55

In view of the fact that the total strength of the Institute including its Regional Research Stations is about 850, the number of cises in which adverse entries we.-e recorded is negligible.

EEC Aid for Operaton Flood II

1658. SHRI GHOUSE MOHIUDDIN SHEIKH: Will the Minister of AGRI-CULTURE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Government have appealed to E.E.C, for additional aid to enable it to implement the Operation Flood II Programme;
 - (b) if so, the quantum of aid sought;
- (c) whether the E.E.C, have considered their appeal; and
- (d) if so, what are the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRIES OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The details of the additional requirement of gift commodities for which th.* European Ecomomic Community has been approached to consider are as follows:

Year				Skim Milk Powder (MT)	Butter Oil (MT)	
1982			· .	12,000	4,000	
1983				12,000	4,000	
1984				43,000	16-700	
1985	•	•,	,.	43,000	16,700	

(c) and (d) The European Economic Community has not yet made known its reaction to the proposal.

Drought and Scaracity in Gujarat

1659. SHRI IBRAHIM KALANIYA: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) what assistance and help in kind and cash has been given to Gujarat during

- 1-1-1980 to 30-9-82 for drought and scar-city (year-wise);
- (b) how much assistance has been given to various States during the above period for the above purpose;
- (c) how much amount and other help were asked by Gujarat Government for the above purpose;
- (d) whether Government are aware that many areas in Gujarat are under drought and scarcity; and
- (e) if so, what immediate action has been taken by the Centre for assistance and help to Gujarat and the details there of?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRIES OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (MISS KAMLA KUMARI): (a)

		(1	ks. in crore	*)
ught				
• .	•		Nil	
		: ;	6-19	i.
			Nil	•
,			Nil	
	ought .	ought	ought Ceili tur	ture approved Nil 6-12 Nil

- (b) A statement is attached.
- (c) An *ad-hoc* assistance of Rs. 20 crores was asked for by the State Government during the current year to meet the drought situation.
- (d) According to the State Gov-rn ment, there were scanty rains until the middle of July, 1982 and the rains receiv ed thereafter were uneven and erratic resulting in lean agricultural situation. Over 12,000 villages have been affected in 16 districts, affecting a population of 82 labba
- (e) Central assistance is determined only after a Central Team has visited the State,

57

Since no Memorandum has been received from the State Government, Central Team has not been deputed to the State to assess the requirements.

However, the State Government hav* got a margin money of Rs. 9.56 crore* during the current year, put of which relief expenditure could be met.

Statement

Gentral Assistance sanctioned to various States for drought during the years 1979-80 to 1982-83

		·			(Rs. in crores)
Name of State	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82	1982-83	Remarks
1. Andhra Pradesh .	22.05	42.97	26-25		A Central Team is visi- ting drought affected areas in A.P. between the 18-20th Oct. 1982
					to assess the drough situation and the Central assistance needed. So far no Gentral Assistance has been sanctioned pending the report of the Central Team.
2. Assam	6-40	••	**	••	
g. Bihar	11.82	24.82	••	••	On the request of the Govt. of Bihar, a Central Team visited Bihar between the 20-22nd Sept. 1982. Its
					by the High Level Committee on Relief
The second secon			Table 1		on 13th October 1982 and its recommend a tions will be processed by the Ministry of Finance for fixing of appropriate ceiling.
4. Haryana	4.50	4.02	8.25	**	*
5. Himachal Pradesh .	3.70	10.01	2.68	-	
6. Gujarat	• •	6.12	0=0	#=0	
7. Karnataka	9.62	6.65	13.81	* *	
8. Madhya Pradesh	22.80	47.90	••	6.77	(Including relief on account of hailstorm).
9. Maharashtra	8-54	16.25	- ··.	••	A Gentral Team, on the request of the State
					Govt, visited Maha- rashtra between 4-6th Oct. 1982 and its report is awaited.
o. Rajasthan	18.75	40-30	87.828	**	
r. Tamil Nadu	* *	•• .	49.77	1 (21 + 12) ##	
2. Tripura	1.33	**	0.946	• •	The second secon

60

Name of State	1979-80	1980-81	1981-62	1982-83	Remarks
13. Uttar Pradesh	34.91	47-52			A Gentral Team visited U.P. between the 20— 24th September, 1982 and its report will be considered by the High Level Committee shortly.
14. Orissa	14.05	17-66		- ·'	A Central Team visited Orissa between 20-21st September. Its report was considered by H.L.C. on the 14th October, 1982.
15. West Bengal	27.67		1.50	24.77	
16. Jammu & Kalsmir	2.79				
17 Manipur	2.72		1.6053		
18. Meghalaya	0.77				
19. Nagaland	0.67		٠		

Procurement of Onions by NAFED

1660. SHRIMATI PREMILABAI DAHSAHEB CHAVAN: Will the Ministe?-of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

- (a) the stock of onions with NAFED in all its godowns indicating State-wise break-up a_s on 1-7-1981 and 1-7-1982;-
- (b) the lowest and highest procurement price paid by NAFED in June, 1981 and June, 1982;
- (c) the total quantity of onions procured by NAFED all over India during the

period from 1-1-1981 to 30-6-1981 and 1-1-1982 io 30-6-1982; and

(d) the total quantity of onion_s exported by Government during 1981-82 and commitment for export made during 1982-83?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRIES OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (MISS KAMLA KUMARI): (a) The stock position of onion with NAFED in all its godowns State-wise as on 1-7-1981 and 1-7-1982. was as under:—

Name of the State					Godowns	Stocks as on 1-7-1981 (MTs)	Stocks as on 1-7-1982 (MTs)
1. Maharashtra .				,	Nasik	6750	7083
estas Neas President		,			Bombay		140
2. Andhra Pradesh						'	532
3. Tamil Nadu .				••,,	Nagapattinam		82
			Тот	AL:	_	6750	7837