

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| 16. | Nagaland | 649 | 280 | 278 | 9 |
| 17. | Orissa | 28583 | 10726 | 7573 | 107 |
| 18. | Punjab | 3838 | 3609 | 4758 | 223 |
| 19. | Rajasthan | 16998 | 10125 | 10315 | 451 |
| 20. | Sikkim | 176 | 160 | 108 | 3 |
| 21. | Tamil Nadu | 2064 | 2851 | 9389 | 1518 |
| 22. | Tripura | 88 | 107 | 551 | 109 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 45289 | 29577 | 36472 | 1465 |
| 24. | West Bengal | 13474 | 9227 | 13884 | 1325 |
| | Union | | | | |
| 1. | A and N Islands | 380 | 65 | 59 | 0 |
| 2. | Chandigarh | 5 | 2 | 14 | 4 |
| 3. | D and N Haveli | 12 | 15 | 42 | 2 |
| 4. | Daman and Diu | 3 | 6 | 12 | 3 |
| 5. | Delhi | 16 | 13 | 130 | 40 |
| 6. | Lakshadweep | 2 | | 4 | 1 |
| 7. | Pondicherry | 99 | 75 | 86 | 3 |
| | INDIA | 245095 | 144998 | 177310 | 13376 |

*Excluding Jammu and Kashmir where 1991 Census was not

conducted. Review of anti-poverty programmes

† 2595. PROF M.M. AGARWAL:

SHRI VEDPRAKASH P. GOYAL:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether any review of implementation of various anti-poverty programmes has been made in the country during the last five year plan;

(b) if so, the details and findings thereof, State-wise;

(c) whether Government propose to spend more funds under these programmes during the current plan for the backward States;

(d) if so, the details of the allocation made to these States alongwith the new schemes to be taken up for upliftment of poor during the current financial year; and

† Original notice of the Question was received in Hindi.

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SUNDER LAL PATWA): (a) and (b) Review of the implementation of anti-poverty programmes, in rural areas, has been a continuing process. During the VIII Plan period, reviews were made on the basis of progress reports received from States. The reviews revealed that the States spent an amount of Rs. 31343.05 crores for the implementation of the major poverty alleviation programmes namely Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP), Jawahar Rozgar Yojana (JRY), Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS), Training of Rural Youth for Self Employment (TRYSEM), Supply of Improved Toolkits to Rural Artisans (SITRA), Development of Women and Children in Rural Areas (DWCRA) and Million Wells Scheme (MWS) during the VIII plan, against an amount of Rs. 35918.42 crores available with them. Statewise position is given in the Statement (See below).

(c) to (e) Allocation to the States for the anti poverty programmes are made on the basis of predetermined criteria based mainly on poverty ratios. The funds allocated to the States for the year 2000-2001 for implementation of the anti-poverty programmes in rural areas, namely, Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY), Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS) and Jawahar Gram Samridhi Yojana (JGSY) are indicated in the Statement-H.

Statement-I

Utilisation of Funds under Anti-Poverty Programmes during 1992-93 to 1996-97

(Rupees in lakh)

| State / Uts | Funds available | Expenditure |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 298596.65 | 281125.9 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 12322.29 | 7 |
| Assam | 102063.52 | 9355.94 |
| Bihar | 480205.60 | 78984.49 |
| Goa | 2862.96 | 390527.3 |
| | | 7 |

[17 August, 2000]

RAJYA SABHA

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|----------------------|------------|------------|
| Gujarat | 123486.46 | 95588.1 |
| Haryana | 38830.91 | 21120.1 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 13305.94 | 10512.63 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 43181.25 | 30345.31 |
| Karnataka | 189428.69 | 172357.08 |
| Kerala | 66616.07 | 61445.73 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 384506.01 | 336341.92 |
| Maharashtra | 274091.23 | 231089.49 |
| Manipur | 10640.34 | 7528.32 |
| Meghalaya | 9276.92 | 4646.61 |
| Mizoram | 14343.36 | 9184.86 |
| Nagaland | 15553.32 | 11554.00 |
| Orissa | 224524.54 | 201573.33 |
| Punjab | 16312.50 | 13002.34 |
| Rajasthan | 180088.67 | 143750.70 |
| Sikkim | 3985.64 | 3707.65 |
| Tamil Nadu | 229804.25 | 232309.28 |
| Tripura | 16960.53 | 25666.36 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 601394.75 | 544716.45 |
| West Bengal | 230032.04 | 212362.56 |
| A and N Islands | 2257.96 | 940.67 |
| D and N Haveli | 888.05 | 531.33 |
| Daman and <i>Din</i> | 482.41 | 256.70 |
| Lakshadweep | 1262.22 | 515.81 |
| Pondicherry | 4537.44 | 1043.88 |
| ALL INDIA | 3591842.47 | 3134305.39 |

Notes: Figures for Release and Utilisation includes the programmes of IRDP, TRYSEM, DWCRA, SITRA, MWS, EAS, JRY.

Statement-II

*Allocation of Funds to the States under JGSY, SGSY and EAS during
2000-2001*

(Rupees in lakh)

| Sl. No. | State/U.T.s | Total Allocation |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 24313.61 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 1292.79 |
| 3 | Assam | 33595.01 |
| 4 | Bihar | 79648.62 |
| 5 | Goa | 256.59 |
| 6 | Gujarat | 9152.11 |
| 7 | Haryana | 5384.34 |
| 8 | Himachal Pradesh | 2267.54 |
| 9 | Jammu and Kashmir | 2805.44 |
| 10 | Karnataka | 18358.25 |
| 11 | Kerala | 8238.18 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | 40370.17 |
| 13 | Maharashtra | 36293.66 |
| 14 | Manipur | 2251.81 |
| 15 | Meghalaya | 2524.20 |
| 16 | Mizoram | 585.82 |
| 17 | Nagaland | 1730.64 |
| 18 | Orissa | 27809.76 |
| 19 | Punjab | 2616.75 |
| 20 | Rajasthan | 13940.19 |
| 21 | Sikkim | 646.39 |
| 22 | Tamil Nadu | 21498.57 |
| 23 | Tripura | 4065.93 |
| 24 | Uttar Pradesh | 87654.00 |
| 25 | West Bengal | 30905.00 |
| 26 | A and N Islands | 167.02 |
| 27 | D and N Haveli | 138.25 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--------|---------------|-----------|
| 28 | Daman and Diu | 78.15 |
| 29 | Lakshadweep | 94.59 |
| 30 | Pondicherry | 177.0 |
| TOTAL: | | 458860.39 |

Proposal to empower Panchayati Raj Institutions

2596. MISS MABEL REBELLO: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments have failed as no State in the country has yielded real autonomy to the Panchayat Institutions;

(b) whether Government propose to bring a legislation empowering the Panchayati Raj Institutions (P.R.I.) with local Government list *i.e.* apart from the Central and State lists; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SUNDER LAL PATWA): (a) Several State have devolved financial and functional powers (which an ongoing process) upon Panchayats in varying degrees after the enactment of 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments.

(b) and (c) There is no such proposal at present.

Illegal Transfer of Land in favour of Non-Adivasis

2597. MISS MABEL REBELLO: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government have suggested the Government of Orissa to issue ordinance to check transfer of land belonging to the Adivasis in favour of non-Adivasis;

(b) whether it is a fact that ten thousand cases are pending regarding such illegal transfer of land; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?