THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI ARVIND GANESH KULKARNI):
Now we shall take up clause-byclause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI NIHAR RAJAN LASKAR: Sir, I move:

"That the Bill be passed."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI ARVIND GANESH KULKARNI): Now we go to the next item. It is a small Bill. And we are racing against time.

The wild life (Protection) amendment Bill, 1982

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRIES OF AGRICUL-TURE AND RURAL DEVELOP-MENT (SHRI R. V. SWAMINA-THAN): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to amend the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

Sir, there was no Central Act on wild life until 1972 when the Wild Life Protection Act was passed by Parliament. The existing Act provides for the protection of wild animals and birds and for matters connected therewith or related thereto. This Act is now applicable throughout the country except Jammu and Kashmir which has a similar law based on the Central Act.

The existing Act has five Schedules. important is Schedule I The most which has at present 253 species. These are totally protected hunting as well as trade and commerce. There is no provision in the Act for permitting capture and translocation of wild animals for scientific management. For instance, it may be necessary to shift the population of elephants or to introduce endangered species in alternative suitable habitat for proper scientific study. In order to achieve this purpose, it is necessary to amend section 12 of the Act as proposed in the Bill.

It is noteworthy that the proposed amendment clearly lays down that in the case of any wild animal included in Schedule I, the State Government would have to take the prior approval of the Central Government. Of course, the proposal will come from the State Government whose co-operation and association will be necessary.

The other purpose of the Bill is to amend the existing section 44 of the Act to permit grant of licences subject to certain conditions. The orbitrary limit of 15 days in sub-section (3) of section 44 is being removed because it is unnecessary. It is also proposed to give to the Central Government the power to issue guidelines by way of rules, if necessary.

The Bill has these limited objectives. I would request that it may be considered and passed.

The question was proposed.

SHRI SHIVA CHANDRA JHA (Bi-har): Sir, I move:

"That the Bill to amend the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, be referred to a Select Committee of

the Raiya Sabha consisting of the following members, namely:-

- 1. Shri R. R. Morarka
- 2. Shri Shridhar Wasudeo Dhabe.
- 3. Shri Biswa Goswami
- 4. Shri Harekrushna Mallick
- 5. Shri Rameshwar Singh
- 6. Shri Hari Shankar Bhabhra
- Shrimati Mohinder Kaur
- 8. Shri G. C. Bhattacharya
- Bhatta-9. Prof. Sourendra charjee.
- 10. Shri Kalraj Mishra
- 11. Shri Shiva Chandra Jha

with instructions to report by the first week of next Session.'

The question was proposed.

VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI THE KULKARNI): ARVIND GANESH Now the motion and the amendment are before the House for consideration. I have already requested the Shri Biswa Members to co-operate, Goswami.

SHRI BISWA GOSWAMI (Assam): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, while I am all for protection of wildlife and for doing everything for the protection of wild life there are certain provisions in this Bill which are objectionable. In the name of sciencertain animals. tific management particularly Rhinoceros, are sought to the be translocated from Kaziranga Games Sanctuary to some There has been strong other parts. resentment in the State of Assam against this move of the Central Government.

A provision for scientific management has been included in this Bill with a view to protecting wild and particularly those species which are facing extinction. I would like to mention in this House that Rhinos are not facing extinction. Rather number of Rhinos is increasing day by day. For example, it was

that since Kaziranga Games Sanctuaryhas got sufficient number of Rhinos, the surplus should be transferred outside Assam. There are other games sancturies in Assam itself, and Kaziranga, Games sanctuary has surplus of Rhinos they can be transferred to other games sanctuaries of the: State itself.

I am not going into details. But it: is a wellknown fact that Rhinos can thrive only in marshy places suitable climatic conditions. provides suitable climate for the survival of Rhinos. Kazirange a Games sanctuary has by now become world famous and is attracting world tourists to the state. The Government, by this move, want to take away the Rhinos from Kaziranga Games Sanctuary. There is a feeling among the people of Assam that the Central Government is willing to take their share of the assets of Assam, but they are not willing to share their burden. The people of Assam have been agitating for dispersal of those who trated into the State in the States. Instead of doing that, Government of India is now embarking on a plan for the dispersal of the Rhinos from he State. I object to this move of the Central Government. De la mes difficult of the market of

Moreover, wild life is a State sub-A provision has beeen brought in this Bill to by-pass the State Government in this respect. I only hope that the hon. Minister will withdraw this amendment and respect the sentiment, of the people of the State of 4 1 1/4 12 Assam:

If the Rhinos are translocated from Kaziranga Games Sanctuary Kaziranga will loss its importance and Assam will ever remain a neglected State. Therefore, I strongly object to

One point more. In the recenf past, the Central Government has issued an order prohibiting catching of wild elephants and as a result Assam overcrowded with wild elephants.

[Shri Biswa Goswami-contd.] These wild elephants are damaging our standing crops and killing people. Those who live in this city .understand the number of wild elephants in our State damage they are causing. The Government should revise this . order. They should not stop catching wild elephants because their number is increasing to a large extent and they are damaging standing crops and killing people. While the Government should protect the wild life, they should also protect the interest of the tribal people and others near the forest areas.

With these words, I oppose this particular provision which deals with translocation of Rhinos. I do not know about lions; but about the rhions I can say this. Sir, I express my strong objection to this clause and I also want to record my note of dissent on this clause.

With these words, Sir, I oppose.

डा० रत्र प्रताप सिंह (उत्तर प्रदेश): आदरणीय उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, स्रापका मैं हृदय से ग्राभारी हुं कि ग्रापने मुझको वन्य जीव संरक्षण संशोधन विधेयक. 1972 पर अपने विचार प्रकट करने का ्र ग्रवसर प्रदान किया है । मैं इसका समर्थन करने को खड़ा हुआ हूं। श्रीमन, जैसा कि माननीय सदन को जात है कि इस विधेयक का उद्देश्य ग्रत्यन्त सीमित है । माननीय मंत्री ने अपने भाषण में भी उसको स्पष्ट किया है । इस कारण से इस विधेयक पर ग्रधिक चर्चा करना इस सम्मानित सदन का समय नष्ट करना होगा। मैं ग्रपने को बहुत सीमित करके श्रपने विचार प्रकट करना चाहता हूं । मान्यवर, जब से इस सृष्टि की रचना हुई है तब से मनुष्य का वन के साथ, पशुत्रों के साथ ग्रीर पक्षियों के साथ में ्र**मह**रा संबंध रहा है । यह संबंध समय ्रै.समय पर बदलता रहा है । एक समय ्राबह भा जब कि मनुष्य केवल पशुस्रों स्रौर

पक्षियों को मारकर उनसे ग्रपना पेट भरता था । धीरे धीरे मनष्य सभ्यता की स्रोर स्रग्नसर हमा, सुसंस्कृत हुन्रा, उसने कृषि कार्य करना ग्रारम्भ किया ग्रौर ग्राज तो वह चन्द्रलोक पर जाने की कल्पना कर रहा है। तो मान्यवर, यदि हम पश्यों भ्रौर पक्षियों के साथ ग्रपना प्राचीन संबंध रखना चाहे ग्रौर उनको केवल ग्रपने पेट भरने के साधन समझे तो मैं समझता हूं कि पशु स्रौर पक्षियों के प्रति यह बहुत बड़ा ग्रन्याय होग । ग्रब हम लोग सभ्यता के शिखर परपहुंच चुके है । जहां पर पहुंच कर ग्रब हमने यह समझा है कि हमारे भीजन में उचित माला में प्रोटीन प्राप्त होने के लिए. ग्रधिक स्वस्थ्य रहने के लिए संसार में बहत से ऐसे पदार्थ हैं जिनको खाकर मनुष्य दोर्घायु हो सकता है, स्वस्थ हो सकता है । तो ऐसी परिस्थिति में मैं समझता हूं कि जिन पशु ग्रौर पक्षियों को म्राहार के लिए मारा जाता है, यह बहुत ग्रनुचित बात है।

दूसरी बात मैं यह भी निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि इधर कुछ लोगों ने उन हो पकड़ना, उनको मारना व्यवसाय बनः लिया है । उनको पकड़कर लोग इन्हें विदेश भेजते हैं, उनको मारा जाता है ग्रौर दुःख की बात यह है कि उनसे प्रसाधन की सामग्रियां बनाई जाती हैं।

मैं समझता हूं कि रूप को ग्रधिक रूपवान बनाने के लिए पशु पक्षियों की हत्या की जाए, जो मुझे बिलकुल उचित नहीं लगता तो उन पर दया की जानी चाहिए ।

मान्यवर, यह देश महात्मा बुद्ध का देश है, यह देश महात्मा गांधी का देश है, हमारी सभ्यता और हमारी संस्कृति में हमने ग्रहिंसा को सर्वोपरि स्थान दे

रखा है। इस दृष्टि से भी उचित नहीं लगता कि इन पशु-पक्षियों की हत्या की जाए ।

श्रंत में, मान्यवर, मैं यह कहना चाहंगा कि बहुत से ऐसे वन्य जीव-जंत् हैं, ऐसे पश्-पक्षी है जिनका कि एक राष्ट्रीय महत्व है, सांस्कृतिक महत्व है, जैसे कि हमारा बाघ, जैसे कि शेर, भाल, जैसे कि हमारा हाथी, जैसे कि हमारा मोर पक्षी, जैसे कि हमारा कब्तर जिसे शाति का पक्षी कहा जाता है, मोर को हम अपना राष्ट्रीय पक्षी समझते है। तो इसी प्रकार से हमारे बहुत से जीव-जंतू हैं जोकि हमारे लिए एक नैतिक या ब्रध्यात्मिक महत्व ही नहीं रखते, हमारे जीवन में उनका एक सांस्कृतिक महत्व भी है, तो इस कारण से भी उनकी रक्षा होनी चाहिए ।

-- ग्रंत में मैं यह भ्रवश्य कहना चाहंगा कि जहां पर हम इन जीव ग्रौर जंतुग्रों की तथा पक्षियों की हम रक्षा करना चाहते हैं, जिस उद्देश्य के साथ मैं हमारे सम्मानित मंत्री जी यह विधेयक यहा लाये हुए हैं, तो मैं उनसे निवेदन करुगा कि वे इस बात का भी ध्यान भ्रवध्य रखगे कि जनके निकट में जो हमारी बहुत सी अनुचचित जातियां भ्रौर भ्रन्-मुचित जन-जातियां तथा श्रादिम जातियां जिन्हें सदैव जंगल से लकड़ी लानी पड़ती है, पत्तियां लानी पड़ती हैं, ग्रौर उनके जानवर वहां चरने के लिए जाते हैं, तो इस बात का ध्यान रखते की ग्राव-श्यकता है कि कहीं ऐसा न हो जाए कि हम उन पशु-पक्षियों की रक्षा करते हुए, जो मनुष्य जाति है, उसकी सुरक्षा कठिनाई में पड़ जाए, इस बात की म्रावश्यकता है।

मैं इस बात की ग्राशा करता हूं कि हमारी सरकार, जो प्रधान मंत्री जी

The section of the se के नेतृत्व में सफलतापूर्वक कार्य कर रही है, हमारे मंत्री जी इस बात का प्रयास करेंगे कि मानव जाति की सुरक्षा और पश-पक्षियों की सुरक्षा दोनों के बीच मैं संतुलन ग्रौर सामजस्य रह सके ग्रौर भारत विश्व के सामने एक महान भारत बन सके।

इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ मैं इस विधेयक का समर्थन करता हं।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI -GANESH KULKARNI): Mr. Dinesh Goswami.

SHRI DINESH **GOSWAMI** (Assam): Mr. Vice-Chairman, everybody is in favour of bringing in suitable measures for the pritection of wild animals. We know, Sir, that in our country a large number of animals, even some of those species which are getting extinct, are being sacrified as a status symbol of affluent sections of the society. have newspaper reports that monkeys are constantly been exported, s, snakes have been killed, and so on. But I have got a strong objection to this Act. My objecion is that I see a sinister design in this Act because it has been brought in with the purpose of translocating the valuable Rhinoreos from Assam. I have before me the Bill as it was introduced in the Lok Sabha. In the Statement of Objects and Reasons, it is clearly stated:

"There is no provision, at present for permitting capture and translocating of wild animals for scientific management which may, for instance, be necessary in the case of elephants for their population managment or for introduction in alternative suitable habitat of endangered species like the Great Indian Rhinoceros and the Asiatic Lion..."

And in the case of this translocation, under clause 2, subclause (a), even the previous permission of the State Government has been done with.

[Shri Dinesh Goswami]

Rhinoceros -You know, Sir that occupy a very emotional place in Assam. It is a symbol of the State. The moment this thing came to our notice, so far Rhinceros are concerned, a very serious objection was taken by the Assam Government. I wrote a letter with very detailed note to the Prime Minister the note to be found was not written by me because I am not really a person who is equipped with sufficient scientific knowledge but my note was based on reports of persons who have knowledge matters. such experience about should step that such а never be taken, and that it would be against the interests of these protected species. The hon. Prime Minister was kind enough to write back that the letter-and the note was a very desent to the Agritailed note-was culture Minister. Before this Bill was brought before the House, I expected and it was in the fitness of the things that the Agriculture Ministry ought to have given a reply to the points raised by me. After all a Member of Parliament knowing full well that a Bill is likely to be introduced writes a note to the Prime Minister and the Prime Minister refers that note the Agrirequests the culture Minister and Agriculture Minister to look into the points raised in that letter. Before you ask me to give my right of approval to the Bill, the minimum that the Agriculture Ministry ought to have done is to give a reply refuting the points raised in it. And because of the fact that the Agriculture Ministry has not been able to refute the points, raised I take it that the points which I raised in he letter are very valid. normal in the Sir. Therefore. nót have would I course. but a Bill opposed such this case, not only am I opposing this Bill but I shall be also compelled to ask for a Division on this Bill becuse I must record my note of dissent on this Bill and the people of Assam will not excuse me if I permit this Bill to be passed without dissent.

Therefore, Sir, my submission will. be that this Bill is a sinister one. When we ask for a solution of the foreigners problem, we do not get a solution. So far as the liabilities of the State are concerned. The Government at the centre is not prepared to share them. But so far as the assets of the State are concerned, you want to transfer those assets from us to other States. This has been the tragedy of Assam. I could have given and enlightened the House with a number of facts and figures on this because I have still the note with me. But I am conscious of the fact that the corrupion issue is being taken up at 3 oc'lock and that does not permit my discussing this in detail. But I would request the hon. Minister to withdraw the Bill at this stage and have a discussion with Members from Assam and try to satisfy us on the points that have been raised by us in that letter. And I can also point out that the State Government which was under the Chief Ministership of Mr. Keshab Chandra Gogoi, who was a Congress (I) Chief Minister, wrote to the Government against this. Therefore, Mr. Minister, please withdraw this Bill. Have a discussion with us. Satisfy us. And having satisfied, you proced further. Otherwise, I have no other alternative but to oppose the Bill.

Thank you, Sir.

SHRI BIJOY KRISHNA HANDI-Vice-Chairman, QUE (Assam): Mr. Sir, while discussing this Wild Life Protection (Amendment) Bill, I seek certain clarifications from the hon. Minister. What is the main reason for the translocation of the rhinos? The hon. Minister gave a reply on 15th March in Lok Sabha saying that it was for the survival. Next day, he gave a reply where he made a statement on the growth rate of this rhino population in Kazirange Gamehis own According to Sanctuary. statistics, the population of rhinos in was 366. Kaziranga Game Sanctuary

in 1966, 671 in 1972 and 960 in 1978. That means, it is not a question of survival. It is quite an appreciable upward rate of growth. Then, there is the other view that of over-popu-The Indian Board for Wild Life has held the view that due to over-population, there is a strain on the natural resources in the Kaziranga 'Game Sanc'uary and soon, the growth rate, if it continues at this rate, will exceed the carrying capacity of the Sanctuary. If you look at both of these views carefully, you will find that they are contradictory. Survival or the over-population, which of the two is the main reason for translocation? Then, it is surprising, Sir, that neither the Government nor the Wild Life Board made the simplest suggestion of meeting this over-population problem by transferring some of the rhinos to some other sanctaries already existing in Assam. Sir. we have some of the forest regions which have already had some rhinos. have the Manas sancinstance, we tury, almost equal in size to Kaziranga Sir, this Kaziraga sanctury has got an area of 432 square kilometres and Manas has 390 square kilometres. (Time Bell rings). Sir, I want some more time. Kaziranga has 950 rhinos. whereas Manas has only 75. So, some of the rhinos can very well be transferred to Manas and there can be even some other forest reserves. One such is in Orang in Darang district. There are already about 30 to 40 rhinos. I do not know whether they have decided to translocate them outside Assam. But in view of the statement that he himself has made in the Lok Sabha, it is clear that they want to do what is the big idea behind the translocation of these rhinos. If the thinks that there is a Government in Assam threat of poaching Assam alone, well, I am afraid, it is not the truth. The problem of poaching exsists all over the world, and not only in this country. And, the whole world should be grateful to Assam for saving this precious specie for the posterity.

Wild Life (Protection)

Sir, when discussing this translocation, the most important point is to examine the patern of disribution of wild life in the country. As there is not much time, I simply quote what late P. D. Stracey, the great doyen of conservation in India and one of the leading naturalists of the world associated with wild life in Assam for over two decades said:

"A sub-division on ecological grounds reveals that there is a close similarity between the fauna of the areas where the south-west monsoon is prevalent-the eastern Himalayas, Assam (with Burma) and the Western Ghats and parts of Ceylon. These areas have heavy rainfall and great humidi'y, which influence their vegetation and in turn their insect bird and animal life. These ' two regions could be grouped together as a zoo-geographical subdivision as suggested by Prater." (time Bell rings).

This is the type of climate in Assam. Then, Sir, let us look at the other side of the picture, the climate in Uttar Pradesh. This is what he has said about it and I quote: 🕐

"The dry plateau zone is a distinct faunal area and covers the barren plateau beyond the Himalayas but within the northern frontiers of India extending Kashmir to Bhu'an. It is characterised by both desert and arctic conditions and has the wild as and the arctic hare, the bharal or Tibetan sheep, and the yak as characteristic animals."

No mention of Rhino. In fact there are no rhinos in Budhwa National Park so far. And, it is also reported that the sub-committee constituted by the Indian Board for Wild to go into the proposal for translocations had great reservations as to the area as a rhino suitability of this habitat. Government have mentioned Jeoldapara and Goromura also for translocation of rhinos. Jeoldapara has already had the rhino, Goromura had the rhino. In 1964 has already

[Shri Bijov Krishna Handique] . .

there were 60 rhinos in Jeoldapara and the species has now been reduced to 20.

Now, what is the idea of translocating these rhinos from Assam to Jeoldapara. Since they have failed to conserve the rhinos let them learn, at least the hard wav. done in Assam. Wρ have Sir, I want So an assurance from the hon. Minister that nothing will be done in haste because this is imperilling the existence of rhinos, because it is a costly experiment, I should call it a cruel experiment. In regard to these rhinos those who know about their ways, particularly, in Kaziranga, are aware how taimed they are. Even when tourists go past on elephants" back, these rhinos relaxing themselves on the ground are unperturbed and unconcerned. When you pass the national park along the national highway, you see that, on either sides of the road, these rhinos are grazing just like cows and buffaloes. If they are transloca'ed, they will fall an easy prey to poaching. Since we did not have much time to discuss this Bill, I would request that for some time, we should not implement the provisions of this Bill, at least so far as the translocation of rhions is concerned. Let us have more time. Let us take the view of naturalists; let us take the view of conservationists. Let us examine the distribution pattern of the wild life in India. Then, we can come to some conclusions, we will be able to take a decision. Thank you, Sir.

श्री राम लखन प्रशद गुप्त (बिहार): उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह विधेयक जो लाया गया है यह बहुत ही महत्वपूर्ण विषय के ऊपर है। प्रकृति ने मनुष्य की. जानवरों की. पक्षियों की, सभी की रचना की है ग्रौर सभी को इस संसार में रहने की स्रावश्यकता है । परन्तु श्राज हम देख रहे हैं कि जो जंगली जानवर हैं उन की संख्या दिनों दिन घटती जा रही है ग्रीर जो ग्रांकडे हम ो देखने को मिले है उन से पता चलता है कि ग्राजादी के बाद बड़े जानवरों में 90 प्रतिशत ग्रौर छोटे जानवरों में 75 प्रतिशत ग्रौर ग्राखेट करने वाले पक्षियों की संख्या का 60 का सफाया हो चका है और बाघ के बारे में तो यह है कि 1930 में उन की संख्या 40 हजार थी जो 1972 में केवल 896 ⊽ह गयी है। यह इस बात का घोतक है कि इसी तरह की स्थिति रही ता प्रकृति में एक इंबेलेंस हो जायगा ग्रौर यह उचित नहीं होगा । छठी पंचवर्षीय योजना में 12 कर ड रुपया इस के लिये रखा गया है परन्त उस के ग्रन्दर जो एक इंस्टी-टयुट बनने की बात है ग्रौर जिस के निये 10 लाख रुपये उपलब्ध है उसकी लिये स्रभी तक क्या हुआ है यह स्रभी तक समझ में नहीं आ रहा है और इस के साथ साथ दूसरी म्रो जहां पर जंगल 33 प्रतिशत चाहिए देश में वे 11 प्रतिशत रह गये हैं। तो वनों के लिये जो कोशिश हो रही है वह ठीक से होनी चाहिए, वन देश में बढ़ने चाहिए ग्रौर इन जानवरों को बचाने के लिये सारे उपाय किये जायें इस का प्रयत्न होना चाहिए । इन शब्दों के साथ में इस बिल का समर्थन करता हूं।

SHRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN: Mr. Depu'y Chairman, Sir, I am thankful to the hon. Members who have participated in the discussion on this Bill. Two Goswamis, Shri Biswa Goswami and Shri Dinesh Goswami, particularly, expressed their apprehensions in regard to this Bill, they said that this Bill has been brought forward just to translocate the rhinos. This is not the purpose of the Bill. This Bill has been brought forward only for two purposes. Under the present Act, the animals which are mentioned in Sche-ScheduleI, whose dule I, listed in number is 253, cannot be touched. In case we want to translocate the animals for scientific management, we sanno; do so. For instance. Shri Goswami mentioned that wild elephants are giving too much trouble and that they should be translocated. As per the present Act, we cannot translocate any animal, we cannot touch the wild elephants. This is sought to be achieved through this amanding Bill. The hon. Members have expressed some fear about the rhinos. There are more than thousands rhinos in Assam. We have not taken any decision to translocate the rhinos.

The hon. Member Mr. Dinesh 3 P.M. Joswami has written to the Prime Minister. That letter has been forwarded to us. We have considered that letter and given it due consideration.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI ARVIND GANESH KULKARNI): This is an enablig provision.

SHRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN: We have not taken any decision. how, what is there if 10 or 12 rhinoceres are translocated. Now poaching is taking place. By poaching hundreds of rhinoceres are being killed and no action is taken. Even the Assam Government is not able to cototal those poachers. Also it is advisable to put all the eggs in one basket. If all the animals are put in one area, and suppose there is a disease, contagious and expidemic spaeds and animals are attached, it will be very difficult to save them: all these animals would be destroyed. Therefore, some of the animals should be translocated to different places.

[Mr. Deputy Chairman in the chair] Also, even if they are translocated, it will be done in a proper manner. The fauna will be clearly, scientifically researched and examined. Therefore, the Members need not have any apprehension that we are going to take away all the rhinoceres and all that. It is known that some time back bisons are destroyed in forests by rinderpest disease. Suppose there is

such an attack, what will be the position? Hon. Members are claiming as if it is only in Assam that rhinoceres are found. It was found in many places. In the Himalayan foothills, it was found in older days. Today it may not be. But it was found then in the Himalayan hills.

Therefore, Sir, the Bill has been brought only for two purposes. One to translocate animals for scientific purposes, and the other point is that according to the 1972 Act, when it was passed, if anybody wanted to get a licence, he had to apply and within fifteen days and accordingly those who applied for it got it. Now nobody can get a licence if he wants to have animals. Even if the Bharat Leather Corporation wants to have licence for this purpose, they cannot get a licence. This is the reason we are removing that clause.

Sir, this is a simple Bill and I commend that this Bill be passed. About the Selected Committee, it is not necessary to refer it to a Select committee. I oppose that Motion for referring it to the Select Committee. And I commend that the Bill be passed,

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I shall first put the amendment of Shri Shiva Chandra Jha to vote.

The question is:

"That the Bill to amend the Wild-Life (Protection) Act, 1972, be referred to a Select Committee of the Rajya Sabha consisting of the following members, namely:

- 1. Shri R.R. Morarka.
- Shri. Shridhar Wasudeo Dhabe.
- 3. Shri Biswa Goswami.
- 4. Shri Harekrushna Mallick.
- 5. Shri Rameshwar Singh.
- 6. Shri Hari Shankar Bhabhra.
- 7. Shrimati Mohinder Kaur.
- 8. Shri G.C. Bhattacharya.
- 9. Prof. Sourendra Bhattacharjee.
- 10. Shri Shiva Chandra Jha.

[Mr. Deputy Chairman]

with instructions to report by the first week of next Session."

The motion was negatived.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I shall now put the Motion moved by Shri. Swaminathan to vote.

The questions is:

"That the Bill to amend the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 to 4 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI R.V. SWAMINATHAN: Sir, I move

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The question was proposed.

SHRI DINESH GOSWAMI: Sir, 1 : am not asking for a formal division.
But my dissent should be recorded.

SHRI BISWA GOSWAMI. Sir, my dissent should also be recorded.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Both witheir dissents will be resorded.

The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The riction was adopted, r

STATEMENT BY MINISTER

Issuance of a Notification by the government of Assam for the purpose of the essential services maintenance (Assam) Act. 1980.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI NIHAR RANJAN LASKAR): Sir, in exercise of powers conferred under sub-clause (v) of clause (b) of sub-Section (1) of Section 2 of the Essential Services Maintenance (Assam) Act, 1980 (41 of 1980) as amended by the Essential Services Maintenance Act, 1981 (40 of 1981), the Government of Assam have issued a notification on 5-5-1982 to declare certain services as essential services within the State of Assam for the purpose of the Essential Services Maintenance (Assam) Act. 1980. The Government have given intimation about the notification through a wireless message dated 5.5.1982. Printed copies of the notification which are reported to have been sent by airparcel have not, however, been received so far. In the absence of the printed copies, it has not been possible to complete action for laying the notification on the Table of the House as required under sub-Section (2) of Section 2 of the Essential Services Maintenance (Assam) Act, 1980. The notification will be laid on the Table of the House on the first day of the commencement of the next session of the Raiva Sabha.

DISCUSSION UNDER RULE 176

Growing corruption in the country affecting the political, Social, economic and moral fabric of our national life.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now we shall take up the discussion under Rule 176, Shri Piloo Mody. I think we shall "conclude the debate by six and I hope the hon. Members will exercise retraint so that the debate may not be turned into an ecrimonius one.

15 7