

Sl. No.	Station	Sl. No.	Station
40.	Aizwal	50.	Vijayawada
41.	Agartala	51.	Ernakulam
42.	Indore	52.	Cuttack
43.	Jullandhar	53.	Jammu Tawi
44.	Nagpur	54.	Pondicherry
45.	Sholapur	55.	Silcher
46.	Panaji	56.	Gorakhpur
47.	Rajkot	57.	Moradabad
48.	Surat	58.	Varanasi
49.	Nasik		

#### Petrochemical Projects in Rajasthan

1457. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have appointed any expert Committee to identify potentials for petro-chemical projects in the State of Rajasthan;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof stating the petro-chemical projects, if any identified by the expert Committee that are likely to come up in the State of Rajasthan; and

(c) what is the estimated cost of the projects and whether these projects would be exclusively under the central sector or in the joint sector?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

#### Aromatic Plant at Salempur

1458. SHRI KAPIL VERMA:  
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the reasons for the delay in setting up of an aromatic plant at 354 R. S.—4.

Salempur in Aligarh District of Uttar Pradesh, when was a letter of intent issued to P.I.C. Uttar Pradesh; and

(b) whether any alternative arrangements are being made for implementation of the projects, if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) A letter of intent granted to Pradeshiya Industrial Investment Corporation of Uttar Pradesh Limited (PICUP) for setting up of an aromatic Complex at Salempur in Aligarh District has been treated as lapsed. Some applications for licence for setting up of this Aromatic Complex has been received by the Government. Decision on such applications are taken on Techno Economic consideration. Details of the same are not disclosed until a final decision is taken thereon.

#### Fall in cement production in factories under Cement Corporation of India

1459. SHRI PRITHIBI MAJHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Cement production has fallen during the last three years in some of the factories run by the Cement Corporation of India; and

(b) if so, what are the names of such factories and the extent by which this production is less than the estimated production?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY  
(SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b)  
Unit-wise production of cement in the

various Units of Cement Corporation of India Ltd. (CCI) for the years 1986-87, 1987-88 and 1988-89 is available in the statement (See below). The Table below gives the names of three units of CCI where production was less than the estimated production during the year 1988-89

Name of the Unit	Targetfixed	Actual Production	Shortfall (—)
(Figures in tonnes)			
Bokajan, Assam . . . . .	180000	158520	(—)21480
Akaltara, Madhya Pradesh . . . . .	325000	228630	(—)96370
Yerraguntla Andhra Pradesh . . . . .	255000	228629	(—)26371

#### Statement

*Cement Corporation of India's unit-wise Cement production for the last three Years i.e. during 1986-87, 1987-88 and 1988-89*

(figures in tonnes)

Sl. No.	Name of the Unit	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
		Actual Production of Cement	Actual Production of Cement	Actual Production of Cement
1.	Mandhar, M.P. . . . .	313400	354120	340420
2.	Kurkunta, Karanataka . . . . .	170590	190100	174500
3.	Bokajn, Assam . . . . .	164010	168030	158520
4.	Rajban, H.P. . . . .	145162	190359	174846
5.	Nayagaon, M.P. . . . .	269466	259147	309000
6.	Akaltara, M.P.	260200	260400	228630
7.	Yerraguntla, A.P.	234870	168704	228629
8.	Gharkhidadri, Haryana	146310	149500	145700
9.	Adelabad, A.P.	261750	194350	256472
10.	Tandur, A.P.	30030*	300500**	460550

\*Trial Production.

\*\*Commenced commercial Production from 1-7-87.