

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI YOGENDRA MAKWANA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The total value of the grant is Rs. 63,12,581.

(e) There is no such proposal at present.

(d) No such decision has so far been taken.

**Profits/Losses made by Public sector Steel Plants**

3253. SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA; Will the Minister of STEEL AND MINES be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of Steel Plants which are there in Public Sector or in Joint Sector together

with their names and capital outlay and the year of their establishment;

(b) out of them how many are making profits and how many make loss, please give the details with figures of profits or loss as the case may be; and

(c) how do these unit's performance compare with that of TISCO together with last three years profit loss figures as compared to that of TISCO?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI M. L. FOTEDAR): (a) The steel plants in the public sector under the Steel Authority of India, their capital outlay and the year of Commencement of Production are as under:—

Name of the Plant	Commence- ment of Production (Year)	Capital outlay* as on 31-3- 1986 (Rs. in crores)
Bhilai Steel Plant	1958-59	2602.16
Durgapur Steel Plant	1959-60	559.72
Rourkela Steel Plant	1958-59	1117.48
Bokaro Steel Plant	1972-73	3036.71
Alloy Steels Plant	1964-65	155.48
Salem Steel Plant	1981-82	165.56
HSCO (A Subsidiary of SAIL)	1972-73 (Taken over by the Govt)	368.68
Visvesvaraya Iron & Steel Ltd. (Joint Sector Company - Govt, of Karnataka and SAIL)	1923	102.64

•Capital outlay—Gross Block—Capital Work-in progress

(b) and (c) Of the Public Sector, four plants viz., Bhilai, Rourkela, Bokaro and Salem have been making profits while two viz. Durgapur

and Alloy Steels Plant are incurring losses. Plant-wise profitability in respect of these plants and TISCO is as under:—

Plant	Profit (+) Loss (-)	(-) (Rs./Crores)
	1984-85	1985-86
Bhilai Steel Plant	(+)49.28	(+)164.38
Durgapur Steel Plant	)53.36	(—)26.52

Plant	Profit (+) Loss (-)/(Rs. /Crores)	
	1984-85	1985-86
Rourkela Steel Plant	(+)27.10	(+)33.62
Bokaro Steel Plant	(+)11.47	(+)112.93
Alloy Steels Plant	(-)30.02	(-)28.30
Salem Steel Plant	(+)0.53	(+)2.92
Others	(-)0.76	(-)0.03
<b>SAIL</b>	<b>(+)4.24</b>	<b>(+)159.00</b>
<b>IISCO</b>	<b>(-)81.60</b>	<b>(-)60.99</b>
<b>TISCO</b>	<b>(+)84.74</b>	<b>(+)107.68</b>
<b>Visvesvaraya Iron &amp; Steel Ltd.</b>	<b>(-)33.76</b>	<b>(-)32.41</b>

TISCO has made a profit of Rs. 37.52 crores during 1986-87. The plant wise profitability of the SAIL steel plants for the year 1986-87 and of Visvesvaraya Iron & Steel Limited will be known after finalisation/audit of the Accounts.

#### Rural Industrialisation under IRDP

3254. SHRI HARVENDRA SINGH HANSPAL: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government had recently stressed the State Governments to take up rural industrialisation schemes in a big way under the Integrated Rural Development Programme;

(b) if so, the State Government's reaction in this regard; and

(c) other steps being taken by the Central Government to boost the IRDP in the States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI RAMANAND YADAV): (a) and (b) The Central Government in July, 1987

have advised the State Governments on the new directions that should be explored for diversifying the activities under Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP) to make it more effective. Reorganising the already visible shift in IRDP from the activities in the primary sector to activities in the secondary and tertiary sectors, the role of rural industrialisation in IRDP and the need for linking up Training of Rural Youth for Self Employment (TRYSEM) with industrial opportunities has been emphasised. Reaction of only a few States are available so far. They are positive.

(c) Some of the steps suggested by the Central Government to the State Governments to make IRDP more effective include:

(1) Setting up of resource-based industries such as food and food processing units and the development of horticulture.

The objective would be to obtain a situation of mutual dependence between horticulture and the processing units, as is available in the case of sugar mills. This can encourage activities like vegetable growing,