तो हमारे देश के अन्दर मौजूद हैं । अच्छेडाइरेक्टर्ज हैं, अाच्छे प्रोड्यूसर्ज़ हैं, सारी चीज़ें हैं । लेकिन अगर जो कोलैबोरेशन बाहर की फिल्मों के प्रोड्यूसर्ज के साथ हो सकता है तो क्या उसके लिए जो हम लिबरलाइजेशन कर रहे हैं इंपोर्ट करने के लिए तो सिनेमा इंडस्ट्री के लिए भी जो इंपोर्ट करने के सिलसिले में हम उस लिबरलाइजेशन की परमिशन देंगे ? झाखिरी सवाल करना चाईगा कि ग्राज जो फिल्मस की इंपोर्ट बहत कम हो पा रही है और बाहर से श्रच्छी फिल्में भ्रानी रुक रही हैं उसकी एक वजह क्या यह भी नहीं है कि ग्रभी तक त्र प्रातो कोई फिल्म पालि सी नहीं बना

## [थो सैयद सिन्ते रजी]

पाए हैं ? मैं माननीय मत्नी जी से यह जानना चाहूमा कि क्या कोई ऐसी फिल्म पालिसी बनेगी और जिस फिल्म पालिसी के तहत वह एग्रीमेंट जो दूसरे कंट्रीज के साय, किए गये हैं और सायद वह इंप्लीमेंट नहीं हो पा रहे हैं में जानना चाहूगा, कि फांस और इटली से ऐसा एग्रीमेंट हुम्रा हैं जिसके तहत ग्रच्छी फिल्में दिखाए जाने की बात-चीत चली है ? लेकिन हमारी फिल्म पालिसी पूरी तरह से उभर कर प्रभी नहीं आई है इस कारणवम्न उन देशों से ग्रच्छी फिल्में नहीं मा रही है । मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि इसके माध्यम से वह जो गतिरोध है वह दूर होगा और ज्यादा ग्रच्छी फिल्मस व्यूवर्ज को देखने को मिल सर्केगी ?

الله المراجعة بالمرتف المربط مراجعة المربط مراجعة المرجعة المرجة المرجعة ا مرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة المرجعة الم مرجعة المرجعة المرجة المرحة الم مرجعة الم

بالتبع بمي ان بأتوں بريم والار 16

†Transliteration in Arabic Script.

TORAL

and the second second

 $\mathcal{O}_{t}$ 

dime

**सेन) :** ज्ञार्ट में

1

त्तो

सुकोमल

म दो

जरा

हे

(राजस्थान)

كمت محص سلسل يس يم اس لير لأزيش ر دهدان د سنتی -کی پہریش دس کھنے ۔ يس حركهها جادي أخرى سوال كرنا حاسوناكا كمراج مسی جگرین که بند 1510203676 یکن حاریال کے ازر اگر دیکھاتھے بس درشر و تريح المكرافيكم Ľé Ľ. یتے بن ال فلموں کا بہت کم المیوں . . ما الرويسليل كرماديم علي j\_ يرسيحته المبه الأنكح اس مات کامیش ایر باسی سمیا جائنے کا کبر يستلان كيرية المديمي باح كي  $\langle \hat{c} \rangle$ and the second secon بنايلن اعدامين سحسير Carl Stranger حركم بحرنا ليق بيم ماشان شيكهنا فوق 6 de ser Aller College Col كهابيع كاسا كسينس كالهمدال يديينك 6 Evolution of 176 برمير كالمعال مدال بيجر السكير الزكاسوال بالمسالم المنتصي منتص فماة فيحمكم المبتاد وصر ماند وباسار کر جیٹر میں تو ہما ہے دلین در المرادة المارية العن الجعن الم **ولو**ية . المند المتد التي والمرايل متر کام کر میں التيجة يذهبي سريس بس ساري جبريس بنااقر سيمرك ليبريشن مابهري فنهون لأعسرتن سكتها مساقطاتها كمتماسك उपसमालमा (मी بحيااس، كيه لين حيريهم لبرلاكم لين كمد मीणा । প্ৰী মূল**ৰন্ব** ोलिए, टाईम ज्यादा नहीं میں ا**لمیں کے کہ نسبہ کم رکبر لو** थी, मूलप्रम मीज <u>سنتر عی تو شروز</u> उपसमाध्यक महोदय, प्छना चाहता हुं। पहला क्वटचन

†Transliteration in Arabic Script. ...

[श्री मूलचन्द मीणा] यह है कि ऐसे समारोहों से आप कौन-कौन से मूल उद्देश्य प्राप्त करना चाहते हैं, एक तो यह बता दें? दूसरा यह कि ऐसे समारोह जो प्रतियोगी समारोह थे और गैर प्रतियोगी समारोह और आपका पहले यह उद्देश्य था कि गेर प्रतियोगी समारोह हम रखेंगे उनको प्रतियोगी समारोह रखने का क्या कारण है?

श्री आनःव प्रकाश गौतमः (उत्तर प्रदेश): उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, हमारे देश में सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय की श्रोर से हर साल ग्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोहों का आयोजन किया जाता रहा है और उनको बहुत ही ग्राकर्षक बनाने के लिए उन समारोहों में प्रतियोगात्मक दृष्टिकोण से 1987 में जो अंतिम प्रतियोगी समारोह जो नई दिल्ली में हुम्रा था उसकी सफलता उद्देश्य से बहुत कम रह गई थी। झभी जो धन्त-र्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह जनवरी में होने का था उसके बारे में सरकार का निर्णय हुआ कि उसे गैर प्रतियोगी वनाया जाए, केवल एक ग्रौपचारिकता माल बनाने का एक निर्णय लिया गया ग्रौर उसके कारणों में माननीय मंत्री जी का वक्तव्य ग्राया है कि दिशेष रूप से यह ध्यान रखा गया है कि संसाधनों की तंगी ग्रौर विदेशी मुद्रा की विषम स्थिति है, तो मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से जानना चाहंगा कि प्रतियोगात्मक ग्रन्तर्राष्टीय समारोहों के आयोजन के बारे में इसका कोई स्पष्ट यह विचार किया गया है कि उसमें कितनी ग्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मुद्रा, कितनी विदेशी मुद्रा का व्यय होगा श्रौर उसमें किन-किन संसाधनों को जुटाया जा सकता है, जिसमें भारत सरकार अपने ग्रापको सक्षम नहीं पा रही है? और यह ग्रायोजन हम प्रतियोगी न बना करके उसे गैर प्रतियौंगी समारीह के रूप में ग्रायोजित करने का निर्णय सरकार ने लिया?

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL (Gujarat): Mr. Vice-Chairamn, Sir, I am happy to note from the statement of the Minister that in this Film Festival, the concept of competitivenss is likely

to be adopted. Is the concept of the competitiveness in real terms? On the other side, the Minister says that there is a resource crunch. Will the resource crunch affect the competitiveness of the festival? Another thing is, will the commercial feature films be included 'a the Film Festival? Normally, the members of the Jury are selected from chose who are producing classical films and hence the real commercial feature films are not being selected. So, will the Minister take care to select as Members of the Jury persons who/ are broadminded and competitive-minded and not block-headed selecting classical films only? I would also like to know whether the Indian Motion Pictures Producers' Association is going to support it or not. Earlier, they had boycotted it-Have any talks been held with the Motion Pictures Producers? If not, will the Government see to it that their cooperation Is also got?

श्रीमती बीणा वर्मा (मध्य प्रदेश): सर, मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से कहना चाहती हूं कि ग्रच्छी फिल्में ग्राएं। यह देखा गया है कि इंटरनेशनल फिल्म फेस्टिवल में बहुत अच्छी एण्टरीज नहीं ग्राती है ग्रीर इसके बहुत से कारण हो सकते हैं। एक तो कारण यही है, जैसा ग्रापने कहा कि यह फाइनेन्सियल कृत्व है और उसमें बहुत से सुधार लाने की बादण्यकता है। तो मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से जानना चाहंगा कि क्या कोई ऐसा सर्वे हुन्ना है कि क्या-क्या कमियां हैं? इनका पता करने के लिए ग्रब तक कोई ऐसी स्टडी था कोई सर्वे हुआ है? श्रीर, इनमें सुधार लाने के लिए क्या स्टेप्स लिए गए हैं?

दूसरा, यह जानना चाहती हूं कि जूरी मैम्बर्स कौन-कौन है? देखा गया है कि एक कारण यह भी कि बहुत ज्यादा इसमें जो सेलेक्शन होता है फिल्म फेस्टिवल में फिल्म का, उसमें भी बहुत सा पैसा ग्रीर अध्याचार चलता है। क्या कोई ऐसी भी शिकायत ग्राई है? ग्रीर, ग्राई हैं तो उनमें सुधार लाने के सिएं ग्रापन क्या-क्या के सिएं हैं? वर्ष 1987 में कम्पेटिटिव फेस्टिस्ल

.

हुम्रा था, उसके बाद हमने निर्णय लिया ग्रौर इसको नोन-इम्पेटिटिव बना दिया। एक और चीज है कि इसमें जूरी, ग्रब जो सेलेक्शन है उसमें, सिंगल टायर सिस्टम ग्रपनाएंगे। पहले यह होता था कि टु-टायर सिस्टम होता था। सेलेक्शन धैनोरमा में जब फिल्में आती थीं तो टू-टायर सिंस्टम से माती थीं। तो क्या ग्रब सेलेक्शन के लिए सिंगल टायर सिस्टम होगा? उसके मैम्बर कौन-कौन होंगे और कितने होंगे?

एक और चोज, इंटरनेशनल फिल्म फेस्टिवल का उद्देश्य क्या है ? क्योंकि हमारे देश में मेट्रो चैनल शुरू होने जा रहा, है दूरदर्शन है, कैर्जुल नेटव्र्क है, वीडियो नेटवर्क है और यूभी ए. टी.टी: भी शुरू हुआ है हिन्दी चैनल तो ऐसी फिल्म जो फिल्म फेस्टिवल में दिखाई जाती है ग्रीर थियेटर में दिखाई जाती है, उनको दूरदर्शन पर, मैटो जैनल पर ग्रांश् वीडियो नेटवर्क पर भी दिखाने के लिए क्या सरकार सोच रही है ताकि पूरे देश भर की जनता इन फिल्मों का फायदा उठा सके ग्रौर उनसे कुछ शिक्षा ग्रहण कर सके ? ऐसी फिल्में भी क्या ग्राप सेलेक्शन में लाएंगे? यह जो वायोलेन्स है, रैंप है या बहत अशिष्ट-पन जिनमें होता है, गंदी-गंदी होती हैं, इनके सेलेक्शन का भी क्या सरकार मे सोचा है? अच्छी फिल्में वीडियो नेटबर्क पर, केबल नेटवर्क पर दिखाने के लिए भी सरकार क्या सोच रही है, जिससे कि ज्यादा से ज्यादा जनता देख सके?

श्रीमती सरला माहेश्वरी (पश्चिमी बमाल) : माननीय उपसंभाध्यक्ष महोदय, राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह के पिछली जूरी के ग्राध्यक्ष ग्राडुर गोपालकृष्णन ने पुरस्कार वितप्रण करते हुए यह कहा था कि फिल्मों का संबंध सिर्फ मनोरंजन स नहीं होता, फिल्मों का सबंध हमारे समाज के सामाजिक भौर नैतिक दायित्व के साम जुड़ा हुआ है। उपसभाध्यक्ष महुदिय, अगर ग्रेड्र गीपालड्राण्यन् की इसे बात के संदर्भ में हम राष्ट्रीय या ग्रंतरेष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोहां को देखें ती एक सवाल हुमेगा हमारे सामने उठती है कि ग्राखिर इन ग्रंतरप्ट्रिय

फिल्म समारोहों या राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समा-रोहों की कोई सार्थकता है या नहीं? . उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, आज तक जितने भी ग्रंतर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह इए हैं. तकरीबन तमाम फिल्म समारोह हमेशा ही विवादास्पद रहे हैं। जब हम इस प्रश्न पर ग्राते हैं कि फिल्मों का संबंध सामाजिक और नैतिक दायित्वों के साथ जुड़ा हुन्ना है, ग्रंतर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह करने के पीछे हमारा यह मकसद था या हमारा यह उद्देश्य था कि हमारा देश विभिन्न देशों की संस्कृति से परिचित होगा, लेकिन, उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, श्रफ-सोस यह है कि इतनी बड़ी संख्या में हम मुद्रा खर्च करके, लाखों-लाखों रूपया खर्च होता है, पिछले ही समारोह में 90 लाख रुपया खर्च हुम्रा, इतनी बड़ी तादाद में रुपया खर्च करके ग्रगर हम इन फिल्म समारोहों की सार्थकता देखते हैं तो हमारे समने एक बड़ा शुन्य सा श्राता है। तो मैं मंत्री महोदय से जानना चाहती हूं कि फिल्मों के बारे में हमारा दृष्टिकोण क्या है ? ग्रतर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह हम करते हैं तो इसके पोछे हमारा कोई ठोस चिंतन या दृष्टि-कोण काम करता है या नहीं ?

मेरा दमरा प्रश्न मंत्री महोदय से यह है कि ग्राज जब कि विदेशी फिल्मों को तमाम प्रतिबंधों से मुक्त कर दिया गया है, उनको डिकेनालाइज कर दिया गया है, उनका विसरलीकरण कर दिया गया है, अब भारतवर्ष में एन.एफ. डी.सी. ---नेशनल फिल्म डेवलपमेंट कार-वोरेशन-ये तमाम सरकारी एजेंसियों की फिल्मों को खरीदने में कोई भूमिका नहीं होगी, कोई भी भारतीय नागरिक विदेशों से फिल्में मंगा सकता है और उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, जब विदेशी फिल्मों पर कोई प्रतिवंध ही नहीं रहेगा... (म्पेवज्ञाम) . . .

उपसमाध्यक्ष (औ सुकोमल सेन) : ग्रापका सवाल क्या है? सवाल पुछिए नः .

मीताती सरला महिरगरी : संवाल ही पूछ रही हू कि जब विवेती किम्मों वर कोई प्रतिबंध ही नहीं रहेगा, भारत का

[श्रीमती सरला माईम्वरी]

बाजार विदेशी फिल्मों के लिए खला रहेगा, तब ऐसे में क्या इस तरह के समारोहों की कोई सार्यकरता है, प्रासंगिता है जब हमारा बाजार पूरी तरह से खुला हैं? पहले तो हमें विदेशी फिल्में उपलब्ध नहीं होती थी, तब हम इतनी बड़ी तादाद में रूपया खगाकर ग्रतर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह करते थे ताकि हमें दूसरे देशों की फिल्मों और उनकी संस्कृति के बारे में जानकारी मिल सके. लेकिन ग्राज यह समय ग्रा गया है जब कि हम इनकी प्रासंगिकता पर विचार करें। तो मैं मंत्री महोदय से यह जानना वाहंगी कि जब आपने डिकेनालाइज करें दिया है, भ्रापने विसरलीकरण कर दिया है तो इसका भारत के फिल्म उद्योग पर क्या प्रश्वाव पड़ेगा ग्रौर ग्रंतर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह की कोई प्रासंगिकता है या नहीं? और आज विसरलीकरण कर दिया गया है तो ग्राज हालत यह है कि एम.पी.ई.ए.ए. मोशन पिक्चर्स एक्सीविटर एसोसिएशन गफ ग्रमेरिका--जो कि सारे देशों में फल्मों को निर्यात करती है, अमरीका की संस्था है और सबसे ज्यादा विदेशी राजस्व कमाती है, आज उसको खुली छट दे दी गई है। तो आज अगर ऐसी स'स्थाएं हिन्दुस्तान में आती हैं, हॉलीयड की तमाम फिल्मों से भारत का बाजार पट जाता है, तो भारत को संस्कृति पर, भारत के फिल्म उद्योग पर इसका क्या प्रभाव पड़ेगा? उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, मेरा तीसरा सवाल यह है.. (व्यवधाम)

भी विद्ठलमाई मोतीराम पटेलः यह तो डिवेट हो गई है।

धीमती सरला माहेक्वरी : डिवेट नहीं कर रही हं, सवाल कर रही हूं।

उपसभाध्यक (श्री मुकोमल सैन) : यह ग्राखिरी सवाल है आपका?

भोमती सरला माहेश्वरी : यह मेरा ग्राखिरी सवाल है। सत्यजीत रे की पहली फिल्म बनी यी ''पायेर पांचाली'', तो हमारे सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय ने उसको ग्रंतर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म समारोह में भेजने से मना कर दिया था गौर यह कहा गया था कि यह हमारे देश की गरीबी का चिद्रण करती है इसलिए हम इसको बहां नहीं भेज सकते। उसके बाद नेहरू जी के हस्तक्षेप से उस ''पाचेर पांचाली'' जैसी एतिहासिक फिल्म को, जिस पर ग्राज हम गर्व करते हैं, उसको ग्र'तर्राष्टीय फिल्म समारोह में भेजा गया। मैं मंत्री महोदय से जानना चाहती हं कि क्या फिल्मों के बारे में अब भी हमारा वही दुष्टिकोण बरकरार है या नहीं या उसमें कुछ सुधार ग्राया है? या कि सःयजीत रें सिर्फ इसलिए दिखेए जाएंगे कि उनकी मत्य पर हम उनको याद कर सकें? उनकी फिल्मों की प्रासंगिकता या उनको दूरदर्शन पर या <del>ग्राम जनता तक उ</del>नकी फिल्मों को पहुंचाया जाए, इस तरह की कजात्मक फिल्मों के लिए आप क्या कर रहे हैं? फिल्म को एक उद्योग का दर्जी देने की मांगें चल रही हैं, लेकिन उस पर भी मंत्री सहोदग ने विचार नहीं किया. सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय ने विचार नहीं किया। .. (व्यवधान) ..

चौधरी हरिसिंह : (उत्तर प्रदेश): दुनिया की किसी पालियामेंट में नहीं हुग्रा। किसी संसद का मदाल इतना बड़ा नहीं हुग्रा।

श्रीमती सरला माहेक्वरी :उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, बहुत जम्ब लम्ब सवाल हुए है उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री सुकोमल सैन) : मिनिस्टर जवाब देंगे, ग्राप जवाद नहीं

देंगे। ... (व्यवधान)... श्रीमती सरला म'हेरवरी: ग्राप समझते

हैं, यह फिल्मों का सवाल है... (व्यवयान)

उपसमाध्यक्ष (श्री सुकोमल सैन): जवाब देने के लिए मिनिस्टर बैठे हुए हैं इधर! ... (व्ययधान) कोज कांक्सुड :

श्रीमती सरला माहेस्वरी : उपसभा ध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा सवाल बहुत सीधा है। हमारी कोई सांस्कृतिक नीति है या नहीं? फिल्मों का संबंध प्रयर संस्कृति से है, तो इस बारे में हमारी नीति क्या

है, उसका सूचना और प्रसारण मंती, कुन्या स्पष्टीकरण करें ताकि जो कलात्मक फिल्में हैं वह ग्राज ग्राम जनता तक नहीं पहुंच पा रही है, उनका निर्माण नहीं हो पा रहा है। वह कलात्मक निर्माता जो कि फिल्में नहीं बना पाते, उनके लिए हमारे मंत्री महोदय उनको आगे बढ़ाने के लिए क्या कर रहे हैं, ताकि हिंग्दुस्तान में अच्छी फिल्म बन सकें। दैसे के ग्रामाव के कारण अच्छी फिल्मों का निर्माण नहीं रुक सके, इसके लिए भी ग्राप क्या कर रहे हैं,

SHRI GOPALSINH G. SOLANKI (Gujarat): Sir, I would like to seek clarifications in respect of only two sentences in the statement. It has been mentioned in the statement that a review was conducted with a view to making ihe festival attractive and to organise it in a better way so as to fulfil the objectives for which it was designed. This is what has been mentioned in the statement. May I ask the Minister what suggestions were recommended by the Committee after the 1992 festival? Not only that. What were the expenses incurred thereon in January 1992 and what is the expected amount to be spent in 1993?

Secondly, it has been mentioned that the objective of this particular festival is to attract the best films and outstanding film personalities. May I ask the Minister whether, in the last five, festivals, the films which were selected were also translated into the Indian languages and whether translation in foreign languages was made of the selected Indian films?

DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI (JAndhra Pradesh): Sir, the statement of the Minister refers to the reply of the Government to a question given on 26th March 1992. Has the Government slept over for six months before deciding whether it should be competitive or noncompetitive? Sir, it has' taken six months for the Government to decide it....(In-517 R.S.—13. *terruptions*)....He says the Government was not sleeping... (*interruptions*)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): No dialogue, please. You kindly address the Ghair.

DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: He is saying that the Government is not sleeping... (Interruptions) ...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): At least now it is awake You seek your clarifications.

DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: Sir, so far as the regional language films are concerned, the number of films produced in Telugu or Tamil is more and during several years, the number of Telugu films it has beaten down the Hindi films like to know whether the Government consulted the film personalities in the Telugu and Tamil film fields to organize such festivals?

1 would like to tell the Government that the festival should be kept at a reasonable distance from the IAS officers and the bureaucrats. Day in and day out, several reports are appearing in the Press about the involvement of the IAS and other officials in Doordarshan and AIR and several allegations were levelled against them. I am not going into the details of those allegations. But, at the same time, I hope and trust that the film festival will not give any room for such allegations to be made against the IAS officials and other bureaucrats as is done now. It has been stated that in selecting the serials, both commercial and sponsored, several irregularities have-been committed in Doordarshan.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): Formulate your question and seek your clarifications.

DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: I am giving a warning... (Intemiptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): No comments, please.

YELAMANCHILI DR SIVAII So, it may not be desirable to hold the Festival only Delhi. It at may be divided into parts and held in other parts of the country also where necesary infrastructure is available, necesary infrastructure may be developed in those places so that these can be screened there and the audience in other parts of the country also may get an opportunity to witness the films and judge how they are better when compared to our Indian productions.

SHRI W. KULABIDHU SINGH (Manipur): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I do not claim to be an expert on films and the quality of films. I only want to seek one clarification. The Directorate of Film Festival, under the Ministry of Information and Broad casting, has considered about holding a film festival of a competitive nature. Now, in the statement, in conclusion, it is said, "we have decided to hold a non-competitive event". The Advisory Committee has considered holding of a competitive event whereas in the statement, it is said that they have decided to hold a non-competitive event. So, I want to seek a clarification on this point.

श्री विग्विजय सिंह (बिहार) : उप-सभाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस बयान से दो बातें सामने ग्रायी हैं। एक तो यह कि सरकार के सामने ब्रथे का अभाव है ग्रौर दूसरे यह कि इनकास्ट्रक्चर की कमी सरकार के सामने है। ये दोनों चीर्जे दिल्ली में इन्टरनेशनल फिल्म फैरेबेल करने के लिए ग्रावश्यक है। सरकार ने कोई खास स्झाव या कदम इस दिशा में नहीं उठदा है मैं जानना चाहता कि इनफास्ट्रचर बनाने के लिए जो बुनियादी चीजें हेमारे पास मौजुद हैं क्या वे सक्षम नहीं हैं फिल्म फैस्टेवल कराने के लिए? अगर नहीं हैं तो विदेशी सहायता के माध्यम से ग्राप यह करने जा रहे हैं ?

दूसरे, दुनिया में जहां कहीं भी फिल्म फैस्टेवल होता है वहां अर्थ का कोई अभाव नहीं रहता है, उल्टे अर्थ मिलता है, इकानामी रिसंस मिलता है। मन्त्री जी अभी तक ऐसा रास्ता नहीं दूढ पाए जिससे इस कमो कों दूर किया जा सके.

SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: Sir, the whole intention of the Government was to hold this festival in January, 1993 as a competitive event. And that is why, on 26th March, 1992, to an Un~ varred Question, we answered it in the affirmative because we found that the last competitive festival took place in 1987, and thereafter no competitive festival: took place. We also found that it here is a competitive festival, it adds to the excitement, and it also brings in various talents, new producers and stars, actors and actresses, writers and playwriters, a new type of projection; of people's thoughts and aspirations all over the world, and really those films which are upholding the ideals which we all should follow as human beings. "Those take part, and in a large number because each one wants to show in a competition that he has won. Sir, I find that there are quite a lot of countries, about one dozen, who hold these competitive festivals. Though there are about 200 film festivals in a year throughout the globe, about 15 or 16 become really important and competitive. Therefore, Sir, we answered, and We had all the intention to hold it as a competitive event in January, 1993. In fact, we decided the venue as Delhi so that the infrastructure is present here could be used as far as possible. There are good cinema halls here, and a lot of expenditure could be cut. Then the Committee went into it. When details were worked out, it transpired that usually it costs Rs. 1.20 crores for holding a non-competitive festival as we had done at Bangalore and some other cities. To hold a competitive festival, it requires Rs. 4 crores and, the foreign exchange, as presently calculated, is Rs. 50 lakhs. Now it is the intention of the Information and; Broad-

casting Ministry to hold competitions, but even after arguing for long hours we have been given only Rs. 80 lakhs for this Film Festival this year. Even though last year's expenditure was about Rs. 1.20 crores and we ourselves argued, but naturally the Government thought that there are other priorities where money should go, and that is how the money was not allotted to us. So we had to have a reconsideration. On reconsideration we thought we should hold non-competitive but it as the effect of competitiveness should he brought in as far as possible. Therefore, Sir, we decided to hold it in Delhi. A11 these years it was held at various places in India, rightly so, of course, because it should not be in Delhi alone as there are other places also.

At Bangalore we did not have any complaint about the cinema halls. But we did have a complaint about the projection and, that is why, in Delhi we have taken enough care to see that the projection of the films is done well.

Sir, a complaint has been made bv several hon. Members—and questions asked-why IAS officers and bureaucrats handle this. Sir, that is the prevailing system. It is not for me to suddenly change the entire structure of running of the Government. Foreign contracts have to be entered into. Whom do we reply upon? The IAS officers take charge of it. They are experts in the various contracts being made. I don't think that besides orally saying that there are some allegations, that somebody is doing something wrong there, there is anything. But, SO far as India Is concerned, this is the structure by which we have to work. There are several contracts to be entered into with various people.

Sir, cinema in our country is totally free, like newspapers. There is no Government control except the Censor Board. Anyone cart produce any film freely, subject to the rules and regulations of the Censer Board Sir, India produces the largest number of films in the whole world, and the average is 1,000 films per year. And not quantity also it has been proved that Indian films are coming out well, as it has been proved by presenting the Oscar to Satyajit Ray.

Sir, it has been said that the Information and Broadcasting' Ministry did not allow Satyajit Ray's Pather Panchali to go out side the country. Honourable Members probably do not know that when Satyajit Ray was trying to find some finance for the production of Pather Panchali, nobody came forward to finance him, but it was an agency of the Goverrnment of India, which is called the National Film Development Corporation, which came forward and gave him the money. Otherwise, probably, because of financial constraint Satyajit Ray might not have produced this immortal film named Pather Panchali.

The hon. Members who raised this question would probably he glad to know that Aguntuk, which is now being enjoyed by hon. Members-because on Members' demand I have included that in the films being shown now-was decided upon by the Information and Broadcasting Ministry to be taken to the Festival of India in Germany as inaugural film when Satyajit Ray was alive. At that time Ray was not given either the Oscar or Bharat At that time Aguntuk was decid-Ratna. ed upon by the Information and Broadcasting Ministry and it was shown and acclaimed everywhere. Therefore, it is not correct that the Government of India does not encourage good, classic films.

We always show our reverence to Panddtji. Some points were raised during Panditji's time whether some scenes in Pather Panchli, depicting poverty, could be shown or not to people outside India, Naturally, such a highly sensitive niatter went to then Prime Minister, Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru. He immediately allowed and it was shown. Those are policy matters which were required to be taken to the highest person. But the Prime Minister was not outside the Government of India. It was the decision of the Prime Minis[Shri Ajit Kumar Panja] ter which was carried out by the Government of India and the Information and Broadcasting Ministry.

Sir, so far as the fear that second-rate American films might come, as raised, by Members, is concerned, there some hon. is not such possibility, although liberalisation is taking place even in films because we believe in competition. So, pre-, vously the best of films came to Inda. Films from Italy came. Films from Films from Soviet Russia France came. The best of the award-winning came. films from Sweden, America and England came. But, in spite of this, all these long years Indian film producers have produced the best of films to stand the conv petition. We remember, in our childhood days on every Sunday there was some Probably, English film somewhere. Sir, you also remember that in our childhood days on every Sunday there was some somewhere. Despite English film the competition, Indian film-producers Indian actor, Indian actresses, Indian play-Indian writers, projectionists, Incameramen produced dia the Still quantitatively the best of films. highest number of good films are being produced and some are excellent in auality. Therefore, there is no, fear that second-rate films will come for the simple reason that even if a second-rate film conies, it dies out automatically in public It is true that looking at a film, taste. contaning sex and violence, it looks that there is a huge box-office but everybody knows that for a few days it happens, but thereafter the quality prevails. That is why the best of films are acclaimed all over the world. So is the Indian public They automaticaly reject all' those: films after some time. For some: time there is some enthusiasm, but after that good films, as they are called, prevail, and naturally public takes part in it.

Sir, commercial' films are also coming. There was some misunderstanding, I should say, about the decision of the jury members to the Indian Motion Pictures Association regarding certain commercial films. That was a decision of the jury to and Government should not, under any circumstances, go and examine that decision of the jury members. Before the award was given by the President to good films in India, it has always been the rule that the jury members presented their awards, whatever their decision, to the Minister, that is to the Government, and that thereafter the Government, after examining it, gave it to the Press and the public. This time we had reversed to bring in confidence of the people and also that of the film-makers. This time, for the first time, we allowed the jury members to decide on their own, give their decision to the Press and to the public first and then submit it to the Government. This is a directional change that we wanted to bring in, only for the reason that we felt that a decision of the jary was a decision, of the jury and that we should accept such decisions. As you know, this time the member's of the jury decided unanimously in respect of each of the awards which were given by the President. Therefore, we must have reliance on the jury members. We have been selecting the best of people in the board of jury.

Sir, it is stated that when people go to foreign countries, film-critics are not taken and that only VVIP"s are taken. It is not correct, Sir. In, fact, in the Moscow Film Festival I was representing the Government so far as the Moscow Film Festival was concerned, and that was also because the then Government of Soviet Russia had invited .personnel by name to go there and attend it. That is why we went there. It is always, done.

As far as .possible the best of films were tent. "Agaratuk" was selected. We invited the actor. We invited the actress. We invited Mr. Satyajit Ray. I myself went to Calcutta. But, he was so sick that he could not visit. The main actor in "Agantuk" we took. The actress could not come. We tried to take as many as possible. Of course, for doing certain work and for some type of discussion between the Government and the agencies there the Minister is represented or the Secretary represented. For the last two years I have found not more than two members are represented. The rest are invited who are actually engaged in the films who is either an actor or an actress or a producer or a director like that.

So far as the expenditure is concerned, I have already mentioned about it. I think. I have answered most of the questions raised by the Members. I will just check up. .. (Interruptions). . .A question has been raised about the agreement with France and Italy. The agreement stipulates to send best of the films. They are being sent through European Cinema Diffusion. They have agreed to send their nest of the film.-..

A question about the type of imported

films was raised. It would be all award winning' films which we are going to import, We will gat those films which have won awards in their countries or at the international level. Of course, each one of them will be with an aesthetic value. The import of thore films will be according to the value of the films which go along with the ideas and aspirtaions of the 'people of India.

Sir, regarding complaints of projection. I have already, answered it.

Last but not the least, seeing the enthusiasm of the hon. Members, I could have come here and resquested, through you, ihe hon. Members to ask the Planning Commission or the Government of India to give me some more money to hold it competitively. Sir, I will try my best.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRA. KANT BHANDARE (Maharashtra): Sir, that is a very wrong approach. This activity must be self-funded. I think now the ton. Minister should apply his mind as how to raise the resources from the film industry and not go to the Planning Commission or *to* the Government exchequer.

' SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: It is very easy to say that it has to be selffinanced. The same thing was said by the Planning Commission. We have asked them for Rs. 10,000 crores. They gave us only Rs, 3,500 crores. They have asked us to raise it through our own resources. How can I do it 7 Will hte hon. Members allow it through advertisements? Would it be good for the country when there is so much consumerism taking pleace? Therefore, we have to take so many guards. We are opening it gradually. We have to take guards in such openings. Certainly, it cannot be done. I agree that it has to be done through self-financing. 'Self-financing' means....

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRA-KANT BHANDARE: Will the hon. Minister yield for a minute? I think there is no thanking of this very, very basic issue. Look at Maharashtra, we have an employment guarantee scheme where we give guaranteed employment to every adult. AH that does not come out of the Consolidated Fund of India at all. It does not come from any grants of the Union Government. It comes from levy of taxes like professional tax. I pay for is so that a man is employed in Bombay. I think a time has com® for them to think and involve so that enough resources can be generated.

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR (Uttar Pradesh): I support Mr. Bhandare that it is wrong to approach the Planning Commission to finance a film festival like) this. You may ask the Government to fund it at the mosta. I agree absolutely "with Mr. Bhandare that at least some way should be found out for self-financing the film festival. You canot compare the Radio and TV establishments with a film festival It is enitrely a different thing. I absolutely edorse the view of Mr. Bhandare.

SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: Money has to be found out from somewhere.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): He will apply his mind.

SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: It is not the Planning Commission which gives this amount for the film festival. When I say...

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: While seeking clarifications I had asked the same questions. May I know from the Minister why is he not applying his mind, as [Shri Digvijay Singh] a head of the institution, as how to generate resources ? Why is he depending on the Planning Commission?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL *SEN*): *He* has heard you. He will reply.

SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: Let me complete my reply. Only then you can ask further clarifications.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): You please finish.

SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: Sir, the point is, money has to be found out from somewhere. At the present, it is not the Planning Commission which gives that money. Out of the total money which we have asked for, we could not get more than Rs. 80 lakhs when the requirement is Rs. 1.20 crores. (Interruptions). Non-competitive films. I have already answered about competitive films. The requirement is Rs, 4 crores. At this stage, Rs. 4 crores should be spent on the Film Festival. Otherwise will it go to the private industry? The question is, from where do you raise the money ? (Interruptions).

THE VICECHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN)': jplease'let him complete.

SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: It has to be raised by certain methods of raising money. One way is taxation. But, already the film industry is saying, "We are suffering because of various taxes, at various levels". They are already suffering sales-tax, amusement tax and the increase of the prices of films. And, they are making representations for reduction of taxes and asking for good films to be subsidised. Even in the budget of the NFDC which is to subsidise good films, there is a cut. They say, "You have to stand on your own". We do understand that principle. But, how to do it? If hon. Members have any suggestions, they can tell me. I will certainly think of them for the next year. What are the sources by which his can be done? Any sort of taxation on the film industry will

be terrible so far India is concerned because the film industry is already heavily burdened with taxes. It looks like they are very well off. but, no. Many of the cinema houses are closing down. We have to help them. They are affected by video piracy too. We have to help them out. In what manner has this to be don©? What is the way in. which we do it ? These are the checks and balances that have to be looked into. Apply any straitjacket formula, if you say "The film industry will do it, it will pay" it will only be closed. It will not be possible for them to pay for it. The Indian film industry will be the first casualty. The other film industries, probably the foreign film industries, will come in. They have got a lot of money and they will take full control which will affect the Idian film industry. That is why the Government is paying for holding this festival' of good films so that our producers, our people who are engaged in the film trade, get an exposure to this sort of best films and know the new types of techniques so that they can also innovate.

This is a very important subject and probably in the next Session, a Bill will be coming about some amendment of the Cinematograph Act. You will see the importance that we attach to this subject. I request hon. Members to appreciate this. We have given importance to the film industry. We attained Independence in 1947; the Constitution was made in 1950; and the Cinematograph Act came in 1952. Even before the food problem of India was solved, even before the problem of idustrialisation was solved, cinema was thought of because that is the cheapest thing by which people can get entertainment. This medium also affects the mind and the motivation of people. Immediately after this Act came in 1952, there was complete decentralisation and the States also made their Acts by 1956/ 1957. We attach so much of importance to this industry. It is true that we have to make them self-sufficient. Bat the Indian film industry has not yet reached that stage. It still need nursing. I had a long dialogue with all concerned people. We have to find a way out "Hie

best-produced Indian films, about 1000 per year, should not be affected either in quantity or in quality in any manner. That is why this time we are making it non. competitive. We are not saying that we should not hold it. We have made it non-competitive this time, Next year, or whenever we have the required money available with us, we will certainly hold it as competitive. I feel hon. Members will appreciate the position which I have explained. Thank you.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SUKOMAL SEN): Mr. Jacob to make a statement now

#### 6.00 р.м.

# II. Incidents of Sailings of policemen's families in Punjab

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AF-FAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Sir, I rise to inform the House of the tragic happenings in Punjab wherein several members of the families of policemen have been killed in the past few days.

During the current year the security forces in Punjab have achieved considerable success in not only brining down the killings of the civilians but also neutralising many of the terrorist gangs. As against 1634 civilians killed during the corresoonding period of 1991, killings in the current year have been 1187. Significant successes have been achieved during the last three months in anti-terrorist operations, which resulted in security forces killings as many as 75 hardcore terrorists. These included 3 heads of maior terrorist organisations operating in Punjab, namely Shri Rachpal Singh Chandran of BTFK, Shri Suriant Singh Budhisinghwala of KLF and Shri Sukhdev Singh Babbar of the Babbar Khalsa International. In addition to the leaders and the hard core terrorists mentioned above, 1135 other terrorists have been killed. Over and above these. 324 terrorists including 4 hard core have also surrenderud The above successes of

the security forces have gone a long way in instilling a sense of security in the minds of the people and enabling them to meet the challenge with confidence and vigour. There has been a steady increase in the police getting actionable intelligence input.

With the killings o some of the top terrorists and faced with depletion of their ranks, the Pakistan backed terrorist outfits have become panicky and in a desperate effort to shore up thesr morale have during the last few days, struck against certain soft targets, particularly the families of Punjab policemen. They killed 12 persons including 2 Punjab Home Guards on 9-8-92, 29 persons including 2 SPOs and 2 police personnel on 10-8-92, 6 on 11-8-92, 2 on 13-8-92 and 7 including one SPO on 16-8-92, Thus, a total of 56 persons were killed out of which 49 were relatives and 7 were policemen.

The security forces have taken note of the challenge and have initiated various operational steps so as to combat terrorists threat and ensure protection to the members of the families of the policemen. All the possible steps are being taken to achieve the twin objectives of mounting greater pressure on the remaining terrorist gangs while, at the same time giving adequate protection to the policemen and their families. An alert has been sounded throughout the State.

The. Government of Punjab has granted Rs. 1 lakh as ex-gratia to the next of kin of each deceased. Of this Rs. 50,000 would be in cash and the balance in the form of deposits. The Director General of Police, Punjab, has visited and consoled the affected families. All efforts have been made to ensure that the morable of the force is not affected by such happnings.

I am sure, the House will join me. in condemning these dastardly acts and expressing sympathies for the families of the deceased.