303 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 304

Heads of State or Govt. held in New Delhi

SHRI DINESH GOSWAMI: Madam, that point was slightly confusing; whether it is one-man Commission or it is headed by somebody.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: I have said 'a Commission'. We have to discuss what will be more effective.

MOTION RE. SEVENTH CONFERENCE OF HEADS OF STATE OR GOVERNMENT OF NON-ALIGNED COUNTRIES HELD IN NEW DELHI—contd.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We now take up discussion on the Motion of Shri Ramakrishnan. Dr. Najma Heptulla.

डा॰ (श्रीमती) नाजमा हेपतुल्ला (महाराष्ट्र) : सर, ग्राज जब मैं इस नान-एलाइंड कान्फ्रेस की कामयाबी पर बोलने के लिए खड़ी हुई हूं (व्यवधान) मेरे साथी ने जो यह मोशन हमारे हाउस के सामने रखा है मुझे लगता है कि हमारे सभी साथी इस पर बोलना चाह रहे हैं । यह ऐसा सब्जेक्ट है कि मुझे लगता है कि हमारे हाउस के साथी ही -नहीं बल्कि हिन्दुस्तान के करोड़ों-करोड़ो वह लोग जिन की ग्रावाज नहीं सुनाई देती वह सब हमारी नेता श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी श्रीर हमारे देश की जो इज्जत बढ़ा है उस के बारे में बोलना चाहेंगे। सर, ब्राज जब मैं नान-एलाइन्ड कान्फ्रेंस की कामयाबी ५र बोलने के लिए खड़ी हई हूं तो मेरा दिल जज्बात से भरपूर है ग्रीर सिर फक से ऊंचा है। हमारे यही नेताओं ने गांधी जी, जवाहरलाल नेहरू से लगा कर इन्दिरा गांधी दुनिया को जीने का नया रास्ता दिखाया है, भ्रमन का और श्रहिसा का रास्ता दिखाया है, जिस पर चल कर दुनिया के बहुत से मुल्कों ने श्राजादी हासिल की है। तारीख गवाह है इस बात की कि हिन्द्रस्तान ने द्निया की रहनमाई की है ग्रीर ग्राइन्दा भी करता रहेगा ताकि इस दुनिया के लाखों-लाख लोग श्रमन अप्राक्ती से एक दूसरे के भाईचारे से श्रीर इज्जत श्रीर वकार से रह सकें। इस का खुला सुब्त हमारे सामने है जो नान-एला कान्फ्रेंस की कामयाबी है। नेहरू ने एक कतरा डाला था 22 साल पहले जो बढ़ कर नान-एलाइन्ड के मौजें मारते दरिया की सुरत में श्राज सब के सामने न सिर्फ उन पिछड़े हुए मृत्कों के लिए एक नये मस्तकबिल की पेशीनगोई करता है श्रोर उन की उम्मीदों श्रीर श्राशाश्रों का सैराब करता है, वहीं वह एक तुफान का भी पेशंखेमा है जो इस बात की तरफ उन लोगों को चेतावनी देता है जो इस मुवमेंट के खिलाफ हैं कि वह तिनकों की तरह श्रपने सैलाब के साथ उन को बहा कर ले जाने की भी ताकत रखता है। उन तीन नेताम्रों ने जो मुखालिफन हालत से दो-चार थे, नेहरू, नासिर श्रीर टीटो ने जो बुनियाद डाली थी वह एक मुस्तहकम इमारत बन कर हमारे सामने आई है। 1961 से ग्राज तक सिर्फ 22 साल गुजरे हैं-कौम की जिन्दगी में 22 साल कुछ नहीं होता । मगर इन 22 इस म्वमेंट ने एक भरपूर जवानी की सुरत में हमारे देश में दुबारा जन्म लिया है। मेरे साथी ने इस से ५हले एक शेर पढ़ा था । वह शेर मैं भी ५ढ़ना चाहती हूं भ्रीर इस बात बताना चाहती हूं कि वह शेर कितना सही है। वह शेर है:

''मैं अकेला ही चला था जानिबें मंजिल मगर, 305 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 306 Heads of State or Govt. held in New Delhi

लोग साथ भ्राते रहे भ्रीर कारवां बनता गया।"

श्रौर श्राज इस कारवां की मीरे कारवां श्रोमती इदिन्रा गांधी हैं। मेरा भर जाता है और फख्री से ऊंचा होता है यह सोच कर कि मैं हिन्दुस्तानी हूं। इन्दिरा गांधी के में हूं और मेरा सिर फक्ष्य से ऊंचा जाता है एक ग्रौरत होने के नाते इतने श्रजीम मुवमेंट की रहनुमा दुनिया की इतनी जईम श्रौर श्रजीम नेता भौरत हैं जो इस म्वमेंट को एक नग डाइमेंशन अौर दुनिया को एक नया रास्ता ग्रमन ग्रीर ग्रा५सी दोस्ती रास्ता दिखायेंगी श्रीर उन्होंने यह रास्ता दिखाया है। मुबारकबाद के काबिल हैं हमारी नेता । जिस हिम्मत श्रौर भरोसे के साथ इतने कम घरसे की नोटिस उन्होंने इस कांफरेंस को अपने मुल्क में करने के चैलेंज को कबूल कर लिया भौर उसी के साथ हमारे फारेन मिनिस्टर भौर दूसरे नेता श्रौर सैंकड़ों श्रफसर जिन्होंने इस घलेंज को कब्ल किया और इस बात को जाहिर कर दिया है कि भ्रगर हमारी नेता भ्रौर हमारे लोग किसी जिम्मेदारी को कबूल कर लेते हैं तो उस को पूरा करते हैं। दुनिया में बहुत से लोग थे जो न सिर्फ इस कांफरेंस की तरफ शुबा की से देख रहे थे बल्कि वे इस उम्मीद में थे कि इस में हमें कामयाबी न हो। मगर उन की डवाहिश पूरी नहीं हुई फ्रौर न सिर्फ कांफरेंस कामयाब हुई बल्कि इन श्रीर मृश्किलात मराहिल मामलात श्रोर मसलों श्रोर समस्याश्रो को भी बडी खैर खूबी से हम ने श्रंजाम दिया और हर मामले में यह जाहिर करता है हासिल हुई ? कि हमारी नेता श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी की

दूरदेशी कितनी गहरी सूझ बूझ, श्रौर दुनिया के बड़े-बड़े नेताश्रों का उन पर कितना भरोसा ग्रौर एतमाद है। न्यू दिल्ली मैसेज इस बात का भी सब्त है कि हमारी विदेशी नीति हमारी फारे**न** पालिसी न सिर्फ ठीक है बल्कि डाइरेक्शन में है ग्रौर हमारे देश के सभी लोगों को ग्रपने पोलिटिकल से ऊपर उठ कर उन का साथ दे**ना** चाहिए । ग्राज द्निया एक ग्रजीब मुसी-बत से, एक ग्रजीब एकोनामिक दौर से गुजर रही है ग्रौर एक सयासी बोहरा**न** से दो चार है जिस में बड़े-बड़े एक दूसरे से बाजी ले जाने पर हुए हैं कि कैसे नये-नये खतरनाक हथिया**र** बनाये जायं ताकि दूनिया के उन मुल्कों का खात्मा हो जिन्होंने बड़ी मुश्किल से साम्प्राज्यवादी ताकतों से म्राजादी हासिल की है ग्रीर ग्रब इकोनामिक ग्राजादी हासिल करना चाहते हैं ताकि ग्रौर एहतराम से जिन्दा रह सकें। वह मुल्क इस कोशिश में हैं कि कैसे लाखों को मारा जाय ग्रौर हमारी यह कोशिश है कि कैसे लाखों की भूख मिटा कर उन को जिन्दा रखा जाय । कैसे मासूम बच्चों को एक नया मुस्तकबिल जाय । एक बार फिर मैं कर देना चाहती हूं कि हमारी कामयाबी से दुनिया के बहुत से मुल्क खुश नहीं है खास तौर पर इजराइल श्रौर श्रमरीका ने ग्राभी से हमारे मुल्क के खिलाफ **ग्र**पना प्रोपेंगेंन्डा शुरू कर दिया है । क्योंकि इदिन्रा गांधी वह वाहिद नेता हैं दुनिया की जिन्होंने हर मौके पर फिलि-स्तीनियों की हिमायत की है चाहे वह हमारे मुल्क में हों या यू एन ग्रो में हो या ग्रमरीका की सरजमीन पर हो । उन लाखों दबाये हुए मजलूम फिलिस्तीनियों की भ्रावाज को दुनिया में उन्होंने सुनाया है। मुझे याद है कि जब मैं यासर श्रराफात साहब से ट्यूनिसिया में मिली थी सितम्बर

307 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 308 Heads of State or Govt. held in New Delhi

[डा० (श्रीमतो) नाजमा हेपतुल्ला] 1982 में तो उन्होंने मुझ से कहा था कि मैं तमाम प्रोटोकोल की हदूद को पार कर के यह कहूंगा कि इन्दिरा गांधी म्रजीज बहिन हैं जिन्होंने हर मोके पर, हर मुसं बत में हमारा साथ दिया है चाहे वह बेरूत की स्थिति हो चाहे इजराइल की, हर जगह उन्होंने हमारा साथ दिया है। हम ने हर जगह इन्दिरा गांधी को भ्रपने साथ पाया है । श्राज दुनिया श्राति-शोफिशा के दहाने पर खड़ी है। दुनिया की चन्द वह ताकतें तो तरक्की कर चुकी हैं दुनिया के लाखों लाख जनता को सतहे हस्ती से नेस्तनाबूद कर देना चाहती हैं । म्राज नान-एलाइन्ड मदमेंट के म्रलावाक्यायु० एन० भ्रो० हम को इन मुश्ःलात से मुक्ति दिला सकता है। क्या वह उन की उम्मोदो भ्राशाश्रों को पूरा कर सकते हैं जहां पर बीटों का बोट चन्द बड़ी ताकतों के हाथ में हो । यह एक बहुत सवाल है जो हमारे सामने है। एक मेर याद श्राता है:

"तुम ही कातिल तुम ही मुनसिफ ग्रीर तुम जल्लाद भी, ग्रक्तबा मेरे करें, खून का दाबा किस पर।"

महोदय, इंत ग्रल्फाज के साथ हमारी दिली मुबारक बाद महान नेता, हमारी प्रिय नेता श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधीको कबूल हो जिन्होंने हमारे मुल्क कावकार दुनियामें ऊंचा किया है। मुवमेंट की जिस बनियाद उनके वालिद जवाहरलाल थी धाज उसकी बागडोर उनके हाथों में है। दुनिया की दो तिहाई की ग्रांखें ग्राज उनकी हैं । उनकी उम्मीदें, उनकी वाबस्ता है 1 9

उस सुबह का इंतजार कर रहे वह इज्जत ग्रौर वकार के पीसफुल को-ऐविजस्टेंस, कोग्रापरेशन. कोग्रार्डिनेशन के साथ इस दुनिया रह सकेंगे । दुनिया की ग्राने नस्लें इस बात को याद श्रौर तारीख इसकी गवाह होगी कि हिन्द-स्ता। ने एक बार फिर दुनिया की जीने की राह दिखाई है। हमारी शुभ-कामा ।एं इंदिरा जी भ्रापके साथ हैं। हमारी द्यारें भ्रापके के साथ हैं कि जैसी ग्रापको कामयाबी हुई है, ब्राइंदा भी ऐसी हासिल हो । एक बहुत महान एकज बहुत बड़ी जिम्मेदारी भ्राप कंधों पर है ग्रौर हमको यकीन है कि ग्रापके कंधे कितने ही नाजुक हों, हमको ग्राप पर पूरा भरोसा है, ग्रापमें इस वोझ की जिम्मेदारी उठाने की सलाहियत है। मुझे एक शेर याद स्राता है---

> "चला जाता हूं हंसा, खेलता, मोजे हवादिस से" श्रगर श्रासानियां हों जिन्दगी दुश्वार हो जाए।"

ग्रापने जो तमाम तकलीकों ग्रीर तमाम मुसीबतों को ग्रच्छी तरह से स्वीकार किया है, हमें उम्मीद है कि ग्राप इसमें इतनी कामयाब होंगी चाहे दुश्मन कुछ करे, ग्रापका बाल बाका नहीं हो सकता। इस पर भी एक शेर है—

"फानूस बन के जिसकी हिफाजत हवा करे,

वह शमा क्या बुझे जिसे रोशन खुदा करे।"

इंदिरा जी हम सब श्राप े साथ हैं।

The whole world's darkness cannot extinguish a single candle.

. r - 녍

309 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 310

Heads of State or Govt. held in New Delhi

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I must admit that I am somewhat at a loss to understand the need for such a resolution. I am deeply appreciative of the previous speaker. I don't think the intention was to have a proxy "mushaira", also, equally not a resolution to this eeffct to eulogise individual, a person when the movement is much larger than a person, when the theological concept of what we are to discuss is much larger than a person. To my understanding, it is certainly a dimunition of the concept; it does not add to it, with due deference to the mover of the Resolution. Therefore, I am obliged to oppose it.

I went through some past records. I attempted to find a precedent for this. I did so because we have a bureaucratic way of thinking which works on the lines of precedents. Perhaps there was a precedent on earlier occasions and someone wanted to say that, "there is a precedent and, therefore, we are doing it". However, to equate the success or nonsuccess of what has taken place in New Delhi as something revolving round the personality of an individual is both objectionable and limited.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Preposterous.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Two separate consultations are going on in the House.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You go on. The Minister is hearing. I am arranging the speakers.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: How does one determine the success of a conference like this? As a student of current history, I have yet to come across an international conference which ends up in being a non-success except in a very limited bilateral sense. And therefore, it is a success only in the administrative sense, in the logistic sense, that so many heads of Government collected and safety departed and that everybody had a place to live in and everyone got a

be an absurd reduction of the problam to say that this is how success is measured. Still the logistics was there and I think it merits attention and it merits reiteration. My eminent colleague, Shri Syed Shahabuddin, has said that the contribution of the Civil Service, the Foreign Service, to making this Conference a success has, without doubt, been exceptional. I have had occasion to say in this House, we do have an exceptionally talented Forein Service, as good any in the world, and they worked overtime and they worked exceedingly hard to ensure that the Conference was a success. We would be making a grave mistake, however, if we thought that in a mere shifting of the thought that in amere shifting of the venue from Baghdad to New Delhi there was success. I think we ought to reflect very deeply that the shifting from Baghdad to New Delhi is in itself indicative of the deeper turmoil within the Movement, it is not indicative of a success. Therefore, when one talks of success of the Conference, the word ought not to be losely used. At the conclusion of the Conference the Chairperson in a press conference said, that because we did not break up, because so many of us collectively gathered and we did not break up therefore, that is a measure of success. Deferentially I would again disagree with it. It is not a measure of success. It is merely a negative recognition. It is the positive aspects of what the Conference has achieved or has not achieved. which will go towards determining the success or failure or absence of success of this Conference. Here I think it is absolutely necessary to make a distinction betwen policy and diplomacy. Evidently nobody can dispute that as far as Indian diplomacy is concerned, this Conference was a success. It was a diplomatic success. But what was the policy content of that diplomacy? We must make a clear distinction between policy and diplomacy and not be confused with the apparent success of one as being necessarily the success

whisky in the evening. Yet it would

311 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 312 Heads of State or Govt. held in New Delhi

[Shri Jaswant Singh]

of the other. Coming to the policy, and here one comes up-and I have had occasion to mention this on earlier occasions-to the question of determination and conduct of policy. One would have thought-it is aspiration-that both as a host country now and as the original founder country, founder of the original nucleus round which the Non-aligned Movement has grown, India's major contribution to the whole Non-aligned Movement, more particularly as the host country, would be influencewielding rather than influence-absorbing. I do not have the time to elaborate on this point, but I have doubt that the honourable Minister will understand what I am saying, the differentiation between influencewielding and influence-absorbing. I carry the impression that over the years because of our policy stances influence-wielding from an nation, has become an influence-absorbing nation. And that is a matter which ought to be a matter of deep reflection and thought for all of us. On the conduct of policy, I have had occasion to mention this too earlierhere was a major conference that the nation organised, and I have to admit and I have to point out, that in the conduct of the policy, I was personally at a loss, as an observer of what takes place, as a keen observer of current history, I was at a loss to understand where our policy was formulated. I am still at a loss whether our foreign policy is being formulated in the Ministry of External Affairs or where. This fracturing of the origin of the policy and the conduct of the policy, where our External Affairs Ministry is reduced to being spokespeople of a policy being formulated elsewhere, will inevitably count, will inevitably influence the whole conduct. In the contents of the documents-the Minister of External Affairs was good enough to send these to me; I had requested for these documents, and I made a study of them at some length, because the full text was not available. It is a voluminous document. The drafting is as capable as the Indian Civil Service drafting and reflects the attempt to put together as many diverse viewpoints as is possible.

I will not go into the theological content of the policy. There are certain premises on which we are working and there is a slight historic aside. That historic aside relates to the success of the movement. When we think of or talk of 1844 when the African nations were carved out by a group of nations sitting in Europe and, when in 1933 the League of Nations had only 34 member countries and when, fifty years hence, in 1983, the Seventh Non-alignment Conference was held with over 100 participants, we begin to appreciate growth of this movement. In there is a realisation of where the Where future is leading us. future is leading or what it points to is a rejection of imperialism and all that it stands for. It is certainly rejection of any theory which subscribes to the exclusivity of superpower interests. I will subsequently come to this point. The theory exclusivity of super-power interests, that everything is for their interests, was rejected.

There has been a mention of what the non-alignment stands for. It is often spoken as an alternate strategy of peace. To my reckoning and in my light, I will say what the task before the Chairman is. I do not mean any individual. I mean the country or the institution which runs the foreign policy of the country. I will elaborate this.

The question of criteria for inclusion and exclusion as to who is non-aligned and who is not non-aligned has been a matter of debate and it came up during the recent conference as well. I thought there could be only one criterion and that is sovereignty. I would appeal to the Government to accept this. The countries must be really independent and they must appear to be independent.

Sir, we live in a world as it is, not as it ought to be. And I am adult enough to understand the limitation limit of the world as it exists. would submit to the Government that in this collection of 100 nations representing almost two-thirds of world's people, there are many contradictions. These are contradictions of national interest being overlapped by regional interest and regional interest being overlapped bv power interests. It is because of these overlaps that increasingly we found that in this Non-Aligned Conference we are beginning to fight proxy battles. Nations have come to articulate viewpoints and interests of super-powers, whichever super-power it may be. And it is this manifestation and its link with the earlier mentioned concept of the rejection of the exclusivity of the super-power interests that I would commend to the hon. Minister.

I would also mention very briefly and caution the Government against problems of institutionalisation. Nonalignment is a philosophy and it is a movement and when we move wards institutionalising it, there are dangers in it. Even the Coordinating Bureau which has now expanded becomes a 66 member body. The fact that there is compulsion now to have the Conference every three years is in itself a sign of institutionalisation of the Conference and one ought to be warned of the dangers of institutionalisation.

The themes of the Conference were peace, disarmament and development and a number of speakers have spoken about them. I will submit that in the wide generality of these consensus the Non-Alignment movement really did not say anything which it had not said earlier. If you look at the resolutions either at Havana will or elsewhere earlier, you that the same concern was articulated as is indeed articulated at any world body which gathers. There is a point in what I am trying to say. We were unable to articulate these specifics.

And, Sir, we were unable to articulate the specifics, we were unable to come to grips with things because, to a large extent, the credibility of the sermons of the non-aligned movement have lost their punch and the credibility is lost on account of what I would call the conflict of dualities. What are these dualities? The nonaligned and the participants in the non-aligned conference take on a tone of excessive piety. Sir, that excessive piety goes to speaking of a new economic order. But that new economic order is in the face of an existing The basic thrust duality at home. new economic for the eradication and elimination of duality and our voice for the elimination of that duality lacks the punch precisely because a duality exists on the domestic scene. The road to the new economic order started in 1964 at Cairo, got a new push at Lusaka, at Algiers, at Havana. Sir, very many eminent people have spoken this new economic order and I sometimes think that there is very little new in what is being spoken of as a new economic order-this is not a discipline in which I can claim to be a specialist—but I do feel that there is very little economics either in that and I do feel that there is very little order in it, it is all disorderly, that it is a mistake to call it order. It is completely divorced from the challenge and the task of the nonaligned movement to which I come in a minute. Sir, it pains me to point out that whereas we have spoken of-and the document words of stirring clarity—the question of equality of human beings, on the question of mankind being one, the question of human rights, yet, here again, our voice lacks the punch because in that conference no recognition of the realities that exist is there-and I say this with due deference—in no other conference you find a collection of as many military dictators as you would find in this conference. We must, therefore, sit up and reflect deeply whether, in the face of this reality, the nonaligned nations' call for human rights

. . .

315 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 316

Heads of State or Govt. held in New Delhi

[Shri Jaswant Singh]

will carry the kind of punch which we want it to carry. There was mention here, Sir, of the document on the new information order which is badly needed and we all support We do feel that the information systen as it exists in the world now is currently weighted and biased in favour of the existing order and it resists change. So, what is the duality then? On the one hand, Sir, the host country produces this document of brilliant clarity-look at it; it is very inspiring-on the new information order and, on the other hand, during the very holding of this conference, newspapers and periodicals are seized at the airport merely because they expose us, merely because they speak of something which we want to hide under the carpet. This is not the kind of new information order that we are seeking and this reflects the conflict of dualities which I talk of.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please complete it.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: I will try very hard to finish, Sir.

Then, Sir, there is also the question of disarmament and the document's sentiments expressed on disarmament. peace, and nuclear proliferation, are indeed what they ought to be they are most welcome. But here again, Sir, we must sit up and reflect, however naive it may appear if were to make such a plea. Our expenditure on armaments, the expenditure on armaments by the very participants in the non-aligned conference and in the non-aligned movement, is perhaps the highest. We represent the third world, after all. What is our expenditure? How much " are we spending on armaments? How much do the countries, who have participated in this conference, spend on armaments? What do they do in the case of disarmament? It is because of this duality that our plea on the subjects thus lacks the needed thrust. It is this duality, the conflict

of dualities, which I am talking of, that takes away the thrust from the non-aligned movement. This is the conflict. We spend so much on armaments ourselves. It, therefore, hardly lies in our mouth to talk to others about disarmament.

6 P.M.

Sir, the question of detente is very, very closely related to our policies with neighbourhood. Unless we are able to demonstrate peace with neighbourhood, on the South-East Asian sub-continent, all our cries and calls for detente abroad will not carry conviction.

I will come now, Sir, heeding your voice to be brief, to what I call the challenges to chairmanship. are only four or five that, with my limited understanding I can point out, as the challenges that we face. hon Prime Minister, which the motion seeks to eulogize, has in an apparently satisfying and disingenuous manner said: We do not tilt, we stand upright. Now, this is a sort of statement which appears to satisfy, which appears to explain, yet fails to satisfy. I would say that in international affairs, in international relations, where we stand is largely determined by where we sit. And that is a reality. It is no good disputing it that we are recognized to be sitting in lobbies. If we are recognized to be sitting in lobbies, then that will take away something from the thrust. from the conviction in our voice, of that it is a mistake to call it very centrally non-aligned? India is the single greatest voluntary friend of the Soviet Union. But we can continue to play a meaningful role, Mr. Minister, as a friend of the Soviet Union or indeed of others only if we as the Chairman of this movement continue to reflect the consensus the rest, and if we continue not only to be, but actually appear to be, very centrally non-aligned. So far as the Soviet Union is concerned, I do submit that the Soviet Union can win back the position in the Non-aligned movement if it gives up force, and

declares its commitment to the nonuse of force outside its territories and it involves itself in the great debate which, I think, is the greatest outcome of this movement, in the great debate which is the North-South There is, in the Donuments debate. and in the Resolution adopted in the New Delhi Declaration, I think, an evocative sentence: Great tasks call for wise decisions—as one of the sentences used. It is indeed, Sir, extremely well put. The chairmanship of the Non-aligned movement is a great task and it does call for wise decisions. "And the Earth", as the document says, "belongs to us all". That is also true. Therefore, what do we think about all this? I think this is one of the tasks, because I think the central problem for the Nonaligned movement is now economic. The movement, when it was found 22 years ago, was called the conscience of the world. From being the conscience of the world, there is a certain amount of fudging inevitably because there are now very many; it has acquired several consciences. The new unifying message is very simple. It is the voice of the poor of the world. It is the voice οf "debtors' cartel", a phrase first used by Henry Kissinger.

Now, Sir, the real achievement, the real identity, of the Non-aligned movement has, therefore, shifted from being 'the conscience of the world', from being 'the moral force' an 'alternative strategy of peace', to really a hard-crunch issue, which is economic, which represents the third world. We articulate the views of the third world; it is the field of the third world and they have collected and gathered together in this club. If it is purely economic—it is not purely economic-but if it is largely economic then we are faced with moving away from talk into action, and the need to do something very concrete about finances and trade within the South, within the Nonalignment. And we need to do some-

concrete thing very about Southern financial institutions. want to quote-a very short quotefrom Brandt. It is called, "Common" Crisis-Co-operation for World Recovery.", It will not take me more than half a minute. In the words of Harry Dexter White, who was in one fashion the American counterpart of Lord Keynes at Bretton Woods; who criticised the United States attitude by saying.

"Where modern diplomacy calls for swift and bold action, we engage in long drawn-out cautious negotiations; where we should talk in terms of billions of dollars, we think in terms of millions; where we should measure success by the generosity of the government that can best afford it, we measure it by sharpness of the bargain driven; where we should be dealing all-embracing economic, with political and social problems, we discuss minor trade objectives, or small national advantages; . . . we must substitute, before it is too late, imagination for tradition; geneshrewedness; underrosity for standing for bargaining; toughness for caution; and wisdom for prejudice."

Here, he is talking about the attitude of the United States. Talking about the other Super Power, he said:

"In the Soviet Union and other East European countries there were only limited signs of positive discussion on the issues raised in our Report".—Essentially issues North-South, essentially issues of the poor and the rich of which we now represent the poor—"(althuogh it has been translated into Romanian and a Polish translation may still be forthcoming)." This is about the translation of the Report.

319 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 320 Heads of State or Govt. held in New Delhi

[Shri Jaswant Singh]

Sir, I would like to quote: We are placed in a complex world. We are faced by a world which is, by some categorised, as a world in a state of anomy.

Sir, I would like to quote three lines from Tito which he used in Belgrade in 1978:

"We are witnessing attempts to create new forms of colonial presence or new forms of block dependence, foreign influence and domination in vitally important regions of the Non-aligned world . . ."

Sir, these are not my sentiments. These are the sentiments used by one of the founders of the Non-alignment Movement, a contemporary of the late Jawaharlal Nehru. We would do well, Sir, in our Chairmanship of the Non-alignment Movement, a distinction and honour which the country has certainly received, to bear all these things in mind.

So, Sir, it is for those reasons that I oppose the Resolution. Thank you, very much.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I would like to inform that Shri Vishvajit Prithvijit Singh has agreed for the postponement of the Half-an Hour Discussion. That will be taken up later on. Now, Shri Yogendra Sharma. But I would like to inform the hon. Members that there is a long list of speakers. And I think we are having a fairly good discussion. But if you all agree, I would like to call the hon. Minister at 7 p.m. for reply. So, before 7 o'clock, we have to conclude the discussion.

SHRIMATI USHA MALHOTRA (Himachal Pradesh): Sir, we should be afforded an opportunity to participate in the debate.

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्मा (बिहार) : मान्यवर यह शिखर सम्मलन बहुत दिन चला, बहुत षंटे चला ग्रीर उसके कितने डाक्यूमटस हैं, उन पर हमको भ्रापने विचार प्रकट करने का मौका दिया है तो हम समझते हैं कि उसी के मुतादिक भ्राप समय में भी उदारता दिखाऐंगे।

श्री उपसभापति : इतना समय कहां से ग्रायेगा ।

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्मा: मान्यवर श्रभी

ग्रभी हमने ग्रपने मानमीय मित्र श्री

जसवंत सिंह जी का भाषण सुना कि

बे इस प्रस्ताव का विरोध कर रहे हैं

ग्रीर यह भी सोचने की कोशिश की

कि क्यों विरोध कर रहे हैं तो इसको

सुन कर के हमको ग्राष्ट्रचर्य नहीं हुआ,
हम तो इसकी उम्मीद किये हुए थे।

हमको तुलसी द।स की एक पंक्ति

याद गः जाती है इस शिखर सम्मेलन के

मुतल्लिक--ग्रभी-ग्रभी जसवंत सिंह जो ने

जो भावना प्रकट की है, उस भावनाः

को सुन कर

श्री जसवंत सिंह: नहीं, सुनाइये साहब, शेरो-शायरी ।

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्माः मैं तो शेर वाला नहीं हूं, मैं तो रामायण वाला हूं।

श्री जसबंत सिंह: ग्राप तो कम्युनिस्ट हैं, रामायण वाले कैसे हुए ?

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्माः ग्रब सुना रहा हूं, ग्राप सुन लीजिए--

''जाकि रही भावना जैसी,

प्रभु मूरत तिन्ह देखी तैसी ।"
तो जसवंत सिंह जी ने प्रपनी भावना
को प्रकट कर दिया और हम विचार
कर रहे हैं इस शिखर सम्मेलन के बारे
में, गुट-निरपेक्ष शिखर सम्मेलन के बारे
में, तो डनको कम्युनिण्म का मूत सतान

of Heads of State Govt.

लगा। क्या उनको याद ग्रा गया कम्युनिस्ट मनिफोस्टो का पहला बावय 1848 में जो कार्ल मार्क्स ने लिखा था sceptre of communism haunts Europe. बदकिस्मती से हमारे माननीय को वह भूत ग्रद भी सता रहा है ।

खैर इन की बातें का जवाब तो साहब देंगें, हम ग्रपनी कहना चाहते हैं । हम इस गुट निरपेक्ष शिखर समेम्लन के सफल संचालन ग्रीर समापन के लिए भारत सरकार, भारतीय प्रतिनिधि मंडल ग्रीर की ग्रध्यका श्रीमती गांधी को हार्दिक बधाई देते हैं

सब तो यह है कि दिल्ली शिखर सम्मेलन से हमारे देश की ग्रन्तराष्ट्रीय प्रतिष्ठा श्रौर गरिमा बढ़ी है। इस सम्मे-लन के दौरान बाहर से ब्राये हुए बहुत से प्रतिनिधियों से बात करने का हमको मोका मिला बहुत से ऋखबारनवीसों से बात करने का मौका मिला श्रौर उनसे बात करने के बाद हम इस नतीजे पर पहंचे कि हमारे देश की प्रतिष्ठा ग्रामे बढ़ी है श्रीर उनमें बहुत से लोग जिनको कि हमारे देश के बारे में कुछ दूसरी गतकहमियां थी, वह दूर हो गयीं ग्रीर भारत का तेज उनकी म्रांखों में चकाचौंध हो गया।

तो सच तो यह है कि दिस्ली शिखर सम्मेलन हमारे देश की ग्रन्त-राष्ट्रीय प्रतिष्ठा ग्रीर गरिमा बढ़ी है . क्योंकि इससे गुट-निरपेक्ष म्रांदोलन विश्वसनीयत। ग्रीर को नई शक्ति स्रौर सामर्थ्य प्राप्त हम्रा है। यह कहना ग्रति ग्रयोक्ति नहीं होगा कि रूप, रंग भीर गुण इन सब विचारों से दिल्ली शिखर सम्मेलन गृट-निरपेक्ष शिखर सम्मेलन के, इसके पहले छह शिखर हो चुके थे, यह सांतवा शिखर था, तो ग्रब तक के जितने सम्मेलन हुए ₹, उन 9 RS-11.

सम्मेलनों का यह शिखर है। 101 देशों के राज्याध्यक्षों श्रीर शासनाध्यक्षों ने सहज ही इस सम्मेलन को विश्व की श्रधिसंख्यक जनता तथा राष्ट्रमंडल दो-तिहाई सदस्यों का प्रतिनिधित्व प्रदान कर दिया । यह मामूली बात नहीं है । यह एक नयेयुग के धागमन का सुचक है पहले किसी भी युग में यह संभव नहीं हो सकता था कि वह देश जो साभ्राज्यवादी जुए के नीच कराहरहेथे, व इस जुए को फैंक कर के एक साथ बैठते है श्रीर श्रपने देश की समस्याश्री को मिल करके कैसे हल करें, इसके लिए विचार करते हैं। यह एक नये का द्योत्तक है। इस युग में भ्राजहम प्रवेश कर चुके हैं।

मान्यवर, जिस श्रद्धा श्रीर सम्मान निवतमान ग्रध्यक्ष कास्टो ने श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी को **अध्यक्ष के श्रा**सन पर प्रतिब्ठित किया श्रीर नई श्रध्यक्षा. श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी ने निब्त्मान प्रध्यक्ष फीडल कास्ट्रो के पिछले तीन वर्षों में गुट-निरपेक्ष श्रादोलन की ब्रध्यक्षता की सराहना श्रांदोलन की निरंतरता, कंटिन्यूटी श्रीर प्रगतिशीलता, प्रोगेसिवनेस के चरित्र का प्रतीक था, वरना यह चीज सम्भव नहीं भी।

मान्यवर, श्राज हम जिस दुनिया में हैं वह दुनिया कभी भी रसातल में चली जा सकती है। इतने प्राणविक हथियार जमा हो गये हैं कि उन के द्वारा इस द्निया को पन्द्रह बार समाप्त कर दिया जा सकता है। ऐसी हालत में हम हैं ग्रीर ऐसी हालत में ग्रब तक हमने दुनिय: को प्राणविक युद्ध के दावानल में जलने नहीं दिया । इस का एक बहुत बड़ा श्रेय गट निरपेक्ष भ्रान्दोलन को है,। हम लोगों को मालूम है कि पहले महामुद्ध के बाद, पहले महायुद्ध के केवल बाइस वर्षी के बाद दुनिया के साम्प्राज्यवादिकों

[श्री योगेन्द्र शर्मा] ने दुनिया को दूसरे भहायु की आग में झोंक दिया श्रीर ग्राज जबिक इतने ग्रस्त ग्रीर शस्त्र जमा हो गये हैं उसके नावजूद दूसरे महायुद्ध को नीते 38 वर्ष हो गये हैं, लेकिन श्रभी तक दुनिया तीसरे महायुद्ध की, आणविक युद्ध की आग से बची दुई है। इसका बहुत बड़ा गृटनिरपंक्ष श्रादोलन श्रौर उन समाजवादी देशों को है जो श्राज साम्प्राज्यवादियों के युद्ध के मन्सूबे को चकनाचूर कर रहें हैं। श्राणविक युद्ध के सर्वनाश से मानव जीवन श्रीर सभ्यता की रक्षा के लिये, राष्ट्रीय स्वतंत्रता श्रौर विकासशील देशों के विकास के लिए, राष्ट्रीय स्वतंत्रता के लिए लड़ने वालों की सहायता के लिए-हमारे जसवंत सिंह को कुछ नहीं माल्म--सम्मेलन ने नयी विश्व राजनैतिक श्रौर श्राधिक व्यवस्था के जो ठोस प्रस्ताव किये हैं वे वर्तमान युगधर्म के महामानवत तावादी उद्वोधन श्रीर व्यापकतम क्शलता के यथायवादी कार्यंक्रम हैं। जिस तक इस कार्यक्रम पर श्रमल होगा हद तक निरशस्त्रीकरण श्रीर श्रनीपनिवेशी-करण की ज्वलन्त समस्याभ्रों को हल करने में मदद होगी। मुद्रा श्रीर की नयी विश्व व्यवस्था को कायम करने के लिए एक विशेष अन्तराष्ट्रीय सम्मेलन का प्रस्ताव ग्रत्यन्त ही समाजिक ग्रीर न्यायसंगत है। यह कितनी बड़ी समस्या है वह इसी से हम समझ सकते हैं कि म्राज गरीब विकासशील देशों जसवंत सिंह ने कम से कम यह बात कब्ल की श्रीर वहां पर हम एक राय के हैं कि गरीब देशों के मध्ये पर 540 विलियन डालर का कर्ज का बोझ है। और यह कर्ज का बोझ क्यों है ? इस लिए कर्ज का बोझ को कच्चे माल हैं, जिनको हम दूसरी जगह मेजते हैं, इन विकसित देशों के पास नेजते हैं खन की कीमत पूरी नहीं मिनती ।

A ...

श्रीर उनके माल को जब हम खरीदते हैं तो उस को खरीदने के लिये हम को बहत ज्यादा कीमत देनी पड़ती है। श्रीर इससे जो हम को नुकसान होता है उस नुकसान को पूरा करने के लिये उन से हम को कर्ज लेना पड़ता है। तो आज 540 बिलियन डालर्स विकासकील के मत्ये पर कर्ज का पहाड है ग्रीर मान्यवर, 150 बिलियन डालर्स सिर्फ पिछले दो सालों में हम लोगों ने विदेश व्यापार में श्रपनी चीजों की कीमत न पने के कारण खोदा है। हम लोगों का मतलब विकासशील देशों से है। ऐसी अवस्था है, ऐसी व्यवस्था है। ऐसी वास्तविकता है। जसवन्त सिंह जी इस को कायम रखना चाहते हैं। श्राश्चय की बात हैं । बहुत से संवाददाताग्रें ने प्रधान मंत्री श्रीमंती इंदिरा गांधी से पुछा कि श्रापने सम्मेलन में प्रस्ताव किया है मुद्रा ग्रीर वित्त पर एक म्रातरराष्ट्रीय सम्मेलन के लिए । श्राप इस को पास करेंगी ? भीख मांगेंगी इन धनी देशों से ? क्या करेंगी आप ? तो प्रधान मंत्री ने जवाब दिया कि हम भीख नही मागगे क्यं कि जो अवस्था पैदा हो गयी है उस अवस्था में यदि परिवर्तन नहीं किया गया, रिस्ट्रमचरिंग नहीं की गयी, विश्व की भाषिक व्यवस्था पुनर्चना नहीं की गयी तो न केवल विकासशील देश बल्कि विकसित देश भी जहसूम में पहुंच जायेंगे। श्राज जो कर्ज हैं विकासकील देशों के मत्थे यदि तमाम विकासशील देश दुनियां के कर्ज की किश्तीं को चुकाना ग्रीर सूद को चुकाना बन्द कर दें तो श्रमरीका के सभी बैंक फेल जायेंगे, इंग्लैंड के सभी बैंक फेल जायेंगे, फ्रांस के सभी बैंक फेल हो जायेंगे श्रीर उनकी श्राधिक व्यवस्था जहसूम मे चली जायेंगी। तो हम जो मांग कर रहे है वह इस लिये नहीं कि हम भीख मांगते हैं। एक ऐसी अवस्था, ऐसी व्यवस्था

विकासगील देशों को भी प्रथमो ब्रावश्यकता ब्रां की पूर्ति के लिये, विकास का समान अवसर मिले ब्रीर वह भी जहबूम में न चले जायें इसलिये हम यह कह रहे हैं। नहीं तो वह भी जहन्नुम में चले जायेंगे।

दूसरी बात, यह बताने की श्रावश्यकता नहीं कि दूसरे महायुद्ध के पहले तक यह 101 देश जो नयी दिल्ली में जमा हए थे वे साम्राज्यबादी गुलामी की जकड़ के नीचेथे ग्रौर उन को लूट लूट कर यह पश्चिम के विकसित देश धनी हए हैं। जब हम मांग करते हैं तो यह मांग करते हैं कि तुम ने हमारी आपार लुट की है, हमारा ग्रपार शोषण किया है। नैतिक दायित्व तुम्हारा है कि उस शोषण का थोड़ा साहिस्सा हम को वापस कर दो। तुम ने यदि पांच करोड़ डालर का गोषण किया है तो यम से कम 5 लाख तो हम को वापस कर दो। हम भोख नहीं मांगते हैं। हमारी जो लूट हुई है, हम उस लूट को वापस लेना चाहते हैं। बहुत लोगों ने, ख्णकिस्मती से यहां पर किसी कहा, मगर बाहर कई एक ग्रखवारी में लिखा है और कुछ राजनीतिकों ने भी यह बात कही है

विदेश मंत्री (श्रीपी० वी० नर्रांसहगव): छोड़िये वाहर की वात । जो बातें यहां कही गयी हैं उनका जवाब दीजिए।

श्री, योगेन्द्र शर्मा: उनका सोच है कि भारत टिल्ट हो गया है।

श्री उपसमापतिः शर्माजो, श्राप श्राप संक्षेप में ही शहियो।

श्री योगैना शर्मा: यहां टिल्ट की बात का गयी। मैं तो सीधा हूं, वे कहते हैं कि टिल्ट हो गये हैं। कैसी हो गया टिल्ट? इसलिए हो गया कि शिखर सम्मेलन में जो घोषणा पत्न जारी किया है; दूसरे दस्तावेज जारी किये ग्रमरीका का नाम, निन्दनीय उल्लेख के रूप में वीस बार नाम श्रामा है श्रीर सोवियत संघ का एक बार भी नाम नहीं त्राया है। ग्रसल में रोना इस बात का है। मगर वास्तविकता नया है ? इजराइल की मदद करने के लिए यदि प्रमरोका की निन्दा करने के लिए उस क्षेत्र के तमाम देशों ने मांग की तो राव साहब को झुकना पड़ा। इनके ड्राफ्ट में शायद पहले ग्रमरीका का नाम नहीं था। शुरू-शुरू में ग्रोरिजनल ड्राफ्ट में नाम नहीं था। मगर जब रीजनल देशों के प्रति-निधियों ने कहा नाम दो अभरीका को तो ग्रापको स्वोकार करना पड़ा ग्रौर आपने स्वीकार किया । इसके लिए ऋषिको धन्यवाद । इसी तरह से बीस बार नाम लिया। क्योंकि रंगभेद वादी दक्षिणी श्रफीका की सहायता करने श्रीर नामिबिया को राष्ट्रीय स्वतंत्रता से वंचित करने का स्याल श्राया तो अफ्रीका के तमाम देशों ने कहा कि श्रमरीका की निन्दा करो, नाम लेकर निन्दा करो । रात्र साहब होस्ट कंट्री के प्रतिनिधिमंडल के अध्यक्ष होने के नाते स्वीकार करने के लिए विवश थे। शायद नहीं भी स्वीकार करते यदि वह लोग नहीं कहते तो। लेकिन श्राज तो दुनिया के दूसरे देश कह रहे हैं, नई दनिया तैयार हो रही है लेकिन हमारे जसवन्त सिंह जी पुरानी दुनिया में ही लेटे हुए हैं।

श्रोमन्, श्रंगोला का सवाल श्राया।
निकारागुवा का सवाल श्राया। एक
माननीय सदस्य ने कहा कि श्राण जब
हम बहुस कर रहे हैं तो श्रमरीका
निकारागुवा में फौजी दस्त-श्रंदाजी कर
रहा है, हमला कर रहा है। यदि मध्य

327 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 328 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

ं श्री योगेन्द्र शमी

श्रफीका के तमाम प्रतिनिधिमंडल, लेटिन ध्रमरीका के तमाम प्रतिनिधिमंडल मांग करते हैं कि नाम लेकर श्रमरीका की निन्दा करों तो हमारे राव साहब क्या करेंगे ? उनको स्वीकार करना पड़ा ।

हिन्द महासागर को शानित क्षेत्र बोषित करो, इस के लिए सम्मेलन बुलाओ, इसकी चर्चा हुम कई बार इस सदन में पहले भी कर चुके हैं। इसका किसने विरोध किया? अमरीका ने विरोध किया। इसका किसने समर्थन किया। सोवियत संघ न समर्थन किया। जसवन्त-सिंह जी भाग गये।

श्री उपसभावति : उनको जाने दीजिए, अब स्राप समाप्त करिये ।

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्मा: मान्यवर, हम सब श्रामा करते हैं कि राव साहब हमको याद दिलायेंगे कि कौन सा वर्ष था; कौन सा समय था, संयुक्त राष्ट्र श्रमरीका में कौन सा प्रणासन था जिसने कहा था कि गुट निग्पेक्ष श्रादोलन श्रनैतिक है, इम्मीरल है? कहा था या नहीं? एक तरफ गुट-निरपेक्ष श्रान्दोलन को एक होती कहती है कि इम्मीरल है श्रीर दूसरी हस्ती क्या कहती है? हम उसका समर्थन करेंगे। दोनों को एक हो तराजू पर रखेंगे? जसवन्त सिंह जो दोनों को एक ही तराजू पर रखना चाहते हैं; यह कैसे हो सकता है?

ग्रभी-प्रभो, मान्यवर, दो दिन पहुंचे सोवियत संघ के प्रधान मंत्री ने बेन्ग्रेड में गये हुए ये जहां पर प्रथम शिखर सम्मेलन हुआ या ग्रीर यूगोस्लाविया के प्रधान मंत्री ने उनके सम्मान में प्रीति भोन दिया था। उन्न प्रीति भोज भें भाषण करते हुए सोवियत संघ के प्रधान मंत्री तिकोनीय ने कहा, मैं कोट कर रहा हं --

"We have respect for the nonalignment movement which formalised itself precisely here in Belgrade more than 20 years ago."

जब से इसकी स्थापना हुई तब से सोवियत संघ इसका समर्थन करता है।

"It plays the growing constructive role in the consolidation of peace and international security, in the liquidation of crisis situations, in the defence of the independence of States and peoples that freed themselves from colonial bondage. We welcome this trend of the non-aligned movement that was clearly manifested at the Seventh Conference in New Delhi".

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, please conclude.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL (Jammu and Kashmir): Is there no time limit?

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA (Karnataka): There should be distribution of time, like distribution of resources.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. It is a very difficult job.

श्री योगेन्द्र सर्मा: यह सोवियत संघ का दृष्टिकोण है हमारे शिखर सम्मेलन के बारे में । मान्यवर, इस् वास्तविक को न देखना श्रीर श्रोख मूंद कर श्राज की दुनिया में चलना देश को रसातल में पहुंचा देना है। (श्यवधान)

े **य**े उपस**भाषति** : कृषया समाप्तृ करिये। 329 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 330 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्मा: मैं खत्म कर रहा हुं। मैं श्रापकी कठिनाई को सम्झ रहा हूं। मैं बोमार ग्रादमी हूं ज्यादा बोल नहीं सकता। नहीं तो बोलने के लिये मेरे पास बहुत सी बातें हैं।

मान्यवर, 20 साल पहले गुट-निग्पेक्ष म्रांदोलन की स्थापना की प्रविध में दिश्यंत पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरू, मार्शेल टीटो म्रोंग नासर विश्व के गुटनिरपेक्ष राजनीतिक रंगमंच पर प्रधान नामक के रूप में निखरे। यह इतिहास की बात है। हमारे कुछ दूसरे माननीय सदस्यों ने भी यही बात कही है कि इस सम्मेलन में श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी, फिडल कैस्ट्रों म्रोंर अगफात विश्व के गुटनिरपेक्ष राजनीतिक रंगमंच पर प्रमुख रूप से प्रकट हुए हैं। इसलिये हमें म्रीमान है। इसका हमें हर्ष है। धन्यवाद।

श्री श्रब्दुल रहमान शेख़ (उत्तरप्रदेश): हमारी पार्टी को आप नजर अन्दाज कर रहे हैं।

श्री उपसभापति: स्रापकी पार्टी को भी बुला रहा हूं। बारी-बारी से तो बुलाऊंगा।

श्रीमती मार्ग्रेट म्नाल्वा: हमारी साइड के मेम्बरों को नहीं बुला रहे हैं।

श्रो उपसभापति : श्रापकी पार्टी से तीन को बुला चुका हूं।

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Mr. Deputy Chairman, I hope this party will not envy me when my turn comes.

Sir, it is a great privilege and honour to support this Motion. In fact we have been waiting for it with a fervent hope that the time will come when we shall be congratulating our Prime Minister for this historic achievement. In fact, what I see is, what as Poet Iqbal had said:

अरुक मदीए सर्क में खुन जिन्दगी दोड़ा।

Translated it means, in the dead veins of the East, the life blood is This world is torn flowing. with Especially we see that the strife. countries are having internecine warfare. Neighbours are fighting neighbours and there are so many wars in different part of the world which the super powers fight by proxy. 160 countries which are independent, 46 major international wars have taken place disputes of minor nature are going on today in the world. In this context, when the Heads of State or Government meet, thly have different preceptions, they have different systems of government and administration and naturally it is no wonder that there will be some differences of opinion. But the whole matter is resolved when there is consensus.

I. think one major aspect of this success is that they met, discussed and came to a conclusion, especially in the economic field. The economic plight affecting all mankind was brought into sharper focus by the seventh summit of the Non-Aligned Movement whose membership is 101 this time. Sir, it called for the immediate convening of an international conference on money and finance to restructure the world monetary and financial system to improve the world economic climate. The Non-Aligned Movement urged the Head of States or Government to attend the 38th Session of the UN General Assembly to help find equitable, fair, speedy and just solutions

[†]Transliteration in Arabic Script.

331 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 332 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

[Shri Gulam Mohi-ud-din Shawl] to these issues, eradicate the burden of debts which is crushing all nonaligned movement countries shrinking markets for their goods. The summit has proposed a series of steps to write off the debts of the least-developed countries, augment supply and energy, ensure greater access to markets, fair prices for primary commodities and dismantling of the barriers to a freer trade in goods and services. Now, that was the economic aspect of the summit.

As far as the political aspect is concerned, that is more important to us in the State of Jammu and Kashmir. The basic trouble was that in our neighbourhood, we in Kashmir have Russian troops at our door. Russia, a Super Power, has committed aggression on a non-aligned, independent, sovereign country. Being non-aligned we were very much concerned with it and in that part of the country we felt what this summit will do and we were looking askance as to the result of this meeting. It is gratifying to note that the resolution about Afghanistan called for a political settlement on the basis of withdrawal of foreign troops with full respect for the sovereignty and nonaligned status of Afghanistan and strict observance of non-intervention and non-interference in the internal affairs of Afghanistan and ,at the same time, it recognised the intermediary role of the UN Secretary-General and the right of the Afghan refugees-they number millions---who are at this time starving. These refugees have no shelter and are undergoing lots of miseries and sufferings. So is gratifying that this Non-Aligned Summit has called for all Afghan refugees to return to their homes in safety and honour. But return in safety and honour will be possible only when the Russian troops withdraw from that part of the world.

Sir, we Welcome the Chairmanship of India, and our Madam Prime Minister shoulders the responsibility to

chair the Summit for the next three years. But you know the trouble, as we have seen that two hon. Members while discussing this issue had varied view points on this Chairmanship. However, I think we must realise that this Chairmanship is an uphill task and a great responsibility lies on Madam Prime Minister. India, as Chairman of this Summit, must maintain equal distance between these Super Powers-two or three or whatever their number are. Between these Super Powers we must maintain equal distance so that the critics or even the cynics have not the courage to say that the balance is tilted towards a particular Super Power, because that will frustrate and defeat the very purpose of the Non-Aligned Movement Sir... (Interruptions)... I support it

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is all right. You have done very well.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHRI SHAWL: Thank you if I had a note of dissent, I would have done so. However, I would like to refer to another aspect of this Non-Aligned Meet I want to say it here—that I welcome with your permission, though this is not a part of the Motion, I welcome the signing of agreement for Joint Commission between India and Pakistan on 10th March, 1983.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Leave aside that point.

GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHRI SHAWL: Please, Sir, only one point, because that is part and parcel of this motion of thanks. As far as this Afghanistan issue is concerned, I hope and pray and plead and request Madam Chairman that the follow-up steps must be such that the question is politically solved in the near future, if not immediately, so that a fully sovereign non-aligned democratic Afghanistan emerges. As

333 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR, 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 334 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

far as this Indo-Pak Agreement signed on 10th March is concerned, we, the people of Jammu and Kashmir, welcome that and I think that this Agreement between India and Pakistan will lead to multi-faceted cooperation between the two neighbouring countries. It will enable the two countries to explore systematically the potential for beneficial relations in a number of agreed fields, strengthen mutual understanding. exchange in matters of mutual interest and remove those gaps of communication which have interrupted or impeded the growth of trust and confidence. Here I submit, Sir, because the statements were issued on both sides when the President of Pakistan referred to the State of Jammu and Kashmir and there was a sharp and natural reaction from the Government of India.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is a different item.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Only one point.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; All right; one minute.

SHRI GULAM MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: Because it will not harm anybody; it will benefit us. And in that connection, they say so much about the Simla Agreement.

MR. DEFUTY CHAIRMAN: Leave that point. That we can discuss on some other occasion.

GULAM SHRI MOHI-UD-DIN SHAWL: There is Article 253 of the constitution. I have referred to it so many times; and I hope the concerned do not forget it. To article 253, the following proviso was added. "After the commencement of the Constitution applicable to Jammu Kashmir Order 1954, decision no affecting the dispensation of the State of Jammu and Kashmir shall be made by the Government of India without the consent of the Government of that State", whom I represent in this House.

With these words, I support the Motion and congratulate all the concerned for this noble and historic achievement. Thank you.

श्री ग्रब्ध्न रहमान शेखः जनाव, बगदाद के बजाय दिल्ली को नान एलाइन्ड सम्मिट को होस्ट करने का मौका मिला और इसमें कोई शक नहीं कि थोड़े वयत में जिस म्रार्गेनाइजिंग कैपेसिटी का सबुत हमारे आफिशर्स ने और दूसरे दोस्तों ने, जो मुतलका दोस्त थे, दिया यह प्रशंसनीय है । मांसिवाय कुछ एक छोटी मोटी कमियों के जैसे सेक्युरिटी के लोग दिन भर काम करते रहे, शाम को घर पहुंचाने का इंतजाम भी नहीं था। छोटी-मोटी बातों को छोडकर बाई-एन-लार्ज इंतजाभ करने में कोई कमी नहीं रखी, यह बात मैं मानने के लिये तैयार हूं। लेकिन यह कोई इतना बड़ा काम भी नहीं था, हिन्द्रस्तान के लिये कोई कठिन काम नहीं था। ग्राखिर कोलम्बो ने, इजिप्ट ने, युगोस्लाविया ने, ग्रल्जीरिया ने, अमाम छोटे-छोटे मुल्कों ने सम्मिट कामयाव किये हैं। हमारे इतने बड़े देश के लिये कोई ऐसा बड़ा काम नही था जो न होन वाली चीज थी। इसके बावजूद भी मैं कहता हूं, इंतजामिया सलाइयंत रखने के लिये मैं इस बात को श्रप्रिक्षियेंट करता हं कि गो यह मंहगा जरूर पड़ा लेकिन जिस ढंग से खुशश्रसल्बी संजाम दिया, क्योंकि इसमें सेक्युरिटी रिस्क था । ग्राखिर सैकडों मलकों के सरबराह यहां श्राये थे, उनकी सेक्युरिटी का इंतजाम में ढील नहीं की जा सकती थी। मैं समझता हूं कि इसमें सादगी ज़रूर लाई जा सकती थी। हमारा देश गरीब है ग्रगर वहां द्रख्ते पर मेन्ट न छिड्कते जैसा कि ग्रिफिकन कुटुिज के डेलीगेशन ने कहा कि रिच कन्दी है। साहब यहां

[श्री प्रब्दुल रहमान शेख]

तो विज्ञान भवन के दरखतों से भी खुशब् श्रा रही है सेंट की। भ्रगर हम उनके घर वर में, कमरों में शराब न खते, खुमैनी माहब की हर पालिसी से हम इब्तलाफ करते हैं लेकिन ग्रगर उन्होंने ग्रपने मुरुक में शराब पोने पर पाबन्दी लगाई है तो उन्होंने कहा है बाहर से भी कोई ग्रगर ग्राएगा तो उसको भी हम शराब नहीं पिलाएंगे, इस तरह से हम इसको सादा ढंग से कर सकते थे। उनके लिए जहां झुग्गी-झों। डियों पर पर्दा कर लें ताकि वे न देखें यह सब चीजें की गई, इसके बावजूद भी इसको मैं काबिले एतराज नहीं समझता। मुझे जो श्रापत्ति थोड़ी सी है जिसको मैं समझता था कि इसको बेहतर ढंग से निभाया जा सकता था वह ग्रहम है और दूसरी तग्ह की है प्रोसीज्यल भी है, कनवेंशनल भी है। ामसाल के तौर पर यह तो हैड्ज आफ स्टेट्स का सम्मेलन था श्रीर हैड्ज श्राफ स्टेट्स में बादशाह भी थे, राष्ट्रपति भी थे, प्राइम मिनिस्टर भी थे ग्रौर ग्रगर राष्ट्रपति कहीं अबेलेबल नहीं थे जैसे जनरल सुहारटो प्रगर चुनाव लड़ रहे थे नही या सकते थे, उन्∗ा यगर फारेन मिनिस्टर या प्राइम-मिनिस्टर रिप्रेजेंट करता तो बात समझ में ग्रा सकती थी लेकिन हेड्ज ब्राफ स्टेट्स का सम्मेलन हो ब्रौर मेरे अयाल में यह कितना ही बेहतर होता कि हिन्दुस्तान के हैड आफ दी स्टेट हमारे रा॰ट्रपति ज्ञानी जल सिंह उसका उद्घाटन करते । बेशक हमारी काबिल प्रधानमन्त्री अपना डेलीगेशन करतीं। लेकिन इसके लिए जरूरी था कि हैड ग्राफ दी स्टेट को ही इस सम्मेलन का उद्घाटन करना च।हिये था राष्ट्रपति जी को करना चाहिए था यह कायदे के लिहाज से जाध्ते के लिहाज से एक लेकुना है, एक कमी है और मैं समझता हूं इसके लिए गवनैमेंट को सोचना

चाहिए कि इस तरह की किमयों को हमारे मुंह पर भले ही कोई न करें लेकिन चर्चा होती है। अगर हमारे राष्ट्रपति तमाम विदेशी डेलीगेट्स को, डिग्नेटरीज को हवाई अड्डे पर रिसीव कर सकते हैं, सब कुछ है, ही इज बरी मच इन दी केपिटल, वे बाहर भी नहीं थे तो वे इस सम्मेलन का उद्घाटन न करें यह एक अजीव बात लगती है। मैं समझता हूं कि यह चीज हम को खटकी है।

दूसरी बात जो हम को कहनी है वह यह है, इसमें जो कमी रही गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से कि हर मुल्क के डेलीगेशन ने, मेजोरिटी मुल्कों के डेलीगेशन के लीडर्ज ने जो तकरीरें की उन्होंने श्रपनी भाषा में तकरीरें कं। वे ट्रांस्लेटर्ज श्रपने साथ लाए थे। लेकिन हमारा डेलीगेशन जो होस्ट डेलिगेशन थ उसमे श्रपनी भाषा में नेशनल लैंग्वेज में हिन्दी में या किसी हिन्दुस्तानी भाषा में तकरीरें करने के बजाय ग्रंग्रेजी में तकरीरें की, यह एक चर्चा का विषय है। नया यहां पर हिन्दी ट्रांस्लेटर्ज़ की कमी थी, श्रंग्रेजी ट्रांस्लेटर्ज की कमी थी? हम होस्ट मुस्क थे, हम हर इन्तजाम कर सकते थे। जहां हम इतने बडे इन्तजाम कर सकते थं वहां क्या ट्रांस्लेटर्ज का इन्तजाम नहीं कर सकते थें? लेकिन बाहर टेविदेशी डेलीगेशन जो हैं उन्होंने ग्रपनी-ग्रपनी भाषात्रों में तकरी रें की ग्रौर उनका ग्रन्वाट भी हुन्ना मगर हम।रा डंलीगेशन जो है उसने ग्रंग्रेजी में तकरीरें कीं। यह बहुत मायुब बात थी श्रीर इसका सुधार होना चाहिये था। यह मेरी नज़र में कमी रही

हमारा मुक्त चूंकि होस्ट मुस्क या तो हमारी प्रधान मंत्री को तीन साल के लिए चेयरमैन बनने का शरफ़ हासिल हुआ है।

यह श्रच्छी बात है। इसमें कोई कम्पीटीशन श्रीर इलेम्बन महीं या जिसको बहत बढ़ा चड़ा कर भेजा जाए, पेश किया जाए। यह तो एक कनवें शन है कि जो भी मेखवान मूल्क होगा वह आइंदा के लिए तीन साल के लिए चैयरमैन होगा श्रीर चेयरमैन बनने के बाद श्रब प्राइम-मिनिस्टर के जिस्मे एक जिम्मेदारी है ग्रांइंदा के स्पिरिट से इसका श्रागाज नेहरू जी, टीटो, जमाल भ्रध्दुल नासर, मुकारनी, एनकमा ने जिन मकासिद के लिए इसका ग्रागाज किया या उन मकासिद को आगे बढ़ाना है इसका बोझ आज इन्दिरा भी के कन्धों पर श्रा गया है। तब तो बहुत लिमिटेड मुस्क इस के श्रन्दर थे। यह ठीक है कि श्रब भीड़ इकट्ठी हुई लेकिन इस भीड़ के अन्दर डेमोक्रेटिक कंट्रीज़ भी हैं, इसमें फंजी हक्मरान भी हैं, इसमें शख्सी बादशाह भी हैं, इसमें तानाशाह भी हैं श्रीर इसमें दूसरी तरह की कई सिस्टम आफ गवर्नमेंट जिनकी अपनी अपनी है वे हैं श्रीर इतनी बड़ी काउड में जहां ग्रापसी इंट्रेस्ट पतैश करते हों, जहां सिस्टम डिफेंट हों, वहां इस सम्मिट को आगे बढ़ाना जो है यह इतना ग्रासान काम नहीं है हम इस बात पर ज्यादा इतराएं कि चेयरमैनशिप मिली बहुत श्रच्छी बात हुई लेकिन श्रब इसको उसी स्पिरिट में श्रागे बढ़ाना है जिसमें हम ने इसका आगाज किया था। इसके बारे में मेरे दोस्तों ने कहा है लेकिन इस सम्मिट के प्रन्दर उपलब्धियां क्या हुई हैं इसमें शक नहीं है कि बहुत अच्छे रेजोल्यूशंस हुए, नाइस्लीबर्डेंड रेजोल्यूशन हुए। जो इकोनोमिक डिक्लेयरेशन हैं, दसरी डिक्लेयरेशंस हैं उनमें किसी तरह की नून में नुकता निकालने की बात नहीं है लेकिन एक बात में कहना चाहता हूं कि नान-म्रलाइंड म्वमेंट श्रुह करने वालों का जो कनसेप्ट या वह कनसेप्ट अन

काफी हद तक बदल गया है। इन 20-21 सालों में 1961 से लेकर 1983 तक क्या प्रोग्रेस हुई है, क्या एचीवमेंट्स की गई है मासिवाय इसके कि ज्यादा संस्था में इकट्ठे हो गये हैं लोग लेकिन इससे जो नतीजे निकलने चाहिये थे वह नहीं निकले। हमने रेजोल्युशन कर दिया। नया हमारे रेजोस्य्शन से कम्पुचिया सेवियतनाम की फीजें गई, क्या श्रफगानिस्तान से रिशयन फीजें गई, क्या वियतनाम से इजरायल की फीजें गई? तो लोग कहेंगे साहब नहीं जाते, हमने तो श्रपनी बात कह दी। तो नया हम सिर्फ कहने के लिए बैठे हैं। क्या कोई इफ विटव स्टैप लेंगे नान एलाइंड कन्दीज के सम्मेलन में ताकि भ्रापने जो स्टैंड लिया उसको मनवा सकें दनियां में। श्रगर मनवा नहीं सकेंगे तो हर बार तीसरे साल रिजोत्य्यान करते जाइये। इससे उपलब्धि क्या होगी। तो ग्राप इससे ग्रागे बढ करके जो चीजें श्रापके सामने काम करने की हैं. कीजिए। उनमें यह है कि न सिर्फ एक नयी सम्मिट हो, उसमें एक नया रिजोल्युशन हो जाय कि जो स्टैंड बना है हाऊ टु इम्पलीमेंट इट, इसके बारे में श्राप क्या वेज एण्ड मीन्स स्रपनायेंगे इस पर बहुत चीजें डिपेंड करती हैं।

इसी तरह से इक्तामिक डिक्लेरेशन जो हैं वह बहुत अच्छा हैं लेकिन उसमें सिंसियरिटी कितनी है। इन नान एलाइण्ड कच्ट्रीज में बहुत सी कच्ट्रीज ऐसी है मैं नाम नहीं लूंगा क्योंकि वे हुमारे फेंडली मुक्क है, जो दौलत से मालामाल हैं। खास तौर पर आयल प्रोड्यूसिंग कच्ट्रीज जिनका बहुत सा स्पया अमेरिका, यू० के० और फांस के बैकों में जमा है या कुछ अन्य एडवास्ड कच्ट्रीज में, अगर सिंसियरिटी है तो क्या कोई ऐसा फाइनें-शियल इंस्टीट्यूशन बनाने की बात आई क्या उन्होंने आपर किया कि हम अपना

[श्री ग्रव्दल रहमान शेख]

ध्यया उन बैंकों से निकालकर इन गरीब

भौर भ्रनडेवलप कन्ट्रीज के लिए खर्च

करेंगे। ऐसी बात करने को तैयार नहीं हैं। केवल यहां आकर अपने जो श्रंदरूनी झगडे हैं उनके लिए इस नान-एलाइंड मवमेंट के प्लेटफार्म को एक्सप्लायट करना है। मैं समझता हुं कि यह इस मृवमेंट के साथ ज्यादती है। क्या हमने ईराक-ईरान बार को बन्द कराने की जो ग्रपीलें की वे किसी ने सूनी? जब हम किसी चीज को इम्प्लीमेंट नहीं करते तो रिजोल्यशन के ऊपर कल को दुनियां हंसेगी ग्रौर लोग कहेंगे कि यह बहुत कीमती तमाशा होता है। लेकिन इसके श्रागे लोगों को दुनियां को फायदा नहीं होता है। इसलिए मेरी गुजारिश है कि हम पाजिटिव डाइरेक्शन में कुछ आगे बढ़ने के लिए इस तरह से लाइक माइडेड कन्ट्रीज को ले ग्रायें जिससे हम इन उपलब्धियों के बारे में कुछ प्रेक्टिकल स्टेप उठाये। (समय को घंडो) मैं मुख्तसर में खत्म करने वाला हूं। तो जैसा मैंने ग्रर्ज किया कि चेयरमैन बनने के लिए मैं भ्रापको बधाई देता हूं कि ग्राप रिवायती तौर पर चेयरमैन बन गये हैं। लेकिन ग्रापके सामने एक बहुत बड़ा टास्क है। मुझे सिर्फ प्रापत्ति इतनी है कि इस चीज को भाप मास मीडिया के जरिये ग्रीर दुनियां भर में जिस तरह से प्रचार कर रहे हैं उससे ऐसा लगता है गोया हाइली कम्पटीटिव इलेक्शन जीतने के बाद भापने चेयरमैनी हासिल की हैं। चेयरमैनी हासिल करने के लिए ग्राप कई जगह पर हिन्दुस्तान की तारीफों के लिए विदेशी डेलीगेशनों के सर्टिफिकेट भी पेश करते हैं कि उन्होंने हमारी तारीफ की है। ग्रांखर इस्लाक है कि अगर हम मेजबान हैं तो कोई हमें गाली तो देकर जायेगा नहीं। जो होगा कुछ न कुछ हमारी प्रशंसा में भल्फाज कहकर जायेगा । इसलिए इन

सर्टिफिकेटों को हम पार्टी एंड्स के लिए किसी परसोनेलिटी को प्रोजेक्ट करने के लिए, इदिरा गांधी को बढा चढाकर पेश करने के लिए इस्तेमाल न करें। यह इंदिरा गांधी जी की चेयरमैनशिप का इम्तिहान नही है। स्राज तो बैठे बैठाए वे होस्ट कंट्री के तौर पर चेयरमैन बन गयों। यह इनकी कोई काबलियत नहीं है। कावलियत हम तीन साल के बाद देखेंगे कि तीन साल के बाद क्या उपलब्धिकाँ होंगी, कितना ग्रागे जायेंगे। मैं एक शब्द कहकर, च्कि मेरे दोस्त ने रेफरेंस दिया ' है, खत्म करना चाहता हूं । वह यह है कि मेरे एक दोस्त ने जनरल जिया साहब की तारीफ की थी। श्री महापातः जी ने कहा था कि देखो कितने अच्छे ढंग से उन्होंने यहां किया । मैं इतना कहना चाहता हूं कि जो सब हमारे मेहमान थे उनकी खातिर स्वागत हमने किया है, लेकिन कायदे और कानून से बाहर जा करके कुछ मुल्कों ने इस प्लेटफार्म पर ग्राकर भी हमको टीज करने की कोशिश की । जनरल जिया-उल-हक साहब ने काश्मीर के मसले को ताशकंद के एग्रीमेंट और शिमला के एग्रीमेंट की स्प्रिट के ग्रगेंस्ट जाकर उसका यहां फिर **स्रे** जिक किया। मुझे खुशी है ग्रीर इसके लिए से मुबारकवाद देता हूं फिर अपनी गवनेमेंट को इस बात के लिए कि हमारे नुमाइंदे ने, हमारे तर्जुमान ने फौरन यह कह दिया कि हिन्दुस्तान का इंटेग्रल पार्ट क क्मीर है ग्रौर ग्रगर कोई झगड़ा है कोई बातचीत होनी है तो वह ग्राक्पाइड काक्ष्मीर के बारे में होनी है पाकिस्तान ने हमसे छीनकर नाजायज तौर पर ग्रपने कब्जे में कर रखा है। यह स्टेंड लेने पर मैं उनको मुबारकवाद देता हूं और यह एक अन्छास्टेंड है।

ग्रंत में मैं फिर एक बार भ्रापका धन्यवाद करते हुए यह कहूंगा कि चेयरमैन बनने के बाद ज्यादा जिम्मेदारियां 341 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 342 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

श्राई हैं। हम इसके प्रेक्टिकल डाइरेक्शन कुछ उपलब्धियों के लिए कोशिश करें बरना अगर हमें तीन साल के बाद फिर रिजी ल्यूशन और यही चीजें रिपॉट करनी हों-तो उसका फायदा कुछ नहीं होगा। यह कहकर मैं इस रिजोल्यूशन में शख्सियत परस्ती की बातों को आपोज करते हुए बाकी रिजोल्यूशन की ताइद करता हूं। (व्यवधान)

श्री जे० के० जैन (मध्य प्रदेश): ये भूल गये, शिंक्सियत की बात बता रहे हैं। नाक कटवा कर ग्राये, विदेश मंत्री बने भे . . . (व्यवधान)

डा० (श्रीमती) नाजमा हेपतुल्ला : कुर्सी यही थी।

भो श्रद्धल रहशान शेख : श्राप तारीफ कीजिए, श्रापकी सीट पक्की हैं।

डा॰ (श्रीमती) नाजमा हेपतुल्ला: हमारी सीटें तो यों ही पक्की हैं स्नाप फिक मत कीजिए।

SHRI MADAN BHATIA (Nominated): Mr. Deputy Chairman, hon, friend, Mr. Jaswant Singh, has used this resolution to attack the Prime Minister. He has used this resolution to attack the declarations of the Non-aligned Movement made at Delhi. He has attacked the composition of the Non-aligned Movement. He has attacked the leaders of this Movement and he has attacked the Soviet Union and its policies. I respectfully submit it has been very disingenuous on the part of Mr. Jaswant Singh not further to add that he and his party are opposed to the whole Movement of Non-alignment and all that it represents, because that follows as the night the day, that he attacks all this, it necessarily follows that he and his party are

opposed to Non-alignment and they stand for alignment with the United States. The speech of the honourable friend is nothing but an exposition of the attack made by the United States right from the beginning on the Movement of Non-alignment. He has attacked the declarations made at this Conference. The New Delhi declaration says: "The heads of State or Government believe that international relations have entered a phase where decisionmaking on issues of vital concern to countries of the world can no longer be the prerogative of a small group of countries, however powerful they may be. The democratisation of international relations is an imperative necessity of our times which will lead to the realisation of the unfettered development and genuine independence of small Etates." Let the people of this country know that the BJP which is represented by Mr. Jaswant Singh is opposed to all this. The BJP does not stand for the equality of nations in matters of economics based on justice and fairness but the BJP. which is represented by the honourable stands for concentration of economic power in the hands of a few small powers, namely, the United because he is opposed to the Soviet Union. The New Delhi declaration further declares: "Durable peace can only be assured through a restructuring of world economy with a view to establishing a new international order and bridging the economic economic gap between developing and developed countries." Let the people of this country know that the party which is represented by Mr. Jaswant Singh is against bridging of gap between the developing and the developed countries. Then the New Delhi declaration declares: "The phenomenal rise in expenditure on armaments in recent years has accelerated inflation, produced high budgetary deficits and further cutback in the already dwindling scale of economic aid to developing countries. Recessionary condi[Shri Madan Bhatla]

tions in the developing countries have led to increasing protectionism; the economic and social consequences of the arms race have reinforced the negative trends and militate against bringing about the new international order." By economic attacking the declaration the BJP has attacked the whole concept of a new international economic order which calls upon the big powers to remove the barriers of protectionalism and give access, a fair and just access, to the economies of the developing countries. 7 P.M.

Then, the New Delhi Declaration says:

"It calls for a new World Order based on respect for independence, equality and co-operation and the fulfilment of the aspirations of all peoples for justice, security, development and prosperity in place of the present Order in which wealth continues to be concentrated in the hands of a few powers to the detriment of the peoples of africa. Asia Latin America and other regions of the world."

I am amazed that a national party or a party which poses to be at least a national party today in this House is represented by an honourable-friend who speaks on its behalf by attacking this Declaration. He attacks the whole concept contained in this Declaration which stands against the concentration of wealth in the hands of a few countries.

Mr. Jaswant Singh has also attacked the criterion laid down for membership of the Non-Aligned Movement. The criterion which has been laid down and which is very relevant and important for our purpose is this:

"The Heads of States or Governments reiterated the principled commitment of non-aligned countries not to be parties to take any action which would facilitate great power confrontation or rivalry or strengthen the existing military

alliances and inter-locking arrangements arising therefrom, particularly through participation in military arrangements or through the provision of military bases and facilities for great power military presence conceived in the context of great power conflicts."

This is an advancement on previous Declaration made by Non-Aligned Movement. It upon the members not to provide any facilities of any kind to any big power on their soil. I respectfully submit that Pakistan is also a party to this Declaration, I submit that this is one of the greatest achievements of Mrs. Gandhi for having secured the consensus of all the non-aligned counincluding Pakistan to tries advanced definition or criterion membership of the non-aligned movement. By attacking this criterion, I take it to mean that if the United States is given military facilities in Pakistan, the BJP will bless it.

Mr. Jaswant Singh said he is a student of history . . .

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Why are you replying to him?

MADAN BHATIA: SHRI Durant, the famous philosopher, once wrote that the story of man is the story of river with banks. In the river the man fights, quarrels kills, but on the banks the man sings songs, builds homes, talks of peace and struggles for creating a better and more beautiful life. If the history of the Twentieth century comes to be written of human civilisation, will be remembered as the struggle of man to break off all the shackles of slavery and to create a new world Order based on equality, justice and fairness, and in this history, Non-Aligned Movement will occupy the most glorious chapter because it has become the spirit of the present age. It represents the finest elements of the present day, namely, aspirations for peace and New Economic Order.

It has been said that the resolution identifies Mrs. Gandhi with the Non-

345 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 346 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

Aligned Movement. It is perversity of the resolution. I do remember having said this. But I would submit, Sir, at the end that there are times in the history of the world when great movements and great events come to be symbolised by outstanding personalities and individuals. When India was fighting for its independence, the whole Indian people were fighting for their independence. But the people's urges and fights and struggle for independence were symbolised by one outstanding personality, that is, Mahatma Gandhi. Similarly, when the whole world stood up and fought against the forces of Nazism, that struggle of the people also came to be symbolised by two outstanding individuals, Churchill and Stalin. We today, Sir, are on the cross-roads of world history and we are trying to create a new world order through the nonaligned movement and it is our honour and privilege that the leadership of this movement has been given to India and, Sir, who in India, I respectfully ask, would possibly be in a position to symbolise this whole movement apart from Mrs. Indira Gandhi?

Sir, the declarations made at this conference bear the imprint of her personality and her spirit, her courage and her fearlessness, her sense of independence and her understanding of the equality of nations, and, above all, her life-long struggle to make the lives of the poor better, nobler, more dignified and more beautiful. Therefore, Sir, I support this Resolution. Thank you.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; I think the honourable Minister may intervene now.

SHRI SHRIDHAR WASUDEO DHABE: Sir, Mr. Mitra is to be given the chance. Many Members have spoken and why don't you allow . him?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is only intervening and he is not replying.

SHRI SHRIDHAR WASUDEO DHABE: You should give him the chance to speak, Sir.

SHRI R. RAMAKRISHNAN: Sir, let the Minister speak now. But we will continue the debate tomorrow also.

MR, DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I said that the Minister is only intervening and he is not replying. Yes, Mr. Minister.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, eleven honourable Members have spoken se far and there has been almost allround support to this Resolution. 1 see that for Mr. Ramakrishnan there will be little to reply to and for me there is even less to intervene and it is in the fitness of things that it should be so. Sir, a nation rises to its full stature not only when it can make use of dissent and difference of opinion in finding a thrust for the future, but also when on certain issues on which there has never been any real dissent, it should rise to the occasion and accept that on such issues which the whole nation has to stand like one man. This has happened by and large and I am happy it. Therefore, I would not dilate on any individual speeches or points raised. But I feel that I do have one or two responses which the honourable Members perhaps expected of me while they were speaking.

In the first place, Sir, I would like to remind the honourable Members that this non-aligned summit was not just meant for enhancing our prestige and you all know how it came to us. came to us, not because wanted it but in spite of the fact that we were very reluctant to have it. It came to us because there was only one way of saving the movement or keeping the movement going. It had come to a grinding halt in the sense that the venue of the Seventh Summit decided upon at the Sixth summit [Shri P. V. Narasimha Rao]

was not in a position to host this conference—not only not in a position to host this conference, but it would have reflected very adversely on the movement, because the host country itself was, and still is, engaged in a war. So the real measure of this shift of venue is not just because we are able to do what they are not able to do. In fact, they were also able to do this. The very great expenditure which the Government of Iraq had already incurred, on the arrangements, on the building for accommodation, etc., shows that it is not merely the accommodation-not the fact of the logistical arrangment—it is not merely calling people and making them feel at home-these are mundane matters; they are important in themselves-but there is another dimension to this, and that dimension is a substantive one. What would have happened to the movement if at that crucial time when many, many Heads of State thought that maybe it would be a good idea to shift the venue somewhere else? They did not 'sav' so; that is why I said they 'thought'. Let me repeat that they never said so. Not a single Head of State or Government said openly that he or she is for a change in the venue. In fact, all their public postures, all their public statements, including ours, were in favour of Baghdad as the venue for the Seventh Summit. But looking at the overall atmosphere, President Saddam Hussain himself, after making his own consultations, came to the conclusion voluntarily, that there was a case for a shift of the venue, and when he looked around he found that Delhi would be a fitting venue in substitution of Baghdad. So he sent his Deputy Prime Minister here. Meanwhile, the then Chairman also had certain consultations, and all opinions converged on Delhi. That is what happened. So it is not as if we were after the summit just to enhance our prestige. This is the point which I want to make. But it so happened that not only the summit was successfully held here, but in a very real

sense it led not only to the enhancement of India's prestige but the enhancement of the prestige of the movement as a whole. This is one thing which should not be lost sight of. Now, this is not merely a statement of self-adulation; it is not so, because I am saying the first thing about the movement as a whole. And it is not because of Delhi or because of the Seventh Summit having been hosted by us but because the international situation today is such that a successful summit, a summit which takes place smoothly, without any difficulty, without any dissent, without any danger of getting split, such a summit would go to enhance the prestige of the movement and its effectiveness in the years to come. So when I say 'prestige', it is not just the etymological meaning of 'prestige' that we have to understand; we have to understand the whole future of the movement.

Without prestige this Movement cannot go forward. If it is taken as a down and out entity, an entity which is dying out, an entity which cannot really hold its own, then this movement cannot go forward, cannot really discharge any of the great responsibilities which have been placed on it squarely by the force of circumstances or by mankind as a whole, expressly or impliedly. Therefore, it is not merely a country's prestige but the entire Movement that has got a shot in the arm by this Summit. This is what one has to understand about this Summit.

Then. Sir, the question of leadership which has been mentioned in this Motion. Now, I could say briefly that this Motion, as was the Motion in the other House, is a model of under-statement. Much more could have been said. But, perhaps, it was meant as something of an LCM, the least common factor for all sections of the House and all sections of the people to accept. Perhaps, it was drafted from the point of view of that consideration. I have not drafted it. So, I cannot speak for Mr. Ramakrishnan. But that is how I understand it. The leadership of Mrs.

349 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 350 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

Gandhi which has been brought into the Motion is not merely a party's obsession to praise its leader. We do not have to do that. We had no wish of doing that nor Mr. Ramakrishnan because, as far as I know, he does not belong to our Party.

SHRI R. RAMAKRISHNAN: Correct.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Sir, the leadership of Mrs. Gandhi was not merely the act of sitting in the chair and conducting the proceedings. Let me share with this august House that the leadership of Mrs. Gandhi was not only brought to bear on most of the issues, contentious issues that cropped up during the deliberations, but it was felt everywhere. And I have no doubt that the visiting dignitaries returned with the confidence that the future of this Movement is in safe and capable hands. I am not exaggerating this when I say this. I know those nocturnal meetings, nocturnal lings that we had. This beautiful New Delhi Message and this voluminous Declaration as it is handed out, as it is read by the whole world, give you no indication of what had gone into their preparation-how much had to be spoken on every concept, how much had to be really discussed amongst those who had definite ideas on each of those concepts. When it came to the venue of the Eighth Summit, we had almost a stalemate. There was an impasse. It was just not possible to make any headway. Not only between Iran and Iraq but in the Movement in general, the arguments and counter-arguments that we had, is anybody's guess. But finally what prevailed is the persuasive power of Mrs. Gandhi. In this also I say there is no exaggeration at all. These are bare facts which I am stating before you. It was about 3.30 a.m. before we were able to come to an agreed formulation on the Eighth Summit. Eighth Summit is merely a question of finding a venue. It is again a question inextricably bound up with the Iran-Iraq war. It was said that the conditions which were responsible for the shift of the venue of the 7th Summit from Baghdad to Delhi continue to exist. So, how could you decide on Baghdad again as the venue of the 8th Summit?

On the other hand, the other arguthat there was a clear ments was understanding. not only a clear understanding, but there was an overwhelming majority of countries which wanted the venue of the Eighth Summit to go back to Baghdad. So, there was a clash. There was a clear difference of opinion, clear cleavage. between these points of view and it was only Mrs. Gandhi who had to convene almost an emergency meeting of the Bureau of the Conference, talk it over with all the concerned parties and propose the formulation she herself made in the process, Sir, the Plenary. In this summit was extended by one day. In the earlier process of finding a formulation for Kampuchea, for the seating of Kampuchea, the conference was extended by one and a half days. This is not generally known outside, because everything was completed on the 12th. So, what went into the wranglings within the movement, and for valid reasons, on both sides, on all sides, it was not just vexatious, it was not frivolous, the whole discussion was substantive, was serious and free, but nobody outside knows all this. Any country which wanted to speak on any subject was allowed to do so. When the discussion was becoming desultory, when it was becoming repetitive, it was suggested to me, I was presiding over the Foreign Ministers' Conference, that I should apply a closure after 10, 12, 15, 20 representatives had spoken, and what was the point for everybody else to speak? I said, no, each country is a sovereign country, and the fact that one country has spoken is no reason that other countries who want to speak, should be debarred. Therefore, Sir...

, i-, i-

351 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 352 of Heads of State Govt. held in New Delhi

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: But, please do not extend that argument in this House.

AN HON. MEMBER: Why not?

SHRI YOGENDRA SHARMA: Sir, in future we shall quote him.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: In fact, we took the cue mostly from you, Sir. So, there was a free, fair and complete discussion and the leadership of Mrs. Gandhi was very much in evidence and it is, therefore, as a statement of fact that this sentence occurs there, not just because someone really wants to eulogize her for the sake of eulogizing her.

Then, Sir, I come to one speech, which always leaves me a bit dumb-founded, Mr. Jaswant Singh's speech.

AN HON. MEMBER: Do not mention the name.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: The point is that it is not just that somebody is getting importance, if is not that. The point is that we have to look inwards; yes, I agree that we just cannot be euphoric all the time. As Mr. Shahabuddin said, where do we go from here is an extremely important question and equally important is the question what did we come here? Did we come here to stop the Iran-Iraq war? Did we come here to actually, on the spot, stop the arms race? Did we come here to get all the foreign presences, military presences out of the Indian Ocean here and now? What did we come here for? So, when you talk of performance, when you talk of achievements, when you talk of results, you will have to start with why you came here? You did not come here with a few nuclear weapons in your armoury or in your suitcases. You came here as a moral force and this is what it has been. You come here to assert that moral force and you did it very well indeed. And, therefore, if any moral force can become effective in these international problems, about

which many cynics think that they cannot, then the result will have to be awaited.

I feel that this Summit has brought humanity closer to disarmament, closer to peace. How close-would depend on how far we were. So, it is relative. I will not say the disarmament is coming in the year 1985, or 1986 or 1987 or 1984; but I would say that if 101 countries want peace, not only 101 countries, but all the people of other countries also put together, except the Governments who have their own compulsions not to fall in line, if the whole humanity wants peace, peace cannot be denied. It is just not possible to deny it. And it is for this reason that the nonaligned movement has been termed as the largest peace movement in the world.

Today it has become a peace movement. It was a yesterday decolonisation movement; it was also a movement for better international economic relations, more equitable relations but today it is basing, its authority mainly on the call for peace which it has given, and I have no doubt that conditions will go shape themselves that peace must come, disarmament must come.

Therefore, I have no doubt that looking inward is very important; we did do a lot of heart-searching. We know that a movement cannot become strong and effective so long as it continues as a house divided against itself. And that is why Mrs. Gandhi has taken some special kind of responsibility on the question of ending the war between Iran and Iraq. It is not just a resolution that has been passed. A burden has been placed on her shoulders, and she after consulting everyone and finding that this is something which is being placed on her shoulders not merely because she is the Chairperson, but because everybody thought that she can as herself shoulder that responsibility because

of these factors, she accepted it and only after she accepted and made that statement, the question of the eighth venue was solved. Until then, it was not.

SHRI YOGENDRA SHARMA: Is it a fact that because of the persuasion of Mrs. Gandhi, one of the parties in Iran-Iraq war desisted from its previous position to launch full-scale invasion?

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: This is something which is speculative in its nature. I would not claim that; I would not assert that. Everybody knows that there were remours to that effect. I cannot say that we stopped it. But I cannot also say that it has nothing to do with what happened in Delhi. It is something for others to consider, to understand It is not for me to claim that in so many words.

Sir, there was another crucial point raised by Mr. Jaswant Singh. I agree that it is crucial about India. said, once upon a time India was an influence-wielding country; now it has become an influence-absorbing country. I would very respectfully submit that this is absolutely incorrect. India or any one country for that matter can neither only wield influence nor only absorb influence. The movement is something like a cooperative movement, a movement where meeting of minds takes place on every issue. And therefore, it is not fair to say that India had been throwing its weight about in this or throwing movement its weight about and influencing all and sundry until yesterday but today it is taking influence from all sides and becoming the receiver of influence.

This kind of dichotomy is not correct and again. I would say that to pose the question on these lines is not good for the movement. India has never said that it is influencing the movement. Again, nobody has said that India is not exerting any influence on the movement. Both state-

ments are wrong. It is a question of inter-action and this inter-action has been taking place in a very healthy and friendly atmosphere in the movement and it has culminated here in Delhi in something which is really unheard of, because, here, it culminated in a total confidence, implicit faith, being reposed in the Chairperson. Again, the question arises that since the responsibilities increase to that extent, where do we go from here? This is a valid question. To this, I would like to respond by giving you a very short, very brief, account of what is being contemplated by way of follow-up.

Sir, the normal thing is, after the conference, that we send the documents of the conference to all the First, of course, we are countries. sending these documents to the countries, to the Heads of countries who came here and adopted these documents and then, we are also sending them to the nuclear weapon States, to industrialised countries and others outside the non-aligned movement in order to obtain the support and the cooperation of these States on specific suggestions contained in the documents. It is they who have to implement. Therefore, it is our duty to address them. So far as the Ministry is concerned. I would like to tell the House that the headquarters as well as our permanent mission in New York are being suitably strengthened by posting hand-picked extra staff so as to cope with the work of the nonaligned movement. This is important because, in its present form, the existing staff will not be able to handle this extra burden. As Chairperson of the movement, India will have a respond to the requests of Member-States on issues affecting them That is, for convening of meetings of the co-ordinating bureau in New York and participation by Members of the nonaligned movement in meetings of the Security Council. These are the consequential arrangements that will have to be made because India as the Chairman will have to participate in several meetings at several levels and,

355 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 356 of Heads of State Govt.

[Shri P. V. Narasımha Rao] therefore, this will have to be looked into with immediate effect.

On the economic side, follow-up of decisions will have to be taken up at various international meetings on economic issues including the G-77 meeting at Buenos Aires beginning next week, UNCTAD VI in June. 1983, etc. I may also inform the House that, normally, it is the Commerce Minister who attends the meetings of G-77 on behalf of our country. But this time, since the output of nonaligned movement has become one of the inputs in the G-77 meeting, it has been decided that apart from the Commerce Minister, I should also visit Buenos Aires so that I would represent the non-aligned movement at the G-77 meeting in order to see that proper decisions are taken and the political thrust necessary for the decisions is imparted on behalf of the movement. We are initiating consultations on the suggestion that the Member-States of the U.N. should attend the 38th U.N. General Assembly Session at the level of Heads of States or Governments. As you know, Mrs. Gandhi had given this clarion call, which is not. Not only symbolic but also substantive in the sense that if all the Heads of Governments congregate at New York during the 38th Session of the U.N. General Assembly, that would again be an unprecedented gathering, unprecedented occasion, when all the important problems facing the world can be discussed, can be focussed upon.

That will be something which leads to certain decisions being taken, and certain momentum being imparted for the solution of these problems. Again, on Iran-Iraq, as I said, Mrs. Gandhi had to take certain responsibility and she did promise to take certain steps. Those steps are being But the time-frame rediscussed. mains undecided because we just do not want the Chairperson to take any step except at the appropriate time. Therefore, we are engaged in consultations through diplomatic channels in order to see and feel our way to

find the appropriate time when the Chairperson could take the steps that she is contemplating. So, that is being taken care of. A political committee at the Heads of State level has been set up under India's chairmanship to work with the seven member Arab group for a just, durable and comprehensive peace in the Middle East. Steps are being taken initiating consultations for achieving the objectives of this machinery. Now we have to consult the Arab group and once we consult them and we decide on what is to be done by whom and how, then concrete steps will That consultation is being ensue. undertaken. The Asian Regional Meeting preparatory to the international conference on Palestine will take place in Malaysia in May. We shall take part in this meeting at ministerial level and similarly we shall attend the Conference at the appropriate level in Namibia at the end of April in Paris.

Now we have all these problems on our hands Namibia, Palestine, Iran, Irag, of course the other questions of Afghanistan, Kampuchea, etc. and the Latin American problems, not to speak of the stupendous, innumerable problems on the economic side, notably the holding of a conference on money and finance which brooks no delay because it is one of the shortterm measures that have to be taken and several other short-term measures apart from the long-term effort that has to be made for bringing about global negotiations and the ushering in of the new international economic order. No one is very clear as to what precisely has to be done at the moment. Let me take this House into confidence and say that we do not have any cut and dried solution for these problems, do not have any cut and dried methodology for this, but as we go along, it is our sheer effort and sincerity that will show us the We are hoping that the response from the industrialised countries in which there has been a little progress-who cannot say that there has been no progress at all-will

make a little more progress as we go along. This is all that I can hope for, but the point is, we cannot give up, we cannot abandon the idea, the concept of global negotiations after pursuing the idea for so many years. We will have to persevere in this and steps will have to be taken.

These are in short some of the steps that are being contemplated as a follow-up and I think we have not lost any time after the conclusion of the summit in pursuing these matters.

Now, Sir, there was another point raised in regard to institutionalisation. I would respectfully submit that there isis no intention of institutionalising the movement. There is not going to be a secretariat, there is not going to be a headquarter, there is not going to be a secretary-general and all the rest of it. This is not being contemplated by the movement, but to the extent of coordinating the activities of the movement some machinery has to be set up and the general rule is, general method is, that the country which has hosted the Summit and which becomes the chairman of the movement, takes care of all these matters. That is being done.

In a very general and sweeping manner, it was said that nothing terribly new has been said in the document. Now the fact is that nothing terribly new has happened. anything the crisis is deepening. Therefore, the response to a deepening crisis has been very much there in the document. We have responded to the crisis as it has deepened during the last three years. All economists agree that during the last three years, the plight of the developing countries, the debt burdens, the crippling manner in which they have been squeezed-all these have become much more intolerable than in 1979 and because this has happened, we have come up not only with the longterm proposals of the new economic order and the global negotiations but several immediate measures and those measures will have to be undertaken with immediate effect.

Now, Sir, the most important thing, according to many observers and perhaps according to many within the Movement, is the fact that an action programme of the economic co-operation among developing countries has been adopted. And this programme is so comprehensive that even if we are able to take it up in the next five years, I think the developing countries will be helping themselves to a very large extent. I shall only read the areas of cooperation that have been identified in this document. will not go into details of what is being proposed under each of these items. But the items themselves will give the House an idea of how wide the thinking has been. Sir. the items raw materials, trade. are these: and industry. transport monetary insurance. and financial cooperation, scientific and technical development, technical cooperation and consultancy services, food and agriculture, fisheries, health, tourism, corporations. trans-national sports, research on information system. role of women in development. peaceful uses of nuclear energy, telecommunications, public enterprises. solidarity fund of the non-aligned countries for economic and social development. .. Some Members raised this question: are the affluent nations within the Movement in a position to really pull out some money from elsewhere and put it in this fund for you? Now here is the fund which is coming up. I am not able to promise on behalf of the affluent nations what they are going to do. But I can certainly promise that there is going to be a fund here and to the extent any nation wants South-South cooperation to prosper, to be properly financed, to be taken care of, that nation, that government will feel impelled to look at this fund. That is enough for me because as we go along, money will certainly come. Then international cooperation for development, housing, education and culture, standardisation of measurements and quality

359 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 360 of Heads of State Govt.

[Shri P. V. Narasimha Rao]

control. Now you can see that these items almost cover the entire gamut of economic activity, even social activity, cultural activity. Therefore, this programme, if implemented, even partially or by stages, is going to have a great impact on South-South cooperation and this is how the Non-Aligned Summit has addressed itself not only to the long-term problems, not only to the philosophy part of it, not only to the theology part of it, but also to the immediate problems facing mankind, both political problems and economic problems. This is the achievement of this Summit.

We go ahead from here. Wherever, we go, we will go in the right direction with the amount of confidence that has been generated by this Summit and that will stand us in good stead for a speedier implementation of what we have decided to implement at the Summit. Thank you very much.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, shall be conclude the discussion or is there any Member to speak?

SHRI R. RAMAKRISHNAN: To-morrow, after five.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Tomorrow we can't take it up. If anybody wants to speak, I can call him now.

SHRI SHRIDHAR WASUDEO DHABE: Mr. Mitra wants to speak.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Mitra.

SHRI SANKAR PRASAD MITRA (West Bengal): Sir, the hon. Foreign Minister has rightly said that the Non-Aligned Movement is a moral force and is the largest peace movement in the world today. As a moral force and as the largest peace movement...(Interruptions)...

भो जें कें जेंन: बताइये तो सही फैसला क्या हुन्ना। श्रो उपसभाप त: इन के बाद रिष्लाइ होगा। ग्रभी पाँच मिनट में खत्म हो जाता है। ...(Interruptions)...

SHRIMATI USHA MALHOTRA: Now I am really shocked that the chance has gone to another male. (Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister has kindly assigned a role for you. Why should you go? (Interruptions)...Please hear him

SHRI R. RAMAKRISHNAN: Sir, in fairness to the speakers, either let the Members listen in peace, or let them go away...(Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Have some patience, please.

SHRI SANKAR PRASAD MITRA: Sir, the hon. Foreign Minister has rightly said that the Non-Aligned Movement has become a moral force and is now the largest peace movement in the world today. As follow-up steps he has stated that there can't be any cut-and-dried formulations but there has to be co-ordination of activities of the Movement itself.

Sir, I want to raise one point which has not been raised as yet by any of the hon. Members in this House. It is well known that international law is a synthesis of binding principles of international affairs. The Non-Aligned Movement, to my mind, has made a decisive impact on the Eurocentred structure of international law. by rationalising and universalising international law. As a method of co-ordination it is now necessary to have some kind of a research to codify the views of non-aligned countries, at least on common issues, to evolve fundamental concepts of the new international jurisprudence—(a) for perfect equality between States (b) for maintaining world peace, and (c) for saving the world from nuclear cataclysm. I find from the Minister's statement that elaborate follow-up steps have already been taken on the economic side.

361 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 362 of Heads of State Govt.

My own view is that, howsoever much we may expect, a fruitful, effective North South dialogue is not possible unless and until the non-aligned countries can speak from a position of economic strength. Therefore, it is necessary to lead the Non-Aligned Movement into a movement of selfreliance and mutual co-operation of non-aligned States. Then and then alone will the richer countries, onethird of the world, would speak to us and would be in a mind to negotiate with us on equal terms. immediate tasks of the Movement would have also to be tackled. wish the present Leader of the Movement all success in tackling these immediate tasks and I express the hope that she would receive the unstinted support and cooperation of the member-States.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ramakrishnan will reply. But be very formal.

SHRI R. RAMAKRISHNAN: Yes, Sir. Mr. Deputy Chairman, I am thankful to all the Members for having taken part in this substantive Motion on the Non-Aligned meet. (Interruptions) I am really grateful...

SHRIMATI USHA MALHOTRA: What about the drop-outs and left-outs?

PROF. (MRS) ASIMA CHATTER-(Nominated): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, we deeply appreciate the leadership provided by our Prime Minister, Mrs. Gandhi, to the Seventh Conference of Heads of States or Government of Non-Aligned countries. Mrs. Gandhi must be complimented for playing positive role at the Non-Aligned Summit, 1983 would recorded in history as a glorious year for the world as well as India. As mentioned by our hon. Foreign Minister, the Non-Aligned Movement has been a model force and will be considered as a world peace movement-not only a peace movement but a world peace movement.

Now, due to time limitation, I will just try to highlight important points, emerging from the deliberations at the Non-Aligned Summit of the important points which I would like to mention is the equality of women in society and their role in the development of nations In unequal societies women have willingly shared burdens and sacrifices for just causes. And it was gratifying to note that the women must be given opportunities to exert their abilities to function as equal fellow human beings.

There is a wide disparity amongst the developing and developed nations and the Great Powers with economic avarice, military might and technological advantage have ensloved many peoples. This has created turmoil in the world. Our world is increasingly turbulent, the gravity of the situation being evident in the intensification of the arms race, in great power involvement in regional conflicts and in the threat of a world-wide nuclear catastrophe.

In order to avoid the precipitation of the world crisis, the deliberations in the Conference were particularly emphatic and focused on peaceful existence, independence, disarmament, and economic development. Nations participating at the New Delhi Summit, though politically economically and ideologically diverse, had expressed the opinion which was unanimous that they belong to the international family, a global community. peace and peaceful co-existence and to help our brothers and sisters who have been deprived of their legitimate claims, it was necessary to provide them with the minimum needs for their survival and sustenance. This philosophy, "Unity amidst Diversity" will enable us to attain he goal for the will enable us to attain the goal for the welfare of the mankind, and this would certainly narrow down gap, the political and economic gap between the developed and the developing nations because racism and colonialism negate the oneness of

^

363 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 364 of Heads of State Govt.

[Prof. (Mrs.) Asima Chatterjee] human race, thus defying human dignity, human rights and sense of equity, endangering peace and peaceful co-existence. And the philosophy that I have already mentioned will really save the world from disaster.

One of the most important resolusions was the adoption of a new system for fund allocation in order to avoid the world economic crisis which will not only affect the developing countries but the developed nations as well. For this purpose a new national economic order was discussed to restructure the existing which is out of date, inequitable inadequate, considering change in the circumstances, it was proposed to hold an international conference on money and finance for development, with universal participation to help the developing countries in critical areas particularly food, health, education energy needs and also for industrial development and for the development of science and technology and technical know-how. This will bring self-reliance the basic component for economic growth It will not be difficult to attain equality if all the nations, developing and developed, co-operate with a sense of comradeship and team spirit. For healthy economic growth, nuclear should be banned for destructive purposes. The danger arising from the blast of nuclear bombs will lead radioactive fall-out to such an extent that it would affect not only the present generaion but the generations yet to be born.

fidel Castro described the barrowing story of infant mortality in many developing countries because of the dearth of food, medicine and other essential commodities. He stated that 40 million children die each year due to malnutrition dehydration and nine out of every ten children in the developing countries did not even receive the basic health care in the first year of life. In this connection it deserves to be mentioned I that when there is such a harrowing situation in one part of the globe, in the other part huge expenditure is being incurred on the arms race. A nuclear aircraft carrier costs \$4 billion which is more than the GNP of 53 countries. There is need for drastic reduction in global military expenditure which is 20 times the total official development assistance. Ιf can be reduced, the amount saved can be utilised for the development of the developing countries. (Time rings) Just a few minutes more.

I have already mentioned that through achievement of self-reliance, it is possible to narrow down the gap between the developed and the developing countries.

Lastly, I would like to metion. Sir, that the 101 nations assembled at the Summit gave a clarien call under the banner of our Prime Minister, Indira Gandhi, and I am confident that this call will not be in that the people throughout the world will certainly respond to his call, and that the formulations which emerged out of the deliberations of the Non-aligned Summit would be successfully implemented under the of our dynamic leadership Minister who should be congratulated for her conribution as the Chairperson of the Non-aligned Summit.

8 P.M.

RAMAKRISHNAN: SHRI R. Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir it is the vote of thanks which gets bad part of a bargain. But anyway I am thankful to all the hon. Members who took part in the debate on substantive motion brought by me today before the House on the nonaligned meet. I am really grateful to the Minister of External Affairs Mr. P. V. Narasimha Rao, for his timely intervention. His speech was not only forthright but also very informative and he has made my task of replying to the various speakers very easy. -

Sir, even critics, two or three them, who were objecting to motion are not here now, and that shows that they were criticising for the sake of criticism. I would only reply to one point of Mr. Syed Shahabuddin. Sir, Indians are ways fond of using metaphors. objected to my saying that this was a water-shed in the history of India. He wanted that it should be called a milestone. I do not mind whether it is called water-shed or a milestone, but definitely history will say that were at the cross-roads today.

Mr. Shahabuddin was rather charitable when he made the point. He said that there was a time when Indira was called India and India was called Indira, and he objected to our overidentifying it with her or overrulogising her. But it is a known fact that in every movement, in everything there is person who will be the moving force behind it, and even Mr. Narasimha Rao has very clearly enunciated the great role she has played. In fairness to Mrs. Indira Gandhi, as soon as she took over the Chairperson of the conference, she said:

"We are partners. Among us there are no leaders and followers. The idea of leadership has caused enough harm. The desire to dominate has been and is the root cause of the world's ills."

This shows her greatness and her clarity of vision. I am not saying this just for the sake of praising her. Mrs. Gandhi also said:

"The non-aligned movement is history's biggest peace movement."

Whether Mr. Shahabuddin would like it or not, it is a fact that history and posterity will record that this non-aligned summit was a grand success largely due to the untiring efforts made by the Prime Minister, Mrs. Indira Gandhi.

Sir, my friend, Mr. Jaswant Singh, belonging to the BJP, like a person who does not want to see, has critised . . .

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO (Jammu and Kashmir): None of them is here.

SHRI R. RAMAKRISHNAN:....for the sake of criticism. I would only remind him of one thing. He was saying about the different forms of Government in the various countries which participate in this movement Sir, Alexander Pope said:

"For forms of government, let fools contest, whatever is best administered is best:"

Mr. Jaswant Singh said that whatever has been stated in the documents is only re-statement of facts. There are several important things, particularly on the economic front and this has been acknowledged by all the Heads of State. So his saying that this merely a re-statement of facts is just being uncharitable to the whole thing.

Finally, Mr. Abdul Rehman Sheikh said that there should not have been so much ostentation. He was so petty as to talk of some whisky bottles being kept in the hotel rooms. I do not know why they cannot rise above these small things. Our Prime Minister rose above petty, parochial consideraspoke in English, when she tions. though it is not the official language of India. She addressed the conference in English so that the prestige of India could go up. I congratulate her for this bold step. I once again commend the motion for the acceptance of the House. Thank you.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I think I can put all the amendments together to vote because none of them is present here.

The questions are:

367 Re. Seventh Conference [RAJYA SABHA] of Non-aligned Countries 368 of Heads of State Govt.

[Mr. Deputy Chairman]
"That in the Motion:—

(i) for Paras 1, 2 and 3, following be substituted, namely:—

"This House notes with satisfaction that the Seventh Conference of the Heads of States or representatives of Non-Aligned Countries was held in New Delhi, our Capital. This House places record its appreciation of the efficiency and care which went into the arrangements for our distinguished guests and the holding of Conference meetings and sessions. This House records its gratitude to the various visiting Delegations who by their presnece lent significance and prestige to the meeting. While India has been assigned the responsibility of chairing the movement, this House hopes that the movement grow in strnegth.'

- (ii) In Para 4, lines 7—10, the words 'and believes that these have strengthened the hands of all those who stand for independence, peace, disarmament and development.' be deleted."
- 2. "That in the Motion, at the end of Para 3, the following be added, namely:—

"The commitment of the people of our country for peace and non-alignment will be the guiding spirit in our endeavour."

- 3. "That in the Motion: --
- (i) in para 1, line 5, the words 'the unity and' be deleted;
- (ii) in para 2, lines 4 and 5, for the words 'and positive contribution, ensured the success of', the words made a positive contribution to the deliberations of' be subsituted;
- (iii) in para 2, for the words 'and the leadership' the words 'under the leadership' be substituted;

- (iv) in para 3, line 1, for the words 'India has been given the', the words 'As India now has the' be substituted; and
- (v) in para 4, the following words be added at the end:—

'in close cooperation with all Members of the Movement.'

4. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'But regret that by raising the Kashmir issue there was the violation of the Summit Convention by Pakistan President Shri Zia-ul-Haq in his speech to the Conference.'

5. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

'But regret that there was the violation of the Official Languages Act and the Indian Constitution by not making proper arrangement for the translation from and into the Official Language Hindi."

6. 'That in the Motion, Paras 2, 3 and 4 be deleted.'

The motions were negatived

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now 1 shall put the Motion moved by Shri Ramakrishnan to vote.

The question is:

"That this House notes with satisfaction and pride that the Seventh Conference of Heads of State or Government of Non-Aligned Countries held in New Delhi has concluded its deliberations successfully. The New Delhi Summit has reinforced the unity and the international role of the Non-Aligned community.

This House requests the Government of India to convey its thanks and appreciation to the Heads of 369 Re. Seventh Conference of [24 MAR. 1983] of Non-aligned Countries 370 of Heads of State Govt.

State and Government and leaders of various delegations who, through their presence and positive contribution, ensured the success of the Summit. It also places on record its appreciation of the work of the Indian delegation and the leadership provided by the Chairperson Shrimati Indian Gandhi.

India has been given the responsibility of chairing the Movement. This House believes that our country will be able to discharge this responsibility in the best traditions of anti-imperialism and self-reliance of our national movement.

This House welcomes the Declaration adopted by the Summit and the New Delhi Message', which has called for an end to the production and stockpiling of nuclear arms as also for more purposive efforts to launch a North-South dialogue, to enlarge South-South cooperation and to usher in a New International Economic Order, and believes that these have strengthened the hands of all those who stand for independence, peace, disarmament and development."

The motion was adopted.

श्री उपसभापति: सदन की कार्यमही कल प्रातः 11 बजे तक के लिए स्थगित की जाती हैं।

The House then adjourned at five minutes past eight of the clock till eleven of the clock on Friday, the 25th March, 1983.