

strongly emphasised the need for the strict implementation of the Geneva accords.

(b) It is our impression that international opinion is in favour of the observance of the Geneva accords.

(c) Government will continue to lend support to the Geneva accords.

Unemployment situation in States and * Union Territories

1147. SHRI NARESH C PUGLIA: Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have conducted any study on the unemployment situation in State and Union Territory-wise including the number of educated and uneducated unemployed;

(b) if so, what are the details of the study report; and

(c) if the answer to Part (a) above

Statement

Percentage of unemployed persons amongst total number of unemployed persons of various educational levels of age 15 years and above in major states

I. Rural areas

*

State	not literate	literate and upto primary	middle	: Secondar y	graduate and above	all
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Andhra Pradesh	009	093	2 05	4.79	1584	0.88
Assam	0.61	0.41	2.48	7.58	4.53	1.58
Bihar	036	093	1.42	4.92	10.45	111
Gujarat	0.45	0.11	0.76	1.71	5.53	0.55
Haryana	.0.17	3.38	2.94	8.84	2.44	2.41
Himachal Pradesh	0.17	101	0.95	5.07	419	125
Jammu & Kashmir	0 13	0.63	0.77	2.97	5.16	0.57
Karnataka	002	039	1.72	3.07	11.51	0.71
Kerala	0 90	2.74	9 61	13.45	7.22	5.78

be in the negative the reasons therefor? ... J

THE MINISTER OF PLANNING AND
THE MINISTER OF PROGRAMME
IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI
MADHAVSINH SOLANKI): (a) Yes, Sir.
The National Sample Survey Organisation
(NSSO), Department of Statistics conducts a
quinquennial survey on employment and
unemployment, in the course of which data is
also collected about the educational level of
the employed or unemployed persons. The
latest survey was carried out in the National
Sample Survey (NSS)-43 and round (July
1937—June 1988).

(b) Data from the latest survey of which
the field work was completed only in June,
1988 are still under processing. The results
based on the preceding survey conducted in
the NSS' 38th round (January—December,
1983) are enclosed. {See Statement below}.

(c) Not applicable hi view of reply to
Part (a) above.

95	Written Answers	[RAJYA SABHA]			to Questions		96
	(i)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Madhya Pradesh		o.u	0.27	0.41	0.67	4.35	0.24
Maharashtra		0.02	0.41	2.56	3.48	5.03	0.85
Orissa		069	0.61	3.48	6.80	9.53	1.39
Punjab		0.36	2.42	2.15	6.91	6.85	1.89
Rajasthan		0.20	0.06	1.10	0.21	2.25	0.40
Tamil Nadu		0.33	1.23	5.25	7.97	19.90	2.09
Uttar Pradesh		0.15	0.42	1.76	2.66	6.13	0.71
West Bengal		0.42	1.29	3.88	6.67	4.56	1.83
ALL INDIA :		0 24	0.90	2.83	5.14	7.46	1.23

II. Rural Females

State	not literate	literate and upto primary	middle	secondary and above	graduate	all
Andhra Pradesh	0.03		2.58	1.50	11.46	0.12
Assam	0.31		0.44	4.59	9.44	0.39
Bihar	0.06			3.86		0.08
Gujarat	0 07	008		1.00	8.43	0.11
Haryana			169		31.32	010
Himachal Pradesh		0.21		4.71	15.86	0.36
Jammu & Kashmir				3.66	4.87	0.09
Karnataka	0.04	0.21	0.32	7.86	15.65	0.30
Kerala	0 23	1 29	6.54	13.93	19 86	3.64
Madhya Pradesh	0.01	0.14		4.76	..	0.04
Maharashtra			065	1.58	9.13	007
Orissa	013		0-33	3.15	..	0 16
Punjab	0.32		2 13	5.46	17.22	073
Rajasthan	006					0 06
Tamil Nadu	0 24	0 40	2.09	11.32	20 83	0.70
Uttar Pradesh			028	0.79		0.02
West Bengal	0.12	0.18	1.87	7.70	23.79	0.9
ALL INDIA :	0.07	0.27	2.02	6.68	13.31	0.35

NOTE:—Indicates nil sample households with unemployed persons with educational level specified in the column heading.

III Urban males

State	not rate	lite- literate and upto primary	middle	secondary	graduate and above	all
Andhra Pradesh	1.55	1.70	4.77	4.94	11.00	3.72
Assam	0.90	119	3.84	4.44	7.41	3.29
Bihar	1.48	3.04	3.59	6.47	8.37	392
Gujarat	1.14	3.24	3.98	4.80	5.10	3.52
Haryana	2.94	1.98	6.13	4.74	5.06	3.85
Himachal Pradesh	..	1.70	49.4	12.26	3.03	6.25
Jammu & Kashmir	0.51	1.30	4.58	4.44	4.47	2.66
Karnataka	0.69	1.47	2.92	7.62	4.45	3.45
Kerala	1.03	4.96	12.43	8.21	8.80	7.70
Madhya Pradesh	0.83	1.62	2.60	5.45	3.74	2.61
Maharashtra	1.64	3.64	6.76	4.79	3.62	4.41
Orissa	2.15	3.28	4.68	3.99	5.28	3.71
Punjab	0.88	4.65	4.18	2.78	2.19	2.94
Rajasthan	1.90	2.13	5.39	2.71	4.37	2.94
Tamil Nadu	1.76	3.10	7.84	8.76	10.79	5.57
Uttar Pradesh	1.33	2.28	4.90	4.06	6.33	3.22
West Bengal	2.23	5.76	8.26	9.48	7.07	6.68
ALL INDIA :	1.42	3.10	5.61	5.77	5.76	4.11

NOTE:— indicates nil sample households with unemployed persons with educational level specified in the column heading.

IV Urban females

State	not rate	lite- literate and upto primary	middle	secondary	graduate and above	all
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Anahra Pradesh	0.15	0.33	1.62	3.81	11.49	0.95
Assam			0.32	6.00	10.93	1.27
Bihar	0.07	0.32	0.01	0.87	4.08	0.26
Gujarat	0.09		0.37	3.20	1.97	0.61

(i)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Haryana			2.22	4.40	3.01	1.10
Himachal Pradesh	0.65			0.66		2.06
Jammu & Kashmir	0.09		0.73	2.21	10.58	1.16
Karnataka	0.06	0.49	0.25	5.51	10.04	1.36
Kerala		1.16	9.51	13.07	15.11	5.61
Madhya Pradesh			0.21	0.43	4.06	0.26
Maharashtra	0.10	0.41	1.26	1.84	4.59	0.88
Orissa			0.29	6.54	16.17	0.96
Punjab	0.19	0.52		4.16	202	0.96
Rajasthan					6.59	0.26
Tamil Nadu	0.13	1.41	2.90	7.14	10.75	1.96
Uttar Pradesh	0.23			1.03	2.88	0.42
West Bengal	0.15	1.40	4.07	4.99	11.96	2.58
ALL INDIA :	0.12	0.58	1.84	3.74	6.30	120

NOTE: '—' indicates nil sample households with unemployed in persons with educational level specified the column heading.

Patronisation of Khalistan terrorists In Britain

1148 SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the terrorists who were claiming to be Khalistan Organisation members have been patronised in Britain and they are getting all assistance from Britain for their terrorist activities in India; and

(b) if so, whether the Government of India has taken up the issue with the British Government to stop their activities in helping terrorists of Punjab?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI K. NATWAR SINGH): (a) There is no evidence to

suggest that 'Khalistani' organisations are "getting assistance from Britain for their terrorist activities in India," however it is a fact that since mid-1984 anti-Indian activity by 'Khalistani' extremists in the U.K. markedly increased. Several anti-Indian extremes organisations in London reactivated themselves and have been indulging in anti-Indian activities aimed at exacerbating the situation in Punjab.

(b) Government have repeatedly taken up the question of anti-Indian activities by extremists in the U.K. with the Government of the United Kingdom at various levels. The British Government have repeatedly given assurances that they are making every effort to curb terrorist activity by Khalistani elements.