recommendation of the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha do agree to nominate one member from Rajya Sabha to associate with the Committee on Public Accounts of the Lok Sabha for the unexpired portion of the term of the Committee vice Shri Kalpnath Rai who ceased to be a member of the Committee on his appointment as a Minister of State, and do proceed to elect, in such manner as the Chairman may direct, one member from among the members of the House to serve on the said Committee."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

REPORT OF COMMISSION ON CENTRE-STATE RELATIONS—Contd.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now the Minister of Home Affairs is here; we will have the reply and then go for the special mentions.

THE MINISTER OF HOME FAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): Hon. Deputy Chairman, I must express my sincere thanks to the hon. Members who have participated in this very important debate. The subject matter is of great importance from the national point of view and the hon. Members have given their valuable suggestions, apart from some of the political comments mainly persuaded by their compulsions of body politic. By and large, the debate has been in keeping with the importance of the As I said in the beginning of this debate, in my opening remarks. Government has an open mind on the recommendations of the Commission and would examine them impartially taking into consideration all the view points that are expresed by Members of Parliament, State Governments and other important persons in all walks of life including the various political parties. As I said in my observations the attitude of the Government towards the recommendations of the Commission is one of open-mindedness and also trying to see the viewpoints of all the political parties. I must say that the Commission has done a yeoman service to the country.

It is not a Commission in the narrow sense of the term. They have tried to include in their study the viewpoints of all the political orgamisations, intellectuals, academicians. professionals, Governments and those who were willing to offer their valuable suggestions to the Commission. They had a very extensive and indepth study of this vital issue affecting the life of the nation. I myself appeared before the Commission. Most of the stalwarts of different political parties appeared before the Commission. The Commission while interviewing the various personalities and various representatives was very accommodative. The Commission comprised of eminent jurists and other high personages who gave opportunity to everyone whosoever wanted to appear before the Commission. The members of the Commission made suggestions on which the persons who appeared before the Commission could think in depth and respond to the queries made by the Commission.

ा है हैं

The Commission also circulated a questionnaire, a very comprehensive questionnaire, all over the country to all concerned and got their replies in writing. The Commission also solicited the opinion of the various State Governments, whether the Governments were ruled by the party which was ruling at the Centre or by other political parties. Commission had the considered opinion of all the political shades in our Not only that. Atter the country. receipt of the recommendations the Commission, we also have refered the document, the report of the Commission, to the relevant parties and

[Shri Buta Singh]

ாவு செ

Commission

the concerned fora so that even if the Commission had gone into the detailed memoranda given by the respec-... tive parties, organisations and insti-· · · tutions, these people can see how much of their viewpoints has been covered, how much of it has not been covered and is left to be pondered over after the report is given to the Government. We have given time aris to these institutions and political bo-· dies to reflect upon the report of the Commission and come back with their response, if they want to. We have written to all the State Governments. As I reported to this august House, ··· ¿ only nine State Governments have so far reported to us their viewpoints. We expect that the other State Governments will also respond to queries made on the report that have been sent for their consideration.

-จักการ. -มะเมกา ว่า รวงร‱ราสั Therefore the whole exercise indimeates one thing. Not only that we " * have an open mind. I do not know why Chaturananji describes us by speople without a mind. But I think we have a mind at least, a mind to receive. But Chaturananji has to in may very cleverly...

-धी-चतुरानन मिश्र (बिहार): हमारा कंटैक्सट था कि जहां भ्राप जाते हैं, वहां कंट्रोवर्सी खड़ी कर देते हैं। उस ्रसेंस में हमने कहा था। ... (व्यवधान) कंट्रोवर्सी खड़ी _ःज्ञहां जाइयेगा, ाः द्वीजिएगा, तो क्यां कहेंगे?

िंश्री बृटा सिंह: कंट्रोवर्सी तो उसी के उपरहोगी ना जिसके दिमाग में कुछ . अब्द होगा जिसके दिमाग में ही कुछ नहीं ्होगा उसके ऊपर क्या कंट्रोवर्सी होगी । He will be a log of wood like you. There will be an controversy. Therefore, only a mind which vibrates A mind which gets a controversy. does not vibrate, which does not send signals, which does not receive sigals; how can there be a controversy on such a mind?

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHR You can say something and get expunged.

on Centre-

State Relations

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am only er joying what you said.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRA KANT BHANDARE (Maharashtra) Controversy is the very heart democracy.

direct, one egg, to from

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Controvers is one thing which keeps the dialogue alive. I welcome his remarks, bu only as one of my colleagues, Jagesh Desai, said while participating in the debate. उन्होंने चतुरानन जी को जहां सट वह कोटेशन - पढ देते जहां सूट नहीं करता वहां नहीं पढ़ते हैं। भ्रपने भाषण में कांग्रेस जनों के बारे में उन्होंने कहा। खैर मैं तो उतना चतुर नहीं हूं जितना चतुरानन जी हैं, न ही मैं इतना विद्वान हुं। लेकिन मैं उनसे कहना चाहता कि वह पैरा खाली कांग्रेसमैन के बारे में नहीं है। कांग्रेसमैन के बारे में उन्होंने यह कहा कि शुरू-शुरू में उनके स्वतंत्रता संग्राम का ग्रसर था, वे लोग परिश्रमी थे, उनको ग्रनुभव था, व बहुत विशाल हृदय के थे। तो उनमें खाली कांग्रसमैन ही नहीं थे, बहुत से सज्जन जो ग्राज उधर बैठे हुए हैं, वे भी थे, उसमें उन्होंने ग्राज के पोलिटीशिन की बात कही हैं, खाली कांग्रेसजनों की बात नहीं है। ग्रगर मसलमैन की बात करते हैं तो वह श्रापके बगल में <mark>बै</mark>ठे हुए हैं। सी० पी० एम० वाले तो किसी चीज का विश्लेषण किया जाए तो सही संदर्भ में करना चाहिए। वह संदर्भ पोलिटीशिस का है, उसमें कांग्रेसी हैं ग्रौर दूसरे पोलिटिशियंस भी हैं, ग्राज की जनरेशन के पोलिटीशियंस भी हैं, बारे में उन्होंने कहा It is about the generation of politi cians. If it is true with the Congress, it is true with the other parties also. Do you deny it? I do not think you can deny it. (Interruptions).

भी सुब्रह्मण्यम स्वामी (उत्तर प्रदेश): माप जंगली इस तएफ क्यों दिखाते हैं? **ब्टा सिंह** : मेरा मतलब है कि ग्राप सरकारिया कमीशन के पैरा की ध्यान से देखें जिस पर चतरानन जी ने **कहा कि भ्रा**ज के कांग्रेसी ऐसे हैं। मैं न्**मान**ता हूं कि भ्राज के पोलिटोशियंस ऐसे -हैं कि उन्होंने कभी सोचा भी नहीं था कि वे इस देश में राज करेंगे। उन्होंने एक ही बात सोची थी कि देश की -श्राजादी के लिए ग्रगर मरनापड़े तो मरेंगे। थोड़े जो लोग उसमें बचे सौभाग्य से इस देश के लिए वह लोग बचे जो इस देश के कर्णधार है, जैसे पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरू, सरदा? पटेल, राजाजी, डॉ. बाबा साहब ग्रंबेदकर, मौलाना श्राजाद साहब। ऐसे-ऐसे लोग बच गए जिन्होंने सारा जीवन संघर्ष में बिताया राष्ट्रिपता महात्मा गांधी जी के नेतृत्व में उन्होंने त्याग ही त्याग देखा, बलिदान ही बलिदान देखा श्रीर पूरा जीवन, ग्रयना पूरा यौवन देश की सेवा में समिपत किया। उनका जीवन संघर्षमय जीवन था। देश की ग्राजादी के बाद उन्होंने राष्ट्र निर्माण में जो योगदान दिया, वह हमारे सामने एक प्रत्यक्ष सूर्य की तरह हमारे देश के संविधान के नाम से उजागर है। यह कोई लीगल डॉक्युमेंट नहीं है। हालांकि यहां पर बठे हुए जो बड़-बड़े विद्वान, न्यायाधीश बैठे हुए हैं, जो बड़े-बड़े बकील बैठे हुए हैं, वे तो यही कहेंगे कि यह लीगल डॉक्यमेंट है, मगर देश के लोगों है यह निचोड के लिए यह निचोड़ है सौ साल की ग्राजादी के संघर्ष का। यह निचोड़ है हमारी भारत की पुरातन संस्कृति का। यह निचोड़ है हमारे राष्ट्रिपिता महात्मा गांधी की तपस्या का जिन्होंने श्रपने पूरे जीवन में सत्य ग्रीर ग्रंहिंसा को ग्रपनाया। उन्होंने ग्रौर हमारे देश को जो बड़े-बड़े महान नेता थे उन्होंने देण को ग्राजादी दिलाने ग्रौर ग्रपने पूरी जीवन का ग्रनुभव इसमें भरकर रखा। 'में समझता हूं कि हमारे देश का सौकाय यह हैं कि ऐसे-ऐसे महान नेता हमारे वेश को मिले। ग्राजादी के वक्त जो न केवल आजादी का ही सोच रहे थे, साथ ही साथ वे यह भी सोच रहे थे कि

हमारे देश का भविष्य क्या होगा। श्राजादी के बाद हमारे देश के लोगों की सामाजिक ब्राइयां, भृखमरी, जहालत, श्रनपढ़ता, श्रस्पृश्यता इन चीजों को कैसे खत्म किया जाएगा। वे बहुत ग्रागे की बातों को सोचने वाले महान पुरुष थे जिनके बारे में सरकारिया जी ने भी ग्रपनी रिपोर्ट में बहुत ही योग्य शब्दों के साथ, बड़ी श्रद्धा के साथ उल्लेख किया है। उन लोगों की बात हुई थी जब पालिटिशंस की उस वक्त की बात चल रही था भ्रौर जो हमारा संविधान है यह कोई एक बहुत संकीर्ण रूप में, एक छोटा सा डांक्युमेंट नहीं, यह एक न्याय दर्शन है। इसमें से पैदा होता है हमारे न्याय दर्शन है, यह फलसफा है । इसमें से पैदा होता है हमारे देश का भविष्य, इसमें से पैदा होता है हमारे देश का, समाज का निर्माण । इसीलिए स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी जी ने सोच समझकर के हमारे संविधान का जो प्रिएम्बल था उसमें उन शब्दों का उल्लेख किया, उनको दर्ज किया । इसी मान्यवर सदन ने उनको स्वीकृत किया क्योंकि यह जो फलसफा है कि देश हमारा कैसा होगा, दुनियां में इसकी क्या छवि होगी, क्या इसके आधार होंगे, क्या इसके मृल्य होंगे, उनका हमारे संविधान का सिरलेख है, प्रिएम्बल है उसमें उल्लेख किया गया है। मैं तो भ्रपने संविधान को उन्हीं शब्दों के **ग्रन्तर्गत देखता हूं जब भी मैं संविधान की** बात सुनता हूं या संविधान की बात करता हं। हमारे बड़े विशेषज्ञ बैठे हुये हैं, हमारे विद्वान, हमारे मूरली भंडारे जी, मदन जी, जस्टिस मसुदकर जी, भाटिया जस्टिस बहरूल इस्लाम साहिब ग्रौर बड़े विद्वान हमारे मान्यवर सदस्यों ने हमारे संविधान के ऊपर बड़ ग्रच्छे-ग्रच्छे विचार व्यक्त किए हैं, मगर चाहे वह राज्य के श्रीर केन्द्र के श्रापस में संबंधों की बात हो, ग्रधिकारों की बात हो, ग्रधिकार क्षेत्र की बात हो, इन सबसे पीछे जो तक है, जो मूल इनकी धारा है वह है हमारे संवि-धान की जिस्ट--जो हमारे संविधान की रूह है, जो हमारे संविधान की ग्रात्मा है। किसी ने कांग्रेस की तरफ से यह नहीं कहा कि संविधान मजबूत होना चाहिये राज्यों की कास्ट पर, हमारा यह ध्येय नहीं है।

232

[श्री सुब्रह्मण्यम स्वामी]

ग्रभी कल बोल रहे थे जिस्टिस साहब या हमारे जगेश देसाई जो, उन्होंने प्रपने भाषण में जो अधिकार राज्यों को मिलने चाहिये, राज्य ग्रीर केन्द्र यह श्रापस में राइ वल नहीं हैं। यह दोनों ही ऐसी जो एजेन्सियां हैं ऐसे दो साधन हैं जो देश के लोगों की सेवा के लिए लगे हुये हैं। सबसे ज्यादा महत्व है देश के लोगों की सेवा। यदि ग्राप एक ही प्रश्न को ले लें, जैसे कल बहुत से माननीय सदस्यों ने सी उीर बहुत से एसे कर हैं जो गवर्नमेंट श्राफ इण्डिया वसूल करके वापिस सरकार को देती है।

मैं एक प्रश्न करता हूं। हमारा 20 नुकाती प्रोग्राम है। यह ग्राज से नहीं, यह राष्ट्रिपता महात्मा गांधी जी के वक्त से चला हम्रा प्रोग्राम है । समय-समय पर जैसेजैसे परिस्थितियों में तबदोली होती है, समाज में तबदीली होती है, विकास की म्राकांक्षाएं बदलती हैं, बढ़ती हैं, स्रागे बढ़ती हैं वैसे-वैसे इनमें एडजस्टमेंट होता है, मगर यह प्रोग्राम कोई नया प्रोग्राम नहीं है - श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी जी ने जब यह प्रोग्राम इस सदन के सामने पेश किया था, मुझ ा**याद है** उन्होंने यह कहा ा कि यह कांग्रेस का उस वक्त का प्रोग्राम है जब कि कांग्रस स्वतन्त्रता संग्राम में उलझी हुई थी, हमारे देशभक्त जूझ रहे थे, उस वक्त का यह प्रोग्राम है ग्रौर यह एक ऐसा भारत सरकार प्रोग्राम है जिसे केन्द्र श्रीर राज्य सरकारों की सहमति से तय किया है, उनके साथ बराबर परामशं करके तय किया है। इसमें कोई ग्रधिकार क्षेत्र देखना चाहे तो मैं समझता हं कि यह न तो स्रकेला राज्य का है स्रौर न ही स्रकेले केन्द्र के क्षेत्र में है, ये तो सम्मिलित रूप में इसको कार्यान्वित करेंगे तभी जाकर के इसका फायदा हो सकेगा। इसमें यदि केन्द्र सरकार 20 नुकाती प्रोग्राम में किसी पाज्य सरकार से एक बात पूछ लेती है कि श्रापकी मानिटरिंग में यह चीज पूरी नहीं की जा सकी है, इसमें जितना पैसा एलोकेट हमा था वह म्रापने नहीं खर्च किया तो उसमें यह माना जाता है कि केन्द्र ने श्राक-मण कर दिया। जिस चीज से बाकायदा भापसी परामर्श के साथ बातचीत करके तय किया गया, प्लानिंग कमीशन में एग्री

किया गया, मिनिस्ट्री भ्राफ रूरल डैवलप-मेंट में बैठकर लक्ष्य निर्घारित किए गए, टारगेट फिक्स किए गए, उसके लिए साधन फिक्स किए गए श्रीर यह भी माना गया कि केन्द्र सरकार इसको मानिटर करेगी। केन्द्र सरकार जब मानिटर करती है किसी एक प्रदेश से, किसी एक राज्य सरकार से पूछा जाता है कि म्रापके इस तिमाही में इतने भ्रांकडे, इतने भ्रापके लक्ष्य जो हैं वह पीछे हैं, इसके लिए ग्राप क्या व्याख्या देना चाहते हैं तो बहुत बड़ा बवण्डर खड़ा कर दिया जाता है कि केन्द्र ने हस्तक्षेप कर दिया, केन्द्र ने हमला कर दिया । इसका मतलब यह हुन्ना कि केन्द्र को म्रिध-कार चाहिए केवल प्रधिकारों के लिए। जो उसका द्धादर्श है, जो उसका ध्येय है वह पूरा नहीं होता तो किस का नुकसान होता है ? न केन्द्र का नुकसान होता है ग्रौर न राज्य सरकारों का नुकसान होता है। नुकसान होता है गरीबों का घीर श्रादिवासियों का । जो गरीबी की रेखा के नीचे पिसते रहते है उनका नुकसान होता है। मै अनुभव की बात कह रहा हूं। यह खाली कोई सरकारिया कमीशन की रिपोर्ट पर टिपणी नहीं कर रहा हूं। जिस तरह के अपिस में रिलेशंस चल रहे हैं उसकी बात कर रहा हू। मैं इंडीविजुग्नल प्रक्न भी नहीं उठाऊंगा क्योंकि मान्यवर हमारे चीधरी साहब, इनका पुराना नाम मुझे याद है, नया नाम भूल जाता हूं, उपेन्द्र जी, इन्होंने कुछ व्यक्तियों के बारे में, हाई, **ग्रा**फिशियल्स के बारे में चर्चा की । **मैं** नहीं समझता आज की डिबेट उस चीज के लिए है। जब मौका भ्रायेगा तस ५र जो भी यथायोग्य जवाब होगा वह दुंगा। एक श्राश्चर्य सामने श्राया है। मैं किसी पार्टी को सामने रख कर नहीं कह रहा है। यह एक साध।रण अनुभव की बात है पूरे राष्ट्र में । जहां तक साधन ज्टाने का प्रश्न है बहुत सी राज्य सरकारें यह समझती हैं कि साधन ज्टाना केवल केन्द्र का काम है। श्राप किसी भी सरकार को लें, विशेषकर उन सरक रों के बारेमें है जो इस वक्त कांग्रेस रूल्ड स्टेट्स नहीं हैं। यदि ग्राप पिछले 3-4 साल का उनका हिसाब-किताब देखें तो कोई भी

सख्त कदम लेने से वह घबराई हैं। जहां कहीं रिसोंसेज की बात आती है . . .

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA (Andhra Pradesh): It is not a fact

भी बड (सिड: जब म्रावका मौका भावे तो बील लेना। कहीं-मही साधन जुटाने के लिए सस्त कदम नहीं उठाये गये हैं। इस प्रकार की प्रवृत्ति राज्यों में चल रही है। मैं तो पूरे राज्यों की बात कर रहा हूं। भ्राप क्यों घबरा रहे हैं। जिसे डोल मनी कहते हैं उस पर रहना ज्यादा अ छ। समझते हैं। राजनीतिक सता जिसको पोलिटिकल विल कहते हैं वह नहीं है। मुझे भी थोड़ा सा अनुभव है। मैं भी थोड़ा सा रूरल डेवल५ मेट मिनिस्टी में रहा हूं। बड़ी चर्चा होती है जब कभी कोई अपित आती है, विपदा आती है या कभी भी इस प्रकार की बात होती है तो दस गुना, बीस गुना बढा कर. . .

SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA RAO (Andhra Pradesh); The Minister is saying "dole." I want to know whose property it is. Who is giving to whom?

... (Interruptions) ...

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I will tell The property belongs to the people of this country. ... (Interruptions) ...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please don't interrupt.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: The people of this country are the masters. If you have cared to read the Constitution of India, the first line is "We, the people of India..."-not the people of Nagaland, or the people of Punjab or the people of West Bengal or the people of Maharashtra. It is the people of India. ... (Interruptions) ... It is the people of India who are the supreme masters. Whether it is the Central Government or the State Government, we should be seen serving the per of India. That will be the best relationship between the Union and the

State Relations

on Centre-

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Why don't you practise what you

SHRI BUTA SINGH: That is what I am telling you, that you should practise in your States also. We are practising it. ... (Interruptions)... They have a State. In Karnataka they must practise. المرافقة وجعر المصارة الممهم الاقتدارات

What I am trying to say is that the State Governments, in general, fight very, very, shy. They lack the political will to mop up resources and they always try to fall on the resources of the Central Government very well.

SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA RAO: You are exploiting all their resources. All the States' resource, you are taking away to the Centre.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down.

श्री महेन्द्र सिंह लाउर (हरियाणा) : शिक्षा तो नहीं दे रहे आप किसी को।

... (Interruptions) ...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Don't interrupt when the Minister is replying. You had your chance to make your statement. Now he has his chance to speak. Please don't interhupt him now, ... (Interruptions)... This is not the way to interrupt.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am not blaming any particular State.

... (Interruptions) ...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: he is the Home Minister. When you were making your points, he did not interrupt you. When he is making his points, please don't interrupt. Please sit down ... (Interruptions) ... Please, Professor Saheb, behave well. Please sit down.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am not blaming any particular Government. The trend that has developed in the past 39 years is that the State Governments feel that they have no, sometimes they lack, political will, they hesitate to mop up resources. That is why the onus falls always on the Central Government.

Most of the hon. Members have laid stress on the federal character of our Constitution. As you know, I am nobody, I am not an authority on the Constitution. My learned colleagues, Madam Bhatiaji, Bhandareji, Justice Masodkarji have dwelt at length on the nature of our Constitution, flexiblity of our Constitution, flexiblity of our Constitution, stituent Assembly on this issue: what is the nature of our Union Government?

10 5

The Constitution, as it emerged from the Constituent Assembly 1949 has important federal features. but it cannot be called federal" in the classical sense. It cannot be called "unitary" either. It envisages a diversified political system of a special type. According to Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar, it is "unitary" in an extraordinary situation such as war or emergency and "federal" in normal times. Some authorities have classified it as "quasi-federal". However these labels hardly matter as levels of government derive their respective powers from the written Constitution which is supreme, and there is a Supreme Court to interpret our Constitution. Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar while speaking on the motion to designate India as a "Federation of States," has explained, and a quote:

"....that though India was to be a federation, the federation was not the result of an agreement by the States to join in a federation and that the federation not being the retult of an agreement, no State has the right to secede from it. The federation is a Union because it is

indestructible. Though the country and the people may be divided into different States for convenience of administration, the country is one integral whole, its people are a single people living under a single imperium derived from a single imperium derived from a single ource. The Americans had to wage a civil war to establish that the States have no right to secession and that their federation was indestructible. The Drafting Committee thought that it was better to make it clear at the outset rather than to leave it to speculation or to disputes."

This is the character of our Indian Constitution. (Interruptions) I can understand the unusual concern extraordinary concern of the hon. Members in this House because this is the Council of States, and we are equally concerned because India is a unit, our Constitution envisages the coutry as one whole unit and not in parts.

Some hon. Members went to the extent of calling India as a multinational country, state. I am sorry, I...

SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE (West Bengal): He has explained the position.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am telling you the harm. The harm is........... (Interruptions)

SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE: He should understand the difference between "nation" and "nationality". There are linguistic divisions.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am happy, the hon lady Member has put it. Let me tell you refute it with all the strength at my command. We have only one nationality. There is no other nationality in this country. India is one nation.

DR. G. VIJAYA MOHAN REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Why is there fight between Maharashtra and Karnataka?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: What are you talking? (Interruptions) Look at the vision of our Constitution makers.

Report of Commission .

DR. G. VIJAYA MOHAN REDDY: When the army revolted, you nowhere.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Even those who started with various nations, for one country, one State, have now started demolishing those nations and they are coming together. What is happening across our border? largest country has started demolishing the theory of various nations. (Interruptions)

पटला प्राप्त स्थाप

भारतवर्ष एक राष्ट्र है ग्रौर हर एक भारत का रहने वाला भारतीय है।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please dont interrupt.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: There is no other nation. I refute this thesis. There cannot be any other nation. There can be other languages, races, cultures, everything, but there cannot be any other nation. Let it be understood. (Interruptions) If that is the attitude...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Don't interrupt please.

SHRI PARVATHANENT UPENDRA (Andhra Pradesh): He is creating an artificial controversy regarding federation of States. It is a very dangerous thing to interpret like that. No body said that. Why are you calling unity in diversity. There are different ethnic groups and there is no denying the fact.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: All ethnic groups belong to one nation and that is India. Ethnic groups cannot constitute a nation in our country. (Interruptions) Ethnic groups are Indians. They are not beyond India. Let me tell you, Mr Upendra. Ethnic group is Indian. It is not beyond India. (Interruptions)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Why d_0 you call it unity in diversity? SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE: Madam, I am on a point of order.

In regard to the very concept of unity in diversity, our great Rabindra Nath Tabore says:

विन्ध्य हिमाचल यम्ना गंगा उच्छल जलधि तरंगा

State Relations

on Centre-

That is our concept. There are : many languages, many cultures, many ideas. (Interruptions) . ::Hef

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: That means many ethnic groups.... (Interruptions)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: right. You have made your point of m order.

SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE: This is the very concept of unity in h The Hon. Minister is disdiversity. (Interruptions) torting it.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Thanker you. There is no point of order. Mr. 11 Minister, you continue.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: W. It was the Congress which split theres country. It is the Congress which no split the parties in the country. believe in one nation. Why is he creating an artificial crisis in country?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Subramanian, you will have your time to speak.

SWAMY: SUBRAMANIAN SHRI Thank you. Give me a lot of time.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, In. won't.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Yesterday, Shri Aladi Aruna was sitting Mr. Upendra. He again propounded that we are multinationals. I don't know how...(Interruptions)

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN (Tamil. Nadu): Please, permit me to say that sub-nationality is one thing that has been accepted even in USSR. territory...(Interruptions)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: HOMA Member, please sit down. You can-(Interrupnot interrupt like this. tions) Please take your seat. (Interruptions) (and all and

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: What about your National Anthem? It talks of sub-cultures, languages, history, sub-nationalites. (Interruptions)

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOW-DHURY (Andhra Pradesh): If you talk of nationality, why are you talking in English? It is not our mother tongue. First of all let the Minister for Home, who cannot go home, in whose own State there is President's rule, which has violated the Constitution and freedom, where they have imposed Articles 249, (Interruptions) Please let me tell you, let him not be proud of guibbling in English which is neither his mother tongue nor my mother tongue. If you cannot speak in Hindi on these floors, don't blame anybody... (Interruptions)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We are running the House. Let the Minister make his point. You had your time and you made your point. That is his initial speech.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Let me remind the hon. Lady Member that let us not be...(Interruptions) This is the most beautiful lady Member.

श्रीमती रेणुका चौधरी : मैं ब्यूटिफुल हूं । मैं ब्यूटिफुल नहीं ड्यूटिफुल हूं ।

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Let her say... (Interruptions) Madam, let me in all seriousness. ... (Interruptions) ... I want to be particular on this point and I want to emphasise this time and again. Let the whole House hear what our hon. Member, Shri Jagjit Singh Aurora...

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA (Punjab); I am not Shri Jagjit Singh Aurora but Sardar Jagjit Singh Aurora.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Gen. Jagjit Singh Aurora....

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AU-RORA: I will accept that.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Madam, let this House realise what havor, what misery this loose talk in Punjab has led to. It was this very slogan that has led to today's bloodbath in Punjab. People have been misled by this nation theory....(Interruptions):

PROF. SOURENDRA BHATTA-CHARJEE (West Bengal): It was inspired by your party.

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AU-RORA: This is very unfair.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: This nation theory has thrown Punjab into such an irretrievable sort of situation that we are finding it difficult. People are getting killed and this kind of loose talk on the nationhood will spell havoc all over the country if we allow it. The Government of India is determined to curb it. We will never allow this idea of multi-nation in our country. Let me repeat it. Yesterday, Mr. Aladi Aruna has raised it and I want to repeat it. ... (Interruptions)

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF
THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE
TRANSPORT (SHRI RAJESH
PILOT): You are wrong. Most of your
Members....(Interruptions)....

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: Why he is quarreling on this issue? I cannot understand. Let him reply to the points raised.

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AU-RORA: Let me make a submission.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Sardar Aurora, please sit down. No submission now. Whatever you speak, I would see to it that it will not go on record. ... (Interruptions)... I will not permit you.

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AU-RORA: I would like to answer that.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: You said it and that is why I named you. Let me take it.

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AU-RORA: The point is that I accepted it at that time. Therefore, what is the point in naming in that?

CDON' C.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: It was repeated here.

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AU-RORA: That does not matter. I, at that time...

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I accepted it. Your explanation. ... (Interruptions) I am only telling you ... (Interruptions)...

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AU-RORA: You have accepted it?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: What I am saying is that this kind of loose talk always brings misery to the people.

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA: I am sorry, Don't talk about loose talk.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am only cautioning the House. As a Home Minister it is my duty to caution the people, to caution this august House.. (Interruptions)

PROF. SOURENDRA BHATTA-CHARJEE. Madam, loose talk should be expunged from the records of the House.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I would request the hon Members to be quiet. . (Interruptions...I have called the Minister to speak and I cannot ask him to be quiet. Please sit down. No loose talk in the House also.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Madam, with all humility, may I take it since the Members from almost all the political parties sitting on the other side of the House have tried to browbeat me on this issue that this is the programme of the National Front?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No.

SHRE BUTA SINGH: Is this the stand of the National Front on the issue of nationhood? Is this the stand of the National Front? Can I take it?

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: The first point in National Front programme deals with the unity of the country and unity in diversity.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Do you hold that India is a multinational State?

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY (Pondicherry): National Front has no programme and no policy... (Interruptions)...

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY (Karnataka): Because you have attacked the National Front, I only want to clarify...

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am not attacking. I am only putting what you have said.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Your Swamy Ji has spoken on it. Do you disagree with Swamy Ji? He has supported the idea of multi-nation.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: No. On the contrary, I said, your Congress Party have divided the country. I never said it. (Interruptions).

TUR

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY:
May I make it clear that on behalf of
the National Front and on behalf of
my party, because he said, almost all
the parties. I do not know which parties he included but he said...(Interruptions)...

SHRI BUTA SINGH: You know which party is in the National Front.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: May I make it clear? I do not want to score point on this. He has raised a very serious matter.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: No, I have not raised it. Aladi Aruna has raised it and I have to respond. I am respond: ing only.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: I am not scoring a point on that. A very serious matter has been raised in the House, questioning the patriotism and the nationalism of political parties.
...(Interruptions)...

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Don't misquote me. I have said, is this the stand of the National Front? (Interruption).. I have not called anybody unpatriotic. (Interruptions)

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY : I am not referring to you. I said, it has been raised. A vital question a very serious matter has been raised. I want to make it clear, Madam, that we believe in one nation one people. But while saying that, I also say that there are diversities. It is a federal India is a federal State. Therefore, the States have rights. When we talk of a nation, we are one nation. When we talk of the Governments, there is the Central Government and there are the State Governments. (Interruption)

SHRI BUTA SINGH: You have spoken only for yourself. But if you have heard the CPI(M) Members, the AIADMK Members, Shri Parvathaneni Upendra and others, they have..... (Interruption)

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: Don't mix up the Government with the nation. I would beg of you, don't equate the Government with the nation. The nation is one. The people are one but there is the Central Government and there are the State Governments.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Your political parties which have now formed what is called the National Front, their members have said that India is a multination State. (Interruption).

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Who said it? If you are referring to Mr. Aladi Aruna, they are not part of the National Front. If you are referring to the Akali Dal, it is not a part of the National Front. What

are you talking about the National Front. The first point of the National front itself is India is one nation. We believe in unity in diversity. (Interruption)...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. When I am on my legs, y please sit down (Interruption).

SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA
RAO: ... Demarcation between nation
and ... (Interruption) ... yo'Y AH(1):

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have said this thing three times. Now, you do not have to repeat it. You are defying the Chair also. I do not understand why people cannot make their point in one sentence. You'll have said the same thing three times. Now, will you please allow the Minnister to speak or not. Please sit down and do not make any interimental.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHU-RY: Surely, we can disagree. If we find something...(Interruption)... .13

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I ampliquite sure that everybody makes a point that others do not agree to.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Madam, I was saying, we do not accept that India is a multi-nation State. (Interruptions).

I have to and the hon. Membersus from one end to the other. ... (Intersolution)...

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY. There is only one nation. There is no sub-nationalism at all. We talk of the Union and the State Governments. We are talking of the federal polity. We are not questioning the nationalism at all. There is one nation and one people.

(Interruptions)

SHRI BUTA SINGH: If they have no objection if they have not oobjected to it, then, why are they interruption me? May I take it that all

that they have said will be expunged or they will take it back. I wish to reiterate on behalf of the people of this country. ... (Interruptions) ... as a representative of the people of this country, with all the emphasis, that India is one nation, its people are a single people enjoying single citizenship. (Interruptions). Its people are a single people enjoying single citizenship. We may be multilingual. We may be multicultural multiracial, But the question of. ... (Interruptions) ...

Commission

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, why are these interruptions? What is the point? (Interruptions)

SHRI BUTA SINGH: The question of nationhood rests on all of us so far as the country and the citizenship are Nobody wil be allowed concerned. to question this fundamental point. (Interruptions). Why are you upset? Yesterday, when Shri Advaniji participated in this debate. I thought he gave expression to his feelings and soon after him Shri Aladi Aruna Spoke. Nobody objected to it.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): He did not say that

SHRI BUTA SINGH: The problem with hon, Members is this. They accept whatever suits them and they simply forget whatever does not suit them sitting inside the House. (Interruptions). I am now trying to explain to this august House the gist of the Sarkaria Commission report. gist is that our country is one and the parts that are States are equally vital (Interruptions). . P. 51 (12.1) TO STILL

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHU-RY: Do you know that only after the Sarkaria Commission's report? We know that from the time we were born.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: That may be your attitude. But we give respect to those people whom the nation res-. pects and this document is one, Madam, if you care to read, which will go down in the history of our country.

#fto '

This is a document based on the mature judgment of the people of eminence. You may differ with them, But their sincerity, their anxiety... their commitment to the nation cannot be questioned and you not flout this report as a Government report.

DR. G. VIJAYA MOHAN REDDY: Who said that?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: She is saying that.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHUs: RY: Not for a moment. I only said you required the Sarkaria Commission's report to remind you of that. I am proud of the fact that I am an Indian. 1 Lawells 10 :

SHRI BUTA SINGH. Only on the other day hon. Members were criticising the Sarkaria Commission's report. They are free to do it. When a report is presented before the House, contents, its recommendations, are free for criticism for approval or for disapproval. This august House is supreme. It can approve or disapprove But let me tell you one thing. In the past 38 or 39 years, the working of our Constitution and the relationship between the States and the Union have been excellent, exemplary, Except a few disgruntled parties, who can deny that India has made progress in the last 40 years which is unprecedented? You take any field. (Interrup-) tions).

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Madam, should there be a running commentary by the other side like this? منشق کورنس داند ایجاد در این این این این (Interruptions). -

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Madam, let us take by field. (Interruptions).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please. Will you allow the Minister to make his submissions or not?

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Madam, I am on a point of order. (Interruptions).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let me hear his point of order.

-- 1-1411

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: We raised a number of points relating to the recommendaions of the Sarkaria Commission on which the Home Minister is expected to react and give his opinion on what the Government is going to accept or what it is going to do with the report. Instead, he is indulging in all these things starting from Mahatma Gandhi and now going to the progress of India. The progress of India has nothing to do with the report of the Sarkaria Commission. Why is he narrating all these things? Is it an election speech? (Interruptions).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I wish you allowed the Minister to speak. Please do not interrupt.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: May I remind the hon. Member that progress does not fall from the sky? The progress of a country, a nation, a family, is based on the social background the economic beckground, the circumstances and how the system has worked. The system that is based on the Constitution of India has worked so well, it has done so well, that we are a proud nation today because there has been a very harmonious functioning of the Centre and the States....

SHRI PARAVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: Thirtyeight per cent of the population below the poverty line.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I do not want to go back to those other things. My colleague has given me the proceedings of this House, but I do not want to throw them at the honourable Members who are denving their statements. Anyway, the progress that India has achieved is absed on the proper clima. te generated by the working of the And it is this Union and the States. kind of climate which has given confidence to the people. Look at any aspect of our national life, whether it is political, industrial, agricultural, or general development of the people. Is it not a fact that we are the only surviving democracy in his part of the world? What happened to our neighbours? Is it not an achievement? Should we not be proud of it? We have held eight elections to the Sabha. The States have seen change of hands, change of parties. many times more, and we have sur-Is it a small thing? We should be proud of it instead of being hesitant or diffident. And I should say as a Congressman also that we must be proud of it. (Interruption) When you turn political don't you expect others to turn political? I am proud that the country has progressed so well. is unique in this region of the world. People are jealous people are trying to copy our system, whether it is planning....

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias V. ARU-NACHALAM (Tamil Nadu): Don't be ignorant of history.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: You should know it. The new world is trying, the new countries that are gaining freedom are trying to catch up with the progress of India. Look at any sector, whether industrial, agricultural or general wellbeing of the people, education,....

AN HON MEMBER: Poverty?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: We are figh-That is our common ting poverty. cause. All the States and the Union should go together to fight poverty not fight among themselves. Poverty is our common enemy. Unity of purpose should be our common whether it is the State Government Government this or the Central party or that party. Our common goal should be to wipe out verty from our country, to give respect to the women folk of our country, to look after the children of our country, to look after the weaker sections of our country.

As I said at the beginning, I am purposely not going to comment on the various recommendations because we are still open, Parliament has to discuss it, Lok Sabha has to discuss it ... (Interruption) It is not a matter to laugh at. It is a serious thing. It

is a document of national importance. I would not like to come before the we are not coming to the House, House, unprepared We want to listen to the Lok Sabha Members, we want to listen to the State Governments, we want to give thought to the recommendations of the States. The States have yet to respond. Here is a Union Government which says, we will wait till the States give their recommendations. We are termed as being against the States. How is it? We give more weightage to the recommendations of the States because actually the deliwhole system is to be very of the through the State apparatus. Some Members questioned why the Prime Minister met the District Magistrates. I do not know what is wrong in it. He has given a new life to the whole planning thinking, that planning must start at the district level....

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA: In the name of changing the system, if you interfere with them, you only create chaos. That is what I said and I stand by it.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: General, you have seen the command of an army, you have not seen the command of public life....

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA: There is a lot you can learn from the army.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: You have only seen the commond of the army. Secondly, the Prime Minister is also heading a department which is called the Personnel Department which controls the whole cadre of the Central Services.

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias V. ARU-NACHALAM: That is with regard to the services and it has nothing to do with the functions of the State Government... (Interruptions)...

SHRI BUTA SINGH: You know in our administrative set up... (Inter-ruptions)... in our administrative setup, the District Magistrate is the key figure in the district and the whole of

developmental activities go through the District Development Officer. So far we have gone through this system.... (Interruption)

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA: Correct.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: So far we have gone through this system and, naturally, when we are thinking of national planning for the next Five Year Plan, is it not important to involve that key figure in the district in the process of planning?

SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA: You mean the Chief Minister has nothing to do there?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: One day the General will have to be a Chief Minister and then only he will know what the problems of a Chief Minister are. You know, consultation is not approval Ultimately, the Chief Ministers General Sahib, it is will approve. involving the key points the key figures, the key institutions, in the process of planning. What is the use of sending a plan from Delhi which does not suit? My submission to this august House is that ours is a country in which we have divergent climatic conditions. Now, if we were to plan for agriculture as a whole. we have I have seen consider many things. Agriculture Minister how serious drawbacks have come into our planning. We plan only on one pattern, that is, dry irrigation potential. Then what will happen to Rajasthan, what will happen to Andhra Pradesh, what will happen to Karnataka, what will happen to Maharashtra or even Gujarat where there is no irrigation facility in vast areas? Therefore, if we were to plan on the basis climatic regions it will cut across the States and interaction will have to be there.

Therefore, we cannot just take India as a country is blocks, but as a unit. It has to be a unit and when we take India as a unit, we will have to pool

[Shri Buta Singh]

the resources of more than one State. For dry-land farming, you will have to club five or six States. And how you do that? And, with regard to this thesis of States being autonomous. more autonomous than the Centre, if you look at the resolutions passed by the Akali Party, you will see that they become a laughing-stock. They say, "Give only two or three subjects to the Centre and leave all the subjects to the States". We say, "By all means, Yes.", if the States are able to manage. We are not bothered about having more subjects. But our concern is service of the people. Now, I was trying to explain that if we were to develop agriculture in climatic zones. then all the hill States will have to go in one zone, You cannot have your Punjab agriculture in the hill States that is a different type of agriculture and you will have to go in for deep water cultivation and in some other areas you will have to go in for terrain cultivation. All these things, do you think, one State will be able to do? Will you say that a State will be able to attend to all these problems? You will have to bring water to the whole of the climatic zones and for that the Centre will have to pay its part. How do you deny that? Otherwise, you will leave your area of your region of your State undeverioped . . .

"Then, Madam, there was the thesis or theory of sons of the soil. You know what is happening in the various States now? I am sorry, I have to say something generally... (Interruptions)... You know that in certain States the people from within the State are denied employment? Unless they read a particular language, they cannot be employed. May I ask Gurupadaswamyji as to what is happening in his State? His own people are denied employment... (Interruptions)

...His own people are denied employment because they do not pass in a particular language. Is it this India that we had envisaged? Is it this India that we had envisaged that the native of a particular State....(Interruptions) is denied employment in his own State (Interruption)

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias ARU-NACHALAM: That is the right of the State.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: These are the hard realities of life. (Interruptions) the use of laughing. want our country to be so developed that any citizen from one part should equally be able to develop in any That is what I want to other part. convey... (Interruptions) ... What are you laughing? I am really sorry for If that is your thesis, if that is your... (Interruptions) ... then I am. saying that you are living in some other country, not in India. India is one country where every citizen has the freedom to worship, freedom to develop. Any Indian from one pait country can go to any other part and develop himself. That should be the country-no barrier of State languages and races. There should be no fetters. We can enrich our languages. We have to enrich our culture. -11

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: What about the Navodaya schools?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: That also a step towards this kind of India. (Interruptions). These are the special arrangements. (Interruptions) you know it better. You cannot equate Karnataka with that.

o not want to touch I purposely upon the various points raised by the For hon, Members. example, Mr. Upendra raised the question of Gover-Others joined him. I nors of States. am not here to defend any Governor or anybody. But madam, it is not commonsense that if the matter of Chief Minister of a State prefers to have... (Interruptions) I do not under stand, because I have not studied the whole thing. It has come to us I will study it. I do not think there was any SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA:

It is in the Act. The Act says that the Governor has to approve.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: If he has not studied it, let him study it. (Interruptions)

THRI BUTA SINGH: In that case, if the Act so provides, the Act also provides that the Governor shall satisfy. If the Governor has sought certain clarifications, I think he or she is within his or her constitutional , rights to write to his or her She is not against her Chief Minister of that State. She is the head of the State in which Shri Rama Rao is the Chief Minister. They have to work together. Acording to legal representations the Chief Ministers represents the State and the Governor heads the State. And between the two there should be very cordial relations. . Only then the State can function. If eshe has sought certain clarifications, she is turned as the agent stooge, and what not. (Interruptions) I am not going into other things. But let me tell you one thing. There was on occasion-I am not going to throw it at youthere was an occasion when one Rashtrapati wanted certain clarifications and the whole Opposition started a hue and cry-some sections of the Press also-that it is the sovereign right, the Government must furnish the information and so on If that was the sovereign right, how is it that this is not the sovereign right? (Interruptions) That also is in the Constitution.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: You refused, Didn't you refuse? (Interruptions)

terches been referred. The State Government has been lashing out at the office of the Governor. I was accused that I never consulted the

Chief Ministers. I consulted all the Chief Ministers at the time of the appointment of Governors. Nobody has denied that I have not consulted.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: You never consulted. You only threw this lady there. (Interruptions)

SHRI BUTA SINGH: That has been the convention. And I have followed the convention. Mr. Bhatia and Justice Masodkariji will tell me that it is the right of the President to appoint Governors. And the President need not consult anybody. (Interruptions) Whatever the conventions have been there, we have been following those conventions.

Much was talked about our appointing political figures. May I ask you: During the interregnum how many people were appointed Governors? How are you better than that? They criticise me for dismissing Governments through the Governor. Well, I received the reports of the Governors according to the Constitutional provisions. The reports were processed according to the Constitutional provision, and the decisions were taken according to the Constitutional provision. You questioned it in the High Court. That was also held that it is purely a Constitutional provision and that nothing is wrong. But may I ask you as to how Governors were sent out of office by you, how many Governors were thrown on the roads. norms were followed? (Interruptions) What norms were followed? You should have at least set example to be cited. (Interruptions)

SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA
RAO: The Sarkaria Commission has
pointed out that out of 75 cases...

SHRI BUTA SINGH...Your record has been bliank and negative...

SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA RAO...only 26 times it was justified.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: No use teaching us morals here.
(Interruptions)

PROF C. LAKSHMANNA: In the Sarkaria Commission Report, there is a mention about it as to how many times there was consultation and how many times it has not been followed. Therefore, instead of talking about the Sarkaria Commission, what is the point in talking about generalities?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I am touching upon the points now. The hon. Member should know that I am only responding to the various issues raised by the hon. Members....

(Interruptions)

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: The Sarkaria Commission has raised the point. Why don't you confine yourself to the Sarkaria Commission.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: When I was speaking only on the general terms, you raised that (Interruptions)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister is replying. Let him reply.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: Is it a reply?

shri Buta singh: When I was speaking only on general terms, I was told that I am not touching upon the points raised by the hon. Members, Now, I am answering the points.....

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: We re-

SHRI BUTA SINGH: It is one thing that it is not pleasant for you. It is one thing that the replies are not pleasant for you. But what can I do? I cannot help it.

PROF. C LAKSHMANNA: The Sarkaria Commission has talked about 75 cases and in how many cases it is justified. Why don't you refer to it?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Madam, as I was saying... (Interruptions) Madam, as I was saying, whatever is being followed is according to the established conventions. In the past 40 years, these are the conventions established on the appointment of a Governor. And we have followed strictly the conven-

tions. We have not introduced any new element in it. We have followed only the conventions. The conventions have been in this country and we have strictly followed the conventions.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: You have to consult the Chief Minister before you appoint a Governor. That was the convention...

SHRI BUTA SINGH: That is what we did.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: You have given it up now.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Before the appointment of ... Simple Strain and (Interruptions)

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: I have to get the record straight....

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Will you please sit down for five minutes?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Before the appointment of the Governors, I had a talk with the Chief Ministers... (Interruptions)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If you get up like that, how to run the House?

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: I have to keep the record straight....

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, no. You are not there to keep the record straight. You made your own point Let the Minister make this reply.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: It is the duty of the Members if the record goes wrong to correct it...
(Interruptions)

SHRI ANAND SHARMA (Himachal Pradesh): Madam, I want to seek a clarification from the hon. Minister because so much is being said about the appointment of Governors And we all know that for political motives, a controversy is being created. Even when we talk about the recommendations of the Sarkaria Commission, I would like to know whether any recommendation, even what is being referred to, can go beyond the spirit of

the Constitution and the provisions of the Constitution bacause as far as understand, the Constitution provides for the post of a Governor who has not only to act as a link between the Centre and the States but also to supervise the functioning in a State so that the Government miscarried on according to the Constitutional provisions. It is a very important Article that when here is a constitutional break-down it is the Governor who has to report to the Centre, it is the Governor who has to recommend the imposition of the President's Rule. I would like to know from the hon. Home Minister that can a Governor discharge his constitutional duties if he has to be a person who is pliable by the Chief Ministers or acceptable to the Chief Ministers. And to what extent is that acceptance of the Chief Minister, what they are referring o, is required? To what extent? That is more important.

PROF. C LAKSHMANNA: Consultation...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You are not to reply. Let the Minister reply.

SHRI ANAND SHARMA: Consultation is a different matter. But.....

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA He has raised a very fundamental question Therefore....

SHRI ANAND SHARMA: .. I would like to know whether the Government can carry his constitution duty...

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: He has raised a very fundamental question...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let the Minister reply. You are not there to reply. When you become a Minister, you reply. Now let the Minister reply to the clarification.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: When I become a Minister, that is a different matter...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You reply to the clarification.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: Madam, 1 am on a point of order. State Relations

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No Point of order now.

on Centre-

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias V. ARUNACHALAM: The point is that the present arrangement is not satisfactory.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am not allowing any point of order.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: You allowed a Member to raise a point.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let him reply to the clarification. You please sit down.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: There is a fundamental point which has been raised.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is what he is replying to.

FROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: It is not for the Minister.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is not for you also.

PROF C. LAKSHMANNA: Madam, I am on a point of order.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am not allowing the point of order. You please sit down. It is entirely up to the Chair to allow a point of order or not. I am not allowing you.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Madam, I have already made it clear; the position of the Government, I have made clear, that we have followed strictly the conventions so far adopted on the appointment of Governors. Now, after the appointment of the Governor it is between the Chief Minister and the Governor that thev should relations. They should not stand on false egos and they should not quarrel on matters of public importance. And that depends upon the confidence that one enjoys from the Unfortunately, in some of the States, yesterday day before yesterday also hon. Mmbers preferred to make reference to various, in fact all the Members wanted to make refern[Shri Buta Singh]

ces to the Governor in question. I have made my position clear there also. If there is anything unconstitutional between both of them, it can be sorted out according to the constitutional provisions or any relevant Act passed by the State Legisla-Nobody is disputing the prerogative of the Chief Minister but at the same time if the Governor has been given certain powers under that Act or under any other law, naturally if a person tries to exercise those powers. Why should there be a hue and cry?

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: No powers.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: It will be your interpretation. But this is the position which I have said. I have not tried to defend anybody or apportion blame on anybody.

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias V. ARU-NACHALAM: What are you doing now?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Well Professor, you know it better. Having done it, you must take it. If you have done it, it is my duty, I have to respond. If I do not respond then you will tell the press, the Government has no answer.

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias V. ARU-NACHALAM: Why have you no guts to say, I am defending the action of the Governor?

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Why are you torcing me? Why should I? (Interruptions)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: I must thank you for advising her to sign. Let her sign quickly and end the controversy.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: This is wrong, absolutely wrong. I refute it. This is mischievous, politically motivated. We have never advised any Govenor on these lines. I mean this is the type of politics you want to play.

(Interruptions)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: You did not listen completely, I said you have advised her to stick to the constitutional provisions and sign. I am complimenting you. (Interruptions) I know the advice has gone from Delhi. Let her do it quickly and end the controversy.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Upendraji, I made it very clear that the matter has been send to us. We will examine it. and if there is the necessity for any advice we will give it to Rashtrapati and it will go to the hon. Chief Minister and to the Governor. But have 1 to take orders from the Professor. intemperate Professor? He has no sense of addressing another colleague in the House. He is shouting me down. Is this the way? I never do it that way. Is this the way? I am prepared to take any advice from him. He is a senior Member. He can tender his advice.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Aladi Aruna, he referring to you. In future you kindly be more polite.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Generally he is very kind. But I do not know why he is annoyed with me today. Must be something wrong at home.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Is the Home Minister snooping on Mr Aladi Aruna's household problems? (Interruptions)

SHRI BUTA SINGH

ग्रापके भी घर में हैं, हमारे भी घर में हैं, सबके घर में हैं।...(व्यवधान)

The other day speaking on the Inter-State Council, Shri Upendra did not like this idea. And Shri Advaniji wanted it very much.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: No, I said, we wanted the Council to be formed but did not want the Standing Committee. I said only the general body is enough.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I do not find any Standing Committee having been mentioned by Sarkaria Commission. The report only mentions of the Inter-State Council under article 263.

262

Commission

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRAey said of Standing Committee.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: But Advaniji d that the opposition is unanimous I thought even the present this. orking of the Zonat Council...

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: Everydy wants the Council.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: If that is your and, naturally we will examine it. it I am not here to reject or ediately react to it. I was only ying to get clarification. Is there difference in what Advaniji said d what you said? I raised it also hen Advaniji was commenting, and said: 'I don't know what Upendrahas said' but he stood by what he

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: Andhra radesh Government has already made 5 recommendation.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Our impreson is that the present zonal Councils ave worked successfully. Unfortuntely, in the past, meetings could not Now I have taken one seeting of all the Councils and the ext meeting of the North Zonal Counil is going to be held soon after the Our experience has ession. uite encouraging and we hope most f the disputes between various States ave been amicable settled, like Iadhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punab, Haryana. We have been renderng assistance to various States throuth this Council, but we are still open nd we will examine the suggestions nade by the hon. Members.

The other point raised by the hon. Viembers was about the appointment of Governor and Inter-State Council. The present setup of Planning Com-National Development mission or Council also has helped in the progress of our country. Planning Commission itself has established itself as a great institution of national importance. All

the Chief Ministers, the State Govern. ments, participate in the Planning Commission's deliberations. The plans are primarily prepared by the States at their level and then these are brought to the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission takes an overall national view and based on that, with the agreement and consent and with the partication of the States, takes decisions in the best larger interests of the country. Similarly, the National Development Council is working well. The issue is of vital importance to the nation Sarkaria Commission has touched upon this very important aspect of this issue and they have given exhaustive recommendations.

General Sahib raised the issue of Anandpur Sahib Resolution... (interruptions). Do you want me to reply?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No.

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias V. ARUNACHALAM: You reply; we welcome_

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Then don't laugh at me.

श्री वीरेंन्द्र वर्मा (छत्तर प्रदेश) : स्टेटस कीन-कौन सी है, यह बता दीजिए।

SHRI BUTA SINGH: In the Punjab Accord itself, in para 8 it is said that the Anandpur Sahib Resolution stands referred to Sarkaria Commission. Now, I find, the Commission has taken this issue not as a part of their wider rethey have taken it as a port but representation regional from a they have gone and party through the contents of the Resolution. Firstly, they have gone into three different resolutions which have been mentioned by Akali Party and also their government subsequently. the three resolutions differ in content. If I have the permission of the Chair, I can read out all the three resolutions. They substantially differ; there is different between one and the other in a substantive manner, so far as the contents are concerned. The memorandum before the Commission was

[Shri Buta Singh] The Hold only

not only by the Akali Party but also from the Government. The Commission took the original Resolution of 1973 as the Resolution before it for consideration. The Commission took the 1973 Resolution as constituting the main demands and also considered the statements made in the memorandum submitted to it by the Akali Party in 1987. Accordingly, the main demand of the Party considered by the Commission was "The interference of the Union should be restricted to defence. foreign relations, currency and general communications and that all other powers should vest in the State." Further "For the expenditure incurred by the Union in respect of the above subjects, the States should contribute in proportion to their representation in Parliament." This was the substance of the Resolution.

The Commission rejected these demands on the following grounds: Firstly, "If only the four subjects referred to above are to remain in the Union List and all other subjects including Taxation are excluded from the Union List and assigned to the States, the country cannot survive as one integrated whole. There does not exist anywhere in the world today a Union or a Federation in which the national Government has no fiscal resources of its own independent of the constituent units." The second ground: If the redistribution of powers is to be made as proposed, it will amount to drastic changes in the scheme of framework of the Constitution and as such it will, firstly, be beyond the terms of reference of the Commission and, secondly, beyond the amending power of Parliament conferred by article 368 because it affects the basic structure of the Constitution."

Alongwith these two arguments, the suggestions for wholesale changes in the entires in the three Legislative Lists of the Constitution made by the Akali Dal in its memorandum to the Commission and also by Punjab and

the other State Governments have also been rejected by the Commission. The Commission has also rejected to pernecious theory of linguistic home lands propounded by the Akali Government in Punjab in its memoran dum. Therefore, this is the finding of the Sarkaria Commission on the reference made to it in respect of the Anandpur Sahib Resolution.

Hon. Members who have participa ted in the discussion, especially learned Members like Shri Bhandare Shri Masodkar, Shri Madan Bhatia Shri Baharul Islam and other Mem bers from the Opposition, have made very valuable contributions to this debate by giving their considered opinion. The other House also will discuss this report. The Government will give serious thought to the sug gestions made by the various parties and hon. Members We will also take into account the responses of the State Governments. Only then the Govern. ment will make its decision on the recommendations of the Commission and we will submit it to this august House. With these words ...

SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Kindly give the names of the nine States.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I will send you the names.

Madam, may I once again express my gratitude to hon. Members for having given their most valuable suggestions? May I also place on record our sincere appreciation of the work done by the Sarkaria Commission and its steff and produced this wonderful document which is of national importance? Thank you.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, there is an amendment by Shri Subramanian Swamy. Are you withdrawing it, Mr. Swamy?

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: 1 would like to say a few words.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN Provided you withdraw it, I will allow you two-three minutes.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: fou are such a charming person that would never say 'no' to you.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; I appreciate...

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: But let me first say what I want to say.

SHRI ALADI ARUNA alias V. ARU-NACHALAM: Two charming personalities have made an alliance. We have made an alliance. We have been abandoned.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: One compliment is more than enough for a day.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Specially before lunch.

I came here with great expectations. In spite of high fever, I came to listen to Mr. Buta Singh. I must say that under the rules, the motion should have raised a definite issue. Kindly see rule 169. I have, by my amendment, tried to give content to this Motion. And it does not mean that I am against everything that Sarkaria Commission has said. In fact, when in Parliament Motions. we move Cut say on budget provision. ít som_e mot. does that we want mean denymoney to project or project 'B', we want to use the Cut Motion as a way of disapproval. Now I would like to give content to the motion that Sardar Buta Singh has brought before the House because it says, "The Report be taken into consideration." All parties have given a representation that the Commission has given a representation that the Commission has taken four years and the Government has taken one year and still they want this to be under consideration. This appears to be a prevarication, an attempt to avoid taking any decision. That is the reason why I have brought this amendment. First of all, Buta Singh Sahib did not know the rule under which the amendment was brought.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We are not discussing the amendment we are discussing the Sarkaria Commission Report. (Interruptions)

SUBRAMANIAN SHRI SWAMY: You first control those Tamil Nadu MPs. (Interruptions) I really want to say that the Sarkaria Commission Report meets with my disapproval on two questions. The one is the media which is very important where the Sarkaria Commission should have said that a State Government is allowed to operate one channel in the television Madam, in developed countries television have 57 channels, all going at the same Here we have one channel. If there is the second channel in some city, it is dominated by the Central Government. The State Government. in keeping with the diversity of India, should be allowed to operate one channel and if you want in your enthusiasm for liberalisation, have one more channel for private sector, I have no objec-(Interruptions) I said, if you want is your enthusiasm for liberalisation which is going on since 1975 you can do it unless you want to disow your Prime Minister's liberalisaprogramme, that is up to you. Madam. I am saying that at the minimum this media question should have been dealt with very seriously and the Sarkaria Commission did not do that. In fact, it sang the same tune which Mr. Buta Singh wants to sing and that is why he has given it such certificate. المراعب في في المالي .

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Do not pass comments on anybody.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: I am not suggesting that Justice Sarkaria has sung the tune which he gave, I am saying that it is music to the ears of Mr. Buta Singh that the media should be in the hands of the Central Government.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRA-KANT BHANDARE: If you were there in the Commission what would you have done? SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Well, you appoint one Commission. As long as you do not ask me to take any commission, I am willing to sit on any Commission.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE (Maharashtra): Are you willing to take any?

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Can it be a matter of public discussion? If you find me three pretty ladies in Panama, I am ready to take commission.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You can dispense with the commission and come back to your submission.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Or to the omissions So, in conclusion.

SHRI ANAND SHARMA: He has made a very very significant remark. He has said, if you find me three pretty ladies in Panama. That means he knows who those ladies are. Whether they are pretty or ugly we are not aware but he knows.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: And he is asking me to do the job knowing so well that I have such profund respect for Mrs. Subramanian. Because of her I know what good things have come about in him.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Let that be on the record.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Of course, it is going to be on the record.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: conclusion, Shri Buta Singh, instead of dealing with the points and saying what he was going to do by way of implementation he has given a speech which will be published in full in Tamil Nadu and that is on the Con-Madam, let me stitution and so on. make it clear once again that at no time the Janata Party has ever thought in terms of a multi-national State. And your interpretation of what these people said is also mischievous distor-India is one country and if there is any body to break up India, It is the Congress Party of which you

are a member. (Interruptions: No no). Therefore, Madam, in conclusion

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We ar going to adjourn for lunch. Please cor clude now.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY I am concluding, Madam. When pop went back to Italy, he was asked wha struck you the most about India? An he said: "I am surprised how this courtry has continued. It has no Pope it has no church, it has no book an still that country has continued thi way."

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: We have you.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY
The Swamy is all over. But they are
all decentralised Swamies. They are
in the districts. There is no one
Pope.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: But there is one Swamy.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: There are two Swamies. One is belind him.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: No, no, he is a gentleman.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: One is grandfather of the other.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Thanks to the Supreme Court's decision on basic structure, these people could not amend the Constitution to make it a monarchy. And, therefore, we are today safe. I therefore, would like to disapprove what the Govrnment is doing through my amendment, but since you have a powerful personality and you have appealed and said that I withdraw my motion, I am withdrawing my amendment.

The amendment was, by leave, withdrawn.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much the discussion concludes and the House stands adjourned for luch till 230 P.M.

The House then adjourned for lunch at thirty-two minutes past one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at thirty-two minutes past two of the clock. The Vice-Chairman (Shri B. Satyanarayan Reddy) in the Chair.

SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS FOR GRANTS (PUNJAB) 1988-89

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EXPENDITURE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI B. K. GADHVI): Sir. I beg to lay on the Table a statement (in English and Hindi) showing the Supplementary Demands for Grants for Expenditure of the Government of Punjab for the year 1988-89 (November-December, 1988).

SPECIAL . MENTIONS

"Yaj" Disease Affecting Tribals of Bastar District of Madhya Pradesh

श्री अजीत जोगी (मध्य प्रदेश) : महोदय बस्तर जिला न केवल मध्य प्रदेश का बल्कि पूरे भारतवर्ष का सबसे पिछड़ा हम्राजिला हैं। यहां अधिकांश स्रादिवासी ही निवास करते हैं। मैं ग्राज इस सदन के माध्यम से इस जिले के ग्रदिवासियों के स्वास्थ्य के संबंध में जो एक विशेष समस्या खड़ी हो गई है उस म्रोर ध्यान म्रक्षित करना चाहता हं। वैसे ही बस्तर जिले के म्रादि-वासियों की गरीबी और मुफिलिसी किसी से छिपी हुई नहीं है ग्रौर उनको जो चिकित्सा सुविधाएं उपलब्ध हैं वे भी प्राथमिक स्तर की भी बिल्कुल नहीं हैं। श्रभी हाल ही में एक सनसनीखेज तथ्य सामने श्राया है कि बस्तर जिले में "याज" नामक एक रोग, जिसके बारे में ऐसा कहा जाता है कि ग्रब विश्व में ग्रफ़ीका को छोडकर कहीं नहीं है, वह रोग बस्तर जिले के अधिवासियों में बहुत जोरों से फैल रहा है। विश्व स्वास्थ्य संगठन ने तो अपनी रिपोर्ट में यह कहा था कि वर्ष 50 के **पहले ही पूरे विश्व से ऋफ़ीका श्रौर दक्षिण** अभेरिका को छोड़कर अन्य भागों से यह रोग समाप्त हो गया है। किन्तु अभी यहां के कुछ नये ग्रौर युवा चिकित्सकों ने यह तथ्य उजागर किया कि "याज" नामक रोग

से बस्तर के स्रादिवासी ग्रसित हैं। यह रोग बड़ा ही वीभत्स होता है, इरावना होता है भ्रीर मैंने स्वत: जाकर पिछले दिनों बस्तर में ''याज'' से ग्रसित कुछ रोगियों को देखा । जैसे कृष्ठ रोगी होते हैं उससे भी खराब हालत "याज" नामक रोग से प्रसित रोगियों की होती है। दुख इस बात का है कि पहले तो केन्द्र शासन श्रौर पूरा चिकित्सा विभाग यही कहता रहा है कि यह तथ्य बिल्कुल गलत है कि "याज" नामक रोग फिर से भारतवर्ष में में म्रा गया है ग्रौर बस्तर के म्रदिवासी इससे ग्रसित हैं, पर जब वहां के कुछ-चिकित्सकों ने, वहां के एक युवा सहायक चिकित्सक और मुख्य चिकित्सा अधिकारी ने जब इस रिपोर्ट को बार बार शासन को भेजा तो ग्रब कम से कम इस बात को स्वीकार किया जाने लगा है कि "याज" नामक रोग बस्तर के भ्रादिवासियों में फैल रहा हैं। तो मैं ग्राज सदन के माध्यम से इस ग्रोर केन्द्र शासन के स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय का ध्यान ग्राकषित करना चाहंगा भौर यह कहना चाहुंगा कि यह गम्भीर परिस्थिति हैं। केन्द्र सरकार को एक विशेष दल गठित करके श्रध्ययन के लिए बस्तर जिले में भेजना चाहिए। क्यों कि यह एक ऐसा रोग है, जिसके बारे में स्थानीय डाक्टरों को कोई जानकारी नहीं है, कोई भ्रनुभव नहीं है, जिसका कोई निदान नहीं है और यह एक ऐसा रोग है, जिससे ग्रसित हो जाने के बाद आदिवासी की हालत ऐसी हो जाती है कि उसका श्रंग भंग हो जाता है, श्रंग गलने लगते हैं, शारीर मुंड जाता है और ऐसी अपार तकलीफ उसको होती है, जिसका निरा-करण किया जाना स्थानीय डाक्टरों, स्थानीय चिकित्सकों ग्रीर स्थानीय दवाई के माध्यम से सम्भव नहीं है।

मेरा अनुरोध है कि इसकी ओर गम्भीरता से ध्यान दिया जाना चाहिए, तत्काल कोई विशेष दल गठित किया जाना चाहिए, तत्काल उसका अध्ययन किया जाना चाहिए और जो कुछ साहित्य, जो कुछ दबाइयां और अनुभव इस दिना में उपलब्ध है, उसका उपयोग करके इस रोग का निदान किया जाना चाहिए। धन्यवाद।