

Sl. No.	Name of State/ Union Territory	Total number of National Permits allocated	Total Number of National Permits issued
10	Madhya Pradesh . . . . .	1650	1160
11	Maharashtra . . . . .	1650	900
12	Manipur . . . . .	450	150
13	Nagaland . . . . .	450	245
14	Meghalaya . . . . .	450	149
15	Orissa . . . . .	1500	1033
16	Punjab . . . . .	1000	800
17	Rajasthan . . . . .	1500	1199
18	Sikkim . . . . .	150	11
19	Tamil Nadu . . . . .	1500	1192
20	Tripura . . . . .	900	415
21	Uttar Pradesh . . . . .	1650	891
22	West Bengal . . . . .	1650	1306
23	Arunachal Pradesh . . . . .	250	139
24	Chandigarh Administration . . . . .	250	149
25	D & N Haveli . . . . .	250	85
26	Delhi . . . . .	1500	921
27	Mizoram . . . . .	250	..
28	Goa, Daman & Diu . . . . .	850	471
29	Pondicherry . . . . .	250	150

#### अहमदाबाद के लिए उपनगरीय रेल

516. श्री चिमनभाई मेहता: क्या परिवहन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या सरकार का ध्यान 3 नवम्बर, 1985 के गुजराती दैनिक 'जयहिन्द' में 'रेल विभाग द्वारा अहमदाबाद के लिए बम्बई जैसी उपनगरीय रेल व्यवस्था की सिफारिश' नामक शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत छपे समाचार की ओर दिलाया है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो उसका ब्यौरा क्या है; और

(ग) सरकार इस विषय में क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार रखती है ?

रेल विभाग में राज्य मंत्री (श्री माधव

राव सिन्धिया:) (क) जी हां ।

(ख) और (ग) महानगर परिवहन परि- योजना बम्बई द्वारा अहमदाबाद शहरी विकास एक प्राथमिक रिपोर्ट जिसमें विभिन्न विकल्पों का उल्लेख किया गया है अहमदाबाद शहरी प्राधिकरण तथा अहमदाबाद नगर निगम के खर्च पर और उनके अनुरोध पर अहमदाबाद टर लाइनों के लिए व्यावहारिकता सर्वेक्षण एक निष्पत्ति का रूप में किया जा रहा है। विकास प्राधिकरण को उनके विचार जान- ने के लिए प्रस्तुत की गयी है। योजना को अन्तिम रूप देने समय उनके विचारों को ध्यान में रखा जायेगा। सर्वेक्षण पूरा होने के बाद रिपोर्ट योजना आयोग से स्वीकृति और सामान्य रेल योजना में अलग

धन का आवंटन प्राप्त करने के लिए अहमदाबाद शहरी विकास प्राधिकरण, गुजरात, राज्य सरकार को भेजी जायेगी।

### Extension of Sarvodaya Express up to Rajkot

517. SHRI RAMSINGHBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI: Will the Minister of TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to extend Sarvodaya Express up to Rajkot; and

(b) if so, by when?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

### Request for additional rail facilities in Kutch region

518. SHRI RAMSINGHBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI: Will the Minister of TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a member of Parliament from Kutch has recently written a letter to him requesting for additional railway facilities in Kutch region; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Two letters have been received from Smt. Usha Thakkar, M.P. regarding condition of coaches, punctuality of trains, quarters of railway staff at Gandhidham, changing the name of Gandhidham-Bombay Express, provision of some slip

coaches, extension of trains in Kutch region and construction of a new B.G. line between Gandhidham and Lakhpat.

### Over-bridge at Vapi

519. SHRI RAMSINGHBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI: Will the Minister of TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received representations from various organisations and Members of Parliament for the construction of Vapi Over-bridge;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) by when the said over-bridge is likely to be constructed and completed and opened for public?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. Shri Uttambhai H. Patel, MP raised this question with the Department of Railways in April, 1985.

(c) The work of construction of road over-bridge in replacement of level crossing No. 80 at Vapi could not be taken up earlier as the State Government had difficulties in planning construction of road approaches due to problem of acquisition of land in the heavily built up area. The proposal can be considered by the Railways only after the Government of Gujarat finalises the plan and estimates for the approaches and re-sponsors the proposal.

### Railway Bridge on Ropar-Nangal Line

520. SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL: Will the Minister of TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the Railway bridge on Ropar-Nangal loop line at Lohand Khud has become unsafe due to scouring of its piers.