Tour Report of the study group and statement of the Committee on the Welfare of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes

SHRI S. K. T. RAMACHANDRAN (Tamil Nadu): Madam, I lay on the Table a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following:—

- (i) Report of the Study Tour of Study Group of the Committee on its visit to Agra during August, 1990.
- (ii) Statement showing action taken by Government on the recommendations contained in Chapters I, II and III and final replies in respect of Chapter V of Fortieth Report (Eighth Lok Sabha) on Reservations for and employment of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (Hyderabad and Hardwar Unit).
- (iii) Statement showing action taken by Government on the recommendations contained in Chapters I, II and III and final replies in respect of Chapter V of Forty-first Report (Eighth Lok Sabha) on Socio-economic conditions of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in the Union Territory of Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
- (iv) Statement showing action taken by Government on the recommendations contained in Chapters I, II and III and final replies in respect of Chapter V of Forty-fourth Report on Socio-economic condition of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in the Union Territory of Lakshadweep.
- (v) Statement showing action taken by Government on the recommendations contained in Chapter I, II and III and final replies in respect of Chapter V of Forty-fiftl, Report on Reservations for and employment of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in Bank of Baroda and credit facilities provided by the Bank to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.

The Indian Ports (Amendment) Bill 1990

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI K. P. UNNI-KRISHNAN): Madam, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Ports Act, 1908.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Madam, I introduce the Bill.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, further discussion on the Prasar Bharat; Bill....

श्रीनती प्रतिभा सिंह (जिहार)ः महोदया बिह र में फायरिंग हुई है, उसके बारे में मैं कुछ कहना च हती थी.

जनसमापति: इसके वाद मैं ग्रापको परिमट करूंगो। श्रभी डिस्कशन है बिल पर, पहले इसको पूरा हो जाने दें। फिर ग्राप बोलें, मनोरमा पांडे जी भी ग्राई थीं, तब जो कुछ कहना हो कहें... But not now.

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI (Uttar Pradesh): Madam Deputy Chairperson, I am thankful to you that you have given me an opportunity... (Interruptions)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Not now. When the Minister gives the statement, I will allow it. Please sit down. When the Minister comes with a statement, I will allow it. At the moment, we are taking up the Prasar Bharati Bil. Shri Rajni Ranjan Sahu to speak.

PRASAR BHARATI (BROADCAST-ING CORPORATION OF INDIA) BILL, 1990—(Contd.)

SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU (Bihar): Madam, I am thankful to you for giving me this opportunity to speak on such an important Bill, namely, the Prasar Bharati Bill, 1990.

[Shri Rajni Ranjan Sahu]

Madam, had you not been there yesterday, the Government would have bulldozed this Bill without any discussion. Once again, i express my hearty thanks to you.

The way in which the Government is rushing through this Bill to fulfil its election promise gives rise to several doubts in the minds of the people. I would like to say with all the emphasis at my command, that the country is paying a heavy price, so much so that several people have been killed only yesterday in Patna due to the lack of imagination and perception on the part of this Government.

A minority Government which has been supported by only 17 per cent of the electorate has no right to play with the lives of the people nor it has the right to destroy the entire fabric of the electronic media. The very approach shows that their much trumpeted promise of autonomy in their election manifesto is phoney and fradulent. I do not want to go into any controversy and I do not want to allege, but the worst offender in this game is no less a person than the Prime Minister himself.

It is well known that the Government has not given due consideration to the reports of several committees and to the findings of the study group set up earlier. It is needless to emphasise the importance of the electronic media in the coming Century. should not take it lightly. Today, the country is passing through a grave crisis. The caste war is a gift of this Government. We are getting weak every day and our border is being threatened with war. I would like to know from the hon. Minister and my friends here, at this juncture, is it desirable to hand over such an important instrument, the electronic media, to a few people?

Madam, we are not opposed to autonomy. We have reiterated it several times, right from 1948. Our great leader, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, advocated autonomy in the Constituent Assembly on 15th March, 1948. We have also said in our manifesto....

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA (Karnataka): Madam, who is piloting the Bill?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Unnikrishnan is taking notes. Mr. Minister please take notes.

Mr. Upendra, the Minister of Information and Broadcasting, has gone to the Lok Sabha. He has got some work. He will come back in half-anhour. Mr. Unnikrishnan is here.

SHRI RAJNI RANJA'N SAHU: It does not matter. Mr. Unnikrishnan is there.

As I said, we are for functional autonomy. We are for functional autonomy for the 28,000 workers employed in the electronic media, but not such type of autonomy which is being proposed and argued through this Bill. There is total confusion and I can say that the Government's strategy is to perpetuate its baneful control over this powerful weapon.

In this connection, I would like to your attention to clause 23 where the word 'direction' has been used. This is a clear indication of the Government's strategy. I am thankful to our learned friend, Mr. N. E. Balaram, who pointed out about this in his speech. Balaramji is a very learned person and a freedom fighter. I would urge upon him to rise to the occasion, to rise above the petty bindings, and ask the Government not to pass this Bill in a hurry. This **B**ill should not be passed in a hurry and huff,

Autonomy is an ideal concept. But the ideal is just like the horizon which you can see but cannot reach. Very rightly, our learned colleague, Kapil Vermaji, said that there is no country in the world where there is full auto-

[5 SEP. 1990]

nomy, I do not know how this ernment perceives it. I do not know what is its perception of autonomy. On the one hand they are talking of autonomy and on the other we find that the official media is being used by the two Central Cabinet Ministers for propagating civil war which is a cognisable offence. This Government does not have rudimentary respect for the law of the land and it is using the electronic media for subversion and disruption The country has not forgotten the blatant misuse of electronic media which the Janata Dal did in their earlier incarnation of the Janata Party. They were the worst offenders in order to minimise the autonomy and professionalîsm .

I was hearing our friend Mathur Saheb. He had all praise for Shri Advani, then Minister for Information, but it is he and blatantly used who shamelessly official media to launch a campaign vilification character assassination and calumny against the late Prime Minister Indiraji. The House and the country is aware of this. This Government has come riding on the crest of high promises for providing right to information and it is established that in search to inform people. sovereignty of Parliament is lost. The Government in usual hypocrasy has talked about it.

The print media which accounts for much larger question of information is still in the hands of private people who are not accountable to anyone. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether he has got any idea in his mind, or what is his perception about this print media which in recent years has takenover the making and unmaking of Government

Madam, again I would like to reiterate that in this Bill there is nothing for the employees working there. This Bill has not said a word about the autonomy to right from the DG to the employees. On the other hand, lock stock and barrel have been transferred in the hands of a few So, neither the Parliament nor the people have been taken into confidence. If all this is being transferred, the National

Front Government is deceiving the people. On the one hand they are talking of the workers participation and on the other they are appointing two representatives from the employees in the Board without any right to vote. The Director Generals of AIR and Doordarshan are officio members who will be working as clerks in the Board.

I would like to draw your attention to clause 4. Look at the manner in which the Chairman and other Governors shall be appointed by the President of India on the recommendation of the Committee consisting of three members, that is, Chairman of the Council of States, that is the Vice President of India, the Chairman Press Council and a nominee of the Government. I have a serious objection to this particular appointment of the nominee, by the Government. In place of that I suggest that the committee should be expanded. It should have five, six or seven members and the Lok Sabha Speaker or the Lok Pal should be its members.

Again the provision of his removal from the office is also highly misleading, that is, he can be removed only by the President of India on the ground of misbehaviour and the misbehaviour will be considered only when the Supreme Court indicts him. It is highly preposterous that the President can only suspend him on the order of the Supreme Court

In the end, Madam, the Bill does not mention anywhere about giving any autonomy either to Akashvani or Doordarshan. There is no provision for giving greater freedom to those people who have worked all their lives to make Akashvani and Doordarshan successful.

With these words, Madam, I oppose this Bill and propose that the Bill may sent to a Select Committee. Thank you

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. Professor Thakur. I must announce that 1.30 we will ask the Minister to reply. I have before me now, 10 Members. So I would request you to please stick to the ten-minute time. Otherwise, some Members may not get a chance to speak. So, please be kind to them.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR (Bihar): Thank you, Madam. I appreciate your concern. To begin with, let me put on record my protest that a part of the agreement last night also envisaged that the Minister will be here and listen to the debate.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is coming. He has sent a letter. He has some work....

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: There is a indifferent replacement who is not listening very carefully, it shows that the Minister is not serious about it.

SHRI M. A. BABY (Kerala): Madam, this is casting an aspersion. This is very unfair

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISH-NAN): My colleague had to rush to the other House on urgent work. It has happened all the time and, I am sure, the Member is experienced enough to under stand these things.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: And, secondly, sometimes some people don't look serious, but they are very serious. That Mr. Unnikrishnan keeps his smile on his face doesn't mean he is not serious. He is a very serious person.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: I accept your assurance on his behalf, Madam. We hope that it will be reflected in the reply of the Minister.

Madam, while we are discussing Bill we appreciate that any country and the citizens of that country need access entertainment. information, views facts and their analysis and all these in relation to past, present as well as something in recent future. We are interested in the domestic components of the news and views as well as the international components. Now, all this has to be related to the national milieu We have certain national concerns, we have certain international concerns, and all these concerns have emerged or thus grow in the context of our cultural, social and historical setting.

There is no doubt that we have grown a lot over the last four decades. It is a rich country, but it can be richer. At the social plane we have certain cohesiveness, there are certain problems which bring pressure and exert not so healthy an influence on our social fabric. Now, internationally also we want to raise our voice, we want to be listened to we want to bring about a certain degree of sanity, peace and universal brotherhood in the comity of nations. For all these, both for domestic and international interaction, we need a vehicle to transmit our message with effectiveness.

[The Vice-Chairman (Shri M. A. Baby in the Chair]

electronic Vice-Chairman, the media constitutes a very powerful tool in this regard. Its capability is growing with every additional forward step in the field, Its outrearch its technological penetration, its capacity to influence individual and group thought processes are phenomenal. Now we want a democratic consolidation, we want secular strength and we want egalitarian forces to consolidate in this country. In all these, the media can be an aid. It can be a source of cohesive consolidation, it can strengthen the richness of our national life and it can keep the country together.

In this context, Mr. Vice-Chairman, you know that the media is not independent so far as the world setting is concerned. The power substantially rests with certain international communities in the international media network. The flow of information and the processing of information are not necessarily equitous

across the nations. It is in that context that a new information order was promoted as a concept, with a certain number countries-India included-and we know the fate of that. Similarly in any demestic context, if there is a rebellion. if there is a threat to established Governments, the first target is the media installation.

The point I am trying to make is, both in the international context and domestic context, the vitality the strength and the capability of the media constitute a very critical link. Now, the Government has taken an initiative. I welcome the initiative, but the fact remains that this is a minority Government, it is a divided Government at that and it is a confused Government so far as the policy initiative in the basic area is concerned.

Much has been talked about the manifesto. When reference comes to the manifesto, where did the idea get first conceived? Mr Vice-Chairman, there is always a problem, with all respect to my colleagues, of presumption on paternity the fact of maternity. Whether Rajiv Gandhi has the paternity of the idea through the Congress Party manifesto or the ellegitimate claimers paternity of the idea from the other group, is a matter of opinion. But fact that Mr. Upendra happens to be a Member of the Rajya Sabha is a reality. Politically he got delivered in the Rajya Sabha and has had his upbringing in the Rajya Sabha. It shows that the maternity of this Bill is established sense that Raiva Sabha, wholly and solely, has a lot do so far as the fostering of the idea and the further evolution of the steps at the Government level are concerned. In that context I would like to submit that much of this controversy that is going on, as to why the Congress Party has changed its stand in the Rajya Sabha, is hogwash.

After all, what is the very concept of the second House? Do you expect the Raiya Sabha to endorse, by voice vote, on the first point, whatever has been done in

the Lok Sabha? So, this controversy is baseless. The basic strength of the Rajya Sabha is that it gives a second look, and a somewhat dispassionate look, at that and, if there are certain lapses, as our colleague, Shri Salve, mentioned, if you take all the amendments in the Lok Sabha accepted by the Government so generous ly-apparent generosity accepted-was a kind of political trading and, in the process, the whole structure of the Bill has been put to jeopardy.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, I would like say here that a series of objectives have been identified and in order for the objectives to be able to be met, there is a need that the basic structure should be subservient to that. It must help the organization to work in that direction

Now let us come to the objectives themselves. One of the principal objectives is to promote autonomy. Sir, you do not promote autonomy by token gestures. Unless there is a substantive step towards autonomy and this substativeness carried forward with a credibility so far as the effectiveness is concerned it will remain only a token thing. And for that Mr. Vice-Chairman, does the Government still retain with the executive, on balance, a larger power to influence the functioning of the Corporation or not? Where is the funding coming from? Primarily, the funding is coming from grants-in-aid loans or whatever it is. In that context, the good old saying is, one who pays for the piper calls for the tune. If there is to be autonomy, there should have been some provision for the corpus fund develop over a period of time.

Mr. Vice-Chairman there is a provision that it will undertake advertisements. Advertisement is a revenue earning proposition. Now, you can control the time for advertisement but the agencies, ther it is the producers or the idea-mendomestic and international-if they pay for that, can influence the whole social life. There is a great risk that if it is an openended use of advertisements, then even multinationals can come in and they can put through their products and their ideas to the disadvantage of the national organization.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): Please conclude.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: Mr. Vice-Chairman, I thought I haven't still begun.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): You are encroaching upon the time of Dr. Ratnakar Pandey ... (Interruptions) ... It is not fair for a Professor to encroach upon the time of a Doctor.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: He is a Principal, Sir.

(Interruptions) ...

श्री ज बोश प्रताद भायुर (उत्तर प्रदेश) : घंटा वजा दोजिए, पीरिमड खत्म हो ज एगा।

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: Time or not, Professors are used to being listened.

Mr. Chairman, if you look at the basic structure, then, there is a provision for the Board, there is a provision for the Boradcasting Council and the Parliamentary Committee. Together they are supposed to promote the objectives, among other objectives the autonomy of media.

But look at the composition and method provided for it, of the power of "the executive to influence all the bodies. I need not go into those details. Salveji put forward all those points in a very lucid way. But, if you take the Broadcasting Council in particular, it has the right to look at the complaint, what happens finally is that it emerges as a toothless body, it has no power to direct that these complaints should be redressed to the advantage of the aggrieved party or to the satisfaction an independent party. Similarly there is the Parliamentary Committee. We appreciate that for the first time a provision has been made in a particular context for the Parliamentary Committee to be there.

First, about the composition itself, I submit, Mr. Vice-Chairman, as I said, the idea belongs to Rajya Sabha. Mr.

Upendra was born and raised politically there. So, Rajya Sabha should have 15 Members rather than the Lok Sabha. In the Finance Bill they have interest. They do a great deal, go into it a great deal. Rajya Sabha, by its very nature, is the House of elders. Perhaps people have more time to reflect. They can take an overview of that, an inclusive view of that. So, more representation should come to Rajya Sabha so far as this Board is concerned because it is an intellectual exercise.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY); With that suggestion, you may conclude.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: You look at the problem of the national media. It requires a reflective capability, it requires intellectual wisdom, and not the pressure of the heat of the constituency. We have our constituency. Our constituency is not one local unit. And then we are not overwhelmed by financial issues.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): Now please conclude. Your time is over now.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: -So, I would reduest, through you, the Minister. Okay, Mr. Vice-Chairman.

I would like to submit that within the broad structure, the Bill is still loaded in favour of the executive, number one. Number two, Parliament has a role. It is just a token item. It has no power, no effective way to influence the working of that. So far as the Broadcasting Council is concerned, it has been provided for. But it has no powers again. It is again a toothless one.

So, in conclusion, Mr. Vice-Chairman, I would like to say that the initiative is welcome. The idea is well taken. But it is a borrowed idea, and it lacks the courage to go the whole hog in terms of bringing in substantive autonomy in the working of the media.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): Please concude.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR: So far as the Broadcasting Board is concerned, it is dominated by the executive through the powers of nomination, through the powers to further change or supersede the body.

Then the Broadcasting Council requires further power to direct the appropriate body, particularly the Executive Director to process those complaints to the satisfaction of all.

Similarly, the Parliamentary Committee should have much more opportunity to look into the working of Corporation.

In that context, in the amendment we have suggested that to take the country into confidence the Council should arrange to prepare a theme paper on the broadcasting scene in retrospect once in two years and also give a prospective view of the content and the direction in which all these activities will be directed.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, the objectives are wide ranging and all-inclusive. Whether they are effectively likely to be met or not depends on the financial package behind it. And in view of the fact that the financial package is coming primarily or almost entirely from the Government, the Corporation is going to be hamstrung. So, from that point of view, Mr. Vice-Chairman, I would like to submit that the Government should look at it in a more serious way.

On the objectives part, two minor points, Mr. Vice-Chairman, and I would have done.

Number one, it talks about women and weaker sections of the society. I have heard our woman colleague quite often complaining that there should be no condescending attitude towards women. We should think of the possibility that this Corporation will create opportunities for the richer potential of the women-folk to be brought to the

best use of the national and social and cultural life of the country rather than "uplift of simply saying, Uplift of what? Of all the downtrodden. They will always be at the receiving end of a condescending attitude. should grow out of that. Similarly, it talks about evils like untouchability. The greatest evil today engulfing the country, which is likely to tear us apart in the years and decades ahead with its venom is casteism and communalism that has been unleashed. There should have been specific mention entire media activities will be directed towards fighting against this new Avtar of the old evil i.e. casteism and communalism, which is being, unfortunately promoted for political expediency considerations by the powers having influence on the working of the media.

In the end, I would like to say that we retain interest in the functional autonomy of the Corporation. We had ourselves announced that in our election manifesto and some of our colleagues talked about that. We would like to offer our constructive cooperation making its objectives effective, but only when they come out clean with an open mind rather than with a kind of conceited way of doing things where there is still executive control and it tries to give a camouflaged message that we are promoting autonomy. proof of Government's sincerity about it more power should be given to the Parliamentary Committee, to the Broadcasting Council and the funding arrangement should be allowed in such a way that the corpus fund over a period develops, which will take care at least of a substantial part of the cost running the Corporation. Advertisements are allowed, but they should not be allowed to become a kind of openopportunities through multi-national and external agencies increasingly influence our national life and

So far as its different bodies are concerned, membership of that should be confined to Indian citizens. Otherwise there is risk that external agencies in-

[Prof. Chandresh P. Thakur]

terested in destabilising India will take charge and begin to influence this powerful instrument to our disadvantage.

With these words, I would like to retain our healthy criticism and opposition to the provisions of the Bill fulfil those objectives. With this in view we have moved a series of amendments. Our primary amendment is looking to the critical gaps in the Bill, the Government may accept that this Bill be sent to the Select Committee for a considered second thought on it and so that it can come out with recommendations which can help in effectively promoting the objectives of the Government for having useful, worthwhile and autonomous media which will serve the tional, social and cultural objectives, which we cherish, to be promoted in a better way.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHR1 M. A. BABY): I would just like to remind the Members that as per the decision yesterday. at 1.30 the Minister has to reply. So, we have to be very restrained in taking time. For example, Prof. Thakur has taken seven minutes more than his allotted time. I do not mind it because he has been making only relevant points, but the point is that other Speakers will have to reduce their time. I think Shri Masodkar will set an example on that. Shri Masodkar.

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR (Maharashtra): Thank you Really speaking I was not intending to participate in the debate. But with a view to show how many confusions are there, we have sample-surveyed provisions of the Bill and have given certain amendments.

The nrst question is—and which the House should seriously debate upon—what type of autonomy the Government wants to confer through this particular Bill? You know the concept of autonomy has the widest possible horizon—right from the Governmental autonomy to creating a super-power within the structure of our Constitution. What

type of autonomy are we intending to build? This Bill is totally inadequate to answer this question. As you aware-are mv learned colleagues have already referred to it-the of the Congress had been right from the days of Pt. Nehru that we are for functional autonomy. Functional autonomy in the Government's own view is a concept which this Government should have considered and appreciated. what is happening to this autonomy? Is the Government wanting to create one more power within the structure of our Constitution? Let me pose this question to the House. Information and Broadcasting is an important wing of the democratic Government. Are going to sever this wing from the Governmental structure? What is going to happen and which particular action would be there? Has this Government or the particular department which has moved this particular Bill care of the views of the Defence? I am really happy that Ramanna Ji is What is the view of the Defence sensitivity on this? This is a sensitive area where comes the broadcasting. where comes what you call the Doordarshan. Having they taken the views of the Defence Ministry as well of the External Affairs Ministry? Have they taken the views of the Home Ministry? Are they not aware of the threats we are facing in Kashmir and also in Punjab as well as in Assam and on other frontiers? Here, on the floor of this (House we have heard the statements that the security of our Doordarshan and radio installations is in danger in There is an open threat to Kashmir. What is there to protect these in this Bill? Tomorrow, we put our seal on this Bill and make it a law, but who is going to protect these installations? Is there any mechanism provided in this Bill? Or is it that just in the name of the manifesto, we are going to do some novel experiment? I do not know your personal views, Mr. Vice-Chairman, but politically we are passing through a phase which is very very This country, as I said in my speech, has been pushed to the brink of war on the one hand and actually a caste war is raging for a few

Therefore, there is a duty on weeks. this House, notwithstanding the objection that in the other House it had received a unanimous support to be cautions. A very very high responsibility is there on this House as the House of the Elders to ever pause and ponder. Let us pause and ponder as to what - we are doing? Are we throwing away information and broadcasting in hands of a few bureaucrats, a few academicians and a few elites? How the Government of India will protect there is an outrageous assault by the terrorists? Have we got the opinion of the Defence at least? You are aware that with the advancement of technology we are having satellites. I read the Bill that transfers properties to this Broadcasting Corporation, Now, what is going to happen to our satellites? Is the Government going to control or is the Corporation going to control? are fundamental issues which have not appeared to the mind of the Govern-In ugly haste—I am using this word—the Government has rushed through a particular type of measure and even friends on that side are doubting a very very serious effort to ask this House to pause and ponder. is the function of this House. We are the Council of States, Mr. Vice-Chairman, let me remind Hon'ble Members of this House what are the interests of the several States of Indian federation? There has been a demand by the States to have a second channel. Is any of their interests protected in this Bill? These are the very people, when other side was ruling here, who were coming out with the plea for protecting interests of the States on the TV. What type of interests does it protect? There is no representation to the State; who will protect the interests of the States? It is one thing -- and I again repeat that when Informajon and Broadcasting is a Governmental function, it is exposed to review by this House and by the other House. Now we are diluting our democracy by bringing forward measure.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M A. BABY): Are you categorically 492 RS-9.

demanding that the second channel should be given to the States?

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR: I am saying that no thought has been given to this aspect in the Bill. The question of giving the second chana policy matter. You nel is rightly posed it but that policy will be decided now by whom after this Bill becomes a law? Let us have answer from the Government. Now. a Corporation will decide giving a channel even to the Municipality. It will run counter to the policy of the State; the national policy of cohesion, unity, integrity amongst the people will be affected. I find at several places very loose wording has been used and I will come to it and to the structure of the Bill. Why I am saying this is because, firstly. there is no concept of autonomy clearly brought out by the Bill Secondly, the Government is giving away its power of regulating information and broadcasting. It is a power and you cannot give it away only on the ground that it was abused. If it was abused, you have used it. You are there as Government. You use it properly. It is no ground that only because it was abused, you will not exercise this power: otherwise don't reign. don't have Government You must walk out as a Government. This is a method giving away the governmental functions in favour of some few elites. You can choose your elites. There are several bureaucrats who do this function. I personally feel, whatever may be the view of the parties my party also included-that this is a very, very sensi tive matter to surrender governmental power. Also this touches on our culture This touches on our unity. This touches our people and I am afraid we are making a hotch-potch bureaucratic organ like this. We are creating a chaos in the information and broadcasting system of this country. I would suggest that the amendments which are moved by this party should be referred Select Committee. This should be welcomed by the Government and suggestion should be accepted in good grace so that a second thought can be given. It is possible that the Select

Bill 1990

[Shri Bhaskar Annaji Masodkar]

Committee may come up with better model and ideas. It will immunise the system from what they call abuse of power, abuse of the governmental power. Otherwise you know all our powers will have to be shed. If this is the only ground which was made by some of the speakers.... (time bell rings)... I will need some more time.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): If other speakers agree to this. You have made relevant points

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD. KAR. I do not know whether they are relevant or not but I am sure that my mind is with the subject. I want to impress upon this House that kindly don't take it lightly. Don't take it in a partisan way. Don't take it as a challenge only because the Congress did not do it and you want to do it. That is not the spirit in which you can run the Government You must run it with certain objectives, with certain purposes and your objectives must be clear and without any confusion and that is what is lacking in the present policy. A few samples, as I said, are explicit and I have given it in my amendments. Some of the amendments moved by Prof. Thakur. I have already endorsed but I will point out and urge upon the Government and the hon. Minister who is here certain things and I am certain that he will take note of them. If the House turns to Clause 4, appointment of Chairman and other Members. administrative Committee is being constituted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): The Minister can take note of your points provided Madam Alva does not distract his attention.

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR: I am absolutely sure that he will not be distracted by Mrs. Alva.

Sir, a very serious thing is happening if Clause 4 goes as it is and if the Government intends that it should go like that. The Vice-President of India is being dragged into question. See the

position of the Vice-President of India in Clause 4. Are we going to make the office of the Vice-President of India, a debatable office, a disputable office? I am asking myself: What are we doing? The Chairman of the Council of States, who is the Vice-President of India shall be the Chairman of the Committee. Now he is our Chairman but his view is not final. Now the recommendations of this Committee will go for the approval of the President It is possible that though the Vice-President heads this Committee the President may turn it out. Is it the function of the Vice-President? What is the motive behind Clause 4? Do you want internecine war between the President and the Vice-President of this country? You are well aware and as vou rightly pointed out when the word "President" is used, the consultations. recommendations of this Committee will be routed through the Ministry So the Vice-Presiden't view and the view of this Committee will again go to the Cabinet and thereafter it will reach the President. I personally feel that this is a denigration of the Office of the Vice-President.

SHRI RAJ MOHAN GANDHI (Uttar Pradesh): Will you kindly yield?

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR): I can yield but he is not permitting me.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): Yielding or not yielding is the right of the Member. The Chair does not come into the picture.

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR: I have no objection.

SHRI RAJ MOHAN GANDHI: It is implicit in the Bill that the recommendations of this three-man Committee will be binding on the Government. Secondly, the Chairman of the Press Council is also selected by a Committee of three in which the Chairman of the Rajva Sabha and the Speaker of the Lok Sabha are also there. So just to remind the House, there is that precedent also.

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR: I would have been happy if some-

thing like that was there but there is nothing of that kind Even the Committee's recommendations are not fina1 these three members can fight among them-Your Vice-President and other two members can fight for the purpose of selection. Please open the bill. what is happening. Some catchy idea is being put in the form of statute and pushed in this House to give it a form saying that here is your autonomy and on saying that we are giving something progressive Are we really progressive or are we killing our institution? said, we are diluting our democracy. very vital wing of the Government to project itself through information and broadcasting This is the first. Then, secondly we are diluting the Constitutional offices. Similarly, in one provision, I want to point out to the House, the Supreme Court has been dragged in for the purpose of finding out misbehaviour, Let us assume that according to the Supreme Court, some misbehaviour has been committed will decision be final? Will that person have not right of challenging it in the lower court? So again you are dragging Supreme Court machinery into What is happening to the Vice-President will also happen to the Supreme Court, There is no finality At least the Government should consider to give finality. in clause 4 in a view of the Vice-Presidont's office. It should be binding on the Government Let us accept it but the Government will have to consider it.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M.A. BABY): You have taken fifteen minutes.

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR: I will take two more minutes. will just mention only points and leave at that. There are several provisions which do require a second look 7 is one of them. So also Clauses 13 and 14. I want the hon Minister and hon Member. Mr. Rajmohan Gandhi to kindly see the wording in Clause 12 see the potential dangers in the structure of this particular wing. It merely says. "The Corporation shall in the discharge of its functions be guided"... the words are 'be guided', it is not bound. Are you satisfied with this wording-in the hands of strangers who are not part of any de-

mocratic set-up, who are not answerable to Parliament? Are you satisfied? What will happen to the objectives of the Government? I am taking a very small illustration-family planning-and if this is the objective of this Government, the Corporation says, no. What is going to hap-Are you going to open or have a new Doordarshan or a programme? Are you going to buy time? You are not simply visualising what are the complications you are introducing. It is the function of the Broadcasting Ministry to broadcast what are the governmental policies because we are a welfare society. we are a welfare State Our policies must go to the people Now the words "inform, educate and entertain the Is it the governmental function public". to entertain the public. I did not give the amendment but I would very much like the Government to expand upon these words in section 12 by saying "public at large as well strive to inculcate the spirit of unity and equality amongst the people". This is the essence of this country. is what you call Indian culture and culture does not recognise division on the bases of caste, it does not recognise division by creed. Where is the scope for that particular culture? So Sir, 1 am sorry and I would like to say, the Bill does not satisfy my inquisitive mind. There are so many lacunae, so many pitfalls, so many drawbacks. There has not been a mature thinking behind provisions of this Bill and therefore ſ would very much like the Government to have a little thought and call everybody together. If that is not possible, refer it to a larger body, what you call Select Committee of this House. the function of this House Don't object I was supprised, Sir-I am making it a special mention to you—that TV and radio made it a first point that Congress is opposing this Bill and the Ministry is very well behind this What they are scoring? I am happy that Congress has rather stopped to ponder. I am happy about it. The Government should welcome this This is the function of this House' I am again saying That is why, Rajva Sabha or Council of States was conceived by the constitutional method.

[Shri Bhaskar Annaji Masodkar]

With these words, I again urge upon the Government to welcome the resolution which seeks the reference to the Committee of the House for a larger consideration. It will take the views of the public and also of departments like defence. I am again saying you have not given thought to the defence and security

SHRI K.P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Sir, I am sure, the hon. Member for whom I have great respect, knows that whenever a Bill is introduced, it is always circulated for opinions of most of the Ministries and their views are always taken into consideration.

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR: It must be so when the Minister says this was so. I had not been a Minis-He has been a Minister. accept what he says. But what I find. Mr. Minister, with due respect to Government, we read many stories that there are division among the Cabinet on various issues. So I am making a point that if it is not done, have the views of experts what are the implications from the security angle, from the angle of internal peace and public order

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): Now please conclude.

SHRI BHASKAR ANNAJI MASOD-KAR: I have already concluded. I am just adding to it. So my conclusion is, thank you

डा॰ रत्नाकर पाण्डेंय (उत्तर प्रदेश):
माननीय उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, अपने मुझे प्रसार
भारती विधेयक पर बोलने का मौक दिया,
मैं कृतज्ञ हूं अपका। उपनिषद में कहा गया
है—सत्य ज्ञान अनन्तं ब्रह्म या अनंत ब्रह्म का
ज्ञान ही सत्य है और सूचना व प्रसारण
मंत्रालय जो कुछ भी सत्य है धरती पर या
अंतरिक्ष में, उन सबसे जनवेतना को समन्वित
करके सूचना देने क सही काम करती है और
यह सरकार अपने चुन वी घोषणा-पत्न और
अक्षम शासन प्रणाली के दौर हे पर खड़ी
है, बुरी तरह से दुविधा में है और स्थित

ऐसी है तुलसी दास जी के शब्दों में कहा जाये कि--

> धर्म सनेह उभय मित घेरी । भई गित सांप छ्छुन्दर केरी ।।

सांप और छछंदर की गति में यह सरकार है इस बिल को लेकर के । पूर्ण रूप से न इसे कार्यान्वित कर पा रही है और न अपने द्वारा बनाये गये इस स्वायत्तता के झंठे जाल से निकल पा रही है । स्वायसतापूर्ण स्वतंत्रता नहीं है ग्रौर स्वतः तंत्र इसमें है लेकिन स्वायत्तता का ग्रर्थ स्वतंत्रता नहीं है बल्कि श्रात्मालोचन करके तार्किक सामंजस्य की स्वायत्तता देना ही स्वायत्तता की कसौटी मानी गयी है । देश जनतांतिक विकास के जिस मोड़ से गुजर रहा है वहां इस तरह के सरकार के समर्थ प्रचार संचार माध्यमों का सरकारी नियंत्रण में रहना बहुत जरुरी है ताकि लोग स्वायतत्ता की ग्राड में देश की सर्वतीभावेन प्रगति में वाधायें न खडी कर सकें। यह हमारी जनतांत्रिक राजनीति तथा विकासोन्मख प्रित्रयात्रों की संधि है। इसका उपयोग देश की एकता ग्रीर ग्रखंडता के लिए सजग होकर राष्ट्र निर्माण के ब्राह्मान में एक जट होकर हमें करना है । स्रगर इसका संयंत्रित प्रयोग न हम्रा, नियंत्रित प्रयोग न हम्रा तो देश की एकता श्रौर श्रखंडता खतरे में पड जायेगी।

चाणक्य से बड़े राजनीतिज्ञ स्राज नये
पैदा हो गये हैं। चाणक्य ने भी कहा था
कि राज्य का संसाधनों पर पूर्ण प्रधिकार
होना चाहिये। जय शंकर प्रसाद ने भी कहा
था —

शक्ति के विद्युत कण जो व्यर्थ सकल बिखरें हैं हों निरूपाय समन्वय उनका करे समस्त विजयिनी मानवता बन जाये।

यह जो मीडिया है यानवता को विजयिनी बनाने का एक साधन है और इस पर सरकार का नियंत्रण हटा करके, यह जो अल्पमत सरकार है जो कुछ प्रसार भारती विधेयक के माध्यम से करने जा रही है, मैं स्पष्ट कहना चाहता हू कि यह जनता, संसद्, भ्राकाशवाणी भ्रौर दूरदर्शन के कर्मचारियों भ्रौर इस मीडिया में लगे हुये सारे लोगों को

धोखा देने वाला विधेयक है । इस विधेयक पर न व्यापक वार्ता की गयी, न बहस करायी गयी । संसद का नियंत्रण इन माध्यमों पर से समाप्त होने की यह प्रक्रिया है ग्रीर व्यवसायिकता के नाम पर बहुराष्ट्रीय कम्पनियां विज्ञापन देकर इन माध्यमों पर नियंत्रण कर लंगी । इसके बाद सरकार और संसद उसमें कोई हस्तक्षेप नहीं कर पायेगी। यह विशेषक स्वायत्तता देने के बजाय उच्छुखलता प्रदान करता है ग्रौर बिल के तहन प्रस्तावित त्वायत्तता निगम की निर्भरता प्रत्यक्ष ४५ से वहराष्ट्रीय कम्प-नियों पर प्राधारित हो जायेंगी क्योंकि वे ही अगी स्थानीय एजेन्सीज के द्वारा इपे मि मुहया करायेंगी । इस निगम का मूल लीत तो सरकरी रखा गया है, पर श्रावृत्ति खर्च के निये कौमर्शियल स्रोत पर निर्भर किया गया है । इस तरह जो लोग मीडिया को पैसा देंगे यह मिडिया उनके ग्रप्रत्यक्ष ग्रधिकार में चली जायेगी। कौमिशियल चैनल के साथ-साश एक राष्ट्रीय चैनल भी होना चाहिये । दुरदर्शन श्रौर श्राकाशवाणी का नियंत्रण उसके कर्मचारियों के हाथ में जैसे थः, होना चाहिये । इस बिल से मंसद को कोई भूमिका नहीं रह जायेगी श्रीर न दी निगम पर सरकार का या हम सांसदों का कोई अधिकार पह पायेगा । संसद इसकी सालाना रिपोर्ट पर केवल बहस कर सकती है, चर्ची कर सकती है जिसकी बहुत ग्रधिक उपयोगिता मैं नहीं समझता हूं । इसलिये इस विशेषक को प्रवर समिति को वापिस कर देना चाहिये, यह मेरी मांग है श्रौर प्रवर समिति इस पर पूरा विश्लेश्ण करके गभीर इंग से चितन करके इसे में फिर से लाये। प्रवर समिति इस पर गंभीर ढंग से चिंतन करके सदन में इसे जिर से लाए । प्रसार भारती संसद के माध्यम से देश की जनता के प्रति उत्तरदायी भी रहनी चाहिये । इसलिए इस विधेयक में ऐसी व्यवस्था की जानी चाहिए कि जिससे की एक समिति इन संस्थात्रों के रोजाना के कामकाज में दखलग्रंदाजी न करके भी उनके कामकाज पर नियंत्रण ग्रौर नजर रखे । प्रसार भारती का

मूल लक्ष्य प्रचार न होकर भ्राम भ्रादमी के चित्र को प्रस्तुत करने का होना चाहिए । उसे वह भावना श्रापने नहीं दी है जहां वह भ्रपने को विश्व-बंधुत्व से जोड़ कर सही भ्रथों में निपट भारतीय होने का दःवा कर सके । वह भ्रपनी पहचान रेखांकित कर सके, ऐसा कुछ ग्रापने इस विधेयक में नहीं दिया है ।

महोदय, इसमें स्वायत्तता शब्द का कहीं उल्लेख नहीं है । मोटे तौर पर कंटोल बोर्ड भव्द का अपने उपोग किया है जिसमें प्रत्यक्ष या ग्रप्रत्यक्ष ढंग से लोग नामजद किए जाएंगे । श्राप इंजीनियरों को भी नामजद कर रहे हैं। मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि ग्रापके जो स्टाफ म्राटिस्ट हैं, श्रापने कहा है कि उनमें से दो चुनकर ग्राएंगे, उपेन्द्र साहब, किसी बड़े कच्चे दिमाग वाले ने इस बिल की ड्राफ्टिंग की है। पत्नकार जो है, वह सामाजिक चेतना का वाहक होता है। चाहे मीडिया के ग्रंदर के पत्रकार हों, चाहे बाहर के पत्रकार,हों, उनको कहीं ग्रापने प्रतिनिधित्व नहीं दिया है । यह बहुत बड़ी भूल है। जिन पत्नकारों की कला हम रोज देखते हैं उनको भ्राप अवश्य प्रतिनिधित्व दीजिए तभी ग्रापका यह शासी निकाय श्रर्थात् गर्वानग बॉड़ी सफल हो पाएगी ग्रन्यथा यह मजाक बनकर रह जाएगी।

चूंकि ग्रभी तक श्रिधकारियों की जो कार्य-शैली है वह जी-हजूरी वाली है इसलिए मुझे संदेह है कि उन्हीं का प्रभाव इस गवनिंग बॉडी में भी बना रहेगा । इसलिए मेरा मुझाव है कि इसमें एक शिकायत मंडल भी होना चाहिए जो श्रारोपों को मुने ग्रौर उनका निराकरण करे । शिकायत करने वाले लोगों में इतना धैर्य नहीं होता कि वे महीनों ग्रपनी बात का उत्तर मुनने के लिए ग्रापकी प्रतीक्षा करते रहें ।

प्रमाणिक ग्रौर नियंत्रण करने वाली श्रर्थव्यवस्था है। संप्रेषणा तंत्र की लगाम है । यदि व्यापक विक्र,पनों से ही इसका खर्च चलने वाला है तो जाहिर है कि विज्ञापन देने वाले किसी न किसी [डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डे त

The Prasa Bharti

तरह से श्रपनी वात मनवा लेंगे और तब श्रा के प्रसार भारती के माध्यम से जो कार्य होगा प्रसारण ग्रौर सूचना उनकी इच्छानुसार होगा । तो जैसे हाई कोर्ट का जज है या सुप्रीम कोर्ट का जज है, वह किसी दबाव में काम नहीं करता है, पूर्ण स्वतंत्रता में काम करता है तो इसी तरह से विधेयक में यह व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए कि जो चैयरमैन और शासी निकाय के सदस्य हों, उनको पूर्णं स्वतंत्रता म्राप दें। तब जाकर श्रापके प्रसार भारती को नौकरशाही से मुक्ति मिलेगी और तभा वह नुस्त हो सकेगा । मेरा निवेदन लोगों को इस काम में लगइए सांस्कृतिक धरती से भारत का संचार गुड़ा है स्रौर स्राप उसी को छोड़कर सिर्फ नाजुक बयानी कर रहे हैं। क्या है---"रंग है लफ्जों के खून का"।

त्रापने चुनावों में जो वायदे किए हैं उनको पूरा करने के चक्कर में ग्राप इस देश को बरबाद करना चाहते हैं, इस देश के कुड़े-ट कड़े करना चाहते हैं जिस चीज का पंडिन जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने इतना ग्रागें बहाया था, प्रसार के माध्यमों को पूर्ण स्वतवता देकर सरकार का नियंवण रखा था, ग्राप बदने की भावना से हर कार्यवाही कर रहे हैं ग्रीर प्रसार भारती विधेयक भी ग्राप इसो भावना से लाए हैं। इदि । गांधी ने इस देश में दूरदर्शन का जो जाल बिछाया ग्रीर जो हमारी इतनी संपत्ति है, उस संपत्ति को तोश-फोड़कर, उस संपत्ति को विनष्ट करके ग्राप इस देश में मोडिया के माध्यम से ग्रराजकता फैलाना चाहते हैं।

मैं गूनिविसिटी से संबंधित हूं। यूनिविसिटी ज भंग से तंत्र हैं। वहां पर 0 वर्ष तक का कार्यकाल खा जान है। इप विल में ग्रापने रिटा उर मेंट ऐज 58 वर्ष रखी है। मैं यह चाहता हूं कि ग्राकाशवाणी ग्रीर दूरदर्शन के जो कर्मचारी 58 वर्ष में रिटायर होते हैं, उनकी रिटा स्पेनंट ऐज 60 वर्ष होनी चाहिए।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (M. A. BABY). Please conclude: otherwise Mr. Pachourl will lose time.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेयः दो मिनट तो ग्रापने सब को दिए हैं। मैं दो भिनट मे अपनी बात खत्म कर रूं गा। इतनी कृपा स्राप करें।मैं जानना चाहंगा कि स्रापने स्राकाशवाणी स्रोर दूरदशन को जो स्वायतत्ता दो है। उसके तहत ग्राप जहां इंजीनियरों को महत्ता दे रहे हैं, जहां 62 साल को उम्र वालों को इस कमेटी मं रखेंगे तो वहा के कर्मच रियों की रिटायरमेंट की उम्र भी 60 साल रखिए। ग्राकाशवागी श्रौर दूरदर्शन का पहला कर्तव्य है कि वह जनता का सही सूचना दे और आप केवल पी.टी. ग्राई. ग्रीर यू.एन. ग्राई. समाचारों का अन्वाद करा रहे हैं। इसलिए राजभाषा हिन्दी के लिए एक पूर्ण नियंत्रित श्राकाशवाणो श्रौर दूरदर्शन का समाचार विभाग हाना चाहिए जा हिन्दी ग्रौर भारतीय भाषात्रों में समाचार लि रे उसके लिए एक पूर्ण इकाई स्थापित कीजिए । उसके लिए धन का व्यापक प्रावधान काजिए।

इसके ग्रतिरिक्त में एक ग्रौर चीज की श्रोर ग्रापका ध*ोन* ग्रा_{टै}षित करना चाहता हं क्योंकि जो मोड्या है वह वाणी होती है और उस वाणो को नष्ट करने का जो ुचक स्रापकी सरकार रच रही है, वह साफ दिखाई दे रहा है । इस सदन के सभापति माननीय श्री शंकर दयाल शर्मा जी ने उस दिन ग्रापके प्रधान मंत्री श्री विश्वनाथ प्रताप सिंह की इस सदन को श्रवमानना करने, इस चेयर को श्रवमानना करने के लिए बरावर प्रताड़ित किया था ग्रौर उदाहरण दिया था कि पंडित जवाहरताल नेहरू ने भी क्षमा याचना को थी । उस समाचार को ग्रौर इस सदन के सभापति के समाचार को ग्रस्त, ब्यस्त करके, तोड सरोडकर गलत ढंग से दिया गया । भ्राप स्त्रयं भ्रपना नियंत्रण रखना चाहते हैं श्रौर यह छलावा श्रौर भुलावा है ।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): With that relevant point you can conclude now.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: मैं ग्रंत में एक बात की भ्रोर श्रापका ध्यान ग्रा फित करना चाहूगा कि श्राकाशवाणी श्रौर दूरदर्शन के जो प्रोग्राम विभाग हैं उनकी जो श्रालोचना चारों तरफ होती हैं, उनके कार्यक्रमों के लिए जो स्टाफ श्राटिस्ट होते हैं उनकी जो श्रालोचना होती है, इसके लिए बीसियों वार मैंने ग्रापको पत लिखे हैं. लेकिन जैसी ग्रादत है ग्राप लिख देते हैं कि मैं देख रहा हु, सुनवा रहा हं। समाचार संग्रह करने वाले कमंचा-रियो, स्टाफ आर्टिस्टों और इंजीनियरों को पूर्ण इप से इसमे सम्मिलित करिए । दुरदर्शन के माध्यम से ग्रापने देश के विनाश करने का बीड़ा उठाया है । ग्रापने ग्रारक्षण किया है ग्रौर सारे देश में कत्ले श्राम हो रहा है। श्राप यह बिल ला रहे हैं प्रसार भारती का, मैं कहना चाहता हं कि इस बिल के माध्यम से ग्राटोनांमी के साथ ऐकाउंटेबि लेश श्रापने क्या फिक्स की है। कोई उत्तरदाित्व ग्रापने निर्धारित किया है? केवल चीप पापलेरिटी, छोटी श्रौर हल्की प्रशंसा के लिए इस देश के 83 करोड़ जनता के साथ भारत की वाणी और मीडिया दरदर्शन और स्राकाशवाणी के साथ ... मजाक कर रहे है ग्रौर दाणी के साथ खला बलात्कार कर रहे हैं। उसकी मैं निन्दा करना चाहता हुकि ग्राप इस तरह का काम करिए जिससे यह बिल सही रूप में ग्राए । इसलिए इसे प्रवर समिति को भेजिए जहां ऐक्सपर्ट इस पर अपने विचार प्रकट करें श्रौर नेशनल वाइज इसकी चर्चा हो। तब जाकर इस बिल को ग्राप कानून का रूप दे ग्रन्थथा इस देश की जनता श्रोर ग्राने वाला भविष्य ग्रापको, ग्रापके प्रधान नती को ग्रौर ग्रापकी सरकार की कभी माफ नहीं करेगी और जनतंत्र में इस तरह का जो तान शाही का ढंग से नाटक कर रहे हैं, स्वायतत्ता का स्वांग कर रहे हैं श्रौर मल्डी भिलियर्नम के हाथां, विज्ञापन देने वालों के हाथों प्रसार भारती को इस बिल के गाध्यम से सौप रहे हैं, ऐसे कदम की मैं निन्दा करता ह ग्रौर ग्राशा करता हं कि इस बिल को ग्राप वापस करें या प्रवर समिति को सौंपेंगे ताकि सही ढंग से इस पर गौर कि । जा सके।

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI (Gujarat): Mr. Vice-Chairman, I would like to say a word on one very important point which the honourable Ratnakarji While I welcome this Bill, made. associate myself with one point made by him and that is about commercial adverconcerned tisements. I am very much about that aspect I welcome this Bill wholeheartedly, if some provisions are not made by which we can control advertisements, commercial advertisements, I am afraid it will go into their hands, as has been mentioned by Ratnakarji. To give you an example,...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A. BABY): Please don't give examples. Now I am calling the next speaker.

SHRI VITHALBHAI M. PATEL (Gujarat): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, even if my party would not have opposed this Bill. I would have certainly opposed this because, after going through the Bill. I am convinced that there is no autonomy for the people working in the Doordarshan itself or in the Akashvani itself. Forget about the word "autonomy". What will be the fate of this structure? That is also not being spelt out properly in this Bill.

Sir, Doordarshan has a number telecast news. functions, not merely to They have to show and they are showing artistic film's serials, telefilms. So many cultural programmes, etc. things they are telecasting. At present, there is a Director-General for Doordarshan and also a Director-General Then there are some Additional AIR. There are about ten Controllers DGS. of Programmes who are dealing different subjects. All of them today are woking without any interference except from the Ministry or the No other people are interfering ment Only if the Miniswith their working. ter and the Department stopped interfering with their working, Doordarshan and AIR will get better autonomy than what Today, contained in this Bill. is only one boss, that is, the 15 But now you are forcing General. of more bosses on the media. Instead decentralising, you are centralising the whole Doordarshan.

Sir, I want to know from the Minister what would be the actual functions of this Board. Will they interfere with the day-to-day working of the Doordarshan? Will they see the films? Will they see the serials? Will they see the telefilms? What are they going to do? If they are

[Shri Vithalbhai M. Patel]

ĺ

not going to interfere with the day-to-day functioning and if they are only to see to the administrative work, then it is not necessary at all to have this Corporation.

In this connection, I would like to tell you one thing. For the last nine months, I have been watching very carefully the news that is telecast, both the Parliament news and the general news. Previously. the news items which were telecast, headlines which were in the bulletin, we used to find in the newspapers of following day. But, today, a number of things are missing from the Doordarshan bulletins which we find in the Why is it so? It is newspapers cause they are being censored Those who are covering the news think of twisting the news, never think of not telecasting the news. This has been done by some other people, not by journalists themselves because the journalists will hand over the copy and do not know what happens afterwards in the Doordarshan. So, my point is it is being censored.

In the Bill also, the Minister has kept some scope for interference. Clause 23 is here in the Bill under which, whenever they want, they can interfere. This clause is absolutely not necessary. If you want to give autonomy then do not interfere in the day-to-day working of the Doordarshan. This clause should be omitted.

By this Bill, Sir, the Sword of Damocles is hanging over the heads of Doordarshan staff, how a member The way the this Board will function. Government hurriedly wanted to pass this Bill-yesterday I was watching thisshows that they are interested to put their own men among these 15 people, so that at least for six years they can control the Otherwise why do Doordarshan. the hurry? Let it be debated Let So this Bill people also have their say. is confusing, absolutely confusing, and it seems it is a fraud not only on the persons working in the Doordarshan but it is a fraud on the country also—by declaring 'we are fulfilling the promises, we are giving the autonomy'. What autonomy are you giving? By keeping clause 23 and other clauses what type of autonomy are you giving to the Doordarshan? There is no autonomy to the Doordarshan.

A number of things they have kept which will be framed in the Rules. God knows what type of rules they are going I strongly demand that to make! Minister must put all the Rules framed on the Table of the House, because, want to know what type of rules you are framing. There may be rules which are contrary to the aim of this Bill. So whatever rules are framed under the different clause's, they should be placed on Table of the House and should be debated plso, so that the people must know what type of Rules they have framed.

Today what is happening? A lot of things are going on against the Mandal Commission, and very little is being telecast in news bulletins. If this is so, if there is censorship... (Time Bell rings)

Let the people know. No 'Focus' has also been arranged.

Then, I come to the last point. There was a Nawab and there was a king. A war was going on between the Nawab and the king.

नवाव ने वजीर से पूछा कि जो हमारी फौज है वह क्या कर रही है और कहां है? वजीर ने कहा, शहनशाह,

प्रेम ब्यौरो के तारों से नतीजा यह निकलता है, फतह सरकार की होती है कब्जा दुश्मन का होता है।

श्रीसती श्रमृता श्रीतम (नाम निर्देणित) : सर इलैंक्ट्रानिक मीडिश को ग्रटानामी मिले इससे कोई इंग्नलाफ नहीं हैं सकता । सबाल इस बात का है कि इसके इम्लीमेंटेशन में क्या क्या खामियां सामने ग्रा सकती हैं, कारपोरेशन किस हद तक दखलन्दाज हो सकता है, फंक्णनल फीडम का माजिन कितना होगा, क्या यह सत्ता का एक हाथ में दुसरे हाथ में ट्रांसफर तो नहीं होगा । वर्किंग की सूरत क्या होगी, स्वतंत्रता के नाम पर गलत इस्तेमाल को किस तरह रोक पाना होगा, इन सब की गहराई में उत्तर पाने के लिये अगर एक सलेक्ट कमेटी बना दी जाये तो मैं सोचती हूं कि अच्छा होगा और इससे इसका इम्प्लीमेंटेशन ठीक सूरत में हो पायेगा।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. A BABY): Mr. Prithvijit Singh—Not here. Shi: V. M. Jadhav.

SHRI VITHALRAO MODHAVRAO JADHAV (Maharashtra): Mr. Vice-Chair man. Sir. I would like to oppose this Bill and I would like to support the stand taken by our hon. Deputy Leader, Mr. N. K. P. Salve. Sir, I do not understand what they want to do. Is it Prasar Bharati or Prachar Bharati? After passing this Bill on 30th August, 1990, in the Lok Sabha, they have brought it here. It is not necessary that the same stand should be taken by the Rajya Sabha as was taken in Lok Sabha, as my senior friends have already pointed out.

The present Government says that they want to give autonomy to the visual media. Autonomy to whom? Au'onomy for whom? Whose autonomy they going to bring about? I do not understand it. As my friend has stated, reof Chairman garding the appointment and other Members, they have said in Section 4 that the Chairman and members except the cx-officio member. the nominated members and the elected members except the ex-officio member. President of India on the recommendation of a committee consisting of... Who is going to recommend these members to the President of India? It is the Government. It is the Cabinet or the Minister. It means that they are going to recommend all the members of their choice to the President of India. It means that it is the autonomy of their choice not the autonomy of the people. I remomber a story of Akbar and Birbal. I will tell you in Hindi.

बीरवल ने भ्रकबर से कहा कि लोग बवकूफ हैं। भ्रकबर ने कहा कि यह कैसे हो सकता है। सारे हिन्द्स्तान की जनता बेवकूफ कैसे हो सकती है। बीरबल ने कहा कि मैं श्रापको दिखाता हं कि लोग कैसे बैवकफ हैं। मीरबल ने क्या किया कि काउडंग लखे ग्रौर रख दिया ग्रौर ऊपर से चार-पांच झमेले मिट्टी डाल दी । उसके बाद उन्होंने प्रचार किया कि यहां से भगवान निकल पड़ा ं, यहां ईश्वर है, खुदा है। लोग वहां जाने ागे । यात्री बढते गये और लाखों लोग वहां जाने लगे । एक दिन बीरबल ने बादशाह से कहा कि मैंने कहा था कि लोग बैवक्फ है। यह देखिये कि ल खों लोग यहां दर्शनों के तिये जा रहे हैं कि यहां से ईश्वर निकला है, खुदा निकला है । बादशाह ने जाकर देखा कि वहां पर लाग बड़े पैमाने पर जा रहे हैं। वादशाह ने पूछा कि क्या बात है जो यहां जा रहे हो तो वे कहने लगे कि यहां पर भगवान निकला है, खुदा निकला है। उसके बाद बीरबल ने वहाँ जाकर खोदकर निकाला तो वादशाह ने देखा कि

It is cowdung and nothing else. So, this is the autonomy of confusion. It is not elr own confusion. It is the confusion of the people also. They want to confuse the entire people of this country.

श्री झटल बिहारी वाजपेयी (मध्य प्रदेश) : अच्छा सब को बेबकूफ बना रहे हैं ? आप समझते हैं कि देश के लोग बेबहफ बनते हैं ?

SHRI VITHALRAO MADHAVRAO IADHAV: They want to try to make fools of the people.

SHRI T. A. MOHAMMED SAQHY (Tamil Nadu): There are Congress people in the other House also.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M.A. BABY): Please don't interrupt. Let the Member speak.

SHRI VITHALRAO MODHAVRAO JADHAV: People will teach you a lesson at the proper time.

(The Deputy Chairman in the Chair)

SHRI T. A. MOHAMMED SAQHY: I do not know whether Congress has one parliamentary party or two parliamentary parties.

SHRI VITHALRAO MADHAVRAO JADHAV: I want to tell the hon. Member that they cannot make a fool of all the people all the time. You can befool them for some time. That is what you are doing.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please don't have any arguments. The Minister will reply on behalf of everybody. Let him make his point.

SHRI VITHALRAO MADHAVRAO JADHAV: Madam, as Prof. Willbert Canon has said, it is the society which colours, shapes and favours the mass media from time to time. The society exercises the control over mass media in many ways. Control through legislation is only one dimension of it. The granting of the institutional autonomy alone will not effect a sea-change in the very approach of the mass India in India.

Madam, in August, 1977, B.G. Verghese Committee was appointed whose 405-pages report was submitted on 19th March, 1978. Madam, the Group suggested that the Complaint Board should comprise of persons selected by the Chief Justice India. The Board would deal with complaints from the public relating to the charges of unjust or unfair treatment including unwarranted invasion of privacy, and must report any such grievances. The Board of the Trustee is specially responsible to the House of the People, the Lok Sabha (Time bell rings) Madam time is very less. I have started just two minutes earlier.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: But I have many other people.

SHRI VITHALRAO MADHAVRAO JADHAV: Ten minutes are given for one person. I have not taken...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am not saying anything. It is the Party's time. It should be divided accordingly. I have no objection if you speak, 'x' speaks or 'y' speaks.

SHRY VITHALRAO MADHAVRAO TADHAV: Another thing is that in the same Bill, under Section 13, a Parliamentary Committee has also been declared I do not understand the role of a Joint

Parliamentary Committee. Here, the Board is there and the Parliamentary Committee is also there. But the role of these two bodies has not been stated properly. Madam, this TV network credit goes to Madam Indira Gandhi and Mr. Rajiv Gandhi. For the last ten years, there is a network of TV through out of the country. More than 75 to 80 per cent of population in covered by the TV network. And it has gone to the interior and rural parts of the country.

Madam, another point is, as my senior friend, Mr. Masodkar has suggested, what are the measures of security for this media because it has appeared in the press in the month of March that Kashmir TV was attacked and one person was killed there. So, what are the security measures that you are going to take? Are you going to have a separate Police force or is the media allowed to have a separate police force? What measures are you going to take?

Madam, I would have appreciated if we had the Third World Corporation of media on the lines of BBC. Of course, 3BC is different because if it had been the Third World Corporation, the present conflict the Gulf would have been reported promptly. There should be some vision, there should be some foresight while declaring this Corporation, And I request that the Government must consider this seriously.

Madam, the last point that I want to make is that our neighbouring country. Pakistan is going to borrow a satellite from China. And some parts of the satellite can be used by Pakistan also so that the inter-continental programmes will be visible not only in Pakistan but also in India. So, when the international or intercontinental programmes will be visible through this TV, what measures are you going to take in this regard?

Madam, we are having two channels. As my friend has suggested, I would request the Govenrment that the Second Channel whether it is at Madras or Bombay or any other place, if not totally, a major part of it should be handed over to the

State Governments or to the regional areas so that these people can make better use of it.

The Prasar Bhaiti

Madam, another point is that this audiovisual media is very important in country. It plays a very major role. By converting this into a Corporation, by way of advertisements, by 2,000 A.D., Rs. 600 to Rs. 700 crores will be spent every year. And this separate Board will have control over all that money. That means, indirectly the multinationals will control this Board. So. I would like to ask the Government as to what measures they are going to take after having such a Corporation.

Madam, I fully oppose this Bill which has been brought without proper thought given to it. This Bill is full of confusion. It is not the autonomy of the Prasar Bharati. It is the autonomy of confusion in the Prasar Bharati Bill. With these observations, I oppose the Bill.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Vishviit P. Singh I have allowed fifteen minutes extra. But it does not mean that all the fifteen minutes you will have.

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH (Maharashtra): Madam, I will be very brief.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have other names. Mr. Hashim is also there.

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH: Madam, I would like to start by quoting from Mr. Marshal McLuhan's book, 'Understanding Media'. In his book, he has said:

"Archimedes once said 'Give me and I will move the place to stand world'. Now, he would have pointed to our electronic media and said 'I will stand on your eyes, your ears, your nerves, your brain, and the world will move in any tempo and pattern chose".

Madam, what is sought to be achieved in this Bill is exactly this. Mr. Upendra is going to sit on our eyes, on our ears, on our nerves and on our train and impose on us whatever he wants to. Let me make it quite clear. If his intention was real autonomy, he would have gone by the report of the Akash Bharati mittee. The Verghese Committee had made two specific recommendations which are not there in the Bill. It had made a recommendation that there should be a Constitutional guarantee given. He had asked for Constitutional guarantee and to make it a statutory body. This is not there in the Bill.

Bill 1990

The Committee had also recommended certain powers to be given to the Complaints Board. Quasi-judicial powers were recommended for the Complaints Roard. It was, to consist of four persons selected by the Chief Justice of India. Powers of the civil courts, under the Civil Procedure Code, were envisaged. Under the C.R.P.C. also. In the Bill which was introduced by Mr. Advani in 1978, under clause 15, it was envisaged that the Board would have powers under the Code of Civil Procedure while dealing with the complaints. These powers given in clause 1_ of the introduced by the previous Janata Government have been diluted in the present Bill. It gives no such powers.

This is a dilution. The Board is proposed to be set up without giving any powers to it. This is on pages 11 and 12.

Madam, there is one more problem here. Powers of overseeing have given to the Parliamentary Committee. Similar powers have been given to Broadcasting Council in regard to receiving of complaints. There is a duplication of work. What are going to be the exact powers? It is very vague. What would be the powers of the Parliamentary Committee? What would the Parliamentary Committee be doing? Would it have the powers to enforce what it wants to, as opposed to the Broadcasting Council, something like the Appeals Board as envisaged in the earlier Bill.

I would go further. No autonomy is possible without fiscal autonomy, without autonomy in terms of appointments. Minister has sought to dilute the provisions, recommended by the Akash Bharati mmittee and contained in the

by the previous Bharati Bill introduced Janata Government. This particular Bill retains all powers in the hands of the Government. In this connection, I would

like to refer to sections 32 and 33. Section 32 is in regard to the power to make rules and section 33 is in regard to the power to make regulations. The power to make rules is vested in the Government. The power to make regulations is vested in the Corporation. I would like to know, what is the difference between rules and regulations? I do not see where the difference is. It is exactly the same if you read the clauses. I say, control is in the hands of the Government. You say here 'any other matter which is required to be, or may be, prescribed.'. This is in clause 32(1). Please also see clause 32, sub-clause (c), (d) and (e), Sub-clause (c) says: 'the control, restrictions and conditions subject to which the Corporation may appoint officers...' "(d) the manner in which and the conditions and restrictions subject to which a Recruitment Board may be established." So, this is kept with the Government under the rules. And under power to make regulations, rule 33 (c) and (d) says:

- (c) the methods of recruitment and conditions of service of officers and other employees of the Corporation...
- (d) the remuneration and other conditions of service..."

Later in the bottom of the proviso it says:

"Provided that the regulations under clause (c) or clause (d) shall be made only with the prior approval of the Central Government".

The Central Government has kept its stranglehold completely on the Corporation. Even in clause 23(2) it says:

"Where the Corporation makes a broadcast in pursuance of the direction issued under sub-section (1), the fact that such broadcast has been made in pursuance of such direction may also be announced along with such broadcast, if the Corporation so desires."

There is no mandatory requirement as was envisaged in the original Act or in the Akash Bharati proviso. These provisions

have been sought to be diluted. (Time bell rings). Yes, I would like to say categorically that I support the suggestion made by Mr. Masodkar as well as by other Members from our side wherein it has been suggested that this Bill should be sent to a Joint Select Committee. I also support the amendment in regard to the provision about the appointment of the Board itself. It talks of the Chairman of the Rajva Sabha and I feel it is definitely an insult to the Chairman of the Rajva Sabha if two nominees of the Government are to overrule him. To get over the difficulty we have to say that the hon. Speaker should be the third member of the Board along with the Chairman of the Council.

I would like to quote at the end from one of the editors of the Times of India at the moment. He was talking on autonomy for media in 1977. He had warned at that time and had said:

"There is a widespread belief that autonomy by itself would rid AIR and Doordarshan of the stranglehold of bureaucracy and make them immune to political pressure and that it would help to tap new talent. But even a cursory glance at the newspaper and publishing houses shows that everything depends on what use is made of autonomy."

All this was written by Dileep Padgaongar. The fact is that we have to change our whole way of thinking. The inherent conflicts between the interests of the masses and political expediency will always remain. It is how we resolve them that we will be judged.

Therefore, Mr. Minister I urge upon you to send this Bill to a Joint Select Committee.

उपसभागितः हाशमी जी, वड़ा म्ख्तसर में बोल दीजिएगा।

श्री श्रनीम हाश्रनी (बिहार): मोहतरमा, हमने तो सोचा था कि —

हवैदा ब्राज ही ब्रपने जख्म पिनाह करके छोडूंग! ब्रीर लहूं रो-रो के इस गुलशन को गुलिस्तां करके छोड़ंगा ।

लेकिन हमारे दामन में बेकारी के बाद स्रगर सिर्फ एक वक्त बचा था---श्राज न मालुम क्यों मेरी किस्मत ने मेरे दामन से उस वक्त को भी छीन लिया है।

उपसभापति : किस्मत ने नहीं छीना, ह्विप ने छीना है ।

श्री शमीम हाशमी: हां, जिसने भी छीना हो । ग्राज मुख्तसर वक्त में मेरी पार्टी का जो ग्राटोनोमी पर स्टेंड है, उस सिलसिले में जो तजवीजें पेश की गई हैं, जो तरमीमें लाई गई हैं, मैं उसकी मुकम्मिल तौर पर ताईद करता हूं, उसको मानता हूं ग्रौर उसके साथ ह ।

मैं हाऊम का खास तौर पर लैफटिस्ट ताकतों को, प्रोगेंसिव लोगों को सेक्यलर लोगों का एक खास की तरफ उसके जहन को मैं करता हं। यह न समझिये कांग्रेस (ग्राई) का एक एम०पी रहा हं

ग्राज को तारीख याद कर लीजिए। हम स्राने वाले वक्त की तारीख हमारी ज्बान तारीख ने मेरे मुंह पर अपनी जुबान लिख दी है । मैं उस जुबान से बोल रहा ह मुनिये, स्राज बढ़ती हुई सरमायेदारी का निजाम ग्रपने जिंदगी के लिए ग्राखिरी दौर पर नई जिंदगी की तड़पती छटप-टाइट उसके श्रंदर पैदा हुई है। यही फटपटाहट है जहां सरमायेदारी ने श्रलग-श्रन्ग मुल्कों के मताबिक वहां की फिरकाप स्त ताकतों के साथ गठजोड़ करके रिली जंस रिवाइवलिज्म की जो नहरीक शरू है, उसका पहला तम_ंजा पूर्वी युरोप के श्रंदर सोशलिस्ट मुल्कों की शिकस्त होती है, ग्रौर न्यू रिवाइवलिज्म जो मजहब की शक्ल में सरमायेदारी की शक्ल स्रोपन मार्केट में कंज्यमर की शक्ल में **ग्राया है....वह हम देख रहे हैं । हिंदु**स्तान में यह मरमायादार ताकतें यह मल्टीनेशनल्ज बहुत दिनों से इस साजिश में थीं कि

यहां के इलैटोक्निक मीडिया को हम ग्रपम कब्जे में कर लें। जो कमनज़र लोग है वे ऐसा समझते हैं कि एक मिसाल हमेंशा इस हाउस में बी बी सी० को दिया जाता था। जापान को दिया जाता **ग्रापने सोचा है इंग्लैंड, एक एक्सै**प्शन को छोड़ कर बाय एंड लार्ज एक भाषा का देश है, एक धर्म का देश है, एक साइकी का देश है, बी वी वी क्सी असी साइको को रिप्रेजेंट करता है। स्रापने सोचा है कि जापान एक धर्म का देश है, एक भाषा का देश है, एक कल्चर का देश है, वहां कोई कंट्राडिक्शन नहीं है । लेकिन हिन्दुस्तान यह मान चलिए मुख्तलिफ भाषात्रों का देश क्षेत्रीय संस्कृति का देश है, ग्रलग-ग्रलग मजहब का देश है, ग्रलग-ग्रलग वर्ग का देश है, जहां प्ंजीवाद के खिलाफ गुरबत कराह रही है। गरीबों की बात **ग्रादिवासियों** की बात है हरिजनों की बात है, माइनारिटी की बात है। इनके एस्पिरेशन में इस देश जो सैकुलर सरकार बनेगी उसका यह कर्त्तव्य होता है कि इन देश की एकता के लिए कन्या-क्मारी से लेकर काश्मीर तक, नागालैड से लेकर पंजाब तक जो मुख्तलिफ कीमे हैं, जो जातियां हैं, वर्ग हैं, जो एस्पिरेशन है, पिछड़ों को ग्रागे बढ़ने की जो तड़प है, गरीबों में जो वेदारी है दौलत के बंटवारे के लिए जो ख्वाहिश को बढने इन तमाम एस्पिर्शंज को मीडिया रिप्रेजेंट करना है । मीडिया क्या सरकार की ख्वाहिशात के इजहार सवाल है, यह एक ऐसी सरकार है। प्रपती जबान काट करके इस मुल्क सरमायादारों के हाथ में दे रही इस मल्क की फिरकापरस्त ताकतों हाथ में दे ही है। इस चुनाव के पहले बडे मल्टी-नेशनल्ज का समाझौता नेशनल फंट की सरकार से हुन्ना था । जिल्हा रुपया चाहो, मैं दूंगा, झोली तुम्हारे खोल द्गा तम ईनाम के तौर पर इलैक्ट्रोनिक मीडिया को हमें दे देना । यह जो क तें हैं कि मैनिफैस्टो को फुलफल कर हैं, यह मैनिफैस्टों को फुलफिल नही कर रहे हैं, यह उस वायदे को फुलफिल कर ऋ जो मल्टी-नेशनल्ज के साथ चुनाव के पहले किया था । मुझे

[श्री भमाम हाशनी]

है, ऐसा तजुर्बेकार ममाजवादी यहां बैठा हुन्ना है, कम्युनिस्ट यहां बैठा हुन्ना है (ब्यबबान) साम्यवाद की बात है, साम्यवादी यहां बैठा हुन्ना है, वया स्नापकी निगाहें रेडियो को देख कर नहीं समझ रही हैं कि इस रेडियो में गरीबों की बातें म्राई हैं, यह रेडियो फिरकापरस्ती पैदा करता है । मैं तीन मिसालें दे करके (व्यवधान) जब से नेशनल फंट की सरकार म्राई है। 19-8-90 को दिन में मैं एक सीरियल देख रहा था। उस सीरियल का नाम "न्यू" है। जरा गौर से उपेन्द्र जी देखिए, माथुर साहव, श्राप भी सुनिए, न्य सीरियल देख रहा था। उस सी ियल मैं एक लड़के को दिखाया गया कि वह सूट-बट, टाई, फ्लाइंग शर्ट पहने हुए था। एक लड़के को दिखाया गया अलीगढ़ी पायजामा-कुर्ता पहने हुए श्रौर हल्की-हल्की दाढ़ी रखे हुए था। डिसकिमि-नेशन तो हर जगह दाढ़ी देखकर कर ही दिया जाता है । .. (व्यवधान) मेरी बात सुनिए, जो कुड़ते-पायजामे वाला लडका था उसने पैंट-शर्ट वाले को एक मुक्का मारा ग्रौर कहा कि अंग्रेज की श्रीलाद, अंग्रेज तो चले गए और श्रीलाद छोड गए । अंग्रेजों की ग्रौलाद कौन हो मकते हैं, कहां संकेत हो कता है ? जरा सोचिए । पैंट-शर्ट वाले ने फिर दे।ढी वाले को एक मुक्का मारा ग्रौर कहा कि दाढी वाले चले गए ग्रौर ग्रौलाद छोड गए, इस टी.वी. ग्रौर रेडियो के ग्रन्दर हम अकलियतों को गालियां दी जा रही हैं, दो अकलियतों को लड़ाया जा रहा है । नफरत का बीज बोया जा रहा है। ग्राप सोचते हैं कि इसको ग्रौर बेलगाम कर दिया जाए ? मैं एक मिसाल छोडता हं। . . . (व्यवधान)

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: यह कौन सा सीरियल है ?

्रश्री भानीन हाणनीः "न्यू" 19-8-90 की दोपहर की बात है। एक मिसाल देता हूं। टी.वी. पर सीरियल दिखाया जाता है, प्री-हिस्टोरिकल इसका सीरियल दिखाया जाता है, धार्मिक कथाम्रों का दिखाया जाता है, दंत कथाम्रों पर ग्राधारित सीरियल दिखाया जाता है।(तस्य की घंटा) थोड़ा वक्त दीजिए?

उपसभापति : वक्त मेरे हाथ में नहीं है । ग्रभी मुझे एक स्पीकर को ग्रौर बुलाना है । ग्राप बैठ जाएं ।

श्री सर्वान हाशनी: उपेन्द्र जी, स्नाप मुद्दा-म्रले हैं, मैं मुद्दई हं, एक मुकद्मा पेश कर रहा हूं । येरी फरियाद सुन लीजिए ।

उपशमापतिः मेरी फरियाद उनको सुना दीजिए ।

श्री शनीम हाशनी : हर तरह के सीरियल्स टी.वी पर दिखाए जाते हैं। एक सीरियल ''टीपू सुल्तान'' का है। उसके दारे में पंजाव केसरी का यह फोटो है। ब्रार के. मल्कानी जो ब्रार्ग-नायजर के एडीटर थे, बहुत बड़े बुद्धि-जीवी हैं। उन्होंने कहा था कि टीपू मुल्तान ऐसा देशभक्त था जिसे हर आजाद हिंदुस्तानी सलाम किए बगैर नहीं रह सकता । यह सीरियल जब शनिवार को चलता है तो इनमें पहले यह कहा जाता है कि यह एक काल्पनिक कथा है । इतिहास से इसका कोई ताल्लुक नहीं है। यह बिल्कुल गलत बात है। जो इस पर दिखाया जा रहा है, वह एक नेटक है। स्रापहर शनिवार की रात को मुझे गालियां देते हैं। श्राप हर शनिवार की रात को मेरा अपमान करते हैं। अपप हर शनिवार की रात को मेरे वज्द से खिलवाड़ करते हैं। मैं मातम करने के लिए खड़ा हूं, मैं भाषण करने के लिए नहीं खड़ा हूं। स्राप ऐसा क्यों करते हैं ? 18वीं शताब्दी के ग्रांदर सुल्तान प्रकेला देशभक्त था जिसने कहा था "प्राजादी का एक दिन की जिंदगी, गुलामी की सौ दिन की जिंदगी से ग्रॅंच्छो है ? वह टीपू सृल्तान जिसने **ग्राजाद रहना पसन्द किया, जिसने ग्रंग्रेजों**

की गुलामी कुबूल नहीं की ग्रौर ग्रपनी जान दे दी । इससे हिंदुस्तान की तारीख में यह बात साफ होती है कि मुसलमान भी देशभक्त होता है, मुगलमान भी देश के लिए जान देता है, वह भी ऋष्णादी का मतव ला होता है। लेकिन ाह देश में एक नजरिया चल रहा है. कि मुयलमान सब कुछ हो जकता है, देशभक्त नह हो सकता । कुछ लोगों म् पलमानों की शहरियत छीनकर उसे एरियन बनाना चाहते हैं (समय दी घंटो) । इस तरह से छोटे बच्चों के दिमांग को जहरीला किया जा रहा है कि म्सलमान देशभक्त नहीं होता है। टीपू मुल्तान का जो कैरियर है, वह यह माबित करता है कि इतना बड़ा देशभक्त उस जमाने में नहीं था । उस सीरियल को ...(जनव की घंटा)... देखने से लोगों के दिमाग में आएगा कि मुसलमान भी देशभक्त होता है ... (व्यवधान)... जब यह कहा जाता है कि वह काल्पनिक है ...(व्यवधान)... बुर लगता है।

उपसभापति ": रामीम साहब भाषण खत्म कर दीजिए मेहरबानी फरमाकर।

श्री शनीम हाशनी: मैं एक शेर ग्रर्ज करना हं ---

''फल की पत्ती से कट सकता है हीरे का जिगर, हम मर्दे नादां पर कलामे नर्मे नाज्क बेग्रसर।"

† اشد شمم هاشمی (بها،):

مچترمه هم نے تو سوچا تها که -

هويدة أج اليلاء زخم يلمان كرك چه، ونكا لهر رو رو کے گلشن کو گا۔ تماں کرکے چھرزونگا۔

ليكن همار دامن مين بهكاري کے بعد اگر کچھ وقت بھیا تھا آج نه معلوم کهوں میری قسمت نے میر دامن سے اس وقت کو بھی چهين ليا هـ - اپ سبہا پتی قسمت نے نہیں چهیدا وهپ نے چہید ہے -

Bill 1990

شری شدیم هاشمی : هان جس نے بھی چھینا ھر - 'ہ مختصر وقت میں میري پارٹی کا جو آثانومی پر استينڌ هے اس ساسله ميں جو تجويزين بيش اي گئي هين -جو ترميمين آئي هين - مين اسكي مكمل دورير تائيد كرتا هون -اسكو سانقها هول اور اسكے ساقه هول -مهن هاؤس کا خاص طور پر ليهنتست طاقتون كو - پيروكريسيو لوگار کو اور سیکولر لوگوں کا ایک خاص سازش کی طرف انکے ذھن کو مبذول كرتا هون - يه نه سمجهلًم که میں کانگریس :دآئی،، کا ایک ایم پی بول رہا ھے -

آج کی تاریخ لیجئے -هم آنے والے وفت کی تاریخ<mark>ے۔ ممار</mark>ی زبان تاریخ بے میرے منہ، میں اینی زبن رکهدی هے - میں اس زبن سے بول رہا ہوں - سلئے آج بوهدی هوئی سرمائے داری کا نظام اپنے زندگی کیلئے آخری دور پر ایک ن. ي زندگي کي ترپتي جهٿيٿاهٿ سکے اندر پیدا ہوئی ہے - یہی وہ جهتیتاهت مے ﷺجہاں سرمائے هاری نے الگ الگ ملکوں کے مطابق وھال کی مرقه پرست طاقتوں کے ساتھ گقہ جوڑ کرکے رلیعیس ریوائیلزم کی

[RAJYA SABHA]

جو تحریک شروع کی هے اسکا پہلا طمانچہ پوربی یورپ کے الدر سوشلست ملکوں کی شکست هوتی هے - اور نيو روائيلزم جر ـ ذهب کي شکل میں سرمائے درری دی شکل میں ارین مارکیمت میں کنزیوسر کی شکل میں آیا ہے ۔ وہ ہم دیکھ رہے میں۔ هدد ستان میں بھی سرمایهدار طانتين يه ملتى نهشناز بهت دنون سے اس سازش میں تھیں کہ یہاں کے الیکٹرانک میڈیا کو هم ایلے قبضے میں کر لیں - جو کمزور لوگ هیں وہ ایسا سمجھتے هیں که ایک مثال هميشه اس هاؤس مين پی - بی - سی - کا دیا جادا رَتها -جاپان کا دیا جانا تها آینے سوچا هے انگلینڈ ایک ایکسپهشن کو چهور کر بائے ایات لارج ایک بہاشا کا دیمی ھے ۔ ایک دھرم کا دیو ھے - ایک سائیکی کا دیش ہے - پی- بی- سی-بهی اسی سائیکو کو رپرزینت کرتا هے - اینے سوچا هے که جاپان ایک بهاشا کا دیش هے ایک دهرم کا دیش ھے - ایک کلمچر کا دیش ھے - وہاں كوئى كنترادكش نهين هے - ليكن هندوستان په مان کر چلے -مضاف به شائ کا دیس هے -چهیدریگے سنسکرتی کا دیش ھے -الک الگ مذاهب کا دیش هے -الگ الگ ورگ کا دیمس هے - جہاں پوزیجی واد کے خلاب غربت کراہ رهی هے - غریبوں کی بات هے -

أدى واسيول كي بات إهے هويجنون کی بات ہے مائنارتی کی بات ہے ۔ الكم ايسپيريشن مين أس دهش مهن جو سيكولو سركار بلےكي اساء يه کرتویئے هوتا هے که اس ديه کي ایکتا کیلئے کنیا کداری سے لے کر کشمیر تگ ناگالینت سے لیکر پنجاب تگ جو مختاف تومیں هیں - جو جانيان هين - بهاشائين هين ورگ ههر- جو ايسههريش ههر- پچهوور کو آئے ہڑھانے کی جو ترپ ھے -غریبوں میں جو بیداری ہے دولت کے ہتوارے کیلئے جو خواهش کا برهذا ھے - ان تمام ایسهپریشن کو عمیدیا کو ریرزینت کرتا ہے۔ مهذیا کہا ہے۔ سوکار کی خواهشات کے اظہار کا سوال ھے - یہ ایک ایسی سرکار ھے جو اپنی زبان کات کوکے اس ملگ کے سرمائے داروں کے هاته میں دے رهی هے - اس ملک کی فرقه پرست طاقتوں کے هاتھ میں دے رسی ہے۔ اس چناؤ کے پہلے ہوے ہوے ملتی نينشناز كاسمجهوتها نيشنل فرنت کی سرکار سے ہوا تھا جندا روبیت چاهو درنگا - جهولی نمهارے کهول دونگا تم انقام کے طور پر الهکترانک میڈیا کو همیں دے دینا - یہ جو کہتے ھیں - کہ سینی فیسٹو کو فل فن کر رہے ھیں یہ مینی فیستو کو فل فل نہیں کر رھے ھیں ۔ یہ اس وعدے کو فل فل کہ رہے ھیں -جو مئتی نیشفاؤ کے سانھ انہوں نے چناؤ سے پہلے کہا تھا۔ مجھے تعجب ھے ایسا تعویے کار سماجوادی یہاں بيتها عوا هے - كميونست بيتها هوا هے - . . (مداخلت) . . . سامہ واد کی بات ہے - سامے وادبی یہاں بيتها هوا هے - كيا آيكى نكاهيں ريدير ديكهكر نهين سمجه رعى هين-که اس ریڈیو سهن فرینوں کی باتیں آئی هیں - یه ریدیو فرته پرستی پیدا کرتا ہے - میں تین مثالیں دیکو کے ...(مداخلت)... جب سے لیشنل فرنگ کے سرکار آئی هـ - +9-٨-٩١ كو دن مين ايك سهريل ديكه رها تها - اس سهريل كا نام ددنیوه، هے - زرا غرر سے ایلدر جی دیکھلے - ماتھر صاحب آپ بھی سنئے نیو سیریل میں دیکھ رھا تھا۔ اس سیریل میں ایک لوکے کو دکھایا کیا ہے کہ وہ سوقہ بوقہ فولنگ تائی سوف پہلے هوئے تها - ایک لوکے کو دكهايا كيا على دُوهي بالتجامة كرته بهلي ھوٹے اور ملکی ھلکی دارھی رکھ هولے تها- قسكريمهايدي تو هر جگها دارهی دیکهکر هی دیا جاتا هے -... (مدلخات) ... میری بات سقمُے جو کرتے پائجامے والا لوکا تھا اسلے پہلت شرف والے کو ایک ممد

مارا اور گها که نگریز کی اولاد انگویز تو دلے گئے اور اولاد چهرز گئے ۔ انگریزوں کی اولاد کون هو سکتے هدی کهاں سنکیت هو سکتا هے اوا ذرا سوچئے ۔ پیامت شرت والے نے پهر داڑهی والے کو ایک مکه ماوا اور کہا داڑهی والے جلے گئے اور اولاد کہا داڑهی والے جلے گئے اور اولاد کے اندر هم اقله وی ۔ ری ۔ اور ریڈیو کا ایمان دی جما رهی هیں ۔ در اقلیتوں کو لوایا جا رها هے ۔ نفرت کا بیج بویا جا رها هے ۔ آپ سوچنے هیں که اسکو اور یہ لکام کر دیا جائے ۔ "میں اسکو اور یہ لکام کر دیا جائے ۔ "میں اسکو اور یہ لکام کر دیا جائے ۔ "میں ایک مثال دیکر چهرزنا هوں ۔

شری جکدیش پرساد ماتهر : یه کونسا سیربل <u>ه</u> -

اپ سها پتی : وقت مهرے هاته به هن نهیں هے - ابهی مجھے ایک

دیعے هیں۔ آپ هر سلیچر کی

رات کو میرا ایمان کرتے هیں - آپ ھر سلیمچر کی رات کو وجود کے ساتھ کھلوار کرتے ھیں - میں ماتم

كرنے كيلئے كهرا هوا هوں - ميں بهاشن كونے كيلئے دُهرًا نهيں هوا

هوں - آب ایسا کیوں کرتے هیہ -۸ اویی شخابدی میں تیپو سلطان اکھڑ دیش بھگس تھا جس نے کہا

تها آرادی کی ایک دن کی زلدگی -غلامی کی سو برس کی زندگی سے اچھی

ھے - ساجس ، انگریزوں کی غلامی قبول نہیں کی - اور اینی جان دے

دی - اس سے هندوستان کی تاریخی میں یہ بات صان ہوتی ہے۔

مسلمان بہی دیھی بھاست ھوتا ہے۔

مسلمان بھی دیھی کے لگے جان ديدا هے - وہ بھی آزادی کا متواله

هوتا هے - ليکن اس ديش مين ایک نظریه چل رها هے - که

مسلمانوں سب کچھ هوسکتا هے۔

ديم بهگت نهين هوسکتا - کچه

لوگوں نے مسلمانوں کی شہریت چید ن کو اسے ایرین بنانا چاھئے -..

دد وقت کی گهلتی ی . . . اس طرح

کیا جارها ہے - که مسلمان دیش

سے چھوٹے بھچوں 🚊 دساغ کو زھویلا

اسپيکر کو اور بلانا هے - آپ بيته جايئے -

شرى شىيم ھاشمى : ايندر جى ا آپ مدما علیه هیں میں مدعی هوں۔ ایک مقدمه پیش کر رها هوں -می_{ازی} فریاد سی لیمجگے -

اپ سبها یدی : میری فریاد ان كو سال ديجير -

شری شمیم هاشمی : هر طرح کے سهريل ٿي - بي - پر دانهائے جاتے ههی - ایک سیریل تییو سلطان کا هِ اسكم باري مين پنجاب كيسري کا یہ فوتو ہے ۔ آل - کے - ملکائی جو أركفائزر كے ايذيار تھے - بهت بوے بدھی جیوی ھیں - انہوں نے کہا قها كه تيبو سلطان ايسا ديس بهكت تها - جسي هر أزد هددوستاني سلام كيُّم بغير تهين ولا سكتا - يه سهويل جب سنيچر او چاتا هے - دو اس میں پہلے یہ کہا حاتا ہے کہ یہ (یاک کالیاک کتھا ھے - اتہاس سے اس کا کوئی تعلق نہیں که بالکل فلط بات هے جو اس پر دکھایا جارها ھے - اُولا ایک نہاتک ھے - آپ ھر سلیمی کی رات کو مجھے کالیاں بهکت نهیں هوتا هے۔ ثیپو سلطان کا جو کیریر هے وہ یہ ثابت کرتا هے۔ که اتنا بوا دیھی بکت اس زمانه میں نهیں تھا۔ اس سیریل کو .. در وقت کی گہنتی ،، .. دیکھلے سے لوگوں کے دماغ میں آئیکا۔ که مسلمان بھی دیھی بهگات هوتا هے۔ ... دد مداخلت ،، ... جب یہ کہا جاتا هے که یه کالپنک هے ... دد مداخلت ،، ... بوا لگتا هے۔

اپ سبها پائی: شمیم صاهب وشن خاتم کودیجگ - مهربانی فرماکر -

شری شمیم هاشدی: میں ایک شعر عرض کرنا جاهتا هوں
" پهول کی بای سے کت سکتا ہے میر کی جائزہ کے میر کا جائزہ میں میں نادا رہ دائم نہم بازک بے اثر۔۔۔

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Jagjit Singh Aurora, just five minutes I will give you.

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA (Punjab): Madam Deputy Chairman, my special thanks for permitting me to sreak. I rise to support the Prasar Bharati Bill and congratulate the National Front Government for bringing it to the after successfully piloting it in the Lok Sabha.

It is surprising that the Opposition which was a partner for agreeing to this Bill in the Lok Sabha, has decided that this is a document in which everything is wrong and that, therefore, it has to be sent to a Select Committee of Rajya Sabha to put it right. The number of days spent in Lok Sabha, I think, were four, and now to find that everything is wrong with it, appears somewhat inconvincing. Maybe, politically it is more covenient to forget or to get over what they had already agreed to earlier.

The importance of the electronic media in the present day cannot be overmphasised. We are going through this Bill not to point out the various failings that the National Front has committed during the period they have been in Government. Our aim really is to look at this Bill and to see whether it achieves the autonomy that we are keen that this Bill must have. If one were to go into the performance of the last Government for the last ten years when it was in power, one gets than ever convinced that if it is going to be run for the well being of the public, for the proper information of the public, for the improvement of the public, educathe fall and otherwise, it is necessary that a Bill of this nature must be passed because the misuse by the last Government for ten years was horrendous. And the people who s fered the most were the Punjabis and the Sikhs. It is its misuse that had created a situation in Punjab which has been brewing for the last ten years, and now it has become an intractable problem. So. it is necessary that this Bill must be passed by all right-thinking people. Any effort at trying to delay it would be doing a great deal of harm and mischief to the people of India.

[Sardar Jagjit Singh Aurora]

I feel that the basic concept of this Bill has everything to commend it. There may be certain restrictions which may be unwanted at the moment. But one has to realise that neither the Government nor the new organisation has had any experience of working of an autonomous electronic media. Maybe, we are erring on the side of having more restrictions that it would have been desirable in the end. But you can never achieve perfection in one step. So, I find that basically this Bill is sound.

A certain amount of criticism has been made about the selection of the Prasar Bharati Committee. I am surprised at it that because the selection committee for selecting the key members of the Committee could not have consisted of people more respected than the Vice-President, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Couri and the President himself. In constitution of the Committee it has been seen that the Members of workers and engineers and the staff have been included.

criticism Similarly, the about the Broadcasting Council, I think, called for I find that possibly have not understood or misunderstood its functioning. It is really a committee which would be accessible to the public. The public shall come out with their complaints and suggestions to it. Its job is to shift those complaints and suggestions and pass them on to the Bharati Committee.

The inclusion of a Parliamentary Committee is innovative, and I consider it extremely useful and a good idea because this would show that the final control or judgement is going to rest with Parliament and not with the Government itself. Therefore, it is up to Parliament to see that the media functions, the Prasar Bharati functions, properly, and if there are any failings and shortcomings, these can put right.

So, I commend this Bill, and I do hope that the Members of this House would give this Bill a real chance and let it work. If there are any changes to

be made, any amendments to be carried out, those can be done later.

Thank you very much,

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, the discussion is over. I would request the Minister to reply.

RE. ARREST AND RELEASE OF TWO MEMBERS

SHRI KAHNU CHARAN LENKA (Orissa): Madam, I would like to make one submission.

Yesterday, I myself and Mr. Basudeb Mohapatra, a Member of the House, had been arrested at Bhubaneswar at 11 a.m. and we had been released at 7 p.m. in the evening. I doubt whether the information has come to you. But we had been obstructed on the way. We had to come yesterday to Parliament to attend Parliament for voting today, but we have been obstructed. This is a question of privilege of the House.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You had mentioned it to me in my chamber. I enquired from the Secretariat if they had received any intimation. I was informed and I have got a telex before me. The Secretariat received the intimation at 11 a,m, today. It says about your arrest and release, both. It says: "Hon Chairman, Rajya Sabha, New Delhi. INF Special...." I don't Orissa, Cuttack, know what all. It says: "Reference Office WTMSG," some number, Sarvashri Kanu Charan Lenka and Basudeb Mohapatra, both MPs, Rajya Sabha, who were arrested on 4|5-9-90 at 12 noon have been released on PR bond, the same day at 6.20 p.m. from Khandagari Police Station."

SHRI KAHNU CHARAN LENKA: Madam, this is not correct that we have been released on PR bond.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: O.K., but this is the information which came and I have read it out.

SHRI BASUDEB MOHAPATRA (Orissa): We have been released unconditionally.