REFERENCE TO THE RESENTMENT CNF TAMIL NADU OVER HOLDING OF INTERVIEWS IN KERALA FOR THE "SKYLARK • PROJECT" LOCA-TED, IN TAMIL NADU

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY (Tamil Nadu): Madam Deputy Chairman, I want to bring to the attention of the Government through you a serious problem which ha* created resentment in the minds of the people Of Tamil Nadu.

Madam, the prestigious project of our Navv called the /'Skylark Project" has been started in my own district, Tirunelveli, the southernmost part of our country. Madam, our honourable Chairman, when , he was the Defence Minister,, came thereto inaugurate this * project. While inaugura ting this project, the then Defence Minis ter, Shri R, Venkataraman, assured that the project would generate employment the people of Tamil opportunities for Nadu, particularly for those of th© sou thern districts of the State, which are af fected the most repeatedly by drought and other things. But, Madam, the people had the shock of their life when they came to know that interviews for recruit ment to even civil services, that jj, re cruitment for the" posts of draughtsman, chaprasi, etc. were to be conducted not in Tamil Nadu, but in the State of Keral_a in Cochin. There are more t^{nan} "fifty offi cials in this project who are from Kerala and they have cleverly manipulated cer tain things. Therefore, the interview was fixed in the state of Kerala in Cochin. Then demonstrations and. rallies by the people, cutting across party lines, were organised Jn those areas. I sent two tele grams to the then Defence Minister. In the first of week of Apri', I spoke to Mr. V. P. S'ngh, the then Defence Minister over the telephone from my area. Then he promised me that he would look into the matter. But they did is that they can celled this interview which was fixed for the 2nd April and they postponed it to the 15th April and then they cleverly did one thin?. They said that they would for a call list from the employment exchange of Tirunelveli. But no-body will accept this. This is a great injustice. Even the Parliamentary Affairs Minister, Mr. Jacob, will understand my

agony and the agony of the people of Tamil ftadu. If a project js working ia Kerala, y/ill the people of Kerala tolerate if the interview is held in Tamil Nadu? This is not an interview for recruitment of military personnel. This is only for recruitment of civil personnel and the interview was 'fixed in Kerala in Cochin. Thie project is to monitor the movement of even submarines in the Indian Ocean and this is a very prestigious project. Thousands of acres of land were acquired from the people* of Tamil Nadu and when the question of interview comes, the recruitment is to he nrade in Kerala* That i because of the^wsted interests, particularly officials, who have come from that State and who are handling the affairs of -this project. Therefore, Madam, I want protection through you from this Government and I want the Government to protect the interests of the people of Tamil Nadu.

I would, therefore, request the Government to cancel the interview which has been conducted and the interviews hereafter should be conducted in Tirunelveli or Kanyakumari in Tamil Nadu. Otherwise, Madam, our State will become the colony of another State which is already going on and this cannot be tolerated and the Government of India must immediate, ly take into consideration my demand because our Government, the Government of Tamil Nadu, is in deep slumber.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN^{\wedge} have >n announcement to make; ilie Chair-man has removed the remarks both of Mr. Pachouri and of the Chair from the cord.

Now, we resume discussion, further discussion on the working of the Ministry of External Affairs Prof. Chandreeb Thakur will cont'nue his speech.

DISCUSSION ON THE WORKING OF THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AF-FAIRS—Contd.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR (Bihar): Thank you, Madam. Contimi-in<>>'my submission, on the* Non-Aliened Movement, I would add that some seriont

307 *Re working of the*

[Prof. Chandresh P. Thakur]

thinking on its working is called for And for this purpose the original ttiree- country team could go back to the drawing board or another group could come ₀ut with • still brighter and worthwhile ideas, Incidentally, India, Yugoslavia and ESypt can and must do better bilaterally as well than what they have done, so far. A pro-, mising area for intensified effort relates to economic issues: collapse of development process in Africa i« a grim reality; the dead weight of external debt is hurting the developing countries; aid as well as trade scene is getting dismal; barriera-. tj, labour migration are mounting, technology transfer is extremely grudging. They aU hurt NAM countries.

The Brasilia ministerial meeting has evolved a framework for the Global System of Trade Preferences. We hope this will have some impact in the next UNCTED. Economic cooperation among developing countries needs a concrete agenda, adequate fund support and definite progress in terms of implementation. Therefore the NAM coordinating bureau must work harder and vigorously for demonstrated result.

Let me now briefly touch on security, peace, and our external relations.

Madam, anatomy of power among nations thrp_{Ws}, painful, realities. Lack of power makes a. nation vulnerable, but power for some combined with notoriety could be a disaster for *b* rest. The camouflage of one or the other ideology does not make it any less terrible. It is a «allous world where military, arms- aid, is mounting, with dwindling development assistance. To quote Robert Pollock from. the 'The Course of Time'; »•

"With one hand he put a penny in ,, the, urn of poverty.

And with the other 'took a shilling out".

I think we have to reverse It. We have to continue to persevere in this regard.

Pursuit of sound and rewarding external relations, bilateral as we}} at multilateral, requires an incisive appreciation of major changes on the world scene and their Changing constellations. The worldtjs no more bi-polar. Nuclear proliferation JS a fact despite the rhetoric to the contrary. Going nuclear brings respectability aa China found, and a near nuclear statu* for some can bring bonanza of and support. Loci of economic power have

now shifted from familiar centres and would shift still. further, 'Collectively the Euyropean Economic Cooperation OPEC, Gulf and ASEAN are areas of new reckoning, and individually japan and West Germany are new countries to reckon with. Even technological clout is today being widely shared although still there is some regional concentration.

I think, from our point of view, we must note that the Smo-US-Pakistan axis has consolidated. How much m°re would ft hurt. While Pur Minister of State for External Affairs was catching fai» trans-Atlantic flight after energetic ef forts at Washington. Words reached him from the Capital, and it was depres sing. I "think in our indo-US illations we have to do a lot better than what so far we have been able to achieve. On the other side, the new nexus, that is, Sno-Soviet relations, is warming of up. watch we have to the entry of 1. 00 p. m. Pakistan in this nexus and we have to reflect on and prepare its ramifications. Each for of these requires continuous re-appraisal of our interests and correspondingly revised . choice $_{0}f$ strategies. On the other, side, we must build cur foreign policy on ojir strength. Our buying power today i_s in deed formidable. Then, the Indian eco nomy provides a welcome investment cli mate in an otherwise * depressed world economy. Our improved agriculture gives us the capacity to feed the vast needy regions. The technological, industrial and professional capabilities that, we have built up over the last few decades should be of great assistance to developing coun tries. Overseas Indians with their financial resources as well as professional capabili ty constitute a formidable asset on the world scene and this can be' turned to oar advantage.

But for a fuller use of these, we need acumen m economic diplomacy. I would like particularly to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to the fact that those involved in this complex terrain of in-,, ternational diplomacy require facility in economics and commerce as well as in science and technology. May be, they have it in our country. But is it in. sufficient measure and does it not require an organised acquisition and renewal at discreet intervals?

Madam. I would like to d[^]aw the atten tion of the hon. Minister to certain aspects of policy research. There is much to gain from such an organised policy researchs in an institutionalised basis. We had it until recently. ' probably we may have it again. But let us have it sqon in a more organised way and on a continuing basistoo. Madam, a word about Afghanistan. It is a complex case. U. S. and Soviet citi-,, whs are paying heavily. It is immaterial which one is paying more. But for some it B emerging as milch^ cattle, as it were. Mr. Gurupadaswamy said that we are a middle power. There are other countries which are similarly placed and with com parable concerns. We must forge links with them and work in a more concerted wayt

Madam, India has made outstanding contributions earlier at the United Nations f^ora and done a lot to strengthen the U. N.. system. This system is under -severe stress and it needs a lot Of support from Us and: our friends who think on similar lines. But its deliberations must also be more resultoriented. Rituals, formal sessions and country of voting behaviour are neither counts exciting nor promising enough. Our Own contributions should be substantially improved qualitatively, i think the richness of these deliberations can improve through more imaginative initiatives, better -negotiating skill and more skilled -team work among newer groups. Of countries. -At Punta da Etta, we discovered Brazil Jbining us and at the last CHOGM Australia and Canada teamed up with India m one of the major Initiatives. These are evidences to sug-** test that this kind of *tfivr* teaming up for

specific set ₀f purposes is. feasible and India should be alert and could exptore more such possibilities" in this jregard.

Madam, in the last few years, the Prime Minister, has taken very interesting initiatives on the world scene. Bui we haw to prepare ourselves to take advantage of that. The home work in the foreign office and in the diplomattic services should start. They must also acquire sufficient capability to ensure that we are able t^o anticipate developments more accurately and that our reflexes are much quicker than so far. With these words, Madam, I wish to support the activities of the Ministry oi External Affairs and reiterate my faith in the new leadership which this Ministry ha^{*} acquired.

SHRI SUKOMAL SEN (West Bengal): Madam, while participating in this debate, I would begin with the first para of the Introduction to the Annual Report — * 1986-87 issued by the Ministry of External Affairs.

Madam, in the first para itself, it says:

"Peace, disarmament and development continued to be the main thrust of India's foreign policy during the year. Principles of non-alignment, the struggle for- emancipation from, all forms of colonialism and the promotion of feemdship with all countries, particularly neighbours continued to inspire its conduct"

Madam, I would, like to'agree with these principles. And as for peace, I would also mention that the three important events in the year for the struggle for peace were the Soviet proposal for a nuclear free world, the Revkjavik Summit, and the Joint mdo-Soviet Delhi Declaration. And these three events showed the new initiative of the Soviet Union to pre? vent nuclear war. These also, showed the refusal of the United States to res-Pond to any genuine proposal to check the drive towards nuclear, war, and the close understanding between the non-aligned India and the Socialist Soviet Union on the question of peace. Madam, I would also like. to mention here that the Delhi Declaration expressed the will of the non-aligned nations, not only of India but also of the entire non-aligned

[Shxi Sukomal Sen]

nations to co-operate with the socialist world to save peace and freedom and check aggression and war. But while saying this, I would also like to say that while we are fighting for peace and for non-alignment and this should be the mam thrust of India's foreign policy— I would very much like to make it clear from our Party also that we have already lent our full support to the Government of India in the fight for peace and for non-alignment movement, «nd the fight against apartheid. We have lent full support. But wfoile lending support, we have also observed that it is the US imperialism and their allies who are standing as the main obstacle in our fight for peace. And they are the powers which are creating problems not only for oux country but also for the, entire world. Now, about the Indo-US relations, in the pamphlets and book-lets published by the Government of India itself and in the Annual Report itself it is said that the Ihdo-US relation is "not at all satisfactory and that it is getting strained. And it j, not only getting •trained, but I feel that it has come to such a stage that we have to more clearly define outr role towards United States and their' allies. And the the limitation of our Government is that whenever we,, talk • of the United States, we relate mainly with Pakistan, that Pakis-tan is being helped by the United spates through arms and ammunitions. And knowing fully well that Pakistan have prepared a nuclear bomb or in the process of going to get it, the Government of the United States foave cleared all kinds of help, economic help and armament help to Pakistan. Madam, only two Or three days back, the Foreign Relation_s Committee of the United States Senete have cleared more than two billion dollar aid to Pakistan. And they have even waived the Symington Amendment ja giving aid to Pakistan. And that Amendment was an obstacle to giving "aid to Pakistan since Pakistan was already involved in making all atomic bomb. This tecision came out only two days back. *nd it aho appeared m the newspapers hat Mr. Wrtwar Singh, our Minister of State far feteraal Affairs was in the

United States. He had a talk with the auhorites there but it was all ineffective. In fact, the Committee have cleared Foreign. Relations the loan. A huge loan will come to Pakistan these AWACS and other weapons of and destruction will be handed over to Pakistan. That i\$ posing a danger to India, It is not actually posing a danger to the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union is capable of defending itself. It is mainly posing a danger to India. But I am apposed to criticising United states- only in relation to Pakstan. It is a fact that pakis-I tan's mentor is the United States. There are no two opinions about it. It. is through Pakistan that America is trying to *penc*-trate India and d^{es}tabihse India. in the Annual Report and the booklet published by the Lok Sabha on the Foreign Policy of India it, has been mentioned that not only are they giving aid tp Pakistan but the United States is also harbouring terrorists. Recently two conspiracies came to light in America. One comspiracy was to assassinate our Prime Minister and another conspiracy was to assassinate our former Chief Minister of Haryana. All these plots took place on the soil of America. It means America is protecting all these -people who want t° create' destabilisation in our country*, who want to assassinate, our Prime Minister and other dignitaries of this oountry. It is America through Pakistan and also on their very soil that they are trying to commit this mischief against India. Madam, this is one aspect of the matter. The other aspect is that besides through Pakistan-sometimes we forget to mention it-our entire security environment is endangered by American imperialism mainly through the Indian Ocean. What are the developments in the Indian Ocean today? We find that though the United States passed a Resohi, tion as back as 1971 for declaring the Indian Ocean a Zone of Peace, subsequently this proposal could not be put into effect. Who stood in the way? It js not the Soviet Union. You say that, the Indian Ocean has become a hot-bed of power rivalry Jbetween two super-powers. But what is the fact? In your Report it has been mentioned that the Indian Ocean has been a hot-bed of rivalry of two super powers, but what is the fact? Does Diego Garcia belong to me Soviet

Union or to the United states? It belongs to the United states. And this base has been srengthened by the deployment of nuclear arms, by the rapid deployment torce, two lakhs of regular forces and one lakh reservists; n Diego Garcia and elsewhere. Do you remember that in 1971 it was the United States, which sen* the Seventh Fleet to the Indian Ocean. And, what for? They came up to Bay of Bengal when we were in a state of war With Pakistan and when Bangladesh was created. They threatened India and sent Seventh. Fleet t₀ India at that time. Not only that. Actually this whole Indian Ocean started to be militarised since the polaris missiles were invented by American imperialism 'and these' polaris missiles were introduced and the entire Indian Ocean has, been tried to be militarised by the United States. So, it is fruitless to equate these two powers, the Soviet Union and America, and say that &ese two powers'. are. having rivalry and are trying to gain control of the Indian Ocean. It is actually American imperialism which is trying to gain control over the Indian Ocean and through that. they want to endanger'the security of India.

Not only that. Madam, we have to look into the fact that 85 per cent of our foreign trade is routed through the . Indian Ocean, once this Ocean is endangered °ur economyit is not only our defence-will be ruined. If this control o* Indian Ocean goes to the United States, a belligerent country, which wants to devour not only this part or that part, but wants to devour the entire world for their wwn domination, we must look at it ifrom that angle instead of saying that the Indian Ocean has become a hot-bed of two super-powers, we must endeavour t° see wh is the culprit and we must tell people also accordingly and our foreign* policy should be directed towards what is happening in the Indian Ocean.

Madam, I would like to say that when 4 the discussion took place in Parliament on Bofors arms deal, submarine deal from West Germany or thp Fairfax issue, the "Government stated and the Congress Working. Committee came out with a statement saying that attempts are being made to destabilise 'the

country. But how is destabilisation coming about. I would like jo say that in the . Working committee Resolution on April 18, it was stated thus. - "It does not «eed much ingenuity to discover' who stand* behind Pakistan" and to the "rearming of Pakistan in consonance with its appointed role of the bastion of neo-imperialism in our part of ehe world". As if only through Pakistan it. is trying to destablise the Government. And when we discussed Bofors, the submarine deal and the Fairfax, you h'ad talked of these attempts at destabilisation. But I would say in your OWE? report, in the report of the External, Affairs Ministry you have mentioned that it is not only through these, but even through economic policies you say there are attempts at destabilisation. You have. said, in the booklet on 'Foreign Policy of India' issued by the Lok-Sabha Secretariat where it is said;

"On the economic side too, the differences m approach have been often sharp with the US not concealing jt» distaste for an economic growth model relying on the public sector nor jts opposition to what has been seen as excessive Indian protectionism. Many of these themes. continue to affect the relationship today even if they have changed somewhat in form o^r detail. "

This is the 'moot point that Sestabilisatiot is coming through economic policy also. Now, what is our policy towards America? If we relate our policy t© America with our own economic policy, particularly the new economic policy followed by the present Prime Minister, it » seen what you have said in this booklet Uiat America dislike_s our public sector; America dislikes our protectionism. But at the same time, our Government is trying to denigrate the public sector and minimise the public sector, and instead of protectionism, our Government is more and more relymg on liberal imports. That is one side.

The other side js, our Government is bent upon inviting multinationals. And what are these multinationals? It is the American or the Japanese or the West German multinationals. What is the history of Latin America and how those

[Shri Sukomtai Sen]

countries are being destabilised one after the other? Take the examples of El Sal-* vador, Bolivia, Guatamala. It is through economic penetration that, U. S. impe-, rialism is trying to destabilise "those countries. First they have enter in the economic field there JS economic pene-tration and then political penetration takes place leading to destabilisation. This is the history of the Latin American and other countries. So, America dislikes our public sector; it'dislikes our protectionism; and at the same time we denigrate Our public sector. To please whom? To please tfce U. S. imperialism We liberalise " imports, to please whom? To please America, or the economic institutions like the World Bank, the IMF, to get loans from them. We denigrate the public sector and we liberalise imports. So, it is a contradictory policy. I fee] the Government of India should take a serious note of it and change'the economic policy, because their economic polfcy is inviting American imperialism in our country, and that will Create a base for destabilisation through American penetration; This gives them a economic political advantage and a political lever to destabilise ₀u_r country. It os for India's integrity and our national unity that we should change our policy.

If we look at other things, we find that, for a high technology, we are too much desirous of importing high technology from that imperialist Power, after whom are we running? We are running after " the same U. S. imperialism. You nave mentioned in your Working Committee Resolution. You have mentioned about Pakistan. Everybody understands who is behind Pakistan. It }s the U. S; imperiali-Tor a high technology and for Super sm which wants to destabilise our country. Computers, we are running after the U. S. imperialism. Why are you not making efforts fOf a closer relationship with the* "Soviet worldi with- other Socialist countries to get high technology from that side and to invrease trade with them? But we have our trade links with the U. S. imperialism and their allies, with the capitalists. Therefore, I would suggest that we should review our economic policy in this

Madam, I will take a few minutes mora. I now come to the question of... -

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Your time is up.

SHRI SUKOMAL SEN; I must speak up to lunch.

THE DEPUTYCHAIRMAN:

Time-limit is laid down nere. You have already taken fifteen minutes.

SHRI SUKOMAL SEN: I would like to mention another point. This is in re gard to our relations with -our neigh- bours, with Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka.

What is the position today in *Sri* Lankaf " The report says that about 1, 30, 000 refugees have come from Sri Lanka *to* this side, to India. Now, every month, refugees are Corning from Sri Lanka *to* India to take shelter here. In on» word, I can describe our policy towards the Sri Lankarr problem. In fact, today, we can say very confidently that the Indian Government's policy towards the Sri Lankan problem has completely flopped.

SHRI D1PEN GHOSH (West Bengal? Messed up.

SHRI saUKOMAL SEN. We have sent so many delegations. First Mr. Natwat Singh an4 Mr. Chidambaram went together to Sri Lanka and held discussions. Then, certain proposals were evolved known as the December" 19 proposals. 'Theny Mr. Dinesh Singh was sent as the special emissary of the Prime Minister. Hfe'also holds talks, H4 makes further proposals. As soon as they come back, the Sri Lankan Government says that they are not for the December 19 proposals. They say that the merger of the two linguistic provinces into one linguistic unit is not possible. Then, killings take place. Who tills whom, it is difficult to identity. There Vas /the bomb blast which rocked Colombo. Nobody knows who has done it, who is the main culprit. It is not known

to the people. It is not known to the world. My point is, our policy towards the 5ri Lankan problem should be more specific. On the one side, killings are taking place in Sri Lanka. On the other side, we lare. unable to adopt a specific policy. Madam, tziking advantage of the situation, a third party wants to enter the . field. U. S. imperialism is waiting on the "wings to enter the arena. Perhaps, they • have already entered the arena and creating all sorts of troubles. It is not known, -who placed the bomb, how it exploded. Nobody knows who is responsible for the • killings. Nobody knows whether the CIA is involved or the U.S.A. is involved. They should be identified. Otherwise, our efforts to solve the Sri Lankan problem will not ' Jbc fruitful.

Another point is about our relations with Bangladesh. The report says that they have improved. But on the other side, in Tripura... (*Time bell rings*)

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN (TamilNadu); The Government is not charitableto the Tamils in Sd Lanka. At least, you' can be charitable to the Member when he is referring to the problem.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; fee has

•completed. his point on Sri Ianka. He, has now taken up Bangladesh. (*Interruptions*) He has moreover exceeded the time allotted to his party.

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN. This has not been followed in other cases. The same procedme has not been followed. (*Interruptions*) For others, you have allowed more time. I can prove it.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; It is not •correct. As I said, he has completed his point ba Sri Lanka and has gone on to Bangladesh. His party was allotted fom>. teen minutes. He has already taken fif teen 'minutes."

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN; In other cases, you have allowed people to go be-- "Vond the time-limit.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. He can-*ffot go* On like this You cannot expect we to be more charitable (*Intermptimts*) SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE (West Bengal). Madam, this fourteen minutet was allotted on the basis of a four-hour debate. If ypu can guarantee us that tho debate will not go beyond four hours, ha' can sit down. But generally, it does not' happen; the debate often gets extended. Therefore, at the minimum we are enti-tled"for 20 minutes. I think, arithmetically, I am right.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister is going to reply at 6 P. M. and before that we have to complete the speeches.

SHRI SUKOMAL SEN. Before lunch, -I will finish. Only three minutes are left.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; YeV cannot take dotible the time,

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN; Kindly allow him.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. I have already given him five minutes more.

SHRI SUKOMAL SEN; Before I come-to Bangladesh, there is one point in regard tp Sri Lanka which I would like to mention. Recently, the Sri Lankan Parliament adopted a motion wherein they, have taken a vow to liquidate the rebels. I would like to mention here that when this motion was adopted, only one member, lone member, who opposed it was the Communist Member. He opposed the liquidation of the rebels. We should take not of it. This is a force inside Sri Lanka ,, which" is called Srilahkan Communist Party. Mav be. it is small in number but their. line of action and thinking about the ethnic issue in Sri Lanka is almost similar to that of ours. I would, therefore, request the hon. Foreign Minister t& tako i note of this and try to apprpach the issue tafchig into account this view point also.

As regard Bangladesh, thousands of Chakma refugees are entering Tripura. It is a State with a population of only 20 lakhs and how can they entertain refuge© populate of over a lakh? Our relation with Bangladesh is improving. I wish we could further improve our relations with Bangladesh, but the problem of

[Shri Sukomal Sen]

Chakma refugees entering Tripura is causing a lot of hardship on the small Government of Tripura. I would" request the Government-to talk to Bangladesh Government. They should create an atmosphere in which, the refugees could return from Tripura th Bangladesh. We cannot merely push back the refugees. We can push them back but simply pushing back will not do. They should <u>not. be</u> pushed back to be butchered; A peaceful atmosphere ' should be created in Bangladesh so that the refugees could retura to their home places, to their hearths and homes. In this respect, I feel our Government has failed and as a result of this failure, the Tripura Government is suffering extremely.

Madam I have been halted by you many times. So with these words I conclude.

SHRI ANAND SHARMA (Himachal Pradesh): Madam Deputy Chairman, the House is discussing the report of a Minis- try which, in fact covers vital areas of •our security, our defence, and the foreign policy of the present ^Govt. Government has consistently pursued the- goals which were set forth immediately after India's independence by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, who was the first leader in the word, to take up the cause of the down-trodden and oppressed humanity. This Government has pursued the policy of giving further momentum, further, strength to the Non-aligned movement. We have emerged as a leading spokesman of all those who have been denied fundamental human rights. We are steadfast in our struggle against colonialism. The Government deserves to be 'complimented for pursuing a policy which is realistic, which is bold and which is in the interest of India, in the interest of the developing world as a whole. The Non-aligned movement has been the focus of our foreign policy. Righly so, because we are one of th© founders and leaders of this movement. Till September 1986 we were. the chairman of this movement and as chairman of Non-aligned movement, the performance of India has been commended, by all membercountries. Under India's chairmanship this movement did acquire new thrust. The Nonaligned

movement could take up effectively those issues which were concerning the people in Asia, in Africa and in Middle East. The movement has certainly gained in prestige $^{\text{}}$ and has followed the right directioo, the desired direction. ' It is not confined merely to political issues, not to rhetoric, not to idle statements, but the movement has definitely taken up practical measures to ensure that for those people for whom, the movement speaks they feel the assis-4ance which is given by the movement.

For this I may specifically refer to ...

SHRI KALPNATH RAI (Uttar Pra desh); Lunch.

SHRI ANAND SHARMA: Not to. lunch.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Will you finish just now?

SHRI ANAND SHARMA; I think I will continue after lunch. Even I am. ' be ing distracted because of the thought" that we have to adjourn now for lunch.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; The House, stands adjourned till 22. 30 P. M.

The HoHuse then adjournde for lunch at thirty-one minute: past one of the clock.

The House reassembled aftar lunch at thirtytwo minutes past tfwo of the clock. The Vice-Chairman (Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal) in the Chair.

SHRI ANAND SHARMA; Sir, I was referring to India's role as Chairman of the Non-Aligned Movement and the issue which were taioen up very effectively during our chairmanship. Being the leader of those nations which have been colonized for centuries, which had been exploited and whose economies were ravaged, the Movement has rightly taken up 'the economic issues, calling for a new international economic order and also for South-South cooperation. At the Harare summit, as we all had expected, - the issue of apartheid and the barbaric actions of the racist Pre toria regim. 3 dominated the summit. India ha_s been consistent in its opposition jo ap artheid. We have always been in the foiefront of the struggle. We have lent our strong voice of support for those who have been fighting for fundamental human rights in South Africa and Namibia. All the frontline States have, because of their moral support to the liberation movement, because of their opposition to the Pretoria regime, have been subjected to a propa ganda onslaught, tp a military onslaught, by the Pretoria regime.

Realizing that the frontline States have been under pressure, India has raised its voice and called for support to the fron-line States besides the people of- South Africa and Namibia. Our Prime Minister, Shri Rajiv Gandhi, has today emerged as a leading crusader of this struggle. His voice has been the loudest whether it was at Nassau or New York or the mini-summit of the Commonwealth countries.. He has effectively taken up the issue of comprehensive mandatory sanctions against South Africa. At the Harare summit also the Heads of States of the non-aligned¹ countries deliberated on the subject. The decision to create the AFRICA Fund and the election of India as its Chairman is something very significant because the Movement has come up to give practical assistance to those countries which are under pressure. We have m'ade a'very categorical declaration on what we stand for and also on our intention to further intensify the struggle The election of India is not a mean achievement. It is not something which can be termed as a routine matter. It only reflects the high esteem in which our. country is held, in which the Prime Minister is held by the African countries and by the Non-aligned Movement as such.

Sir, ve can only hope that the action programme which has been adopted' at Harare, results in creating a new awareness and finds support elsewhere so that the aims are fulfilled and what we hav \mathbb{C} been striving for a long time i_s achieved.

Our relations with the socialist world are also a very important component of out

foreign policy. I would not like to dwell more On that. As has been said in the report also, our relations have been good. We value the friendship with the socialist countries. And on major world issues our thinking has been alike.

I may only refer to the visit of the Soviet General Secretary, Gorbachev to. India and the signing pf the New Delhi Declaration. This is a very important document., It has been hailed ail over the. world. India and the Soviet Union combined, together represent one-fifth of humanity. And the commitment of the two leaders, committing the two countries, committing the people of the two countries to a world which is free from violence, free from the thjeat of nuclear weapons, is indeed commendable. It has always been our endeavour to promote peace and "disarmament, to mobilise world opinion in that direction. It is something which in important.

When we talk of peace, we need not talk of <u>pea. ee</u> ia our immediate neighbourhood, we should not talkofpe'ace only for ourselves. With the world inching towards nuclear catastrophe, India has an important role to' play to ensure that disarmament becomes a reality and the nuclear weapons which are threatening" the very existence of mankind,, are done away with. Unfortunately, we do hot find this thing emerging in the near future because of the failure of the summit between President Reagan and General Secretary, Mr. Gorbachev at Reykjavik But even then it should not result in~3isappointment. We must nurture hope and must pursue with all our might this important mission.

Sir, -there have been activities which are detrimental to our interests being carried out elsewhere outside India particularly ia the western countries. For a long timfl there has been a campaign against this country. There have been attempts to destabilise this country which are apparently aimed at misleading the people in those countries about the reality in India.

But the Government has very effectively taken up this issue. The efforts have yielded positive results. I may mention the signing of the extradition treaty with Canda and the manner in which the ores*.

[Shri Anand SKarma]

cution of those who have been carrying outanti-Indiaactivities ha«been initiated by the Governments of U. K. and the linked States Administration. But in vie, W of the fact that for a long time th'ese activities have been permitted and in some cases encouraged and keeping in view the present situation, it is important that the Government takes up the' question of signing an extradition treaty with the United Kingdom and even with the United States of America.

It has been the right policy of our Government to promote an atmosphere of cooperation and peace in our immediate neighbourhood. The Government has tried its best, in a sincere' manner to promote relations with its neighbours-Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka. And through, SAARC, which has definitely given a new momentum to regional cooperation, ' we have tried to promote cooperatin with these countries. Unfortunately, in some cases the response has been negative. The res--ponse je not poor; In the case of Pakistan, if I mmay so, they have not responded to our. gestures. It appears as if they are not interested in peace. They have ^sdone everything possible to promote tension. But for that it is the military regime there, which is to blame. If there lias been a failure anywhere, the blame lies with them. It is their resonsibility. The manner in-which they have piled up sophisticated weapons, received massive assistance from other countries and are pursuing nuclear weapons programme, it constitutes a serious threat to this country. It is not a_n issue which can merely be discussed and then forgotten. We have to take a serious note of the situation. I am not here to say that We have-^o go in for iany confrontation, but we will have to reconsider our own options; We will have to reconsider oulrown nuclear policy keeping in view these developments in Pakistan and also mounting tension in the Indian Ocean with the geWi Naval bases being set up and the expansion of the existing bases it constitutes a very. serious' rhreafi»to this country.

The type of assistance and aid which $Pakista_n$ has, received in the. recent past from the United States of America has to

be considered seriously by our policy framers. What has happened is it is against the policy of USA*to give arms assistance to a nation which 4s going in for nuclear weapons not only have they tried to equate India's security requirements with Pakistan, but on the other hand they have also used every possible trick to ensure'that instability, tension and disputes develop in this region. They have, invoked the Symington waiver clause to give 4. 2 billion dollar assistance to Pakistan, on the other hand they have tried to impose cuts-though no final decision has been taken on. the\meagre aid, not arpsfcid, which, in any case, was promised for India, It is very humiliating. They have tried to dictate us o_n our foreign policy. They have also said that in view of India's stand on various world issues they may cut the assistance which is to be given to this country. India has always pursued an independent and realistic policy. And if this at- • tempt has been made, then, keeping ia view their actions and the assistance to, Pakistan, I urge upon the Government to reject this offer qf aid. We must refuse it in the present circumstances and in' the present situation as they are dictating terms to us. Sir, I am sore {Time bell rings) that the Government of India which has steadfastly pursued an independent foreign policy and taken up those issues which" have been dear to us and' dear to all those who believe in peace and in development, will continue to effectivelypursue these goals.

But before I conclude Sir, % would like to refer to the situation on the Sirio-Indian border which is a matter of serious con-' cern to us. It is. - a situation which requires to he dealt with patience, diplomacy and' als6 with caution. We cannot but express our serious concern, over the recent threats- which *liave* been there, the actions of China last year their intrusion into the Indian territory and the false accusations which" have been made against India of nibbling at their territory. We would like to know the assessment of our Ministry of External Affairs about this situation and about Chinese in- tension, May I know from the Minister whether there is a build-up across the border? Are these accusations a prelude to

225 *Re. working of the*

another invasion? We an; hopeful that in view of the declared policy of our Gov ernment to settle all disputes through nego tiations we will persuade them, impress upon them and try our best to solve these disputes in a jieacef or manner so that the peace in this region' is not disturbed. But here there is an attempt to create instability and peace is threatened in the Indian sub continent. We must be aware of the de signs of those who are upset because of India's independent foreign policy, India's stability, Indias strength, India's leadership of the non-aligned movement and may be that both these nations are being used as • a spring board of ^stabilisation.

Sir, I wil conclude by staying that this nation ought to be proud of the foreign policy pursued by this Government The manner in which India has taken up effectively various issues at world forum and high esteem in which leader of this nation, Prime Minister^ Shri Rajiv Gandhi is held all over the world is respected by *j&S*. Thank you.

SHRI VALAMPURi JOHN; Mn Viee-Chtomin, SSr. /Mr. Anand Sharma was eloquent in'his assertion that India's foreign policy is'such that it takes. caret of the suffering humanity Wherever It *xists. But at the same time with all Ms poetic impulse, it is only partialy true, "*ti* fnay bg true, elstewnere but. not in, Sri Lanka. Again he observed very cryptically that India promotes peace everywhere.; in-the world bat I would like to add only a few words, yes». Sharma is right that India promotes peace everywhere' in, the world except. In India. What happens liere is, t am so unhappy..

SHRI GHAN SHYAM SINGH (Uttar Pardesh^{*i*} We are discussingforeign;

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN Iam quite conscious that we are discussing foreign, policy. J, arn, 'not happy to, say that, India's loreign, policy has ftunbjed and, failed but I must say jt has failed miserably in Sri Xanka. Wken I say this nothing would Tje more, apt and appropriate ^ than to uote what Mr. Venkateswaranj the for-

mer Foreign. Secretary has said yesterday. It has appeared in the 'Hindu' of April 26, 1987. I Quote:

"fife said that the Indian foreign policy lacked consistency and credibility, aj quick solutions and instant fixes were attempted. Everything is still being sought to be done in fits and starts, leading to a general state of drift in the situation. He said that the Central Gov-' e'rhment was not as sensitive to the prqb-lems of the southern States as to those Of the northern heartland. Had any linguistic group of north India-been persecuted like the Tamils in Sri Lanka, the 'Central Government would have certainly reacted more decisively."

This is a charge of Mr. Venkateswaran, the former Foreign Secretary, -

भी जनवन्ती प्रसाद यादव (विहार): यह बिल्कुल गलत है । उन्नूर भारत में भी पाकिस्तान से आये हुए शरणार्थी छेन्व श्रीर जोरिया में पिछले 12 सालों से पड़े हैं । बंगला देश से चक्रमा लोगों को भगाया जा रहा है श्रीर नेपाल में बिहारियों के साथ ऐसा होना शुरू हो गया है । इंसलिए उनकी भी बही हालत है जो श्रीलंका में तमिल भाषियों की है ।

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN: I am only quoting what he has said. If the Foreign Secretary of the Government could sky this, then what is your answer? Because tps is the gravest charge possible. I do not think that anybody of his level has made such a serious charge, the gravest chatgV possible J, What I understand f What heⁱ was; not i able to say when he was . ForeignrSecretary, he, has been, able to say and -he hag come out with the truth This is the gravest charge possible and the whole untry. and; -, the le world will listen He was your own foreign Secretary. He says, suppose northern Inguistic group bad been affected the Government of India would (have come to their security immediately but not t° these people; this is our feeling too and we assertively say that he is echoing our sentiments. Now, I under-stana for the first/time why he bis been dropped like a hot potato.

Shri Valampuri John]

We have been saying in, the same Ho use that whoever exhibits the Tamil instincts foolishly in the foreign office is not safe. It has been again amplified and proved by his statement here. Further he pointed out that atrocities perpetrated against the three, million Tamils in Sri Lanka are a cause for serious concern, to the Tamils everywhere. But their' aspirations are not understood by our Central Government. The only correction is that it is not that you are not understanding;. I don't charge that you are not understanding but after having understood the situation, you are not acting. You refuse to act. This is the difficulty. - I have been requesting for a proper action for the last three years for eradication of state terrorism in Sri Lanka but you are harping repeatedly on one thing. Even day before yesterday, you said the same thing. You have been harping on the same thing. You simply condemn violence in Sri Lanka. But I ask a very pertinent question to you, don't you think it is not simply a violence? It is a sweeping generalization to say that it is violence. By doing so> you are making a kind of an approach which is very wrong in its very texture. You are equating offenders as well as the defenders. You are equating an accused as well as ithe one who has been affected, As Mr. Sukomal Sen correctly said, when you speak of the super power riVahy, there^you are equating United States with Soviet Union. When the United States has got a power base there, when they are insisting and making potential threat to the Indian security, Soviet Union has no base at all but you are equafting both' and say that ft is a super power rivalry in very flowery phrases, you are completely avoiding the issue and you are totally indifferent. As such now you are equating state terrorism, the military racist regime on the one hand and 'defenceless people, »armless people on the other hand. How are you equating both of them? Why do I say so? See for days together, for the last five days, they are showering bombs. There are air raids on the peoplei*; 'Can you give me an instance where there had been a continuous ah- raid by a Government in th© history of the world against its own people? The Government cannot make this kind of air raid avi» nst its own people but it is con-

tinued. But you come ahcV'say that you are condemning this Violence. It is hot violence. This is resistance movement by Tamils in self-defence. When, cats are provided with claws by nature can you deny, -the same kind of 'privilege', the 'same kind of protective mechanism to Tamils? What nature has provide to cats, can you deny it to Tamils?'This is what question is. They are resisting my them. It. is not violence as you say. From 1956, from the time of Mr. Bandaranayake'. Only the Sinhala Act, they are committing violence against the presence of Tamils. The violence is against the Tamil language, the violence is against Tamil culture, the violence is against Tamil ethos; and it is coninuing for such a long time, from 1956 to 1987. There has been so m uch of violence and it is that-which has resulted in this kind of LTTE and EROS They are the vanguard of the revolutionary, forces. They are resisting them. And you call their re-sistence violence! You" cannot equate the offender and the offended, one who has been totally affected, the defenceless people and say "We condemn violence". When you say "We are condemning violence", whose violence are you condemning? You are not acting as a mediator. You are not serious. You are totally indifferent. Why do you again and again say in your handouts "We are condemning violen? Whose violence are you con- ' demoting? There is State terrorism; there is racist regime. Its behaviour is worse, than what we find in South Africa. At least in South Africa Nelson Mandela and (bis friends aTe safe in prison. But Sri Lanka is the only eountry»in the world Where even the prisoners are not safe in rheir prisons. You have seen the widespread reports published in the Sun, the Government-owned newspaper in Sri Lan-Jca which said, Sinhalese prisoners are going on-'fast. -. For what? Because, a Sinhalese crowd was not allowed to kill Tamil prisoners, because they were not allowed fo kill Tamil prisoners in other Sri Lankan jails. That is why they werg going on a fast, Such is the situation, created by the: racist regime in Sri Lankae Wnit I want to say is ypu always sermonise' on the situation in Sri 'Lanka. In other. places you could show your priorities, in other places you could act. For example, in* South -Africayou ird presiding over the

Africa Fund. I have nothing to say there. India is behaving well. India is galvanising all the forces. I do not 'dispute it. iiut in Sri Lanka you have miserably failed What you can do for a distant coun ry - for example, for Palestinian guerillas you are again and again extend-. ing your hand; -you are not doing in a nearby situation. Why are you silent, why are you indifferent, towards the Tamil people in Sri Lanka The racist regime is liquidating the Tamil masses. Do you think. hat if will become a global war? If it becomes a global war, India should pght it. I remember an occasion when the Chinese aggression; took place and Acharya Kripalani said something and in reply Jawaharlal Nehru said, "India is like a mighty elephant. It takes time to stand ^UP: but the moment it stands up, it stands completely and mightily. ' But today what is the. position of India's foreign policy? No one takes you seriously. You are not a mediator. The reason is simple. Prema Dasa, the Prime Minister, stated in a different way; he saM a few words. He said, 'Whoever advocates political settlement at this hour when our own people are affected, they are our enemies. " And the honourable Minister here comes and says in the Lok Sabha, "If it ig true... ". This has oeen published by Government-owned newspaper, The Sun-Nothing can be more instilling than this. A Government-owned! newspaper in Sri Lanka brings a statement of its Prime Minister Prema' Dasa, "Whoever advocates peace at this hour is our enemy". It goes to prove that India is "our enemy". He has declared openly, "India is my enemy". When somebody has declared "India is my enemy", you are keeping quiet. That goes' to prove that you are Hot taking this problem seriously There are two nations in Sri Lanka. ^T You • must accept it first. There are two nations in the island. My only concern is having'understood, -hav-' ing appreciated, this ^problem that there are two nations in Sri Lanka, you refuse to act. Why? There are two nations because it is, a racist regime which is bombarding its own people, there are so many air-raids. Sri Lankan Government hag been repeatedly saying to you that these air-raids are... atmeU at only mopping up these Tigers, freedom fighter. is it true? What I am

savin., is iher^ is economic blockade aff-

cation blockade against Jaffna, Peninsula; supply of *fuel* has been completely stopped. Is it then against freedom fighters pr against Tamil people? Against whom is this economic blockade? I am asking a simple question;. In the wisdom of this Government, is it a measure against the freedom. fighters who are Tigers or against the Tamil people? It is against the Tamil people. That is why these things are happening. I cannot understand your repeated saying^ "Violence, violence, violence". How can you equate the offender and the affected? May be, there is. one reason and that is Delhi's mind is overexer-cised on the bomb blast in Colombo. So, the bomb blasts in Colombo are not the handiwork of the 3. 00 P. M. Tigers, but of the Israelis. This is not my revelation and this isnot my party's revelation and this is not the finding of the Tamil people. In the Sri Lankan Parliament, the Opposition leaders, the MPs of the Opposition, have said this and for having said so, they have 'been physically removed the 'day before Yesterday! They have said and they have said it very elearly mat this is not the work of the Tigers, but it is the work of 'the Israelis and they have said that this isrfthe inside work, the inside* game, with Israeli hands. These are thg very words which they have used. So, the MPs there have said this anH for, saying' so, they fcave been "physically removed. So, when the Opposition MPs themselves say that the Tigers are not responsible (or his, that this is the handiwork of the Government, why ^re; you getting yourself overexercised over this particular affair? I can understand your, ob-session to the Opposition partiesrin India^; But why are you. not takmgin the right spirit what the Opposition says, -ijot in your land, but in some other land when they say this? Why are you mixing up a foreign Opposition witto the, native -Opposition? I can understand' whyhisbomb blast has come now. Because, it is a racist regime. Last year, at, the same time, the same kind of bomb blast was there. There is madness there, but there is method in heir madness. Last year, at about the same time, the same -thing occurred. Last year, there w the seven-country; meet in Tokyo and they wanted to get the gupport of the AID Consortium countries and that was why

[Shri Valampuri John] "V their stand and only get the support of the Alp Consortium to countries. Again now, -from today, the fourteenth day, there IS a fileelmgf theAID Consortium meeting is on and now they have to go and tell them that they have to get the international community's support for their acts and that is why they are planning it. Why are you overexercised? Again I would like to- tell you one thing. "Unless and" until you accept the basic thing—it is not merely a theory, but it is a basic lesson of history-that there are two nations in Sri Lanka and they can never live together, you cannot do anything. They can never live together. They say that they are the followers ol Buddhism. But what happens there? We read from history that Buddha, the great sdtal, left his palace, left his wealth arid left everything just'on seeing a corpse. If thegreat Buddha could have seen the hufidreds of thousands of corpses in Sri lanka how, he would have left the wnole universe. What worries me is this: Even the other day, on the Maha Shivara-thn-day^ a lot ojf Hindu temples were desecrated. A lot of Hindu temples and idols in the sanctum sanctoruto were de-secrated. But I am sorry to say one: thing. I was feorri to Christian parents; I have no rellgidn; I am an orphan. But I ask you one thing: Why the Hindu conscience in deep slumber? when we fee so much why are they W deep slum-' Ber I havfe seen the Hindu religious heads here sheading tears, seen them showing' arigtisW whenever they read of hear tftat there was invasion, invasion by the tSfofulS, ' centuries bacE arid fhat many Hindu" tfemples and fdols were des&oyed. White you condeming what is reported have happened' centuries feck, #hV&"£ foTUftt f M aSMng all the Hindus heWJ Why are you keeping niunif While you are condeminig such incidents history condeming what What happened erf turies back, Why are you keeping finmir when your temple have been sestroyed when the idots have been desecrated of when' your priests areKilled in the sanc turm sanotorum as if before before your very eyes. Why are' you not reacting? The reason is that oven religion i feel is con by ethnicity here¹; Religion, in this country, is bing limited by language and re-

231

ligious is being confined by area. This is what I, would like to say emphatically. I would like t«s quote one thing how.

Now economic blockade was the reason why the Tigers did not come for Jalks. In Delhi, this is the way a kind of ad-mosphere is being created as if the Tigers are not freedom fighters and were not prepared f% talks. it is not true., You have to come out with a statement, saving whether they were prepared or not prepared for talks. They were prepared for talks But what Was the condition? They said that the economic blockade must go, that the tele-communication blockade mustgo and that the fuel supply must be restore ed. Only when you restore these things, they will come for the talks. This is the only thing they are telling But when these three conditions were not fulfilled, now can the Government of India ask them to cdme for talks? therefore, I would like to tell you that if you do not help them, some other country will help them and you will become our enemies. I am oained to see that you take the position that Sri Lanka becomes a potential threat. It is not a potential threat from the deep south in Sri Lanka with American mercenaries; and israeli faassads. You. are introducing, knowingly, a kind of desta-r bijizing element in India's body politic. I say this because, as far as Sri Lanka is concerned, it' is not an external affair, but, it is an internal problem for India. But when the people in Tamil Nadu feel that mey are let down, and if you do-not react today, let me tell you-let me go on record you may see only the ripples today, but some. day Tamil Nadu will become another Punjab., Tamil Nadu will toecome a Pimjaby because out people feel that they' have been let down, theirfofthsmd kin ate killed. If it happens a linguistic gronpfn the north, you will act But not for the fimils. Our kith and kin are being killed daily. It fs infernal massacre, ft is total conflagration. ' But the - Government of India Is looking Into it. It says: we are conderEning Violence, go for talks. Nothing will happen. Never will it happen.

It is not a question of threat from deep south, from Sri Lanjta. It is a threat from within the country, because, we say that our people have bee§ let down. We" may not ask, we may not deniand. But can we say to the history; you should not go this way? I cannot condition history. In the past this has happened. The; sons and daughters who have been butchered... (Time bell rings) One lakh and forty-five thousand. Who is destabilizing this country? You accuse American arms. But are you not destabilising the country? By not helping the Tamils you are destabilising the country. Unless and until-and it is not Warning because a warning will not solve the problem... (Time bell rings) One minute. Earlier Mr. Iayawardene was saying, when India was the mediator very seriously he said; India is a giant. After a year I found, I have calculated, he said: India is a big brother. Now he says: India is an enemy. This is where our foreign policy has totally bungled. You have to cpme up with effective measures. Tdo not say you have to invade them. It is not necessary. It is a small, tiny island and invasion is too big a word for Sri Lanka. But a giant like India is being completely heckled by a. jackal. Why does it happen? Why do you allow this to happen? Are you not answerable to he Deople, why don't you answer the people. They say that definitely the Government of India has let them down, and that is why these things happen. But you are not heading for the day. The Government of India and the whole Cabinet, the whole setup, have to heed for the day. History will have the strongest condemnations having fully realised, fully understood, that the Government did not. help the Tamils beceuse you believed that the Tamils are second-class citizens in this country.

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI (Rajasthan): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I am grateful. to you for giving me an opportunity. to participate in the debate.

On this occasion I will like to remind the *Maase* that forty years ago in March anJFApTil 1947 India hosted the first

[Asian Relations Conference. At that time India was mot free. Pt. Jawabarl&l was the Vice-Chairman of Viceroys' Executive Council. So before independence', India had a foreign policy and, therefore, we organised the Asian Relations Conference. We tried to project the Asian identity on the world scene. The resurgence of Asia was the call of the hour. I would like to quote from the speech delivered by Pt. Nehru, one or two paragraphs, to'show what was the basis of our foreign policy op which the edifice is now growing steadily and strongly. He said—I quote:

"Asia, after a long period, has suddenly become an important country- in world affairs. If we view the millennium of history, this Continent of Asia was intimately connected in cultural fellowship, has played a mighty role in the evolution of humanity. There was widespread urge and aware-' ness that the time had 'come for us, peoples of Asia, to meet together, to hold together, and to advance together. It was not only a vague desire, but the compulsion of events forced all of us to thinks, along, these lines. "

And he concluded:

"This conference itself is significant as an expression of that deeper urge of the mind and spirit of Asia. We propose to stand on our own legs and cooperate with all others who are prepared to cooperate with us. We do not intend to be plathings of, others. The countries of Asia can no longer he used as pawns by others. They are bound to have their own policies in world affairs. "

He concluded:

"In this atomic age Asia will have to function effectively in the maintenance of peace."

Sir, that was. foreseen by a great visionary, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and today we see what we have achieved in our foreign policy and what was our foundation in die foreign policy. Sir, I

[Shri Bhuvnesh Chaturvedi]

will not syeak on every aspect of the, foreign policy /because it, is, veiy- difficult to coverueveiy aspect a very. ort period. But I will, like to touch only three; points. paie is; about our policy "in regard, to neighbouring countries, the otljer is China and the third is America-Pakistan nexus.

Sir, Chanakaya has said much earlier that it is very difficult to have a very friendly neighbour. Things have changed and the contexts have changed. But at the same time, we must see our limitations. We must see the geographical compulsions and how we can have a friendly neighbour, especially when that neighbour is merely a proxy of a big power. So, we can understand that a small neighbouring country is acting as a proxy of a big power. 'We are not to persuade that neighbour. We have to persuade that big power to come to senses. The other country is Nepal. We can understand the traditional friendship with Nepal. They try to break it because they want to operate outside the old influence, the traditional influence. They want to gain their own personality. -Whatever we have given them was out of our love and brotherhood for that country and not out of generosity. They misunderstand us, There are dissidents with various political opinions. They try to paint us as a big brother or as a coloniser. We are trying to be very friendly and we are working honestly, sincerely and really to build up that country. But there is a conflict of public opinion and they paint us in a very different way. So, this is the thing.

The other country is Bangladesh. Who does not know that we have actively assisted and helped them to create a nation. They remember us very fondly and affectionately til] the days of Mujib. But the destabilisation of Bangladesh has changed the situation altogether and the power forces inside and outside are trying to paint us in the same way as We are being misunderstood in other countries, /This is my submission i_n brief. As Chana-kaya says and it is very true even it is very difficult to be friendly with an unfriendly neighbour. We nave to realise-'it. Deep suspicions are involved. Transfer of population is involved. Other economic offences like smuggling are involved. These are the realities. We i have to be very practical in creating a sound' neighbourhood policy.

That is why, sometimes our friends and ' critics say that we have not *tev*& able to evolve a policy by which every neighbour and every neighbouring country becomes our friend. But these are the inherent contradictions. We must realise it as a neighbouring country.

My second point is China. We have seen those days of Hindi-Chini Bhai Bhai. We have seen those days of Dr. Kotnis. We have seen those days of 1962. On • the one side, there is a resolution of the That is a commitment to Parliament the nation or a commitment of the peo ple's representatives to the people of India. It says that no territory will be surrendered and every inch of the terri tory will be recovered from China. On the one side, ' this is the situation. On the oher side, after 25 or 26 years, there is a change in world forces. America was against China. In those days, Ame rica Was very enthusiastic to support us against China. They were rather pro mpting us without our seeking help from them. Now, the scenario has changed. Now America is very friendly and intimate to them. And with the help of Pakistan, China and America are trying to destabilise us. But at the same time neither We can surrender our territory nor can we wage a war to retain that territory. Now it is a very difficult choice before the nation. What to do? submission that Mv is we must honestly admit that during the last

25 years we have not been able to evolve ' a clear-cut foreign policy towards China. Nobody. no one sitting in the foreign-office can create a foreign policy towards China or a China policy. My. submission is that some serious thought should be given and some very practical proposition^ should;. fee evolved as to' deals, china We have no options.

We have very limited option while dealing with China. Therefore, my sijbmis--sion is that great patience and statesmanship are required to deal-with China. Neither we caii wage a war against China nor can we 'surrender or compromise the territory against our" national interest. No Government can survive after surrendering its territory nor is it practical to wage at war against China and regain the territory. " That* is why my submission is that great patience is required, and at the same time the statesmanship of a very high order is required. - Sometimes somebody feels that Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru failed, Mrs. Indira Gandhi has failed, so many Foreign Ministers have failed, so many Foreign Secretaries have failed, but I will' do it. That is a false ego. No individual howsoever capable, intellectual and honest can deliver the goods. No individual can bring China to senses. Therefore, my submission is that is is a very, very delicate affair, and We should deal with China very cautiously, and we should evolve a China policy to be implemented in a decade or two when normal relations are to be established.

Now, Sir, I deal with the United ^States. (Time bell rings) Sir. I will conclude in just three or four minutes. About the United States, I would like to submit that a very realistic exercise has to be done on Indo-US relations. High-sounding words that we are the largest democracies or that we are inspired by the same ideals of liberty or that we have transplanted the fundamental rights from the US soil to the Indian soil are all false slogans. We should not remain in a myth. This is just a wishful thinking. All these common points are very superfluous, . and if We try to build up our relationship with the US on this, then we will be living in a fool'gi paradise. Sir. I mak a submission that 'the startegic dimensions set by the US- assigned for it a pre-eminent role in our region. That is the first consideration" which We will have to weigh. Whai? plan the US have of fts role" in'. this-[;] region It wants to have hegemony overall the amall anad big powers can we play that role? Certainly we cannot. Therefore, it is very difficult to come' the terms with the United States of America on its strategic considerations or strategic policies. We must rather honestly accept: -that India is very inconvenient tnited States in their world strategy. Therefore, unless they changed totally, they eannpt be very friendly- to us. Since 194-7, the first Prime Minister of India, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru tried his best to be friendly to them. Thereafter, all successive Prime Ministers, in their first term of office tried to be friendly with the USA. But what happened? Unfortunately, after the initial romance of love or just a courtesy, the myth exploded and they started almost warlike. preparations against us. And the second point is that the Jewish factor in the US domestic policy influences the American foreign policy. We must never minimise^ this Jewish influence on the American foreign policy because they are the merchants of war. They are only interested in war in one country or the other. It should continue to be a world phenomenon. Then only the Jews can Survive. The Jews have a definite influence. No President, howsoever strong he may be in Amer ca(can operate or can act or can do anything without their support. He cannot disown the Jewish lobby. That is the cardinal situation that India should not misunderstand. That is the American strategy for the world and that is the effect of the internal Jewish influence on their foreign policy. Unless we are able to break that, unless we are able to create public opinion in America against the Jews, we cannot proceed further. Sir, I would like to submit that America is a society | of lobbies. So, if Jewish lobby is there, I fortunately lobbies of Japan thev are ! creating havoc with American Jewish We must cultivate them. There are policy. sufficient number of Indians. Indian citizens, who powerful are in positions. They are intellectuals of the first arder, businessmen of the first order. So, we must develop Indian Jobby or. any other - lobby which., acts against

up in one or two year. And at the time of the Presidental elections weacan develop.

Jewish, lobby and they con be encouraged come

239

[Shri Bhuvnesh Chaturvedi

can at any time give a tilt to the Americas policy towards us These are my submissions on three or four points and in another two or three minutes I would like to conclude.

Sir, I would like to suggest that a re-ionwise special study in our foreign ministry Or foreign office should be done. The Minister may or may not accept. But I would like to submit that there is a lack of seriods learning, seriols research and serious study in the foreign office for trie last one decade or so. We must start this honestly regionwise. Then only can we evolve a very sound policy towards various regions. Let us, therefore, try to develop and expertise, on various regions specially with those with which we are directly involved.

Sir, I started with the Asian Relations Conference and I would like to request the Minister to convey our deep desire to the Government to have the 14th Anniversary of this Asian Relations Conference this year. I remember I was there as a student worker.^v Khan Abdul Gha-Ghaffar Khan adderssed that. By chance there was a person in Beijing when I visited a week before, there was one person who attended the Asian Relations Conference. I understand there are many Asians. If you invite those people who attended Asian relations conference and recommend our resolve for a resurgent Asia, it will certainly balance, the world forces. I conclude with these words and I hope that my request will receive all consideration.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, we are now discussing the working of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, when we loofcsat the world jind we look at our neighbours, when we see our forefathers who saw the conception of the world at a society and our relations with the neighbours, and now when we see at present tht we strive for peace, for disarmament, for development, we believe in. these principles, n spite of all this when w* see our relations with the neighous

are sadjy disappionted. Our foreign, policy has been mqst ineffective unipsp. uninsptring and -. it lacked initiative. The whole policyhas bpen most ineffective inispir Why? Because the people who are at the helm of the Government are not serious to see what really they want to do, how they want to tackle the problems concerning the people and the relations *cith the Governments surrounding «our country. Take the question of our relations with Pakistan. We have very good relations with other countries. What about our neighbours? Take Pakistan, or Ceylon or China. The real situation is very alarming and very depressing. Pakistan was created on the two-nation theory and a_c per the Instrument of Accession, the whole of lammu and Kashmir had acceded to India. But after that due to the invasion of tribes from Pakistan side a part of Kashmir has been in illegal occupation of Pakistan. And still that question is hanging on. In spite of Simla Agreement, Pakistan has been raising this issue in every forum, whether it is the Islamic Conference or the international organisation or the UNO/" Everywhere they want to raise this issue. I

would like to know from' the foreign Minister who is also very much related to all these developments because he is one of the freedom-fighters and has seen all the developments from the beginning to this day, as to what the solution is with regard to Jammu and Kashmir. General Zia, recently in the Pakistan Parliament had said that there cannot be any real friendship with India until and lnless Kashmir issue is solved. What is that real issue? The real issue/-'to to see that illegal occupation of Kashmir by Pakistan is vacated. That is, the only issue which the Government of India should bring to the notice of Pakistan and the United Nations. But the Government of India has failed to bring this to the notice of the Pakistan Government and Security Council and to the notice of the world. The Government of India has failed to mobilise and create a public opinion. Everytime we say Pakistan is violating Simla Agreement. That is all.

what is the violation and how Jong will i you wait his already token us 40

241 Reworking of the

years. In 1947, Kashmir acceded to India and it was invaded by Pakistan in 1947 and till this day, a part of it is in illegal occupation of Pakistan. Not only that, a large part of occupied Kashmir has been handed over to China by Pakistat, China has built roads from Karako-rain linking Sinkiang. 1 would like ta know what steps axe being taken to gat an end to these actions. Simply sending protest notes is not enough. You send protests to Pakistan and to China. But no action. Have you thought over the mutter? You slept over when Aksaichin was invaded and along road was built linking Sinkiang to Tibet, and you woke, up one fine morning and . said, no, it belongs to us. Vast area of Indian territory has been occu-pied by Chifla. In 1962, China occupied a large portion of fee indian territory. We are surrounded by ail these hostile neighbours. Even now, China claims that ve are interfering or we are concentrating our troops on the Chinese border. But the position is just the contrary. As a matter of fact, it has been stated that China is marching its troops on the Tibeta border, in Tibet, China has got in addition to millions of Chinese civiliansfive lakh troops stationed there. This creates a very dangerous situation so far as India is concerned. It is said that possesion of Tibet is one of major strategic importance to China. Control over the Tibetan plateau not ponly provides a convenient buffer to protect Western China but also a commanding position in Central and Southern Asia, giving Chin_a a direct access to enter indian subcontinent, according to some analysts. This is what the experts on China say. I would like to know from ihe hon. Minister, how he is going tO solve the problem. China says that large areas of Arunachal pradesh belong to them. Recently, China intruded into Arunachal Pradesh, in what is .known as the Sum-durong Chu valley in Tawang district of Arunachal pradesh. They also built a helipad. When a question was put to Ae then Foreign Minister, he expressed his ignorance. He denied that any helipad had been built. Within two or three days, a statement was made in the Lok Sabha that the Chinese did intrude intrude Aruna

chal Pradesh and that they had built a helipad. This is the position. This shows out inaction, our ignorance and *out* helplessness. In such a situation, how can we expect that this Government is going protect our territory and our people. This is unexplainable. I would like to know from the hon. Minister *how* he is going to tackle these issues.

In fact, we committed a greatest blunder when the late Prime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, took the Kashmir issue to the Security Council. The great General Cariappa, recently said that if this issue had not been laken to the Security Council and no ceasefire order was made and if the Indian Army had been avowed, within a week, the whole of Kashmir would nave been in the hands of India because it had legally 'acceded to India. Then, there would have been no Kashmir jssue at all and here would have been no wars bet* ween India and Pakistan. Three times Pakistan invaded us. Now, there is a USA-Pakistan-China axis. They have joined together. The question is, how you are going to change situation. Another greatest blunder the committed by Mr. Nehru m those days was recognition of .China's suzerainty over Tibet, without analysing and settling the boundary-issue between China and Tibet, As iong as Tibet was an independent country, there aros^ no boundary question between China and India. When We recognised the suzerainty of China over Tibet, immediately, we bad to face the question of boundary between China and India. Now, these two issues kashmir and boundry problems with China are hanging on our head and 1 do not know how the Government is going to solve these two issues

In this connection, 1 would like to make two suggestions. We should categorically tell both Pakistan and China that whatever territory is in their illegal possession should be vacated. We do not want even an inch of either the Chinese ot the Pakistani territory. But whatever belonging to us should come to us. After the Chinese hmsion in 1962 our Parliament passed a Resolution that whatever territory annexed by China should be re rorned to India. We have to remember

[Shrj B. Sabyaoarayjo ReddyJ. i

that. We cannot forget that. This is one suggestion.

My §ecbnd suggestion is that we should work for a confederation of India, pakis-tan 8nd Bangladesh with common defence and foreign policy. (Time belt rings) If we take these steps we may have real and lasting peace and friendship among these three countries in the region. After all, all these three countries are part of the same sub-continent. There is also the issue of Chakma refugees from Bangladesh. Thousands of, Chakma refugees have fled from Bangladesh and have taken refuge in Tripura, India. Their properties have been destroy" ed and occupied in Bangladesh, by the Army. Even women and children have been massacred. They are afraid of going back to Bangladesh. I would like to know from the hon. Minister how we are going to solve this problem, how we are going to convince the Bangladesh Government to seehat the Chakmas get confidence and are able to return back to their country in honour -and 'dignity, get back their property and live in peace.

Now, I would like to mention about the happenings in Sri Lanka. Really, a genocide is going on. After the Indian mediatory efforts which led to talks between the Sri Lankan Government and the leaders of the liberation movements of the Tamils we thought that some solution would come out but Javawar-dana Government seems to be insincere, President layawardana is a man of two tongues. He says something and does something else. The Prime Minister of Sri Lanka, Shri Prema Dasa, in SrHanka Parliament has said that whoever now talk_s of political settlement or political solution, will be considered, the greatest enemy of bis country. That means, if [nd; a stands for a political settlement,; f tadia is pleading for political solution, ve will be considered as the biggest ene-ny of Sri Lanka. Ting is the. position, rhousands and ' thousands M Tamil rethren are being being killed Their porp

as been destjoyedroTEtifere. is no hope of ny peace' coming back. that part of. le country. I want to know how long are you going to, preach this philosophy of negotiation? Now it VtJnie that you"v take stern acjiori. I do not know, what kind of action' you are" going t₀ take. Neither Ceylon' nor "Pakistan nbr China think that youaJe capaoie of talking any stern' action. They think 'that you are a man of words, not a man of action. This is tfte opinion the world over. It is not enough to ask'''Jayewardene to negotiating terms. That is of no use. The Government of India must take some stern action so that Sri Lanka desists from adopting such methods. When his resignation was demanded in the parliament of Sri Lanka, Sri J'ayawardene sa[;] d that t'his was only possible if one becomes unsound of mind or feunatic.

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN; Already he has become insane,

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: I think so. A leunatic or unsound mind alone would do such barbaric action as taking place in Sri Lanka. So, Government of India must impress upon Jaye-wardene that it was better for him to go. Or, some other action is to be taken to save the lives of the - innocent Tamil people.

Coming to our relations with other countries, we want good relations with all the countries of the world including America. Of the two super powers, Soviet Union proved to be the greatest friend of. all those who stand for justice, for righteousness, for liberty, freedom, and for establishment of equality in the world. Soviet Union has been constantly friend of India. We know that Soviet Union is working for establishment of peace, for disarmament, for destruction of all atomic weapons and all otherdestructive things, but we fail to understand why America is not responding. Of course,, we have extended a hand of friendship to America. We tiave no quarrel with , America. ' But we cannot forget the nature of ' American imperialism, that they are arming and helping those who stand not for progress b'Tit'^br destruction. They armed Iran during i-mately Shah> had to go they have founduion ground in the Pakistan roas

their base. ^They are supplying sophisticated weapons to Pakistan. Pakistan is also manufacturing the bomb. In spite of. that, America is arming Pakistan with sophisticated weapons because they think

r that after having lost Iran Pakistan was the only base that could be used against other countries, especially against Soviet Union and other socialist countries. But I would like to tell this Government and also the prime Minister that whatever weapons are supplied to by America will not be used either Pakistan against Soviet Union or against China or against Afghanistan. These weapons can only be used against India. This has been proved. Pakistan has illegally waged three, wars against India. So I would like to "impress upon the Foreign that Government of India must tell Minister the American Government this thing. To one of my questions in this House, the Minister of State stated that the Foreign Minister of our country is to impress upon the visiting America 'American Government and American leaders that these weapons which are of destructive nature and very sophisticated should not be to Pakistan because these -will be supplied used against India. I do not know whether our Foreign Minister has visited and impressed upon the American leaders accordingly. But recently Mr. Natwar Singh*.

Minister of State for External Affairs seems to have met. some of the American leaders to discuss this issue but he has failed. Nothing has come out of it. Instead America has clearly told him, "we are going to help Pakistan, Pakistan is our ally and we are going to help it", and advised Mr. Natwar Singh to ask the Soviet Union to go out of Afghanistan

so that they may not have to supply these AWACS to Pakistan. So they have put a condition. As a matter of fact we were not able to convince the American authorities of the danger that lies in supplying these sophisticated arms to Pakistan.

One more point ...

THEr VICE-CHAIRMAN (SH^AI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL): Mr. Reddy, "please conclude. SJJRI B. SATYANARAYA[^] REDDY: I am consluding sir

THE VICE CHAIRMAN SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL): *I* bavs given, you, enough, time., Instead of ten minutes I have already given you 18 minutes.,. So> please conclude,

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAM REDDY:

So far as declaring Indian Ocean as a zone of peace is concerned, the littoral countries want it; to be declared a. zone of peace. A conference was to be held. Again in 1988 it is going to be held, I think. I would like to know whether any efforts are being made to convene a conference for the purpose of. declaring Indian Ocean as a zone of peace. ' Diego Garcia has, been illegally turned into an American base. We must demand that America" has no right and it must vacate this base immediately and also whatever other bases they have got in the Indian Ocean.

Lastly, we must extend full support to me people of South Africa in their struggle against the Pretoria regime and its policy of apartheid. This policy of apartheid is the most brutal, criminal and it has to be opposed by every civilised man and civilised nation. In spite of the resolutions by NAM, by the African countries and even by some of the Western countries, the Pretoria Government has not come to senses. Some of the Western countries like America and West Germany are still backing it. I think there must be a strict economic blockade of South Africa. India being' one of the important members of the Non Aligned Movement and the leader of NAM must take the initiative to see that no country in the world should cooperate with the Pretoria Government and apartheid is eliminated and the people of South Africa emerge as a free nation.

Lastly, one minute more

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI J»AWAN KUMAR BANSAL): Mr. Red-dy, please appreciate that other members have also to speak SHRI. SATYANARAYAN REDDY We are constantly sujipt>rting the Arab cause and we are friendly with all Arab, countries. But I fail to understand why these Arab countries are not saying even a single word against the supply of sophis-.. ticated arms to Pakistan against India and against its neighbours. We want friendly relations with Arab countries. We are pleading for the Palestinian cause. And we want friendly relations with Pakistan, China, Sri Lanka and other countries. But the real issues that are involved must be tackled effectively and without any delay. There is inaction on our part. We must give up inaction. We must be inspiring and take initiative. Thank you..

श्री कल्पनाथ राय : आदरणीय उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, विदेश मंत्रालय द्वारा जो प्रस्ताव किया गया है में उसका समर्थन करने के लिए खड़ा हुन्रा हूं। यह हमारे लिए सौभाग्य की बात है कि श्री नारायण दत्त तिवारी जी हमारे विदेश मंत्री हैं और ये एक स्वतनंता संग्राम सेनानी हैं । जब देश की म्राजादी की लड़ाई लड़ी जा रंडी थी उस जमाने में ही भारत की विदेश तीति महात्मा गांधी, पंडित जवाहर लोल गेहरू ग्रीर देश की ग्राजादी की लडाई लडने वाले स्वतंत्रता संग्राम सेनानियों ने तय कर दी थी और जिस नीति को आजादी की लड़ाई के दौर में हमारे देश के नेताम्रों ने तय किया था उसी नीति को पडित जवाहर लाल नेहरु ने विकसित किया, उसी नीति को श्रीमती गांधी ने झागे बढ़ाया झौर उसी नीति पर हमारे देश के नेता राजीव गांधी जी चल रहे हैं । राष्ट्रीय हितों को प्राथ-मिकता देते हुए विश्व शांति ग्रौर विश्व विकास ही हमारी विदेश नीति के उत्तेश्य रहे रहे हैं। पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरु जी ने कहा थाः

¢

हमारी ज़िदेश नीति का उद्देश्य विश्व भौति बनाये रखना और मानव स्वतंजता का पिस्तार करना है । पिंश्व राजनीति में भारत भले ही नया है और हमारे

बग की मलाग्रक्तियों की तलना में इसकी सैन्य शक्तित नगण्य ŧ, কিন্দ্র विचार अगैर अनुभव की दुष्टि से भारत प्राचीन है और इसने जीवन के संघर्ष में ग्रनगिनत शताब्दियों तक यात्रा की है । श्रपने लम्बे इतिहास में इसने झांति का पक्ष लिया है ग्रौर प्रत्येक भगरतीय की प्रत्येक अर्चना का अन्त हमेशा शान्ति के आह्वान से होता है । यह इस प्राचीन और वर्तमान भारत की विशेषता है कि महात्मा गांधी का उदय हुया ग्रीर उन्होंने हमें शांति पूर्ण कार्य की यक्ति सिखाई । यह युक्ति प्रभाव-कारी थी आर हमें इसका यह फल प्राप्त हम्रा कि इसने न केवल हमें स्वतंत्रता की राह दिखाई बल्कि उन लोगों के साथ मिलता की राह भी दिखाई जिनसे कल तक हमारा विवाद থা ৷

यही हमारी विदेश नेति का आधार और लक्ष्य है । हम न तो वास्तविकता के त्रति उदासीन हैं न ही हमास विचार मानव स्वतंत्रता को किसी भी और से होने वाली किसी चनीती को मौन स्वीकृति देने का है । उहां स्वतंत्रता खतरे में होती है अथवा त्याय को धरुकी दी जाती है अथवा जहां संघर्ष गुरु हो जाता है, हम हाथ पर हाथ रखकर नहीं बैठ सकते झौर न बैठेंगे । हमने अपने अनुभवहीन तरीके से जो तर्क दिया है और जिस व्यवहार में जाने का प्रयत्न किया है वह है गांति में ग्रदृट विश्वास ग्रीर इसे सुनिश्चित करने के विचार और कार्य का अथक प्रयास।"

हमारी बाजादी की लड़ाई के दौरान में हमारा संघर्ष साम्राज्यवाद के खिलाफ था, उपनिवेशयाद के खिलाफ था। दुनिया में जो पूजीवाद बौर साम्राज्य-वाद हुझा उसने 2 राक्षसी संतानों को जन्म दिया, एक युद्ध झौर दूसरा गरीबी।, दुनिया में युद्ध का खात्मा हो, दुनिया में युद्ध का खात्मा हो, दुनिया में युद्ध का खात्मा हो, दुनिया से गरीबी दूर हो यही हमारी विदेश नीति के मुझ्झ लक्ष्य रहे है, साम्राज्यवाद का घंद ही, उपनिवेशवाद का घंत हो, दुनिया में नयी आर्थिक व्यवस्था कायम हो यह भी हमारा उद्देष 4 है । हमारी भाजादी की लड़ाई के दौर से ही महारमा गांधी जी ने कहा था कि हमारी माजादी केवल हिन्दुस्तान के लिए माजादी नहीं है, भारत की माजादी पूरी दुनिया की माजादी के लिए है मौर यह माजादी की जंग लड़ी गयी है । पूरी दुनिया का विकास प्राप्त करने के लिए हिन्दुस्तान की माजादी लडी गयी है ।

उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, इससे बड़ी खुशी की बात क्या होगी कि गोर्बाचेव के नेतृत्व में रूस की कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी ने त्रपनी कांग्रेस में प्रस्ताव किया कि रूस भी प्रहिंसा के सिद्धांत को स्वीकार करता है, नान-वायोलेंस के सिद्धांत को रूस ने भी स्वीकार किया है। साम्राज्यवाद का का ग्रंत हो, दुनिया में रंगभेद की समाप्ति हो, दुनिया से गरीबी दूर हो, सारे राष्ट्र श्रपने पैरों पर खड़े हों यही हमारी विदेश नीति की ग्राधारशिला रही है।

प्रश्न उठता है क्यों ये हमारे पड़ौसीं देश हमारे खिलाफ होते है । दुनिया की राजनीति में कुछ जो साम्राज्यवादी देश है जो दुनिया पर ग्रपना नियंत्रण स्था-धित करके रखना चाहते है जो दुनिया को अपने पैरों तले रखना चाहते हैं वे यह देखते है कि अगर हिन्दुस्तान मजबूत होगा तो हमारी दुनिया से लूट खत्म हो जायेगी, दुनिया से हमारा शोषण होगा, -खत्म हमारा साम्राज्यवाद समाप्त होगाँ, हमारा उपनिवेशवाब खत्म होगा, हम दुनिया की लूट नहीं कर पाएंगे दुनिया में जो आधिक शोषण हम कर रहे है उसका ग्रंत होगा । दुनिया में नई वायिक व्ययस्था पैदा होगी, इसलिए भारत को कमजोर करो । इस लिए भारत के चारों तरफ हमारे पड़ौ-सियों को सैन्यबल से सुसज्जित करना यही उन मुल्कों का लक्ष्य रहा है ।

हमारी विदेश नीति रही है, हम चाहते रहे है कि हमारे पड़ीसी शक्ति शाली हों, सम्पन्न हों । जितना ही हमारे पड़ौसी शक्तिशाली, खुशहाल और सम्पन्न होंगे, जतना ही वह हमसे झगड़ा नहीं करेंगे । जितना ही वह कमजोर होंगे, आधिक दुष्टि से, राजनीतिक दुष्टि से, उतने ही वह दूसरी शक्तियों के मोहरे बक कर हिंदुस्तान को तथा करने की कोशिश करेंगे, यह बड़ी मोटी सी बास है । ग्राज पाकिस्तान में क्यों हमारे झातंकवादियों को ट्रेनिंग दी जा रही है, क्यों-लंका में इस तरह की घटनायें घट रही है ? हमारे मित्र कह रहे थे कि लंका की समस्या को हल करें, ग्रब लंका की ग्रापनी जो समस्या है, ठीक है, उसके पीछे किसका हाथ है ? मह भी ग्रापको जानना होगा । ग्रब पाकिस्तान में झालंक-वादियों को ट्रेनिंग दी जा रही है... (व्यवधान) भ

श्री बी० सत्यनारायण रेडडा : जिसका भी हाथ हो, समस्या को हल करी।

श्री कल्पनाथ राथ: कैसे हल होगी? साप ही हल कीजिए ना, क्यों नहीं हल करते है ? ..: (ब्यवधान) स्रापको भी मौका मिला था।

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय (उत्तर प्रदेश): हल करने का काम श्रापका है।

श्री कत्यवाथ राय: ऐसे न बोलिये। यह एक ऐसा सवाल है कि किसी समस्या का हल ग्राप जादू की छड़ी से किसी समस्या को हल ग्राप नहीं कर सकते हैं। ग्रब हमने शांतिपूर्ण तरीके से श्रीलंका की समस्या की हल करने की कोशिंग की है। ग्राज भी हमारे विदेश मंसी उसकी कोशिश में है और उस समस्या का हल हम छूउँमें, लेकिन हम युद्ध के तरीके से मही, समझा-बुझा करें उनकी शांति के रास्ते पर लग्न संकेते है।

ग्रादरणीय रेड्डी जी, पाकिस्तान में क्या हो रहा है ? क्या पाकिस्तान खुद हमारे देश के साथ ऐसा करना बाहता है ? इसके पीछे किंन शक्तियों का हाथ है, क्यों पाकिस्तान को भारी मासा में 400-500 विलियन रुपये की मदद मिल रही है, क्यों उनको हथियारों से ्र [श्रेतिकपचार्थ राय]

लैंस किया जा रहा है, क्यों उनको एफ-16 तथा बड़ी-बड़ी युढ की सामग्री दी जा रही है ? इसलिए कि भारत कमजोर रहे, भारत मजबूत न बनने पाये, भारत शक्तिग्राली देश न बनने पाये ।

दुनिया के जो 105 देश है, उनकी लीडरशिप भारत ने की है, आज भारत 105 नान--ग्रलाइंड कंट्रीज, की आवाज बन कर दुनिया में साम्राज्यवाद के खिलाफ रंगभेद के खिलाफ झाथिक शोषण के खिलाफ, युद्ध के खिलाफ एक शक्तिभाली क्रावाज बन गया है । यह उन राष्ट्रों को पंसद नहीं है ग्रौर इसीलिए वह हिंदुस्तान को ग्रंदर से ग्रीर बाहर से तोड़ने की कोशिश करते है, इसलिए हमारे विरोधी दल के मिलों राष्ट्रीय मतैक्य, हमारी विदेश नीति सर्व-सम्मत हमारे पूरे राष्ट्र की नीति है । इस नीति पर हमारे बीच में कोई मतभेद नहीं है । यह दुनिया के सामने हमारा इम्प्रेशन जाता चाहिए ।

म्राज दुनिया के सामने सवाल क्या है ? या तो एटमी हथियार मिटा देंगे, वरना इस दुनिया बालों को एटमी हथि-यारों को मिटाना होगा । आज परी धुनिया युद्ध के कगार पर खड़ी है।ेया तो दुनिया को एटमी हथियार मिटा र्वेगे, वरना दूनिया वालों को एटमी हथि-यार मिटाने होंगे । भारत एटमी हथि-यार मिटाने के लिए लगातार 1947 से∴आज∴तक कोशिश कर रहा है । दुनिया में शांति, दुनिया में निरस्त्रीकरण इतिया में विकास और युद्ध की समाध्ति भौर दुनिया में अमन तथा दुनिया में म्राजादी की एक आवाज बन कर भारत सारी दूनिया के बीच में है। कौन इन तय्यों से इंकार कर सकता है ? 🦢

हमारी विदेश नीति इतनी अच्छी रही है कि जो न केवल हिंदुस्तान के हितों की रक्षा करती है, बल्कि दुनिया के उन नव-स्वतंत, नये आजाद भुल्कों की भी मदद करती है और दुनिया में प्रफीका के सवाल पर राजीव गांधी जी द्यावाज उठाते हैं, हरारे सम्मेलन में प्रधान मंत्री, श्री राजीव गांधी जी ने दक्षिण स्रफ़ीका के लोगरें की ग्रावाज उठाई, उसका परिणाम क्या होता है ? वह मुल्क जो चाहते है न कि कोई ग्रावाज उठाये, जब हम झावाज उठाते हैं, तो तरह-तरह से हमारी ग्राजादी का गला घोटने की कोशिश की जाती है।

आपने अभी अरब देशों का मामला उठाया । जब फिलिस्तीन की आजादी का गला घोटा जा रहा था, तो फिलि-स्तीनियों के समर्थन में ग्रावाज केवल इन्दिरा गांधी ने उठाई, किसी अरब देश ने नहीं उठाई । हम आजादी के समर्थक है । क्या किसी घरब देश ने फिलिस्तीन के समर्थन में कोई आवाज उठाई ? क्योंकि वह साधाज्यवादी पैरों के तले दब हुए है, उनमें हिम्मत नहीं है और दम नहीं है । 72 घंटे बम्बार्डमेंट होता रहा, हजारों--हजार फिलिस्तीनियं की गर्दने काट दी गई, मगर ग्रराफात के समर्थन में और फिलिस्तीनी आजादी के समर्थन में आवाज हिंद्रस्तान ने उठाई।

निकः रागुम्रा के लोगों के पक्ष में हमारे देश - - - आवाज उठ ई । क्या बर्दाश्त कर साले हैं सामग्राज्यवादी देश कि हिन्दू-स्तान, 'ह हमारा देश ग्रमरीका में भी दखल दें ग्रमरी: में ही रहे जल्मी के खिलाफ -बोले। है। इंदरा संजी यह वहती है कि रेगन 👘 तुम १फल्स्तील की श्राजाद वरो बही - स्ली में कहती है कि अफगानस्तान से रूनी फीजें वापस ो जनी चाहिए। यह देगे हिन्दुस्तान की बिदेश नीति में हैं। बादरणीः उपसभाषाक क्षामीदय, मैं चाहता हूँ ि उन्देश नीति के सवाल पर जैसे राष्ट्रीय मनेवा दहा है, वैसे रहना चाहिए मीर हमारे मित्रों को इसका समर्थन करना चाहिए । आदरणीय उप रभाष्यक्ष महोदय, श्रीमंती इंदरा गांधी ने यह कहा था यह आविध्यक है कि हम गुटु निरपेक्षता के प्रात ग्रंथनी वचनबद्धतां की फिर से पुष्टि रें स्रोर प्रत्येक मामले पर स्वतंत्र रूप से अपना निर्णय लेने पर जोर दें जिस पर तिसी भी दबाव कः कोई प्रभाव नहीं पड़े। हमारी भूगोलिक राजमीतिक स्थि-ति विशेषकर स्वतंत्रता प्राप्ति के किन्नें ने

लेकर हमारे किन्हीं मेलभूत सिंढातों के प्रति वचनबद्धता की है ग्रीर उससे भी बढ़कर हमारे राष्ट्रीय हिंत हमारी विदेश निति के निर्णायक काम होंगे। हमारे देश के नेता राजीव गांधी ने भारत के प्रधान मंत्री का पद सम्भालते ही जो देश के नाम घोषणा की वह हमारा राष्ट्रीय कसौटी पर खरी उतरी तथा उसके अनुरूप विदेश नीति विरासत में मिली है जिससे हमारे राष्ट्रीय हित को बढावा मिलता है। हमारा सदा शांति के लिए , कार्य करने में विश्वास रहा है यार-स्पारिक लाभ और न्याय के आधार पर सभी देशों से मित्रता परस्पर सहयोग, न्याय और समानता पर आधारित एक नई विश्व ग्रर्थ व्यवस्था ग्रौर निगैटता वचनबढता हमारी नीति का मूल आधार है। इस का तात्पर्य है कि हमारी विदेश नीति विकास के दोहरे उद्दे-श्यों के प्रति पूर्णतः सफल रही है यह शांति और विकास के प्रति हमारी विदेश नीति की वचनबद्धता है । वर्ल्ड परस्पेंक्टिच, ग्लोबल परस्पक्टव में हिन्दु-स्तान की क्या भूमिका होगी, हिन्दुस्तान श्रपनी चाल खद जलेगा या दूसरो की चाल के साथ चलेगा यह बुनियादी प्रश्न है। हिन्दुस्तान की विदेश नीति का आधार हिन्दुस्तान की आजादी का इातहरस Ŧ रहा है। हिन्दूस्तान उस आजादी की लडाई को महेनजर रखते हुए अपनी चाल खुद निर्धारित करता है। दूनिया दो गूटों में बंटी हुई है। उन गुटी से म्रलग पहले 4-5 देश गुट निरपेक्ष थे। ग्रौर ग्राज 105 देश गुटन्टिपेक्ष आंदोलन में शामिल हो चुके हैं। विश्व की सब से बड़ी शक्ति बर्ल्ड ग्रोपी(नयन ग्राज हमारे पक्ष में हैं। हम दुनिया में एक नया इंटरनेशनल इको-नोमिक ग्रार्डर लाना चाहते है। विकासशील देश ग्रौर विकसित देश के बीच इंटरे– बन्नन चाहते हैं। विकसित देश ग्रपनी टैक्नो-लोजी को ट्रांसफर करें ताकि विकासशील ' देश भी अपने पैरों पर खड़े हों। एक नई म्रर्यं व्यवस्था दुनिया में हो विकास की अर्थ व्यवस्था हो। दुनिया से गरीबी मिटे क्रौर जल्दी मिटे। इस दुनिया में जब युद्ध का ग्रंत होगा तब गरीबी मिटेगी । इसीं-+ सिए हमारे देश के नेताओं ने ऐसी विदेश नीति का समर्थन किया है जिसमें युद्ध का

अंत हो और गरीबी की समाण्ति हो. जिसमें कि विश्वशांति हो, जिसमें नयाः इकोनिमिक ब्रार्डर हो । दुनिया ऐसे चौराहे पर खड़ी है, ग्राज दुनिआ ऐसी जगह पहुंच गई है कि या तो दुनिया में एटांभिक हथियार इस दुनिया को मिटायंगे वरना एटोमिक हथियारों को दुनिया को मिटाना होगा । आदरणीय उप सभाध्यक्ष महोदय, हम श्रपने पडोसियों से ग्रपने रिश्ते हमेशा ग्रच्छे रखना चाहते हैं । इसीलिए सार्क की स्थापना में भारत सात देशों का नेता है। हम चाहते हैं हमारे संबंध श्रीलंको से ग्रच्छे हो, नेपाल से ग्रच्छे, हो, बर्मा से ग्रच्छे हो, बंगलादेश से ग्रच्छे हो, पाकिस्तान से ग्रच्छे हो। ये हमारे पड़ोसी राष्ट्र हैं। इन पड़ोसियों से हमारे रिक्ते जितने ही मजबूत होंगे उतनी ही दूनिया में हमारी ग्रावाज मजबत होगी। साम्प्राज्यवादी देश यही चीज नहीं चाहते । व चाहते हैं हिन्दुस्तान को पाकिस्तान से लड़ा दो, हिन्दुस्तान को लंका से लड़ा दो, हिन्दु– स्तान को बंगला देश से लंडा दो, हिन्दू-स्तान को एशिया के ही झुगड़े में फंसा रहने दो, हिन्दुस्तान को चीन से फंसा तो ताकि हिन्दुस्तान की प्रावाज दुनिया के पैमाने की आवाज न बन सके । हमारी षिदेश नीति सब से ग्रम्छी रही है। इस नीति पर चल कर ही हम दुनिया में शांति ग्रीर विकास चाहते हैं। यह रास्ता हमारी ग्राजादी की लड़ाई का रास्ता है। उस रास्ते को जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने ग्रागें बढ़ाया । उस रास्ते को श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी ने बहुत मजबुत किया श्रीर उसी रास्ते पर राजीव गौधी चल कर दुनिया में नई ग्रायिक व्यवस्था, विश्व शांति विश्व विकास के सपने को साकार करना चाहते हैं ताकि एक नई दुनिया, शक्ति-शाली, दुनिया, गरीबी से मुक्त दुनिया युद्ध न हो, जिसमें शांति जिसमे हो, ऐसी दुनिया का निर्माण हो सके । यही हमारी भारत की विदेश नीति का समर्थन करते हुए हम नए विदेश मंत्री से यह आशा करते हैं कि हम माजादी की लड़ाई के सेनानी शांतिदूत बनकर रेगन और गोर्बाचेव के बीच जितने तनाव को दूर कर सकेंगे उतनी ही यह हमारे देश के लिए बड़ी बात होगी।

253

[श्री करुपनाथ राय] 4.00' ट.ज.

यह हमारे देश का उद्देश्य रहा है -यदा यदा हि धर्मस्य ग्लानिर्मवति भारतः अभ्यत्नामधर्नस्य तदात्मानव सुजाम्यहम ।

परिताणाय साधुनाम विनाशयच दुस्कृताम, धर्म संस्थापनार्थाय : संभावामि युग-युगे ।

धर्म वीर्चकालीन उाजनीति है, राजनीति , मल्पकालीन धर्म है। हमें विश्वास है कि ग्रादरणीय थी नारायण दत्त तिवारी के विदेश मंत्रिस्व काल में हमारी विदेश नीति श्रीर विकसित होगी, हम दुनिया में शांति और विकास की प्रावाज को ग्रीर मजबुत बनाएंगे ताकि युद्ध न हो, दुनिया में एटामिक हथियारों का निरस्तीकरण हो, दुनिया में जो ग्ररबों, खरबों रुपया हथियारों पर खर्च हो रहा है, वह पैसा गरीबी दूर करने पर खर्च हो । यही हमारी विदेश नीति का मुल तंत्र है। हमें विश्वास है कि हम इस विदेश नीति को राजीव गांधी जी के नेतत्व में आगे पड़ कर दुनिया में विश्व विकास स्रीर विश्व शांति जो हमारा म्राजादी की लडाई का उद्देश्य रहा है, उसको ग्रवश्य हासिल करेंगे। इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ में विदेश मंत्रालय की मांगो का समर्थन करता हूं। গ্ৰন্যবার। 🕓

भी प्रमोद महाजन, (महाराष्ट्र): उपसभाव्यक जी क्षण भर के लिए में माननीय कल्पनाथ रायजी की इस बात से सहमत होने का प्रयास कर रहा था कि हमारा सौभाग्य है कि श्री नारायण दत्त तिवारी हमारे विदेश मंत्री है, लेकिम केवल डर यह लगता है कि सवा दो वर्षों में चार विदेश मंत्री पांच चिदेश राज्यमंत्री और चार विदेश सचिव बदलने वाली इस सरकार में पता नहीं चह सौभाग्य कितने दिनों तक हमारे साथ रहेगा। इतनी बदलती देश की स्थिति में यह बदलता मंत्रालय हिन्तुस्तान की विदेश नीति को एक ठोस झाधार पर खड़ा करने के प्रयास में पूरी तरह ब्रसफल है । विदेशी-नीति की सफलता नेताओं

के स्वागत में बिछाये गये लाल दरियों की नरमाइयों में या लंबाइयों में गिनी नहीं जाती, मोमबतीयक्त रात्रि-भोजन में परोसे गये व्यंजनों में तोली नहीं जाती ग्रीर न नेताग्रों के भाषणों के) बाद ग्रीपचारिक रूप से बजायी गयी तालियों की गडगड़ाहट में नापी जाती है। विदेशी नीति की सफलता का एक सबसे. प्रमुख मानदंड हैं हमारे रक्षा मंत्रालय का बजट । विदेश नीति ग्रौर रक्षा नीति एक ही सिक्के के दो पहलू है । इन्हें एक दूसरे से प्रलग किया नहीं जा सकता। विदेशी नीति की सफलता या ग्रसफलता की कीमत हम रक्षा मंत्रालय के घटते या बढते बजट के रुप में चुकाते रहते है। मेरे कहने का कतई यह उद्देश्य नहीं है कि रक्षा मंत्रालय का वर्तमान बजट कम हो । हां, हो सफैता है तो कमीझन बन्द हो । लेकिन बजट- कम करने की ग्रावश्यकता नहीं है। देश की सुरक्षा सर्वोपरि है। इस विषय में हम महाभारत के ''वयम् पंचाधिक शतम'' इस सिद्धांत से वचनबढ़ है। लेकिन हम यह भूल नहीं कर सकते, दिन दुगुना रात चौगुना बढने वाला रक्षा मंत्रालय का यह बजट हमारे देश की रक्षा पर मंडराने वाले खतरों की मोर संकेत देता है। किन्नी भी राष्ट्र की विदेश-नीति का मूल उद्देश्य देश की सुरक्षा क्रयवस्था को मजबूत करना है ग्रौर इसलिए सभी सीमाओं पर बैठे मिलों का झमैली-पूर्ण व्यवहार श्रौर उसके कारेण खतरों में फंसा देश जब रक्षा मंत्रालय का बजट बढाता जाता है तो विदेशी-नीति की असफलताओं को स्वयं स्वीकार करता है। 1

महोवेय, द्वेष की सुरक्षा की खतरे की पण्टी का प्रारंभ होता है पाकिस्तान से । पाकिस्तान के साथ मैंती का हमने सदैव प्रयास किया और भी करें, इसमें हमें कोई आपत्ति नहीं है । युद्ध जीतने का सबसे बढ़िया तरीका युद्ध को रोकना है, लेकिंग हम यह न मूलें कि पाकिस्तान का जन्म भारत के द्वेष के झाधार पर हुआ है । किकेट में शारजाह का मैदान हो

्या कटनीति की शतरंज का खेल हो, भारत को परास्त करना पाकिस्तान की विदेश—नीति का एकमात्र सूत्र है । पाकिस्तान का ऐटम बम बनाना, पंजाब में ग्रातंकवादियों की खले ग्राम मदद करना, ग्रावश्यकता से ग्रधिक शस्त्रों का भंडार इकद्ठा करना ये सारी वाते हमें खतरे का संकेत देती है। यह यदि इस खतरे के विरूद्ध हमें खड़ा रहना है तो हमें भी एक क्षण का विलंब न करते हुए अपने ऐटम बम का निर्माण करना चाहिए ग्रार जो सत्तारुढ़ दल के मित ग्रपने भाषणों में छह राष्ट्रों के निरस्ती-करण की उपलब्धियां गिना रहे हैं, उन्हें मैं केवल यह सूचित करना चाहता हूं कि कल कहीं भारत को अपना ऐटम बम बनाने की नीबत या जाए तो छह राष्ट्रों के निरस्त्रीकरण की बात हवा में रह जाएगी । यह निरस्तीकरण वाला खेल राष्ट्रीय सुरक्षा के दाव पर खेला नहीं जा सकता, इतना इस संबंध में जरूर ध्यान रखें । पाकिस्तान के साथ विदेश नीति के बरताव में पाकिस्तान में बचे खुचे, इने गिने हिन्दुओं पर होने वाले ग्रत्याचारों, हिन्दुग्रों के मंदिरों को ध्वस्त करना ग्रादि के खिलाफ दुर्भाग्य से जितनी श्रावाज भारत सरकार की उठनी चाहिए, उतनी उठती नहीं है।

इसी के साथ श्रमरीका की विदेश नीति का उल्लेख करना भी मनुचित नहीं होगा । भारत और अमरीका दुनियां के सब्से बड़े दो जनतांक्षिक देश है। · इनमें मित्रता स्वाभाविक होनी चाहिए, लेकिन यह हमारा दुर्भाग्य है कि म्रमरीका की विदेश नीति मधिकतम समय भारत के विरोध में रही है । यह विडंबना है कि ग्रपने देश में जनतांतिक परंपरान्नों की अत्यधिक आदर करने वाली अमरीकी सरकार की विदेश नीति सदैव तानाशाहों का समर्थन करती आई है। ग्रमरीका की इस नश्ति की जितनी निन्दा की जाए कम है। लेकिन में इसके साथ कैवल इतना स्पष्ट करना चाहता हं कि सरकारी तौर पर भले ही अमरीका की नीति भारत के खिलाफ हो, जनता के तौर पर भारत और मनरीका में जिस प्रकार के पारस्परिक संबंध है, उनका झाना

बसना, शायद ही और जॉना या किसी प्रन्य देश के .साय ऐसे संबंध होंगे । इसलिए ग्रमरीकी की भारत विरोधी नीति की कड़ी ग्रालोचना स्रौर तीत्र निल्दा करते हुए भी हमें ग्रमरीका के जनमत को उसकी सरकार की गलत नीतियों के खिलाफ़ जागृत करने का प्रयास करना होगा झौर उसमें विजय अमृतराज से लेकर ज़ो-जो भी भारत तथा ग्रमरीका के मित्र है, उनका उपयोग करके हमें ग्रमरीका की सरकार की नीति के विरुद्ध जनमत तैयार करना चाहिए । अमरीका जनतांत्रिक देश होने के कारण इसकी संभादना है कि हम ग्रमरीकी सरकार की भारत विरोधी नीति को अपनी ताकत के बल पर तथा जनमत के आल पर श्रपने पक्ष में कर सकते है। पश्चिमी सीमा क बाद हमारी नजर जाती है बरफीली उत्तर पूर्वी सीमा की ग्रोर तो हम कह सकते हैं कि हम सभी पड़ौसी देशों से दोस्ती चाहते है, लेकिन उनके साथ हमारी सीमाओं के विवादों का समाधान चाहते है। चीन इस नीति का अपवाद हो नहीं सकता । लेकिन लगता यह है कि चीन के इरादे नेक नहीं है। 1962 से हमारी हजारों वर्ग किलोमीटर भूमि पर 25 वर्षे से वह कब्जा करके बैठा है । उल्टा चोर कोतवाल को डांटे की उक्ति के अनुसार चीन 90 हजार वर्ग किलोमीटर 🗸 भूमि हड़पने का ग्रारोप भारत पर लगा रहा है । सीमा विवाद सुलझाने के लिए पूर्वी क्षेत्नों में वह भारत से रियायतें मांग रहा है । सारे झरुणाचल पर श्रपना श्रधिकार जता रहा है । समदोरांगच् घाटी में उसने हैलीपैंड बनाया है, मकान खड़ें कर रहा है ग्रीर ये भी खबरें हैं कि वह लगान वसूल कर रहा है। समाचार-पतों में छपी खबरों सें इस गर्मी में चीन युद्ध की म्रोर संकेत किया गया है । ग्राखिर हमारी इस धवल सीमा पर क्या हो रहा है, इसके वारे में सरकार अंधरे में है। चर्चा का सातवां दौर समाप्त कर हम ग्राठवें दौर में पहुंच रहे है, लेकिन ग्रभी भी चीन के संबंध में स्पष्ट रूप से भारत की नीति के संबंध में जनता को जानकारी नहीं है । इसके साथ ही में एक बात चीन के सम्बन्ध में कहना चाहंगा। इनके सात दौरों की चर्चा हो या कोई

[श्री प्रमोद महाजन]

भौर चर्चा हो या सीमा विवाद को सुलझाना हो इसमें विदेश मंत्री जी से दो टूक आश्वासन चाहुंगा कि भारत की एक इंच भमि भी किसी हालत में, किसी भी मोह से चीन को दान नहीं की जायेगी? 1962 में जो भारत की संसद ने एक मुख से ग्रपनी भूमि लौटा लेने का जो संकल्प लिया है उस संकुल्प के साथ हम बेईमानी नहीं करेंगे और हम म्रापनी भूमि का एक इंच भी दानपाल में दान नहीं देंगे ,इस प्रकार का स्पष्ट आश्वासन मैं मंती महोदय से चाहता हूं । क्योंकि युद्ध में तो शायद यह ठीक काम करते है लेकिन समझौतों में हम गड़गंबड़ कर जाते है । इस पूराने इतिहास को देख कर में यह दो दुक आश्वासन चाहुंगा ।

पाकिस्तान–चीन-ग्रमेरिका का ति-कोण कुविख्यात है ही लेकिन इसी के साथ रूस की विदेश नीति की चर्चा करना भी गलत नहीं होगा । रूस हमारा एक विश्वसनीय मिन्न है। रूस की ग्रीर भारत की मैत्री परीक्षा की ग्रगिन से तप कर विश्वद्ध रूप से निकली है । कम्मीर-गोवा-वांगला देश की संकट की घड़ियों में रूस ने हमारा साथ दिया है लेकिन हम यह भूल नहीं सकते कि विदेश नीति में न कोई शाश्वत मित्र होता, न कोई शास्वत शत्रु, शास्वत होता है राष्ट्र का स्वार्थ । ग्रापने राष्ट्र के हित के लिए रूस चीन के साथ सम्बन्धों में सुधार लाने की लगातार कोशिश कर रहा है अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय समाचार पत्नों में खबरों का शीषक है यु०एस०एस०ग्रार० फुलटिंग বিৰু चাইনা ें। उनकी घोषित नीति चीन की दोस्ती के साथ है और इसका ग्रसर भारत की विदेश नीति पर जरूर पडेगा । गोर्बोचोव हमारे यहां ग्राय । हमने स्वागत किया । रूस की मैती का हम ग्रादर करते है लेकिन जब चीन के हमले के सम्बन्ध में पूछा गया तो गोर्बो-चीव ने जो उत्तर दिया वह हिन्दुस्तान की चिन्ता का समाधान नहीं कर सकता । मुझे कभी--कभी डर लगता है कि शायद इतिहास कहीं दोहरा न जाये । 25 वर्षे पूर्व रूस ने यह कहा था कि चीन हमारा भाई है भारत हमारा दोस्त है। चीन के साथ हमारा खुन का रिक्ता है और -

भारत के साथ पानी और होड का रिण्ता है लेकिन खुन का रिण्ता नहीं है इसलिए भारत की सारी विदेश नीति में जो जरूरत से ज्यादा रूस की सहायता के साथ चलने का है मैं विदेश मंत्री जी से इस विषय में भी प्रार्थना करूंगा कि इस बैसाखी का सहयोग हर विषय पर, हर मुद्दे पर हमारा साथ दे नहीं सकता यह समझ कर हम अपनी नीति बनायें है

उत्तर के हिमालय से दक्षिण की धोर देखें तो अशांत हिन्द महासागर में जलती श्रीलंका नजर आती है। किसी मी देश के घरेलु मामलों पर दखलदाजी करना हम उचित नहीं समझते लेकिन श्रीलंका में जिन पर नरसंहार हो रहा है। वह भारतीय मूल के हैं, हमारे तमिल भाषी भाई हैं, हम उसे सह नहीं सकते । लेकिन दुर्भाग्य . से गत दो वर्षों में पांच हनुमान भेजे लेकिन श्रीलंका के रुख में कोई श्रन्तर नहीं श्राया। नेपाल और अंगलादेश के साथ . भी 😽 रिश्तों में रूखापन आया है। नेपाल की प्रभुत्वसंपन्नता का हम झादर करते हैं लेकिन जाने अनजाने में नैपाल के द्वारा कोई ऐसी बात न हो जिससे हमारी सुरक्षा पर आंच जाये । हमारी सीमा पर पूलों का निर्माण, रास्ते, विजलीधर, सीमेंट के कारखाने ग्रादि में चीनियों का काम करना हमारे लिये खतरे की लाल निशानी है । बांगला देश के जन्म में हमारी सहायता सर्वज्ञात है, लेकिन कुछ ही वर्षों में बांगला देश का रूख बदल गया और खासकर बंगला देश में इसे लाखों हिन्दुओं पर जिस प्रकार के ग्रत्याचार होते हैं उस पर हमारी सरकार की चुप्पी या खामोशी ग्राश्चर्यजनक तथा निन्दनीय है। प्रन्त में, उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, इतनाही कहुंगा कि किसी भी देश की विदेश नीति का एक उद्देश्य होता है कि अपने देश की सुरक्षा हो । किसी भी छोटे मोटे देश की विदेश नीति का उद्देश्य यह हो सकता है। कभी कभी मुझे लगता है कि भारत सरकार को कोई गलतफहमी है कि निर्गुटता ही हमारी विदेश नीति का उद्देश्य है । निर्गुटता हमारा साधन है, साध्य नहीं है। 105 राष्ट्रों का नेतृत्व हमारा भ्रम है, वास्तविकता नहीं है। जिस किसी देश में निर्गट सम्मेलन होता है उस देश के प्रमुख को उसका अध्यक्ष बनाया जाता है। इतनी माम्सी चीज को भी

हम नेतुल्व मान बैठें तो हमारे लिये आगे समस्याओं का निर्माण होगा ही । मैं केवल इतना ही कहनी चाहता हूं कि अमेरिका का उद्देश्य है रूस की वैरोबन्दी करों, साम्य-वाद पर रोक लगाओं और ग्रपने प्रभत्व का निर्माण करो । इस्स का उद्देश्य है विश्व में साम्यवाद का प्रसार करों और घेराबन्दी तोड़ो, अपने प्रभुत्व का विश्व निर्माण करो । यहां तक कि चीन जो हमारे साथ ही ग्राजाद हुत्रा था, उसका उद्देश्य इस सदी के अन्त तक एक तीसरी महाशक्ति के रूप में विश्व में उभर कर ग्राना है । मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि भारत की विदेश नीति का उद्देश्य क्या है ? शांति स्रौर सदभावना के लिये, बन्धुत्व के लिये स्वतंत्रता को ताकत देनी पड़ती है । राष्ट्रकवि दिनकर ने कहा था---

261

क्षमा शोभती उस भुजंग को, जिसके पास गरल हो, उसका क्या जो दंतहीन, विषरहित, विनीत, सरल हो ।

जिसके पास दांत नहीं, है, विष नहीं है, वह क्षभा नहीं कर सकता, वह शांति नहीं ला सकता है । इसलिये भारत जिसकी इतनी प्राचीन परम्परा है, जिसका उल्लेख अभी माननीय सदस्य ने भी किया है, इतनी प्राचीन परम्परा वाला देश जिसकी 70 करोड़ की भावादी है, जो विभिन्न ताकतों का देश है, जो दुनिया में तीसरी कमिक वैज्ञानिक शक्ति वाला देश है, जिसको इतना बड़ा समुद्र का किनारा प्राप्त है. ऐसा देश जो महासागरों की प्रवेश दारा पर बैठा है, ऐसा देश इतनी सारी बातों के होने के बाद क्या भारत अपने आप में इस सदी के अन्त तक इस दुनिया में ऐसी महाशक्ति के रूप में उभरेगा जो मुहाशक्ति इन तीनों महाशक्तियों से झलग होगी, शांति के लियें होगी, बन्धुत्व के लिये होगी, सदभाव के लिये होगी ? झगर हम यह राष्ट्रीय उद्देश्य बनाकर अपनी विदेश मीति को चलाने की कोशिश करेंगे तो मुझे लगुता ' है कि हमारी विदेश नीति का राष्ट्रीय उद्देश्य पूरा होगा । अन्यथा हम छोटे-छोटे समेलों में फंग्रं आयेंगे। मैं चाहता हू कि सरकार श्रपनी भोर से भारत इस सदी के ग्रन्त

तक इस प्रकार की मुहार्शाक्त के रूप में उभरेगा, इस नीति कर ग्रापन। वक्तव्य दे।

- SHRI " JAYANTHI NATARA-JAN (Tamil; N«du): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, the Annual Report of the Ministry of External Affairs has spelt out the various initiative taken by the government during the last one year in the conduct of our foreign policy. I think the Govern--trient deserves'to be congratulated. But • perhaps given the unique nature of our freedom struggle under the leadership of Mahatma^ Gandhi, it is only natural that independent India woul'd pursue a foreign policy designed. to promote freedom, designed to promote co-operation and de-* signed to promote peace, while safeguarding the security environment in •* fast-changing global situation. I'think we can feel proud of the histo/ic role played by our country in rendering assistance to the struggle against colonialism and imperialism. "".. We feel proud of the fact that our country is taking an active interest in the struggle against colonialism and the racist regime in Southern Africa. The initiative to set up the AFRICA. Fund and to aid the Frontline States in their fight against, racial oppression and destabilization has won the admiration of the people all over the world for the efforts taken'by India. Perhaps we can make an even more serious effort to ebtitact voluntary organizations and anti-apartheid groups all over the world so that the struggle against racial oppression and colonialism could be undertaken in future with greater vigour. For us as Indias, the struggle against apartheid is a'commitment which is a reaffirmation of our faith in those ideals ihat Jed Mahatma Gandhi to fire the first salvo in the battle against racial oppression in South Africa all those years ago.

Sir, the Prime Minister deserves to be congratulated on His commitment to disarmament and world peace. The six-nation initiative that was conceptualised by Mrs. Indira Gandhi has given a boost to the hopes of those who realise the horrible devastation and annihilation that

[Shri Jayanthi Natarajan]

will be brought about by nuclear warfare. ' More thought needs to be given on how we could all work together in building up a universal consensus for calling for the destruction of nuclear arsenals and elimination of nuclear weapons from the face of the earth.

Coming closer to home, we are unfortunately situation of deteriorating security faced with consequent upon the induction of sophisticated arms into Pakistan. Members from all sides of the House have vioced concern over the supply of AWACS aircraft to Pakistan. It is a tragedy that a neighbouring country like Pakistan is being drawn as a pawn into the strategy of super-powers in its selfish quest for arms and money. What is even more alarming is that there is a clear evidence that Pakistan has' not only acquired nuclear arms capability but is well On its way to creating a nuclear weapons stockpile. And what is more, the U. S. A. ' has clearly indicated that it is not merely a passive spectator but will also turn a blind eye towards its proteges in quest of nuclear arms capability. While the Pakistanis may be deriving a momentary • propaganda advantage over the fact that India might, have to endorse the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty through the backdoor, I think, Sir, that it needs to be made that India should now verv. verv clear concentrate on the fact that Pakistan is a covert nuclear power, and our policy towards Pakistan has to take this into consideration as a very serious aspect. The Government of India has made a very large number of efforts to improve and normalise relations with the people of Pakistan with whom we have no quarrel. A great deal of initiative has been taken by our Government -to improve and facilitate travel, to improve people-to-people contact, by importing and sending out newspapers, periodicals, by promoting cultural exchange. But | though the Pakistan Government pays lip service to the theory of normalisation, . in practice the Government has not responded positively at all. What is particularly disturbing in Pakistan is the recent

media coverage in Pakistan about events in India. What is. mistakenly being as-sumed by the Government of Pakistan is India's preoccupation with domestic-issues that has 1*1 and possibly encouraged the Pakistan Government to be a little more adventuristic in its attitude towards India. They feel perhaps that due to our preoccupation with domestic issues w© will not be able to maintain security, unity and integrity of our country. This notion has to be dispelled. It is disturbing that the recent intemperate statements by President Zia equating the issue of Afghanistan with Kashmir and also statements by their Foreign Minister, Mr. Noorani, about Indian Muslims have all * been brought about by this mistaken notion that India is now too preoccupied to be concerned about its security interests. Pakistan should not be left in any doubt about our ability to safeguard the security environment of our country, notwithstanding the great confidence that they are, receiving from their super power ally.

I think the Prime Minister needs to be also commended for the patience and perseverence with which the Government of India is tackling the difficult and ticklish question of Sri Lanka. Sir, over the last five days we have witnessed a. terrible deterioration in the trends in Sri Lanka. While in the past President Jayewardene has not responded positively and has adopted an extremely, ambivalent posture in response to the December 19th proposals that were sent by our Government and then unilaterally announced a cease-fire which was probably not so much from a humanistic or a human point ⁴ of view but was more probably to serve a kind of enlightened self-interest.

In view of the fact that the beleaguered ' troops were pressing for their annual holiday and in view of the fact that the -Srilankan and Tamil, New Year's Day fell on April 14. However, it is difficult to imagine that the ceasefire was out of a genuine desire for peace, particularly because the militants never accepted it in the first place. It was probably also a pseudo-positive gesture aimed at the Aid Sri Lanka Consortium which is to meet in June or July. They wanted to give some positive signs from their side that the Sri Lanka Government was taking steps to stop the genocide. Whatever it was, I submit that the unilateral ceasefire declared by Mr. Jayewardene was an, eyewash. No ceasefire can be effective unless it is bilateral, ' based upon mutually-agreed terms and binding upon both the parties. The brave militants who are fighting the battle of the beleaguered Tamils of Sri Lanka had clearly stated that they would not be willing to enter updn a ceasefire so long as the Government did not lift its economic and military blockade, so long as the bombing of innocent civilians did not stop and so long as the Government did not resume its January 26 position which was what is known as the pre-offensive position. In this view of the matter, the unilateral ceasefire was a farce . arid, therefore, it required very little provocation for the ceasefire to be cancelled. The bomb blast in Colombo in which 150 civilians were killed provided the Sri Lankan Government with an excuse to begin once again its bombardment of the peninsula Jaifna.. But,, to my mind, what was the most shocking event of all was the statement of the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka, Mr. Premadasa, saying that who-eyen sought for a political solution in Sri Lanka would be Sri Lanka's greatest enemy. Rightly, Sir, our Government has condemned the stand taken by the Sri Lanka Prime Minister. But perhaps, in-my opinion, our condemnation could have been in stronger terms. We could have made it even more clear that in this view of the matter, if this is the attitude of the Sri Lankan Government, if this was the negtive attitude as opposed to the ambivalent, attitude that the Government had taken all this time, then India would no longer try to find a solution, a peaceful solution, to the problem. But perhaps again it was as a result of the condemnation and the displeasure conveyed by India that President Javewardene has today come out with another statement that his Governmen was pro-peace and pro-political solution. Perhaps, once again President Jayewardene is being extremely simplistic in his view of the matter because he simply dismisses the problem of the militants as terrorism. This is,

all know, not the issue. What the militants are fighting for is an emotional cause, a'just cause, and it is for us to do all that we can purely in human interest in a country that is so close to us to prevent the terrible genocide that is going on.

One more suggestion, Sir. While we have to see that the genocide is stopped, while we have to have a moral and human attitude, it is my submission that, at the same time, we have to maintain friendly relations with Sri Lanka because it is very important that the Indian Ocean is maintained as a zone of peace. We should not advocate any open break with Sri Lanka because that will give a super power a foothold in that island which will endanger the concept of the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace.

With regard to China, it is very surprising that China should object to the fact that Arunachal Pradesh has been given statehood. But, in my opinion, although the official level dialogue is going on between China and India to resolve the border issue, it is very important that we now raise the level of the talks to the political level so that a quicker solution can be found and a firmness is given to our policy with regard to China.

The nation today is posed with many challenges. - The policy of non-alignmenr which was conceptualised by Nehru Ji and ; given a concrete shape by Shrimati Indira; Gandhi, has been imparte'd a new sense j of dynamism by our young Prime Miriis-' ter. We have no doubt that a strong •andself-reliant India capable of resisting the pressures of all the big powers will be construed, as a threat by "nations all over the World. But I, am equally clear that we will never fall a prey to these pressures., Our Government and the people will unitedly resist any effort to destabilise us and to deter us from the pursuit of independent foreign policy.

भी सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : माननीय उपसमाध्यक्ष जी, हमारे भारत की जो विदेश नीति है उसके पीछे जो मूलमूत आधार सिद्धांत हैं वे हैं, भॉहंसा, विश्व शांति, गुट निरपेक्षता, निरस्तीकरण, मानव मधिकारों की रक्षा भीर जीग्रो गौर जीने दो, साय

[श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय]

ही साथ सह अस्तित्व का सिदांत । तो हमारी जो विदेश मीरत है यह बहुत ही षण्छी है ग्रीर राष्ट्रीय हित में है ? लेकिन रन नीतियां का कार्यान्वयन जिन लोगों - हुग्थ में रहा है, शुरू से लकर आज तक, पह वे श्री जवाहर लाल नेहरू रहे हों, चाह श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी रही हों या श्री राजीव गांधी रहे हों, इनकी असफलता की चर्चा विदेश मंत्रालय की एनुझल रिपोर्ट में है यह जो 86-87 की है। झाखिर क्या कारण है कि हमारे जितने भी पड़ौसी मुल्क हैं चाहे वह पाकिस्तान हो, चाह वह चीन हो, चाहे वह श्रीलंका हो या कोई जैसे बंगलादेश है, उनसे हमारे रिश्ते मिन्न के नहीं हैं या उनसे हमारे रिश्ते दोस्ती के नहीं हैं। 1962 में चीन ने हमारे देश के साथ गहारी की, हमारी जमीन पर कब्जा कर लिया और संसद में 1962 में प्रस्ताव भी पारित किया गया सर्वसम्मति से, एकमत से कि झपनी भूमि का एक एक इंच हम वापस लेंगे चेकिन माज तक उस भमि को वापस लेने में भारत सरकार पूर्ण रूप से अस्तिल रही है और इस एनुअल रिपोर्ट में भी इस बात की चर्चा की गयी है कि पिछले अगस्त महीने में अरूणांचल प्रदेश के तवांग जिले की समदूरांग चुघाटी के 120 वर्ग किलोमोटर क्षेत्र पर चीन ने अपना कब्जा कर लिया है ! अभी प्रमोद महाजन न इस बात की चर्चा की कि दहां पर कर की वसली की जा रही है। वहां पर चीन के जो फौजी लोग हैं उनके रहने के लिये झौपड़ियां-बनाई जा रही हैं झौर साथ ही साथ हमारी जो.सीमा है इस पर तनाव उत्पन्न हो रहा है । इस एनुग्रल रिपोर्ट में भी इस बात की चर्चो की गयी है कि 🗄

"The efforts of the Government of India to improve relations with the people's Republic of China and secure. a faij an^ satisfactory settlement of the boundary issue 'Wire adversely affected by the Chinese totrusion into the Sum-doi-ong Omr Vapey airea* of-the Tawang district of Arunachal. Pradesh. "

में िवया मुत्री जी से बूंछना वाहता हूँ कि अगस्त के महीन में तवांग जनपद में माइनीज ने जो घुसपैठ की थी उस भूमि को ग्राज तक हून लोग वापस ले पाये कि नहीं मौर वापस लेने के सिलसिले में हमारी कोर से क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही ं। इसी संगद में पिछले दिसम्बर के महीने में जब सरूपाचल प्रदेश को पूरे राज्य ा दर्जा दिया गया तो चीन सरकार ने एक वक्तव्य दिया भौर

[उप-सभापति महोदया पीठासीन हुई]

इस बात पर नाराजी जाहिर की कि भारत की संसद को इस बात ा **ग्रधिकार नहीं है कि भारत ग्रपने** ही प्रदेश. ग्रपने ही सूबे, अपने ही राज्य के सिलसिले में उसको पूर्ण राज्य का दर्जा देने के लिये संसद में कोई प्रस्ताव पारित करे। इस सिससिले में उल्टे चोर ने ही कोतवाल को डांटा है । 12 अगस्त, 1986 को चीन की सरकार ने एक वक्तव्य प्रकाशित किया भौर वह वक्तव्य सरकारी वक्तव्य है ग्रौर उस वनतव्य में भारत सरकार को इस बात की धमकी दी गयी है कि इसके नतीजे बहुत ही खतरनांक होंगे और इसलिये मैं इस सभा के समक्ष उनके वक्तव्य को ग्रापकी ग्रनुमति से पढ़ना चाहंगा। 12 ग्रगस्त, 1986 का चीन का वक्तव्य है :

"The above-mentioned Bill approved by the Indian Parliament " is basically illegal, and that Chinese will never recognise the so-called Arunachal Pradesh, established- in the most diputed sector of China-India border. McMahon Line ' of 1915 is illegal and that has never been recognised by the Chinese Government. The socalled Arunachal Pradesh is basically an Indian occupied area of the Chinese territory lying between the traditional customary lines and the illegal McMahoe Line. It is entirely futile for the Indian authorities to try to legalise the occupation of Chinese territory through domestic legislation and the consequence arising, therefrom will be very serious. "

यह चीन सरकार का प्राधकृत बक्तव्य है ' श्रीर इसलिये मैं विदेश मंत्री जी से चीन सरकार के इस बक्तव्य ' केः सिलसिले में ' मीरत सरकार की बया प्रतिजिया है यह ्षानना चाहूंगा और मुझे पूरी आशा है कि मंत्री जो जब जवाब देंगे तो इस बात का जवाब देंगे कि अगस्त 1986 में जो हमारी सीमा पर चीन ने कब्जा कर लिया जहां पर हैलिपैंड बनाया गया, उसके सिलसिल में भारत सरकार ने वापिस लेने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की है ?

दूसरा, मैं ध्यान आकर्षिक करना चाहता हूं कि श्रीलंका में जो मानव झधि-कारों का हान हो रहा है, श्रीलंका में जो , नर-संहार हो रहा है, उसकी चर्चा इस सदन में ग्रौर लोक सभा में बराबर हो चुकी है।

17 जनवरी, 1985 को महामहिस राष्ट्रपति जी न संसद के संयुक्त अधिवेषन में ग्रपना ग्रभिभाषण दिया था ग्रौर उसमें उन्होंन श्रीलंका की जो जातीय समस्या है, उसके बारे में कुछ चर्चा की थी ग्रौर उसमें इस सरकार ने उनके जरिये कुछ ग्राझ्वासन भी दिया' था । उसकी धारा है 28 ।

"The ethnic violence in Sri Lanka is a matter Qf deep concern to us. We are comvined that use of force/can not lead to a solution. A political process in which all the concerned parties are involved can alone result in just settlement. Conaitions must be created in Sri Lanka to enable the re turn of large number of refugees who » have been forced to take shelter in India."

यह र ष्ट्रपति जी का झभिभाषण 17 जनवरी, 1985 को था। इस बीच में पांच बार हमारे देश के कभी मंत्री, कभी विदेश मंत्रालय के सचिव या कभी कोई प्रच्य मंत्री श्रीलंका गये, वहां के प्रधान मंत्री से या वहां के राष्ट्रपति जी से बातचीत भी की, लेकिन ग्राज हालत यह है कि जो वार्षिक रिपोर्ट है, इसमें इस बात को स्वीकार किया गया है कि दिसम्बर 1986 तक जो एक लाख तीस हजार शरणार्थी श्रीलंका से इस दंश में आए, हैं, वह मूल भारतीय हैं और इंस,, देश में ग्राकर के बस गये हैं और इंस, जेश में ग्राकर के बस गये हैं और कि श्रीलंका की संसद में वहां के जो प्रधान मंत्री हैं, 26 ग्रप्रैल को उन्होंने वयतव्य दिया है कि अगर कोई मिल्र-इवारा है उनका भारत सरकार की ग्रीर भारत देश की ग्रोर कि ग्रगर कोई मिल श्री-संका सरकार से देश की जातीय समस्या का राजनीतिक समाधान खोजने का ग्राग्रह करता है, तो उसे सब से बड़ा शलु समझा जाएगा ।

भारत सरकार का झौर इस देश-वासियों का भी कहना है कि समस्या का समाधान मिलिटरी नहीं है इस समस्या का समाधान सेना या फौज नहीं है, इस समस्या का समाधान केवल राजनीतिक समाधान ही हो सकता है। लेकिन में विदेश मंत्री जी से पूछना चाहता हूं कि वह क्या कदम उठाने जा रहे हैं इस समस्या का राजनीतिक समाधान करने के लिए ?

बंगला देश में 1971 में भारत सरकार ने इन्दिरा गांधी जी के नेतृत्व में एक कड़ा कदम उठाया था और उस समय के खारे में विभिन्न रायें थीं कि वह कदम उचित था या अनुचित था, लेकिन आज जब हमारे देश में एक् लाख तीस हजार शरणार्थी आ गये है और प्रेमदास जी जिस तरीके के वक्तव्य दे रहे हैं, तो इस सिलसिले में भारत सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है ?

आज भी मुझे समाचार-पत्नों में पढ़ने को मिला कि विगत शुक्रवार को श्रीलंका के राष्ट्रपति श्री जयवर्धने की हमारे देश के जो प्रधान मंत्री हैं, श्री राजीव गांधी, इनकी टेलीफोन पर करीब-करीब ग्राधा घंटा बात हुई है। तो मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि आप संसद को बताने की कृपा करें कि उस बातचीत का, उस वार्तालाप का क्या नतीजा हुआ है और इस समस्याका कारगर समाधान करने के लिए भारत सरकार क्या कदम उठाने जा रही है?

19 दिसम्बर, 1986 को एक प्रस्तार रहा, गया, था, जो कि, कोलम्बो, प्रस्तार के बाम से जाना गया है। मैं यह भी जानन

[श्री सच्य प्रकाश मालवीय]

चाहता हूं कि माखिर उस कोलम्बो प्रस्ताव के कार्यान्वयन के सिलसिले में भारत सरकार की म्रोर से क्या कदम उठाये जा रहे हैं?

ग्राज के समाचार-पतों में एक खबर ग्राई है कि तमिल नाडु में कोई जगह है तिरुपुर, वहां पर भारत सरकार के जो राज्य मंत्री है, श्री चिदम्बरम जी, उन्होंने इस बात का वक्तव्य दिया है किं কী को जो देश संसद इस श्रीलंका की वस्तुस्थिति है, उसके बारे में पूरी जानकारी प्रति शीम्त्र कराई जाएगी। तो में यह भी जानना चाहता हूं कि जो चिदम्बरम, जी ने कल वक्तव्य तिरुप्र, तमिल नाड में वक्तम्य के सिलसिले में उन्होंने जो श्राश्वासन दिया है उसकी पूर्ति कब की जाएगी, और श्रीलंका के सिलसिले में कब इस देश की जनताको जानकारी कराई जाएगी क्योंकि श्रीलंका में यह बात बिल्कुल निविवाद है कि वहां के 🗉 ं जो धार्मिक स्थल है, वहां पर जो मस्जिद है,वहां के जो मंदिर हैं श्रीर साथ-साथ वहां पर जो लाइब्रेरीज हुँ, जो शिक्षण संस्थायें हैं, उनकी इमारतों को नष्ट किया जा रहा है और लोगों के साथ नर-ं संहार किया जा रहा है ।

इसलिए में चाहंगा कि इस सिल-सिले में भारत संरकार बराबर आश्वासन तो देती ब्राई है, लेकिन कोरे ब्राखासनसे कुछ नहीं होना है। इसलिए में चाहूंगा कि भारत सरकार जो वहां पर मानव नर-संहार हो रहा है क्योंकि हमारी विदेश नोति है मानव अधिकारों का हनन न होने पाए, उसकी हम रक्षा करेंगे। झाखिर इस विदेश नीति का कार्यान्वयन करने के लिए माप क्या कदम उठाने जा रहे हैं। वंगला देश की चर्चा मापकी इस पुस्तिका एनझल रिपोर्ट में की गई : बंगला देश में वहां के जो बंगलादेश राइफल्स के सोग भत्याचार कर रहे हैं। मौर उस ग्रस्थाचार के फलस्वरूप करीब-करीब 50 इजार तरणायीं तिपुरा में मा कर बसे

हुए हैं। तिपुरा के सुक्ष्य मंत्री न्पेन चक-वर्ती जी ने कि मानवता के नाते हमने इन लोगों को वहा पर शरण देदी है। में यह भी जानना चाहुंगा कि आखिर ये *शरणार्थी चाहे श्रीलंका के हों, बंगला देश के हों, या और कहीं के भी हों जो हमारे देश में आकर बस जाते हैं और एक नई समस्या पैदा कर देते हैं इसके सिलसिलें में ग्राप क्या कदम उठाने जा रहे हैं ग्रीर इनके संबंध में क्या कार्यवाही सरकार की ग्रोर से की जा रही है? पाकिस्तान को लीजिए । पाकिस्तान में भी उग्रवादी ग्रीर उनका संरक्षण हो रहा है उग्रवादियों को पाकिस्तान में ट्रेनिंग दी जा रहीं है। पंजाब के सिलसिले में हमारे देश में जो वोतावरण बना हुआ है वह एक तरीके से हमारी राष्ट्रीय एकता और ग्रखंडता को खतरा है । पाकिस्तान उग्रवादियों को प्रशिक्षण दे रहा है उनको ट्रेनिंग दे रहा है। बराबर इस बात को भारत सरकार ने भी स्वीकार किया है कि पंजाब में बहुत से उग्रवादी पाकिस्तान के कारण द्या करके चले जाते हैं, कभी जम्मू-काश्मीर के रास्ते से चले जाते है, कभी किसी दूसरी सीमा के रास्ते से चले जाते हैं। इस सिललिले में आप क्या कदम उठाने जा रहे हैं ? काश्मीर কা ় मामला खत्म हो चुका है बल्कि **म्रांतम** रूप से निर्णय हो चुका है कि वह भारत का अविभाजित श्रंग है।लेकिन झाज भी देखिए पाकिस्तान की सरकार बराबर काक्ष्मीर के मसले को संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ में ले जाने की कोशिश कर रही है । मापने एनुमल रिपोर्ट में इस बात को स्वीकार भो किया है। काश्मीर के सिल-सिले में दो मसले हैं एक तो वहां पर श्राजाद काश्मीर के नाम से जगह जानी जाती है और दूसरे इस वार्षिक रिपोर्ट में धापने इस बात की चर्चाकी है:

"Efforts to improve relations with Pakistan met with negative developments with Pakistan's continuing assistance to terrorists in India, Persistence in their search for sophisticated weapons, including on AWACS type capability, attempts to raise the Kashmir question at international fora and their reluctance to develop trade on a non-discriminatory basis."

तो मैं यह जानना चाठंगा कि इस रिपोर्ट में ग्रापने इस बात की चर्चा तो की कि काश्मीर के मसले को पाकिस्तान संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ के फोरम पर ले जाने की कोशिश कर रहा है । लेकिन यह जो म्राजाद काश्मीर का हिस्सा है उसके सिलसिले में भारत सरकार की क्या राय है इस बारे ेमें सदन को जानकारी देने की क़ुपा करें **ग्रंत में एक बात की ग्रोर ध्यान ग्राक**षित करना चाहता हूं जनवरी 1987 में जिसकी ग्रापने यहां पर चर्चा की है कि पाकिस्तानी सेना की हरकतों से भारत-पाकिस्तान सीमा पर काफी तनाव उत्पन्न हो गया शौर हाल में इस बात की जान-कारी हुई है कि अमरीका की सीनेट ने इस बात का प्रस्ताव किया है कि वैह पाकिस्तान को नई सैन्य या आधिक सहा-यता देगा। वह त्राधिकु सहायता करीब 4 ग्ररत डालर के बीच होगी। इससे निश्चित रूप से भारत की एकता सुरक्षा श्रौर ग्रखंडता को खतरा उत्पन्न होने जा रहा है मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि इस सिलसिले में भारत सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है । द्यंत में मैं फिर इस सदन के माध्यम से आपसे अनुरोध करना चाहता हूं कि सन 1962 का जो इस देश की संसद का प्रस्ताव है उसको कार्यान्वयन करने में प्राखिर आप को क्या दिक्कत है। चीन से हमारे संबंध. ग्रच्छे होने चाहिए । मैं इस बात को नहीं कह रहा हूं कि चीन से झगड़ करके -चीन से अपने रिश्ते को बिगाड करने इस समस्या का समाधान कर सकते हैं लेकिन मेरा ग्रापसे अनुरोध है कि आखिर जो इस भारत की संसद का 1962 का सर्वसम्मत प्रस्ताव है इसको कार्यान्वित करने में आपको क्या दिक्कत है, क्या कठिनाई है? इस बात में आपको तनिक भी शक और सुबह नहीं होनी चाहिए कि इस सरकार की चलती विदेश नैपुति के कारण ही आज हमारे रिश्ते पाकिस्तान से खराब हैं, बंगलादेश से बहुत मच्छे नहीं है, चीन से भी भच्छे नहीं है, नेपाल से भी प्रण्छे नहीं है भीर हमारे रिस्ते

हैं । देस भूटान से भी मच्छे नहीं देश हैं; सीमावर्ती के जितने भी भी सीमावर्ती पड़ौसी इसके जितने मुल्क है, उनसे ग्रगर हमारे रिश्ते ग्रञ्छे नहीं हैं तो इसका कारण यह है कि स्रापकी जो विदेश नीति है, उसके कार्यान्वयन मे निश्चित रूप में कहीं न कहीं खराबी है या जिन लोगों के हाय में है, वह हे...(समय की लोग ग्रीतफल रहे घंटी . . .]

उपसमापतिः ग्राप समाप्त कीजिएः

श्री सःथ प्रकाश मालवधि : मैं समाप्त करु रहा हूं। मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हूं. कि ग्रपनी विदेश नीति को कार्यान्वित करन के लिए ग्राप ग्रच्छी तरह से, ग्रच्छे तरीके से अपना इंतजाम सोचिए श्रौर उसमें सुधार भी कीजिए।

महोदया, ग्रन्त में दो बात कहकर मैं अपनी बात को समाप्त करूगा। यह कोई बहुत ग्रच्छी परिपाटी नहीं है कि ऐसे पत्र-कार-सम्मेलन में, जिसमें कि विदेशी पत्नकार भी उपस्थित हो और विदेश सचिव भी बहा उपस्थित हो उनकी मौजूदगी में देश के प्रधानमंत्री इस बात की सचिव म्रब घोषणा करें कि विदेश वाले हैं । ग्रपने पद से हटाए जाने से हमारे प्रधानमंत्री के इस काम देश की जो छवि है वह विदेशों में धूमिल हुई है । मुझे आणा है कि इस सम्बन्ध में प्रधानमंती भविष्य में सजगता से काम लेंगे और इस तरीके से काम करेगे कि विदेश में भारत की छवि धूमिल न हो। धन्यवाद ।

DR H P. SHARMA (Rajasthan): Mr-dam Deputy Chairman, the basic para-maters of India's foreign policy were laid down four decades ago and they still continue to enjoy the same relevance thethey did forty years ago. Even at the cost ofRepetition, I will say that wedec, ded to preserve Sndia'a sovereign independence, Jursue an independent, foreign policy Vkhout aligning ourwlve, with **t'''''block we opposed to imperialism

[Dr. H. P. Sharma]

-colonialism and racialisnj and we are for refashioning the existing economic system into a new equitable international econo mic order.

Madam, while the basic tenets *of* our foreign policy are sound, I cannot, in all honesty, say that the lasi year was very fruitful in, achieving our avowed national objectives at least in the measure or to Jhe extent that we hoped we would be achieving. The principles of non-alignment struggle for- emancipation from all forms of colonialism, dismantling of the apparatus of apartheid etc. are all very laudable objectives. But. we must be very realistic.

On the positive side, India's Chairmanship of the non-aligned movement for three years gave a distinguished and positive lead to the resolution of major issues of the non-aligned community leading up to Harare and our efforts specially in the evolution of the new economic order or in regard to south-south cooperation did further these objectives.

To give comcree shape to o-jr support to the frontline States, the Africa Fund was established and India wds elected as the Chairman o? Jhe Fund. The Com mittee held its meeting in January in New Delhi wherein India itself made a very handsome contribution of Rs, SO crores for the next three years. Again, Madam, the report goes on to say about the' dig nitaries who visite'd India. I would like to mention about the visits of two. Mr. Oliver Tarhbo of the- African Natio nal Congress and Mr., Sam Najuma, Pre sident of the SWAPO. Madam, the ques tion I wish to ask here is, what inhibits the Government from granting recogni tion to the African National Congress in the same wa^ we have done in the case of the SWAPO in Namibia? Is it some kind of a consideration that we being a part of the Commonwealth, we will lose whatever leverage we have with the Com monwealth if we take an independent stance?,.. F would like to submit, in all sincerity,,, that it is time the Government thjjiks very seriously about, granting reconition to. to, the.. African National con gress. •

Another prominent focus of India's efforts has been to work for disarmament. Towards that, we, along with five others nations, took the initiative in appealing to the super-powers for disarmament. (Following upon this, Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi and Mr. Gorbachev gave the Delhi Declaration. While these are very laudable initiatives, there is a danger that we may be carried away by our rhetoric. By this I do npt"-mean that in any way we should dilute much less to forsake the principles of nonalignment. Madam, there is a gap between the realism and sometimes the pursuit of this laudable po-licy initiative.

Madam, the country's image is important, but nations cannot live on image alone. We must try to secure our national objectives. I will here quote • Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, not fully b\it part of it. Non-alignment is a creative concept and not a substitute for active and dynamic pursuit of what Pandit Nehru described as "enlightened* self-interest. " This is the anvil, *I*. suppose, ₀n which the success or failure, or shortcomings of our foreign policy must be judged.

Madam, we have had our internal probelms and perhaps these have given rise to the other countries not to give as much serious thought to our moves as_v they should be doing. The problems "are all komwn, like Punjab, Gorkhaland or the communal division that 'is demon strating itself in Rama-Janma Bhoomi, Babri Masjid, etc. Other countries take, stock of our internal strength and these are the factors which are diminishing strength. our cohesiveness and Other countries are certainly taking stock of this.

Now Madam, T will come to Bangladesh. It has already been mentioned that there were 50, 000 Chakmas who have crossed over to India from Chit-tagong. Hill tracts. I have some disturbing facts about the genesis of Chakmas" flight. I wish the Minister would throw some light on this. Of cpurse, it started with" 'the settling af the 'Muslims from the plains i in predinubatly non musfk

276

Ml areas, but what is', disturbing is that •there was pressure mounted on theseethnic Hindus and Biiddhist tribals' to embrace Islam. I rttay be wrong, but - on that I' would like " the Minister to throw some light and give facts. Then, again in; 1972 "the Bangladesh Constitution itself was amended to make possible the two processes. In the amendment it is said that the ethnic tribals were redesignated as Bengali Muslims. What is disturbing is that now We are asking the * Chakmas to' go back. We are agreeing that there will be a sort of push-back but if these are true faets, then we have to give a serious consideration to all ^ these things. I do not wish to go into the Amnesty International or our own study where have said that the Chakmas fled in thev dire circumstances to escape from extraiudicial executions by Bangladesh army and para-military forces. Bamgladesh is our close neighbour. We have so many interests in common, whether it is the Ganga river waters or other interests. We would like- to have very happy relationship, but this, problems should not be allowed to grow out of proportion.

We know what happened when refugees came from what is now called Bangladesh or Eastern Pakistan. We did not know how much problem it would create later on. So, I would only request the Minister or the Government to take serious note of this and give serious attention to this.

Now I will come to Pakistan. Pakistan was e> steifertorn neighbour isometime - ago. It was faced with victual disintegration. Now what is the position of Pakistan? Pakistan has very much gained interna! strength as well as the world profile. It is in a very happy bargaining position with the two Super Powers courting her arduously. It must be men-- tioned that, it was a lucky sequence of events which Pakistan used intelligently and, mat did come to help, Pakistan which was, as I said, tottering, virtually at a disintegration stage, to become tpday a po'jpr ^fwhicfi is. courted.. by both the. Super Powers. The sequence, of lucky* events which I will enumerate in. passing, started with the exist of the iran Shah and of course, " the oil-lanes, more than

half the world reserves of oil-lanes in that area., The U. S. was looking desperately in search of somebody to replace the Shah of Iran at the same time. At the same time Soviet invasion of Afghanistan took plaice in 1979. It certainly increased the value of Paikstan's afflma-tion that they would take the. cause of the Islamic Mujahideeos and they offered themselves to function as a conduit for US arms. Pakistan has cultivated itself as an indispensable strategic partner of USA. I do not think it serves much purpose either abusing Pakistan or the USA We must, talking of foreign relations, think cool-headedly and objectively. If they have their strategic interests coincide, just calling them names or using intemperate language does not solve this country's problems. What I wish to, say is that Pakistan has certainly used, these things veryintelligently. It secured a 6 billion dollar worth of economic aid in 1981 and it is going to secure a 4. 2 billion dollar aid. It is as good as given. Even after the stipulation

that every two years _____ (*Time bell rings*]. Madam people have taken 20 I minutes. Kindly bear with me* for a few minutes more.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You 'can take some time more. It is just a Warning,

DR. H. P. SHARMA: Pakistan hat-played the game of Geneva proximity talks "to the hilt. Talking to the Soviet Union, they have tried to say at these proximity talks, "we will give our serious attention to" the Soviet Union's proposals Or interests". This game has been played verey vefy astutely by Pakistan. Pakistan has managed "with considerable diplomatic jfinesse t_0 preserve anU strengthen strategic ties with the USA: '

Coming to the Soviet Union, they have kept the Soviet Union ^guessing about their intentions regarding Afghanistan whether they are going to help Soviet Union get off the hook, or they I will continue to keep their. defence ties with the USA. They have, ilayed this game 'very cleverly. Apparently Pakistan went⁵ 'through the "motions of improving, relations'with the soviet Union while af 'the 'same time !t was' passing assurances

[Dr. H. P. Sharma]

to the United States that Pakistan will be taking only a principled stand on Afghanistan.

Madam, since you are ringing the bell, I will say only one thing about Pakistan. I would like to mention that Pakistan. is very clever in projecting itself as a nuclear ambiguity. They do not say that they have the atom bomb. They do not say 'also that they do not have it. Even President Zia in the interview to the *Time* magazine said, they have the nuclear capability and again at the last moment said, they don't have the bomb. I think it is a very clever game of getting advantage from both sides.

Madam, I would just hurry through. Our main problem with China is, I think, that the nexus between China and Pakistan mustJftie taken for granted. You cannot break it. That is at least the way I see it. And also it will not be easy *to* secure an easy break-through in the boundary question resolution. We must be prepared for a long hot summer for further resolution of this problem. It has already been 'said that China's objection to our granting statehood to Arunachal Pradesh and their even threatening of serious consequences are totally inadmissible.

The talks between China and Soviet Russia again create problems for us, despite Moscow's assurance to this country that itsinterests will be taken care of. Here it will be imprudent if we fail to *ttke* notice of this development. Nor should we exaggerate this Sino-Soviet rapprochement and panic into anticipating a major change in the Soviet policy. That would be a blunder.

I will hurry through, Madam. Our problems with Nepal and Bhutan are perhaps more because of China. 5. 00 p. M. rher_e is a gradual challenge to our special relationship with Bhutan and Nepal, both. In its, negotiations with Bhutan, China is pressing for the opening of a Chinese embassy in Thimpu and across the border talks withi Tibet in return for a boundary agreement. Uptil now our relationship with Bhutan has been entirely different and China certainly 1\$ trying to

open an embassy there. It will be giving, a totally different colour to the whole picture. Again, there was an interview that the King of Bhutan gave to a German journalist where it is said that in case of a conflict between China and India, Bhutan will remain neutral. What is means, Madam Deputy Chairman, 1 just leave it. for the Minister.

About Nepal, in passing I would just say that on 14 th April they started this work permit order. Ostensibly this order was meant for all, but in practical terms, the main sufferers are we, Indians. But I do not want to mention about the one thousand or five thousand people who are getting into problems. What I am afraid of and of which I want to caution the Minister and the Government is that . this is an attempt to dilute the 1950 Indo-Nepalese Treaty. That is the basic thrust which we must guard against. One more point is that the Nepalese zone of peace again comes in the same ambit of discussion and we should be careful.

The last point is about Ceylon. So much has been said about Ceylon. I cary not add too much but there are two points. Not solving the Ceyion issue is one. Of course, as they say, it takes two to tango, and unless they are forthcoming for a resolution of the problem, there can. be no solution. But it is going to be and proving to be very. costly to us. aprat from the. inhuman torture that the Tamil ethnic part of Ceylon's population is put to, now, to us in the more •wider geo-centric, geo-strategic terms, I think we are paying a very heavy price and we should try to solve it, It is easy for me and you to say so, but I know-ihoy difficult it is. But still it must be done, and that is my request. Thank you.

SHRI DEBA PRASAD RAY (West Bengal): Honourable Deputy Chairperson, I rise to participate in the debate on the working of the Ministry of External Affairs. India's foreign policy has to be viewed in the backarop of the global political situation that is prevailing today. The whole world is clamouring for peace and the major function of the present world is how to preserve peace in (he global arena.

Never before bad India's role been so distinguishable in the international peace movement as it has been today. While; Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, one of the founding fathers of the Non-Aligned Movement: •was being consfdered as the propagator of the peace movement and Indiraji was being considered as the defender of the peace movement, Rajivji has proved to be the crusader for peace, and disarmament in the' global arena. It is really remarkable, it is really gratifying, that in such a short span of time he has been able to distinguish himself as one of the most outstanding world leatters and has attained this height in such a short span of. time and, on his elevation to this position, the country's position has been heightened, the country's position has been elevated and for which I take this opportunity to compliment him.

We have been fighting for the cause of peace in the global arena. But the concept of peace has also to b\$ understood in the real perspective. The concepts of peace and freedom are indivisible concepts. As long as there is even one country left without freedom in the global arena or in any part of the world, we cannot say that peace has been achieve'd in the real sense of the term, and that is why India has been fighting for the liberation of countries like Namibia and South Africa. India has been fighting for the liberation of EL Salvador. And because of this, India has stood by those countries where freedom is threatened by imperialist forces. That is why India has stood by Nicaragua. Inula has stood by Polisario in Western Sahara. India has expressed support for the freedom of struggling nations which are being subjugated, by imperialist forces.

Madam, the concept of peace has also to to be understood-in the real perspective. The concept of peace and the concept of development are also indivisible concepts. As long as there is poverty and unemployment in any part of the world, as long as there is hunger and poverty in any part of the world, we cannot claim that peace has been achieved in the real sense of the term.,, And that is. why India has been •{fighting for (he cause of those countries wjiich are being exploited by the developed countries. Today the Jleveloping coutttries are struggling for economic development.

There,., is a concept today, the concept of foreign aid, the concept of economic cooperation. But I must say that this concept of co-operation and foreign aid is based on the concept of global exploitation. We are under the illusion that the developed countries are helping the undeveloped and 'developing countries. for their economic development. To explain my point, I intend to cite one example. In 1984-85 the developed countries extended co-operation; economic co-operation to the undeveloped countries to the • tune of dollar 80 billiojj. While giving this cooperation to the undeveloped ana developing countries, they took away from those countries a sum of dollar 96 billion in the form 6f interest on the old debts. So, in the global arena what is happening in the name of providing aid and co-operation to the undeveloped and Ueveloping countries is that exploitation is being perpetuated, exploitation is "being continued. India has fought against this exploitation. The initiative was taken by Smt. Indira Gandhi when she participated in the North-South dialogue, ' when she atten'ded the Cancun Summit, And today India has proved to be the greatest exponent of this concept which is called the new international economic order, which means that the development of cperation in the economic field must be based on the concept of equality.

The concept of peace hag also to be understood in relation to the concept 01 disarmament. As long as there is threat of war, as long as there is the threat ol destruction, there cannot be peace in the real sense of the term. That is why India has been fighting for the total disarmament in the global arena. yoi look at the If world situation today, was the world situation so ea neve before plosive as it is today. In 1986 alor a sum of \$ 975 billion had been spent for military purposes. Even if 10 per cer of the total arms stock is used todf then, there would be a nuclear winti for six months Arid there is a nuclei winter for six months m the world, or can jolly well. imagine what the fate the humanity would be, what fate of mankind would be.

[Shri Deba Prasad Ray

So, India has been fighting for disarmament. India has been fighting. for disarmament. also because¹ of the,,fact that the resources that have been spent for military purposes, and jf those ^sources are spent for the development purposes, it would be possible for. the world to take away the word "poverty" from the dictionary of mankind, India has sh<fyn that commitment. India, under the leadership o, f Mr. Rajiv Gandhi, hon. prime Minister, ha_s shown this commitment in the global arena. That is why we take this opportunity to pay come pliment, to congratulate him.

For the struggle we have undertaken in the global arena we have been made to pay a price. Its-cost was quite considera-' ble. The forces of destabilisatidn, the imperialist farces who are worried about the role that India has been playing in the global arena for the preservation of peace and disarmament, are now trying to destabilise this countryi

If you look at the map India, you will see that in the north of our country there is a country called China which is not prepared to recognise Arunachai Pradesh as an integral part of our country, which is now propping up rebel forces and extremist forces in-the north-eastern part of our country to cause further harm to the integrity ₀f our nation, which is now trying, to support Pakistan to occupy an integral part or our country, that is, Siachin.

If you look'to the west, there is a country -called Pakistan. It; s getting arms and ammunition from America everyday and which is now preparing t_0 attack India at any point of time.

If you look to the east of our country, there is one'country called Bangladesh •which is not prepared to allow India to close the border with, barbed wire despite the fact that there is infiltration everyday from Bangladesh into ^{Assam} into Tripura, into Bengal. If you look at the southern pirt of the country, you will see there is a-tiny Island called Sri

Lanka whose military power in term of ^J fcumber of personnel is not more than • 1(3, 000, If there is any war. to be fought with Srj Lanka tomorrow[^] I would say* in my personal capacity without involving the Government of India, India would not be required to send its Army. If the total police tforce of Tamil Nadu js sent to Sri Lanka, within ten minutes Sri Lanka could be conquered. But it is als₀ mustering courage to defy India's suggestion to find a political solution to its ethnic problem. Now- it is noticed that they are calling India as enemy, a country Which is trying Ao find a political solution tp their problem. We know for certain whether it 'is Pakistan or Bangladesh, ^ whether it is Bangladesh or Sri Lanka fox that matter, behind all thes forces, there is one face and that is the face of the Us imperialism, which is trying to destabilise India and to create problems for India so that India is deterred from its commitment to the path of peace and disarmament and from its commitment to the path of non-alignment. This oonspira-. cy has been. hatched against India which, has to be understood by the whole country today. After failing to stall India's march towards socialism by creating problems from outside, these foreign forces are now trying to make India's internal situation vulnerable. We have paid the highest price for our commitments when our great leader Shrimati Indira Gandhi had to sacrifice her life for the preserva-, tion of the unity of the nation. It would be a mistake to presume that her killing was an isolated episode. It was he same force which killed Mujibur Rehman in Bangladesh; it was the same force which got Bhutto hanged in. Pakistan; |t was the same force which got Srimavo Bhanda-ranaike terhoved from power in Srj Lanka. The s^ame force got Indira Gandhi assassinated in the land of India but with one difference. While with the assassination of Mujibur Rehman the voice of democracy wasthrottled in Bangladesh and with the execution of Bhutto the voice against imperialism was silenced in Pakistan and with the installation of Jayawerdene in Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka became a stooge of American imperialism, in Ind; a even after Mrs. Gandhi was killed India's march ' towards socialism could not be thwarted;

284

towards non-align-, India's commitment ment-and India's commitment to peace and disarmament could n°t be thwarted. Rajiv JiJ the saviour of the nation, took the flag fttiat the hands of flying mother and undertook the journey: form where she had left. We take this opportunity to ^express'our' solidarity with him once again because of the struggle that he has undertaken. Today the crisis has deepened. The crisis- has aggravated. The conspiracy has been deepened. And if we look at the situation persisting in our sub, -conti-nent we will find that an army base has been put up in Peshawar in Pakistan, an army base has been put up in Chittagong in Bangladesh and an ariny base has been put up in Trincomalee m Sri Lanka. A death triangle has been laid to destabilise ^India. But even after these conspiracies the imperialist forces have realised that they cannot deter India from its journey towards socialism, they cannot deter India from its'fight for preservation of peace and disarmament. Therefore, an effort is being made to actually create a problem inside the country. One does not take time to understand as to which are _vthe ferces which are behind the Khalistan movement in our country when one comes to know that training of extremists was imparted in the (pampers school in Alabama and extremism was spread m the land of Punjab. One does not take time to realise which are the forces that are behind the {-extremist movement in Punjab, when one comes to know from the statement made by Sarabjit Singh, the extremist, statment that 500 young m his confessional Siklis were feeing trainied in Pakistan t° spread extremism in the land of Punjab. If they are still there. I can take the responsibility, let them come back to India, we will send them back to their respective places, to their respective mothers who had been missing their sons and children

and ee would intend t_0 teach Pakistan a » lesson once more. We had fought against Pakistan in 1965 and taught a lesson. We fought against Pakistan in Wl and we ^rv got Bangladesh liberated. If at aU a situation demands and if war has to be fought agaiifst Pakistan tomorrow we may also ensure that 22a regime in Pakistan is permanently done a. way with. We are not scared $_0t$ Pakistan. We are not. scared $_0f$ Pakistan because of the fact that it is receiving arms and- ammunition from the United states every > day- Even in yester^{\land} day's newspaper reports ft i8 said ^at U.S. administration has declined to limit arms supply, to Pakistan. But that does not scare us because we know that India s invincible as far as Pakistan js concerned. Even the U. S. administration also knows that Mdia cannot be defeated by Pakistan (Time bell rings). Even then US administration is supplying arms and ammunition to Pakistan. Why? This conspiracy has t[^] be understood. This imperialist conspiracy has to be understood. The more arms and ammunition are supplied to Pakistan the more- India would be compelled to buy more arms and ammunition, thereby there would be scarcity of resources for the developmental purposes. That is the* Imperialist conspiracy today. What initiative. India has taken? The initiative that India took in the matter of preserving and in the matter of ensuring disarmament could he understood from the fact that six-nation summit was held at our instance itself. It could be understood from the fact that the New Delhi declaration has urged upon super-powers to do away with arms race. It could be understood from the fact that India has tried to protect the people of South Africa when it participated in the Commonwealth meeting and ensured that Britain was 'isolated. On the issue of apartheid it could world opinion to stand by the mobilise the people of South Africa. The contribution made by India in the international arena for the preservation of (peace and for the, reduction -of arms race have to be understood in the right perspective. I would like to point out that, some of our friends have been saying and some of our friends have been arguing that we should try fo normalise relations wi% our neighbours. I agree with them. But as far as India is concerned, there is no dearth ^ effort in this regard and that has been substantiated from the fact. that SAARC has been formed. Formation -of sAARC is a move in this direction and our relations with. Bnutan and* Maldives are quite cordial. Our relations with Nepal are not any acrimonious either. But I would like to put a question to those friends who say tha^ we should try to normalise the situation: Should

[Shri Deba Prasad Ray] we try to normalise the situation at the cost of our. commitment to socialism and democracy in the national arena? Should We try to normalise the situation at the cost of our commitment for peace and disarmament in the international arena? (Time, bell rings). Madam, I seldom speak. <Jive me one more minute. Should we try to normalise the situation at me cost of «ur commitment to those ideals which have been upheld by India for ages? I would like to request my friends to appreciate this aspect and to stand by this Government to enable this Government to pursue the causes that are being pursued by India and which has enabled India to distinguish its role m the global arena.

I would like to say that for every major struggle, the price has to be paid. For every major cause, sacrifice has to be made. Even if the situation demands for larger sacrifice, we should not give up the journey. Let the journey towards socialism be on.. Let the struggle for the preservation of peace in the international areas be on. I would like to conclude my speech by quoting/ two lines frOm Tagore's poem. "Tomar Hate Nai Bhubaner Bhars ore Bhiru, Haler Kache Majhi Achhe Korbe Tori Paar, ore Bhiru". For the convenience of those who d° not understand Bengali, . I would like to give English translation also. Cowards, you cannot be entrusted with the responsibility of taking care of. the world. There is a sailor on the deck, who would definitely take the boat across the sea- You repose your onfldence and faith in him. He will take the boat across the sea. I would like to appeal to our friends t°. repose their faith and confidence in the great sailor of the country today, Shri Rajiv Gandhi who will definitely take the boat across the sea however stormy the journey may be. With these words, I conclude. Thank you.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY; (Tamil Nadu): Madam Deputy Chairman, I was listening to the spleen of my friend, Shri D. P. Ray'who described our hon. Prime Minister as a great sailor. But I am pained to say that as far as the question of Sri Lanka is concerned, they have been left in the mid-sea by $_0$ ur hon. Prime Minister, the s^ea of blood and tears as quoted

by my leader, Dr. Karunanidhi. Madam, of course, the time at my disposal is short but»I crave your indulgence. I would not take much tune of the House but I will confine myself to the problem ^ the Sri Lankan Tamils. Madam, our Prime Minister has stated that India expresses-A anguish but I would like to ^{sav} this anguish will never extinguish the fire of racist system, the fire $_0$ f genocide which is devouring the precious lives of Tamils in Sri Lanka. I aim pained to say that the anguished cry of a slaughtered Tamil race in the island of Sri Lanka has fallen on deaf ears of the Government of India. i may be excused by Mr. N. Q. Tiwari, a good friend of mine as I am bound to say that the Tamils have been betrayed by this Government since 1948. The other ^ day, the prime Minister of Sri Lanka, Mr. Prema Dasa stated on the floor of the Parliament of Sri Lanka that any friend, who tells us to find a political solution will be considered as the biggest enemy. He further said that we could not betray our people because we want friendship with India. I am jealous of these Sinhalese people. They have got a Prime Minister who is bold enough to declare on_n the floor of the Parliament of the country that we do not want friendship with India because we may be betraying our own people. But what happened in our country? This Government has betrayed our own people who happen to be the citizens of this country, The Tamils since 1948 just because they want friendship' with Sri Lanka if InHia wanted to build up friendship with Sri Lanka. I do not understand, why Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, ihj architect of« our foreign policy, for whom I hwe got great respect and regard and whom T consider a democrat to the marrow of his * bones, why he had failel, why he had kept mum, why he remained silent when the most obnoxious legilsa-ten was passed in the Sri Lankan Parliamsnt dis-enfranch-ising one million Tamils i. e. 10 lakhs. That obnoxious legislation of the Sri Lankan Government, with one stroke, made m& million Tamils orphans. This particular category of Tamils because, there are two categories. There are two categories of people. One category is of the native Tamils and their original homeland is in the northern and eastern part

of Sri Lanka. They are the inhabitants there right from the down of history and from time immemorial they have f been there. The second category is of those persons-who migrated from South India, those who were taken by the British estate and other estate owners for plantation purposes. They went there. They shed, their biood, they shed their sweat and they shed their tears there for the prosperiy of that Island. - They Conributed to the prosperity of that Island for fifty years, sixty years and a hundred years. After all these years, one fine morning, the Parliment there says, "You do not have the franchise, you do not have the voting right"."What for India kept quiet? I cannot understand it at all. Because you wanted friendship with Sri Lanka. That is what I feel. Whenever and wherever human rights were violated, our great Nehru stood up and raised his, voice. But when the rights of the Tamils were violated, when their rights. were denied, we kept quie, t then in the year 1948. Madam, what happened later?' The present scenario in the international situation is not at all happy and our relations particularly with •our neighbours today are n at all. satisfactory; Our relatious with. Pakistan, Cmna, Burma, even Bangladesh, with all these neighbouring countries, are not at afi satisfactory. I am not Warning this Government for this. Pakistan is arming itself and China -is intruding into Aruna-cfcal Pradesh. Our relation's with QMT neighbours started deteriorating much earlier. After 1959, our relations with China started deteriorating, after we raised the slogan "Hindi-Chini Bhai Bhai" in the Bandung Conference and our relations with China deteriorated further after 1962. Our relations with Pakistan too deterorated. But, Madam, just to have friendship with a> tiny neighbouring country, that is, the Island of Sri Lanka, what did we do? We did a great and unpardonable blunder of entering into a pact or treaty'with Sri Larika in the year 1964 with the commitment to take back 5, 25, 000 persons as citizens of India, as repatriates. What business I you had, what right you had, t_0 do that? They went there and they contributed to'the pros-periy of that country. But you compromised and at the cost of the prosperity

of the Tamils there you entered into a treaty with Sri Mmka in the year 1964. Madam, & the year 1963, on the 12th December, a very important decision was taken by the Union-Cabinet when Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru was the Prime Minister and it was this: There was a long-pending demand, to have a navigational route between the eastern coast and the western coast Without the necessary of having to go round Sri Lanka and the Sethusamudram Ganal Project for this purpose, ftir which there had been ajdemand, a persistent demand,, for many many years, was cleared in the Uqion Cabinet. If that project had been implemented then as per the decision of the Union Cabinet, today ships need not have to go via Sri Lanka within the striking range of Trincomaiee. I am asking you only to look at this problem from a different angle, from the defence point of view and from the strate» gic point of view. Then why was it not implemented? With, a heavy heart 1 have to say that because Sri Lanka would lose heavily its trade and its foreign exchange and its economy would be totally destroyed; According • to renable information* what happened was that they, persuaded this Government not to take up this' project at all. That is why the project which was cleared by the Union Government was not at all implemented. Is it not S» betraval? Is it not a faH(we of your policy? So all these years, 1948, 1963 and then 1964-Tamils have 'been betrayed this genocide started right from 1958. I have spoken umpteen number of times on the floor of this House,, not for any political ends. I have shed teare on the floor of this House when my people were getting killed. But today what is happening? Premadasa says: you are the biggest enemy. A tiny country is bold enoughto challenge you. This very same Pre-madasfe declared four or five years back that even a hundred Indians will not be able to subjugate Sri Lanka. I warned- you many times on the floor of the House about this racist regime headed by Java-wardene: Do not believe them. He wilt strehtch his hand, he will give his hand. But do not shake your hasds^with him. That hand is full of blood. I have told you umpteen number of times. Now to-day, Premadasa comes, and says: you ara.

£Shri V. Gopalsamy] their. biggest enemy. Yesterday Jayc-wardene said: 1 am for peace. Madam, I would like to beg this Government: Open your eyes t_0 see what is going on there. You suddenly reacted when the blaze in Colombo was reported. You suddenly reacted. Then what prevented you when bombing was going on Tamils there day in and day out. continuously? Women, children and even the sick in hospitals [were killed. Madam, I am terribly disturbed at the attitude of certain joum'a- j lists and Pressmen of this country. I am j always for the freedom of the Press.. I i have suffered jail. I have suffered imprisonment to uphold, to protect, the freedom of the Press, for the fundamental rights during the dark days of emergency. But I am pained to see the attitude " of certain.; Pressmen and journalists, when they are branding our own people, our Tamils, as butchers. Yes, they will become butchers to face the cannibals. All these years they did not take up. arms, *'before 1972. Even Mah!atma Gandhi justified violence. What could they do? Even if you push a cat to the corner, it will pounce upon you. Before their own eyes when their mothers were raped, when their wives were raped, when their children were thrown into flames, they 100k. up arms finally.

Madam, today the situation is very grave. Whatever we spefck here these fiery speeches, will not ward off the bul lets which are piercing our own people. But, Madam, I would beg this Govern ment to look at this problem from a different angle. I say today that tha dan ger to Indian security is not jfrom Pakistan, is not from the north, is not from the north-east, but I say that the danger to the security of this country is from tho In dian Ocean. The imperialist forces already there are using Diego Garcia as base. They are already enjoying there the facility of getting fuel an'd oil in Trin comalee Harbour. The eyes of the en-, tire U. S. A. are focussed on Trincomalee, because Napoleon said: If I conquer Trin comalee I Can conquer the whole of Asia; because Trincomalee is a strategic centre in the Indian Ocean. The entire Harbour of Trincomalee is the' homeland of Timils.

You have committed a very grave blun-. der. You have tried, to be friendly with Sri '. Lanka because of your weak-kneed policy because of vow Iame duck policy nearly 10 lakh Tamils have been driven away. They have vacated their houses. There is Sinhalese colonisation in Sri Lanka which is supported by State terrorism. The Tamils have been driven away. Trincomalee is the homeland of Tamils. The* Americans are very keen to have access to Trincomalee. That is why it is the U.S. Government which permitted the Israelis to open their special interest's section office in the U.S. Embassy. It is to 'allow them to operate freely. Madam, v th. 5 Tamils are- being driven away from Trincomalee. If Trincomalee becomesanother Diego Garcia, the danger is very grave for us. It is very serious for the security and defence of this country. Their battleships and naval ships will be moving freely. Even now they are, moving freely. It has become a base. So the danger is there. Take it from me that Sri Lanka will never become your friend. A Sinhalese Sri Lanka will never become your friend. But Tamils naturally will stand by your side. They will be by your side because 50 million tamiis are citizens of India. It is high time to realise the reality of the situation and to support the Tamils. What for? I do not know what conversation took place between our own hon. Prime Minister and I'ayewardene over telephone. We should be enlightened as to what took, place.

Madam, this i_s the 'Hindu' Editorial of Saturday, April 25. It will be very pertient '. and proper to quote a few lines from it. It is a wonderful editorial, a significant ed-toral. It says;

Official Indian policy. lies, if not in shambles, in a stats of passive adhocism, confusion and ineptness. But what has become obvious is that the Rajiv^ Gandhi policy towards Sri Lanka; has got into this, situation because it has attempted, superficially and 'incompetently, to frnj a quick fix without a coherent framework of solidarity with " 'he oppressed people

As a direct result of all this, and given the switchToff-switchon style, official Indian; policy was equivocated and wavered. It has tended to equate the victims of the crisis-^the Sri Lankan Tamils—with the aggressors— the Government of Sri Lanka and - its 'allies, internal and external...

The disturbing informationavailable is this. At the Minister of State level, according to Sri La nkap Tamil sources, the message was conveyed' to the LTTE, chief, Mr. V. Prabjtakaran, in Banga lore and elsewhere in late 1986, that the Jayewardene Government had vir tually threatened to kill thousands of Tamil civilians, in pursuit of a military solution[^] unless a quick political set tlement could be worked out and that India, given its policy perspective, would not be; able to do anything about this. It was also suggested that the "Seventh Fleet might enter the picture if a quick deal, with not struck, and that the Government of India would be helpless in the face of this develop ment

"This line attempted to push a series of formulae and package deals, down the' throat, of the Tamil militants.... "

This is a disturbing question, Madam. I want a categorical answer for, this question from our hon. Minister of External Affairs.. This is what appeared in the editorial. It is true that it is nothing but blackmail of Tamils. We should. not forget the lessons of history. Gol. Gaddafi of Libya could not be brow-beaten by the US imperialists. Of course, he is from a very small country. Ho Chi Minn could not be brow-beaten. When you say the Seventh Fleet or something else or that the' US may intervene and civilians may be killed and that they should come for a negotiation. This is" sheer blackmail. Madam, political solution is not at all possible.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN:, Please sum up.

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN He is summing up.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Political solution through a negotiating table, through a dialogue will never become a possibility. I 'don't ivish that. But I am telling what is going to happen. Whatever I predicted, whatever I forewarned has come true because the Sinhalese are not sincere. And the Tamils could not live like a subejct race, like, Roman slaves. They could not live like Roman slaves. They could not tolerate the persecution and oppression and slavery at the hands of another race. Madam, to-day} when' I was watching many of the Paintings of historic events which have been affixed on the side walls of this Parliameat, I was standing before the Paintins Panel No. 57 which is just before your Chamber, Madam. The painting, the Panel No. 57 shows how Rajen-. dra Chola of 11th century with his mighty naval forces took an expedition and invaded Sri Lanka. Mr. Foreign Minister, not for the purpose of conquering did Rajendra Chola take his mighty navy, which was praised by Pandit Jawa-harlal Nehru in. his, "Glimpses of the World History". When the Tamils who had their own kingdom were attacked by the, Sinhalese, when their kingdom was attacked, when they were attacked by the Sinhalese, the Chola kind took his powerful navy to Sri Lanka to protect the Tamils. He conquered them, he conquered the Sinhalese. Today we ere with yott Yes. In full faith we are" the citizens of this country. But you keen quiet as an idle spectator when our own kith and kin are butchered and thrown to the death valley of starvation. Madam, now a days after dusk_t they do not see any light at all there. They do not see any light because of the economic blockade. They have only one meal a day: Madam, they have no kerosene. no electricity and no light. They are living in darkness. And everyday, day and night, they are killed. And you are keeping quiet. By religion, by language, by tradition and W custom, the 50 million Tamils ar« one. When I say this thing, some of my friends say, 'why do you say that it is a-Tamil problem? It is the problem of India. ' Then you act like that. Mr. A. P. Venkateswaran, the former Foreign Secretary of this country has correctly stated that had it happened to any of the northern State_{Si} things should have been different. It may be bitter if I say this. But it is a fact. Had it happened somewhere in UP or Madhya Pradesh or Bihar or anywhere near, then Delhi'would be burning if you do not take action. But we are unfortunate

[Shri V. Gopalsamy] creatures because we ar© Tamils, because we are far away from this place.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO (Jammu and Kashmir): We are Indians.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Then you act like that. If you "are Indians, if you consider Tamils as indiani, what for you are keepifg your Navy, what for you are keeping your Armed Forces, what for yon are keeping your Defence forces? That is why I gaid what Rajendra Chola did that day. I may accept your national integration today. But my gOns, my grand-sons-, our posterity will not accept that if yeu do not act. (Interruption) Intervene immediately, it may be bitter to you. Intervene. What is wrong in that? That is why you may murmur, you may whisper, you may not accept, but hi the long _Tun I warn ypu, if you think even my suggestion is not tolerated by you, even in? suggestion of sending army and defence forces to protect our people, to stoP the killings is not tolerated by you, then our posterity will watch how the Indian Parliament reacted, how the people from the North reacted, if you want us in the present-day map of India, then you inimediately ht-tervenue. You come out openly to support our people, the militants. You may call them terrorists. in 1 that case Bhagat Singh Vas a terrorist. In that case SubhSsh Chandra Bose was a terrorist because imperialists branded him a terrorist. If you want to help them, you come out opeflly, supply arms'to our militants. Openly support them. What is wrong m it when genocide is going on. Madam Gandhi admitted on the floor of the House andsaod what is' going on in Sri Lank! is nothing but genocide. Genocide is not an internal mat-rer. Ganocide is not at all ari internal matter of any "country.

Madam, I do not understand the ration, ale behind our indiarf foreign policy. You My srpmefting abouj; iSouth Africa. But in South⁵ Africa; 'there 'is 'only racial segrega tron. Here In sri Lanka racial liquidation is taking plaice whereas in South Africa only racial segregation is talcing place. There Apartheid is only skin deep. Here Apartheid is soul deep. As long as Israel does not withdraw its "troops from the ₀o cupied Palartirie area, Palestinian problem will not be tolved. This is the impression throughout the world. But here Tamils have been fighting for the land where they have been living. But there Palestinians are fighting for a land which they had not got. Therefore. I would request this Government to take effective steps. Effective stepg meang not showing some concern and condemnation. You give an ultimatum and say that diplomat relations will be snapped if you want the love and «ffec. tipn and attachment and commitment of fifty million Tarnils in future. Otherwise, if you want the friendship ^ Sinhalese, you need not act let them d^{ie}- Let the whole race be liquidated. But even then they will not submit. They will not surrender. (*Time bell rings*).

Therefore, Madam, I think the Government of India has bungled like anything on the Srj Lankan question. Let them realise their blunders and erase their record" or ₀pihion of betrayal against the Tamils.

SHRI RAOOF VALILULLAH (Gujarat): Madam Deputy Chairman, a nation's foreign policy is reflected by the cultural heritage of the country, its geo-physical situation, and above all, it is a mirror reflecting the very aspirations Of the people, indja'g foreign policy of peaceful co-«xis-ence and non-alignment has been over the years reflecting the composite culture of our country and the aspirations of the Indian people. ' The major thrust of our foreign'policy has been the betterment of our relations vrith our neighfcoujs and the recent developments in the Indian subcontinent deserve our immediate attention I would, therefore, fet the very outset, like to* focus attention on the \$ 4. 2 billion economic and military aid to Pakistan by the American Administration because it has a direct bearing on the security of this country.

Madam, the world has\ seen the results of the jll-advised programmes of American Aids to Pakistan from 1954 to 1965 which proved disastrous and resulted into a kind of growth of anti-Americanism in this country.

Madam, history clearly underlines the need for a reappraisal of the American policy of qnce again providing Jndia-fo-cussed mii'tary assistance to Pakistan. By aligning itself with Pakistan in its regional rivalries with India and Afghanistan, the

. U. S. lias directly opened the way for the Soviet penetration in Afghanistan. It was American military hardware that emboldened Paistan to initiate the tragic chain of events that resulted in IndtnPak conflcit in 1965. Does the U. S. realise that by providing SiiUitiry aid to Pakistan, it is indirectly accelerating the Indo-Pakistan arms race and strengthening the pronuclear lobby in this country? As we are MI aware, both ihih'a 'and Pakistan are so.. far advanced^in the { efforts to develop nuclear option that American policies to thwart these efforts can do no good. In fact, wher6as Pakistan proclaims that it has the nuclear capability and that it possesses the nuclear bomb, the U.S. President, for the last three years, has been reiterating that Pakistan does rjie. bomb, it is not surprising, not possess therefore, that 4t the time of Mr. (Sorba* chev's visit to. NewTDeihi, the U. S. Ad-fflinjftratibia jprpvpked. unprecedented aili-American bitterness m India by announcing plans to provide Pakistan with an air " borne early warning system. Therefore, our efforts should be to impress upon the U.S. Administration to abandon ₀r significantly modify this misconceived plan of giving arms to Pakistan. "We must also, impress upon the American people tSiat there will be no option left t₀ India but to shift from the pursuit of close Indo-American ties to close military cooperation with the USSR. By providing F-16s, heavy tanks, Howitz. and Harpoon missiles intended for use on the Indian border, the U.S. has fanned Indp-Pakistan tensions and strengthened Indian nuclear advocates like the onej we had listened to in this House and in the other House, to go in for' a nuclear bomb, arid it will, at the same 'time, leave Pakistan free' to develop Jts nuclear opfons. It is sgnificant that an amendment wasmoved in the Senate's foreign Relations Committee by senator Byrd that any offer to sell early warning aircraft to Pakistan should also be extended io India too until U.S. President certifies that India has provided sufficient assurance that Plutonium separated from spent fuel will riot be used for nuclear explosion programmes. I would like to draw t?¹⁶ attention of the Government that surpris-togry this has brought the sale of the 'super-computer to India into the nuclear

non-prolifetration debate. The amendment proposed that the super-coimputer sliould hot he allowed to be sol'd to India until it offers to resume unconditionally its dialogue with Pakistan to improve bilateral relations with that country. India wantVflne of the latest models of supercomputers and thre U.S. would like India to accept a less powerful machine. The assurances by Pakistan to U.S. A. are also not reliable. Even though the President of Pakistan admits that they have acquired nuclear weapons capability, the U.S. President goes on certifying that . Pakistan does not jpossess the bomb. Therefore, pur, diplomacy with the U.S.A. must carry with it all aspects. of the issue that U. S. -Pakistan arms agreement does not harm the interests of this country.

Madam, the strategy that India need t_0 evolve jh regard tp its immediate neighbours is also imporant and urgent. Firstly, while the embargo on Jaffna continues, a new military offensive Ifes been launched by the Government _Gf Sri Lanka, thousands of Tamils have died, the ethnic Conflict is being resolved in killings and bombings rather than at 'negotiating table.

. The same holds good for Bangladesh. About 25, 000 Buddhist Chakma refugees from that country who were suppose^ to have gone back on the 15th of January have not done so. The number of re fugees ha^s n^ow increased to nearly 50, 000. The repatriation of Bangladesh refugees, now in India, must be stressec in a bid *b resolve the bilateral issue.

Thirdly, ₀niy a few days agp, iJw Nepalese Government ordered all for eigners porting jo the country includin Indian citizens to apply fpr work per . ttats., The order i_s effective from th _{%i}4th of this month. Thousands ₀f In dians have been directly affected.. W must. see that the. special relatibnshi] with india which has existed since th JL950 lido-Nepal treaty is not affected, not changed. Nepal's zone of peac Proposal is also seen, as mY hon. colles gue put it, as f dilution of this specis brelatidnship with india. Attention ha [Shri Raoof Vallallah) also been drawn to the Nepalese arms supply to the GNLF. Therefore, I urge the Government to be more vigilant $_{0}$ n the borders. (Time *bell rings*).

the hand, China's harden On other ing of its stand on the Sino-Indian border question despite continu ing talks between the two countries to resolve it has also. put con siderable pressure on India. China's j»trusion into the Sumdurong Chu vallev and China's hostile intentions made the new Defence Minister, Shri K. C. Pant, to airdash to Beijing. Therefore, the ^question is still far from being resolved and continues to be a sore point in the Sino-Indian " relaQonship. * Therefore, India's claim to regional leadership, parti cularly, amongst the SAARC countries, Aould not be allowed to erode 'and In dia must reassure tb-ese smaller neigh bours that it will play an important role in their economic development. Madam, India deserves to be eon-6. 00 *PMf* "gratulated n strengthening the non-alignment movemet and it is in this context that I had submitted a note the hon. Minister to External Affairs that India should for take a lead in the formation of a NAM bank and this bank could usher in a new era for the third world countries.

Madam, since the (External Affairs Minister and the Ministry are also in charge of the Haj pilgrimage, I would like to make a few observations on the Haj pilgrimage which takes place every year. I am thankful to the Minister for External Affairs for he was present in Bombay only day before yesterday and he

saw for himself the Baitui Hujjaj, the

Haj house, which has been constructed by the Haj Committee at a cost of Rs. 6 crores, without taking a single pie from the Government of India. Madam, we nave been pleading that the gaj quota should increase. This year 24000 Hajis are intending to g° for Haj pilgrimage to Saudi Arabia 23000 with foreign exchange and one thousand without foreign exchange and nearly 5000 applicants have been rejected. I urge the Minister and the Government of India to $r^{a}i^{se}$ this quota of Hajis 50 that nevt year when it is quite likely that the Haj pilgrimage by se_a may be discontinued, the remaining extra quota could be utilized by air.

Madam, a very important point which was taken up by several MPs and the members of the Haj Committee was the question of subsidy. The hon. Prime Minister had promised that gince the pilgrimage by sea was likely to be discontinued, we would see to it that the subsidy which was granted to sea pilgrims may also be, given to the pilgrims going by air. And this I reiterate and I feel that the hon. Minister for External Affairs must take a note of it and take an early decision in this matter to save the poor pilgrims who have to pay a very huge fare on travelling by air.

Madam, with this 1 commend the hon. Minister for External Affairs for having taken such a. lot of pains and exercise on the issue of the Haj pilgrimage and I also request the hon. Minister for Parliamentary Affairs to convey our feelings to the External Affairs Minister.

श्वी मोर्जा इर्शावनेन (गुजरात) महोदया, हज और जो कुछ दूसरो बातें वलोउल्लाह साहब ने बत ई हैं मैं सम्पूर्ण रूप से उन सबका समर्थन करता हूं और आपके माध्यम से मंत्री जी से कहूंगा कि इस बात की ओर खास ध्यान दे करके इनको सह-लियतें देने की सम्पूर्ण कोशिश सरकार कर।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN-. Yes, Mr. iBir Bhadra Pratap Singh. (*Interruptions*)-Yes, Mr. Matto, you are going t^o speak after hinY.

I will request the Members to take ten minutes thereafter because otherwise' the Minister won't get much time. So, please take ten minutes and not more than that.

SHRI BJR BHADRA PRATAP SINGH

(Uttar Pradesh): Madam Deputy Chairman, due to paucity of time I would like *to* speak only about three problems of India's foreign policy. The first question which I want to address to the House is , whether India should make an atom bomb vis-a-vis Pakistan's. My second submission woujd be, what should be India's approach to Sri Lankan problem^ inview of the Amrican base in Diego Garcia? And my third question would be, bow we should tackle ou^r Chinese problem. There aire two cpre principles that India's foreign policy has always had One is that we followed an antiimperialist policy before, Independence and after Independence. The second £S that we have pursued a policy of peace m the world in general and in the sub-continent in particular. Now one thing about imperialism has become very significant-territorial imperialism has disappeared from the world hut it has given shape to monopoly capitalism which, is another form of imperialism but it is proving more dangerous than the territorial imperialism. Therefore India, in view of the present situation, has to look very cautiously at monopoly capita-'ifna and the biggest monopoly power in the world~i. e. the United State_s of America..

With that problem is linked the Us base at Diepo Garcia. Why? Why have they shifted from their land to our neighbourhood and established a base in Diego · Garcia? Not only that, they have armed Diego Garcia with all nuclear weapons. They have declared very recently that Diego Garcia is a base for Star_s War. If their love for humanity was so much, if their love for peace was so much, then why did they choose Diego Garcia alone as a base for Stars War, whicfc is just in. , the Indian Ocean on the threshold oi * our own territory and by the side of Sri Laftka? I just heard from, the lips of one of, my learned friend from the other side that if we are not able to do this, that or that- it has always remained this, that or that, without sP^^ally suggesting what the Government of India should do-the situation would be worse than that of Punjab. This is what the imperalisfe want. This is what the forces who want to destabilise peace in this subcontinent want. Should we fall into this trap? Or should ^N we resist falling into that trap and committing that folly? Should we give up our antiimperialist policy? Should we allow peace in this region to be disturbed? Should we allow this region to become a threatre of world war? should we allow this region to become a theatre of nuclear War? Or should we resist it? where lies the wisdom? Who is not for our Tamilianbrothers are being massacred, killed

and butchered? But what should be the line of approach exactly? They want that India should be destabilised; (here should be repercussions of killjngs of Tamils in ' Tamil Nadu and Tamil Nadu may become a Punjab, or h- should become worse thari, Punjab. Should we fall in that trap? That is the basic question to all those who say this without suggesting what the Government of India should do exactly. Waging war on Sri Lanka will not solve the problem, I think. It is against all the canon_s of peace that we want to establish in the world. When Mr. Reagan declared, that Diego Garcia shall be a base for Stars War, Mr. Gorbachev had declared, "wet shall be following a programme of stajs Peace in the world". You very well remember bis policy of unilateral cessation of all nuclear explosions for one year. Another declara-tion of Mr. Gorbachev was that medium range missiles and small range missiles shall be dismantled, whereas ₀ur friends are advocating that we should attack Sri Lanka, wage a war against "sri Lanka. I thing it will be a childish solution.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: You telj the solution. I have told the solution. Now you tell your solution

SHRI BIR BHADRA PRATAP SINGH;

If this not the solution, then we are taking all possible measures. Just to avoid what the imperials want in this region, we have put, in all kinds of pressure on them; we have put pressure and also used persuasion, '

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: You want to pose to the world as a crusader of peace on the alter of the Tamils. Rajiv Gandhi want_s to pose himself as a crusader of peace... *Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, please.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: They want peace on die graveyard ₀f the Tamils.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down... (Interruptions)'. '.. This is not good ,

SEjRJ \setminus 8*R 1HADRA PRATAP SINGH; Do you think sentuhents can provide any solution t° your problem?

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY; If a member of your family is butchered, you will, realize. Otherwise you will not realize. Tamils are not at ail members of your family. That is why you are riot bothered. ... (*Interruptions*)...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN*. That is not correct. Please sit down. No noise, please. Mr. Gopalsamy, nobody interrupted ypu, when you were speaking. Now let others speak.

BHADRA SHRI BIR PRATAP SINGH Madam, we want that there should be peace in the world. If there is peace -rin tike world, this monopoly capi talism, is bouild to crack itself. What is the result of the American policy?.. (. In *terruptions*)... Kindly examine some of the arguments s that I am advancing to test what the imperialists want in this re gion.

Pakistan has gone nuclear arid has Produced the atomic bomb. Last year I met Professor Abdus Salaam. He is a physicist of international fame and he has left Pakistan and settled down in Italy because he did not want that Pakistan should produce anuclear bomb. I met him last year when he was 'here in India. He met our Printe Minister also. Who has advised Pakistan to produce the nuclear bomb? Could not that step be an instigation, by the imperialist powers so that this., region should become^, hot bed of armament and. arms production?. After, all, who has competed us, to buy eleven engines from America? The Americans' arming Pakistan to the has compelled us to purchase eleven hilt engines for o^ur LCA from America. This is how the imperialists gain both ways. When they instigate one country against the other, then the' other country falls in the trap. . Therefore, any suggestion made in this House that we should give up our 40 years' time-tested policy of not ^ produce a nuclear bomb should not be considered. It is a. very dangerous solution. I tell you. Madam, jf Pakistan produces the atom bomb and if India falls in this

trap and give_s up its policy which it followed up till now, I think it will not provide any solution to the problem, rather there wil be ah armed conflict and an atmosphere $_{G}$ f war and the attempt to mention of peace in this region will disappear and, then, all the foreign policy which we have pursued so far—the policy of peace and the policy of anti-imperialism will, collapse. This is what ' exactly the imperialists want.

But the whole misfortune is about our China policy. Some-body speaking here ddubted the sincerety of our Soviet friends, i am very sorry for tha"t. There are no better friends than the Soviets to us. Time has proved that and it was Proved ^{ev}en at the time of the Chinese attack. \backslash Who put pressure on the Chinese to withdraw at the time of the Chinese war? It was the Soviets? And the Soviets were not friends to us only. When China attacked Vietnam, it was a' choice %etween brother and friend. The Soviets stood with Vietnam against the Chinese and that is why, afi the might of China could. _{n0}t humble _a small country like Vietnam. So, "it is a preposterous suggestion that we should doubt our best friends, that we should not trust them because they are liable to deceive us when there is a conflict between brother and friend and that ; the Soviets will not stand, by their friends. I am quoting a few examples. But the whole misfortune JS this, that the Chinese who were calling the soviets revisionists, unfortunately chose the biggest imperialist, capitalist power as their collaborator. They, joined hands with the United States of America in; preference[^] to the Soviets. That is the misfortune. That is the second biggest country m the world, and we thought that they would strengthen the forces of socialism in the world. Unfortunately, they have paid more attention to wards America, joined hands with America. Even they called the-Soviets "revisionists". That was the misfortune.

What jg qur stand with the Chinese[^] Who recognised China? India and England. Have Americans till today recognised the Chinese suzerainty on Tibet? No. Vet, they are their best friends. We recognised them. They said to ns, "Bhaf, bhai. " But they attacked us in 1962. Yet, in the United Nations Organisation wfoen a resolution was tabled to admit them a& a member, " it was India which supported first. This was our intention. They being a socialist country, they being our neighbours, we thought that they are our brothers.

But it will be mad to- suggest that India must open all front, at the same time, must fight on the western border, must fight with srr\Lanka must fight with China. I doir't think any sagacity lies in such suggestions. ' Let us think , coolly, quietly, calmly whether we should open fronts on all sides. If the relationship with China en be normalised.... (*Time bell rings*).

Madam, I am on the last Ipg of my argument.

If the relationship with China-can be normalised, let us make, efforts. Chinese must have realised' that they have committed an ideological mistake in aligning with the biggest monopoly power in the world, namely, the USA. Chinese must have realised that they have wrongly drifted from the 'Soviets,

I think Mr. Gorbachev is wise enough. He i_s the wisest jeader in the world today, i must pay my compliments to him. At every step his move Js the wisest move. If he js able to maintain peace in the world, to which India must aid in every respect, the capitalism is bound to fall under its own pressures, inevitably under its own, weight.

That i_s why at every stage I have praise for his speech.

Now, there is a base in Diego Garcia. They have declared Diego Garcia as a base for ₈tar wars. Let us not fall in that temtation. I only want to give a word of caution, give a word of wisdom to Indian politicians who are evaluating Pur foreign policy. Let us not give up our policy of peace and anti-imperialism under the leadership. of our able External Affairs Minister.

With this, I will give only one definition, -When. I started my stud, ie_{s 0}n external affairs, I was taugnt that a foreign policy of a country is externalisation o* the internal conditions of that country. If India's foreign policy has fulfilled that task, if India's foreign policy has stood with, that policy declaration, the test that the internal authorities have given that it " can only be the country's internal policy pxternalisadon whicfr is truly found jn its foreign policy, namely the two, peace and anti-imperialist stand, I think, we have succeeded and w© have succeeded well in our foreign policy.

With these words. ' I support,

SHRI NIRMAL CHATHERJEE. Madam, it is past 6-20. May I draw your attention to this?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: My attention has already been drawn.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: Then, why. don't you adjourn today? We : can_1 hear the reply tomorrow morning. We want to listen to Mr. Tiwari. Why can't it be so The Minister is here. We can request him.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; The Minister is here and he is ready to sit also. There are only two Or three Mem-- bers who have yet to speak. Then he will jeply.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: That is all r'ght Normally we are expected t^o sit till 6. It is all right if others speak, Tnrt........

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Members want tJ speak more also, and do - not want to sit This is not correct. If you want to speak for some more time, then you should be prepare to sit a little longer.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE Our session is not concluding today. We want' to hear rfte Minister.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This # a very important subject and you only raised the discussion. So, le[^] at least two-Or three Members take part. Then the Minister will reply.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: will request the Minister. Let him reply tomorrow morning.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: it is not necessary.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: Whyit is not necessary? We &tc requesting through you the Minister.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; He iiready with the reply.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: Weare requesting the Minister through you that we want to listen to him. Tomorrow there is a call Attention and after that he can reply.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Mr. Chatterjee, already a Member has withdrawn from the speakers' list I think there are only two or one on the ^{ust}

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: It isalready nearly 6. 30. It is much better if $-w_e$ take t up tomorrow morning before the Call Attention and then we can take up the Call Attention. There; s n_0 other item.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; It i« all right; Mr. Matto.

SHRI NIRMAL \ CHATTERJEE: Madam, why are you not listening?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN-. It must nqt be expected that whatever you say, I must agree I have heard your point of view.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE:Madam Deputy Chairman, please hear me. Only the, other day the Chairman was here. We drew his attention- and he. agreed *fo* adjourn the House, so. I am drawing your.' attention to that fact.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Why are you insisting s[©] much?

SHRI MRMAL CHATTERJEE: It isnot a Question of insisting. I am re-, questing the Minister;

SHRi M. M. JACOB: I hope the hon. (Member may not insist upon this. Please cooperate.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: I am in a cooperative mood. I Want to hear his * speech. Therefore, I want him to spsak tomorrow. If he does not want us to hear, it. is all right. That is up to him. In that case we are leaving. We wanted to hear the External Affairs Minister. I 'am sorry we" are deprived of that opportunity.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOOL MATTO:

Madam Deputy Chairman, I want to congratulate the hon. Minister of External Affairs, because in my career as a Parliamentarian I have seen him sitting here •-, right from the morning till the evening, and he has not moved from his seat except for the period just now. And when the last speaker from these benches got up to speak, lie also got up. I was 'a little disappointed thinking that I will not be able to put across my point pf view. Why I i say this Is because I have heard with rapt attention speeches made¹ by hon. Mem. bers from both sides and what I am going to speak, is the lonely voice from this House, which will be different from the voices spoken.

Madam, all have said and we are agreed on this point that our relations with our neighbours are not good., Different reasons nav_e been assigned and I also feel that it is not foe, lack of initiative on our part that these relations have not been better. But here I would refer to a Persian proverb;

Its translation is; "If the, circumstances do" not go according to what you . want, let you be converted to the circumstances. So, my point is that if we have not been able during the last few years by our foreign policy to make our neighbours and our friends feel convinced about pur bonafide intentions towards them. What should we do? To my mind the only aiterantive available for us is to make 1987 a year of Peace Offensive on our part, We must start an offensive for peace with our neighbours. Let us start with China. Madam, in 1985 I was in China and honestly felt that although we have tried our level best it. is in pur interest to have better relations with China; today also I say that we rTroust start ou_r Peace Offensive beginning with China. How do we go about itl Wo have a problem with China and that is with regard to boundary dispute. This mayor may not be liked by many of us *hut* mine is a solitary voice. I feel that we should he a little elastic and in that what should we do? We have drawn the McMahon line.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: We have not drawn.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO: -Kindly bear with me. But the McMahon line has been drawn. To my knowledge an agreement with regard to this line was only initialled and formal Agreement was not signed between us and China. If I ami wrong, the Minister can correct me.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: At that time there was no China bordering. Only Tibet was there. (*Interruptions*)-

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO: Whatever it is, I am telling you it was not rendered into a Boundary Treaty between ^us arid whosoever was the master of - China borders with us at that time. The McMahon ling as such was not clea_r in our minds also. Let us forget about it. Let us take a fresh look at it and try to solve this issue. I am not going by the cry made here that we will not leave an inch our territory and we shall not do that. If we have to arrive at an understanding with China, We: fiave *to* settle with them the border dispute... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: Why don't they do like that?

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO: Let us settle the border dispute in a spirit of give and take and understanding. As I . said earlier, mine. is the solitary voice and this I believe is the voice of reason. (*Interruptions*). Madam, my friend, Mr. Satyanarayan Reddy was also with me when we went to the Free Trade Zone of Shenzen in China. To my mind, it appears

that we have lot of trade scope and oppor-tunty there. I read only two day* back in the newspapers that FICCI is sending a delegation there to find out ways and means of starting Industries in that zone. But on our own, I can feci that we have a tremendous opportunity in that zone not only in the private sector but' also in the public sector like BHEL and HMT. So we should start with the, same objective with regard to other neighbours also.

Regarding Pakistan, of course, they have given us lot of troubles. But we roust make our policy clear to them so far as the question of Jammu and Kashmir is concerned. It has been settled and Jammu & Kashmir is a part of India. Now the only issue that remains is the evacuation of that part of Kashmir which is under their occupation. With the assertion of this point of view by us, the next thing that we 'should do is to tell Pakistan that we want to have friendly relations with you come on; what have you to say about it? We must make our position with to Pakistan very clear. Some faon. regard friends have said that Afghanistan and Kashmir questions are put-on the same plank by,, them. All that is there -and when we are sure about, our own position, let us make it clear to them. We should also tell them that let no terrorists be trained there or sent over here and what is your opinion? Now we have made a 'Peace Offer. ' They have made a 'no war pact' offer. Let us sit down together, examine and find out what are the good points in their viewpoint and what are the good points in our viewpoint and come to a settlement.

With regard to Sri Lanka, we have to make our position very, clear. There is no doubt that we have so far, not been able to impress upon them the urgency- and need of a solution. Had it been the case, the massacre would not have taken place as has taken place last week. So far as we are concerned, we are not going to leave our Tamil brothers there without any help and succour. But we have to tell Sri L&nka finally and firmly *to* come .. to a settlement with them and if there is no settlement, then we shall think on our own. We should convert 1987 into a year of peace offensive. This is my point of

[Shri Ghulam Rasool Matto]

view with regard TO Sri Lanka. The same applies to applies to Bangladesh,. The same Nepal that we have to sit with theim and We. have to tell them as to what are the points of difference and try to solve those problems. It. is not that we should stop with the seventh round of talks with China. It should be a continuous ^f effort till a solution is'found. Our policy of nonalignment has stood the test of time. We must remember that great man, Pt. Jawahartai Nehru who initiated this policy and who said from this House to the entire" world that this is the only policy by which the world can survive. There is no other way out and there is no other policy which has stood the test of time and we must not deviate from the policy of non-alignment. But here I have a little suspicion that perhaps because of our actions, I do not know, our intentions were not \$ad but because of our actions, we have •not'keen able to tell the world that while •Russia is our friend and has stood us in good, stead in times of need-in fact, we in Kashmir, owe our existence to Russia— we have also to understand and say that when in Pt. Jawaharlai Nehru's time, our relations with Western countries and more' particularly. with America were not so bad, why. are they a little bad now. There may .. a reason of their. supporting Pakistan. There must be something more. But we must also try to imprve our relations with America, on the point and tell them that the fundamental fundamental principle of non-alignment will not be given up by us at any cost but still we dam be good friend. I do not understand whether it is a coincidence of not. Whatever it is, four days back, we read in the newspaper that a few senators had come in between and put their foot down and America was thinking of. not giving AWACS and 4. 2 bilHon aid. tp Pakistan. Our Minister of State for Foreign Affairs went there. What happened?-The very moment he left the shores of America, America announced this deaj with Pakistan. Was it the failure of our diplomacy, or was it that they want-ed to befool su 'I cannot say. But I feel has to be adopted that the entire world must know that we are

equi-distant with the two super powers 'and [a perfect nonralignment policy has to be there. Now, Madam, 'I have something to ' tell to the hon. Minister with regard toHaj. He has been 8^{ood} enough and yes- terday I have. heard on the radio that he has visited the Haj House in Bombay. Now, the hon. Prime Minister has an* nounced in public in Jammu and-Kashmit that the pilgrims from Kashmir will be directly flown from Srinagar to Mecca and they will not have tosCome to Delhi first and then from Delhi, they will have to'go ther[®] separately and also I* would request the, hon. Minister to reconsider that the subsidy of Rs. 1 crore that was announced by the Prime Minister two years back has, not still been given to Hai Committee. * And, Madam, ' it must be given and it must 'also, be categorically stated—there was also a_a assurance, by fhe honourable Prime. Minister to this effect—as envisaged in the Budget on, foreign exchange released to ! tourists and this. tax should not be there in the case of foreign exchange for Haj. The. subsidy point also should be considered. I agree with my friend, Shri Raoof VaKullah that the Hazis quota should be increased. These things should be done.

The other point that I wanted to make is that in the Arab world, we have stood j; by them through thick and thin and we have stood by the Palestinians, and here I agree witfe my friend, Shri Satyanarayan Reddy, that they, should also reciprocate and give us their support in matters which! concern us and us only and, which, do not directly affect them and np Ijarm is done to theni as a result of these policies.

Madam, these are the observations that I wanted *to* make. I do not want to take much time because I have already said -that my reMfo "would Be quite different from that of others. But I reiterate my jequest to the honourable Minister that. he should announce here and now that the year 1987 will be a Peace Offensive year with regard to $_0$ ur neighbours. Thank you, Madam.

5 SHE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now,. Thakur Jagatpal Singh,

চাকুৰ অন্য থাল মিন্ত (মচ্য प्रदेश): ग्रादरणीय उपसभापति महोदया, में इससे पहले कि कुछ कहं सबसे पहले में भ्रमने उस महान नेता पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरू को बधाई देना चाहता हूं जिन्होंने हमारी विदेश नीति की नींव रखी । उस नीति के जो नतीजे हैं वे माज हमारे सामने हैं। इसके साथ साथ श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी जी को भी बधाई देना चाहता हं जिन्होंने उस नीति पर चलकर इस देश को तमाम खतरों से बचाया। महोदया, माज राजीव गांधी जी भी उसी नीति पर भलकर देश को बचाते हुए चले जा रहे हैं । मैं कुछ मिसालें देना ं चाहता हूं। सैकेंड वर्ल्ड वार के बाद बड़ी ताकतों ने यह सोच लिया था कि हम आपस में नहीं लडेंगे, लोकलाइज वार होना लाहिए । उन्होंने एक मुल्क के दो मुल्क कराये, वियतनाम एक के दो इए, कोरिंगा एक के दो हुए, जर्मनी एक के दो हुए, हिन्दुस्तान एक से दो हिस्सों में बंटा, पाकिस्तान के भी दो हिस्से हुए । उन्होंने छोटे छोटे मुल्कों में लडाइयां गुरू कराई इसलिये कि उनके इवियार विकते रहें ग्रीर वे खतरे से बचते रहें । हमारी जो नानमएलाइंड की नीति हैं उसके जो नतीजे आमे हैं बह में मापके सामने रखना चाहता हूं। महोदया, कोई भी फल देखने से श्राप यह पहचान सकते हैं कि कौन सा पेड़ है उसी तरह से किसी भी नीति के जो नतीजे आते हैं उनसे आप पहचान सकते हैं कि वह जो नीति है वह ग्रच्छी है या बुरी है । हमारा देश झाजाद हमा । आजादी के बाद हमने यह तय किया था कि हम अपने देश में सामाजिक कान्दि करेंगे, गरीबी मिटायेंथे चौर देश में प्रजालंद कायस करेंगे । लेकिन उन लोगों ने जिन्होंने हमें ग्राजाद किया और वे जो मल्कों में कालानी बनाना चाहते ये उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा तब हमने क्या किया 🕹 उस वक्त पंडित नेहरू ने दूरदशिता से काम लिया । उस वक्त हालात इससे ज्यादा खराब थे । कोई भी नीति जब किसी क्रमुल्क की बनती है ते उस मुल्क की जागरफ़ीकल कंडीशंस, उस मुल्क का टेक्निकल नौ-हाऊ, उस मल्क की मैन-पावर, उस मल्क की

ट्रेडीशंस एंड कन्वेंशंस देखी जाती है । हमारा मुल्क जब माजाद हुन्ना उस वन्त हमारी जागरफीकल कडीशंस क्या यीं। एक तरफ चाइना या और दूसरी तरफ पाकिस्तान । चाइना एक गट में था, कम्युनिस्ट ब्लाक में था ग्रीर पाकि-स्तान राइटिस्ट जलाक में थां। धगर हम उस विषत अपने उन दोस्तों, अपने उन नेताओं की बात जो उस वक्त देश में थे, जो कह रहे थे कि नेहरू जी की नीति यलत है, मान लेते और अगर हम उस गट में चले गये होते तो हिन्दू-स्तान को क्या होता ? अगर हम उनकी बात मान लिते तो इस वक्त हम राइ-'टिस्ट गुरु में होते मौर हिन्दुस्तान, पाकिस्तान तथा अमेरिका एक साथ होते ग्रीर चाइना के साथ बार चलता रहता ग्रीर हिन्दुस्तान बेटलफील्ड होता । लेकिन हमने जब हमारा पाकिस्तान के साथ वार हन्ना तो हमने मुस्लिम कन्दीज मरुब कन्द्रीज से शिप रुकवा दिये ग्रीर हमैंने बार्डर पर लडाई रोक दी । क्या यह हमारी नीति की सक्सेस नहीं थी ? जब चीन के साथ लड़ाई हुई तो हमने बार्डर पर लड़ाई रोक दी ग्रौर उसके बाद उसके भाई, उसके दोस्तों को हमने उससे भलग कर दिया । क्या यह आपने महीं देखा ? क्या आप चाहते हैं कि हर्मारा मुल्क लड़ाई में उलझ जाय, हमारा देश एक लड़ाई की मैदान बन जाय ; जरा माप देखें कि कोई भी नीति चाहे वह विदेश नीति हो या घरेलु नीति हो दोनों एक दूसरे की पूरक होती हैं। विदेश नीति क्यों बनती है ? यह इस-लिये बनती है कि हमारे देश का डेवलप-मेंट हो, हमारे देश के ग्रंदर गरीबी मिटे, हमारे देश के ग्रंदर खुशहाली आये. देश में प्रजातंत कायम रहे। यह विदेश नीति जो हमने बनाई है, जो माज चल रही है इसके चलते देश में कितनी तरक्की हुई ? ग्रगर हम उस बक्त सड़ाई में इनवाल्य हो गये होते तो क्या इमारी कोर इंडस्ट्री बन सकती थी, क्या हमारे देश की गरीबी मिट सकती थी, क्या हमारा देश जो श्राज दुनियां के उन मुल्कों में गिना जा रहा है जो तीसरी शक्ति के रूप में उभर रहा है क्या यह हो सकंता था ? एक छोटे खतरे 315

[श्री जगत पाल सिंह]

को ग्रवायड करने के लिए कभी बड़ा बतरा मोल नहीं लिया जाता । सब से ग्रच्छा कमांडर वही होता है जो हर फंट पर लढ़ाई नहीं छेड़ता है । माज हमारे उत्तर प्रेशर किंगेट किंगे जा रहे हैं। क्यों किये जा रहे हैं ? इसका कारण यह है कि हमारी आधिक प्रगति बहत तेजी से हो रही है उसमें कावट आए, विदेशी ताकतें यह चाहती हैं कि इस धाधिक प्रगति को रोकें, इसके लिए कोशिश की जा रही है । जो पैसा हमारी प्रोडक्शन साइड में लगता है वह प्रोडक्शन साइड में न लग कर अनप्रोड-क्टिव साइड में लगे ताकि हमारी प्रोडक्शन ं हो जाएं। यदि हमारी कम प्रोडक्शन कम हो जाएगी तो क्योंकि पापुलेशन ज्योमेटीकल बढ' रही है इससे हमारा नेशनल वेल्य बहत तेजी से नहीं बढ पाएगा और न ही हम अपनी पर केपिटा इनकम बढ़ा पाएंगे । **इ शखि** (हमारे बाईर पर तनाव की स्थिति पदा की जा रही है । आज पाकिस्तान को ग्राम्से दिये जा रहे हैं। यह इसलिए यदि पाकिस्तान को ग्रच्छे भच्छे हथियार मिलेंगे तो हमें भी अपनी डिफेंस के लिए ग्रच्छे मण्छे हथियार खरीदने पडेंगे । इस किसी की एक इंच जमीन नहीं खेब चाहते हैं ग्रोर न हम अपनी जमीन किसी को देना चाहते हैं । लेकिन अगर हमें म्राम्सं खरीदने पड़ेंगे, आर्म्स खरीदेंगे तो हमारा जो पैसा प्रोडक्शन में लग रहा है, जो विकास की तरफ पैसा लग रहा है वह नहीं लग सकेगा । इस तरह से हमारा देश गरीब होगा झौर हमारे देश के मन्दर यदि गरीबी माएगी तो उससे एक खुगी कान्ति होगी जिस कान्ति में इस मुल्कू का क्या होगा यह तो आने वाला धृतहास ही लिख सकता है । मैं माज यही बात कह सकता हूं कि हमारी नीति के नतीजे बहुत ग्रच्छे निकले हैं । मुझे याद है आप क्षमा करेंगे हमारे. विरोधी पक्ष के लोगों ने बहुत सी बातें कहीं हैं। में यह कहना चाहता हं कि उन्हें भी सरकार मिली थी। ग्रादरणीय अटल बिहारी वाजपेयी जी विदेश मन्त्री थे लेकिप उन्होंने भी इस विदेश नीति में

कोई चेंज नहीं किया, एक भी चेंज नहीं किया । जिस वक्त शिमला समझौता हग्रा था उस वक्त वे नारे लगा रहे थे कि श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी ने शिमला समझौता गलत किया है । फिर उसी शिमला समझौते पर बात करने के लिए बे पाकिस्तान भी गये । क्या उन्होंने इस विदेश नीति में कोई चेंज किया ? नहीं किया। में आपके माध्यम से सरकार को बधाई देना चाहता हूं कि हमारी जो विदेश नीति है वह शान्ति की है, प्यार की है, त्याय की है स्रौर हम पूरे मुल्क के ग्रन्दर, पूरे विश्व के ग्रन्दर शान्ति चाहते हैं । हम शान्ति इसलिए चाहते हैं क्योंकि माज दूनियां के मुल्कों में होड़ लगी हुई है । जो श्रमीर मुल्क हैं वह प्रापस में गरीब मल्कों को लड़ाना जाहते हैं। इसका कारण यह है कि यदि गरीब मल्कों में लडाई होती है तो इन देशों की गरीबी कभी नहीं मिट सकती हैं क्योंकि यह मपने माप को लडाई में ही इनवास्व रखेंगे । मैं आपको बधाई देना चाहता हूं। सब समय भी काफी हो चका है और करीब करीब सभी वातें कही जा चकी हैं। मैं ग्रंत में एक बात कह कर प्रपनी बात समाप्त करूंगा। सब से अल्छा कमांडर वही होता है जो हर फ्रांट पर लड़ाई नहीं छेड़ता। आज हमारे चारों तरफ फंट खलते जा रहे हें जिससे हम उलझ जाएं । मेरा इशारा है अपने माननीय विरोधी दलों के सदस्यों की ग्रोर--में यह कहना चाहता ह कि छोटे खतरे को मयायड करने के लिए कभी बडा खतरा मोल नहीं लिया जाता । हमने पिछले चालीस सालों में मुल्कों को लड़ने से रोका है । इसलिए ग्रॉज हम लड़ाई की तरफ विलकुल न सोर्चे और नेगोशियेशन टेबल पर बैठ कर हर तरह का प्रेशर कियेट कर के उन चीजों से निपटा जाए लेकिन छोटे खतरे को अवायड करने के लिए बड़ा खतरा मोल न लें । जितना हमारे साथियों के दिल में तमिल भाइयों के लिए दर है उससे ज्यादा हमारें दिल में है । लेकिन हम नहीं चाहते हैं कि छोटे खतरे के लिए बड़ा खतरा मोल ले कर हम इस देश को बरबाद कर दें लड़ाई में झोंक दें । अगर भाज लड़ाई हो गयी दुनियां

को वह ताकतें चाहती हि कि हिन्दुस्तान बरबाद हो जाए उनको ऐसा मौका न दें जितना ढिलाइ से काम कर सकते है करें। ग्रापने जो समय दिया इसके लिए में ग्रापका ग्राभारी हं।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Hon. Miniser.

THE MINISTER OF ETERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI): Madam Deputy Chairman, as I rise to seek you permission to reply to this great debate on the, working of the External Affairs Ministry, I agi a little conscious of the fact that this is going to K be my first submission before; this august House after taking over a new and more responsible assignmet in the strvice of this House. Therefore, I consider it my bounden duty,. Madam, first to bow reverentially before the unique constitutional, parliamentary rftajesty of this House. I bow before you, Madam, as reprtsenting the Chair' of this majestic House, as a symbol of its 'dignity and authority

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I respect your feelings.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: 1 bow before each and every lion. Member of this House representing, "singly and individually, the magnificent constitutional edifice of this House as its vibrant and living constitutional, entity. May I assure you, Madam, that I will try my best to be at the service of this House and at your service at all*time except when - I have been called upon because I was late by three minutes yester- / to discharge *my* responsibilities towards! this House as the External Affairs Minister in the course of implementation of our foreign policy.

May I also, at this point of time, apologise to the hon. House, to the hon. Members and also to my dear friend, Mr. Gurupadaswamy, who is not present here because I was late by three minutes yesterday, when the debate 'bgan? I tried my best. I rather ran from the other House. We had the Question Hour there. Even at one minute to twelve, there was a question to be answered. I had to be there. As soon as the clock struck 12 and the House took up the $_{ne}xt$ item $_{0}n$ the agenda, I ran from the other House. I c. ould not be here on time and the debate started. It is my duty to express my regrets. It was never my intention to be late. I hope the House will accept my profound regret.

Madam, I am very very grateful to the i hon. Members who have participated in this most illuminating debate in the high-, est traditions of this august House. I am greateful to my old friend and colleague, Mr. Gurupadaswamy, for having elaborated at length the. basic principles of our foreign policy and its historic evolution, As, he was initiating the debate, he quoted Palmerstori and even Machiavelli. When I waslistening to him, I was reminded of Kautilya. Of course, all diplomacy in modern times' is supposed to have emanated from Machiavelli. But We have come a long way from Machiavelli. As he has • quoted Machiavelli, I would also like to quote from Chanakaya Niti i. e. Kautilya's Arth Shastra wherein we find how -centuries ago, thousands of years ago, Chanfeya of Iridia* wrote what should be done by kings and diplomats and what should be done in the field of foreign policy. Let us take sample:

"शलु प्रदेश में अपने स्वामी का संदेश लेकर जाना, शतु राज्य का संदेश लाने के लिए जाना, संधि भाव को बनाए रखन, समय आने पर अपने पराक्रम को दिखाना अधिक से अधिक मित्र बनाना, शतु के कुर गक्ष के मित्रों को फोड़ टेना, शतु के मित्रों को उससे विमुख कर देना, "

These principles of diplomacy were adumbrated. in Kautiliya Niti_r not now but long long ago, centuries and centuries before Machiavelli was born and all the axioms of modern diplomacy were written; Sometimes, even if you want to speak about conflicts and confrontation, dp it in a diplomatic way. That is modern diplomacy. This is not so from our times, but from our age-old tradition, even as reflected in Chanakya Niti.

As my friend and colleague, Shri Gurupadaswamy, has quoted Palmerston, I (would like to quote Pandit Jawaharlal,

319 *Re working of the*

[Shri Narayan Dutt Tiwari],

Nehru when he was addressing the Constituent Assembly. He said very clearly enunciating a., principle regarding foreign policy matters on December 4, 1947 that "whatever we may lay down, the art of conducting the foreign affairs of a country lies in finding out what is most advantageous to the country. "

SHRI JAGESH DESAI (Maharashtra): Quite correct.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI; So, the basics of our foreign policy are well-rooted in the experiences gained through centuries of diplomatic endeavour, of diplomatic parlance, of diplomatic nuances that we have inherited from the world history, from, the cut and thrust of "diplomatic movement round the world that we have seen leading to so many- wars. The policy of non-alignment itself, Madam, has vxnye'd out of our experiences through history. What is nonalignment? One of my friends said that nonalignment is not an objective. May I humbly submit before this august House, may I quote what Jawaharlal Nehru said, when he was the Vice-President of the Interim Government? There was a coalition Government with the Muslim League in the British days. The British Governor-General was here. But even then on September 7. 1046, in his broadcast to the nation. Jawaharlal Nehru said, "We propose as far as possible to keep away from the power politics of groups 'aligned against one another which had ted in the past to. world wars and which may again bring disasters on a vast scale. What was he referring to, Madam? He was referring to the First World War when we had the German-Austrian combine, on the one hand. We know how the War -was de-beared when Archduke Ferdinand was assassinated in Serajevo in Yugoslavia. The First World War was started because of these, powers, the Austro-Hungarian empire and the German empire coming together ag Axis andV just because of this assassination of Archduke Ferdinand, And

"then you see how one sentence wrongly uttered leads to world wars. What did Wilhelm, Kaiser say in 1914? He Said,I regard mv Treaty with England as a . scrap of paper"-just one sentence that it is a scrap/of paper; it is not a treaty, it is a scra of paper. And. England and France took umbrage to it as to why he said that. "It is a treaty, it is a sacro-sanct document, and you are considering it a scrap of paper. " And we saw the . First World War. Then you see how the Czarist Russia, the Austro-Hungarian em-. pire, the Kaiserite empire, the German empire combined on the" one; hand, and the other npn-*Axis powers colnblned on the other and this is how the" First World War starte'd. Then again in the Second World War, we had the Axi# powers—Germany, Italy 'and Japan. This was the Axis, this was the ali-tgnment. This was a power block which put * us through the horrors of the Second World War, and the Allied forces versus the Axis forces. So, it was not only in the present content but it was in'the historical

present content but it was in the historical context that we found that alignment, with power blocs has led to world wars. And, therefore our policy of non-alignment is a positive ojective so that the world can be saved for peace; ^Therefore, Madam, >this august House has blessed this policy of non-alignment, the objective of non-alignment time and again when-⁹, ever the foreign policy has been discussed under the sacred portals of this House. this policy had always has. more or less the unanimous support of this House. If there has been any complaint, it has been regarding the implementation of it but not otherwise.

So, again, today I am very thankful to the hon. Memers for haying more or less unanimously supported the basic. objectives of our foreign policy-non-alignment, disarmament, peace and harmony round ^ the world, conflicts being resolved through negotiations and £eace, anti-colonialism, antiaparthefd and anti-racism. And wherever in the wor^l the forces of economic imperialism¹ and neo-imperia-lism prevail our policy stands against them squarely and forcefully. Such are our foreign policy objectives.

I am thankful to Shri P. N. Sukul for his. presentatiion. I also compliment him for the role he played in the recent meeting at Geneva in the Human Rights Commission where ajinanimoug Resolution was passed depreciating the Human Rights situation in Ceylon, unanimous resolution. And, I© achieve this Mr. Sukul. Mr. Vee-rendra Patil and others' ma'de a great con tribution I compliment- them and here I would also like to compliment my friends, Shri Valampuri John and Shri Gopalsamy for having made a very forceful presentation of their views. I join them in their great anguish because I think what the editorial of the Hindu has said that the GoveTnment must read, the Prime Minister must read the present, danger in Sri Lanka as a high priority problem for India in the region.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Is it a high priority problem or not? (*Interruptions*)

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: Therefore, I am responding to this first. I have mentioned the unanimity in this as our foreign policy objective. I would say that we are* very* deeply concerned and we are in great anguish. We are rightly exercised about the situation in Sri Lanka. The developments there in recent -days are indeed tragic Hon. Members are aware of the grave concern of the Government of India as expressed by the Official spokesman. We have condemned the new escalation of violence as seen in the aerial attacks on Jaffna which have been taking place day after day and are today in their fifth straight day. Hundreds of innocent civilians have been killed. We have unequivocally condemned this. We are equally concerned- about the statement T?y the Prime Minister Shri Premadasa in Sri Lankan Parliament, foreclosing a poli-^ . tical solution and declaring his Government's determination to pursue the military option. Here I would like to refer to the editorial of the Hindu from which my ' esteemed friend Shri quoted ab. initio. What has this Gopalsamy editorial which the Hindu has written said? Here I may assure my friend, Mr. Gopalsamy and Mr. - Valampuri that this is not a problem of Tamil Nadu. This s a problem with which the whole country is concerned about. There is no doubt about it that it is the problem of India as a whole an"d we are dealing with it as such.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY; Go. in action and do not say words only. These words

will not solve the problem. How many times your predecessors have also express^ ed this concern? ^(*Interruptions*). This is not going to. solve the problem. You are not hefe to command me. I am talking to the Minister. Who are you to command me? Mr. Minister, I have got great respect for you. Mr. Minister, you have in-" jherited the burden of blunders committed by Shri Rajiv Gandhi and your predecessors..

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI. If I may be allowe4 to continue, I would be more thankful because I listened patiently to the views of Hon. Member whea (be spoke. -Therefore, I would say, let Us stand as a nation behind this policy. A policy which has been evolved not as a partisan policy but a policy of consensus and here I mention the Hindu editorial. I have got the Hindu paper of April 25, 1987. What does it say. "They must honestly take stock of the results of 1985-87 policy. and come with a serous modus operandi for bringing to an end the senseless hostilities while" continuing to ruTe out any truck with either the Eelam deman'd or any mad-7. 00 P. M. cap ideas of finding anv kind of military solution to the Sri Lankan ethnic conflict. Above all, Indian policy-makers must realise that this nation has a deep strategic and democratic interest in finding a- lasting solution to the Sri Lankan crisis. "

SHRI V- GOPALSAMY: You haw failed in that. You have not pursued. That is what the Editorial says.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: What I am saying is that we are adhering to the basic principles of negotiations that have been adumbrated in this editorial of Hindu, and we will continue to adhere to it. I would once again take this occasion to call upon the Government of Sri Lanka that whatever Mr. Premadasa might have said, they should come to the negotiation table; let. both the sides come to the

- negotiation table; let. both the sides come to the negotiation table with December 19^1
- . proposals as the framework. Let all the "blockades of removed. There i_s no question on that Let the economic blockaHe of Jaffna and the peninsula be removed immediately and let both sides negotiate

323 *Re working of the*

[Sfari Narayan Datt Tiwari]'

within the Sri Lankan constitution—as he *said*— within the established framework.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: And bombing of Jaffna should stop immediately.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: Yes, without that, what can be done? BOmbing has to be stopped immediately-.. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Mr. Minister, Will you yield for a minute. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI MIRZA IRSHADBAIG: He should sit down. (Interruptions).

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN; Who are you? Are you not a dictator? I am not going to si' down... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI MIRZA IRSHADBAIG: But the Minister is replying... (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Listen to the Minister. Everybody listen to him. It *sy* your duty to listen to him quietly. But if you go on interrupting... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: I requested the Minister to yield for a minute and he has yielded.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You cannot request. Even if the Minister yields, no Member can speak unless the Chair permits. This is the rule.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Then I seek your permission., (*Interruptions*).

SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN: What is h[©] rule? (*Interruptions*). Who i_s he to say that? He should sit down. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Everybody please sit down. There should not b on noiso in the House. Please sit down. Yoa cannot go on >with this type *at.* noise. Please sit down now; The Minister will not yield.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: May I make a request because the Minister is yielding?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No;, pleas© sit down. You had full chance toy say whatever you wanted to say. You got enough time. You had a full chance I gave you special chance and you said what, you wanted to say. And now you are interrupting. This is not fair.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:;, I want clarification; Minister has yielded.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; No, ho has not. You please sit down and let him continue.

SHRI V GOPALSAMY: Madam, ha has yielded.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, ho has not.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Because ho made a reference to the statement of Mr. Premdasa....

- THE (DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; If you, Say anything, it will not go on record You are not far. (*Interruptions*) You were given full time. (*Interruptions*). Mr. Gopalsay: you had absolutely no time, but you spoke for 27 minutes. Each and everything said by you was listened to in complete silence. Everybody listened to you. But now you do not want to hear the reply of the hon. Minister. You do not want to listen to him. (*Interrupton*).

SHRI V GOPALSAMY: Madam, I am sorry to interrupt. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH: Madam, we want to hear the Minister. (Interruption),

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Madam, I only interrupted because the hori. Minister made a reference to the statement of the Prime lvlinister of Sri Lanka. (*Interrup tions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; All right: I will allow you but only on th» condition that you will not speak for more than two minutes and you will not again interrupt. *(Interruptions).* Please listen to me first. When I am standing, yo cannot go on speaking like this. You can now raise your points but afterwards you should not interrupt. Now, when I am permitting you, you are not speaking. *(Interruptions')* It does not matter whether the Minister has yielded or not. You are given one more chance to speak now.

SHR1 V. GOPALSAMY; Madam, while the hon. Minister referred to the statement of Mr. Premadasa, he said 'whatever Mr Premdasa might have said'. I sats the statement of Mr. Premadasa is, nothing but a slap on the^ face of India. This is not a statement to be ignored. Therefore, may I know from the hon. -Minister whether we have resumed our good offices? Sometime. back, you said that you are not going to resume your good offices. Now, I would like to know , whether you have resumed your good offices I would also like to know whether you pressurised the militants in Bangalore, as it has been reported in 'The Hindu', to agree to the proposals to come to the negotiating table. I would like to know whether pressure was exerted on the militants in Bangalore or not. I want a specific answer.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Anything more you want to ask?

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: I *do not know what he is going to say.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; There cannot be a debate between you and the Minister.. If you want to ask anything more, you have my permission to ask.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: If. you do not permit me, I will not get up. I strictly obey your orders.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am giving you more time to ask anything more you want to ask.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TTWARI: ^did, not follow what was Mr. Gopalsamy's <juestion regarding Bangalore. SHRI V. GOPALSAMY; It has ben*

reported in "The Hindu' that i_n Bangalore, the militants, particularly, the LTTE leaders, were forced by the Government to come to the negotiating table. It wn said that otherwise the Sri Lankan Gov. ernment was threatening that thousands *ot* innocent Tamils will be killed and the Seventh Fleet may enter. They were told that this was the situation and that, therefore, they should come to the negotiating table. This was the pressure exerted on the militants. This has been reported in "The Hindu'. I would like to know whether it is a fact or not.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: I do not have any such information. Madam, in the field of diplomacy, even offensive statements are taken in the overall context. As Foreign Minister of India, as External Affairs Minister of Jndia, I have also to take into account the state- ment of President Jayewardene published in... the Indian Press today. I have to read the statement of President Jayewardene along with the statement of the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka, Mr. Premadasa yesterday. I would say that in diplomatic parlance, I must interpret the statement made by President Jaye-warden© today declaring himself pro-peace pro-negotiations. Presi-sident Jayewardene being the Head of the State, it is and it should be the authoritative statement on behalf of the Sri Lankan Government. This is the stand T have to take as Foreign Minister.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Is it a trustworthy statement?

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TTWARI; I would say that if any statement was giver* yesterday, this has been retracted today and now the latest statement made by President Jayewardene should be deemed by me, as Foreign Minister as Sri Lankan policy I am not binding you but. a_s Foreign Minister I have to say that this statement is more authentic. - Therefore, I say that the Government of India urges all parties to the ethnic conflict to exercise maximum restraint. We call on-them to create conditions which would enable early resumption of a dialogue for negotiating a political settlement which would meet the legitimate aspirations of the Tamil minority within the framework

327 Re working of the

[Shri Narayan Datt TiwariJ Of the unity and territorial integrity of Sri Lanka, This is our stand and we will continue to pursue this objective in the coming days. And I may assure you, Madam, and through you the hon. House, that our Prirae Minister himself has been devoting hours and hours, everyday even days at length irrespective of the engagements that he might be having, to the solution for this problem. I have been a witness myself. Only day before yesterday, yesterday, three days back, four days back, even some Tamil leaders were invited to come to Delhi and our point of view has been forcefully made clear to them,

I call upon my esteemed leaders of public opinion of all politcal parties in Tamil Nadu, in our country, wherever they might be. let us pool our talent together so that w_e could* show to the world that we are one as' regards our objective to bring neace to Sri Lanka and to stop this holocaust, this violence against innocent Civilians, which is being brought there.

Madam, there would be ihany more opportunities to discuss this problem informally. In the near future, Ihope we will have the time to have informal consultations. The Prime Minister himself has said that not all the matters being letted about can be discussed there. These are delicate things an'd, therefore, we can informally discuss such matters outside the House. If, is not necessary that we discuss all details here and I would be very thankful for the cooperation of the hon. Members.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Madam, may I s. eV one clarification? What was conveyed by our hon. Prime Minister to the President of Sri Lanka over telephone because it has appeared in the press?

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI; As far as my information goes there was no ffch talk yesterday or day before yester-<Jay. • The talk, might have been held earlier, I do not know, but there was no such specific talk on telephone with President Jayewardene. (Interruptions) If it is found" necessary, of course the Prime Minister can talk to the President. I know, if the initifitive is required_> it can be done any time. Madam, Shri Gurupadaswamy had mentioned to the fact that bipolar system had emerged at the end of the Second World \ War and that bi-poiar system has now become multi-polar and that India has played an increasing role in this process in view of Us defensive capability, continental size, "population and resources. I agree that now the world scene is more and more becoming multi-polar. Here "Mr. Gurupadaswamy mentioned to the fact that India Baa i now emerged as a middle world. We have never power in tha -] used this Of term. I course, Mr. Gurupadaswamy, - in is assessment if he considers that there are middle powers in entiled the world, he is this to opinion. I his information may for mention here that Nigeria has actually proposed that there should)be a concert of middle powers. India' has not accepted that we should call ourselves as, 'middle powers'. It will be difficult to define 'middle powers' but of course. i they had called a meeting on this that we should have another organisation of concert of middle powers. We have said that it is difficult, for countries to proclaim themselves 'middle powers', that we shall be middle power* and what not. The idea is already there. But we do not want ourselves tc be considered in terms of a super power ot middle power or medium power cr small • power. We are there in our own right as a great country with a historic past. We do not want to boast regarding our strength. But we want to play our full part to bring about a peaceful world. And it is just because of this that you find the historic New Delhi Declaration • on the anvil. I things if we go through the New Delhi Declaration between. General Secretary Gorbachev and our Prime^ Minister Rajiv Gandhi --- and I would call upon the Members who have so beautifully mentioned the various aspects of our foreign policy to go through it-^-1 have myself. read this Declaration thrice' four times,, ten times and every time I read it. T find that th1§ is going to be the testament of human destiny in the coming decades, because, it brings forth befofe the world, how coexistence can become a reality, how nonviolence can be the creed of the world as a societaj structurewithin all countries. I

think this is amalgam of the ideas of Mar^{*} and Gandhiji, of all ideologues and leading theorists of the world led by Marx and Gandhi, We find this quintessential di-mentio_n of this ideological cohesion reflect-' ed in this New Delhi Declaration. And therefore T would say, let us ourselves try to understand the implications of this Declaration and how we are going to trans-> late this Declaration into practice through our foreign policy. That is the challenge

Here I would like to come to the question as to how we should consider our relations with our neighbours in this eon-text. It is so. difficult to discuss our relations with Pakistan in a very short frame of time. You know; Madam, that India is committed to developing cordial relations and good neighbourly relations' with Pakistan in accordance with the letter and spirit of the Simla Agree-- ment We have recently taken a number of initiatives to promote the process of normalisation with Pakistan, including the establishment of a Joint Economic Com-, mission. We have offered a; Treaty of Peace, Friendship and Cooperation. _, W[©] have made various proposals to ease problems-between-the two countries. We have suggested the possibility of reopening the Khokrapar-Manubao railway route. We have proposed non-'discriminatory trade relations, exchanging newspapers and periodicals, proposal for non-attack on each other's nuclear installations and the proposal for Secretary level meeting for defusing tensions on the borders. I have listed some . of the major proposals that have ' been mad[©]. When President Zia-ul-Haq was, recently here to witness the cricket match, then also our Prime Minister offered to him, let the two Sub Commissions that have not met recently, meet; let our economic and trade relations be strengthened. Our Prime Minister also offered that I as a humble servant of "the foreign policy of this country could then go to Pakistan for a joint meeting of the Economic Commission. Sa the offer has been made. Now what we have been seeing in the last few months is that Pakistan has been, as far as their theoretical stance goes. talking about peace nad negotiations* and taking similar

stances and postures. Buts in practice, we do not find a practical implementation of Therefore, what we what they say. would emphatically suggest to Pakistan is, let these Sub-Commissions, meet, let us try to normayze relations within the framework of the Simla Agreement. What is the-hurdle? In this context we have again suggested that our Foreign Secretary could visit Pakistan, and we also look forward p the ministerial level meetings for SAARC purposes here, next month. We. have convened a meeting df the SAARC Ministerial Council here and during that meeting also we will have the opportunity to informally " discuss our bilateral relations. I look forward to meeting His Excellency Mr. Yakub Khan, the Foreign Minister of Pakistan and then we can also discuss, informally and formally, all the bilateral matters. So. we are for negotiations with Pakistan the basis of the Simla Agreement. Let en Pakistan com to the negotiating table and discuss all the proposals which are already lying on the table, rather', than embark on their current programme of massive acquisition of sophisticated weaponry paid for by aid from USA:

In this context, I must say that Pakistan's nuclear weapons programme is a matter of. grave concern to us, since it affects our security environment. We have repeatedly made it clear that acquisition of nuclear weapons by Pakistan is a development which we cannot afford to ignore and which requires us to take all necessary measures for our own national security; I may repeat -requires Us to take all necessary measures for our own national security. Our objective is a durable' structure of peace . in the sub-continent. We feel that fear and mistrust between our two countries must be removed and the resources that we have in each of our countries should be used fot the development of cur people rather than for the acquisition of arms.

Madam, as regards, China, you are very well aware—and this House knows—that we have had seven rounds of talks regarding our border issue. But while we have been making relevant proposals to China, China has not been so forthcoming in its proposals, with specific detailed, proposals regarding our border. Now we look forward *to the eighth round of

331 *Re. working of the* [RAJYA. SA SHRI NARAYAN DATP TIWARI: I

talks and I may assure this House and all those Members who talked of our negotiations with China, that we are prepared to negotiate with China, we are prepared for holding the eighth round of talks. The Chinese Vice Foreign Minister, Mr. Zhang Zhui Zhung, has recently announced that the eighth round of talks should be held and that they will propose a date for the talks after the summer. Let me assure this House that, as announced by the Vice Foreign Minister, we. are awaiting their proposals regarding the date for discussions and we are ready for talks. We hope that China will reciprocate

our desire to maintain peace and tranquillity along the entire border. In this context, the activities of the Chinese in the Sumdurong Chu Valley have not been helpful, nor can we accept their state-. ments regarding the grant of statehood to Arunachal Pradesh. I may also mention here that the Chinese statement that we are massing our troops in Arunachal Pradesh of that we are conducting military exercises or that we have been nibbling at their borders and that we have also entered into their territories, is wrong. They say that our saying that they have intruded in the Sumdurong Chu valley is wrong We say that their statement that we are amassing troops, preparing our position is wrong. We also say that they are wrong in *heir accusations that we are amassing troops, we are preparing ourselves and we have intruded into their territory. That is also wrong. They say that we are wrong. Let us maintain the status quo

there, and let us talk, of peace That is our stand.

SHRI PRAMOD M AH A J AN: By *status quo* are you accepting the helipads there? Let him explain. I am not asking a pirty question. He has iust said that h; was accepting the *status quo*.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: Mr. Jvfahajan, it seems, is a budding Parliamentarian, and I hope he will also prove himself to be a budding diplomat, a diplomat politician. Not everything is said. It- is such a delicate matter that I cannot say that we have accepted the helipads.

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Sir, you have just said that we have accepted the

statu» quo position. That is why I am j asking what you mean by *status quo*. U can be misinterpreted that you ar_e accepting the helipads and the construction in the Somdurong valley, I want you to clarify your and our internal position. It is necessary for diplomacy. » As a good diplomat yo9 should not accept the *statu* quo*.

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI; Yes, as a diplomat I should not say about The status quo. But what I mean to say is status quo ant. I was going to elaborate. What I am saying is status quo ante along the McMahon. Of course, we will discuss that round the table, because they are also saying that we are also having helipads. If you say that status quo has to remain, we have to accept their helipads. They have also been accusing Us that we have intruded into their territory. That means that they accept that also. This does not mean that we accept because they have' come out with names. These names have also been published in the 'Indian press'. So, we cannot accept all this, that we have intruded into their territory. I think, yet it not be said that, they have occupied our territory. They have also said that we have occupied their territory. So, what I would say is

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Have they or not? It is a simple question. We, believe that we have not. But have- they or not?

SHRI NARAYAN DATT' TIWARI: Yes, they have or not? A very good Maha-jan never says what the interest rate was and what was the principal. Sometimes they keep secret.

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN; It is not a question of secrecy, Sir. I think, Chinese have occupied our territory. You must come out. What is the secrecy ?

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: I

do not want to go into the military as-^N pects of this question. The defences aspect, I. leave it to n^y colleague, the hon. Defence Minister, but what I would say. is that as far as our diplomatic part of it is there, I would say that we are prepared for negotiations. We have had seven rounds of talks, "and now we*are prepared for the eighth round of talks. SHR1 V GOPALSAMY; Have they intruded or not?

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI; I

may also take note here of the discussion that Mr. Namboodripad held with Mr. Deng Xiao Ping, the Chinse leader ih which Mr. Deng Xiao Ping confirmed that the Sino-Indian border issue should be settled rationally in a spirit of mutual understanding and mutual accommodation. We look forward to the Chines side putting forward its proposals at the eighth round . of talles, and we shall put forward our proposals also. We' believe that the five principles of peaceful coexistence should continue to guide the relations between our two countries and hope that the persisting differences in regard to the border can be resolved through peaceful negotiations.

Meanwhile, we have taken note of thefact that it has been possible to makesome progress in our relations with China,marked by exchanges of de-legations in• various fields.

Several hon. Members have touched upon ou_r relations with Bangladesh. We attach very great importance to these relations with that country which is not only our close neighbour but whose emergence on the International stage was supported by us at a time of great crisis for oiir own country in 1971.

The outstanding issues between the two countries referred to by many Members, are not aft unusual feature of relations ! betwen two neighbours with a long, com-mon border and shared history. Issues of sharing water resources, the influx, of Chakma refugees into Tripura and boundary demarcation were discussed by m» during my visit to Dhaka in Jan. 1986. These have again been take,, up during the on-going meeting between our two Foreign Secretaries over the last two days. A? I speak here, I may inform the hon. House that iour Foreign Secretary, Mr. Menon, is now-adays in Bangladesh exactly to talk our matters of vital interest because of thi? importance that we give to these matters. In today's newspapers we have seen that the two Foreign Secretaries have agreed in principle that these Chakma tribals who have come into Tripura should so back to Bangladesh. At

present the number of Chakma refugee* who have come in from Bangladesh U almost 50 thousand. Here, we are faced with a human problem. Since these tri-bals have fled from Bangladesh out f fear for their safety and security, it has been difficult for our border agencies to forcibly turn them away. However, it should he noted that the problem of Chakmas is not really a bilateral problem between India and Bangladesh, but it is essentially an internal problem of Bangladesh. These are Bangladesh citizens who are living temporarily in refugee camps in Tripurfll They will have to return to Bangladesh and their early return has to be planned for. We hope that the Government' to Bangladesh will create conditions at the earliest so that these Chakma refugees can go back to Bangladesh.

W© greatly value our relations with Nepal There. was a reference by son» hon. Members that a work permit system has been introduced in Nepal. Specially Mr. Chaturanan Mishrahe is not present here now-has mentioned about this. We enquired about this and we were told this was rather an enforcement of the factory or labour laws in the Kathmandu Valley and it applies to alf workers irrespective of the fac. t whether they are of Indian ofl* gin or of Tibetan origin or of any other foreign origin or even the Nepali labourers. But we have asked for. more information regarding this. And if there fc anything detrimental to the interests of the Indian workers, the Indian citfcens tnete, we will take up the matter. The Hon» will be happy to know that we have re* cently decided to have a Joint Economfc Commission with Nepal. This will be a big step forward so that we can improve our economic and trade relations wife-Nepal in a proper perspective. Mr. Chaturanan Mishra referred to the problem of rjyers that flow from Nepal into India, the problem of huge floods, the prob'em of soil erosion and soil coming to India and the necessity of having a planned. response* and a planned. initiative to meet this flooB problem, to stop soil erosion and soil coming into India and all the periinent problems and also for planning power and irrJ- -gation projects, it is not only for thU pose that we have proposed to set up thfs

333

[Shri Noiayac Etott TiwariJ

economic commission so that we can dis cuss with Nepal all these. bilateral matters It is all the more necessary because of the geographic dispensation that we have 1, c have good relations with Nepal so that in fflie long-term and in the medium term we could plan such projects as has been done on the Gandhak project in Bihar and Uttar Pradesh. We hope that Nepal will be forthcoming in this respect and we ab. aH' find ways and means both the coun ties, so that in \he fufure we can further develop our historic and geographic rela tionship in a more meaningful manner, more purposeful and constructive manner. At this point I might refer to the establish ment of SAARC headquarters in Kath-Kathmandu mandu. Now, is. the central place of SAARC activities. the headquarters, being located there. While our friends have talked about our relations with neighbours^ we have also taken into account the' fact that We have a very good neighbourly relationship as far as multilateral relations are concerned and SAARC is the mainfesta-lion. For the last two years, we have had tw<j summit meetings which is well-known to the Members. This SAARC organisation is a recent phenomenon and is going to play a very great role in improving our relations in the future. Madam. I very welj remember that. when I had the occasion ^ lay 'a statement on the Table of. the House regarding SAARC summit at Bangalore, this House and leader?, of various Parliamentary groups were cood enough to support unanimously this SAARC idea. We hope that now in the coming jiwars the SAARC organisation will consider itself H subjects which have already. been taken up with 176 items on the anvil pertaining to these 11 subjects. As I said earlier, the next SAARC ministerial meet-fag, that is, meeting of the Foreign Ministers of 7 States is going to be held at Delhi to discuss further agenda of items and also tnonitoring the programme, that we

had accepted at Bangalore and that is also *on* the anvil and to prepare for the summit meeting of SAARC countries at Katn-atandu 4ater this year either i\$ September or October.

There was also a very important reference made, a very appropriate reference made to the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace. ' This is a very hon. Members will important subject as know 'hat this, decision was taken by the United Nations General Assembly to have Indian Ocean as a zone of peace. this committee has been Now, a set up to take preparatory action on the declaration of Indian Ocean as a zone of peace. The first meeting of the convening committee has taken place in March this year and the next meeting will take place in Iuly and it is hoped that if this preparatory committee is able to agree on an agenda, then this conference can take place sometime next year. But India is-of the firm opinion that this conference • must be attended by the big maritime powers, the super-powers because until and unless super-powers attend this meet-ing) it will not be successful and the. objective of the conference will not be achieved. Therefore, we have made it clear in this committee and outside at , U. N. forums appropriate forums that superand at other powers must attend this meeting.

With the United States we have sought to enhance the existing relations in the field of commerce, scientific cooperation and transfer of technology and also build up the considerable fund of goodwill that exists between the people of the United States and our own people. Here I would like to mention that in" respect of different perceptions "with United States in so many matters, it is necessary for *u*»to • carry on a diplomatic dialogue with^the United States. The rudimentary principle, of diplomacy is that we have to talk on matters of mutual interest not only with those who agree with us but even with uioae who disagree with us. B, ut soma, times, we have to talk more with those who disagree with the rudimentary principle of diplomacy and it is because of this, vre have tried to carry on a conti-mious dialogue with the United States ana ft is because of this that my distinguished colleague, Shri Natwar Singh -went to the United States. Now, it is not only the U.S. Government that matters under the Constitution. The U.S. Government to

ttere but also- the United States Congress, the Senate, the House of Representaives, the Senate Committees, the House Com-

mittees, then representatives of the U. S. public opinion and the United States Press, these are all. factors which determine the United States policy. So, we have to have dialogue with the Committees, with the Congress leaders, with the Senators. Madam, I think, most of the Members have met Congressmen here also in New Delhi whenever they visited us. They have visited our Parliament. They have seen the functioning of our Parliament. We have met them for-

mally and informa\Jy ... Parliament delegations have been exchanged and we know, in the United States Congress, there is a considerable number of Senators and Congressmen who have taken a / positive stand for peace. They have supported India's case partly or rather electively and we have to meet them, we have to keep a constant dialogue with them, with the editors of the press of United States, with the public opinion of the United States and also the Government of United States. Of course, according to the Constitution, the Presidency is the most determining factor there. We have to keep a dialogue with them and it has been in this context that I have invited to go to United States to carry been on this dialogue with the -Secretary of State Mr, Schultz and of course, with other leaders of the United States, including possibly President Reagan'so that we can tell as to what are the implications of the jpolicy of United States of arming Pakis-,. tan. Then, we have to support the disarmament initiative. For example, we fully support the initiatives taken by, General Secretary, Gorbachev in the. field of disarmament. We have to tell all the world leaders about the Sixth-Nation initiative taken by Five' Continents. We have to carry the message of this Six-Nation Five-continent to the United States leadership. initiative "We Have to convey our full support. Well, it is a verv encouraging move that when I Btyself heard on the television that even Fretident Reagan said, there might be Motoric agreement possible between hint

and General Secretary Gorbachev regarding nuclear disarmament, regarding • medium range missiles and short • range missiles. We welcome that initiative taken by General Secretary Gorbachov and Soviet Foreign Minister Shevanadze by offering "even a new proposal in the field of short range missiles which manv countries in Europe wanted and even in the fieltt of conventional disarmament, i have a latest report with me in which I find that Victor Karpov, the Director, Arms Control for the Soviet Foreign Ministry said in an interview on American Television that Moscow is ready to begin negotiations to redress any imbalance in conventional forces in Europe, We were told that many NATO countries including France were telling that if nuclear missiles, short-range missiles or medium-range missiles, were withdrawn from Europe, what would happen was that there would be a conventional, arms imbalance in Europe. Now, we find that Moscow is seeking to allay even fears regarding the imbalances in conventional armaments. Now what else is required? We fully, support the Soviet initiative in •*this*. It shows that General Secretary Gorbachev ' is fully "committed to the New Delhi Declaration signed here and we fully support this initiative and, therefore, we would also like to 'confirm this support and convey this support to the US leadership and we hope that in the coming days and in the coming months, this first major disarmament move after Reykjavik will come to a success and we shall have a major disarmament reality in the world. We fully support this land this is in accordance with our foreign policy, -objective. With the Soviet Union and the Bast European countries we: have built up the edifice of friendship in a very stable manner; We have consolidated our friendship and I may -inform the honourable House that we are raking steps to implement the decision between our leader. Mr. Rajiv Gandhi and Mr. Gorbachev that we will, in the Next five years, increase our trade and commerce by more than two and a half times. It is a very difficult target to achieve, in fiveyears to have trade and commerce increased by two and a half times, up to a turnover of more than

[Shri Narayan Datt Tiwari]

Rs. 12, 000 crores. But we are trying pur level best in this context. The Deputy Prime Minister of the Soviet Union Mr. Kamentsev was here a few days back and for three days we sat together and discussed all the modalities and we have formulated a coordinated programme regarding this and I hope to go to Moscow'in June to have a full-fledged meeting of the Indo-Soviet Joint Economic Commission and then we will adumbrate, we wiil concretise, our proposals as to how we can achieve this trade and commerce increased by two and a half times. We are' also going to strengthen our relations with COMECON as, such. We have already good relations with the EEC, that is, the European Economic Community 'and we want to establish similar relations with COMECON and we will send а delegation to Moscow to discuss with COMECON as an organisation on what we can do organisationally with COMECON. With the other socialist countries, that is, Eastern Europe, we are trying to have increased trade and commerce. I visited Poland and Czechoslovakia very recently and there we also discussed measures and many other delegations have gone at the, official level, at the. ministerial level, and we look forward to closer economic relations with the East European countries. With regard to the West European countries, we have already good relations with France.

The French Foreign Minister, Mr-Raymond, was here. As a _ matter of fact, France has been the first country to declare a donation to the AFRICA Fund, ' the first Western country, and Mr. Raymond was good enough to" announce . a twenty million franc donation to the AFRICA Fund. And, Madnm, we hope that our bilateral relations with France will improve in the years to come. With the other West European countries, with West" Germany, with Italy, with Holland, with Belgium and with the other countries who are members of the EEC, we hope that we will have sound economic agreements. Regarding our relationship with frfnt-Hne States in Africa we have

active. My been very dear colleague, Mr. Faleiro, is sitting beside me. He has spent months together in visiting the remote corners of Africa to establish good relations with all the African countries, to plan a joint action, peaceful action. against apartheid. From the jungles of Mozambique he has been *o the moun tains of Tunisia, from Siam to Zimbabwe, from Zaire and Male to Kenya. He has talked to the African leaders of all the different shades of public opinion hi africa. And this has been mandated bv our Prime Minister that he could as " matter of policy discuss with African leaders as to what we can do together for development in countries in Africa and what we can do along with the front line States to avoid, apartheid. Ihe Harare Summit was a resounding success, and I am very happy to report to this august House that we have received а pledge of around 97 million dollars to the Africa Fund., And our 'Special Envoy, Mr. Krishnan, has been going round, to the developed countries also to ask for contributions on behalf of the Africa Fund. He has been to the Scandanavian countries. He has been to the United Kingdom. He has the met E. E. C. leaders, and we hope that" the Africa Fund will be able to subscribe to its objectives.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: What about the African National Congress-A. N. C?

SHRI NARAYAN DATT TIWARI: My esteemed colleague, Mr. Hari Prasad Sharma, has.

SHRI DEBA PRASAD! RAY; Can I make a submission?

SHRI KALPNATH RAI: No interruptions.

SHRI DEBA PRASAD RAY: I believe I am saner than you. My point is that just a few minutes back you have said that our relationship with France has improved and it is expected that it will be more friendly in the days to come. My only submission before your good-self is that while dealing with France we niust take into consideration the role that is being played" by France in the Pacific* region, the policy that is being pursued by France in the matter of having nuclear tests in the Pacific zone,. in the matter of persecuting smaller countries like Caledonia, Polinasia and other small countries which have been subjugated by France, in the matter of destroying Greenpease Ship which has taken place very recently in the Pacific region. So this role of France should alsa. not be Jorgotten while dealing with this country. This is my humble submission.

SHRINARAYAN DATT TIWARI:

While I am thankful to the hon. Member 'for this, we have already taken up this matter with France, and I have on my agenda of discussions with the French Foreign Minister these two items. Now, already our point of view is very well known to France. I also take note of it for future also.

Now, Madam, Mr. Chaturvedi, gave a very important suggestion regarding the. Asian Relations Conference. As the hon. Members are aware, the first Asian Relations Conference was held in March 1947 in New Delhi. So this year marks the 40th» anniversary of that conference. Mr. Chaturvedi made a suggestion that a Conference should be held this year to. commemorate the 40th anniversary. We welcome this idea. The Government of India has already considered this. Since the 1947 conference was held under nongovernmental auspices, it would only be appropriate that this commemorative conference should also be a non-governmental effort., I understand that several nongovernmental organisations of India are already on the job. The India international Centre is also taking an active interest in coordinating all such organisational efforts. A conference is proposed to be held beginning 2nd October. I am , happy to announce that this conference to be organised by a jiongovernmental organisation in India will be inaugurated by our Prime Minister on October 2, 1987. So, we have accepted this idea. But as it is, it has to be, a non-governmental effort. Efforts are already, on. The idea is already on the anvil. This commemorative conference is expected to be

inaugurated by the Prime Minister on 2nd. October so' that it coincides with Mahatama Gandhi's birthday. The detailed plans for holding this commemorative conference will be announced by the organisation concerned. They have to coordinate their efforts with other countries and organisations. Therefore, it will take some time. But the date of its inauguration has been decided and it is 2nd October.

Hon. Shri Raoof Valiullah has suggested the formation of NAM bank, the bank of Non-Aligned Movement, in the spirit of South-South cooperation. I have mentioned here that according to the decision taken at Harare, a ministerial level meeting is "going to be held in Pyongyang, North Korea, specifically on South-South cooperation and we are looking forward to that. AH. the members of NAM who will be attending this Pyongyang conference, will be coming for-wasd with specific suggestions. In this regard, the hon. also recall that the Group of Members will includes practically all 77 which the members of NAM as well as other developing countries, have been discussing the formation of a South-South bank. There have been several meetings to discuss the formation of a South-South bank and the matter is being pursued in that forum. As I have mentioned^ practically all the NAM members are members of the Group of 77 also. We need not duplicate this effort by suggesting a NAM because it is the. same effort and bank more or less the same membership. But the idea is very sound. But it is so difficult to give it a realistic and practical shape and to implement it. Therefore let us see what is achieved by this Group of 77.

Now, there was a suggestion by Mr. H. P. Sharma regarding our relations with Bhutan. I may assure him that our relations with Bhutan are excellent. He has mentioned about some interview. I would request him not to give so much importance *to* this interview because w\$ know definitely that Bhutan is one of our best friends. We ar_e fully assured that they will continue to adhere to the special relationship that we have witfi

[Shri Narayan Datt Tiwari

Bhutan. Traditionally, we have close and warm relations and we continue to consult each other on all aspects of our relations and things of mutual concern.

Hon. Mr. Anand Sharma has referred to the proposed aid cut by the United States. I may clarify that this is only one measure by one committee of the U.S. Congress. It has yet tq go before the full House and the full Senate. The US Administration has stated publicly and through its Ambassador in New Delhi that they will work towards getting the cut restored. As we know, the US Congressional procedures are « very complex and, "therefore, it is necessary for u_s to discuss this only when a final decision has been taken. I have referred to the suggestion made by Mr. Gurugada-swamy about the conference on demilitarisation of ffte Indian Ocean. I have already dealt with Pakistan. Now, I would like to mention about the remarks made by, the hon. Member, Mr. Curupadaswamy, regarding our Ministry that either they are pro-kussian or pro-US but there is nothing pro-Indian. I think he has rather captured this idea from¹ some publication. I do not know because I do not understand. I cannot believe that this could be a statement of Mr. Gurupadaswamy because if we have to characterise our Ministry in. that way, our officials are hundred per cent pro-Indian, and if they are pro-Soviet Union or pro any other country, it is only because they are pro-Indian and nothing else. We are very proud of our Foreign Ministry, our Foreign Ministry officials. If you go round and survey the world foreign offices, the high respect and regard which Indian Foreign Service our commands round the world, I think, it is one of the best foreign Services in the world. We can be proud Of that. And their diplomatic and •cumen, their experience their teommand of diplomatic nuances wherever iffiey have gone have left their indelible mark, on * toy diplomatic meeting or in-J meeting and gathering. So, I

think, we should all agree not to demoralise by any means the functioning ol our Missions. There may have beei individual cases. I do not dispute that, Mr. Gurupadaswamy mentioned that oui visitors are not very well treated in many Missions.

AN HON. MEMBER; He is not here.

SHRI NARAYAN ' DATT TIWARI: He is not here. But whatever he said must be explained because it must be countered. And then, Madam, I have myself visited many Missions. We are trying our level best so that in all the Missions all the visitors can get courtesy and respect that they get the reasonably in any Mission, and they are the recepients of such a courteous behaviour. So, we are trying for that. Many of our Missions have taken special measures for that. And I may assure the hon. House " that we will take all other, measures that are necessary in this regard. I only require suggestions 'for particular Missions. ' Funds are a constraint, in some places. There are so many difficulties as far as new Missions go. But we will try our level best so that we can effectively make, our Missions a better service-oriented organisation.

Regarding publicity also, there was а suggestion that our publicity efforts are not very successful'because of paucity of funds. I agree, we would like to have more funds for publicity purposes. But till the time we get more^funds, we are streamlining our publicity efforts. I have directed all the Missions to have a pub licity committee in each Mission headed by the Ambassador or the Head of the Mission himself so that there could be a publicity policy for each country, that what type of publicity is needed for that particular country in that particular re gion, and what particular language. In What particular manner, and targetted to what particular group. So, we have now asked all our Missions to have a publicity committee ...

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: Madam Deputy Chairman, the Minister said about publicity. (*Interruptions*) I would like to know from the Minister whether this publicity includes the publication of Indian maps also showing the boundaries of India. The Minister has *t* said abput publicity. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether this publicity also includes publication of maps, Indian maps. If it is so, nearly 33 maps have been published showing wrong boundaries of India. Even the State of Jammu and Kashmir has been shown as part of Pakistan and even Aksai Chin is depicted in the latest Soviet World Atlag as. part of China. So, - there are so many such maps in the world. Has the Government published corrected maps and distributed them to all the missions?

TIWARIf SHRI NARAYAN DATT Madam, I think that my dear friend, Mr. Satyanarayan Reddy has brought in an entirely new point. It does not refer to our internalpublicity. It refers to maps published by some countries, not our own publicity. Of course, we have always published our own maps. And, wherever there have been misprints or wrong printing of maps by other count-' ries there also we have taken them up through our diplomatic missions abroad strongly. And in many countries^-of course, I cannot give all the details just now-they have corrected the maps, as far as your point goes. I am talking at the moment of internal publicity, publicity made on behalf of our embassies, our missions and our High Commissions. When I am speaking about publicity, I am speaking about internal publicity, publicity made On behlf of our missions.

Now, this question; was primarily raisedby Mr. P. N. Sukul. As regards Indians overseas, we do recognise that Indians overseas are a potential bridge of cooperation and understanding. It is also being increasingly appreciated that the NRIs are an asset to our country and form a potential reservoir of goodwill. To utilise their expertise and talents for oa-' tional development various facilities and schemes have been drawn up by the "Government.

MR. Va'iullah and Mr. Matto men-Ijoned about facilities to Haj pilgrims. It was my pleasure and privilege to visit the Haj House in Bombay this magnificent edifice only day before yesterday to participate in a Kora and lottery, that we

organise every year in the Haj House, Now, the hon. Members of the House will be happy to know that this year the number of Haj pilgrims has been raised by two thousand. Already this vear w[©] have increased the number of Haj pilgrims. The additional facility for Haj pilgrims so that they could go by air from Delhi, it has already 'been introduced. Now, this year or next year, it is proposed, whenever facilities are available, it is proposed to wee that, Haj vatries could -also gp by air from Madras and Calcutta. Hon. Mr. Matto was referring to Srinagar. 01. course, this announcement has been made by Hon. Prime Minister and as soon as necessary facilities are available, we will do it. You know, at the moment there are no international flights from Srinagar direct. But as and when the logistics of the matter are resolved such service will be made available. We have also discussed the possibility of increasing facilities for Haj pilgrims in Saudi Arabia. I have directed my mission there, our embassy there to discuss this matter with Saudi Arabia in order to- see as to how we can. give more facilities to our pilgrims while they are going for Haj to Saudi Arabia. Already the local voluntary organisations have been contacted. L must compliment my colleague in me other House. Hon. Mr. Sait for having . taken the initiative. He has already been able to contact some organisations and they have created certain facilities for our pilgrims in Saudi Arabia; *. I must congratulate. Mr. Sait for that., Thera. are some wakfs and some, wakf properties in Saudi. Arabia. They: are under nobody's control nowadays. We have created, our Haj Committee has created and considered a proposal by which all these wakfs could be reorganise ed so that heir assets could be at the service of, thousands of pilgrims who visit Mecca and Medina every year. So we «re taking steps in this direction also. | I will very m«ch appreciate if we have concrete suggestions from Hon. Member* I in this connection so that whatever. we

[Shri Narayan Datt TiwariJ

can do to facilitate our Haj pilgrims to help them, that we will try to do. I must complement Mr. Kalpnalh Rai who in fluent Hindi postulated the basic principles of our foreign policy. I must tell him that we are in full agreement with the policy nuances that he made.

Shrimati Jayanthi Natarajan, in her flawless English, also made a very good presentation. Mr. Satya Prakash Mala-viya is a very good old friend of mine for the last 30 years. Of course, being a Member of opposition, he was trying-^ I could see very easily—very hard to reconcile his stand with his support for our objectives. It was difficult for him but somehow I could find that he had a soft corner for us, for the objectives at least, and I must compliment him that he was actually fulfilling the greatness of his name Satya Prakash. The truth inside his heart was coming out because of Prakash—Satya Prakash.

Mr. D. P. Ray said that he is speaking after a long time. But he spoke a flowery language while I was trying to listen through the earphone and when I tried to take out this instrument, it was difficult to understand but I could find through his oration that he is so much dedicated to our policy and our objectives.

Then, Shri Bir Bhadra Pratap Singh—(I think he is here; I very much welcome his ideological conviction, the way he Analysed imperialism, neo-colonialism. and- all that. I think it was very good study and I agree with most of his ideological formulations. Of course, in certain matters, we cannot be -,,very dogmatic. But *I* think on the ideological part of it, he made a good analysis «nd I support him.

Shri Thakur Jagatpal Singh also supported us and our policy. I am thankful to him. Then Mr. Mahajan also spoke. I think he is a budding diplomat; a diplomat in the making. I would advise hin» to refine his ideas and he would find that he is in complete agreement with us. We might he in different parties but our basic obJeK- rw fe the same * There are some other points but I think it is already 8. 15 and probably many mors opportunities will come for me.

In the end, I would say, I am reminded of one illuminating factor. As w_e eater the portals of Parliament, as we enter this great edifice of our Constitutional being,, at the gate No. 1 of Central Hall, as we enter, I find this Sanskrit Shloka embedded in the building, as a mighty edifice;

म्रयं निजः परो वेति गणना लघुचेतक्षाम् । उदारचरितानां सु । वस्धैव कुटुम्बकम् । ।

This invocation of the Vedas, this foreiga policy declaration has not come now bar it is from ages, from centuries ago. This has been the formulation of our sages, our rishis and munis and cultural savants. This foifcnulation is the basic objective—It continues to be the basic objective—of *MB* nation today, of our people, of all the political parties and of this House as wefl» Therefore, I would say—

"The whole world is one family".

Let this be the guiding principle of Our foregin policy, as blessed by this Housey a§ sanctified by this House. I would gay. Madam, this debate today, with its ramifi cations, has further strengthened our re solve, our common resolve to stand by this peroration, this sacred message, this sacred sloka of the Vedas, the Sastras, of our Rishis and Munis;

"उदार चरितानां वसुग्रैव कृट्रावकम"

Let us dedicate ourselves to this great ", objection as declared even in the New Delhi Declaration. Thank you.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House is now adjourned and we will meet again at 11 A. M. tomorrow.

The House then adjourned at sixteen minutes past eight of the clock till eleven of the clocfe on Tuesday, 4he 28th April, 19*7.