# RE. ENTRY OF AKALIS INTO THE VISITORS GALLERY

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up the Calling Attention. Shri Ramanand Yadav. (Interruptions)

SHRI SURESH KALMADI. (Maharashtra): On a point of order. This is regarding the rules of entry to the Visitors' Gallery. Yesterday two top Akali leaders, including Mr. Balwant Singh, were denied entry to the Visitors' Gallery in the Lok Sabha. I want to know..

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN-. We are not concerned with Lok Sabha.

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: I want a clarification whether the Akalis are...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No question regarding the other House can come... (*Interruptions*)

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Can they come to the Rajya Sabha? (Interniptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please resume your seat.

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: I want your ruling on the question whether the Akalis can come to the Rajya Sabha. They are due to come here tomarrow. Can they come? (Interr ruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please first apply for the Visitors' Card.

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: I do not want to be insulted. (Interruptions) I want a clarification whether they are going to be allowed to the Gallery, tomorrow, (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This is not the question-answer session. (Inte;-ruptions) Please take your seat. I am standing. (Interruptions) You must know the Rules. Under the Rules, if you apply for any .visitors you propose for the "Visitors' Gallery, then we shall look into it. I cannot answer the question just

Public Importance
now. I am not concerned with the other House. It is their business.
(Interruptions)

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Let us know whether you want to admit.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Don't argue. This is a hopeless argument. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: What is hopeless about it?

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO: Sir, I have just come to know with a sense of anguish that\* (*Interrup*tions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This will not be recorded.

#### CALLING ATTENTION TO A MAT-TEW OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPOR-TANCE—

## Recent developments in Jammu and Kashmir

श्री रामानन यादव (बिहार):
चपराभापति महोदय, जम्मू और क्ष्मीर में हम्ल की घटनाओं की और में गृह मुंबी का ध्यान दिलाता है।

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO): Sir. in the State of Jammu and Kashmir certain elements had been indulging in anti-national and secessionist activities once the latter half of 1983. These activities were in the form of demonstrations, speeches, hartals, slogan mongering, causing bomb explosiong and issuing threats to life and property of public men, media and others.

- 2. Further, though All India Sikh Students Federation was declared an unlawful association in March 1984, its objectionable activities continued in the State. Other Sikh extremists also joined hands with them.
- 3. Following the action by security forces in Punjab, AISSF activists and other Sikh extremists made a common cause with anti-national, secess-

\*Not recorded.

V. Narasimha lonist and communal elements in the State and indulged not only in making objectionable speeches and shout- के ग्रंडर जो गुमराह तत्व है वे लगे हुए ing anti-national slogans but also in arson, loot and other violent activities on a large-scale. Buildings housing इस कन्द्री में डीइस्टेब्ल।इजेशन ग्रा जाये Central Government such as post offices, telephone exchanges, State Bank of India were made सुरत में काश्मीर जो हमारे इन्टरनेशनल the targets of attack. Religious places बार्डर पर एक स्टेंट पडता है बहा पर were also attacked and desecrated.

4. Since July, 1983, the Central Government had been impressing upon the State Government to take firm को चिता करना ग्रत्यन्त ग्रावण्यक है। and effective action against the antisecessionist and extremist national elements. Till June 1984, the Home Minister sent nine communications to में पिछले जो असेम्बली के चनाव हुए the Chief Minister in this regard. However, the action taken by the State Government was inadequate and often belated and, therefore, made little impact. The activities of these elements, therefore, continued unabated, including hijacking of an Indian किए उसके बाद हा । अब्दल्ला का Air lines plane.

5. In another development the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir dismissed the Ministry headed by Dr. Ferooci Abdullah on 2-7-1984 and swore in Shri G. M. Shah as Chief Minister to form a new Government under the provisions of the Constitu- म चर्चा करना । tion of the State of Jammu and Kashmir.

6. It is Government of India's concern that in the sensitive border State of Jammu and Kashmir, peace and good order prevails and the nefarious designs and activities of those who are seeking to undermine the integrity and unity of India are effectively हंग से और उनकी पार्टी के लोगों ने checked. I would, therefore, appeal to recent developments in the State of the Honourable Members to view the उठाया, उसके मंबध में चर्चा कहेगा। Jammu and Kashmir in the right: prospective and strengthen the hands of the Government.

श्री रामानन्द यादव : उपसमापति जी, में जो कालिंग ग्रटेंशन ग्राज हाऊन में लाया हं, यह इस देश केलिए बहुत महत्व रखता है खास करके जब कि

Rao] इस देश की खंडित करने के लिए देश की बाहरी शक्तिशाली ताकतें मौर देश हैं. वे चाहते हैं कि देश खंडित हो जाए establishments स्रीर हमारा डेबलपमेंट रुक जाये। ऐसी जो स्थिति उत्पन्न हो गवी है, कुछ वर्षी से उसके संबंध में देश ग्रीर इस सदन मान्यवर. मैं रीसेंट हैपेतिन्स को बार भागों में बांदना चाहता है। अभी जम्म कश्मीर जिसमें डा० ग्रव्दल्ला चीफ मिनिस्टर हुए उसमें किस तरह से इलेक्शन को रिग किया गया और किस तरह वे सता में आए इसकी थोडी चर्चा मैं कर देना चाहता है। गठवन्धन वहां के जो सेसेनिष्ट एलीमेंटम बे, कस्यनल एलोमेन्ट्स प्रो० प्लेबिसाइट घे प्रो० पाकिस्तानी थे और प्रो० खालिस्तानी मवर्नेट and वाले थे। उनका जो संबंध बाद में धीरे-बीरे जमता गया, उसके संबंध में भी थोडी

> मान्यवर, उन्होंने इस खालिस्तान को श्रीर श्रकाली मुबमेंट को जो बल दिया डा० ग्रब्दल्ला ने, उसकी चर्चा में करंग। ग्रीर फिर उनकी सरकार किस तरह डिसमिस हुई, जो बिलकुल कंस्टीटब्रशनल देण को खतरे में सोच करके, जो कदम

मान्यवर, आप जानते हैं, कि इलैक्शन हए ग्रीर इलैन्शन मैं किस तरह से डा॰ ग्रब्दुल्ला ने वहां पर मौलवी फारुव, जो एक जमाने में प्लैबिसाइट का समर्थक था, ग्रवामी एक्शन कमेटी के चेयरमैन हैं ग्रीर 50 वर्षी तक शेख साहब जिन्होंने

अपने पसीने से एक सैन्यूलर काश्मीर का निर्माण किया था और जिसके खिलाफ शेख साहब मौलवी फारुख के खिलाफ पचास वर्ष तक लडते रहे, उसके साथ मिल कर डा० फारुख ने गठबन्धन किया। यही नहीं, जितने भी सेसेशनिस्ट एलिमेंट थे, बाहे वह बाहर के हो, एंडि-सोशल एलिमेंट्स जो थे, उनसे गठवन्धन करके एक मोर्चा कायम किया और सेक्यलर फाउडेशन जो काश्मीर में लगता था कि उसकी जाड़ें मजबूत हो गई थीं, उसकी हिला दिया ग्रीर सारी स्टेट मशीनरी को यँग करके वह सत्ता में आ गये ! यहां तक कि विरोधी दल के दफ्तरों ंपर .कांग्रेस (ग्राई) के दफ्तरों पर हमले - किये गये, दफ्तर जनाये गये, केंडिडेटस -पीटे गये उन्हें चलने नहीं दिया गया, कनवासग नहीं करने दी गई वथस को ीरम किया गया जिसके संबंध में इलैक्णन कमीशन ने खद उनकी निदा की ग्रीर खुले शब्दों में इज़ैवशन कमीशन ने कहा कि वहां रिगिंग हुई है।

ऐसी हालत में उनका आना, ऐसा लगता है कि सारे जो डेमोकेपी के नाम्स थे, उन्होंने उन नाम्स को बला करके वहा पर सत्ता में ग्राए।

मान्यवर, सत्ता में ग्राने के बाद · जो उन्होंने एंटि-मारतीय एटिटयुड रखाः एटि-सेंटर एटिट्युड रखा, उसकी थोडी सी मिसाल में आपके सामने रखना चाहता हं। जैसा कि मेंने बताया, मौलबी फारूब से उन्होंने समझौता किया और श्रीनगर को स्युनिसिपनिदा के इलैंक्शन में उन्होंने खलेश्राम मौलवा साहब को सहायता लो और वहां म्युनिसिपलिटी में इलक्शन लड़े। यही नहीं, उन्होंने दूसरे जो सेसेशनिस्ट एलिमेंटन थे, जैसे काश्मीर निबरेशन क्रम्ट और दूसरे, उतसे गठवन्धन किया। मान्यवर, आपको यह सुन कर ताज्जब होंगा कि उनका संबंध पाकिस्तान से.

जहां यह काश्मीर लिबरेशन फ़ांट के नेता रहते थे, जिन्होंने म्हाल, भारतीय दुवाबास के एक बहुत वड़े प्रधिकारी का मर्डर हिया, उन लोगों से इनका संबंध था और वह संबंध ग्रखवारों में छपे। इतकी मुला-कात बट्ट से हुई और बट्ट के दो साथी जिनको इन्होंने ग्ररेस्ट किया था, जबसे उन्होंने श्रीनगर में चार दफा भेट की।

मान्यवर, भ्रापको याद होगा, वैस्ट इंडोज श्रीर इंडिया के बीच में जब फिकेट मैच खेला जा रहा था, तो किस तरह से भारतीय खिलाड़ियों को हतीत्साहित किया जा रहा था। वहां पर पाकिस्जानी झंडा फहराया गया, पाकिस्तान जिदाबाद का नारा लगाया गया और जब कभी अच्छो बाल वस्ट इंडोज के लोग मारते थे तो दौड़ करके, काश्मीर, जो उनके समधक थे प्रो-पाकिस्तानी एलीमेंट स से सैशनिस्टस एलोमेंट्स अवामी एक्शन कमेटी के लोग वैस्ट इंडोज के प्लेथर्स के चम्बन करते थे भीर एंटी इंडिया नारे लगाते थे। वहां पर पाकिस्तान का झंडा भी लहराया गया मान्यवर, अफनोस को बात है कि डा० फारूख अब्दूला साहब ने कोई एक्शन नही लिया। जब बहुत दबाब पड़ा तो फारूख साहब ने दो आदिमियों को अरेस्ट किया, मुझे नाम ठीक से याद नहीं है लेकिन प्रशरपड़ने पर उन्हें छोड़ दिया श्रीर वह दो व्यक्ति ऐसे थे जो मकबल भट्ट के विल्कुल साथी थे और वे पाकिस्तान के सिटोजन आजाद काश्मीर के रहने वाने थे। मान्यवर, यही नहीं, ठीक कुछ दिनों के बाद श्रीनगर में 1983 में 14 अगरत को नेशनल कान्फ्रन्स के लोगों ने वर्बस ने पाकिस्तानी इंडीपेंडेंस हे मनाया उसमें पाकिस्तानी झंडा फहराया गया। प्रो-पाकिस्तान स्लोगन लगाया गया और उसमें ऐसे ऐसे नारे एंटी इंडियन नारे लगाए गए । मान्यवर, यही नहीं जब भिवंडी में रायट्स हुए बम्बई में रायट् के सिन तिले में श्रोनगर में एक जल्म निकला। उस जल इ में प्रो-राकिस्तानो लोग सैसैगनिस्टम लोग, पाकिस्तान के सनर्वक लोग और विख स्टडेंट्स फैंड-रेशन जो कि बड धार्गनाइजेशन थो उसके लोगों ने एक साथ मित्र करके एक जल्म निकाता और उसमें प्रो-पाकिस-तानी नारा दिया और नारा दिशा "फर्जी नाजा तोड़ो, काष्मोर हमारा छोड़ो"। इस तरह का नारा लगाया गवा उस जलात में और इसके वाद मान्यवर, एक भीर अलुस निकला। गोल्डन टैम्पल में आर्मी जब एक उट्टोमिस्ट्स को फलश आउट कर रहो थो उनके दो दिन के जाद श्रो-नगर में एक जलूस निकाला उसमें प्रो-पाकिस्तानो एलोमेंट स्टडेंटस फडरेशन के लोग सेनेशनिस्टम कम्युनिल्टस ये सभी तरह के एलोमेंट्स एक साथ होकर जलात तिहालें। पुलिस का एक आदमी इनकी गोलो से मारा गथा । फिर दूसरे दिन जल्स निकला। फिर भो डाक्टर फारूख साह उस वक्त चोफ मिनिस्टर थे, उन्होंने कोई एक्शन नहीं लिया। पुलिस के कान्स्ट-बल को हत्या हुई लेकिन डा० फारूख अब्दुल्लाने कोई एक्शन नहीं लिया। यही नहीं, मान्यवर, ठोक तीन दिन के बाद फिन्जलस निकलता है ग्रीर उस जल्स में, जब वह श्रीनगर से पास कर रहा था तो कनवाय पर बैठ कर मिलिटो जा रही थो। मिलिट्रो वालों को जो हमारी सग्हद की रक्षा करते हैं मिलिट्टी वालों के हाथों से राइफन छोन निया। ग्राप सीचिए, लोगों ने कम्प्लेंट को भारत सरकार ने लिखा, फिर भी डा० फारूख अब्दल्ला ने कोई कार्यवाही नहीं की सेसेशनिस्ट एली-मेंद्रस के खिलाफ, प्रोन्पाकिस्तानी लोगों के खिलाफ ये जो बैंड सिख. स्ट्डेट फैंडरेशन के लोग थे उनके खिलाफ। मान्यवर, इतना ही नहीं, भारत सरकार ने ऐसे एलोमेंट्स की एक लिस्ट सबमिट

किया है कि ये देश की सुरक्षा के लिए खतरनाक है, इनसे खतरा है, आप इनको अरेस्ट करो। उन्होंने अरेस्ट नहीं किया। कुछ दिनों के बाद कुछ लोगों को जब अर्रेस्ट किया तो एक मीटिंग में उन्होंने खले ग्राम तलवंडी शायद उस जगह का क्या नाम है, मुझे याद नहीं है, भाषण देते हुए कहा....(व्यवधान) उस मीटिंग में उन्होंने भाषण दिया कि मझे अफसोस है, मुझे दुख है कि मैंने उनको ग्ररैस्ट किया लेकिन भारत सरकार के दबाद डालने पर मैंने अरैम्ट किया, इस तरह से उन्होंने कहा। आप सोचें जो आदमो इस प्रकार काम करता हो, क्या उसने देश को खतरा नहीं है? डा॰ ग्रब्दुल्ला सचमुच में देश के लिए एक सि-क्युरिटो-रिस्क बन गए थे। मान्यवर, वही नही, आप जानते हैं कि सिख स्टूडेंट फेडरेशन एक बेंड आर्येनाइजेशन था, सारे देश में बेंड कर दिया गयाथा। लेकिन डा० प्रब्दुल्ला ने किसी को ग्ररेस्ट नहीं किया बल्कि सिख स्टडेंट फेडरेशन वालों न जि० एंड के० में तीन गरम ख केम्प कश्मीर में किए और टोटन जे० एंड के० में 6 किए, जम्मू में तीन किए। श्रीनगर में उन्होंने इस प्रकार के केंप चलाने के लिए उनको हर प्रकार की स्विधा दो। यही नहीं, पाकिस्तान की मिलटरी को जो गुरिया विगका एक्सपर्ट था, उसको बुला कर यहां पर ट्रेनिंग होती थी । उस गरमख टेनिंग केंप को श्रीनगर में खालि-स्तान का केंप कहा गया। यही नहीं वहां पर लिटरेचर बांटे जाते थे । ग्रब्दुल्ला जी खद भी किसी न किसी केंपों में जाते और एड्रेस करते थे।

यही नहीं मान्यवर, अकाली दल के साध, वे जब कभी अमृतसर धाए हैं, मिले हैं और छह दफा भिडरावाला से मिले हैं। यकाली नेतायों से तो बराबर मिलते रहे हैं और जब कभी मिले तो

उन्होंने उनको आश्वासन दिया कि हम हर प्रकार से सहायता करेंगे, ग्रापके साथ कोलोबेरेणन करेंगे ग्रीर इस तरह सं उन्होंने देश की सिक्योरिटी को खतरे में डाला। इसके साथ हो उन्होंने ऐसे लोगों से संबंध स्थापित किया, जिससे हमारे देश को सिक्योग्टी खतरे में पड़ गई।

मान्यवर, ग्रब ग्राप देखें कि किस तरह से इनको जब इनकी पार्टी के लोगों ने यह समझा कि सिक्योिटारिस्क गए हैं। उन्होंने घपनी कांफ्रेंस में स्थिलिट को और उसके बाद नेशनल कांफ्रें। दो भागों में बंट गई। एक तो उनके बहनोई अलग हो गए, इनको निकाल दिया नेशनल काफ्रींप से और फिर थोडे दिन के बाद 12 एम०एल०एज० नेशनल वांफ्रोंस से अलग होकर गवर्नर के पास गए और कहा हमने कि ग्रंपना समर्थन उनसे विद्डा कर लिया है। उनकी पार्टी का बहुमत नहीं रहा, ग्राप उसे डिसमिस करें। गवर्नर ने डा० अब्दुल्ला को ब्लाया ग्रीर उन एम ०एल ०एज० से बात करने को कहा। उन्होंने बात की, सब से टाक की। मालम हमा कि उनके पैरों से जमीन खिसक गई है। तो उन्होंने गवनंर सेवहा कि ग्राप डिसमिस कर दोिए। नेकिन गवर्नर डिसमिस कैसे कर सकते थे अण्डर कंस्टोट्यूणन उन्होंने काम करना था गवर्नर ने कहा कि आप देख लोजिए, ब्रापका बहुभत नही है। ऐसे गवर्नर ने जब कहा, रिनाइन किया और दूसरी बहुमत बाली सरकार बनी, जो इस वक्त काम कर रही है।

मान्यवर, आपको सुनकर ताज्जूब होगा कि क्या चोर्जेज उन लोगों ने लगाए गवर्नर से क्या कहा? उसका थोड़ा सा वर्णन में श्रापके सामने कर देना चाहता हुं। पहला एलोगेशन यह है कि ये एंटी

नेशनल एलोभेंट को सपोर्ट कर रहे हैं। गवर्नर ने पूछा कि ग्राप छोड़ रहे हैं, तो क्यों छोड़ रहे हैं, तो जबाब या ये एंटी नेमनल एलीमेंट को सपोर्ट कर रहे हैं। ये इत-ऐक्टिब चीफ मिनिस्टर हैं। इनकी रीजीम में जें ० एंड के ० का कोई भला नहीं हो सका और तीसरा उन्होंने कहा कि ये पिलोगोइडिंग करते हैं, मोटर साईकल के ऊरर बठ जाते हैं ग्रीर पोछे किसी गायिका का लेकर चलते हैं . . . (व्यवधान)

matter of urgent

Public. *Importanc?* 

श्री उपसभापति : ग्रव तंक्षिप्त करिए ।

श्री रामानन्द यादव: यह कहा कि एन्टी-सेन्टर पोस्चर कर के ये कश्मीर का भला नहीं कर रहे हैं इस लिए हम लोग इनके खिलाफ हैं। उन्होंने यह भी कहा कि बहुत बड़े सीक्योन्टिं रिस्क हो गये हैं, इनके पिता जी ने जो रिलेशन कश्मीर ग्रीर सेंटर से एस्टेब्लिश किया था, हिन्द श्रीर मुस्लमानों के बीच सद्भावना कायम की थी, जो सेक्युलर एटमासफिर काश्मीर में बनाया था उस को यह डिमोलिश कर रहे हैं, हम इस को डिमोलिश नहीं होने ्देना चाहते। मान्यवर, ग्राप भी जानते हैं कि काश्मीर के लोग सेक्यूलर लोग होते हैं। हमारें भटट साहब हैं, दूसरे है, जब बाहर मिलते है तो कभी नहीं समझते कि फर्क है। वह क़ाश्मीर के रहने वाले हैं, हम बिहार के रहने वाले है लेकिन जो भाउटल्क है वह विलक्त सेक्यूलर है। ऐसा सेक्युलॅंर आउटल्का वह डिमालिका कर रहे थे। इस लिए उन के हो कुली गस ने उस डिमालीशन की बद्यागत नहीं किया। यह भी उन्होंने कहा कि आज के०ए०एफ० के लोक गुप्त रूप से उन से मिलते है। इस लिए हम अपना समर्थन विदड़ा करते है। सेक्षेत्रनिस्ट एलीभेंट, कम्युनल एलीभेंट के साथ इन्होंने गठबंद्यन कर लिया है इस लिए हम इनका साथ छोड़ रहे 😤

## [श्री रामानन्द यादव]

खालिस्तान मूबनेंट के जो लोग हैं उन को परोक्ष रूप से ट्रेनिंग दो है। देश की सांक्यूरिटो खतरे में पड़तो है। ऐसी हालत में हम अधिक दिनों तक इन्हें गद्दी पर नही रहने देना चाहते। मान्यवर, इस तरह के एवंगिशा उन्होंने लगाये।

बार्ड सरकार से कुछ प्रश्ने पूछना बाहता हूं। हमारा पहना प्रश्न यह है:--

whether Government has proof that in J.K. Gurmit Training Camps organised hy Sikh extremist Pak military personnel, expert in Guerilla warfare, imparted training in the grab of Sikhs to the Punjab extremists;

whether Government of India has supplied the list of anti-nationals, secessionists, Pakistani infiltrators to Dr. Farooq Abdullah to arrest those elements who had become security risk to the country;

whether it is a fact that Dr. Abdullah did take no action on that supplied list:

whether it is a fact that Dr. Farooq Abdullah allowed the terrorists to escape to Pakistan across the Jammu and Kashmir border;

whether Dr. Abdullah failed during his regime to flush out Akali and KLF terrorists from Jammu and Kashmir;

whether Dr. Abdullah took little interest in the administration of his State and thus developmental activities during his time stopped totally in Jammu and Kashmir;

whether it is a fact that during the demonstration organised by the Sikh extremists anti-national secessionists and KLF elements in Srinagar openly snatched a gun of an army man from hi<sub>s</sub> hand, and when it was brought to the notice of Dr. Abdullah no action was taken by him;

whether it is a fact that during the demonstration anti-national slogans

and pro-Pakistani slogans and also slogans like 'farzi nata toro, Kashmir chhoro' were raised and Dr. Abdullah took ito' notice of it;

whether it i<sub>s</sub> a fact that Mr. Handoo, the right-liand man of Dr. Abdullah and the Transport Minister whose name I do not remember, sat at the aerodrome for the whole day when the IA airbus was hijacked;

whether it is a fact that two journalists who were to travel by tha same plane cancelled their trip on the advice of Mr. Handoo and these two journa^sts were close friends of Dr. Abdullah

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now comrade, please.

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV; Please wnit.

यह बहुत इंपोरटैंट चीज है। श्राज राष्ट्र खतरे में है। श्राप इसे रियलाइज बरमें है, यह रियलाइज नहीं करते।

श्री उपसभापति : श्राप सवाल पृष्ठिए ।

SHRI SHARIEF-UD-DIN SHARIQ (Jammu and Kashmir); Sir, he should be given sufficient time for mud-slinging against the secular forces. (*Interruptions*)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please put your questions.

SHFJ GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL (Jammu and Kashmir): Rest assured we "will not interfere, whatever abuses he hurls. My only request is that when my turn comes, he must listen to me. That is all. He can abuse any way he likes. But he should listen to us. (Interruptions)

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV: Whether il is a fact that when Harfun Manila, om oi\* tlie hijackers of the plane, disclosed his identity, the plane was allowed to Iand at Lahore.

Whether it is a fact that Harfun Maulla was trained Pakistani hijacker

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA Andhra Pradesh): How is it connaced with Dr. Abdullah?

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV: Wheher it is a fact that during the regime if Dr. Abdullah anti-national, comnunal, secessionist elements entren-:hed themselves in the, police and jeneral administration of the State (Ud b%ause of his open support to ;hem, members of the Sikh Studer^ Federation were appointed in Govimment service and enlisted members if the Awami Action Committee were appointed up to the Inspector's rank in the police of Jammu and Kashmir

Whether the Government is aware )f the fact that the whole administration was polluted by Dr. Abdullah by appointing anti-national, secessionist, prorPakistani elements on key positions of the State administration.

Whether in the camps organised by the Sikh Student Federation in J&K, Khalistani 10-paise stamps were freely distributed. When it was brought to the notice of Dr. Abdullah, he took no notice of it.

Whether American Ambassador was invited to Srinagar by Dr. Abdullah before the flushing out of extremists from the Golden Temple and he declined to,go there telling Dr. Abdullah that he would be misunderstood by the Indian Government? It means he wanted to cover it. Is it a fact that both the American Ambassador and the British High Commissioner visited the Kashmir valley several times before in the year 1983? Is it a fact that they went on a political

mission and they organised demonstrations and all these things?

matter of urgent

Public Importance

में आखिर भेएक बात चाहता हूं कि मुझे दुख है कि हमारे अपोजिसन के जो लोग हैं ये लोग देश की मुख्य धारा किधर आ रही है, उसकी नहीं समझते । किस तरह से इंटरनेबन्ज फोर्सेज हमारे खिलाफ षड्यंत कर रही हैं, फिर भी ये सैक्यूरिटी रिल्क की नही समझ सके। ब्राज ये भारत सरकार की हर सरह से कमजोर करने की कोकिश कर रहे हैं । मैं अपने इन मिलों से कहंगा कि अपनी बुद्धि को परिमार्जित करें और देश के लोगों के हित में सरकार से सहयोग करें ताकि देश की अखंडता पर किसी तरह का आधात न लगाया जा सके।

SHR1 DIPEN GHOSH (West Bengal): Sir, I am on a point of order. Normally the practice of this House has been that to the person who puts certain questions initially the Minister replies first and then other party representatives, whose names there, put their questions. But, after wards, for our convenience we took it that all questions- wiH be put together and the Minister wiH be replying at the end. Now, Mr. Ramanand Yadav has put certain important questions. If the replies are given by the Minister initially, then it will be easier for us to take part in the debate. So, naturally, I would request the Minister to reply to Mr. Ramanand Yadav ... (Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: More important questions will be raised... (Interruptions)... No, no.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: More important questions are being expected from other Members. I shall not be guilty of discrimination or saying or thinking that only these questions are important.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Satya Prakash Malaviya,

SHRI LAL "Pradesh): It is not a question of importance or unimportance but this was the practice since about a couple of months back. But I think in this particular case, considering the nature of the debate, the issues raised by the hon.- Member are really such that if the Government enlightens the House we would be able to participate more effectively.

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV: You tell your point of view.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH; I am asking for his replies. Why are you... (Interruptions) ...

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Advaniji, please. It will make no difference. Let us follow the practice... (Interruptions)...

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEND-RA; He should answer all these questions.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: I would prefer to reply at the end.

**GULAM** MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: It will facilitate our discussion because, as I said, the charges are very serious in nature but baseless.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY (Tamil Nadu): It is very strange that Mr. Yadav is not interested in knowing the answers.

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय (उत्तर प्रदेश) : माननीय उपसभापति महोदय, जम्म-काश्मीर प्रदेश में केवल दो बार विवान सभा के ग्राम चुनाव हए । पहली मर्तवा 1977 में ग्रीर दूसरी बार चुनाब हुए जुन, 1983 में । ज्न, 1983 के पूर्व, जनवरी 1983 में दिल्ली मैट्रेपोलिटन काउंसिल ग्रौर नगर महापालिका के चुनाव हए। जिन डाक्टर फारूख अब्दुल्ला के लिए यह कहा जा रहा है कि देशभका नहीं हैं, वे राष्ट्र भक्त नहीं हैं, फारूख ग्रब्दुल्ला विदेशी ताकतों से मिले हुए हैं यही डा० फारुख अब्दुल्बा जनवरी 1983 में माननीय प्रधान

K. ADVANI (Madhya मंत्री जिस पार्टी की अध्यक्ष हैं, कांग्रेस (आई), उसकी पार्टी के जो यहां से उन्मीदवार चुनाव लड़ रहे थे दिल्ली मैटोपोलिटन काउंसिल और दिल्ली नगर महापालिका के लिए, उनके चुनाव के समर्थन में इस देश की राजधानी में, दिल्ली में डा० फारुख अब्दुस्ला का भाषण हुआ था । (**व्यवधान**) उसके कुछ दिन के वाद जब विधान सभा के चनाव की घोषणा हुई तो सत्ता कांग्रेस पार्टी श्रीर नेशनल कांफ़ेंस पार्टी के बीच में आपस में सीटों का बंदबारा हो रहा था। वह बंटवारा में नहीं जानता किस वजह से पूरा नहीं हुआ । आपस में मतभेद हुआ होगा । मैं समझता हूं डा० फारुख श्रब्दुल्ला एक बहुत ही कुटनीतिज्ञ और होशियार व्यक्ति थे। वह समझ गए कि भविष्य में हम को बिल्कुल कठपूतली म्ख्यमंत्री की तरह रहना पड़ेगा, इस कारण समझीता टूटा । जून 1983 में चुनाव हुए ग्रीर उन चुनाव के बाद जम्मू ग्रीर कश्मीर प्रदेश की जनता ने ग्रपने मन के, इच्छा के हिसाब से वहां र्कासरकार को चुना । उसके जो नतीजे सामने आए उसमें 47 नेशनल काफस का स्रोर सत्ता वांग्रेस पार्टी के एक पैंथर पार्टी को एक पीपस्स कांफ्रेंस को और एक सीट मिली किसी आजाद उम्मीदवार को । जो कूल मिला कर प्रतिशत था वह 42.45 परसेंट नेशनल काफ़्रेंस की, 26.62 परसेंट बोट मिले सत्ता काग्रेस पार्टी को । लेकिन जिस दिन यह चुनाव घोषित हुए और जिस दिन से डा० फारूख श्रब्दल्ला एक विरोधी पार्टी के मुख्य मंत्रो बने जम्मू-कश्मीर में, उसी दिन से साउथ ब्लाक में बैठ कर षडयंत किया गया कि जम्म और कश्मीर में डा० फारूख अब्दुल्ला की सरकार की नहीं चलने देना है, नहीं चलने देना है, नहीं चलने देना है। इस देश का कान्तन

है, चुनाव की प्रक्रिया है, रिप्रेजेंटेशन आफ पीपल्स एक्ट है उसमें इस बात का प्रावद्यान है कि अगर कोई व्यक्ति चनकर याता है और अगर उसका चनाव अवैध समझा जाता है तो लोगों को पूरा ग्रधिकार प्राप्त है कि वह ग्रदालत में जाए ग्रीर जाकर चुनाव याचिका दाखिल करे और फैसला करा ले। इस देश में ग्राप जानते हैं कि एक न्यायपालिका है ग्रीर ऐसी न्यायपालिका है जिसने तत्कालीन प्रधान मंत्री श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी के फैपले को 12 जुन को ग्रवैध करार दिया । लेकिन इलेक्शन पैटीशन कांग्रेस पार्टी की तरफ से दाखिल हए, चनाव याचोका दाखिल की गई। जहां-जहां हारे सब जगह चुनाव याचिका दाखिल हुई । लेकिन दूसरे दिन सड़कों पर निकल कर फर्जी प्रचार शरू हुआ। की फारुख अब्दुल्ला ने वेईमानी करके वहां की सरकार पर कब्जा कर लिया है। इस देश का दुर्भाग्य यह है कि अगर हम उनके परिवार के साथ रहें, उनके परिवार की पूजा करें, उनके परिवार का काम करें तो हम से बड़ा देशभक्त कोई नहीं है चाहे हम राष्ट्रद्रोही ही क्यों न हों। लेकिन अगर हम राष्ट्र-भक्त हैं भीर इनके परिवार की पूजा नहीं करते तो उससे बढ़ कर गहार कोई नहीं है । मैं इसका एक उदाहरण देता हूं। तारिक ग्रब्दुल्ला फारुख प्रव्दल्ला के छोटे भाई हैं। शेख प्रब्दुल्ला के साहबजादे हैं । तारिक ग्रब्दल्ला पाकिस्तान के नागरिक थे और यही तारिक अब्दुल्ला ने 66 H 64 या संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ में मांग की कि Jammu and Kashmir is not an integral part of India, it is an integral part of Pakistan.

पाकिस्तान के नागरिक की हैसियत से जम्म-जश्मीर को पाकिस्तान में मिलाने की बात करते हैं। क्योंकि वह श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी का साथ देते थे इसलिए उनसे बढ कर कोई दूसरा देशभक्त नहीं है और फारूख अब्दुल्ला से बढ़ कर कोई दूसरा म्रादमी देशद्रोही नहीं है।

श्री गुलाम रसुल कार निर्देशित) : वह सरकारी मुलाजिम था ... (ब्यवघान)

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : इस देश में जब हानुन बनाया गया था तो उस समय जो सारे संविधान के निर्माता थे उन्होंने कभी सपने में भी नहीं सोचा था विधान सभा में कौन मख्य मंत्री बहमत में होगा और कीन मख्य मंत्री ग्रल्पमत में होगा इसका फैसला राज भवन में होगा लेकिन इसके साथ-साथ मैं इस बात को दोहराता हूं कि इस देश के एक बहुत ही मशहर भृतपूर्व सांसद श्री मध् लिमये ने एक लेख लिखा है "समस्याएं ग्रीर विकल्प" जिसमें मान्यवर, आप मुझे . . (व्यवधान) । संरक्षण प्रदान करें। इसमें राष्ट्रपति शासन के दूरपयोग के बारे में लिखा है। कुछ सप्ताह पहले यानी जम्मू-काश्मीर के चुनावों के बाद प्रधान मंत्री ने जम्मू काश्मीर का दौरा किया। उसमें उन्होंने बहुत ही प्रक्षेपक और अवांछनीय भाषण किया जो दूर-दर्शन पर भी प्रसारित हो चका है। श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी ने कहा कि यदि केन्द्रीय सरकार चाहेगी तो वे गैर-कांग्रेसी सरकार ब्राधे घंण्टे तक भी नहीं चल पाएगी । जैसे कि यह हिन्दुस्तान और यह संविधान श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी की खानदानी जायदाद हैं .. (ब्यवधान) ।

श्रो गुलाम रसल कार : बिटवीन दा लाइन मत पढिये।

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : इस देश के संवाददाता जो कहते हैं या इस देश के समाचार पत्नों में जो बाते प्रकाशित होती हैं, वे गलत हो सकती हैं, असत्य हो सकती हैं, लेकिन दूरदर्शन और आकाशवाणी पर जो टिप्पणी होती है जिसको सिकिप्ट कहते हैं, जो उसके जरिए प्रसारित होती है, उस पर तों आप विश्वास करेंगे। श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी ने जम्मु-काश्मीर में कहा कि यदि केन्द्रीय सरकार चाहे तो आधे घण्टे में यह सरकार बर्खास्त हो सकती हैं ... (व्यवधान)।

श्री ग्लाम रसूल कार: आउट आफ कांटेक्स्ट मत पढिये ।

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : मान्यवर वहां पर हिंसा का वातावरण पैदा किया गया, तनाव का वातावरण पैदा किया गया। सडफों पर हिंसा हुई । श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधो बारबार इस देश की जनता को सीख देती रहती हैं कि सड़कों पर चल कर जो इस देश की निर्वाचित सरकारें हैं उनको गिरांने का काम इस देश की जनता को नहीं करना चाहिए। उन्होंने कहा है कि जो विधिवत निर्वाचित सरका रें हैं उनको गिराने का काम सड़कों पर चल कर या जुलूस निकाल कर नहीं करना चाहिए . . . (व्यवधान) । यह आपके नेता का प्रवचन है। मेरा निवेदन यह है कि हिन्दुस्तान के प्रधान मंत्री की कथनी और करनी में बहुत बड़ा ग्रनार है, बहुत बड़ा फर्क है। उसके

बाद वहां के राज्यपाल को बदला गया <sup>1</sup> राज्यपाल श्री बी०के० नेहरू को बदला गया। एक ऐसे आदमी को राज्यपाल बनाया गर्या जिसके बारे में जाह कमी जन की रिपोर्ट है, जस्टिस शाह की रिपोर्ट है। एक वोल्यम, दो वोल्यम और तीन वोल्यम . . . (ब्यवधान) ।

थी गुलाम रसुल कार : जनाव, मेरा पाइण्ट आफ आर्डर है . . . (वयवध न)

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : जम्मू-काश्मीर में विधिवत निर्वाचित सन्कार को खत्म करने के लिए, जनता की रिप्रेजेटेटिव सरकार का खात्मा करने के लिए वहां पर उनको भेजा गया । मान्यवर, मैं जम्म-काश्मीर के संविधान की तरफ आपका ध्यान आकर्षित करना चाहता है। राज्यपाल ने जपथ ली थी--

"...I will faithfully excuse the office of Government (or discharge the functions of the Governor) of Jammu and .Kashmir and will to the best my ability preserve, protect and defind ihe Constitution...'

मैं आपको बताने जा रहा हं कि राज्यपाल ने किस तरीके से जम्म-काश्मीर के संविधान को सुरक्षा की या उसको प्रोटेक्ट किया है, उसको डिफेण्ड किया है। उस संविधान के अनुच्छेद 35 में कौंसिल आफ भिनिस्टसं के बारे में लिखा है---

"35. Council of Ministers fo aid dnd advice the Governor.—(1) There shall be a Council of Ministers with

the Chief Minister at the head to aid and advise the Governor in the exercise of his functions.

"(2) All functions of Governor expect those under sections 36, 38 and 92 shall be exercised by him only on the advice of the Council of Ministers."

Article 36 is about appointment of Ministers.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: I have stated in my statement that this action by the Governor was taken under the Constitution of the Jammu and Kashmir State. Now I would like to bring to your notice that so far as Government is concerned, I do not propose to go'behind the decision er the action taken under the Constitution of the State. If the hon. Members want to exercise their freedom of speech, it is up to them, but I would like to appeal to them that no useful purpose would be served by going into that whether it is under article 164 or the corresponding section under the other Constitution. Sir, whether it is under 164 or the corresponding section, in the other Constitution the Governor takes a particular decision depending on his judgment at his discretion. As I said, it if not going to serve any purpose because no answer would be made by the Government on this point except to say 'that we have no jurisdiction. We do not want to make any comment on the action of the Governor. After that it is up to you and upto the hon. Members.

SHRI. PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA; Sir, I am on a point of order. I-f we cannot discuss the Governor's action what else we can discuss?

SHRI M. S: GURUPADASWAMY (Karnataka): Sir, may I in all humility say that the remarks of the Hon'ble Minister for Home are not proper and correct. The most important development in Kashmir is the dismissal of the Government of Dr. Farooq Abdullah and all these things that he has said in the beginning of the statement are totally irrelevant. Sir,

my point is that the most important event is the removal of Dr. Farooq Abdullah's Government and we cannot discuss anything else except this. This is the main theme.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister has explained his position.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: Sir, he cannot circumvent the debate of the House.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Sir, I am not circumventing the debate of the House. That is why I said the discussion on this particular point will be no more and no less than an exercise of the freedom of speech of hon. Members. So far as Government are concerned, it is Governments view that we have no jurisdiction in going behind the decision. That is why I am not going to reply.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: Sir, the Hon'ble Minister cannot say that Government have no jurisdiction. The Minister has to reply to the debate.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is the position.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH Sir, I am on a point of order.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: Sir, if the Minister is not going to reply, there is no use proceeding with the debate. What is the basis for discussion? What else we can discuss.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Sir, I want to make one point clear. The Calling Attention Motion has been admitted in view of the recent developments in Jammu and Kashmir. These recent developments include the dismissal of the Jammu and Kashmir Government headed by Dr. Farooq Abdullah. Sir, from the statement it is also mentioned in paragraph 5 that the Jammu and Kashmir Government headed by Dr. Farooq Abdullah has been dismissed. As my hon. friend, Shri Gurupadaswamy has also mentioned that the greatest events which have taken place in the Jammu and Kashmir recently and of late, is the

[Shri Dipen Ghosh]

dismissal of the Government. That is the Council of the State. This is the Council of the State and Jammu and Kashmir as a State is included.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: But what about the constitutional position. That is the point.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Sir, the point is that the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir is appointed by the President of India under the Constitution of India. We are entitled to discuss the conduct of the Governor in the Council of States and it is enjoined upon the Minister of Home Affairs to reply to the questions raised by the Members here in that connection.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Advani.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I can appreciate the Home Minister being somewhat discreet when he replies to the various questions posed by the Members. Maybe even the hon. Member who initiated the debate has raised so many issues and so many questions which the Home Minister may regard as totally irrelevant to the subjectmatter of the debate today and not reply at all. But so far as the Calling Attention notice is concerned, for one thing, the framing of the Calling Attention notice itself is not exactly as most of us had given. We had referred to the dismissal of Dr. Farooq Abdullah and we had described it as a violation of constitutional norms and, therefore, we brought it within the purview of Parliament-not merely a State matter. But in the Secretariat, maybe to accommodate all the different Calling Attention notices, it was framed as regarding "recent developments in Jammu and Kashmir". On the basis of that, the Minister has made a statement. Now, my point of order is that in this statement, in paragraph 5 he has referred to the fact that "the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir dismissed the Ministry

headed by Dr. Farooq Abdullah on 2-7-1984 and sworn in Shri G. M. Shah as Chief Minister to form a new Government under the provisions of the Constitution of the State of Jammu and Kashmir." There have been so many other events also in Jammu and Kashmir. He has not referred to them, but he has identified this and rightly so, I would say. If he had omitted to mention this, I would have, right at the outset, objected to it and I would have said, "No purpose would be served". But he has mentioned it himself. Therefore, he has allowed this House to discuss the constitutionality of it. Sir, Jn article 355 of the Constitution of India, it is stated;

"It shall be the duty of the Union to protect every State against external aggression and internal disturbance and to ensure that the Government of every State is carried on in accordance with the provisions of this Constitution."

And when you talk of the provisions of the Constitution, it does not mean merely the letter of the Constitution or the specific provisions in articles 1, 2, 3, 4 and so on. But it is also refers to the norms of the Constitution which are evolved in course of time. We will be referring to all the Speakers' conferences and Governors' conferences. And here the Governor happens to be an appointee of the President of India. Suppose, for instance, the Governor does something absolutely unconstitutional against the Jammu and Kashmir Constitution. against the Constitution of India, do you mean to suggest that we cannot discuss it? So, I entirely appreciate that he need not answer every question and formulation. He knows best. But at the same time, right at this stage when the matter was raised, and which is the crucial matter, as to how Farooq Abdullah's Government was dismissed, for the House Minister to raise this in a manner as to stall the debate right here, I think, is not proper. Therefore, under article 355...

P. V. NARASIMHA SHRI RAO: Now I'have understood the point. I have not stalled the debate. I am not going to stop Members from making the speeches that they have come prepared to make.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: You want to circumvent the debate.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: No. What I am saying is, here is a constitutional difficulty. I am drawing the attention of the House even in the beginning so that I may not be blamed that they have made so many points and I have not replied to them. That is why as a matter of abundant caution, right in the beginning I have placed the constitutional position and my difficulty for the consideration of the Members; I have not gagged them; I have not stopped them from making any speeches that they want.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH (Rajasthan): Sir,...

MR, DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now the matter is over. Mr. Malaviva. The position has been clarified. (Interruptions)

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: It has Points of order not been clarified. are the right of each individual Member.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: What is your point of order? Now this point is over. What is your next point of order?

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: I accept this matter is over now. If you exercise a little patience, I will explain.

1.00 P.M.

श्री उपत्रभापति: मैं कहां नाराज हो रहा हं, ग्राप नाराज देखते हैं यही गड़बड़ है। ग्रापको हर कोई नाराज दिखाई देता है। ... (च्यवधन) वह डिसकशन योवर हो गया है, यह जो प्वाइंट उठा था। ग्रव इपरी बात उठानी है तो उठाइये।

matter of urgent PublK Importance

एक माननीय सदस्य: घवड़ाइए नहीं।

थो जसवन्त सिह: मैं घवड़ा जाता हं, जल्दी सहम जाता है।

श्रो उपलगपति: दूसराहर एक को घवड़ाया दिखाई देता है, खंद नहीं दिखाई देते हैं।

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Mr. Deputy Chairman, in today's debate we have witnessed three unusual steps: the first, regarding the intervention by the honourable the Home Minister. My esteemed colleague has already referred to it; so I shall not reiterate it. The second to which I shall draw your attention is that we have witnessed, by implication, the intervention of the honourable the Home Minister which came only when there was a critical reference to the Governor's conduct...

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: No, no. Please don't really go to that extent. It was mentioned for' the first time. As I said, . by way of abundant caution I made my position clear. If somebody had really praised this action of the Governor to the skies, even then I would have stood

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I think that is enough. Now let us proceed.

संक्षेप करिए, काफी हो गया है।

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : इतना टाइम तो पाइंट ग्राफ ग्राईर में चला गया। ग्रभी मैं केवल चार मिनट बोला हं।

श्री उपसभापति: अब आप खत्म कर लीजिए।

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय: मैं यह निवेदन कर रहा था कि चूंकि माननीय गृह मंत्री के वक्तव्य में इस वात की चर्चा की गई 2 :

"The action taken by the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir..."

भ्यो उपसमायति : जल्दी ग्रामे पृष्ठिए ।

श्री सत्त्र प्रकाश मालवीय : सुनिए तो, मान्यवर, भ्राप क्यों धैयं खोते हैं। माननीय गह मंत्री जी बहुत अच्छे वकील रहे हैं ग्रधिवक्ता रहे हैं ग्रीर मैं इस बात को साबित करने की कोणिश करूंगा कि जम्मु-काश्मीर के राज्यपाल की कार्यवाही असंवैधा-निक है। जम्म-काश्मीर में ... व्यवधान इस बात का प्रावधान है कि केवल तीन बात छोड़ कर वहां के राज्यपाल is bound by the advice of the Council of Ministers. 15 ग्रादमियों ने लिख कर दिया। एक जून की रात को टेलीफोन पहुंचता है भवनंर साहव के पास कि हमारे जीवन को खतरा है रात भर हम लोग प्रांकर राज भवन में रहना चाहते हैं, वहां सीना चाहते हैं, हमें सुरक्षा दीजिए। गवनंर साहव ने कहा कि भापको सुरक्षा तो पूरी दी जायेगी लेकिन सुबह पांच साढ़े पांच बजे राजभवन में दाखिल होइए। सुबह पांच साढ़े पांच यजे 12 मादमी जिन्होंने दल बदला, जो दल बबल् थे... (स्थवधान) ये 12 आदमी दो ध्रन्य और 15वां जो वहां की विधान परिषद् के सदस्य थे हमारे जी । एम ० शाह, ये 15 वहां जाते हैं भ्रौर राज्यपाल को कुछ लिख कर देते हैं। डा॰ फारुख अब्दुल्ला उस वक्त वहां पर मुख्य मंत्री थे जब वे वहां जाते हैं तो राज्यपाल महोदय को उन्होंने दो सलाह दीं। उन्होंने यह महा कि ग्रापकी जो बात है यह संदेहास्पद है। यह मामला राजभवन में तय नहीं होना है, यह राजभवन के बेडरूम में तय नहीं होगा बल्कि जम्मू-काश्मीर की विधान सभा में तय होगा। उन्होंने केवल दो सलाह दीं। एक सलाह दी कि मैं विधान सभा बुलाने को तैयार हूं, जब भ्राप कहिए मैं खुला लूं और मैं भपना विधान सभा में बहुमत साबित कर दूंगा भीर दूसरा उन्होंने कहा राज्यपाल महोदयं से कि अगर आप इससे सहमत

नहीं हैं तो मैं अपने प्रदेश के लोगतंत्र के जो मालिक है जो प्रदेश की जनता है उनके सामने जाने के लिए तैयार हूं, आप विधान सभा को भंग कर दीजिए और चुनाव करा दीजिए ।

🗗 खद यह साबित करने की कोशिश कर रहा हु कि जम्मू-काश्मीर का जो संविधात है उसके अन्दर्गत विधान सभा बलाने के लिए धगर कोई मुख्य मंत्री अपनी सलाह राज्यपाल को देता है तो राज्यपाल बाउंड है उसकी सलाह मानने को, तो जो तीन प्रावधान है कैने जिनके बारे में ध्यान आकशित किया है। उसके अलावा बाकी सब प्रावधान के अन्तर्गत मध्य मंत्री की सलाह से बाउंड होना चाहिए था। मुख्य पंटी ने कहा कि ग्राप विधान सभा बलाइये, राज्यपान महोदय नहीं मानें, मध्य मंत्री ने वहा कि दिधान सभा भंग किए, राज्यपान महोदय रही माने, मध्य मंदी ने कहा कि स्नाप चनाव कराइये राज्यवान महोदय नहीं माने।.. (व्यवधान) मान्यवर, 1968 में स्पीनर्स कान्फरेंस हुई थी और श्री संजीव रेडडी उस वक्त लोक सभा के ग्रध्यक्ष थे उन्होंने उसकी क्रज्यक्षता की थी। और उनका यह भाषण है, भान्यवर, इसको पढ़ कर पे समय नहीं खराव करता चाहंगा लेविन वहां पर यह तय हता कि विधान सभा में कौन धरूरमत में है और कीन बहमत में, इसका फैसला विभान संभा में होगा। उसके बाद, मान्यवर, एक राज्यवालों की कमेटी है, उसने फसला किया कि इस बात का फैसला विधान सभा में जाकरके होना चाहिए। लेकिन कितनी भी लोबतंत्र श्री मान्यवाएं है, जो भी संविधान था, उसका खण्डन किया गया संविधान को हस्या की गई ग्रीर 26 जून को वहां मणती मोहम्मद सईद साहब कांग्रेस पार्टी के ब्रह्मक्ष हैं---पहली जुलाई को जाकर के फारुख ग्रब्द्रल्ला की सरकार गिरती लेकिन 26 जून को हिस्दुस्तान भर के

समाचार-पत्नों में मुफ्तो मोहम्मद सईद साहद का अवऽव्य प्रकाणित हुखा—

There shall soon be a pleasant surprise in the Government of Jammu and Kashmir.

यानो जम्मू-काशमीर की सरकार में बहुत शोद्राएक सुबद परिवर्तन होने जा रहा है क्योंकि यह बेचारे सद दुख में बँठे हुए थे ।

फारूब अब्दुल्ला को गिराने को मंशा क्या थो? फारूब अब्दुल्ला जो लोकतंत्र को माग्यताएं हैं उनके लिए इस देश में घूम-घूम कर के काम कर रहे थे, फारूब अब्दुल्ला कोशिश कर रहें थे कि जम्मू-काश्मोर को यहां की में स्ट्रांम से निकाल करके भारा राष्ट्र की मेनस्ट्रांम में लाकर जोड़ा अप्, लेकिन चूंकि वह इंदिरा गांधो परिवार हैं। मका नहीं थे, इन्दिरा मासन, इन्दिरा सरकार को जो दुर्नीति थो, उसका पद्मिक्षण कर रहे थे, इनिवए मान्यवर, फारूब अब्दुल्ला को सरकार को गिराया गया।

संत में एक बात में और अर्ज करना चाहता हूं। जम्मू-काश्मीर में एक एंटि-डिफेनशन ला है। जहां तक मेरो जानकारी है, न तो केन्द्र में और न जम्मू-काश्मीर को छोड़ कर किसी और राज्य में एंटि-डिफेनशन लावनाया गया है। एंटि-डिफेनशन ला में इत्यात का प्रावधान हैं कि जैसे हो कोई विधान सभा का सदस्य अपना दन बदनेगा, उसके विधान सभा को पदस्य समाप्त हो जाएगी।

श्री उप सभापति : बहतो अदालत में पेश मामला हैं।... (ब्यवधान)।

**एक मातनीय सद**स्य ः चरण सिंह्कसे बने थे ? श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालबीय : मैं बताऊंगा कि कसे बने थे। .. (व्यवधान) । मान्यवर, इस देश में ... (व्यवधान) ... केवल 15 आदिभियों की सूची बहां पर दो गई और वह आदिमी डर के मारे रात भर राज भवन में धूमते हैं, राज भवन के बड रूम में घूमते हैं, और पन्द्रह आदिमो बाहर निकलते हैं राज्य के मुख्य मंत्री और मंद्री बन कर के ।

माननोय चौधरी वरण सिंह ने जब सूची प्रस्तुत की थी, तो 288 लोक सभा के सदस्यों की सूची उन्होंने प्रस्तुत की थी। माननीय चरण सिंह जी ने जो मंत्रिमण्डल बनाया था, उसमें केवल 30 या 35 को मंत्री बनाया था। यहां पर 12 दलबदलुश्रों की सरकार बनाई और सब के सब केबिनेंट स्तर के मंत्री, न उसमें कोई स्टेट मिनिस्टर श्रीर न कोई डिप्टी मिनिस्टर।

इनिज्ए, मान्यवर, मेरी इस सरकार से मांग हैं कि जम्मू-काश्मीर की जो हालत है, वह बहुत हो खराब है, वहां पर ग्रशान्ति का वातवरण है और उसके कारण सारा देश प्रभावित हो रहा है। एक सप्ताह पूर्व वहां पर पांच लाख जनता निकली थी और ठांक उसी तराके से नाग लगाया था कि—

"इन्दिरा गांधी गद्दी खाली करो, जिल्लासन खाली करो, जनता कहती हैं।" उसी तरांके से काश्मीर में पांच लाख लोगों ने नारा जगाया है। इसिलए, मान्ययर, डा॰ फाइक्ख अब्दुल्ला की बात को मैं दोहराता हूं और निवेदन करता हूं कि केन्द्र सरकार में बैठे हुए हैं, उनको सद्बुद्धि आए और बहु जम्मू-काश्मीर की आज फरजी ढंग की सरकार जो बनाई हुई है, उसको खारिज करके इस देश को जो मालिक है—देश की जनता—उसकी ख्वाहिश के मुताबिक चुनाय करवार्ये। जहां तक वह हल्ला करते हैं मैं

## [श्रो सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय]

इसवात का आदो नहीं हूं। उनके नेता ने परतों यहां कहा था कि उधर के लोगों से कुछ सोख लिया है, तो इधर स कुछ गड़बंड़ बात हो जातो है, तो काहे को सोखते हो। जा ब्रच्छा सोख है, उसको सोखो ।

श्रा उपतभापति: सदन को कार्य-वाहो 2वज कर 10 मिनट तक के लिए स्थगित को जातो है।

> The House then adjourned for lunch at ten minutes past one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at twelve minutes past two of the clock, Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Yes, Mr. Gopalsamy,

SHR1 V. GOPALSAMY. Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, the coup conspiracy, well designed and planned in New Delhi, to topple the Government of Dr. Faroog Abdullah was very efficiently accomplished by the chosen agent of the Government, Mr. Jagmohan, who is famo\*s for his demolishing act, for demolishing the buildings and huts in Delhi, and now he has' fulfilled the task of demolishing a State Government.

Sir, the Governor went against the Constitution of the State and the precedent of, 1977 and also against the guidelines of the. Speakers' Conference and also the Governors' Conference. Unlike the case in our Constitution, section 35(2) of the State Constitution provides like this:

> "All functions of the Governor except those under sections 36, 38 and 92 shall be exercised by him only on the advice of the Council

· of Ministers."

The Constitutional experts are clear that th(> Governor was bound to accept the advice of the Chief Minister even if he had lost the majority in the State Assembly. That was done in 1977 when Mr. Sheikh Abdullah advised the Governor to dissolve the Assembly so that fresh elections could take place.

Sir, in this connection, I would like to quote no less a person than the former Speaker of the Lok Sabha which would be very relevant at this juncture:

"In no circumstance will it be left to the Governor to determine whether the Chief Minister continues to enjoy the support of the majority of the members or not. Even if the members make their opinion known to the Governor in writing, it is the prerogative of the Assembly to decide the issue."

Sir, a Committee of Governors was set up by the President which had submitted its document in 1979. These Governors are hand-picked by Mrs. Gandhi. In that document, Sir, they have clearly stated:

"When the Governor is satisfied, by whichever process or means, that the Ministry no longer enjoys the majority support, he should ask the Chief Minister to face the Assembly and prove his majority within the shortest possible time."

Here was a Chief Minister, Dr. Farooq Abdullah, who was very much ready to face the Assembly. (Interruptions) Please listen. It will be very relevant if I quote the same document which was submitted by the Governors in 1971. I quote:

"In the case of. a Chief Minister heading a single party Government which has been returned by the electorate in absolute majority if the ruling party loses its majority because of defection by a few members and the Chief Minister recommends dissolution so as to enable him to make a fresh appeal to the electorate, the Governor may grant a dissolution. The mere fact that a

lew members of the party have defected does not necessarily prove that the party has lost the confidence of the electorate."
(Interruptions)

CaUing Attention to r;

You are creating new theories. You are splitting parties. You are weaving a new theory. This is your democracy. .. (Interruptions) You are the prime accused, the Congress(I). You are the real culprit. (Interruptions) You have destroyed democracy in Jammu and Kashmir. You do not have the patience even. When Mr. Ramanand Yadav was speaking and he was making accusations against the Farooq Government, I kept quiet; I did not interrupt. Why don't you have that patience and listen. You have no regard and respect for democracy. (Interruptions') You people belonging to the Congress Party have no respect for democracy. You do not have any respect for democracy. (Interruptions)

AN HON. MEMBER: You are misguiding the House. (Interruptions)

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM (Tamil Nadu): Sometimes due to provocation they can use certain words. If they interfere like this, the Rules will have to be changed. When we discuss this problem, let us discuss the problem. I think it is better not to interrupt. (Interruptions)

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY; Here is a letter of Mr. Jagmohan to Dr. Farooq Abdullah of July 2nd; the time is 7 a.m. I quote:

"When we met and discussed the matter you advised that I impose Governor's rule under section 92 of the J- K. Constitution and keep the Legislative Assembly under suspended animation. I would be grateful if you kindly send me your confirmation in this regard writing immediately."

Dr. Farooq, in his immediate reply, confirmed that he had advised Governor's rule but clarified that his first demand was that the "Assembly be summoned forthwith".

What has taken place? He has totally ignored, criminally ignored, the democratic norms, and he has thrown to winds the guidelines which are given by the Speakers' Conference and also by the Governors' Conference

Sir, now I understand that whenever a Government is a non-Congress Government, if it is not toeing line of the Central Government, that Government will be immediately This toppling game started toppled. in the year 1951 itself. I have great respect for Pt. Nehru who was a democrat. Even then he fumbled. In 1951, when a conflict between Bhim Sen Sachar and Gopi Chand Bhargava took place in Punjab, his Government was toppled. In 1959 when the non^Congress Government took over in Kerala, it was toppled. And it is a paradox that Mrs. Indira Gandhi was the President of All-India Congress Committee. So the same toppling game is continuing throughout the country. (Time bell rings).
The Pondicherry Government was toppled, the Communist Government was toppled, the Swatantra Government was toppled, the DMK Ministry was toppled and the AIDMK Ministry was toppled. The only remedy is that article 356 should be scrapped. thrown to the dust bin and Governors who become the tools the Central Government may... (Interruptions) The dictum of Machiavelli that ends justify the means has become the golden gospel of the Congress(I) Government and Madam Indira Gandhi. " You did it to achieve the end and then you say that it was done because of these things. I would like to ask the hon. Minister whether it is a fact that Mr. Jagmohan communicated to Dr. Abdullah in that letter that he was ready to dissolve the Assembly. Then there were instructions from Delhi not to do that. There were instructions from Delhi to call Mr. Shah to form the Govern-He has acted as an agent of Central Government. Madam Gandhi's Government has committed

[Shri V. Gopalsamy]

a fraud on democracy. Posterity will not forgive her. Patriotism is not the monopoly of Congress (I) party. You accuse anybody and everybody as anti-national. You have created another Punjab. You have created your own troubles. You have sown the dangerous seeds and you are going to reap the consequences.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Sir, I hope that my friends on the other side will try to understand me. (Interruptions) Or don't try to understand me.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Please address the Chair. Do not talk to them.

MOHI-UD-DIN **GULAM** SHRI SHAWL: There is absolutely no hatred in my mind. As far as the toppling game is concerned, after operation Blue Star in Punjab, we have unfortunately seen this operation Jagmohan in the State of Jammu and Kashmir wherein a duly elected, constitutionally elected, Government was toppled down at mid-night, at 11.30. It is said that some 12 persons including two persons nominated approached the Governor at night and told him that they have no confidence in Dr. Farooq Abdullah. In the morning at 7.00 A.M. (Kindly consider this aspect) the Governor calls the Chief Minister. And who is sitting there. He is no less a person than the Chief Nol them Command, General Chibbar. What was the idea in that? Do you realise that?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please do not refer to the Army personnel by name. They are not involved in this.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: We are not to refer to the Army. We are not to refer to the Constitution. What are we to talk about?

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: We cannot refer to the Constitution of Jammu and Kaiihmlr. We cannot refer to the

Governor of Jammu and Kashmir. Even we cannot refer to the Prime Minister. The name of the Prime Minister cannot be mentioned. What is this? Then please tell us under what confines we should act in the Parliament.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; know it better. **GULAM** MOHI-UD-DIN SHRI SHAWL; It is a sad story that we will be heroes only if we sing the songs of the Congress. Thank you, Sir. I was saying that at that time, at 7.30 A.M., he was continuing to be the Chief Minister. The para-military troops were flown to Kashmir to every nook and corner. Don't say that these are press reports. I am myself a witness to it. I was in Srinagar. I went there on 2nd July at 9.00 A.M. I was there. And what was there? The whole city was covered by the Army; Army vehicles were moving about, and we were not allowed to alight from the buses. We

इधर काt

माल ले जाइये, उधर का माल नहीं देंगे।

old in a vernacular:

The idea was, do not come out of the buseg as there is curfew. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI ANAND SHARMA (Himachal Pradesh); A new element is being introduced. (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; You go on.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: I want to draw your attention, Sir. So, it was curfew. Who imposed this curfew throughout the city? And the new Chief Minister was sworn in at 6.30 P.M. Till then, the whole city and the whole area was under curfew. Schools, colleges and other institutions were closed, who had ordered this thing? We asked this question. We were told that it is the Governor. It was on these grounds that a correspondent asked the new Chief Minister on 14th of July, in his Press Conference "Are

you ruling the State or is it the Congress from the Centre?" Now, whatever way his answer, the question is that at that time the Centre had managed the whole show and Dr. Farooq Abdullah was asked to resign. Now we ask in right earnest: What fault and what crime had we committed? Our only fault and only crime was and continues to be that Dr. Farooq Abdullah was elected by a thumping majority of 47. (Interruptions) And the Congress lost the poll. And kindly consider this. Just after that we had a publication, "The toppling game in the State of Jammu and Kashmir." What did they say when Congress lost the battle after that election? It was a bitter pill for them to swallow. (Interruptions) I have evepy regard for you, gentlemen. I have no quarrel with you... (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please go on.

**GULAM** MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL; Specially those who understand rae, they know what I say The quesion is that it was a bitter pill for them to swallow, much less to digest<sub>(</sub> that majority of National Conferece were duly elected in the State Legislature. And the second crime was that Dr. Farooq did not join the Congrec<sub>s</sub> camp. On the contrary, he aligned himself with those forces in he country who are for democratic principles and justice... (Interruptions) Sir, I have a publication with me. You shall have the patience  $t_0$  see this. This is the 'Blitz' of 14th July, 1984 therein is a photo of somebody with Bhindranwale or somebody with somebody. And it is given here that it is Dr. Farooq Abdullah at one of the half a dozen conclaves that Jarnail Singh Bhindranwale had at the Golden Temple. Sir, those who have visited the Golden Temple can see that, this is not the photograph of the Golden Temple at all. The ex-Chief Minister is there. He can see that this is not the Golden Temple at all. (Inter-

ruptions) This is part of maligning campaign after the Congress was defeated (Interruptions) Mr. Darbara Singh is here. He can tell that this is not the Golden Temple. Anybody can see. AU those Sikhs and Hindus who have visited the Golden Temple can say that this is not Golden Temple. .. (Interruptions)

matter of urgent

Public *Importance* 

SHRI DARBARA SINGH (Punjab): sir, I rise on a point of order.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL; As far as the publication is concerned

SHRI DARBARA SINGH: Sir, I am on a point of order The hon. Member has mentioned my name. I should explain that Mr. Farooq Abdullah went to Amritsar on the excuse of a marriage and went to the Golden Temple. After he returned from the Golden Temple he met me and said that he has gone there to persue the Akali party to come to terms and to sit round the table. I told him that you have not said that much but you have said that, be firm on your demands as a sacred community and we are going to help you. This is what he said. And not only once, he went there thrice, to the Golden Temple... (Interruptions).

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO (Jammu and Kashmir): You should be ashmedj.... (Interruptions); yiou also stand condemned... (Interruptions).

SHRI DARBARA SINGH; Hon. Member must know the behaviour in the House; he must know the language to use. He has come to this House and he must know how to use the language; he must learn culture... otherwise we (Interruptions); also know what to do. What is he thinking of?... (Interruptions).

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA (Karnataka): Sir, he used the language which ...(Interruptions).

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have not heard anything, and nothing ha»

[Mr. Deputy Chairman] gone on record. Nobody has heard it. It is not on record. Therefore, I am not going io hear it from you.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Don't interfere, don't interrupt, Madam. At least I hoped that you will understand me.. . (Interrupts.ts).

SHRIMATI MONIKA DAS (Karnataka); Sir, he used the words... (Interruptions).

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. Please hear me first. Nothing has gone on record. Why do you want to repeat it and bring it on record?

SHRIMATI MONIKA DAS: What he is saying is all nonsense. . . (Interruptions).

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA; I am sorry> Mr. Deputy Chairman, the words used., .

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: iight; you bring a motion. First of all I told you that whatever he has said, I did not hear and nothing has gone on record. If you want to repeat it and bring it on record that is different.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH. Sir. on a point of order. .. (Inlerrnplinns).

SHRIMATI MONIKA DAS; He was nonsense... (Interrupsaying all tions)

कुनारी सरोज खाफ्डें (महाराष्ट्र) : सम्माननीयसदस्या से हमें यह उम्मीद नहीं थी कि व ऐसी भाषा का इस्तेमाल कर सकते हैं।...(व्यवधान)

LEADER OF THE HOUSE (SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHERJEE): Sir, may I have your indulgence? In this case some Member has unguardedly uttered 20me words. The point ig not, whether it has ,gone on record or not. The point is, some Members have said that they have heard certain words, certain expressions, which

have been used, which are not only unparliamentary, but which should nol be used at all. ft should be a civilised language. In this case, tht best course would be for the Member to withdraw such expressions, even if it hac. not gone on record He should apologise. It is understandable that in a debate of this .kind, tempers may run high. But one cannot use certain words which are abusubmit. sive. I would respectfully Some Members from this side have  $>:n_c\setminus$  (hat they have heard this expression. I would not like to utter this. That is why, I say, it will be in fairness if the hon. Member withdraws thi.?.

(Internipiions)

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: The Lea- ' der ot the House has made a point which is unexceptionable, that if there be a word used which is unparliamentary, it will not be part of the proceeding. I do not think there is anybody who will dispute that point. The point that i<sub>s</sub> being disputed by me, in my attempt to raise a point of order is, a very eminent Member of the House, who has been a former Chief Minister of Punjab, rose on a specific point of order and Save an explanation about the conduct of a certain gentleman who is under reference. (Interruptions). My point of order is, the point which I am trying to assert is, the treasury benche, frequently take recourse to telling the Chai<sub>r</sub> as to how it should conduct it self. (Interruptions) Let me finish. (Interruptions) It is the Chair's prerogative to rule out that which is unparliamentary. the from Youj, Chair, have categorically said that you have not heard what is alleged to l,a -e tern ?po!<.en.

MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: Ail of us heard.

SHRI **JASWANT** SINGH treasury benches continue to make efforts to make you hear what you have not heard. (Interruptions) 's net part of the treasury b^chiS\* function...

SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK (Haryana)- You have not toe courage to ask him

के लोगों ने मुना है। (ब्यवधान) ग्राप यहाँ आकर गाली देते रहे और हम च्प बैठे रहे, कैंस हो सकता है (व्यवधान)।

श्री उपतमापति: एक ग्राटमी बोले तब तो मैं सुनुं। इतन ग्रादम) एक साथ कहेंगे तो मैं कैंस मून सकता हूं। ((व्यवधान)।

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: My specific point is, is it part of the treasury benches' function to make you hear what they want you to hear and to make you say what they want you t<sub>0</sub> say? It i<sub>s</sub> not part of the function Of any Members of the House to attempt to make the Chair conduct the proceedings of the House, as per their wish, in the manner they want. The Chai, will conduct the Business of the House in accordance with the Chair's understanding, a<sub>s</sub> to how the business should be conducted. It is not given to the treasury benches.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE (Maharashtra): We have long Parliament to know that been in that it j<sub>s</sub> the business of the Chair to conduct proceedings of the House. Therefore, the hon. Member would remember that well to we know that it is none of our business to tell the Chair how it should be done. The Leader of the House was not on the ground of expunction which is your jurisdiction. He was on a higher plane. The Leader of the House said that in the rush of the moment if a word slipped out, which the Member alone will know, the best course would be not only to withdra<sub>w</sub> it but  $t_0$  apologise. This is a clear submission of the Leader of the House to you, Sir, and to the Member concerned. Now this statement has been distorted by Mr. Jaswant Singh and he says that this side is trying to teach the Chair conduct the House. This is extremely unfair, unjust and unworthy o: him.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO: If the reference of the hon. Leader...

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. Just a minute. Mr Matto, please sit down.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MAT-TO: Sir, what I want to submit is, I am one not one of those who would make abuses or use any derogatory remarks. I have said and I repeat what I have said, Mr. Dar-(Interruptions). Singh... ase sit down. (Interruptions) Please listen to me...-in your lime the Golden Temple was converted into an arsenal, you should not speak in those terms. That is all that I have said. What is the harm in it? Even if the President of India had said the same thing. .. (Interruptions). What i<sub>s</sub> the harm in it?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I think, now the Members should be satisfied because he has said that what he had said was that much, nothing else. Therefore, we accept that version.

SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MA-LIK: Give a warning to the Member (Interruptions).

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Sit down.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: We accept that. We fake that he is a gentleman.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yes. Mr Shawl. "

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHA-WL: I was submitting that after the election of Dr. Farooq Abdullah getting the majority in the House and after having been installed as Chief Minister it was very difficult for the Congress to swallow this bitter pill, much less to digest it. So, vilification continujed and this politics was taken to street. It was started in the valley as well as in other parts of the State.

Here, Sir, I have certain extracts from the speeches of these people belonging to Congress.

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, I am on a point of order. It is a relevant point of order.

He has just said that he is going to quote certain extracts of the speeches of certain persons. I would like to know what is the authenticity of those speeches from which he is gong to quote? (Interruption) Sir it is the (convention of this House that if any controversial thing is going to be brought to the notice of the House, Igteneral permission of the Chair is taken. Have you granted him the permission to quote such things here which are misleading?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Let us see what he is going to quote. He will give full reference

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL; i am quoting the official organ of the Congress there in the State, 'Khidmat' "The struggle against the National comfcence activities will continue without any break." That was on 29th June, 1983. This  $i_s$  said by Shri Mufti, President of the Congress (I) there. "Normalcy cannot be allowed to ba restored unless election; are held afresh." Then he says, "the

Comerce (I) wiH not rest, चैन से नहीं बंदन देन unless the results of the election are undone." This is again 'Khidmat' "In any case we will not accept'the defeat before the National Conference Government." And then the biggest... (.Interruptions). We are proud of ...(Interruptions). And what is the charge? That Dr. Abdullah has strengthened the hands of those who want to weaken the Centre for separatist ends. They just want-this is about the Conclave, not about anything else-to destroy the stability of the country. This is Khidmat dated 20th August, 1983. "The Opposition Conclave in Srinagar is a conglomeration of anti-national forces which are bent upon destroying the unity and integrity of the countiy." This was with regard to the Conclave that we had ourselves with them. Not resting on oars, they went to the court of law

under writ petitions against those elections. All the National Conference MLAs 47 in number including that of the Chief Ministe^ were challenged in those writ-petitions. kindly consider one aspect of it. The new Deputy Chief Minister of State, Shri Thakur, came here on the 13th, 14th and 15th of July and addressed a press conferletnce. was put this, question. Kindly conside.- this thing. "What about against writ petitions those the MLAs who have defected?" He said: "They are withdrawn". Now this is strange. Under the Congress rule overnight villains are heroes and overnight heroes are villains. What was wrong with those elections? We have the best example here, the Congress nominated member of Parliament, he will continue to hold that stand. Sitting here did not file any writ petition even though he too was defeated. He said that there was no rigging. He was himself a candidate. But what happened to those petitions? The twelve are withdrawn! elections have now Their become valid! There was no rigging about them! And about others there was rigging. This is how things are going on. Since they joined the Congress they became heroes..: (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude now.'

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Bogus Congress.

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: It was because of the internal rift in the National Conference. Now there are two National Conferences. It has to be decided which is the real National Conference—the one led by Mrs. Khalida Shah or the one led by Dr. Abdullah . . . (Interruptions) And the people think that Dr. Abdullah... (Interruptions)

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL; The Cengress had filed the writ petitions and Mr. Bhandare was their counsel. And Mr. Thakur says that they have been withdrawn.

MR, DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That natter is over. Now please conclude.

SHRI P. N. SUKUL: The Government has not withdrawn the writ petitions. The petitioners might have withdrawn them. What do you mean?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is none of our concern. Anybody can file any writ petition. Please conclude now.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: There is the question that Dr. Farooq Abdullah had lost the majority on 2nd July. We have the best precedent and convention, thanks to the then Government at the Centre when in 1977 Sheikh Abdullah had in a House of 77 only one person person with him—i.e. Mirza Afzal Beg belonging to the National Conferenceand all the other 75 members belonged to the Congress and other parties in the State, and when Congress at that time backed out and said, "we do not have any confidence in the Chief Minister as the Leader of the House", the then Governor and the Central Government—thanks to the Central Government at that time; the people of Kashmir owe much to them on this aspect— dissolve,,} the Assembly on the advice of the Chief Minister who had only one person with him in the year 1977, because under the constitution of the State of Jammu and Kashmir the Government is bound to listen to his advice. Here I have a book.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That has been read. Please don't read it again.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL; I will quote it. It is by no less a person than Justice Anand. (Interruptions). This is the development of the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir by Justice A. S. Anand who, at that time, was a scholr. He gave this as a thesis and got a doctorate for this and now it is in the form of a book. He became a High Court Judge later and now he is the Acting Chief Justice. I hope that

confirmation will not be lacking because he has written things here which are unplatable to treasury benches. Here are pointed out the Governor's powers and the difference between the Indian Constitution and the Constitution of that State. "No shall be..." (Interruptions) ...

SHRI MADAN BHATIA (Nominated): Point of order... (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Don't disturb him... (Interruptions)...

SHRI T. THANGABALU (Tamil Nadu): Sir, Point of order. (Inter. ruptions)...

AN HON. MEMBER: They are doing this deliberately. (Interruptions) ...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: May 1 request some hon. Members on this (Interruptions)... side... Please take your seats. At least you know the courtesy that when I stand, everyone has got to sit down.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: They only....

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down yourself. When a Member rises on a point of order... (Interruptions) ... First of all hear me. When a Member wishes to raise a point of order, I may permit him, may not permit him. But, please permit me to decide to allow or not to allow him... (Interruptions)... Don't stand up everytime as if he needs the support of others to raise his point of order. ... (Interruptions) ... Have patience. Let him say ... (Interruptions)... All right you also speak along with him.

SHRI MADAN BHATIA: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I have been listening to the speeches. I have never interfered with the speech of any hon. Member from any side of this House, but the hon. Member at this stage has come to the discussion of a relevant provision of the Jammu and Kashmir Constitution concerning the

[Shri Madan Bhajtia]

powers and the conduct of the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir. I respectfully submit, Sir, that this raises a question of far-reaching constitutional importance so far as the powers, the jurisdiction and the privileges of this honourable House are concerned, I am sure the hon. Member swears by article 370 of the Constitution. Under article 370 of the Constitution the President has been given the power to provide, by means of an Order, as to which provisions of the Constitution of India would apply so far as Jammu and Kashmir is concerned. The President of India had made this Order in 1954, as amended from time to time. This particular Order says that the following provisions of the Constitution of India would apply and the following provisions of the Constitution of India will not apply. There is one portion of this Order which says, "Articles 153 to 217' of the Constitution of India shall stand omitted so far as the State of Jammu and Kashmir is concerned." It is these particular articles of the Constitution of India which embody the fact that there shall be a Governor of the State, there shall be a Council of Ministers to aid and advise the Governor ol' tlie State and that the Governor shall act on the aid and advice of this Council of Ministers, and the Council of Ministers shall hold office under the pleasure of the Governor. These particular provisions of the Constitution of India have .been omitted so far as Jammu and Kashmir is concerned. So far as the powers of the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir are concerned regarding the fact as to whether the particular Council of Ministers can continue or cannot continue, that power does not flow, I respectfully submit . . . (Interruptions) .. . You can say whatever you like ..., {Interruptions) ...

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: I know what I speak.

SHRI MADAN BHATIA: That power of the Governor of the

State of Jammu and Kashmir does not flow from article 164 of the Constitution, but from an independent Constitution viz., Jammu and Kash-mir Constitution. The hon. Member, Mr. Advani, has relied upon article 355 of the Constitution only to justify the power of this honourable House to discuss the conduct of the Governor, and I respectfully submit ... (Interrwptions)

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: It is a speech. It is not a point of order.

tt SHRI MADAN BHATIA; You are not prepared to listen even to the Constitution. Article 355 says...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Leave that. Mr. Bhatia, what is your point of order?

SHRI MADAN BHATIA: I am closing, Sir. It says: "It shall be the duty of the Union of India to ensure that the Government of every State is carried on in accordance with the provisions of this Constitution." "This Constitution" means Constitution of India, from which article 161 has been omitted so far as Jammu and Kashmir is concerned. And so far as Jammu and Kashmir is concerned, it is not this Constitution which is applicable but the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir. Aricle 355 does not apply.

SHRI K. MOHANAN (Kerala): What is the point of order?

**GULAM** MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: I am grateful to my hon. friend for enlightening us that there is Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir. For his satisfaction we have our own flag and the Constitution. And as far as the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir is concerned, I am discussing the same thing. I am submitting under article 9-2 or section 92 what the powers of the Governor are. And for those powers...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That point is covered. Do not repeat the same point. (Interruptions) He has covered all these points. He has already said all these points.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Now, if thoy show patience, I would finish. I had pointed out it at the inception, that will not take much time but it is not my fault.

#### MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Go on.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: After elaborate discussion the author comes to the conclusion that "the discussion indicates that the Governor in Kashmir is the constitutional Head of the State. Whereas in the case of the Indian Union "it is possible to contend that the Constitution does not sufficiently guard against the President becoming a dictator", in the case of Jammu and Kashmir, it would seem that the limitations on his powers by sections 35(2) and 96(2) of the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir reduce—I hope they understand what it meansthe possibilities of the Governor becoming a dictator to a minimum." I hope, as far as the language is concerned, it is so clear. So, under the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir, he was bound... (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He has already said that. You can draw the conclusion.

MOHI-UD-DIN SHRI GULAM SHAWL: ...he was bound to summon the Assembly at that time, and as per the Speakers' Conference of 1968 enjoying on a Governor to get the proof of the majority or minority of MLA on the floor of the house and not in his bed room, the Governor ought to have followed that in letter and spirit. Thirdly, if he would have listened to them, he could dissolve the Assembly. Now, Sir, much has been said as far as maligning and mud-slinging campaign is concerned, that Farooq Abdullah aligned with various forces, first it was the conclave, and it was said that all these forces in the country are anti-national. Sir,

we would be the last persons
3 P.M. to get certificates for
patriotism from Congress.
We will be the last persons.
Nobody on this side will ever think

of much less talk and demand, a certificate of patriotism from these people in Congress. What we demand is clearly aligning of forces which are for secularism, democracy, peace and progress of the country. We shall be happy to align ourselves with such parties, come what may;

matter o.f urgent

Public Importance

Now, here in the State is one person that is the target of their abuses is Moulvi Farouq. Kindly consider this. They may get disturbed if I toll them the whole story. But let me tell you that this Moulvi Farouq was an associate of the Congress pre-viously. In 1977...

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL KAR: Absolutely wrong.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Have patience. I will let you know everything. K wns in 1977. (Interruptions')

श्री गुलाम रसुल कार: 1977 से पहले श्रापको सजा हो गई थी। ... (व्यवधान) गलत बात है... (व्यवधान) मौलबी फारुख शेख साब के साथ कभी नहीं थे। 1977 में तो सजा हो गई श्री इनको. .. (व्यवधान)।

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: You have said that thing. The question is that in 1977, Shri Morarji Desai, the Prime Minister of this biggest democracy, visited Moulvi Farouq—I repeat, Moulvi Farouqy—at Rajveri Kadal in his home, and he was a Member of the Janata Party, and it was not wrong. He was not a secessionist. He was an aligned member and put up candidates. And one person was duly elected M.LA. from Srinagar on Janata ticket. This is tor his information.

### SHRI GHULAM RASOOL KAR: No.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: There is argument. That is why I did not want to enter into an argument and take more time of the House.

[Shri Gulam Mohi-ud-din Swawl]

Then, in May, 1983, Mr. K. C. Pant—he is not here—was in charge of Congress campaign there. He and Mr. Mohammed Shafi Qureshi, the Congress candidate for Parliament, both visited Moulvi Farouq. They, asked for his assistance. It was refused. Instead Farouq aligned himself with us. And because of this Moulvi Farouq overnight became the villain of peace.

That apart, there is the Jamate Islami. The President of the Jamate Islami comes from home town of our nominated Member of Pariament.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL KAR: Am I the President of the Jamate Islami?

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: No. You understand me. Not you. A gentleman from your town, Mr. Gilani, is the President. Five MLAs were duly elected in 1972 to the State Assembly. Then it was the Congress regime here. What is our fault? We have defeated all the Jamate Islami candidates and they have forfeited their securities. Not a single Jamate Islami MLA is there in the State Legislature. (Interruptions)

Kindly consider. Faroug Abdullah is maligned, and the Government is toppled. We are not sorry for that, absolutely not sorry, because if you thwart, if you smash, if you topple, if you trample the democratic norms, principles, the country is to suffer, not we. We suffer in the process.

.My friend has referred to certain cases. There was the Kashmir Conspiracy Case. Sheikh Abdullah's government was toppled on 9th cf August, 1959. There was later on in 1958 the Kashmir Conspiracy Case. I was accused in that case. For six long years we were in prison, from 1958 to 1964. And what was the ultimate result? The charge was not that Sheikh Abdullah had aligned with Pakistan, not that he was aligned with America, not that there was a conspiracy to secede Kashmir or to create Pakistan. No. It was that he

tried to topple the duly elected Gov-' ernment of Bakshi Gulam Mohammad. That was the charge. What was the ultimate result of that? Finally, the case was withdrawn. It is not true that we were released and Abdullah was released? Then as an emissary of that great Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru he was sent to Pakistan. We need not go into the details now. For what purpose he was sent to Pakistan? But he was such a trusted colleague. First he was a villain and then he was a hero because the case was baseless. As far as political cases are concerned, we are not unhappy, because there is no moral turpitude involved. In those cases I was involved. In any case that was political. Now, for the information of this hon. Member because it is burning in his heart that he wanted to utter something about this case and about ohers. My point is that soon after the Delhi Agreement was arrived at there was coup on the 9th August, 1953. The Government was toppled. Now, for 2,2 years we had suffered. The people of Kashmir suffered. There was repression, oppression and suppression in all walks of life. Do you know how things went for 22 years. How the elections were held during that period of 22 years. It is a well-known fact, that there was me Khalique D.C. who made MLAs. Khalique was the Deputy Commissioner of a particular district who would reject the nomination papers and declare these people as elected. We were imprisoned in 1\*971 and 1972i. You held the Parliamentary elections and the local elections then. My point i\$ 'mat for 22 years we had to bleed and bleed profusely in the Then we did not get whole State. out of the trouble till there was Kashmir accord of 1975. Sir, kindly hear me for some minutes. You know what Kashmir accord is? A person in the history of this country, for the first time, and it is rather for the second time because in 1947 Sheikh Abdullah was installed in power without being a Member of the Legislative Assembly. But still he came to power without being a Member. There

225

Was no Congress at that time. There was no Kashmir Congress. There was Congress at the Centre only. having regard to the great personality and great leadership and past history of Shiekh Abdullah power was entrusted to him in 1974. Then Government was toppled on the 9th On 25th January rather 25th August. February 1975 power was entrusted again to Sheikh Abdullah under that accord. What is that accord? person who was not a Member of any political party or a Legislature was entrusted with power. But he was handed-over power and was made the leader of the Congress Legislature Party in the State. H<sub>e</sub> was having only one Member with him that is Mr. Mirza Afzal Beg. He was also not a member of the Legislature. elections and got Later they fought elected. That was the certificate given by the Congress Government at the Centre to the Congress Government in the State for its misrule of 22 We are so grateful to you at that time, you released us after 22 » years. We had insisted that you have committed a wrong in 1953. Do rectify it. But what are you doing now? repeating the same You are again story. That is my charge. You are doing the same kind of thing, repeating old game. What you did to Shiekh Abdullah. He was a very great man. As far as G. M. Shah is concerned, I hope you too understand he is a lesser But what is the purpose of having him as the Chief Minister? What do you want from him? What is not sq ,great ultimate objective that you have in your mind?

MR. DEPUTY; CHAIRMAN: Please conclude now.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: As far as split is concerned, since 1969 we have been seeing splits in the Indian National Congress. But at that time, who is the ruler or, I should say; who wields the power or with whom does the majority go? It is the person who has the majority in the State legislature or in Parliament.

Whosoever has the majority in the split section is the ruler or the leader of the ruling party. Here 12 persons go out and 33 persons are with Farooq. The split is in favour of minority G. M. Shah or with majority Farooq Abdullah? (Interruptions)

*matter* of *urgent* 

Public *Imvortance* 

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Pleaae conclude now.

MOHI-UD-DIN SHRI GULAM SHAWL: Shrimati Indira Gandhi headed the Government at that time because the majority of MPs went to her side in the Congress. So it was under that rule too, under that convention too, under that precept too 'that it was binding on the Governor to side the majority. Happily, as I submitted earlier with due deference, we do not have a Jagmohan in the

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shawl, you should be careful in using phrases. I suppose, being in the Opposition cannot give you the right anything (Interruptions) say Please withdraw those words. (Interruptions) Mr. Shawl, no I will not allow it. Withdraw those words that you used about the Chair just now. (Interruptions) I say it is a reflection on the Chair. You withdraw it.

**GULAM** MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: No, no, I will be the tot person to make a reflection. Btrt tt you consider it so, I withdraw it. That is all right. My point is only...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Be careful in using words.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: In that sensitive State, this kind of instability is created by the action of a particular person at the behest of the Central Governmenl Are you going to rectify it by recalling the Governor? That is number one. secondly, knowing full well that it is a minority Government, though the backing of the Congress is there, ...(Interruptions) Only 12 persons... (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: please conclude now, Mr. Shawl.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Oil is being taken in tankers to the valley of Kashmir and moneybagig are being flown to Srinagar. This business of purchasing MLA is going on daily. There is horsetrading in that State for MLAs. Are you going to stop this? The second thing is, \_\_\_\_\_

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Advani.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN' SHAWL. I am putting questions.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please don't record him. Mr. Advani wiH go on record.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: \*

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please take your seat. I have heard you for more than half an hour.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: \*

MR. DEPUTY. CHAIRMAN: Don't record him.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: »

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Advani, please, I will not allow Mr. Shawl. I have heard him for more, than half an hour.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: \*

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, T will goby the statement that has been made to-day by the Minister. The statement haB two important aspects one aspect relating to the unity and security of tha country and the other aspect being Ihe constitutional ahd legal aspect in relation io the removal of Dr. Farooq' Abdulah's Governfment and his replacement by the Shah Government. Sir, the concluding paragraph of this statement made by the Home Minister says:

"It is the Government of India's concern that in the sensitive border

•Not recorded.

State of Jammu and Kashmir<sub>i</sub> peace and good order prevails and the nefarious designs and activities of those who are seeking to undermine the integrity of India are effectively checked."

I am sure that this concern is shared by all the Members of this House, by every single Member of this House including my colleague from the National Conference who has just spoken. I remember very well thatlast year, or was it in 1982, when I gave a Calling Attention Notice on the Jammu and Kashmir Resettlement Bill—it was a Bill till then—the Prime Minister herself had referred' to the patriotism of the people of Jammu and Kashmir and if I recall a right she had paid compliments to the National Conference as well. Having said that, if anyone were to read this statement, he would feel that the first four paragraphs are a preamble to the fifth paragrph, though specifically it does not say so. Specifically, it does not say that because of the activities of these anti-national element?, Dr. Farooq Abdullah has been removed But anyone wh<sub>0</sub> were to read the statement would get the impression that Dr Farooq Abdullah has been removed because he was colluding with antinational elements. If there were any doubts about this statement because Tt is written and naturally cautious. because it is from the Government; then Mr. Ramanand Yadav dispelled all those doubts. He left no scope whatsoever for anyone to entertain any illusions on this score. Sir, h're is a situation which follows forri paragraph 4 which I would like to read out to this House. We are discussing the whole thing in a kind of vaccum. According to the Government:

"Since July 1983, (Farooq Abdullah Government was elected in June, 1983 and it means that within one month of his taking over) < he Central Government had been impressing upon the State Government to take firm and effective action against the anti-national, secessionist • and extremist elements:

Till June 1984, the Home Minister sent nine communications to the Chief Minister in this regard. (We do not have a single communication. We have not heard of the contents pf any communication. , But nine communications had been formally sent to the Chief Minister.) However, the action taken was inadequate and often belated and, therefore; made little impact. The activities of these elements, therefore, continued unabated; including hijaking of an Indian Airlines plane."

Now, Sir, this is a very grave charge levelled against Dr. Farooq AbduUahs Government. I cannot hold brief for any Government- which is actually guilty of this kind of endangering and jeopardising the security of the country, including the hijacking of the plane, which; of course; took place one, day after the Dr. Farooq Abdullah's Government was sacked. The date does not matter. If really Dr. Farooq Abdullah's Government has been guilty of this, I would think that this was a more appropriate case for a White Paper on Kashmir and for the dismissal of the Farooq Abdullah Government. It was not necessary for Mr. Jagmohan to procure defections and to create a Government of defectors, that the 12 people should defect and say that they don't hold allegience to Dr. Farooq Abdullah and that they support Dr. Shaw and his Government is formed this way. I would like to beg of this Government that this is not a technical issue. . The issue basically is: Can national security, national integrity, democracy and Constitutional norms coexist or ar\* they incompatible? I, for ' one, believe that national security and national integrity are very important. But we have to preserve these within th? democratic framework that we have accepted, abiding or adhering scrupulously to the Constitutional norms that we have given unto our-, selves.

In this particular case, what has happened is that the Constitutional norms are disregarded. You can have

two views on whether that particular anti-defection law should be there or not. When we were framing the law here—either your Government o-r my Government—when we' thought of » law and when we were framing the Bill against defection—both in your case in 1973 or 1974 when the Bill was introduced and again in my case when the Bill was introduced in 1977> or 1978, in both the cases we had provided for a split. We had envisaged a situation where within a party there could be wide differences leading to' its split. Therefore, we had provided that such a split would not be regarded as defection. But the Jammu and Kashmir law does not provide f6r it. Perhaps thjs may be one of tlie reasons why it has gone to the court of law. This may be one of the issues before the court of law whether In guch a case it Would be deemed a Supreme Court. The High Court of Jammu and Kashmi<sub>r</sub> has upheld it so tliat it is the law of the land.

Here the Governor of the State who is expected to uphold the law of the land, first usurps all the powers of the Assembly. It is said that the resolution passed at the Speakers' Convention is not binding on him. It is not binding, I agree. Therefore I scrupulously and cautiously use the term 'Constitutional norms'.

I remember that at the Speakers' Conference of 1968 the Speaker of Jammu and Kashmir also was present. The Chairman of the Legislative Council of Jammu and Kashmir was also there. And when again in 1971 under the Chairmanship of Dr. V. V. Giri the Governors' Conference was held, one prominent participant in that conference was Mr. Bhagwan Sahay, wh<sub>0</sub> >wa<sub>s</sub> then the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir.

When Jagmohan was face to face with a situation where certain section of National Conference had said; "We no longer owe allegiance to Dr. Farooq Abdullah", in all respects he

[Shri Lal K. Advani]

should have told them: "I will examine and I will see to it that" right thing is done". And Dr. Faroog Abdullah straightway admit. Jagmohan because he told him: "If this is true and if all these 12 people have deserted me, obviously I have lost my majority". -He obviously felt so. But then he told him: "I should be given an opportunity to prove it On the floor of the House or, in the alternative, you dissolve the Assmbly." I think he is entitled to both these rights. The Speakers' Conference gives him the first right and the Jammu and Kashmir Constitution gives him the second right. What has happened is that his advice was thrown out so much so I was surprised to find in the P. S. written by the Governor the following. In this post script to his letter of the 2nd July, he says; "We have since met and discussed the matter. You advise that I impose Governor's rule under section 92 of the Jammu and Kashmir Constitution and keep the Legislative Assembly under suspended animation. I shall be grateful if you send your confirmation in this regard in writing immediately".

If we are to go by the various re-, ports, he himself was inclined to impose the G6vernor's rule, but New Delhi, had different views. This is where New Dlhi come, in because even before the Governor took these steps, he had been oh a visit to Delhi, where he met several snior dignitaries. If you put the whole thing together, right from the date on which Farooq Abdullah Government was elected the conclusion is ine.vit-r able. I would not like merely to draw conclusions. I would only like to read out to you an editorial comment made by a paper which is generally favourable to the ruling party and its owner happens to be a Member of our House, and it is . "The Hindustan Times." Snortly after the plenary session of the Congress (I) in Calcutta, on the 31st December 1983, it wrote under the caption "Foolish Demand" like this:

"Dr. Farooq. Abdullah is not to the good books of the Congress (I). But that Is hardly a justification for some Congressmen to seek the dismissal of his Government and the imposition of the President's Rule in Jammu and Kashmir. Those who raised that demand at the plenary session of th\* party in Calcutta do not seem to know the rudiments of constitutional propriety \_\_\_

I mention the word "propriety" once again. It goes on to say:

"...nor do they realise what is good for their own party. Dr. Abdullah may not be the ideal Chief Minister."

And, Sir, I would agree with the paper. (Interruptions), Dr. Abdullah may not be the ideal Chief Minister. (Interruptions). Just listen. You . are clapping me on this point. But even then, my criticism is this. (Interruptions)

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: It is clear that the BJP never liked him. (Interruptions)

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; It further

"But he is in power."

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: We know that the BJP could never reconcile itself to a Muslim Chief Minister.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; Sir, I am afraid this is hitting below the belt. (Interruptions) That is all that I am say, that I can think of. The editorial further says.

"But he is in power."

This is important because the people elected him and his party to the office. My quarrel with" your Government is that you are trying to subvert the verdict of the people from the very first day, and from the very first day you have not reconciled yourself to that. (Interruptions). Then, Sir, it says:

"The.law and order situation may not be satisfactory. But look at the recent disturbances which show that the Congress (I) workers contributed substantially to these clashes."

It is not Mr. Shawl saying it and it is not Mr. Matto saying it. But it is "The Hindustan Times" which is Baying it. It says that it is the Congress (I) workers who have contributed substantially to the clashes. It says:

"The crux of the matter is that the Congressmen have not yet reconciled themselves to the National Confrence's victory in the Assembly elections."

This is the crux of the matter: this is the crux of the whole matter.

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: It was a rigged election. How can we get reconciled to it? (Interruptions)

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; Sir, friend has made a very relevant point, a very pertinent point. He says that the elections of 1983 were rigged, that they were 'rigeed elections. If it were so, then we owe it to the people of Kashmir to dissolve the Assmbly on the basis of the rigged elections and have a fresh election. (Interruptions), It i<sub>s</sub> all the necessary that we should not continue with an Assembly of this kind which is on the basis of a rigged election (.Interruptions). I entirely agree.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: What is the reply from that side, Sir.

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Our Minister will reply to it. (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Advani, you proceed with your speech.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Sir, I will come to my second point now.

H. HANUMANTHAPPA: Let him tell us how the Janata Gov--nment dismissed the Urs Government. (Interruptions.) How do you justify the removal of the n'ne State Governments by the then Janata Government? (Interruptions)

Public Importance SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEND-RA: You must know . . .

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: How do you justiy the removal of the nine elected Governments in the States when they were in power in 1977? (Interruptions)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDu RA: You must remember that elections were held and puppet Governments were not installed in the States then.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All right. All of you, please take your seats. Yes, Mr. Advani.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Sir, it is said that there was a split in the Nationl Conerence and a section became the true National Conference and the other the bogus National Conference, etc., etc. But I would say that the question as to which is the true National Conference or which is not the true National Conference is a matter to be decided by the Election Commission and not by the Governor . And, Sir, what did the Governor do? The Governor usurped the authority of the Assembly: he usurped the authority of the Election Commission; he usurped the authority of the Speaker, and he usurped the authority of the presiding officer, all rolled into one.

## क्या हो गयां? ब्रह्मा की तरहचार मुख हो गए ? क्या हो गया ?

So, Sir, I wiuld think that, in this situation the right course for him was to have accepted the Jammu and Kashmir Constitution and, as advised by the Chief Minister, dissolve the House and hold an election. That is always the right remedy. I would like to make my point clear. My friend has invoked the Jammu and Kashmir Constitution. I would be the happiest man the "day I do not have to reer to a separate Jammu and Kashmir Constitution and article 370 is abrogated. (Interruptions), He will not agree. But I hope Mr. G. M. Shah wil agree. (Interruptions)

[Shri Lal K. Advani]

Sir, I remember when I had raised the issue of Jammu and Kashmir Resettlement Act and at that time the Government filso agreed with -the view expressed by us here and which was formally incorporated in the letter written by the then Governor, Mr. B. K. Nehru, to the Jammu and Kashmir Assembly when he returned the Bill and said that if this Act becomes law, if it is enacted, it would jeopardize the security of the country, it would open the floodgates to spies, saboteurs and fifth columnists—these were the words he used.

Now, you were critical of Dr. Farooq Abdullah Government. We were critical of it on the very same score. Now you have removed the Farooq Abdullah Government. A Government is now in 'office which is your creation, it may not be a Congress Government but it is yourj creation. (*Iterfoptions*) My charge is that a change has been done only because Dr. Farooq Abdullaha's Government was not willing to play to your tune. That is all. (*Interuvtians*) t

Now, you were critical of Dr. please.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I give a simple touchstone. Sadharan kasauti hat. The Act that you yaurself say is something which is going to jeopardize the security of this country...at least that one single Act, the Jammu and Kashmir Resettlement Act, you ask him to repeal today. He can do it just by an ordinance. It is a toutcstone. Repeal that Act. After all, the Supreme Court can only decide on the constitutionality and the legality of that Act, not its content. And politically. It is harmful, it is injurious to national interest. And, therfore, No.l, I would say that the Jammu and Kashmir Resettlement Act should be abrogated should be repealed, by an ordinance, and No. 2, what is standing in the way of Jammu and Kashmir's permanent integration with India, complete integration with India, that article should also be removed. For my friends here I have a word of caution and advice. I had occasion to

listen to some of the speeches made at the meeting of the Opposition parties in respect of this particular episode. We were unanimous -that what has happened is wrong. But in one of the speeches I heard people recalling 1953. I would advice them, don't recall 1953. You should remember "that in 1953 what happened had the unanimous support of the rest of the country-unanimous, and even a large part of Jammu and Kashmir. Even my friends here from the Communist Party—there were not Communist Parties at that time—also supported Government's action in; 1953. And it was from 1953 onwards that the process of integration started. He was reading out the Order. From 1954 that Order became applicable Earlier, the Supreme Court's jurisdiction was not there, earlier the jurisdiction Election Commission's and earlier article 356 could not be applied. Earlier, there were the Prime Ministers or the Sadr-e-Rists. It is,only after 1953 that gradually Jammu and Kashmir started moving towards parity with all other States. I would regard this as a true touchstone. If you are concerned national integrity, unity and security, I would say that you should move further in that direction and let there be complete integration of Jammu and Kashmir. The<sub>n</sub> it would be a different matter. As things are, I am not willing io accept it. Bring out a White Paper and give me all the 9 communications that you have written to them Under the Constitution, you have the authority to' issue directions to them. Kashmir. Then it would be a different it would mean break-down of the constitutional machinery. You can take action under the constitution. Not that you had to do it by surreptious means. You did it at mid-night by getting hold of all the people and by imposing curfew in order to keep them there. This is not the right course. Therefore, I strongly oppose the removal and dismissal of Dr. Farook Abdullah in the manner in which it has done and I would stand firmly in our resolve to see that national unity and integrity is protected. Thank you. Sir.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Mr. Deputy Charman, Sir; I have carefully read the statement made hy the Home Minister, Mr. Narasimha Rao. I have also carefully and attentively heard what my esteemed colleague,, Mr Ramanand Yadav, has stated. He was not present to hear other Members.

SHRI SUSHIL CHAND MOHUNTA (Haryana): He is present now.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: I am happy that he is present. What my colleague, Mr. Advani, has said holds good. We, have to read the fifth paragraph after Ramanand Yadav has said. Since Mr. Ramanand Yadav belongs to the ruling party at the Centre. I take it for granted that what Mr. Ramanand Yadav has said or has gone on record to sSy is the statement of the ruling party at the centre..

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV: No. Those were bare facts given in the newspapers.

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: I want an information from the learned Member. Is he making the learned on behalf of his Government or as an hon. Member of this House?

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Let him say that he did not represent the ruling party's views.

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV: As a Member of this House, I spoke on my Own behalf. Mind it.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: In view of what has been stated in the statement, I take it for granted. This statement comes from the hon Minister. He has mentioned some of the things. Mr. Ramanand Yadav also made some allegations. Is the Government prepared to bring out a new White Paper on the events that havt taken Place in Jummu and Kashmir. In the White Paper which the Central Government published just a few days ago, nothing has been metioned about the events which have been referred to here as having taken place in rvn the contrary, I have a reply given by the then Home Minister in the Lok Sabha in reply to a starred Question No; 153 on 7th- March, 1984.

It was not far away.' It was on the 7th March. 1984. And I quote it. question was:. "Will the Minister Home Affairs be pleased to state (a) whether Government have received a memorandum on deteriorating law and order situation in Jammu Kashmir, and (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto." And the reply was, andl quote, "(a) Government have received a memorandum which was presented to the President January, 1984 by a delegation the situation in Jammu and Kashmir."\* And what is more important, Sir, answer to part (b) which I quote: Government of India have been in correspondence with the State Government on various issues vitally cerned with national security, integrity and sovereignty. Tlie State Government have recently started taking action against some members, workers of the anti-national and secessionist groups and organisation/' "Sir I quote this again. "The State Government have recently state- taking action against some members, workers of the anti-national and secessionist proups and organisations. The situation is constantly under watch and review by the Central Government.'

Now, Sir, in the context of this. thing, I read from paragraph 4 of the statement which says: "Till June 1984, the Home Minister sent nine communications to the Chief Miniate\* in this regard. However, the actions taken by the State Government was inadequate and often belated.' and, therefore, made little impact." But this was not made known. This was' not made known by you predecessor,

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: There is no contradiction.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH. You will say that there is no contradiction. But, I now come to the question about Dr. Farooq Abdullah. I am not going to

[Shri Dipen Ghosh] bold brief for person. But I have got the clippings since 1983 from where it ia completely evident that there were efforts, there were hectic efforts between the Natonal 'Conference led by Dr. Farooq Abdullah and the Congress (I) to make an alliance so that they could fight together the Jammu and Kashmir State Assembly elections. These are aB on record. And particularly I quote from a report appearing in a newspaper which is very close to the

ruling party, "The Hindustan Times"

of February 3, 1983. I quote:

"Farooq Abdullah is campaigning for Congress (I) Jammu and Kashmir Chief Minister, Farooq Abdullah, has appealed to the electorate here to vote for the Congress (I) candidates in the Metropolitan Council and Municipal Corporation elections scheduled for February 5. Dr. Abdullah, who flew in here told a mammoth gathering at Urdu Bazar that the uinty pf the country was imporant and this could be maintained under the leadership of Mrs. Indira Gandhi. He said that Hindus and Muslims should join hands to fight communalism." Although Although there were differences between the Congress and his Party, he had supported the Congressmen i<sub>n</sub> 1980— July election. Dr. Abdullah said, "To make mistakes was human. But the people should excuse these mistakes and help the Congress (I)." (interruptions)

Sir, there is no wrong in it But there is only one thing. When and why Dr. Farooq Abdullah fell foul Of the Congress (I) at the Centre? '(Interruptions). I am a competent to speak it.

SHRIMATI USHA MALHOTRA (Himachal Pradesh): You have asked a question. ..(Interruptions)

SHRT P. N. SUKUL (Uttar Pradesh) You put it to Dr. Farooq Abdullah. श्री रामानन्द यादव : श्राप यह बतलाइये कि पहले श्रापकी रिशाया के प्रति सहानुभूति थी उस को चीन के प्रति चेंज करने में कितना समय लगा ।

SHRI DIPEN GHQSH: May I know who wiH be replying to my questions-Minister or other Members?

So, the question is, when and why Dr. Farooq Abdullah fell foul of the Congress-I, and for that matter, of Prime Minister, Mrs. Gandhi. To me, he had committed two sins: one sin was that he did not agree to give more seats to the Congress—I as desired by the High Command when there was an effort to make alliance between the two parties, and the second sin was-and that is very imporant-Mrs. Gandhi would have been pleased if Dr. Farooq Abdullah had kept himself confined to the boundaries of Jammu and Kashmir. He fell foul of Mrs: Gandhi because Dr. Faroog Abdullah joined hands wih all Opposition parties on all-India plane to build united movement against the anti-people and authoritarian policies of the Central Government ... {Interruptions}

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZJI: Only to overthrow the Government elected by the people; to capture the power; that was the only object, (interruptions)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Actually, I am not inclined to battle over the sophistry whether the defection of 12 or 14 members hit the anti-defection law of Jammu and Kashmir Government, or whether it was imperative upon the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir to accept the advice of the Chief Minister for dissolution of the Assembly; I am not gong to battle over this sophistry as some of my colleagues have sought to do. To me it is immaterial. Why? it is because the question, whether a State Government will be there or not, whether it is in Jammu and Kashmir or in Sikkim or in Pondicherry or in Har241

yana or for that matter in any other State, whether it is ruled by Congress or rules °y any non-Congress-I; party, is hardly decided by legal and Constitutional quibblings; it is decided by one person at the Centre and in the case of Jammu and Kashmir, it has; she has decided. (InttrxupHons)

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: How. do you survive? (Interruptions)

SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE {West Bengal): It is because of the people.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: I invite all of you; you try... (Interruptions). Sir, I can not speak like this.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please don't interrupt.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Mr. B. K. Nehru was too upright to oblige the Prime Minister's ego and so, a pliant Governor was 'necessary and she found in Jagmohan and Jagmohan did it as several other Governors did in Pondicherry, in Haryana, in Sikkim, since 1959. When Mrs. Gandhi was the President of the Congress and her father was the Prime Minister the first Communist Government in Kerala in 1959 was dismissed.

AN HON. MEMBER: What about dismissal ot 9 Governments... (.Interruptions)

SHRI M. M. JACOB (Keralfe): The machinery of the Government was paralysed.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: What happened in 1967 in West Bengal. You ask the Leader of the House.

SHRI T. THANGABALU: What lappened in 1977? Nine State Assemblies were dissolved. What is your answer for this? (Interruptions)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Sir, j know a rule that when "a Member wants to >ay something, he should do so from lis chair, from his seat. I have been ieeing that some Members belonging to the treasury benches have been this louting particular rule. (Interruptions)

Public Importance SHRI K. MOHANAN: Mr. Thangabalu's seat is not there.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: I attribute the dismissal of the Jammu and Kashmir Government led by Dr. Abdullah to petty partisan interests of the party running the Union Government. The action reveals the anti-people and authoritarian attitude and policy of the Union Government. The fact that the ruling party at the Centre has scant regard for Parliamentary democracy has been proved time and again. (Interruptions) But I say, it is dangerous ... (Interruptions) to continue with this type of acts only to satisfy the narrow partisan interests of the ruling party at the Centre, particula-rly, in a sensitive border State like Jammu and Kashmir. I think, this is a blatant attack on democracy and this attack in a sensitive border State like Jammu and Kashmir will lead to the alienation of the Kashmiri people and will provide a fertile ground for the divisive and separatist forces which are at work in that part of the country and destabilise the national unity and integrity of the country. Sir, you are aware and our hon. Minister, Mr. Narasimha Rao is also aware that my party was the first party on the national plane to point out the danger of the divisive and separatist forces with the backing of the imperialist forces behind them, which are operating in our country, as far back in January, 1982, at the Party Congress held in Vijayawada. It is on record. No national party at that time pointed out this thing. And subsequent events have proved it.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: We did it. (Interruptions)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: But what you did actually? My question to the hon.' Minister, Mr. Narasimha Rao and hon. Member Mr. Ramanand Yadav is, will you kindly look, in retrospect, to the policies and activities pursued by your party during the last so many years in this direction? Did you, party not try to won the

[Shri Dipen Ghosh] Mizo National Front leader, Mr. Lal- 1 denga? Did your party not make an alliance with the Tripura Upajati Samiti in order to gain some more seats as against the leftists? Did your party not make an alliance with the Gorkha League which wanted the the separation of Darjeeling and of a separgte Gorkha constitution State? Did your party not make an' alliance with the Uttara Khand Parishad, which was demanding a separate Uttara Khand State? Did your party not make an alliance the Jharkhand Mukti Morcha in West Bengal in order to get only one seat in Midnapore district as against \*ne leftists (interruptions) If you check up the Election Commission's records, you will find it. (Interruptions) Mr, Kalp Nath Rai, why are you asking them to disrupt my speech? If you ask me, I will sit down. He is showing his hand to stop my speech.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: He is the band master, he goes on showing his hand. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: If you say I will get seated. Why are you asking him and showing hand to stop me? (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now please complete.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: 1 have seen how much time you have allotted to others. You have to allow me that much time.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No. no, please complete.

SHBI DIPEN GHOSH: Why, what, is- wrong?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have covered all the points.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: This point I have never said.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Not thfe point, but other pointg you have

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: This was not covered because this does not come within the purview of this Calling Attention.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Yesterday, when the Punjab situation was discussed, all this came up, how the ruling party at the Centre has been serving the interest of the disruptive and divisive forces in our country at various places. This all came up yesterday. I am only trying to remind them because it hafs come up in the statement.

So, Sir, in view of what has Been stated here and in view of. the danger which the Union Home Minister has expressed, I want to ask a very simple question to all of you besause Ihave been s'eeing so many people who fought' for independence. Do you really believe that you can strengthen or you will be in a position to strengthen the national unity and integrity of the country with the help of those 12 or 14 defectors, the saleable commodity as they are?

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO; This is very unfair.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: This is the question because the people who can split...

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Mr. Ghosh, "they are not here" to defend themselves. You should not call them names. You cannot say whatevery you like... (Interruptions)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: You have raised this question and that is why I am also raising this question. Do you really believe. . .

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Don't say that thing.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Can you strengthen the unity and integrity ot the country with the help of those traitors who can split the party, .whocan split the family in exchange ot some lakhs of rupees? is there any guarantee that they will not split the 

money? And still you are supporting them. What moral value are you going to set up before the people of this country? You are making the Members of Parliament, you are making the Members of Legislative Assemblies, you are making the representative's of the people a saleable commodity.

SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Sir, I am on a point of order. My point of order is that this is a Calling Attention Motion. The convention of this House had been that certain questions were put to the Minister and the Minister used to reply to those questions. Now, Sir, what is going on here? All the mud-slinging, all the misleading statements are being raised. I think the jurisdiction or the purview of the Calling Attention Motion does not permit making of such type of distorted speeches, (Interruptions). I will like to request the Chair to see to this. It has been said by the Opposition that the Chair has to control the House and, therefore, you should use your good office and see that such things are not brought in the House 3ike this

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please complete now. Do not make such observations. (Interruptions)

[The Vice-Chairman (Shrimati Margret Alva) in the Chair].

SHRI VITHALBHAI MOTIRAM PATEL (Gujarat): Madam, Vice-Chairman, I am on a point of order. Hon. Member, Mr. Dipen Ghosh, just now said so many things about the Honourable elected representatives of the Jammu and Kashmir Assembly. Normally we should not discuss these things when the elected Government ol a particular State is there. It is all right if you have allowed it to be discussed, but he should not abuse, he should not cast as persons against the MLAs who are also honourable elected representatives. Qnterrup-

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: That is because I belong to the party which can not be bought. (Interruptions). I am going to conclude.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI-MATI MAGARET ALVA): I know how much time has been allotted to vou.

4.00 P.M.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: You are saying- you are going to conclude, but when?

"SHRI DIPEN ' GHOSH: This is wrong, Madam. Once earlier I pointed out that the Minister of State for Parliamentary Affairs was inciting other Members to disrupt my speech.

VICE-CHAIRMAN MATI MARGARET ALVA): He. cannot instruct me. Please, you finish your speech.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: He was advising you.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI: MATI MARGARET ALVA): Ì am sorry, nobody is advising me. He told me that his time is up.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Who is he'to say that?

THE (SHRI-VICE-CHAIRMAN MATI MARGARET ALVA).. One minute. Did I not tell you just that myself? Even before he talked to me, did I not tell you?

SHRI NIRMAL **CHATTERJEE** (West Bengal): On a point of order. It is not your conduct he is referring" to. He is referring to the conduct of the Minister of State for Parliamentary Affairs whether or not he shoulef be saying those things to you. So why are you defending yourself? You give your verdict On hJs conduct. That is what he desires. Are you going to give'a verdict on his conduct?

VICE-CHAIRMAN MATI MARGARET ALVA): You ar« interrupting your own Member. Mr. Ghosh, you go on with your speech; and finish it.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: I am going to conclude. I want to remind them that the Congress Party had committed a similar fraud in Jammu and Kashmir in the year 1953 when they arrested Sheikh Abdullah after ousting him. from the office of the then Prime Minister of Jammu and Kashmir. It is not that the Congress Goyernment at the Centre did not try other options. They tried with many options while keeping Sheikh Abdullah behind the bars. But what happened? Sheikh Abdullah had to be brought back to the Chief Ministership of Jammu and Kashmir. And now the question has come whether it was imperative upon the Governnor of Jammu and Kashmir State to accept the advice of the Chief Minister. But what happened in 1977? With the withdrawal of support from the National Conference by the Congress, was not the Jammu and Kashmir Assembly dissolved on the request of Sheikh Abdullah as the Chief Minister though at that particular time he was reduced, or his party was reduced to a minority? However, they had to do it. And again I am forewarning the Government and I am forewarning all of you that you are going to commit a similar mistake in the case of hils son. Can you tell me, as the situation obtains today in Jammu and Kashmir, who else other than Dr. Farooq Abdullah can keep the mass of the Jammu and Kashmir with the people of India? Who else is there? You tell me.

SHRI P. N. SUKUL: Shri G. M.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Yes, that answer I wanted to have from your side. Who wa<sub>s</sub> Mr. G. M. Shah?

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: That is the biggest joke.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: He was the General-Secretary of the Plebiscite Front.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL KAR: Congress (I) is there.

SHRI P. N. SUKUL: Majority of the M.L.As. are with him.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: I know, if not better, at least not leas than what you know.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI-MATI MARGARET ALVA): Mr. Ghosh you told me that you are con-

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Because said "G. M. Shah" that is why I had to reply. So now I come to the specific questions. The first question is: is it not a fact that in 1977 when Sheikh Abdullah's Government in Jammu and Kashmir was reduced to minority on withdrawal of support by Congress to him, the Assembly was dissolved and a fresh election ordered? If so, does it not indicate that i<sup>n</sup> not responding to a similar request, the present Governor of J&K resorted to a discriminatory act and did the Centre call for a report from the Governor to justify such an act? 2. Whether the Centre had received any report from the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir as to the fact that the National Conference headed by Dr. Abdullah had lost majority in the Assembly, thereby necessitating his Ministry's dismissal and, if so, on which date and whether the Centre had given the green signal Whether it f\* a in this regard? 3. fact that on 1st July, a day before the actual date of dismissal, a large number of Border Security Force, Central Reserve Police Force and other paramilitary force personnel were requisi-tioned and, if so, whether the reasons therefor were indicated to the appropriate authorities?

4. Whether it is a fact that a large number of Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh police forces were despatched to Srinagaron 1st July and, if so, who ordered it? Whether it is a fact that in order despatch the above 'forces, regular flight schedules of the Indian Airlines were changed and four Airbuses and two Boeings were put to service and, if so, who ordered it? 6. Whether

it is a fact that on 12th July, when some leaders of the opposition parties visited Srinagar and were lodged in a hotel there, they were prevented from meeting the people and their representatives, their telephone lines disconnected and electricity cut off and. if so, under whose orders? 7. Whether it is a fact that the. people were not allowed to observe Martyrs Day-Martyrs Day which was being observed by all the Kashmiri people for the last 53 years and which is a very auspiciou§ day-and certain prohibitory orders were clamped on that particular day and, if so, why? Thank you, Madam.

249

SHRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM (Tamil Nadu): Madam Vice-Chairman, it is with a heavy heart that I have to participate in this discusion. For the past three or four days\(^\) very important issues were forcussed. Whether we discuss the question of Punjab or Sri Lanka or Kashmir, the Prime Minister draws, as she did.in her very vehement speech yesterday -I was listening to it in the Lok Sabha—the attention of the entire nation to the dangers that are threatening us. Even though she did not mention any country, she used the "Imperialism, neo-colonialism, fundamentalism and communalism constitute a serious threat to our security and national unity." If is with that spirit that we should analyse every problem and find a solution. ThV same will apply to the question of Kashmir also.

The action of the Governor, whether he acted under the Kashmir Constitution, whether he violated it or not, is not so relevant. What is the result of hib action, What is the effect on the people of Kashmir? It is, in my humble opinion, doubly provocative. The first provocation is dismissing the Ministry headed by rjr. Farooq Abdullah. The second provocation is installing the Ministry under Ghulam Mohd. Shah—he iis so endearingly call, ed Gul Shah. I come from a distant

State. For us, whether it is Kanyakumari or Kashmir or Assam or Punjab, they all are dear to us. We treat them as part of India. And without Kashmir one cannot reconcile to the concept of Indian unity. That is why from 1946 we have been taking steps to prevent foreign forces invading Kashmir. There are people who are jubilant that that issue is still before the United Nations Security Council.

Let me recall the history because those who forget history, those who forget traditions, cannot show the way for the future. Kashmir has a long history. It has been a target of attack by the British and American imperialism right from 1946. I still remember how we took out protest demonstrations in the South when Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru was prevented from entering Kashmir in 1946 and he was arrested by the British police and brought back. A similar thing happened recently when E. M. S. Namboodiripad of the CPI(M) and M. Farooq, one of the secretariat members of the Communist Party of India, when they went there to study the situation personnally, were not even allowed to leave the hotel. They were not provided with telephones; lights were cut off. They sought to meet the Governor. They are important leader of two parties. For the present generation of Congressmen, this history may not be known. Do they know that the Communist Party has been standing with you, has stood with you in all your actions in Kashmir including the arrest of Sheikh Abdullah? Why? Because we were serious about the imperialist dangers to our country. And such party leader<sub>s</sub> you do not allow. Do you think that G. M. Shah  $i_g$  better and more interested in the unity than E. M. S. Namboodiripad and M. Farooq? Is that your attitude? It is an indication of political bankruptcy on the part of these leaders who are sitting in Delhi. You may say anything against the Communist Party, but you cannot question our devotion to unity, integrity and

matter of *urgent* 252 Public Importance

[Shri M Kaiyanasundaram] security of our country. We have laid our lives, and we are still prepared to lay our lives. That is the situation. When we are trying to bring Dr. Farooq Abdullah—save him and bring him—to the national mainstream, what is your attitude? Should you not encourage and help it? Have you succeeded? You have tried all these things for 22 years in Kashmir. Have you succeeded? Is it not a fact that again Sheikh Abdullah • had to be brought back in 1975 through that accord and put in power? What realistic attitude the Government <vf India should take is the main, question, to satisfy or to respect the sentiments of the Kashmir people. Whether they are Muslims, Hindus or Sikhs, they must stand united to defend the unity of the country and to chase pro-Pakistani and secessionist those •forces who are penetrating into the

Let me recall for the benefit of the present Congressmen who cannot understand even the significance of the decisions. They think that under the umbrella of the Prime Minister, Mrs. Indira Gandhi, they can commit any crime and run away with it because she is there, she will get votes and win elections. That seems to be the attitude of the Congressmen What will be the reaction of the -people of Jammu and Kashmir, if t>.ey listen t<sub>0</sub> th<sub>e</sub> attacks on Mr. Farooq and the National Conference? True, the National Conference was split. What will be the attitude of responsible leadens of the nation?

I am putting this question to the Congress (I) leaders. Was not Mr. Farooq Abdullah till recently running around No. 1 Safdarjung Read calling the Prime Minister, "Mummy, mummy", even more endearingly than Mr. Rajiv Gandhi? What is the crime that he has suddenly committed? He is a young man, may be inexperienced, and" he may not be able to understand the difficulties. In Punjab with

all the experience of suen stalwarts like Mr. Darbara Singh, they were not able to prevent the infiltration. It may be true. I am not having any .brief. But is there an alternative to Mr. Farouq Abdullah who can keep the people of Kashmir united and bring them to the main stream of Indian unity? That is the question which should be answered properly.

I want to recall a statement made - by Pt., Jawaharlal Nehru for the benefit of the Members who are here. People like us have seen'and heard it ourselves personally in those days. This is what Jawaharlal Nehru said:

"One fact however which contributed to our succesis at last, as much as the military operations, was the maintenance, under *the* leadership of Sheikh Abdullah, of the civil administration and the morale of the civilian population."

This is after driving out the Pakistani hordes away from Srinagar. This is what he said. Further, he goes on saying. I quote:

"The civilian population completely unarmed, with an enemy within a few miles of the city. . ."

That is, the city of Srinagar.

"... behaved in a manner which showed .extraordinary courage and coolness. They did sc because they had a great leader and because -Hindus, Muslims and Sikhs, all joined together under him to throw back the enemy and save Kashmir, their common heritage."

This is more important. This fact is one of the most remarkable events of recent times in India and one from which the rest of the country may well take a profitable lesson. These immortal words should be the guiding spirit in dealing with any situa-tion arising from communal forces and disruptive forces, and those'who profess in the name of Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru, should have a proper attitude

,0 the split in the National Confer-;nce., There is nothing to choose oetween the two. That is my humble opinion. If Mr. Farouq Abdullah ia dangerous, the other group is more dangerous. As some of our other Members have said, there are people who have become saleable. How to trust them?

Mr. Home Minister i<sub>s</sub> a clever man. He has proved to be competent to hold any portfolio. I \_ congratulate him for his cleverness and ability. I am sure this was not wrafted by the bureaucracy but by an able politician who can understand. So, he marshalled all the points against Farouq Abdullah. Even there if you could not avoid linking that these reasons, to be reasons for the action taken by the Governor, so what is the use- of blaming the poor Governor.' He has done a job a<sub>s</sub> he was directed to do. But this Army did in Punjab and added to our cause. But here he has brought difficulties to the Government and to the cause of -national unity, by committing this mistake." Madam, may I suggest that\* if the Governor had just remained by dismissing the Farooq Abdullah Ministry it would not have been so harmful. Even then it is a violation of democratic norms and parliamentary democracy. But that can be tolerated. But what is worse is by installing a Ministry insulting the sentiments pf the people of Kashmir whether Hindus, 'Muslims or Sikhs. Don't they be discrediting Farooq Abdllah? You want to win the support of Hindus. You are dividing Hindus-Muslims and Sikhs of the entire population. This is very harmful for the population of the State. For the objective it is sought to beachieved by these changes. So, I demand that to give confidence to the people of Kashmir immediately recall the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir, Mr. Jagmohan and send him somewhere. I do not suggest that Army should be sent back to barrarks. Can these Ministers move about\* Whereas Farooq Abdullah is

able to move. But these Ministers need military escort to go about. Their houses had to be protected. But we must appreciate Dr. Farooq Abdullah who maintained peace in the State. It is because of him there is peace but not because of your police or military or G.M. Shah's Ministry. So, before it is too late I would appeal to the Government of India to recall the Governor, Mr. Jagmohan immediately. Let the elections be held even if it means some time. Take your own time. But let there be a President's Rule not under the Governor. Look at the changed situation. My hon. Minister, Mr. Narasimha Rao has told the Prime Minister also what Mr. L. K. Advani told you. He had hit two birds in one shot. He was opposed to the special status for Kashmir. He is attacking you and trying to win the support of Muslims. Where are you now? Now you are throwing the Leftist Party and BJP together by your mistakes. Congress alone cannot discharge its obligations to mobilise the nation against the dangers that are threatening our country's security and unity. So please be humble and take proper decision. Therefore, I would request that the Governor may be recalled and elections could be ordered. Of

matter of yrgent

Public Importance

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI-MATI MARGARET ALVA): Shri Upendra.

course, take vour time till then let it

be under Centre's rule.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: Madam, Vice-Chairman, I have no doubt that the Congress and the ruling party at the Centre hav§ indulged in themselves in the most dangerous gambling by getting Dr. Farooq Abdullah's Government dismissed by the Governor at a time when the country is passing through bigger crises amanating from the Punjab situation and also the unsolved Assam problem. I do not take it as an isolated case. This is a manifestation of the intolerance of the Congress Party and its leadership of the

[Shri Parvathaneni Upendra]

headed Governments bv non-Congress Parties. It has not happened for the first time. It happened in 1959 in Kerala. It happened in 1967 in West Bengal. It happened in Sikkim. It happened in a number of Congress-ruled States also where Congress Chief Ministers who did not toe the line of the leadership or who posed a threat to her leadership or who tried to become leaders on their own, were sought to be removed by the imposition of President's Tule and the misuse of article 356. We hold the Prime Minister in high esteem. Coming from a State which she represents in Parliament and also as a State which has sheltered in a condition of distress when the whole country rejected her, we know her better. We have worshipped as "Amma", the mother. But we also know a tings of her personality, the trait of intolerance. She cannot countenance opposition, she cannot stand criticism and she is always at the game of destabilising Governments which she does not like.

SHRI BUOY KRISHNA HANDI-QUE (Assam): Why are you making it personal?

PARVATHANENI DRA: This is the background in which it happened. Therefore, as soon as she became President of the Congress, she got the Kerala Government dismissed, and since then, this game is going on. If you take the situation in the States ruled by non-Congress Governments, today many pinpricks are being given. We often hear the Finance Minister needling West Bengal on the question of overdrafts. We see Shalinatai Patils being let loose in Karnataka and trying to purchase MLAs. I hold the hon. Home Minister in high esteem. He never makes any irresponsible statement. But his deputy goes to our State and gives threats.....

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEP-TULLA (Maharashtra): About Shalinitai Patil, that case was referred to in Parliament. He is a new Member; he may not know. He should see the old records. The Finance Minister said it was a wrong allegation. Therefore, he should not say that.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPEN-DRA: There were tapes to that effect

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI-MATI MARGARET ALVA): Tapes are no proof.

SHRI PARVATHANENI. UPENDRA; We have the spectacle of his deputy going to Andhra Pradesh and threatening the Government of Andhra Pradesh: "if you don't improve law and order, the Centre will intervene." I have given a rejoinder: "you better improve law and order in Delhi." Today there was a question stating that the law and order situation in Delhi has deteriorated during the last six months. Therefore, Madarn, ......

SHRI BUOY KRISHNA HANDI-QUE: You cannot allow him to say anything he likes.

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEP-TULLA: Can he say anything under the sun?

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Please. Otherwise I will have to take half an hour. I will finish in ten minutes if there is no interruption.

(THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): You please finish.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA:
They are not allowing me to speak.
Please control them. It has become a
habit with them. We listen to
them. It has become a silently. Why
do they intrrupt? I am a gentleman.
I want them to behave like gentlemen
and ladies.

So this is not an isolated case. This is a manitestation of the intolerance of the Congress <JT> and the Central Government of the non-Congress (I) Governments. Here in Kashmir also, it happened

like a coup, a conspiracy, I can substantiate it by the trend of events which happened. The whole plan was finalised here when the Governor visited Delhi on June 28 itself. Even before that, January 23 this Government was sought to be dismissed on the grounds which the hon. Home Minister has stated in the first four paragraphs of his statement today. That would have been the preamble ot the action on that day. Probably he must have taken it from the statement prepared and kept ready at that time, when they wanted to dismiss the Government on the grounds of anti-national activities and sheltering of anti-national elements in Kashmir. But because of the resistance of the Governor, the then Governor, they could not do it: They held back the plan and the non-cooperating Governor was changed and a more pliable man was sent to execute the plan. This was a well-pianned conspiracy; otherwise, there was no reason for these "dirty dozen", as somebody called them, to go to the Governor's residence the night and ask him for shelter in the Governor's residence for the night. If they were bold enough, if they were guided by convictions and principles, they should have gone to him at day time. did they have to go to him in the night and ask for shelter in the Raj Bhavan? That itself shows that the Governor was a party to the conspiracy. The way he ordered for the crack police regiments from Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh on July 1st itself, even before the Chief Minister was sacked. Even when the Chief Minister was there, without the consent of the State Chief Minister, police forces were brought to the State from outside. All these indicate that the Centre is a party to the conspiracy. They wanted to remove the only Muslim Chief Minister who is getting popular in country which is posing a threat to tho Congress-I in the election because of his position in Kashmir. They were so scared of his growing popularity in the country particularly among the Muslims. Therefore ,they warned to remove him soon as nossib'e and this diabolical plot was hatched. Some honourable Members have already pointed out how 715 KS—9.

matter of yrgent Public Importance

Governor flouted not only the provisions of the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir but also the recommendations of the Speakers' Conference, ihe recommendations of the Committee of Governors set up by the President and also the recommendations of the Admim'strative Reforms Commission. I need not go into all these details. It is very clear that this act was unconstitutional, against all democratic norms and agaiast all democratic principles. One month's, time has heen given to prove the majority of tht Government. But we know what happens in such cases; the way things are happening, the way MLAs are being purchased at the rate of Rs. 15 lakhs or Us. 20 lakhs we can imagine what will happen on July 31st when the vote of confidence will be taken. Madam Vice-Chairman. it is possible to win the toyalty of the people by making them Ministers. You make everybody a Minister and they will be loval to you. Therefore, there is no craestion of not getting the vote of confidence. But where are we gorng by setting up such standards? Are ws helping democracy in this country by helping and encwrasing defections, by putting puppet . governments in office?

It is very clear, this puppet Government cannot run very long. The Ministers, who are so afraid of facing the people, who are afraid of going to ths Governor during the day time and who run to the Governor in the night, who work under the cover of curfew and under Section 144, they cannot survive very long. One day this Government has to go as it happened with a similar Government in the centre in 1979. Yon know the fate of the Government you propped up here for a while. That Government had to go in no time.

Therefore, I plead that this Government should be dismissed forthwith and fresh elections held. And the Governor who restored to an unconstitutional act and who flouted the provisions of the Constitution and acted against all democratic norms and conventions, should also be recalled forthwith. Thank you.

Public Importance

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: Madarn Vice-Chairman, the last para of the statement attracts me and in this para the last sentence attracts me more. The last sentence says. "I would, therefore, appeal to the honourable Members to view the present deevlopments in the state of Jammu and Kashmir in the right perspective." I refer to the right perspective. What should be the right perspective in the case of Kashmir? Shri Narasimha Rao has not explained to the House what should be the right perspective that this august House should share with him. I want to ask him whether it is the right perspective to destabilise a Government which is elected? Is it the right perspective to dismiss a Chief Minister who was not given an opportunity to test his majority in the House?

MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: What happened in 1977 in Karnataka?

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY. You know Karnataka very well, as much as I do. In Karnataka Devraj Urs committed lot of corruption and there was an enquiry \_\_\_ (Interruptions). We wanted election there and the people's verdict. Please do not divert my attention

Is it the right perspective to ask a dozen MLAs who are deserters, dissidents and defectors to go to Raj Bhawanat what time? At 3 o'clock in the night. (Interruptions) Please allow me to speak. They went to Raj Bhawan at 3 o' clock in ihe night when nobody is awake except ghosts and spirits. They went hiding at the early hours of the morning. Were they afraid? You have formed the Government with these people. That is your political perspective.

Shri Narasimha Rao says that Jammu and Kashmir is a very sensitive area. I agree with him. I share his view. It is a very sensitive area where peace, order and normalcy have to be maintained for all time to come. He has said it in the last paragraph. He has also said that unity and integrity of the country should be given top priority. We believe, as others believe on the other side, that every part

of this country should share the concept of socialism, nationalism and secularism. Jammu and Kashmir, being a very sensitive area, should maintain stability, order and peace and the Government there should get the support of the entire population of the State.

The Central Government has played with Jammu and Kashmir wrongly in the past. I do not want to repeat what others have said in this regard. It has been admitted. Let us learn from past history. Is it the right perspective, I ask you once again, not to concede the reasonable demand of Dr. Abdullah? What was his demand? He was told by the Governor that he had forfeited the right to majority. If that is so, that has got to be tested on the floor of the Assembly. You have given one month's time to Mr. Shah to test his majority. But Dr. Abdullah wanted immediate summoning of the Legislature. If he had been defeated, he would have gone, and he should go. But, if he had secured the majority, he should naturally survive and he should stay there as the chief of the Government there, as the Chief Minister of that State. You never gave that opportunity to him

# SHRI P. N. SUKUL: 31st July.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: You never gave that opportunity to him. He gave an alternative, a more credible alternative and a more democratic alternative to the Governor. He said: "If you do not want to accept the first option then give me the second option. Let me go to the poll, let me go to the people." But you did not allow him. You do not want an election there. You went his brotherin-law as Chief Minister about whom we know very little. Perhaps you know more about him. You believe that by his administrative skill and ability he would be able to establish law and order, peace and transquillity in that territory. In the past, I know, durine the regime of Shri Bakshi Ghulam Mohammad, when he was the Chief Minister there for long, there were secessionist activities and there I were extremist elements, communal elements, anti-social elements. operating I know that well, and we had dethere. bated it both in the Lok Sabha and in the Rajva Sabha at that time. Mr. Bakshi Ghulam Mohammad was chosen by the Centre, and we supported him. All of tis supported him. Even then there was trouble in Kashmir. What should have been done on this occasion? Please do not bother about your party; but think of the nation. If there had been secessionist elements, extremist elements, antinational elements, anti-social elements or communal elements, you should have strengthened the hands of Dr. Abdullah. But you issued firmans from Delhi, from ihe Delhi Durbar. Nine letters have gone according to you. By issuing such firmans and letters ....

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTUL-LA: Madam, he says "Delhi Durbar". There is a hotel in Bombay by that name. Is he referring to it?

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: You know restaurants better than I do. I do not known restaurant life. You know it well. You want *to* establish peace and order in that border State by your firmans, through your letters.

MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: What is a *firman?* 

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: Your Government's letter is like a *firman*.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: If I get information that the security of the country is endangered by certain elements, then residing in Kashmir, and I request the Chief Minister to do something about it, is that a *firman!* Is that your interpretation of the Constitution of Jndia?

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: I would say then you had correspondence. If you are satisfied with that word, I would use that word. Are you satisfied with that word "correspondence"? If it satisfies you. I will use it. I do not want to use the word 'firman' because it hurts you.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: You are not hurting my feelings. You are using the wrong word. You are an experienced parliamentarian and I do not expect you to use wrong words. But, if you want to use it, then use it.

matter of urgent Public lmr>ortancp.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY: Thank you for your help. I only say that normalcy, tranquility, the law order, the stability in the State can be established by strengthening popular Government, the elected Government-not by unseating it. The Governor has overstepped his limits. That has been gone into by my friends. He had no business to add a postscript to his letter. If he thinks that the Chief Minister has lost his majority, has forfeited his right to be the Chief Minister of the State and he has no right to make any recommendation to the Governor, how can the Governor suggest in the postscript of his letter that he many confirm whether he would like the imposition of Governor's rule? That is also recommendation. What is the motive behind this? You made up your mind at the Centre that Dr. Abdullah should not stay as Chief Minister. You would have allowed him to be the Chief Minister there if he had toed your line. partisan consideration This is narrow. These things weighted with you. Why? At this hour? After all, we have witnessed the crisis in Punjab. By your action you are extending it to Jammu and Kashmir. By your act you have not brought about stabilisation, but destabilisation there. do not think G. M. Shah wiH deliver the goods. He is a member of the Legislative Council. He does not belong to the Assembly. And his background is known to many of us. Perhaps you know much better as I said. You have trusted him so much. Can he assure vou by his leadership Jammu and Kashmir will be stable, more peaceful and orderly and there will be no secessionist elements in future? Can he assure you that? I don't think you can assure the House either. I feel that various things are happening simultaneously now which are disturbing. You had to impose curfew It has been continued day after day people cannot move about. There havo

[Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy]

been demonstrations. You have suppressed the demonstrations. There have been popular reactions. You have stifled them. But how long? What you have done is most undemocratic and unconstitutional. As I said in the morning,  $y \sim n$ have said many irrelevent things in the statement. The main thing there is to maintain democratic stability^- But political destabilisation have resulted in dismissing Dr. Abdullah's Ministry. I do not want to go to the question of horse trading. This has been your habit or preoccupation. I do not want to go into that. But as nationalists, as democrats, we believe that expediency and of narrow party considerations should not prevail while taking vital decisions. The nation should survive and live united and strong. I presume that all of you agree with me that democracy has got to be strengthened. It has got to be stable and democratic values should be preserved. It should be our task to see that parliamentary system of Government, parliamentary norms, standards and valuues are implemented scrupulously by all of us. If you say that the Janata Government has done wrong in the past, I am bold enough to confess that it was wrong. I say that the removal or dismissal of State Governments at that time-I am one of those who said thiswas wrong. I confess it. I would like you to do the same. I would like you to show some courage and say that what you have done is wrong. Don't follow the politics of piracy. The politics of piracy would land you in trouble. It has landed you in trouble in the past. This strategy which you are practising in Jammu and Kashmir will land all of us in trouble. (Time bell rings). In the end, may I say: Withdraw the Governor. That is a popular demand. Recall him. He has not behaved honourably. He has been partisan. He is working as an agent of the Centre. He has never been independent. He is not going to be a good Governor. Kindly withdraw him, Secondly, remove the Government of Dr. G. M. Shaw. Thirdly, please plan for elections. These are my three demands. With thete words, Madam, I thank you.

MISS JAYALALITHA (Jamil Nadu): Madam, Vice-Chairman, at the outset, I wish to make one point very clear. It is my owa strong conviction as well as the staunch belief and precept of the AIADMK that such a constitutional provision should not be allowed to exist in a democracy, whereby a democraticallyelected government which has won the popular mandate of the people can be dismissed and thrown out of office with a mere flourish of a pen on paper, with one ' single stroke of a pen, whereby the signature of one individual has the power to render null and void the mandate, the sanction and authority to govern a State which has been bestowed by several millions of people.

Jammu and Kashmir is one of the most sensitive border state s of India. It is an indisputable fact that various foreign powers have been consistently trying to infiltrate and utilise this state to achieve their own nefarious ends, detrimental to the interests of India. We are faced with, the threat of destabilisation both in the North-East and the Norla-West where secessionist movements are being carried on which amount practically, to unending armed insurgency. Jammu and Kashmir is one such region.

There can be no disagreement on tlie imperative need for particularly strong and tight security in this region. Anyone who has the welfare of India at hears will agree that we cannot afford any lexity in the matter of security 'Jind vigilance in this state.

We must review some of the recent happenings in Jammu and Kashimir anti the explanations that were given in relation to these incidents. As already stated by the hon. Minister for Home Affairs in his statement and by the numerous Members who have already spoken before me, several unpalatable and disturbing incidents have taken place in Kashmir within one year. Last year on August 15, during the Independence Day parade at the main stadium in Srinagar, when the then Chief Minister Dr. Farooq Abdullah was taking the salute, a crude bomb was hurled which went off in the midst of Ihe stadium. One day earlier, on August

14th—Pakistan's Independence Day—thire -were scenes of iubilation in various parts of Kashmir. The Pakistani National Flag was hoisted on Indian soil. 'Jut when our own Indian National Independence Day was being observed the next day, bombs were hurled to disrupt the celebrations.

Next fhere was also an attempt to blow up a transmission tower on top of Srinagar's Hari Parbat using high explosive. Again in October there was the infamous incident in Srinagar when the one-day cricket match between India and the West Indies was nearly abandoned as agitating hordes broke through the fencing and pelted the Indian players with stones. These militant elements raised slogans of "Pakistan Zindabad" and attacked our Indian cricketers.

SHRI SHARIEF-UD-DIN SHARIO: It is wrong,

MISS JAYALALITHA: Please allow me to continue. On that day our Indian players remarked, "Are we in India or Pakistan? We really wonder whether we ;ire playing in our own country." Our cricketers could not go beyond making such mild comments. But when such disturbing incidents occur in succession, what is one to make of them? Are we not led to believe that anti-national elements were given a free hand to assert their presence and militancy in Kashmir?

What was the action taken with regard to these incidents? No timely action was taken. No proper investigation was conducted by the State Government. Finally the Union Home Ministry was compelled to begin an independent enquiry into these incidents. (Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): Please do not interrupt the speaker.

MISS JAYALALITHA: When Magbool Butt, the secessionist and the terrorist was hanged for murder in Tihar jail last February on 1lth, he was glorified as a martyr in Kashmir by various groups who led demonstrations protesting against his execution and forced the closure of shops and offices.

Now, I personally am not trying to insinuate that Dr. Farooq Abdullah has any covert or over links with Pakistan. But it is very interesting to note that similar protests and demonstrations were held in Pakistan at the same time. All these happenings are a clear indication of the extent to which anti-national elements. secessionists, terrorists and extremists have been allowed to flourish and gain strength in Kashmir in recent times.

So far seven Indian Airlines planes and two Air India planes have been involved in hijackings. Five of them havj been hijacked to Lahore in Pakistan. Out of these, in three incidents, it is noteworthy that the hijackers boarded  $\c$ plane at Srinagar airport in Kashmir.

There were serious charges that secessionists and terrorists were being given combat training in several camps in Kashmir. To these accusations the then Chief Minister, of • Jammu and Kashmir, Dr. Faroog Abdullah, replied that it was only religious training and could not be interfered with or stoped. Afghan rebels are being given training in arms in Pakistan. We all know the identity of the foreign powers which are supplying the weapons and the finances for such training. When the Government of Afghanistan makes this charge, what is the explanation that the Pakistan Government offers with regard to this? The same explanation that Dr. Farooq Abdullah preferred—that it is only religious training. Does this not provide food for thought?

With reference to the recent occirrences in Punjab, vast quantities of was pons and highly sophisticated arms were discovered which had been stockpiled by terrorists involved in the incidents there. There was another serious charge levelled by the Centre that the weapons were smuggled from Pakistan through Kashmir to reach Punjab. What was Dr. Farooq Abdullah's reaction to this extremely grave charge? Dr. Farooq Abdullah replied that it was not the responsibility of the State Government. He contended that the State Police was responsible only for main-1 taining law and order in the State and I could not be expected to keep a watch

#### [Miss Jayalalitha]

over smuggling activities. He insisted that it was essentially the Central Government's responsibility to maintain vigilance with regard to smuggling of weapons through the State of Jammu and Kashmir. Dr. Farooq Abdullah has been making repeated, declarations about his undiluted patriotism and his unshaken fervent belief in national unity and stability. Personally, I do not doubt Dr. Faroog Abdullah's loyalty to the nation, to India. But does not his reply to he Centre contradict his own claim about his patriotism? How are we to reconcile his assertion of unshaken loyalty to India with his reply to the Central Government? When the Centre discloses that weapons have been smuggled from a foreign country into India through the State of Jammu and Kashmir, the then Chief Minister responds with the contention that it is not the job of the State Government to keep a watch over such activities. What conclusions would one logically deduce from an answer such as this? Is this the reply expected from a patriotic Indian citizen holding the responsible office of Chief Minister of a sensitive border State?

I would request everyone concerned to carefully consider the sequence of events in Jammu and Kashmir during the past one year and the explanations that were given in relation to those events oy the persons responsible for the administration of the State. Because I say this, it does not mean that we support \*he dismissal of the Farooq Abdullah Government. The AIADMK ... {Interruplet me Please tions) continue I am not reading; I am speaking... (Interruptions) 5.00 P.M.

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: Please don't interrupt.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI-MATI MARGARET ALVA): Let her speak.

MISS JAYALALITHA: When I say this, it does not mean that we support the dismissal of Dr. Farooq Abdullah Government. AIADMK Government in Tamil Nadu was dimissed in 1980. What-

ever feelings we experienced then, when our State Government was dismised, we feel the same when we consider the fate of the Farooq Abdullah Government in Jammu and Kashmir.

But we must also bear in mind certain other important points in this connection

With reference to the change effected in the Jammu and Kashmir State Government many political parties in the country are denouncing it as a 'murder of democracy'. My question is this: How many of these parties have the moral right' to do so? One of the parties which 13 crying itself hoarse about this murder of democracy is the DMK. It is the leader of the same. DMK who played a key role in bringing about the dismissal of the AIADMK Government in Tamil Nadu in 1980. The DMK leader camped in New Delhi for several days exclusively for this purpose. He persuaded those at the Centre to dismiss the AIADMK Government. The very next day, after the dismissal was announced, the DMK leader returned to Madras triumphantly. The next morning, when he landed at Meenambakkam Airport in Madras, he was accorded a rousing, tremendous reception and hailed as t conquering hero by his partymen. He was garlanded profusely. For what? For bringing about the dismissal of the AIADMK Government, a Government democratically elected with the overwhelming support of the people.

But the very same DMK leader today denounces the change in the Jammu -nd Kashmir State Government as a murder of democracy. Is this not the greatest irony, the biggest, bitterest joke of the 20th century?

The AIADMK firmly believes in the principle that any democratically elected Government should be allowed to function for its full period. This is our firm policy. At the same time, the AIADMK also maintains that any activity which leads to the disintegration of the country or endangers the security and unity of the nation should not be permitted—not only in a sensitive border State—but in any State in the Indian Union.

Another party which condemns this so-called murder of democracy is the Janata Party. The Janata Party, I presume, is a national party. At least that is what we were led to believe until recenly. I have a great deal of respect and regard for the Janata Party leaders. let us take a look at Karnataka. It is the Janata Party which is in power in The Janata Government in Karna-State. taka has decided to accept, in toto, the recommendations of the Sarojini Mahishi Committees report on job reservation opportunities in public sector undertakings. The recommendations essentially boil down to two dangerously disruptive demands. namely, that in public sector industrial and commercial undertakings in Karnataka, 80 per cent of jobs should be reserved exclusively for Kannadigas, and secondly, the remaining 20 per cent jobs should be given only to those who know the Kan-Both demands are dannada language. gerously chauvinistic and parochial, taining every element guaranteed to undermine Indian unity and ,destroy common rights of Indian citizenship. Yet, the Janata Pany Government in Karnataka has accepted these recommendations in toto. This is all the more amazing since the Janata Party claims to be all-India party and therefore answerable to the people living in different States of the Indian Union.

If all States were to follow this principle, what would happen? The Indian Union would cease to be one country, but get reduced to different countries. Today, when the Farooq Abdullah Government is dismised in Jammu and Kashmir the provisions of the Constitution of that State, the Janata Party denounces this as a gross violation of democracy. Yet, as my hon. senior Member, Mr. Gurupadaswamy himself, admitted in Ms speech, when the same Janata Party came power at the Centre in 1977, did it dismiss several non-Janata State Governments, making use of Article 356 of the Constitution of india? Arguments be advanced differentiating between the

merits (Interruption) Mr. Reddy, your party leader has already spoken. I said, arguments may ne advanced differentiating between the merits of each case. But the plain unvarnished trut\ is this. When

it had the power to do so, the Janata Party did not hesitate to make use of that power to do exactly what it is protesting against so vehemently, today.

matter of urgent Public Importance

Next, let us consider the Telugu Desam Party, which is most vociferous in denouncing this so-called murder of democracy. On his return from the United Stales of America, the Telugu Desam Party leader and the Andhra Pradesh Chief Minister, Mr. N. T. Rama Rao has gone on record as saving that India has made no progress at all in the 37 years of Independence. (Interruptions').

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: He never said that. (Interruptions),

MISS JAYALALITHA: It has appeared in all the national newspapers. Our Chief Minister was present when your leader said this in Madras. Our Chief Minister has contradicted it in a public meeting (Interruptions). v7e at Madras. have the tape of the speech. Both spoke on the same dais (Interruptions)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: NTR only said that the country had not made progress to the extent it should have. This everybody says. (Interruptions) What is the relevance of all these things? (Interruptions) If a wrong statement has been made, it should be corrected. She is making reference to a person who is not here. What is the relevance of all this ? She is bringing in the Janata Party. She is bringing in the Telugu Desam Party.

### (Interruptions)

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: I would like to put the record straight. She is misleading the House. (Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): Nothing will go on record.

(Interruptions)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Madam, I am on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): I can allow a point of order only when there is order. (Interruptions)

271

Mr. Gopalsamy, let me make it very clear to you. xou were not in the House when she was speaking. You have come here with some hearsay information. You go through the record and if you have any objection to anything which has been said, you make a statement at a later stage with the permission of the Chairman. Do not interrupt the speaker. (Interruptions) I will not allow you.

### (Interruptions)

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: It is not for them to say what is relevant and what is irrelevant.

### (Interruptions')

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Madam, I am on a point of order. The hon. lady Member is entitled to indulge herself in a delicate exercise of fence sitting. We can understand her anxiety. But why should she say irrelevant things, making reference to a person who is not present here? (Interruptions). I have not finished, (Interruptions)

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: Madam Vice-Chairman, let me submit one thing. What is relevant to one person is irrelevant to others. What was relevant to Mr. Narasimha Rao was irrelevant to Shri Gurupadaswamy. What is relevant to Shri Upendra is irrelevant to tis.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Let me finish. You give ruling afterwards. I was interrupted before I completed.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): Please sit down. I would request the Members not to interrupt. Each one of you has spoken. When you spoke nobody interrupted you. You objected to the interruptions. Why don't you allow a person to finish the speech. Each party had a chance to say something. You can reply when your chance is there. This is not a debate. I cannot help it.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: She is throwing mud on everybody. (Interruptions).

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: When you were throwing mud nobody interrupted you.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Madam Vice-Chairman, he was a real difficulty. Since he has already spoken, he thinks that he has the right now to interrupt others.

# SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA:

She is reducing the Home Minister's trouble. I can understand that, but why to bring in Shri N. T. Rama Rao? It is all irrelevant to this debate. (Interruptions).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA); I would request ihe hon. Members not to interrupt. (Interruptions). It is difficult to deal with both the sides of the House. Let us finish the debate.

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: Let him not show animosity.... (Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA) : Your speaker is quite capable of looking after herself. Let her complete.

MISS JAYALALITHA Madam, I was saying that the Telugu Desam leader, Andhra Chief Minister N. T. Rama Rao has gone on record....

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Again she is repeating. (Interruptions).

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: Allow her to speak. You don't know what she is going to say. Why do you oppose unnecessarily? (Interruptions).

MISS JAYALALITHA: They are lot allowing me to complete the sentence. (Interruptions).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA) : You have to sit down. Let her finish the speech. She has the floor. (Interruptions). You cannot stop a Member. They have freedom of speech. You can reply when you have a chance.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: She may be having alergy to NTR but that does not mean... (Interruptions).

MISS JAYALALITHA: What I am referring to was published in all the major newspapers in India. If this news vas fal«e, why did the people connected with his party not refute it earlier? This has gone uncontradicted and it has appeared in every paper in the country. What I want to say is that the Andhra Pradesh Chief Minister has gone to the extent of saying that American roads are more beautiful than the bedrooms of Indian houses. Yet Mr. N. T. Rama Rao tries to project himself as a patriot and a national leader. One can say that one's state or one's community or the poor ind underprivileged sections -of the country have not progressed sufficiently, but ho'ff can one say... (Interruptions).

TOE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA) : No, no. Don't record

HON. MEMBERS: (Not recorded)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA) Please sit down. Nothing is going on record.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: \*

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: \*

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA:\*

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA) : Please sit down. I am on my legs. I want to make it very clear mat we have had in this House a convention that if you have to object to something, you take the permission of the Chair and reply. (Interruptions) You <jo through the records and if you have any objection to anything, you can reply with the permission of the Chair. You cannot stop a Member from speaking. (Interruptions) Please sit down. You cannot reply to every point.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: What is this? You allow the House to enjoy the tamasha today and we- reply tomorrow!

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: When Shri Gopalsamy was speaking here, some of the Youth Congress(I) Members tried

to disturb him. I said to them that this is a sensitive issue, so please don't interfere. Any Member can speak what he likes. But this Member now is unnecessarily interrupting the lady Member and asking her not to speak.

matter of urgent Public Importance

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY; When she quotes the statement of NTR, I want to quote the statement of the Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu.

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM : I will quote the statements of Mr. Karunanidhi. (Interruptions)

MISS JAYALALITHA: When Mr. Gopalsamy had his say, none of our party Members interrupted him. When Mr. Upendra spoke, none of our party Members interrupted him. (Interruptions)

My question is how can a person projecting himself as a patriot say that the country has not made any progress at al! since Independence? It should be borne in mind that in 1947 the population of India was 30 crores. Today in 1984, tlie population is 75 crores. In the 37 years since Independence India's population has more than doubled itself.

'Despite the severe economic restraints. in spite of having to shoulder the massive burden of caring for, feeding and housing such a vast population—nearly one-sixth of the entire human race in the world today-India has emerged as a colossus among the developing nations. Today India is considered a major force to reckon with, respected even by the world's Super Powers. Madam, please give me just two minutes more. Today India is considered a major force to reckon with and respected even by the world's Super Powers. India has taken gigantic strides forward in the fields of industry, energy, science and technology.

Despite our enormous handicaps, India has still succeeded in establishing itself as one among the ten nuclear countries in the world. We have sent a scientific team to Antarctica. We have sent an Indian into space. India has several magnificent achievements to her credit. How can anybody say that India has made no progress at all? Unfortunately, the Telugu

<sup>\*</sup>Not recorded.

Desam Party leader, the Andhra Pradesh Chief Minister, does not seem to regard all this as progress. ... (Interruptions) ...

Madam, this is my question: Does the leader of the Telugu Desam Party, who so openly declares that he is ashamed of his own country, have any moral rm/n io speak about the murder of democracy in this country? Has he not murdered ihe image of India in the eyes of the wocUU

Now Mr. N. T. Rama Rao has gone to the USA again, for heart surgery. We wish him a speedy and total recovery and we extend our best wishes to him foi a long life. Before his departure, while referring to the daily allowance in foreign exchange permitted to him by the Government of India, Mr. Rama Rao angrily asked, "Am I a coolie to be given such a paltry amount as daily allowance?" ... (Interruptions)...

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: You stop her. ... {Interruptions} ...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): Please conclude.

. MISS JAYALALITHA : I am concluding.

SHRI P. BABUL REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Farooq Abdullah and N. T. Rama Rao are not responsible... (Interruptions) ...

MISS JAYALALITHA: I have mentioned these issues only in order to make one point. Amongst the various political parties which are raising a hue and cry about the murder of democracy in Jammu and Kaihmir and claim to be interested in national unity and the welfare of India—I whether it be the DMK, the Janata or the Telugu Desam... (Interruptions')...

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: You oppose emergency, you oppose emergency... ... {Interruptions} ...

MISS JAYALALITHA: While conodemniig the murder of democracy, these political parties should first do some soulsearching themselves—and others should also give deep thought to the same question—tas to whether these parties can truly claim to be national or democratic is character and outlook. I would like to-remind them that people who live in glass-houses should not throw stones.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: You are living in a glass-house... {Interruptions} You are on the silver screen. ..{Interrup-(DIS-

MISS JAYALALITHA: He is making personal remarks.....

SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: J\* ia quite a different thing. We can also say... (Interruptions)...

MISS JAYALALITHA: On the question of Jammu and Kashmir, it has been announced that a session of the State Legislative Assembly will be convened very shortly and an opportunity will be given to both groups of the National Conference to prove on the floor of the House which group has a real majority and is constitutionally entitled to form the Government. ... {Interruptions} ... Don't interrupt now. ... (Interruptions)... Meanwhile ihe main issue has yet to be resolved-as to which is the real National Conference and whether the defectors have voting rights or not. Dr. Farooq Abdullah's Government has been far from ideal. I am not, holding any brief for Dr. Farooq Abdullah. However, I do strongly submit that instead of allowing a Government based on defections to administer the State if Jammu and Kashmir, it would be better to place the State under Governor's rule until such time as the Centre decides fresh elections can be held. . . (Interruptions).,.

I am winding up. I wish to point nit that so long as such a provision which deals with dissolution of a State Assembly or dismissal of a State Government is retained, whether it be in the Constitution of India or it be in the Constitution of Jamu and Kashmir, it is bount to be used again and again by the Central Government, irrespective of which party is in power at the Centre. Given certain circumstances, no Central Government can resist the temptation to make use of 'his provision in the Constitution to suit its own ends. The Congress I Party has made use of article 356 to dismiss non-Congress I state Governments. Tho Janata Party has also made use of the same

provision to dismiss non-Janata state governments. We ourselves, the AI-ADMK, have been victims of this provision in 'e: Constitution. The dismissal of the Faroog Abdullah Government in Jammu and Kashmir under the provisions of the Jammu and Kashmir Constitution is no hing new. It is not the first, nor is it going to be the last such instance in Indian History after Independence. As long as such a constitutional provision is allowed to remain. Therefore, if we really want to prevent the recurrence of such undesirable events again, the one and only way is to abolish, to scrap and completely do away with article 356 of the Constitution. There is no other way.

Now, finally, I wish to pose two questions to the hon. Minister for Home Affairs. Will the Centre consider placing the State of Jammu and Kashmir under Governor's Rule? And why delay the integration of Jammu and Kashmir into tlie Indian Union ? Why not bring it under the purview of the Constitution of India in conformity with all the other States of the Indian Union?

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO: Nice script for P. V. N. Rao; directed by M.G.R.

SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA (Rajasthan): Madam Vice-Chairman, if I may digress, I would like to mention that I feel a little flattered as the Chairman of the Hindustan Times by two references that have been made by the hon. members. One hon. friend referred a certain editorial which had appeared in the Hindustan Times. I think probabaly it will gladden the heart of my friends here to see another editorial which has appeared today criticising the Congress (I) MPs for having withdrawn from the party to which they had been invited by Mr. Hegde. I would like to mention, Madam, that this is an independent paper since it wrote the article things have changed very much. Dr. Abdullah lost the majority. Probably, the hon. Members would have seen another editorial and an article by the editor in the same paper.

Another hon. Member had mentioned regarding a news-item which had been published in the paper. Madam, that was

a news-item. That was not expression of one's view. And probably what appeared in our newspaper, might have appeared iu other papers also.

matter of urgent

Public Importanc3

Coming to the point, Madam, since Parliament opened two or three days back, we have been hearing regarding Kashmir. On the opening day the House adjourned. then there was a walk-out, and the cry of murder of democracy. That is what we have been hearing. I would plead that let us consider the matter dispassionately, coolly and deeply ponder what exactly are issues involved. The hon. Home Minister is perfectly within his rights to say that he would not like to throw my light as far as the point regarding tlie Governor's action is concerned. I heard him yesterday. From the point of hearing his eloquence, probably I might have requested him to say a few words on this subject. But, as I mentioned, it is com pletely within his power to say what lie likes.

Madam, what exactly happened on ihe 2nd of July is that 12 Members of the ruling party got fed up with the leadership that was being provided to them. bably, it might have been owing to secessionist and anti-national atmosphere that was prevailing there. I would like to mention that in my opinion this was not a case of defection. Defection occurs when Members leave one party and join another party. Here these members did not join another party. There was a split in the party, and what they did was that from the leadership of Dr. Farooq Abdullah they joined another leadership. These members went to the Governor. They were joined by another independent person and 26 Congress(I) MLAs led by Moulvi Iftigar Hussain Ansari. They pledged their loyalty to Mr. Shah. In a House of 78, where there is one vacancy, leaving only 77, it was clear that with the support of 26 Congress(I) Members, 12 National Conference Members who had changed their leadership and one independent. was absolutely clear that Dr. Abdullah had lost trie majority. Not only that, Madam, what is very important is that whereas Dr. Abdullah lost the majority, it was not as if they were a few factions and that nobody had a majority.

[Shri Krishna Kumar Birla] This is very important. Dr. Abdullah lost majority, and Mr. Shah got majority as a result of the assurances which were made Io him.

Now, as far as the Governor is concerned, he counted the number of people. He verified them. He also identified them to make sure that the people who had been paraded before him were the right people. Not only that, when Dr. Abdullah wen\* there, he too satisfied himself that tho3C who had pledged their loyalty to Mr. Shah were really genuine people and that it wis not as if there was anything hanky-pankv as far as this was concerned. And v> cording to one paper, Dr. Abdullah tv.a shook hands with these people.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Absolutely wrong, (Interruptions) They were not shown the light of the day. That is wrong. "Hindustan Times."

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): Mr. Shawl, p>ease sit down. He does not interrupt anyone of you. Please sit down.

SHRI GUL MOHMJD-DIN SHAWL: How independent the paper is, we know. It is called Congress bulletin.

SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: My paper was quoted by another hon. Member.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: I should say he was briefed by some persons wrongly. The MLAs were not shown the light of the day. They were somewhere else. (Interruptions) They were not shown anywhere. This is totally wrong.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): Vour party had a chance to speak. Please do not interrupt. It is very wrong to do so. He did not interrupt anybody. Please sit down.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SEAWL: He says his paper is independent. It is not.

SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: I would have given compliments to Dr. Abdullah had he shown the spirit of sportsmanship by shaking hands. What, is wrong in that. Nobody tested at

that time questioned that Dr. Abdullah had lost the majority. As to trying the majority on the floor of the House my own suspicion is that probably had the majority been tested on the floor of the House many of the Members would have been terrorists and pressure tactics would have been used on them. Dr. Abdullah's advice was that either dissolve the house or test the majority on the floor of the House Madam, I would like to point out that Dr Abdullah was prepared for the Governor's rule. But he was not prepared to see his own brother-in-law installed in the 'gaddi'. It was a kind of family feud.

Madam, may I mention my views regarding dissolving the Assembly. On the 1st luly Dr Abdullah when he had the majority, he would have been absolutely justified and within his rights to say that the Assembly should be dissolved and the Governor would have had no option but to accept his advice. But once he lost the majority this right was completely taken away from him. Regarding the second point of testing the majority on the floor of the House once Dr. Abdullah losses his majority he had no right to advise the Governor on that and the Governor, therefore, had use to his discretion. Madam, in my opinion, the Governor did the right thing in the dismissal of Dr. Farooq Abdullah's Ministry and asked Mr. G.. M. Shah to form the Ministry-He also stipulated that Mr. Shah was to prove his majority within one month. Now I would say that had here been any malafide on the part of the Governor would have said to Mr Shah that prove your majority when the House meets. That would have meant a delay of a few months. But the governor said to Mr. Shah: test your majority within a short and stipulated time. The Governor, in my opinion, therefore, fulfilled his constitutional duties. I would say that the governor allowed Dr. Abdulah to continue as Chief Minister with a minority Government that would have been murdering of democracy.

Madam, late Sheikh Abdullah was personal friend of mine. There is no secret about it But I find that son of my true friend is lacking administrative qualities. I really feel very sorry. But here I mention it is not a question of personal friendship but the question of the country. Unfortunately the biggest weakness of Dr. Abdullah was that he was a poor administrator. One M.L.A., Malik Ghulamuddin said that probably in ihe last year, Dr. Abdullah was in the office for 13 days only and was out of station for the balance 352 days. He did not attend the office at all.

He was being asked by the Centre agsafeguarding anti-social/national elements, against the terrorists and against the secessionists. We have heard certain brief report about that from the Hon'ble Home Minister. There were Gurmat camps that were held, where terrorists were trained. Dr. Abdullah said that they were religious camps, not Gurmat camps-. I need not repeat what happened in the India v. West Indies match. The surprising part is that at that time Dr. Abdullah was also present and fore his very eyes, all this happened. He could not take any action; he did not want to take any action. At that time, Madam, there was kite-flying that probadly Dr. Abdullah might be dismissed. I would say that it was only restraint on the part of the Prime Minister that Abdullah was not dismissed at that time. After that when the Army took action in Amritsar, there was a lot of disturbance at a number of places, Srinagar, Jammu and Poonch, and no action was taken by the Government of J & K till the Governor gave a warning that unless State Government took action, he would be compelled to call the Army in order to see that law and order was maintained. Madam, it appears from the statement made by the hon. Home Minister that almost a year back the State Govemment was warned about the dangers the State was facing (Time bell rings) ther three minutes. And in period of 12 months, nine warnings were sent to them. That means that almost every five weeks there was one warning sent. Unfortunately Dr. Abdullah was hobnobbine with lamat-e-Islam and its student unit Jamat-eTulba. Madam, if further evidence is ncw^tJ, we do not have to go very far. We know what happened as far as the Indian plane is concerned which was hijacked to Lahore. (Interruptions) I concede it was three days after Dr. Abdullah left. I immediately concede tliat point. But a miracle could not happen in three days. It was a legacy of the old misadministration which was still continuing. This shows, Madam, that as far as the administration is concerned, there was infiltration by extremists, secessionists and terrorists. In my opinion, it was a misfortune for the State of Jammu and Kashmir that Dr. Abdullah decided earlier to join hands with Mirwaiz Maulvi Farooq, who was the head of the Awami Action Committee and was -known to be a pro-Pakistani and anti-Indian politician.

Madam, when my friends talk about murders of democracy, I really feel amused and I would only plead with then\* that they cannot have two standards, In 1977 a lot of pressure was put on all businessmen, that we were after all business men and we must go with the Government of the day: what prevented us from joining hands with the Janata Government: Madam, those days the Janata Government consisted of the Lok Dal, the Janata itself, the BJP and the Congress-S, all these parties together, which formed Janata Government put pressure on businessmen and some of the businessmen succumbed to it .....

SHRI S. W. DHABE (Maharashtra) : The Congress-S was not there.

SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Okay, I stand corrected. As far as those businessmen who did not fall in line with the dictates of the Janata Government were concerned, their income-tax cases were reopened. I was one of the victims. And warrants were issued against us. I was one of the sufferers. (Interruption)—yes. Warrants of arrests were issued against them. And not only that, Madam, a sugestion was made that the Governments run by the Indian Congress Party in nine States should themselves resign.

[Shri Krishna Kumar And when the Congress Party heard about it, there was violent reactions. In resolution passed in the Working Commit- रियासत काश्मीर में रोनुमा हुई। सियासी tee on April 19, 1977, they said the move to dissolve the nine State Assemblies was politically motivated. Congress asked the partymen unitedly meet this challenge not only in the interests of the party but in the broad national interests. Not having been satisfied with that, ultimately, on the 30th April 1977 the Acting President, Shri B. D. ferti on the advice of the Central Government, signed the proclamation under Article 356 of the Constitution dissolving Assemblies of the 9 Congress-r-run States and bringing the States under President's rule. Now, as far as these Governments were concerned, they were in majority: they had majority before the Governor: they had majority on the floor of the House: they had majority at both the places. In spite of that, these Governments were dismissed and elections were ordered. So, I would advise my friends, examine every matter in a dispassionate manner; don't be carried away by emotion. In this context ,let me pose three questions'. Is it or is not fact that anti-nationalism, terrorism and secessionism were thriving in the State of Jammu and Kashmir? Is it not a fact that in spite of repeated warnings Dr. Abdullah could not control the situation Is it not a fact that the plane was hijacked in spite of the caution which was sent to all airports as far as India was concerned. Does it not show laxity in the Government?

श्री गलाम रसल कार : मोहतरमा वाइस चेयरमन, मझे उम्मीद है कि मेरे जिन दोस्तों ने इस बहस में हिस्सा लिया वह सब के साथ और इतमीनान के साथ मेरी वातों की तरफ तवज्जो देंगे ।

मझे खुशीं है कि काश्मीर के इस मसले पर मझे भी बहस में हिस्सा लेने का मौंका मिला और मैं इसमें हिस्सा लेते हुए, फारुख नेशनल कान्फ्रेन्स की सरकार की किन वातों को यहां नजरन्दाज करूं श्रीर कीन सी

Birla] बातों को छोड़, जो गुजिस्ता ए न साल में फारुख नेशनल कान्फ्रेंन सरकार की तरफ से that तौर पर नेशल कान्फ्रेन्स फारुख सरकार के धन्दर जो स्पलिट हुई, कांग्रेस (ग्राई) का to उसमें कोई दोष नहीं ।

> यह ग्राज की बात नहीं, यह दो जलाई की वात नहीं, मैं ग्रपने रफीकों से पृष्ठना ाहता हं कि क्या यह वाक्या नहीं कि शेख साहब की सरकार में जब ख्वाजा गलाम मोहम्मद शाष्ट्र, सीनियर केविनेट मिनिस्टर थे श्रीर शेख साहब की श्रदम मौजुदगी में केबिनेट मीटिंग्स में प्रेजाइड करते थे, तब से इन्तलाफ फारुख श्रब्दल्ला का और ख्वाजा गुलाम मोहम्मद शाह के दरम्यान चला ग्रा रहा था। जब शेख साहब ने अपनी जिन्दगी में डा॰ फारुख ग्रब्दला को नेशनल कान्फ्रेन्स का सदर इन्स्टाल किया, तब भी ख्वजा गुलाम मोहम्मद शाह ने उनके साथ इंडतलाफ किया और जब इलेक्शन हुए और उसके रिजल्ड्स निकले, तो क्या यह वाक्या नहीं कि ग्रखवारात ने इस बात की तरफ इशारा किया कि नेशनल कानफ्रेन्स के ग्रन्दर ख्वाजा गुलाम मोहम्मद शाह का एक बावजन, बाइतबार एक ग्रुप है और जब से डा॰ फारुख ग्रब्दुल्ला, बतौर चीफ मिनिस्टर के मंतखिब हए, तब से नेशनल कान्फ्रेन्स के ग्रन्दर एक किस्म का खिचाव ग्रीर हेजान पैदा हम्रा था और जी० एम० शाहका इस्तलाफ तब से चला ग्राता था ग्रीर क्या यह वाक्या नहीं कि झाज से छ: महीने पहले "जां विधान" एक हण्तावर ग्रखवार है, जिन 12 मेम्बर्स ने छ: महीने के बाद गवनैर के पास यह अर्जी दी कि हम डा० फारुख के साथ इन्तलाफ करते हैं। उनका फोट वाजाप्त "जां विधान" में छपा नहीं ग्रौर ग्रखवार ने इस बात को जाहिर नहीं किया कि नेशनल कान्फ्रेन्स के अन्दर स्पलिट है। यह कोई सजिश नहीं थी कि दो जुलाई को यह वाक्यात मंजर पर ग्रा गये। लेकिन

matter oj urgent Public <u>Importar.cc</u>

यह कौन से हालात और वाक्यात थे नेशनल कान्फ्रेंस के अन्दर एक रुझान स्पिलिट का पैदा हमा धगर म्रापंजीमन के मम्रजिज मैं स्वरान उन वाक्यात की तरफ तवज्जह हैं. जब श्रीनगर में त्रिकेट मैच हुया इण्टर-नेशनल जिकेट मैंच हथा तो क्या हिन्द्स्तान के बवकार खिलाड़ियों पर वहां नारे नहीं लगाए गए, अण्डे नहीं फैंके गए, पाकिस्तानी झण्डा नहीं लहराया गया गर्व नर की मौजदगी में और डा० फाहक की मौजदगीमें और खुशकिस-मती यह है कि मैं एतबार न करता, आप एतवार न करते लेकिन टेलीविजन वालीं का हमें मशकर होना चाहिए कि कौम के सामने उन्होंने क्रिकेट मैच की सही पिक्चर रखी। .... (ब्यवधान ) । जो मैंने भेजा था न्नाप मेरे पैट्यटिजम को चैलेंज करते हैं। साहब मैं पोलीटिक्स में तब ग्राया हूं जब आप स्कल में पहते थे। जब में तहरीक में था तब आपका वजद कहीं नहीं था। मेरे पैटियाटिजम को ग्राप चैलेंज कर रहेहैं। मैं दो बार पाकिस्तानी जंग में जख मी हथा, हॅंडग्रनेड मेरे ऊपर फैंका गया, दो बार गोनी चनाई। आप मेरे परिवाटिजम को चैलेंज कर हैं। 22 सालते धवारागदी में ग्राप लोगों ने काश्मीर की तबाही की ! 22 साल के दौर में ब्रापने रियासत को अलाहदगी पसंदा हो जाने को तकवीयत दी राय तहरीक चलाई ग्रापने रायणमारी मांगी ग्रापने कहा पाकिस्तान में जाना चाहते हैं 22 साल के बाद जब बंगलादेश का क्याम हो गया ... (व्यवधान) ...

श्री शरीफुद्दीन शारिक: मैं चैलेंज करता हूं किसी ने नहीं कहा कि हम पाकिस्तान चाहते हैं यह गलत बात दोहरा रहे हैं यहां मुझे अफसोस है।.. ( व्यवधान) कसी ने नहीं कहा।... ( व्यवधान)

# †[شوی غلام رسول کار (نامود)

متحترمه - وأنس چيئرمين - مجه ا- يد هے كه مهرے جوں دوستوں نے إس بحث مين حصة ليا ولا مبر کے ساتھ اور اطمینان کے ساتھ موری باتبى كى طرف توجه ديلگے -... مجهے خوشی ہے کہ کشمیر کی اس مسئله ير مجه بهي بحث مين عصم لينے كا موقعه مقا اور ميں اس مين حصه ليتم هوئے فاروق نيشلل كالفونس سوكار كى كبي ياتون كو يهان نظر انداز کروں اور اونسی باتوں کو جهوروں جو گزشته ایک سال میں فاروق تيشلل كانفرنس سركار كبي طرف سے ریاست کشمیر میں رونما هوگھی -سیاسی طور پر نیشفل کانفرنس فاررق سوکار کے اندر جو اسھیلت ھوئی کانگریس آئی کا اس میں کوئی دوهي نهيي -

یه آج کی بات نهیں - یه دو جولائی کی بات نهیں - میں آئے رفیۃ و بیت نهیں - میں آئے رفیۃ و بیت نهیں اس کی رفیۃ و اقعة نهیں که شیخ صاحب کی سرکار میں جب خواجه فلام محمد شاہ سینڈر کییلٹ ملسٹر تیے اور شیخ صاحب کی عدم مودودگی میں کیہنٹ میں پریزائد کرتے تیے - تب سے اختلاف فا،وق عبدالله تیے - تب سے اختلاف فا،وق عبدالله کا اور گل خواجه فلام محمد شاہ کے درمیاں جلا آ رہا تیا - جب شیخ

<sup>†[]</sup>Transliteration in Arabic script.

matter of urgent

Public *ImVnrtavA'e* 

[هري فلام رسول کار]

صاحب نے ایمی زندگی میں قاکار فاررق عبدالله کو تهشال کانفرنس کا صدر انسقال كها تب بهى خواجه فالم محمد عاة لے ان کے ماتو اختلانہ کیا اور جب الیکھی ہوئے اور اس کے رولگس نکلے تو فھا یہ واقعہ نہیں کہ اکہارات نے اس بات کی طرف اشارہ کیا کہ نیشلل کالفرنس کے اندر خواجه غلام محصد هالا كا ايك ياوزن با اعتدار ایک گورپ ہے اور جب سے ة اكتر فاروق عبد الله يطور جيف ملستر کے ملتھب ہوئے تب سے نیشلل انفرنس کے اندر ایک قسم کا کھھاؤ اور مهجان بهدا هوا تها اور جي -ايم - شاه كا اختلاب تب س جلا أتا تها - اور کها په واقعه نهين که آج سے چھے مہیلت پہلے ددھارہ اس، ایک هنته واد الخبار في كه جون ۱۲ سمهرس نے چھے مہیلہ کے بعد گورٹر کے پاس یء عرضی می ۔ که هم داکٹر فاروق کے ساتھ اختلاف کرتے میں - اس کا فوتر باضابطه دد جاوه ان مدس جهدا نہیں اور اخبار نے اس بات کو ظاهر نہیں کیا کہ نیشنل کانغرنس کے اندر اسپلت ہے - یہ کوئی سازش نہیں تهی که دو جرائی کو یه واقعات ملظر يو آ گئي - ليکن يه کونسے حالت اور والعات تم نيشلل كانفرنس کے اندر ایک رجعان اسیات کا پیدا ھوا اگر اھوزيھرے کے معزز معدران أن واقعات کی طرف توجه دین جب

سوي نکو مين کوکت ميچ هوا انتر نيشنل كركمعا مهيم هوا تو کیا هندوستان کے باوتار کھاڑیوں ير وهاں نعوے نہيں کسے گئے -اندے نہیں پیھلکے گئے پاکستانی جهدة نهيس لهرايا كيا گورنو كي موجودگی مهر اور قاکار فاروق کی - وجودگی میں اور خوص قسمتی یہ ھے .... میں امتبار نه کرتا آپ امتبار نه كرت ليكن تهلى ويؤن وألون كا هدين مشكور هونا جاهائے كه قوم نے ساملے انہوں نے کرکٹ میچ کی صحمهم ديمجو ركهي . . (مداخات) . . جو میں نے بہیجا نہا .... آپ سهرے پیٹریاٹؤم کو جھالم کرتے ههي - صاحب مين پوليتکس مهن تب آیا دوں جب آپ اسکرل میں بوهاي ته - جب مهن تحريک دون تها تب آيكا وجوه كهين نههن تها -سیرے پیٹریاٹؤم کو آپ چیلنج کر رہے ھیں ۔۔ میں دو بار ياكستاني جلك مين زخمي هوا -عيلة كرنية سيوے اوپر پهيلكا كها -در بار کولی جالئی - آپ مهری بیترباتوم کو چیلفیم کرتے هیں -۲۲ سال آواره گره ی میں آپ اوگوں نے کشمیر کی تباھی کی ۲۲ سال کے دور میں آپ نے ریاست کو علیمحدگی پساد هو جانے کو تقویت دی - رائے شماری کی تعصریک چائی آپ نے رائے شماری سانکی - أب لے قبا که پاکستان مهور

جانا چاہتے میں - ۲۱ سال کے بعد جب بنکلا دیش کا قیام موگیا (مداخلت) -]

شوی شریف الدین شارق:
میں چیلنج کرتا هور کسی نے
نهیں کہا که هم پاکستان چاهتے
هیں به فلط بات دوهرا رقے هیں
یہاں - محجی افسوس هے .....
(مداخات) ... یه کسی نے نہیں
کہا .... (مداخات)]

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती माग्रेट श्राल्वा) : स्राप वैठिए ।

एक माननीय सदस्य: और इनकी क्वालीफिकेशन नहीं है। यह 22 साल की बात बेकार करते हैं, इनकी कोई क्वालीफिकेशन नहीं है। ... (व्यवधान)।

श्री गुलाम रसूल कार: मैं इसका मुकाबला कर सकता हूं। यह मुझ को जानते हैं मैं इनको जानता हूं। मैं इनको अच्छी तरह से जानता हूं। मैं रिकार्ड पेण कर सकता हूं इस हाउस में।

† شری فقم رسول کار: میں اس کا مقابلہ کر سکتا ھوں - یہ مجھے جانتے ھیں میں اس کو جانتا ھوں میں اس کو اچھی طرح سے جانتا ھوں - میں ریکارڈ پیش کر سکتا ھوں اس ھاؤس میں ۔]

उपस भाष्यक्ष श्रीमती मर्गेट श्राल्वा : ग्राप बैठिये . . . (ध्यक्ष्यान)

श्री गुलाम स्मूल कार: मैं उस प्वायंट पर दौबारा ग्रा जाऊंगा । फिर से

इंतरखाबा होंगे। मै आपोजीशन मैम्बरी के बीनाफाइडिज को चैलेंज नहीं करता (व्यवधान)न इनके पैटियाटि उम की चैलेंज करता हं। मैं उनके सामने उन वाकयात की लाना चाहता हूं जिसे वे खद फरमा रहे है काश्मीर इज ए सैसेटिव पार्ट आफ दी कंटीं। (व्यवधान) में बड़े दावे के साथ बयान करता हुं इन आपोजीशन के मैम्बरों को कि काश्मीर के बारे में एहतियात से बात करनी हमारे लिए भी जरूरो है आपके लिए भी जरूरो है। कोई भी फारेन पावर हिन्दस्तान के बाकी स्टेट्स के मकाबले में काश्मीर के बगैर बाकी टैरेटरी पर दावा नहीं करती है। पाकिस्तान का रेडियो ग्राज भी 24 घंटे काम्मोर के लोगों को फंडामैंटलिजम पर और मस्लिम सेंटीमेंटस भड़का रहा है। अभी भी पाकिस्तान का दावा है कल यह श्रखबारात में ग्राया था एक नक्शा में छापा था जिसमें इसको हिन्दस्तान का हिस्सा जाहिर किया गया था। जनरल जिया ने चिटठी लिखी प्रोटैस्ट किया कि उनको नवशे में यह नहीं दिखाना चाहिए। ग्रापको एहति-यात के साथ बात करनी चाहिए। कार-मीर में वे ताकतें हैं जमायते इस्लामी है जिमयेत्वलता है सिबते इस्लामी है। 24 घंटे पाकिस्तान का रेडियो बराबर उनको पेश कर रहा है। ग्राप इन चीजों को नजर अन्दाज मत कीजिए। फारेन पावर का दखल है, जो कि जासूस है जिया का, जो माइकल डेविड ग्राज काश्मीर में ठहरा हुआ है, आज भी पिक्चर में छाया हुआ है वहां पर यह एक यू० एस० ए० का अटैची पहले भी डा० फारूख भव्दला के फाल के बाद पहले जाकर काश्मीर में ही नहीं मिला फाल के बाद उनको मिला उन्होंने उन्हें भारी रकम दे दी ताकि कांग्रेसका मकाबला करे। लेकिन कांग्रेस के पास ... (व्यवधान)

<sup>†[]</sup>Transliteration in Arabic script, 715 RS-10.

श्री शरीफुद्दीन शारिक: वाइस चेयर-मैंन सर, सबूत के वगैर श्रानरेबिल मेम्बर की बाते हैं।...(श्रावधान)... वाइस चेयरमैंन सर, यह सहादत के वगैर वयान देते हैं और हमेशा बीस साल से यह सहादत के वगैर ब्यान देते हैं। मैं मानता हूं कि इनको नोमीनेट किया गया...

श्री गुलाम रजूल कार: ग्राप जब नोमीनेशन का ताना देते हैं, मुझे भी इस बात का ग्रहसास है ...

श्री शरी**जुद्दीन शारिक**ः श्रापको शर्मिदगी होनी चाहिए . . .

श्री गुलाम रसूल कार: मुझे शामियगी होनी चाहिए। लेकिन मिस्टर झाडवाणी मेकुलिज्म को चेलेंज नहीं करते हैं। मैं इनको वाक्यात बताना चाहता हूं कि कांग्रेन का वर्कर किन हालात में वहां लड़ रहा है। जाएं। जांग्रेन के वर्कर के खिलाफ क्या नहीं किया, मिल्जिट बंद कीं, कन्निस्तानों में हमारे मुदों को दफन नहीं करने दिया गया ....(ब्यवधान)....हमारा कपूर यह है कि हम इक्तवार नहीं हैं, एतवार पर भरोता करते हैं। हम शेख साहव को लाये 22 साल की ग्रावारा-गर्दी के बाद ...

[उपनशास्त्रक्ष (भ्रो तैयद रहमत अली) पोठासोन हुए]

श्री शरीफुद्दीन सारिक : यह सारे देश की रिलवेस्ट थी .(ब्यवधान)... जुल्म से तवाह किया था , फिरकायरसती को तबाह किया था ...

ओ गुलान रसूल कार : बाइए, हमारा 22 साला दौर, कांग्रेस का 22 साला दौर, बक्बी गुलाभ मोहम्मद का दौर, खाजा गुलाम मोहम्मद का दौर, जाप इस हाउस की एक कमेटी बनाइए, देखिए, हमने बाइन साल में सिर्फ चार ग्रारंब घाया डासिल किया काश्मीर के डवलपमेंट के लिए और हमने 100 मेगा-वाट पावर पैदा की, हर तहसील, हेड-क्वार्टर को देखे, हर जगह सड़क पहंचाई हमने दो मेडिकल कालेज . . . (इयवधान) सुनने की हिम्मत रखिए...हमने एग्रीकल्चर को बढाया, हर जगह पांच हाईस्कुल बोले, हमने प्राइमरी स्कल खोले, हमने डाक्टर पैदा किए, हमने इंजीनियर पैदा किए। हमारा यह कसर है कि हमने अपने बेटों को डाक्टरी की टेनिंग दी, हमारा यह कसूर है कि हमने आपके बच्चों को इंजीनियरिंग की ट्रेनिंग दी। ग्रीर ग्रापके शेख साहब के इस एक साला दौर में 22 अरब रुपया उन्होंने हासिल किया । इसके बावजद एक मेगा-वाट का पावर स्टेशन नहीं बना, एक स्कल नहीं खला, एक सड़क नहीं बनाई, कोई नया कारखाना आपने नहीं वनाया . . . (ब्यवधान)

Public Importance

उपसभाष्यक्ष (थी सँयद रहमत अली): एक मिनट ठहाँरए, गुलाम रसूल साहब। मैं मैंम्बर साहबान से दरख्वासत करूं गा कि इस किस्म की इण्टरप्शन न करें और मैं दरख्वास्त करता हूं कि आप मेरे साथ को-आपरेट करें. ऐसा इंटरप्शन न करें तो ज्यादा मुनासिब होगा।

श्री गुलास रसूल कार : इन्होंने क्वैश्वन किया और मैं उस क्वैश्वन का जवाब दे रहा था। आपने शेख साहव की बात की। शेख साहव को हुकूमत में लाए। शेख साहब को हम वापन लाए हिन्दुस्तान की पोलिटिकल एकता के लिए नेशनल इंटरेस्ट के लिए। लेकिन दुनिया भर की हिस्टरी में कहीं है कि अगर आपकी पालियाभेंट में मेम्बर न हों, तो बाहर से एक आदमी को बुलाकर चीफ मिनिस्टर बनाएं। लेकिन हमने ऐसा वर्गों विया फारवी इंटरेस्ट, कार वी इंटोग्रिटी आफ इंडिया। इसलिए किया और 22 साल हमने एतबार दिया जनता को ...

श्री शरीफदीन शारिकः फारूख साहब को भी ले ग्राइए।

श्री गलाम रसुल कार : यकीन मानिए ग्राप, फारूख साहब जाए, तो हमारे गहां वरवाजे बंद नहीं हैं, हम कांग्रेस में एक जगह दे सबते हैं, जगर वे सिक्यरिटोरिस्क से हाथ नहीं मिलाएंगे, प्रकालियों के साथ हाथ नहीं फिलाएंगे, मलक और स्टेट के इंटरेस्ट में काम करेंगे ग्रीर पाकिस्तान के साथ हाथ नहीं मिलाएंगे श्रार दरपरदा उन लोगों के साथ मिल

हए हैं। जब कस्टम के हमारे लोग छापा 6PM डालने के लिए गए तो डा॰ धवदल्ला की रहनुमाई में उन के दांत तोड़े कए, हाथ तोड़े गए । लेडी क्सटम आफीसर को नंगा किया गया, वह मदद के घर हथा, वह गुलाम रसूल मटट् के घर हुआ । (व्यवधान) मैं इन बातों में ना जाते हए।

**SHRI** GULAM MOHIUDDIN SHAWL: It should be recorded. It is a personal attack.

# (Interruptions)

श्री गुलाम रसूल कार: पर्सनल अंटेक की बात नहीं । फारुख अन्दरला साला है, वह कीन सी गाली है । मैं यंग्रेजी नहीं जानता । मैं उर्द् बोलता हूं , यह बाबाई साला है, यह कौन सी गाली है।

# (Interruptions)

SHRI **GULAM** MOHIUDDIN SHAWL: If he has stopped so low, I hope the hon. Minister will reply to it. (Interruptions)

भो गुलाम रसूल कार : आव मुझे प्रोवोक मत कीजिए । प्राप मर्झ से सवालाश मत पुछिए । (व्यवधान)

उपसद्धाध्यक्ष (भी सँयद रहमत ग्रली) : आप इस सवाल जवाब के बजाय ग्रपनी तकरीर करें तो मनासिब है। (व्यवधान) आप तशरीफ रखिए।

थो गलाम रसल कार : (व्यवधान) उस ने रियासत की सियासत की चिस राह पर डालने की मजमून के शिय की थी अगर वक्त पर कदम न उठाया गया होता तो काश्मीर के हालाजारों से मुख्य हिन्दुरवान के वईनफरत, हिनावत श्रीर दुश्मनी की हौलनाक आग नम्दार होसी जिसके शोलों से काण्मीरी

matter of urgent Public Importance

अधाम झुलस बार रह ााती और वडां की कुठवते नदामत से अपना सिर झुका लेती जिन्होंने बाहरी मफगहमता भाईचारे भीर मजहबी रवादारी के उसलों की अपना ज्जे ईमान समझा था।

मैं तकसीलात में जाने से पहले द बात वाजेह करना चाहता है कि कांग्रेन (आई) ने हाल की सियासी तब्दीली की तरतीय और पेशकश में कोई हिस्त नहीं लिया । यह नेशनल कान्फ्रेन्स की ग्रन्दरूनी झगड़ा था जो एक के बाद एक मंजिल पर पहुंचा। गुलाम रसूल साहब आप इस से इतनगर कर सकते हैं। पीर साहब हमामुहोन ने डा० फारूब ने बिलाफ इंडिलवाफ वाहिए निया गया तो क्या यह बाक्या नहीं है कि उनके महान को जलाने की कोशिय की गई, उस पर पत्थर बरसाये गी खिलाफ दरगाह ग्राली में बाय काटका नारा दिया गया। डा० फारूख ने दिया । (व्यवधान) मैं जिल्मेदारी के साथ कह सकता हं। (व्यवधान) लोकल अखवारों में और नेअनल प्रेस में इसका इजहार हुआ। 2 जुलाई की उन्होंने ग्रपनी डिमांड गवर्नर के सामने रखी कि हमारी स्रविष्ट हो गई, डा० फारूब की लीडरिशप में हमें एतमाद नहीं । मैं उस बन्द्रोधर्सी में कानुनी नुक्ते में नहीं फंसना चाहता । लेदिन गवर्नर का क्या कुसूर है। 13 एम० एल० ए० हाजिर हुए । उन्होंने डा 3 फारूख को बलाया ।

एक मानबीय सदस्य : बैड इस में।

श्री गुलाम रसुल कार : बैंड रूम कहिए, दफतर कहिए, राजभवन कहिए खुलेग्राम बुलाया और वहां फारूख साहब धाप फरमाइये इन 13 एम० एल० एज० ने ब्रापके खिलाफ इंब्लिलाफ राय की है, ग्रापकी गवन-मेंट में से इन्होंने अपना रतमाद वापस लिया है। डा॰ फारुख ने गवन र को कहा असेम्बली को मुद्रात्तल कीजिए, गवनँर रूल कीजिए। जगमोहन साहब ने, वहां के गवर्नर ने उन को लिख कर भेजा कि जो बार्से ग्राप ने मुझ से कहीं हैं असेम्बली की मुअल्तली के बारे में ग्रीर गवर्नर के रूल के बारे में उन को लिखकर भेजें। तीसरी चिट्ठी क्या आसी है वहां से कि आप असेम्बली को डिजाल्व कं:जिए । आप रियासत में गवर्नर्स रूल कीजिए । क्या यही जमहरियत है। हम नेवहां जम-हरियत का साथ दिया । हम ने कांग्रेस ब्राई में रह कर उसमें हिस्सा नहीं लिया हमारे मुल्क की अजीम नेता और जमहरियत ग्रीर सेक्लरिज्म की अलम्बरदार मोह-तरमा इन्दिरा गांधी ने फरमाया कि काश्मीर की मौजूदा सियासी तब्दीली नेशनल वांपारेन्स वा ग्रंदरुनी इंतशार ग्रीर कसमकश के नतीजा है ग्रीर वाक्या भी यही है कि हम ने जमहरियत की बका और मुल्बः के अजीमतर मफादात की खातिर हक्मरां नेशनल काफ्रेन्स का साथ दिया । उस की हिमायत की । उस सियासी ताबन का यही मकसद है। रियासम् की सेकुलर कुब्बतों की मजबती। अपनी आला रिक्षायात की हिफाजत और रियासकी एमाम को कौमी धारे में शामिल करने के अमल को तेजतर करते की हमारी तारीखी जुस्तज़ वांग्रेस धाई एक ऐसी जमात है कि जो एक्तिदार नहीं एतवार में यकीन रखती है। जो हक्मत में नहीं खिदमत के नस्बूलऐन पर कायम है। उन उसलों का बरमला इजहार कांग्रेस ने रियासत के हालिया सियासी तब्दीलियों में किया । अगर

हम ग्रस्तियार के भूखे होते तो हम ने जरूर मौजूदा हकुमत में अपना हिस्सा मखसूस करा लिया होता । तारीख गवाह है कि रियासत की नाजकतरीन मरहलों पर हम ने अखितयार की जगह ईसार को पसंद किया है। अगर हम ग्रिष्टियार के भखे होते तो हम ने शेख साहब को वहां कुर्सी पर नहीं विठाया होता । अगर आप किनेट मैच से ले कर पंजाब के इन बाक्यात तक के हालात को देखें तो उन के दौरान जो हुआ उस को देखते हए मैं अपोजीशन के मैम्बर साहबान से पूछना चाहता हं (ब्यवधान) आप ने मुझे गंगाराम कहा । ठीक है । धाप ने मुझे चैलेंज किया है। (ब्यवधान) ग्राप ने मझे नहीं कहा तो किस को कहा? मैं जानना चाहता हं कि आप ने किस को कहा । आप याद रखिये कि मैं आप को यहां वात नहीं करने द्ंगा ।

श्री शरोफद्दीन शारिक: कैसे बात नहीं करने देंगे। मैं जानता हं कि ग्राप की हक्मत है लेकिन यह बात यहां हाउस भें नहीं चलेगी।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री सँयद रहमत ग्रली ): गुलाम रसूल साहब, ग्राप से मेरी दर-ख्याल्त है वि: दो तीन मिनट में ग्राप श्रपनी तकरीर मुकम्मिल करें और बाप को ग्रापस में फिसी बहस में एडने की जरूरत नहीं क्योंकि बात बहत लम्बी हो जाएगी। इतना बक्त हमारे पास नहीं है।

श्री गुलाम रसुल कार : हमने 75 में कौन सी बनियादों पर भेख साहद का साथ दिया था । हम ने गेख साहब को इस बनियाद पर फिर वहां खड़ा किया था कि नेशनल कांफ्रेन्स का एक तारीखी रोल रहा है । मैं भी नेणनल कांफ्रेन्स का ही प्रोडक्ट हं। मैं भी उस से पैदा हुआ हूं, लेकिन उस का एक सुनहरा रोल बा उस के पेशेनजर हम ने उन का साथ दिया।

(ब्यवधान) ग्राप मुझे उस का वालटियर तसलीम कीजिए । ग्राप हो उस वक्त पैदाभी नहीं हुए थे। मैं रजाकार था। शेख साहब कुछ नहीं थे । शेख साहब एक जमात के लीडर थे। जमात के विना कोई लीडर नहीं चलता है। ग्राप को यह गलतफहमी है । शेख साहच जब लीडर बने जब हम ने कुर्वादी दी । इस गलत-फहमी में ग्राप न रहें। हम ने शेख साहब को जिन्दगी में उन का मुकाबला किया। उस वक्त डा० फॉल्ख नावालिंग बच्चे थे। ग्राप सवालं उठा रहे थे कि कैसे किया। हिन्द्रस्तान के लिये कीन काम करेगा। गुजिशता साल में जब फारूख श्रब्दुल्ला की सरकार थी नै ग्रादमी हमारे वहां गहीद हए। जित्र वृति शद पर गहीद हए । हमने कोई पाकिस्तान का नारा दिया था? हमने कहा या कि ऐक्सटीमिस्टस एंटी सोशन एंड रंटी इंडिया एलीमेंटस के खिलाफ एक्शन लिया जाए। हमने कहा था कि प्रो-पाक एलीमेंटस के खिलाफ ऐक्शन लिया जाए। ग्राप हमारी बातों पर ऐतबार नहीं करते । ग्रम्तसर के ऐक्शन के बाद श्रीनगर में मकानात जलाए गए । श्रोनगर में गोलियां चलीं । 5 सिख मारे गए और कुल मरने वालों की तादाद 12 थी जिनमें से 7 नेशनल कांग्रेस के कार्यकर्ता थे।...(ब्यवधान)

श्रो तरो हरून शारिक: फारूब ग्रब्दल्ला की गवर्गमेंट ने ऐक्शन नहीं लिया उनके खिलाफ ? . . . (व्यववान)

शो गताम रतल कार : पंजाब में द्यमन पसन्द लोगों को, बेसहारा लोगों को ऐक्तरीमिस्टस मार रहे थे। उनके बित्त कुळ नहीं किया गया। क्या वे वाहायात नहीं हुए कि उन दिनों में श्रीनगर में 15 तारीख को हमारी आर्मी पर बम फेंक्रे गए। चोफ मिनिस्टर ने कहां कि पटाखे थे। काफी हाउस में बेम कता गरा, उन्होंने कहा कि पटाखा था।

वहां के डी० ग्राई० जी० का वयान मौजूद है। वहां हथियार पकडे गए। वहां पर ऐंटी-सोशल ऐलीमेंटस की गिरफत।रियां हई, उनसे हथियार पकड़े गए बम पकड़े गए, पिस्तोल पकडे गए, फारूख ग्रब्दल्ला की परदापोशी में ऐक्सदीमिस्ट ऐलिमेंटस पनपे। उनके शासन के दौरान में ऐसी जमाते उभरी जिनके ग्रन्दर देशभवित नहीं थी। लिहाजा ग्राप यकीन करिये। जिस रोज अमृतसर में हमारी फीज दाखिल हई, उसी दिन वहां दो पुलिस के सिपाही मारे गए। वहां गुरू नानक निवास में ऐजिटेशन हुई ग्रीर वहां कुछ लोग मारे गए। गाड़ियां जलाई गईं। श्रीनगर में मार्मी की गाडियां जलाई गई और मनेक टक जलाए गए। हमारी हवाई फीजों के दो ट्कों को जलाया गया। उसमें हमारे अनेक जवान जखमी हो गए। इन वाक्यात को हमारे अपोजिशन वाले कैसे नजरअंदाज कर सकते हैं।

**Public Importance** 

कृटवा से पाकिस्तान की मिलती है। वहां से हथियार समगल हुए। वहां से हथियार समगल पंजाब में गए। उन्होंने उनके खिलाफ कुछ नहीं किया। पाकिस्तान का एक खनी ग्रफसर डा० फारूख ग्रब्द्रला की परदापोशी में फिरा। उन्होंने हमारे वहां के फोटो लिए उन्होंने प्री-पाक ऐलीमेंटस के साथ अपना नाता जोड़ा। मैं हैरानहां। ग्रगर वाकई इन्होंने कभी भी रेडियो पाकिस्तान सुना होगा तो यवताये कि कौन सी ऐसी मजबरियां थीं पाविस्तान गवर्नमेंट के लिए कि वह फारूख ग्रब्दल्ला सरकार के बारे में प्रपोगण्डा करता था। पाकिस्तान रेडियो ने कहा कि 29 लोग मारे गए, और इतने लोग जखमी हुए। श्रीनगर की बैली में कोई हड़ताल नहीं हुई । लेकिन आपको इस कलमिनेशन पर अक्सोस नहीं हुआ। किस हद तक वह कलिमनेशन है ?

श्री शरीफद्दोन शारिक : रेडियो पाकिस्तान ग्राप सुनते होंगे, हम नहीं सुनते।

श्री गुल।म रतुल कार : मैं सुनता हूं। इसलिए सुनता हूं कि मेरी रियासत, मेरी कौम के खिलाफ वहां क्या प्रयोगण्डा हो रहा है।...(व्यवधान)

SHRI SHARIEF-UL-DIN SHARIO: I am a patriot. I am as good a Patriot as any other Indian. I am a proud Indian Secularist.

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रो सँयद रहमत ग्रला) : कितनी देर ग्रीर बोलोगे ?

श्री गुलाम रसूल कार: मैं खत्म कर रहा हं।...(ब्यवधान)

श्री शरीफट्टीन शारिक: इन्होंने कुछ सीखा नहीं। ... (व्यवधान)

श्रो गलाम रसल कार : 22 साल के दौरान एक दिन भी शेख साहब के साथ नहीं थे। इन्होंने साथ नहीं दिया। एक दिन भी जेल नहीं गये। सरकारी मुलाजिम थे। जब मौका आया तो उनका साथ देकर इस हाउस में चले आए। लिहाजा मैं यह कहना चाहता हं कि गर्वनर ने जो कदम उठाया उस कदम उठाने से पहले यह भी उनके पेशेनजर था कि रियासत जम्म-कश्मीर के सियासी हालात नया हैं सियासी हालात का मकसद यह था कि रियासत में कीन सी ताकते उपर रही थीं, कीन सी ताकते इन-एक्शन थीं और कौन से वाक्यात थे उन वाक्यात को गवनेर ने सामने रखा होगा । नेशनल कांफ्रेस का स्पिलिट हुआ। जम्महरियत की भलाई के लिये, रियासत के काम के लिए हमने इस सियासी तबदील में उनका साथ दिया। लेटेस्ट खबर से मझे ताज्जुब होता है कि उनके सीनियर कुलीग, सीनियर वाइस प्रेजीडेट ख्वाजा गलाम

महम्भद जो शेख साहब की केबीनेट में मिनिस्टर थे, वह स्पिलिट करके शाह की पार्टी में आ मिले। इनका एक और साथी स्पिलिट कर गया । मुहम्मद हसेन खां जो इनके चीफ विहप थे वह भी इनके साथ आ मिले। आखिर में मैं अपो-जिशन वालों से कहना चाहत। हं कि ग्रापको कश्मीर को एक ग्रलाहिदा तौर पर नहीं देखना चाहिए। कश्मीर की हिन्दुस्तान का भाग समझना चाहिए हिन्द्स्तान के बाकी स्टेटों की तरह इसकी भी ट्रीट किया जाए। वहां फारेन ताकते इनएकशन हैं। फारेन ताकतों का वहां पर दखल है। ब्राज भी सी ब्राई ए के एजेंट वहां मौजूद हैं जिनका नेशनल कांफ्रेस, फारूख साहब के साथ वास्ता है। आप यकीन जानिए मैं आपको साथ एक-एक इंच पर मुकाबाला करूंगा। (व्यवधान) ग्राप पाकिस्तान का नाग मत दीजिए। (ध्यवधान) ग्राप पाक एलीमेंट का साथ मत दीजिए।

श्री शरीफद्दीन शरीक : आप एक दफा भी वहां से इलेक्शन जीत कर आ जाइये तो मैं जान लं।ग्राप जीत कर ग्रायेंगे तो मैं रिजाइन कर दूंगा। (व्यवधान)

श्री गलाम रसल कार: श्राप जो कुछ करते रहे हैं वह सब जानते हैं। (व्यवधान)

أل هدى غلام رسول كار: مين اس يوانده ير دربارة أ جاونكا يهر سے انتشابات ھولگے - میں اپوزیش سمبران کے ہونافائیڈس کو چھللیے نهیو کوتا . . . (مداخات) . . . ان نے پیٹریاڈور کو چیلفے

<sup>+[ ]</sup> Transliteration in Arabic script.

هوں - میں انکے ساملے ان واقعات کو لانا چاهها هول جیسے ولا خود قرما رهے هيں - "كشيهر از اے سيلسيقيو پارڪ آف دي کلٽري" ديد (مداملت .... (ساماله) .... دعوے کے ساتھ بیان کرتا ھرں کد ان ایوزیشن کے سمبروں کو کھمیر کے بارے میں احتیاط سے بات کرنی همارے لئے ضروری هے - آپکے للے بھی ضروری - کولی بهی قارن پاور هلدوسال کے بائی استیقس کے مقابلے میں کھمیر کے بغیر باقی ٿيبريالري پر دعوي نهيس کرتي <u>ھ</u> -پاکستان کا ریڈیو آب بھی ۱۴ گھنگے کشمیر کے لوگوں کو فلڈامیاللوم پو اور مسلم سینٹی مینٹس کو بہوکا رها هے - ابھی بھی پاکستان کا دموی هے - کل یه اخبارات میں آیا تها ایک نقفه میں چیپا تیا جس ميں اسكو هدوستان ا حصد ظاهر کیا گیا تیا ۔ جنرل دیا نے چتبى لكبى پروتيست كيا كه انكو لقهه ميں يه نهيں دكبابا چاهائے تها - آپکو احتهاط کے ساتو بات كراني چاهليم - كشير مين ولا طاقتیں هیں - جماعت اساسی هے مديمة الطلبا هـ - سيط اسلاس ٢٢ گهنگه پاکستان کا ریدیو اسکو برابر پیمی کر رہا ہے۔ آپ ان چیزوں كو نظر إنداز له كيجيِّه - فارن ياور لا همال ه جوكه جاسوس ه مياً لا جو ماليكل تهوة جه آ\_ كهمد در تَهوا عوا هے - آج بھی پکھھر میں چیایا هوا هے - رهاں پر یه ایک يو - ايس - ايم - كا ايلجهي . . . . بہلے ہمی قائقر فاروق عبدالله کے قال کے بعد پہلے جاکر کشمیر میں ھی نہیں ملا ذال کے بعد انکو ملا -انہوں نے انہیں بہاری رقم دیدی تاکه كالكريس كا مقابله كري . . . ليكون الكويس كے ياس . . (مدالمات) . . .

# †[شرى شويف الديني شارق :

والس جيارمين صاعب - ثبوت کے بغير آنريبل سبركي باتين هين .... (مدالمات) .... وائس چیئرمین سر یہ غبادت کے بغیر بيان ديته هين اور هميشه - ٢ سال سے یہ شہادت کے بغیر بیان دیتے ره ههو - مين مانعا هون كه إنكو نومیلهم کیا کیا ایا .....

†[شرق فلام رسول كار: أب جب نوسيليشن كا تعله ديتے هيں - مجھ بھی اس بات کا احساس ہے۔]

†[شرق شريف الدين شارق: آپ

کو شوملدگی هونی جاهئے۔] †[شوى فالم رسول كار: صحيف شرملدگي هوني جاهي - ليكي مسار ايدوالي سيكورلوم كو چهلايم نهين كرتے هيں .. میں اس کو واقعات بتانا چاهتا هوں -که کالگریس کا ورکر کی حالات مهن +[ ] Transliteration in Arabic script.

اسعول کھولے - هم نے قاکتر پهدا کئے -هم نے انصینیر پیدا کئے - همارا يه قصور هے كه هم نے أيه بيتوں کو قاکتری کی تریلنگ می - همارا یہ قصور ہے کہ هم نے آپ کے بچوں کو انجینهرنگ کی اریننگ دی - اور آیکے شیم ماحب کے اس ایک ساله دور میں ۲۲ ارب رویهه الهون إذ حاصل كيا اسك بالجود ایک میکارات کا پاور اسٹیشن نہیں يقا - ايك اسكول نهين كها - ايك «وک نهیس بالمانی کوشی نها کارخانه أب نے نہیں بابایا ... (مداخلت)]

†[أب سبها ادهيكس (شور سيد رحمت على): ايك ملت ليريك فلام رسول صاهب - مدين ممبران صاحبان سے درخواست کوونکا که اس قسم کی انگریشن نه کرین اور سین فرخواست کردا هوں که آپ مهرے ساته کوآپریمی ترین - ایسا انترپشن نه كوين تو زياده مناسب هوا - [

+[شرمی فام رسول کار: انہوں نے

كوتُشجِي كيا اور مين اس كوتشجي کا جواب دے رہا تھا۔ آپ نے شیع صاهب کی بات کی - شیم صاهب كو حكوست مين الله - شيخ صاحب کو هم وابس الله هندوستان کی بالبتيكل ايكتاع لئے نيشلل لترست کے اگر - لیکن دنہا ہیو کی هستاری

[شرى فلام رسول كار] وهاں لو رہا ہے ۔ آپ نے کانگویس کے ورکر کے خلاف کیا نہیں کیا ۔ مستجدین بلد کین - قبرستانون مین همارے مردرں کو دفی کرنے نہیں .... (سلخامه) .... ليا ليا همارا قصور يه في كه هم اقتدار مين نهیں هیں - اعتبار پر بمروسه کرتے ههی - هم شهم صاحب کو لائے ۲۲ سال کی آوارہ گریی کے بعد .....

†[شرى شريف الدين شارق: يه ساره فيش کی رکويست تهی ..... (مداخات) .... ظام سے تباہ کیا تها - فرق پرستی کو تباه کیا تها - آ

+[شرى فلام رسول كار : آيئے همارا ۲۲ ساله دور - کانگریس ۲۲ ساله دور - بخشی فالم متحمد کا دور -خواجه فلام محمد كا دور - أب اس هاؤس کے ایک کبیٹے بنایٹے ۔ دیکھٹے هم نے ۲۲ سال میں صرف ٣ ارب روپيه حاصل ايا کشيور کے تولیمید = کے المے اور هم نے ۱۰۰ پاور ميكاوات بهدا كي - هر تصصهل -هيد کواردر و د کبهن - هر جگهه سوک بہلنچائی - هم نے دو میدیکل كالمي ... (سداخلت) ... سالم کی همت وکیگے ..... هم نے ایکریکادچر کو اوهایا - هر جگهه بانیم ھائی اسکول کھولے - ھم نے پرائمری

<sup>†[]</sup> Transliteration in Arabic script.

کو دیکھ لیجگے دنیا بھر کی هستری میں کہیں ہے کہ اگر پارلیمنٹ میں میپر نہ ہو تو باہر سے ایک آدسی کو بلا کر چیف منسٹر بنائیں - لیکن ہم نے ایسا کیوں کیا دد نار دی انترست، ددنار دی انتگریٹی آف اندیا اور ۲۲ سال ہم ندیا دیا جاتا کو .......

†[شری شریف الدیس شارق : فاروق صاحب کو بھی لے آیگے کا .....

†[شرى غام رسوا كار: يقين مانك آپ فاررق صاحب جائیں تو همارے يهان دروازم بند نهين هين هم کانگریس میں ایک جگه دے سکتے ھیں - اگر وہ سیکورٹی رسک سے ھاتھ نہیں ملایں کے - اکاموں کے ساتو ھاتہ نہیں مائیں کے - ملک اور استیت کے انترست میں کام کریں کے.... اور پاکستان کے ساتھ هاتھ نہیں ملائیں گے اور دو پردہ ان لوگوں کے ساتھ ملے ہوئے میں جب کسالم کے همارے لوگ جهایا قاللے کے لئے کے تو د کار عبدالله کے رهنیائی مهی ان کے دانت ترزے گئے - هاتھ تورے نگے - لیڈے کسٹم آفیسرس کو ننکا کیا گیا۔ وہ ماہ کے گھر ہوا وہ قالم رسول ما کے کور ہوا ..... ميران باتون مين نه ماتے هوائه -]

Publi; Importance

†[شری فلم محی الدین شال
(جموں اور کشمیر) : اے شق بئ
ریکارڈڈ - اے از لے پرسلل اٹیک
(مداخلت)]

†[شرو فقم رسول الو: پوسفل الله ماله کی بات نهیں - فاریق عبدالله ماله هے - یه کونسی کالی هے - میں انگریزی نهیں جانگا - میں اردو بالگا عوں - وہ واقعی ماله هے - یه کونسی کالی هے (مداخلت)]

SHRI GHULAM MOHI-UD-DIN-SHAWL: If he has stooped so low, I hope the hon. Minister will reply to it. (*Interruptions*.)

†[شری غلام رسا کار: آپ مجھے پرروروک مت کیجگے - آپ مجھ سے سوالت مت پوچھگے ....(مداخلت)

† [أپ سبها ادهيکش (شري سيد رحمت على) : أپ اس سوال جواب كے بجائے اپذى تقرير كوير تو مقاسب هـ - . . . . . (مداخلت) . . . . . آپ تشريف ركبيًے - ]

† [شری فقم رسبل کار : . . (مداخلت )
. . . اسفے ریاست کی سیاست کو 
اس رالا پر ڈالنے کی مذموم کوشھی 
کی تبی اگر وقت ہو تدم نه اتبایا گیا 
ہوتا تو کشمیر کے الله زاروں سے ملک 
هدوستان کے تثبیں نفوت حقارت اور

<sup>+[]</sup> Transliteration in Arabic script.

ميں مدين افتحاد تهون - مون اس کنارو ورسی میں - قانونی نامعه مين نهيس پهلسا چاها ليکن كورنبر × كها قصور هـ- ١٣ ايم- ايل- ا--حاضر هوئے انہوں نے داکار فاروق کو بلايا -

اليك مانيه سدسيه : بهد روم

†[هرمي فلام رسول کار : بهد روم كهل - دفعر كهل - راي بهون كها-كهل عام باليا اور كها - فاروق صاعب أب قرمايك ان ١٣ ايم - اعل - ابو نے آپکے خلاف اختلاف رائے کی ہے آپکی گررندات میں سے انہوں لے اعتماء واپس ليا هـ - دَاكِتُو فاروق نے گورنر کو کہا . . . (مداخلت) . . . . أسمهلي كو معطل كهجيات گورنر رول كيجك - جلوعي صاهب نے وهاں کے گروئر لے انکو لکیکر بمیجا که چو باتیں آپ نے مجھ سے کھی ھیں اسبیلی کی معطلی کے بارے مھی انکو اور گرونو کے رول کے بارے مهى لكهكر بيهجين تهصري جالهي کیا آتی ہے وہاں سے که اسمبلی کو قاولو کهجی - آپ ریاست مهن گورنر رول کهچکے کها همی جمهوریت ھے - هم لے وهاں جمہوریت کا ساتم

+[] Transliteration in Arabic script.

[شرى غلام رسول كار] دشملی کی حوللاک آگ نمودار دوتی جسکے شعلوں سے کشمیری عوام جهلس کر را جاتی ۱۰ اور وهان کی قوت قدامت سے اپنا سر جهکا لهتی -جهوں نے باہمی مناهست بوالی چارے اور مذھبی رواداری کے اصولوں کو ایلا جزو ایمانی سمجها تها -

مهن تفصيلات مين جانم سے پهلے يه بات واضع كونا چاهما هوں که کانگریس آئی نے حال کی سیاسی تبدیلی کی ترتیب اور پیهمی مهن كولى حصه نهين لها -ية نهمل كاندونس كا اندوني جهموة تھا جو ایک سال کے بعد اس مقول پر پہلچا - غلام رسول صاحب آپ اس سے انکار کر سکتے میں۔ پیر صاحب حمام الدیری نے قاکلر فاروق کے خلاف اختلاف ظاهر کیا تو كيا يه واقعه نهين هـ كه انكر مکان کو جالنے کی کوشش کی گلی اس پر یتور برسائے گئے۔ اسکے خلاف درگاه مالی میں بالی کا کا نعره دیا گیا ۔ ڈاکٹر فاروق نے دیا .... (مدافلت) .... فسعداري كي سانه يه باعدكهة سكتا هون-.... (مداخات .... لوکل المارون مين اور نهملل پريس مين اسمًا اظهاد عوا - ۱ جولائي كو انہوں نے اپلی قیمانڈ گورنر کے ساملے رکهین که هماری اسهامت هو گلی هے - قاکار فاروق کی لیدر شپ

کو وهان کرسی پر نه بتهایا هوتا -اگر آپ کرکٹ میچ سے لے کر پلجاب کے ان واقعات تک کے حالات تک کو دیکھھں تو ان کے دوران جو ھوا اس کو دیکھتے عولے میں اپوزیشن کے مدر صاحبان سے پوچھنا جاعدا هور . . . . (مداخلت) . . . . . آپ نے معهد کُنکا رام که - لهیک ه - آپ نے مجھ چیللم کیا ہے..... (مدان من الله مجد نهين کیا۔ تو کس کو کہا ۔ میں جانف چاھتا ھوں کہ آپ نے کس کو کہا۔ آپ ياد رکپئے که مهن آپ کو مهان بات نههو کول فوں کا ۔

† [شرى شريف الدين شارق: كيسم بات نہیں کونے دیں کے - میں جانتا الوں که آپ کی حکومت ہے لیکن يه باس يهان ماؤس مهن تهين - 5 d>

†[أپ سبها ادهیکس (شوی سید رحمت على): فلام رسول صاحب -آپ سے مهری درخواست هے که دو تين ملت مهن أب اپلي تقرير مكمل کریں اور آپ کو آپس میں کسی بعصث میں پولے کی ضرورت نہیں كيونكة بأت بهت لمهي هو جائيكي-اتنا وات هدارے ماس فهمل هے -

دیا - عم نے کانگریس آئی مہر، رة كو استين حصة نههن لها -

همارے ملک کی عظیم لیکا اور جمه وريت اور سهكولوازم كي علمهردار معجرمه اندرا کاندهی نے قرمایا که کشده و کی موجوده سیاسی تبدیلی نيهدل كانفرنس كا اندروني انتهار اور كشكش كا نتهجه هے اور واقع يهي یهی هے که هم نے جمہوریت کی بقا ارر ملک کے عظمم نر مقادات کی خاطر حكمران نيشلل كاندرنس كا ساته دیا - اسکی حمایت کی -اس سیاسی تعاون کا یہی مقصد هے - ریاست کو سیکوار قوتوں کی مضبوطی ایلی اعلی روایات کی حفاظت اور ریاستی عوام کو تومی دھارے میں شامل کونے کے عمل کو تیو تر کرنے کی هماری داریخی جمة عبو . . . كالكويس أن ايك ایسی جماعت هے که جو اقتدار نهیں اعتبار میں یقین رکھتی ہے - جو عکومت موں نہوں خدمت کے نصب العين يو قائم ها ان اصولون كا برما اظهار کانگویس نے ویناست کے حاله، سیاسی تبدیلیوں میں کیا -اکو هم اقتدار کے بھوکے هوتے تو هم نے ضرور موجودة حكومت مين إيلا حصه مخصوص کرا لها هوتا - تاریخم گواه ہے که ریاست کی نازک ترین مرحلوں ہر هم نے اقتدار کی جگہة ایثار کو پسلد کها هے - اگر عم اقتدار ك ياوك هول تو هم نے شيم صلحب

<sup>†[]</sup> Transliteration in Arabic script.

انقى سوشل ايند انقى انديا ايليمهناس کے خلاف ایکشن لیا جائے هم نے کہا توا کہ یرو پاک ایلهمنٹس کے خلاف ایکشور لیا جائے آپ هماری باتوں یو اعتماد نہیں کرتے - امرتسر کے ایکھی کے بعد سری ڈگر میں مكانات جلائي كئي - سوى نكر مين کولیاں جلیں - ٥ سکھ مارے گئے اور کل صرفے والوں کی تعداد ۱۲ تھی جن میں سے ۷ نیشنل کانفرنس کے الربع اوتها ته . . . . (مداخات) . . ]

†[شرمى شوياف الدين شارق : فاررق صدالله کی گورسلت نے ایکشن نبدو لها الكي خلاف . . (مداخات) . . ]

†[شرق فلام رسول از : يلجاب میں امن بسند لوکوں کو بے سہارا لوگوں کو ایکسٹر مسٹ مار رہے ته - انکے خلاف کچه لهیں کیا گیا - کیا یہ واقعات نہمی هوئے که ان دنوں میں شوی نگو میں 10 تاریخ کو هماری آرسی پر بم پہینکے گئے۔ چیف ماسٹر لے کہا که يتاخي تهے - كوئى هاؤس ميں بم یهیلکا گیا ۔ انہوں نے کہا که پٹاخا تها - وهاں کے تھی - آئی - جی -کا بیاں موجود ہے - وماں هتویار یکوے کئے وہاں ہر انامی سوشل اليمنتس كي كرفتاريان هوئين - أن

†[ ] Transliteration in Arabic script.

# †[شری غلم رسول کار: هم نے ۵۷

میں کونسی بلهادوں پر شیم صاحب لا ساته دیا تها - هم نے شیم صاحب کو اس بنیاد پر پهر وهان کها کها تها كه المشلل كالفونس كا ايك تاريضي رول رها هے مهن بهی نهشتل کانفرنس كا هي پروةكمك هون - حين بهي اس سے پیدا عوا هوں - ليکن اس كا ايك سنہرا رول تھا اس کے پیھی نظر ھے نے ان کا ساتھ دیا . . . . (مداخلت) ..... آپ مجهد اس کا واللته تساهم کیجگے - آپ تو اس وقت پیدا بھی نہیں ھولے تھے - میں رضاکاو تبا - شیم صاحب کچه نهیں تھے -شیم صاحب ایک جماعت کے ایقو تھے۔ جماعت کے بنا کوئی لیڈو نہیں چلتا ہے آپ کو ہے؛ غلط فہمی ہے ۔ شیم صاحب جب لیدر بلے جب هم نے قربانی دی اس فاط فہمی مين آپ ته رهين – هم نے هيئے صاحب کي زندگي مهي ان مقابله كها - اسوقت قاكتر فاروق نابالغ بحج ته أب سوال الها رهے تھے که کیسے کیا - هندوستان کے لگے كون كام كريمًا - كزشته سال مين جب قاررق مهدالله کی سرکار تهی 9 آدمی همارے وهاں شهید هرئے -کس بنیاد، یو شبهد هوئے هے نے كوئى ياكستان كا لعود ديا تها .. هم نے کہا تھا کہ ایکسٹی مست

سے هتهیار پکوے گئے۔ بم پکوے گئے۔ يستول يكرے كئے- فاروق عبدالله كى پرده پوشی میں ایکستری مست الهمامت یاچے۔ انکے شامن کے دوران مين ايسى جماعتين ابهرين جنيء اندر ديه بهتني نهين تهي - لهذا آپ يقين كرئه جس روز امرتسر مين هماری فوج داخل حوالی - اسی دن وهاں دو پولیس کے سیاھی مارے گئے۔ وهان کورو نانک تواس مین أيجى تيشن هوئي اور وهان كجه اوک مارے کئے - ازیاں جلائی گائیں - سرباعر میں آرسی کی کاریاں جلائے کئیں اور انیک ترک جائے کئے - هماری هوائی فوجوں کے هو ترکون کو جلایا گیا .- استهن همارے الیک جوان زخمی دو کئے -ان واقعات كو همارے ابوزيشن والے کیسے نظر انداز کر سکتے میں -

کٹوا سے پاکستان کی سرحد ملتی ہے - وہاں سے ہتھیار نسکل هوئے - وهان سے هتبیار استكل هوكر پلجاب میں گئے - انہوں نے انکے خلف کچه نهیں کیا - پاکستان کا ایک خونی افسر ڈاکٹر فاروق عہداللہ کی پردہ پوشی میں پورا انہوں نے همارے وهاں کے فوٹو لئے - انہوں نے پرو یاک الیملٹس کے ساتہ اینا ناتہ جوزا - مهن عهران هون اگر واقعی أنهرس نے کمبی بھی ریڈیو پاکستان سلا هوا دو یه بتائین که کونسی ايسى مجهوريان تهين باكستان

گورفسامع کے لئے که ولا فاروق عبدالله سرکار کے بارے میں پروپیگلقہ کرتا تھا۔ پاکستان ریڈیے نے کہا کہ ۲۹ لوگ مارے گئے اور ادیے لوگ زخمی هوا**یہ - سری نگ**ر کی ویلی مهن كوئي هوتال نههن هوئي -لهكن آپكو اس كلمليشي پر افسوس قهیون هوا - کس حد تک ولا كلمليشور هـ - ]

†[شرم شريف الدين شارق: ريديو ياكستان آب سلتم هونكم هم نههن ساتے -

† شری فلام رسول کار : مین سلتا هوں ۔ اس لئے ۱۳۵۰ هوں که مهری ریاست - میری قوم کے خلاف وہاں کیا يروبيكنده هو رها هي . (مداخلت) . . ]

SHRI SHAROEF, UDDIN SHARIQ; I am a patriot. I am as good a patriot as any other Indian. I am a proud Indian seculariost.

+[شری سید رحمت علی : کتلی دیر اور بولوگے -]

†[شرى غلم رسول كار : مهن ختم كو رها هور ... (مداخلت) ....

†[شرى شريف الدين شارق : الهون نے کنچه سیکها لہوں -.... (ساخات) ....

†[شرى فلم رسول كار : ٢٢ سال ع دوران ایک دن بهی شهد ماحب کے ساتھ نہیں تھے - انھوں نے ساتھ †[ ] Transliteration in Arabic script.

اشوى فالم رسول كاو نہیں دیا - ایک دان بھی جیل نہیں گئے - سرکاری ماارم تھے - جب موقعه أيا تر انكا ساته ديكر اس هاوس ميں چلے آئے - لهذا ميں یہ کیشا جامتا ہوں کہ گورلر نے جو قدم اقهایا اس قدم اقهانے سے

پہلے یہ بھی انکے پیش نظر تیا که ریاست جموں کشمیر کے سیاسی حالات کیا هیں - سیاسی حالت کا

مقصد یہ تہا که بیاست میں کونسی طاقتهن ابهر رهى تهين - كونسى طاقتهی ان ایکشن تهی - اور کونسے

والعات ته - ان والعات كو كورنر نے ساسلے رکھا ھوگا - نھشنل کانفرنس کا

اسيلم عوا - جمهوريت كي جالئي کے لئے - ویاست کے کام کے لئے - هم

نے اس سیاسی تبدیلی میں ان کا ساته دیا - لیکست خبر سے معومے تعجب هوتا هے که ان کے سیلمو

كلياك - سهدار وائس يريويدات خواجة غلام محمد جو شده صاحب كي

كهدات مير ماسالو ته - ولا اسهات کر کے شاہ کی ہارتی میں آ ملے -

ان کا ایک اور ساتھی اسھلت کو گیا -محمد حسین خان جو ان کے چیف وهب ته ولا بهر ان کے ساتھ آ ملے -

آخر میں میں اپوزیشن والوں سے کہنا چاہتا ہوں کم آپ کو کشمیر کو

ایک علیدده طور یو نهین دیکها داهنے کشمیر کو هندوستان کا بهاک

سمنجها جاها - هدوستان کے باتی

استیگوں کی طرح ان کو بھی تریت کها جائے وهاں فارن طاقتیں ان ایکشی هیں - فارس طاقتوں کا وهاں پر دخل هے - آج بھی سی - آئی - اے - کے ايعجلت ومان موجود هير . جن كا نیشلل کانفرنس فارزق صاحب کے ساتھ واسطه هر - أنه يقه. بدائل مين أب کے ساتھ ایک ایک انہے پر مقابلہ کروں ﷺ .... (مداخلت) .... آب يا استال كا نعره ست ديجيلي . . . آب ایک العمات کا داده مت دیدید -

†[شرى شريف الدين شارق : آپ

ایک دفعہ بھی وہاں سے المکشور جهت کر آ جایئے تو میں جان لوں -آپ جیت کو آئیلگے تو میں رزائیں كو دور كا ..... (مداخات).... لا

†[شرى غالم رسول كار: آپ جو كچه کرتے رہے میں - وہ سب جانتے میں ..... (مداخلت).....

SHRI MADAN BHATIA: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I had already expressed my views that so far as the question of the Constitutional propriety of the action of the Governor is concerned, that falls outside the purview of the discussion of this hon. House. But since the hon. Members on this side have raised this question, I, therefore, seek your permission to deal with it.

The role and conduct of Mr. Farooq Abdullah as Chief Minister is not related to his dismissal as the Chief Minister. These two questions are separate

<sup>+[]</sup> Transliteration in Arabia script.

questions. Hon. Members, Mr. Advani trjea to club them together by — I respectfully submit - misreading the statement of the hon. Home Minister. My respectful submission. Sir. is that so far as the dismissal of the Chief Minister is concerned, the question is that he was dismissed as Chief Minister because he refused to resign. He refused to resign because he did not accept the advice of the Governor to resign. Therefore, the Constitutional question is, whether he was competent to give any advice to the Governor and secondly, what advice he gave to the Governor. I want to put the record straight before this hon. House. Neither in his personal meeting with the Governor nor in the letter which he wrote to the Governor, did he deny that a split had taken place in his party and a chunk of his party had broken away from him and he had lost the majority. This is the basic Constitutional fact which should be borne in mind before deciding the question as to whether there was any Constitutional impropriety on the part of the Governor or not. Having accepted this particular fact, that he had lost the majority, I respectfully submit, Sir, that it was Dr. Farooq Abdullah who was guitty of gross Constitutional impropriety in seeking to give any advice to the Governor. Firstly, he started giving contradictory pieces of advice to the Governor. In his personal meeting, he »ays. you suspend the Assembly and in-Governor's rule. In his letter, suggests two pieces of advice. Firstly, he not deny that he had lost the majority. But he insists that still the Assembly be called. And alternatively, fe tha Assembly. It is be-, pieces of says, advice were not cepted by the Governor that he had to be dismissed because he refused to resign. Therefore...

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: He is misleading.

SHRI MADAN BHATIA: The hon. Member can say so. But I have got the letter. Everything is with me. I respectfully submit, Sir, what is the Constitutional position. If the Chief Minister loses majority, whether he is competent to give any advice to the Governor that the Assembly should be dissolved or that the Assembly should be suspended.

The Supreme Court has held, has clearly held that the position of the Governor is identical with the position of the British Crown because, the entire Constitutional system is based on the Parliamentary system of Government prevailing in Britain. There is no specific provision in the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir from which it can be decided as to what should be the Constitutional convention in this regard. But if one were to go by the letter of the relevant provision of the Constitution, then, the letter of the law is, there is absolute discretion on the part of the Governor to dismiss any Council of Ministers. There is absolute discretion on the part of the Governor of Jammu and Kashmir whether to accept any particular advice of the Council of Ministers or not to accept the advice. But I do not go to that extent. Because the Supreme Court has held the position as exactly identical as that of the British Crown in England. I respectfully submit, Sir, that an identical situation arose in England in 1969, when Harold Wilson became the Prime Minister. Harold Wilson faced a split in his party. He was threatened with a breakaway by a large number of his Members in Parliament, which would have reduced him to a minority. A debate was on in England because he started toying with the idea of advising the Queen to dissolve the Parliament. What did the Constitutional experts say at that juncture when Harold Wilson, in order to get out of his own party difficulties, had the idea of advising the Queen to dissolve the Parliament? I respectfully submit, Sir, that in this regard there was a famous jurist whose name was

matter of urgent 320 Public Jmportanea

[Shri Madan Bhatia] anthony King who wrote in May 1969, and I quote:

"Prime Minister ought not to request a dissolution (and presumably the Queen ought to refuse such a request if made) when his motive is to seek a way out of internal party diffculties."

Then I quote Jennings, the famous constitutional expert. He has written, 1 quote:

"If the major parties break up, the whole balance of the Constitution alters; and then possibly the King's prerogative becomes important."

And then I quote Mr. Asquith, the famous British Prime Minister, who wrote in 1923, he said:

"The notion that a ministry which cannot command majority in the House of Commons is invested with the right to demand a dissolution is as subversive of constitutional usage as it would, in my opinion, be pernicious to the general and permanent interest of the nation at large."

This is ihe constitutional provision, this is the constitutional norm. That is what the hon. Members have been harping upon the constitutional norms, and this was the constitutional norm which was totally thrown to the winds by Mr. Faroog Abdullah. What should be the role of the Governor in such a situation, when such a Chief Minister refuses to resign and advises the Governor to dissolve or suspend the Assembly? The answer again has been given by the constitutional experts in England and I shall quote just two constitutional experts. One was Sir Allan Lasalles who wrote in 1950, and I quote:

"It can be property assumed that a wise sovereign—that is one who has at heart the interest of the country, the Constitution and the monarchy—would deny a dissolution unless he was satisfied that (i) the existing Parliament was still vital, viable and capable of doing

a job; (iij a general election would be detrimental to national economy; (hi) he could rely on finding another Prime Minister who could carry on the Government for a reasonable period with a working majority."

Then the other constitutional expert u Markesins who has written in his famous book 'The Theory and Practice of Dissolution of Parliament' and in that book he concludes, I quote:

"Most constitutional lawyers seem to support the idea that in a divided House and particularly with a multiple party system, a minority government—whether defeated or undefeated—is not entitled to a dissolution if an alternative government is possible and furthermore is capable of carrying on with the existing House."

(Time bell rings). I just want to have two minutes more. I submit, Sir, that in such a situation if the Governor is satisfied with the three conditions which have been mentioned by the jurists, he ought not to have dissolved the Assembly, and in this particular situation prevailing ia Jammu and Kashmir these were not only the three conditions which were in existence but there was a fourth vital condition and that was 'national security\*. The circumstances prevailing in the country are such, the security environment surrounding the whole country, particularly Jammu and Kashmir and the border State of Punjab, is such that at such a stage the dissolution of the Assembly would have been disastrous so far as the national security is concerned.

### (Mr. Deputy Chairman (in the Chair.)

I submit, Sir, that the hon. Members have talked about the constitutional norms. I would like to say only one thing. If there is one Chief Minister who completely llouted ths entire constitutional norms of the Constitution of India, it was Mr. Farooq Abdullah. The whole federal system is based upon this concept that the elected Chief Minister shall cooperate with the elected Prime Minister of the country. And here is this particular Chief Minister who goes from place to place, holds con-

matter of urgent

claves, joins hands with the Opposition and gives a call for the removal of the elected Prime Minister of the countril विभागति महादय, आज प्रातः वाल से who was supported by two-thirds majority ही पायमीर समन्या पर और वहां की गवर्नमेंट in Parliament. Was this a constitutional के बार में विचार मंथन है। अनेक norm? This was a blow straight into the teeth of the federal system of government सदस्यों ने अपने दिवार व्यक्त किए हैं। by which he had laken the oath to pre- यह सच है कि जानमीर समस्या को एक serve.

Hon. Members talk about the constitu- दिचार भी होना चाहिए। मैंने कई माननीय I would just like to remind tional norms. them very shortly about their performance बिरोधी सदस्यों के दिवारों को मुना है with regard to the There is hardly any vestige of parliamentary democracy which they did not seek to destroy when they were in power from समया है उनकी कई तकलेकि होता वी 1977 to 1980. an overwhelming majority. They trumped up charges against her in order to नहीं जोड़ना चाहिए कि देश हित उसमें expel her from Parliament. They denied her liamentary democracy? the personal liberty which is enshrined in the Constitution of going abroad by deny- चुनाद में भा गए 11983 में इन्दिरा जी की ing her the passport. I had to move the यह देख्या चूंट बदीश्य नहीं हो सका। उन्होंने court in order to get a direction to their Government to get a passport for her शायद इन्दिरा जी को समझने में भूल की to go to London. And their pettiness went at a second of the second of t io this extent that they had restrictions imposed upon her that when she travels from Delhi to London, wherever the plane िए डेमे लेसी के लिए कितने घंट पीए हैं stops, she will not be permitted to leave the airport. That was the extent to which they They cajoled, they threatened, they went. encouraged her erstwhile colleagues to destory thi whole Cabinet system of Government which is based upon collective responsibility, by instigating them to give evidence before the Shah Commission in order to implicate her and thrust the sole responsibility upon her shoulders for which they were collectively responsible. Now they have the cheeks to talk about the constitutional norms! I respectfully mit that the manner.... (Interruption) understand the trepidation why they are so much agitated over the dismissal of Mr. Farooq Abdullah. They had been using, instigating encouraging Mr. Farooq and in the same manner in which they had been using, encouraging and instigating the Akali agitation in Punjab.

Thank you, Sir. 715 RS—11.

Public Importance श्री राम चन्द्र विकल (उत्तर प्रदेश): राष्ट्रीय संदर्भ भें देखा जाना चाहिए। यह समस्या एक राष्ट्रीय समस्या है। उसी तरह से इस पर गम्भीर चिन्तन धौर constitutional norms. खासवार उन लोगों को मैंने ध्यान से मुना है Mrs. Gandhi was elected स्वामाधिक है मगर तकलीफ को इस तरह से Was it par- कहीं सफ़रकर आए। इस सदन में उन्होंने यह वहा या कि फारख साहब जो दोबारा के लिए आदाम के लिए धार्मिक एकता के यह में उनको मिना सकता हूं : सन् 1975 में स्वर्गीय शेख अब्दल्ता को दोबारा पादर में लाना इन्दिश जी की महान उदारता है और काण्मीर के लोगों को श्राम धारा में लाने के विचार से ही अपना कांग्रेस की सरकार को हटा कर के तिरंगे झण्डे की सरकार को हटा कर अन्ती पार्टी की सरकार को हटा बर के शेख साहब हालांकि उन दिनों बीमार भी बहुत थे। डाक्टरों की राय इनके बारे में कुछ थी इंदिरा गांधी जी ने फिर भी महान उदारता के साथ शेख अब्दुल्ला को अन्ती गवनेभेंट हटाकर द्वारा पावर में ला दिया, यह क्या कुछ सम बाइदा घृंट था। मझे मालग है कि उन दिनों कितः वाशमीर के लोग शेख शब्दल्ला को पावर में लाने के खिलाफ थे। इस देश में भी अनेवः लोग ऐसे थे में उनको नयदीक

## (श्रो राम चन्द्र विकल)

से जानता हं जो शेख अञ्चल्या को द्वारा पादर देने के खिलाफ थे मगर इंदिरा जी ने इन सब बातों को इन्तोर विया । मैं बार्मार के डायम के लोगों के लीडर्ज के नाम बता सबता है जो बाज भी जिदा है और जो शेख साहब के बारे में अनेक राय रखते थे मगर शेख साहब को द्वारा पावर में ला कर इंदिरा जी ने संचा कि आयद वे मस्य धारा में आयें। ग्राज वे दनियां में नहीं हैं इसिएए मैं उन के बारे में कुछ नहीं कहना चाहता हं मगर बीच-बीच में कभी दिल्ली में वे कुछ, काश्मीर में जा कर कुछ धीर पब्लिया के सामने कुछ कहते रहे । यह एक वहानी है उसकी आज छेड़ने का मौबा नहीं है। मगर जिस भावना से इंदिरा जी शेख साहब की द्वारा पावर में लाई थीं वह भा उनके अनुरूप सिद्ध नहीं हो सकी थीं । वे इन फारुख ग्रब्दल्ला से लाख बेहतर थे यह मैं वहने को सैयार है। फारुख अञ्चला साहब पावर में आ गये भायद शेख साह्य दा जनाजा नहीं उठा थां. पार्टी में भी लीडर नहीं बने गये और गवर्नर साहब ने यात को अध्य दिला कर इनकी र्चाफ मिनिस्टर बना दिया । चीफ मिनिस्टर बनने के बाद इन्होंने क्या कुछ अच्छी बातें कीं ? मैं शेख साहब के मातम में गया था जी० एम० शाह से मिला था, जी० एम ॰ भाह के उस वक्त के बयान कितनी नाराजगी के थे मैं इस वक्त उनको दयान नहीं करना चाहता है। खाली जी ० एम० भाह नाराज नहीं थे अनेवः उनके कैबिनेट के मिनिस्टर नागाज थे जिनको आम सभा में उन्होंने बहा कि बेहमान मिनिस्टरों को हटा देंगे। वे अनेया मातम के मीके पर मझे मिले थे। उन मिनिस्टरों ने बाहा का ग्रगर वह मातमी जलसा नहीं होता जिसमें फारुख श्रद्धल्ला ने हमको बेइमान बहा था तो आयद पब्लिवः हमको मार ंडालती। हम बहत धीरे के उट कर चले

ग्राये इस मातमी सभा में से जब हमको बेडमान कहा गया । पाष्ट्रख अध्यल्ला की देशभक्ति की बात पर बाद में ब्राउना लेकिन वे लडक्यन की पराकाण्डा करते रहे, मैं इसे लडक्षन की पराकारता बहता हैं। अनेक लोगों को अपने आप नेजनल वानकेन्स से त राज दार रखा था । वाष्ट्रमीर के अक्षाम की, 'ये रीसेंट हवेंटस मिला करके क्या उन्होंने खश विया ? जो यहां रह रहे हैं, जमीन के मालिक हैं, मकानों के मालिक हैं उनके खिलाफ एक रीसेटलमेंट विल भो ले आये। हम ता वाश्मीर के पिछले जुन के चताव में ब्हेथे यहां महम्मद-न्स की बात मैं बहुता चाहता हूं कि वें भी यह रहें थे कि रीकेटलमेंट बिल क्या है, तबाही है। तो अनेक ऐसे बाम कर डाले। इस रोसेटलमेंट विन को लाना क्या देशमन्ति है। क्या इनको कुछ दूसरे देशों से साजिश नहीं समझा जाता ? क्या दूसरे देश पाविस्तान में गये हुए लेगों को दबारा बलाने के माने कुछ नीयत साफ समझी ाती है ? ऐसे-ऐसे वाक्यात होते चले गए जिससे वहां के अक्षाम नेजनल कान्फ्रेंस के लोग नारा होते चले गये। एक सच्चाई से मैं बात कहता चाहता है। बाएमीर के लोग चाहे कुछ भी हों लेकिन वे धर्मनिर्देश रहे हैं उनको आपस में एक दूसरे से घुणा नहीं रही। मगर आज जो बीज बो दिये गये हैं इनके वाक्यात हमारे पास मीजूद हैं। ऐसे बीज बो दिये गये फारुख अब्दल्ला की यदर्नमेंट के जमाने में जैसे यह अभी सात जून का वाक्या है, इसका मामला है, आर्यसमाज का मंदिर जला 🦼 दिया गया, निरंगरियों का मंदिर जला दिया गया और हनमान मंदिर जला दिया गया। जमायते इश्लामी के लोग है जिनको पुलिस जलस नहीं निकालने देना चाहती थी मगरफारुख अब्दुल्ला ने इजाजत दी श्रीर जल्म के ग्रागे से पुलिस हो कर चली। इस तरह से लाखों लाख की सम्पत्ति को श्रीनगर में जला दिया गया। चुनाव के

मौके पर कांग्रेसमैन को कितना पिटवाया ग्रनन्त नाग ग्रीर ग्रनेक जगहों पर । क्या ये घटनाएं किसी को याद नहीं हैं। ये सब वानवात ऐसे वे जिनके लिए डेमोकेसी का कोई विचारक नहीं कह सकता कि यह डेमोक्रेटिक वेथा। उन्होंने अनेक ऐसी बार्ते की । प्रधान मंत्री इंदिरा गांधी के साथ जो चनाव में दुब्यंवहार हम्रा मैं उसकी शर्मनाक बात कहना चाहता हूं। वे देश की प्राइमिमिनिस्टर थीं । उन्होंने शेख **अब्दु**ल्ला, फारुख अब्दुरूला के दालिद को दुवारा पावर दे दी थी 23 साल भटकने के बाद । उनके साथ जो दृब्यंबहार पब्लिक मीटिंग में कराया गया फारुख साहद' ने उसको कंडेम्न नहीं किया । इंदिरा गांधी जो पता नहीं कितने कड़ने घंट देश की खातिर, काश्मीर के अवाम की खातिर पोती रहीं लेकिन इन्होंने कंडेम्न नहीं किया। चाहे वह खेलों के मौके पर पाकिस्तानी झण्डा था। मैं तो पंछ ग्रीर राजोरी में चनाव में रहा हं वहां किस तरह पाकिस्तान एजेंट जिया साहब के फोटा ले बार बोट मांग रहे थे। क्या ये सब चीजें इनको नोटिस में नहीं थीं। यह सब जान, मान कर था। अराष्ट्रीय तत्वों को दबाने में फारुख धब्दल्ला सहायक रहे हों, वह तो दूर रहा, मैं यह सिद्ध कर सकता हं अनेक प्रमाणों से कि अराष्ट्रीय तत्वों की बढ़ावा देने में फारुख अब्दुल्ला का हाथ रहा है, चाहेबह पंजाब के साथ मिल कर रहा हो, चाहे वह पाकिस्तान के साथ मिल कर रहा है।।

मेरे पास एक किलाब है, जो अभी बहत तेजी शे छापी गई है।

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Give the evidence and prove.

श्रो राम चन्द्र विकल : जी, हां, एविडेंस है। एविडेंस पेश करने को हम तैयार हैं। जम्म्-काश्मीर की घटनाओं

के पीछे किसका हाथ है, यह मौलाना जमील अहमद इलियासी ने किताब छापी है, जो शब्यक्ष हैं अखिल भारतीय इमाम संगठन के। उन्होंने यह छाप करके सिद्ध किया है-फारुख शब्दल्ला श्रनेक उन लोगों के साथ बैठे हैं, फोटो खिज रहे हैं, भपय ले रहे हैं और दिला रहे हैं। जो अराष्ट्रीय लोग हैं, इसमें उन अनेक लोगों के फोटो हैं। मैं इतना ही यहां पर कह देना चाहता हं कि ग्रपाष्टीय तत्वों को दबाने के ग्रलावा ग्रराष्ट्रीध तत्वों को खल कर के बढ़ाबा दिया है, यह सिद्ध होता है अनेक प्रमाणों से।

वजह थी कि वहां नेशनल कानफ्रेन्स में रिफ्ट आई। ्लोगों ने कहा--मैं नेशनल कानफ़ेन्स के ऐसे लोगों से मिला ह जिन्होंने खल कर के कहा-- ग्राज तो चा वह इधर हैं, उन्होंने कहा कि फारुख ग्रब्द्रला देशभिक्त से परे हट गया है, वह हिन्द्रस्तान के खिलाफ साजिश कर रहा है। हम नेशनल कानफ्रेन्स में हैं - मैं उनका नाम उद्धत करने को तैयार हं जिन्होंने कहा कि फारुख अब्दुल्ला की देशभक्ति पर हमको संदेह हो गया है, हम फारुख ग्रन्द्रला को छोड़ना चाहते हैं ग्रौर छोड़ दिया उन्होंने। वह ऐसे ही लोग नहीं हैं। ग्राज मझे यहां दुख के साथ कहना पड़ता 

श्रो लाल कृष्ण ग्राहवाणी : वह इसलिये कह रहे थे कि वे कांग्रेस पार्टी में ह्या गये ग्राज ।

श्री राम चन्द्र विकल : मुझे ग्राडवाणी साहब पर ज्यादा रहम ग्राता है। मैं सब जानता ह, मैंने श्रापको पंजाब पर भी सना है, ग्राज इस पर भी सुना है। ग्राप से तो लाख दर्ज ग्रच्छी कुमारी जयललिता बेटी है, जिसने देशभक्ति के लिए कहा है, राष्ट्रीय एकता के लिए कहा है, जनतंत्र के जिए कहा है।

# [श्री रान चन्द्र विकल]

ग्रापको हो क्या गया है ? अनतंत्र की दहाई दे रहे हैं, आप राष्ट्रीय एकता की दहाई दे रहे हैं ग्रीर ग्रराष्ट्रीय तत्वों को बढावा दे रहे हैं और यहां पर मैं देख गहा ह कि आपको और आपकी पार्टी के क्या हो गया । जो यह लाक दल और जनता पार्टी वाले कहते हैं कि वहां तो नेवनल कांक्रेंस में रिफटवा, झगड़ा था, उन्होंने आपस में यह कहा कि हम इनका चीफ मिनिस्टर नहीं रखना चाहते, लेकिन 1977 में नौ राज्यों की कांग्रेस सरकारें, जहां पार्टी में कोई झगड़ा नहीं था, गवर्नर से रिपोर्ट भी धापने नहीं मंगवाई ग्रीर दिल्ली से फरमान जारी करके नी राज्यों की गवर्नमेंट को हटाने वाले लाग डेमोकेसी की दहाई देवर इस देश की जनता को गुमराह नहीं वार सवाते। यह मेरा दादा है, मैं दिश्वास के साथ कहना चाहता हं। नौ राज्यों की गवर्नमेंट को एक मिनट में हटा देना, जहां कोई झगड़ा नहीं, गवर्नर से पुछा नहीं, पार्टी में रिफट नहीं, यहां तो पार्टी में रिफट एक अरसे से था और उसको हटा दिया, तो आप गवर्नर को भी गलत बताते हैं , डेमांकेसी की हत्या बताते हैं, देणभक्ति की दहाई देते हैं।

इन सारी दुहाइयों से, मैं ग्राडवाणी जी से साफ-साफ बहुता चाहता है कि चाहे द्याप हों, या जनता पार्टी हो, चाहे लोक दल हो, वह अपने की तो गुमराह कर सकते हैं. इस देश की जनता को गुमराह नहीं कर सकते । देश की जनता बहुत सावधान हो चकी है।

क्या चौधरी चरण सिंह की प्रधान मंत्री की गपथ डेमोकेसी की यहानी हैं ? यह तो न १हले बहुमत विद्ध कर सके और न बाद में कर सके।

हम तो तीस तारीख को या पहली को जो बहुमत हो रहा है, उसमें तो बहुमत सिद्ध कर देंग काश्मीर में खुला हुआ वहमत है, लेकिन चरण सिंह जी की जिस तरह से

राष्ट्रपति जी ने यहां प्रधानमंत्री बना दिया, वह डेमोक्रेसी थी आएकी निगाह में 1

श्रव धाप डेमोंकेसी के हिमायती बनना चाहते हैं। मैं इतना ही बहना चाहता है (समय को घंटो) कि डेमोकेसी, राष्ट्रीय एकता यह ऐसी चीजें हैं जिनमें विरोध के पक्ष में और सरकार के पक्ष में कोई ज्यादा दरार नहीं होनी चाहिए, मतभेद नहीं होना चाहिए । तभी डेमोकेसी देश में जिदा रह सवती है। लेकिन डेमोकेनी की अपने लिए परिभाषा दूसरी हो, श्रीन सरकार के लिए दूसरी हो, ता यहां फर्क हा जाता है।

में जानता है कि डेमें केसी दें। पहिए की गाड़ी है-अपंजियन भी अहरी है, सरकार भी जरूरी है और अपाजीशन ऐसी हो जिसके सही सझावों को तुरन्त मान लेना चाहिए और सरकार की राही बात का अपोजीशनको समर्थन बन्ना चाहिए । तभी डेमे फेसी चलती हैं, लेकिन सरवार की हर सही बात का विरोध करना विरोध के लिए, तो बाहबाणी जी विरोध कर ली. यापको पूरी छूट है, लेकिन न यह डेमोकेसी के हक में बात है, न आपकी पार्टी के हक में यह बात है और न देश के हित में यह बात है कि विरोध के लिए हम विरोध करें।

में अने अ ऐसी बातें बहुता चाहता है (समय का घटी) जाज यहां वाश्मीर के ग्रन्दर . . . (व्यवधान)

श्री उपसद्यापति : अब आप समाप्त करिए । बहुत अच्छा आपने वहा ।

श्रः रामचन्द्र विकलः वह तो मैंने बता दिया भाई-भाई का ग्रांर भी है वहन-माई का भी है, साले-बहनोई का भी है, वह तो उनका घरेल झगड़ा है, उसमें आडबाणी जबदंखी पड़ रहे हैं, पता नहीं क्यों ?

में एवः बात जरूर यहां कह देना चाहता हुं काण्मीर की कहानी 1947 से आज तक

की हमारी याप बीती कहानी वन गई है ऐसे अनेक बेश-शक्त लोग हैं जो सन 1947 से लेकर प्राच्य तक अपनी क्रकानियां दे रहे हैं। उसमें वहां के गुजर लोग हैं। पदमधी मोहन्मददीन आज उसका क्या हाल हुआ है वहां सरकार ने उसकी जमीन को छीन लिया है और भाई-भतीजों में बांट दिया जिसकी देगभवित के लिए उसे पदमधी दी गई थी। ऐसे अनेक लंग हैं जिनका जहां मजार बना हथा है। वहां के गूजर लोग हमेशा से देशमंबित के लिए अरता सर काटते रहे हैं। एक निद्वाई उनकी आवादी है। मैं सरकार से भी कहता चाहुंगा कि आत भी देशमकत लंगों को जरा बढ़ावा देश सीखी। काश्मीर के अवाम की तरफ से मैं विश्वात दिलाना चाहता हं कि काश्मीर भारत का एक अभिन्न श्रंग है अभिन्न शंग रहेगा। वहां की साम जनता देश के साथ है, इन्दिरा गांधी के साथ है और वह जानते हैं यने। बार उन्होंने प्रस्ताव पास किए हैं । जो अयनलिया ने कहा था कि हम देश के संविधात के साथ साझी। हों ऐसी अनेक वहां की बड़ी भारी तादाद है जो यह नहना चाहते हैं। लेकिन दुर्मास्य यह रहा है कि इप देशमनतों की कड़ कम कर पाते हैं। इसको भी देशसकतों की कद भरना चाहिए । ग्रराष्ट्रीय तत्व जहां भी देश के किसी हिस्से में हो उनसे सावधान होना चाहिए । साववान होकर हम देश को मजबत कर सकी यही मुझे इत थाड़े से मध्यों में कहना था । मैं फिर अपोजीमन के लोगों से यह प्रार्वना करूगा कि डैमोकेसी राष्ट्रीय एकता के लिए अपना पैमाना ऐसा रखों जो धाप कहें तब भैं फिट हो जब आप की सरकारें और दूसरा पैमाना, दूसरी सरकारें और दूपरा पैमाना हो तब भी फिट हो । श्रापोजीशन के लिए विरोध न करें देश की एकता और अखंडता के लिए हम सभी जिम्मेदार हैं। में इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ अपील करूंना कि हम देन की एनता छोटे मोटे झगड़ों को भूजा कर हम देश को इस्टबारखें। यही मेरा कतना है।

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, fourteen hon. Members have participated in this, und I do not know whether it is called a debate. It is just a calling attention. But it has become a debate

SHR1 J. K. JAIN (Madhya Pradesh): It is calling attention-cum-debate.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: It generally gets itself converted into a

I am grateful to all of them for having brought out one thing, each in his own way or in her own way, that everyone in this House stands for the unity, integrity and security of the nation. There may have been altercations, there may have been arguments, against each other, but riately what has come out, loud and ar, is this. Whether Members are from Kashmir or from the down south, they are all for this pre-eminent ideal of unity, integrity and security.

Sir, nothing new has happened in Kashmir which did not happen in almost every State in India in the past. And it is likely to happen again in the future. As someone said, this is neither the first nor the last. In fact, I was rather surprised that Members who were speaking against one destabilisation, were asking for another immediately, that the present Governmeat also should be dismissed. So, this is nothing new.

When one Chief Minister loses his majority in his State, we do not have to lose our tempers here. We can go into those details dispassionately. A lot of material has come before the House. I started by going out of the way and telling Members that this action was taken under the provisions of another Constitution. So, there is an inbuilt limitation on how far we could go to unravel the whole thing and start making that point of discussion. I did it advisedly because Kashmir has a status. It is clearly defined in Article 370 of the Constitution and to that extent we have to admit. We have to recognise tliat status and that position. Now if it had been on any other matter, naturally even Members from Kashmir would have had

[Shri Р V. Narasimha Raol full justification in opposing any discussion of that kind coming here. I am a little surprised that because this concerns a party matter, the Members from Kashmir, the National Conference seem to have fallen on the wrong side. It is for the Members of Kashmir as much as to all of us so long as Article 370 continues to be a part of the statute, to be a part of the Constitution we have to respect it and it is only in this spirit that I had that point, not stopping the Members from saying what they wanted to say. But afer making my own position clear gently, gently suggesting to them that in this particular matter there is a Laxmana rekha. We should not transgress it, if it is possible for us, but that has not been found possible and I am not surprised. really wanted Advaniji to be here because he started with a new angle. We kno" that in the cut and thrust of the deba^f certain basic needs are forgotten or by-He said on four paras we are passed with the Government. On the last para we' are with the Government. On the 5th para .....

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I did not say that we are with the Government on the first four paras, but the last para.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: On the last para O.K. So he did not see the last para emerging out of the other paras. He thought that the last para was something brought from outside extraneously and planted ihere. Now, I would like to remind him of a few instances. I am not going into the whole story. In fact, at this point of time the story has not really gone very far and that is why may be taking a lesson from Punjab. Everyone of us should ask ourselves whether this is not the right time to wake up. That is why just a few instances, Sir, 7 vehicles belonging to the Army, two to Air Force and two to BSF were attacked and five Army personnel injured on May 29, 1984. Notwithstanding the alert sikh extremists about 300 held meetings in which highly provocative speeches were made. One Mr Samarjit Singh, not quite clear about his name, he and his associates moved freely in a motorcycle in Jammu and set fire or attempted to set fire, of course, they

set fire to some, in some cases they only made the attempt but did not succeed, two post offices of Triptinagar, Satwari, Bad Brahhana, Guru Nanakpur, Tallab Tillu and Batilmore, Ketwa and also two Telephone Exchanges in Bari Brahmana, State Bank of India, Nanakpur. You may see they have IOO post offices and thousands of Telephone Exchanges. So they do you bother? It is only one or two oc three. My answer is, it is symptomatic army personnel there, post offices here.

Jammu June 6, 1984: Sikh Youth Organisation organised a procession of about 200 Sikhs in defiance of the prohibitory orders and before the crowd was dispersed, a police vehicle was hijacked from there and driven violently, crushing one constable to death and injuring others.

June 6, Srinagar : Charanjit Singh Khalsa of the banned AISSF organised a procession to the UN office in the city, which was joined by pro-Pak Muslim youths. They polted stones and snatched a rifle from an army havildar travelling in a truck.

Again, post offices, telephone exchange\* I will not go into that. I am only giving samples.

Srinagar: On the 7th June, the crowd went on a rampage and set fire to houses and institutions including Arya Samaj School, Nirankari Bhavan; raided the Hanuman temple and threw the idol into Jhelum and stoned shops in Lal Chowk, Bodshah Chowk, Pratap Park, Hari Singh High Street and Maharaja Park, The Poojary of the temple was mercilessly beaten. This is another sample.

Then, Sir, on June 7, in Jammu, armed Sikhs blockaded almost all the roads from Jammu and Miran Saheb and Kalu Chowk, thereby severely hampering army movements on these' roads for apprehending some deserters. Roads were also blocked at Bhor Camp, Digana, Simbal, Chath-a, Gadigarh. At Gol Gujral, 500 Sikhs set a city bus on fire. Separately an attempt was mr.de to blow up a bridge at Dambhal Road. A jeep was also burnt, etc., etc. I do not want to take any more time of the House by giving a whole list of

what happened. But even so, the whole list of what happened is only a beginning. And that is why I say that this is the time when we have io ponder. Those who were responsible for all these acts only a few. The vast majority of the people of Jammu and Kashmir are nationalistminded. They want to preserve, protect the unity of India, the security of India, the integrity of India. I have absolutely to doubt about it. This, therefore, is the time when we have to sit up and think as to what should be done.

was a Government Never mind what the Congress(I) says. Never mind what any other party says. 1 am not really going into the party aspect at all. There are other forums. I never bring any party matter here. Yes, every party has its own forum and there you have full opportunity to express yourself positively, negatively, against other parlies, criticising them. Yes, all this is in the game, but not here. Here we are discussing something which is very very different from parties. I hope Mr. Advani knows what ihe Election Commission said about elections in Jammu and Kashmir. I hope all the Members know about it. If someone says there was rigging, I do not expect Mr. Advani to say, "If there was rigging, why don't you dissolve the House?" Is it that easy to dissolve a House, even if you know that there has been rigging? He is a lawyer, he is a constitutional expert .....

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: The Chief Minister advised it. Therefore: I said

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: It is not possible to dissolve a House merely because you know that there is rig-7 r.M. ging. There has been rigging. This is known. But the point is this..

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: The basis was that the Chief Minister advised...

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Now, that is very good. Now he has agreed that there is rigging...

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: He said now, not earlier. He did not say at that time.

matter of urgent PublK Importanc2

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I said the Chief Minister himself advised the Governor. So, if there was rigging, that was the opportunity to accept it.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: That is a different matter. What you said was if there was rigging, why you did not dissolve Ihe House...

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: No, no, he did nol say that.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: If you have not said, I am very happy, because I do not expect you to say that at all. May be, I have heared you wrongly.

Then he went on to say something verv interesting. We have had defection law here in the regime of both parties, theirs, ours and his....

## SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: A Bill.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Bill. But it could not be passed. there is a defection law there. What does the defection law say? Who is a defector and when does he become a defector? When does the law start operating on him and he loses his membership? On the day on which these twelve or thirteen MLAs presented themselves before the Governor, they were not defectors. They were MLAs. Even now they are not defeciors in law. Even now. Suppose the Governor had treated them as defectors, would the High Court not have come heavily on him and say, how can you treat these thirteen MLAs as defectors? It is for the High Court to decide whelher they have been disqualified or not. They have to incur a dis-1 qualification under Section 70. Thev

have given the definition of defection. Okay. We may or may not have a difference on that. But on the next thing: Section 69 of the J&K Constitution prescribed disqualifications for membership of the State Legislative Assembly or the State Legislative Council. And then Section 70 of the State Constitution lays down the procedure for decision on the question of disqualification of Memers. So, the High Court has to say. If is is represented to the Speaker or the Chairman that a Member of the Legisla-

#### [Shri P. V. Narasimha Rao]

tive Assembly or, as the case may be, of the Legislative Council, is disqualified for being such a Member under the provisions of Section 69 or was so disqualified at any time since' being chosen as a Member and ihe Member does not admit that he is or was so disqualified, ihe question shail be referred to the High Court for decision and its decision will be final. So, how can you criticise the Governor for not having taken them as defectors per se according to this law; and say, no, no, I am not going to count your votes as votes? This is really astounding. Therefore, I said I am not really going to discuss these things, but, you know, I. have been cornered because something which is a half-truth has come out about this defection law.

CHATURANAN SHRI MISHRA (Bihar): When the High Court is yet to decide, the best thing would have been to wait for its decision..

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: That is all right. Until it decides, they are M.L.As. That is what I am coming to. Mr. Madan Bhatia has given a large number of examples of constitutional propriety, norms etc. Now I need not go into all that. As I started, I would only say that even in a normal situation like in any other State, I would not go behind the decision of the Governor in replacing one Ministry with another. I come into the picture only when he says. please (ake this under 356. We get reports. That is a different story. But I am telling you what the position of propriety is. We did not go into why the Governor of Sikkim dismissed the Congress-I Chief Minister of Sikkim. We did nol; and you did not because one Congress (I) Chief Minister less is very good for you. I was expecting some fire works from the Constitutional pundits here. I thought that they would first assail me on Sikkim, and I was bracing myself up for that. But nothing happened. When we came to Kashmir all this comes up. I am only placing facts before you.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: You will not be spared when Sikkim budget wiH be placed before us.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: I am not asking io be spared. I only pointed out this difference.

That is why I cannot find fault with this decision. Even in normal conditions I cannot go behind this decision. In these particular circumstances when action has been taken under a Constitution and when relevant provisions of the Indian Constitution do not apply to the State of Kashmir—it has been clearly pointed out by Mr. Bhatia—I would say that prudence requires that I should give a little more discretion to the Governor rather than saying that he has done something right or wrong. You have that privilege, of course. You can always say that what he did was right or wrong. So far as the Government is concerned, 1 am quite sure that you will agree with me, if I decide as a matter of abundant caution to give him a little more discretion, particularly when there are so many grey areas, it will be in order. They cannot be considered defectors and we have precedents. The Karnataka precedent has been cited. Mr. Gurupadaswamy said he is against it. For the first time I discovered a brave member of the Janata Party saying that he opposed it and opposes it. What is tha use of one person opposing it? It was It was done in 1979 at the Centre. It is not necessary that in every case majority should be, shall be decided on the floor of the House. In cases where there is doubt, yes, ihe Governor would certainly get it tested. But when there is no doubt-not for you, not for mein the minds of the Governors or Rashtrapati, as the case may be, is it necessary? They took certain decision. They also took certain decision. There is a recommendation of the Speakers' conference that it should be done. But that is not binding. Neither this is binding. Every case depends on its own merits. This is the position. If you go through the Constituent Assembly debates, there was a proposal to give what is called an instrument of instructions to the Governors on what to do or what not to do. Under these circumstances it was rejected and rightly rejected because you can never imaging all the combination of circumstances that may arise in

A given case. That is why Governors were given certain discretion. Here I do not want to say—it is not true also—that Governor is something like a khudmukthar. He is very much an appointee of the Centre or Rashtrapati, while he is acting. So is the Chief Jus-\tice, if it comes to that, and so also every Judge of the High Court or Supreme Court. So, it is not a question of appointment. It is a question of how you look at the functions of the Governor.

Therefore, I would respectfully submit that there is no Constitutional impropriety as far as I can see. In any case I am well within my discretion and propriety not to interfere and say that he has done something wrong. After all what did the Governor do? He took a democratic course. You may not like G. M. Shah. Suppose the Governor had gone in straight for his own rule. In the case of Kashmir, it is the Governor's Rule. Would not the honourable Members have said that the Governor was in an indecent haste to put his own rule there, that he did not exhaust the other democratic possibilities and that he did not explore any possibility whether an alternative Government could be formed or could not be formed? I main this is generally done.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Why did he give one month's time to Mr. Shah to prove his majority?

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: The same was given at the Centre also in 1979. That is a question of judgment: How much has to be given? Now, was the Governor going to ask me or Mr. Gopalsamy as to how much time he has to give? That is not correct. (Interruptions). When I say that I give discretion to him in the main decision, I have to give likewise discretion to him in the time factor also. Now, after all, this is happening and this is going to be tested finally and there is no question ef going back. Mr. Advani says that the Governor's Rule or the President's Rule would have been better. Dr. Farooq Abdullah is a good friend of ours and he was here in the Lok Sabha. When

715 RS-12

he went there, he seems to have become a different person. But that is a different matter. When the Governor confronts him with this situation saying that he has lost his majority, he says, "Come on. Have Governor's Rule.". What does that mean? If the Chief Minister asks 'he Governor to have the Governor's Rule there, does it not in so many words mean that he has lost his majority?

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: He asked him to summon the Assembly.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please do not interrupt him.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: No. The first request was, "Mr. Governor, have your own rule, but not that man's.". That is the crux of the whole thing. He says, "Have your rule.".

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: The first request was for summoning the Assembly.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Upendra, you have not grasped the seof events. When he met him, auence whether it was in his bedroom or drawing room, wherever he was called and wherever he went-Members may have objection; but he did not have any objection, and he went to his bedroom, and that is a dig that has no meaning—he said, "Dr, you have lost your majority. I am convinced on that. Please resign.". But he says, "Have your rule." He never said, "I did not lose my majority." Now, this is something like a final decree and there is no appeal against it. What else does the Governor do? He would be doing something ridiculous if he had said, "Yes. You have agreed that you have lost your ma-Still you show me that you have jority. lost your majority on the floor of House.". What more convincing proof did he have or did he have to have? That is why there is no impropriety. But the point is that we have to look future. So far as that is concerned. am glad that a consensus, not only a consensus, but also unanimity, has emerged 1 in this House, and we will certainly see that whatever steps are to be taken to

P. V. [Shri Narasimha tighten up these things anywhere, all over Business as follows: the State—now it is not just a question cf X being installed in the place of Y—I would like to assure the House that new Government would be requested to take those steps and it would be requested to do what all we had requested Dr. Farooq Abdullah to do and more, if necessary. Therefore, we are not going to judge the two Governments with different vard-This I can assure the House because what is most important is not either this Chief Minister or that Chief Minister, but the security of the country in very very sensitive border State of India. On that, Sir, I have no (Interruptions). doubt in my mind that this will be Sir, I would approach of the Government. like to thank the Members for having participated in this discussion. (Interruptions).

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Sir, particularly in view of the incident that he has mentioned, would he consider my suggestion that there should be While Paper on Kashmir also?

SHRI J. K. JAIN: What for? Over the anti-national activities of Dr. Abdullah? (Interruptions)

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: This is precisely what I wanted to say. He has reminded me. Very well. I thank him for this. Sir, the question is that matters 3. Discussion on the Resolution have not come to the White Paper stage. (Interruptions) That is why we do not want to let them come to the White Paper stage.

SHRI J. K. JAIN: Very good.

SHRI P. V, NARASIMHA RAO: This is again something which needs to be appreciated on all accounts . That stage has not come. (Interruptions)

Allotment of time for disposal of Government and other business

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: have to inform Members that the Business Advisory Committee at its meeting held to-1 day, the 26th July, 1984, allotted time

Rao] for Government Legislative and other

Business

Time Allotted

- 1. Consideration and passing/ return of the following Bills as passed by Lok Sabka :-
  - (a) The Industrial Disputes' (Amendment) Bill, 1984 2 hours
  - (b) The Multi-State Cooperative Societies Bill, 1984 . . . . 2 hours
  - (c) The Electricity (Supply) Amendment, Bill, 1984 . 2 hours
  - (d) The Levy Sugar Price Equalisation Fund (Amendment) Bill, 1984 2 hours.
  - (c) The Estate Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984 . 2 hours.
- 2. Discussion on the Resolution seeking di approval of the National Security (Second Ordinance. Amendment) 1984 and consideration and passing of the National Security (Second Amendment) Bill, 1984 as passed by Lok Sabha. · 3 hours

seeking disapproval of the Hooghly Docking and Engineering Company Limited (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Ordinance, 1984 and consideration and passing of the Hooghly Docking and Engineering Company Limited (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Bill, 1984, as passed by Lok Sabha.

. I hour

. Discussion on the Resolution seeking disapproval of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities (Amendment) Ordinance, 1984 and consideration and passing of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Acti-vities (Amendment) Bill, 1984, as passed by Lok Sabha