SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Can't the Central Government make a request to the State Governments to launch prosecutions in all the cases?

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is their duty. No direction is needed.

## Sub-leasing of Plot for construction of Hotel

\*42 SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRABORTY:† SHRI SYED SHAHA-BUDDIN:

Will the Minister of WORKS AND HOUSING be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a plot of Government land at the crossing of Janpath and Raisina Road in New Delhi which had been leased to the N.D.M.C. has beeen sub-leased by the latter to a private company for constructing a hotel;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that the N.D.M.C. was specifically barred from sub-leasing it and was expected to construct the hotel itself:
- (c) whether Government propose to cancel the lease to the N.D.M.C. for violation of the terms of the lease and to restrain the sub-leasee from proceeding with the construction; and
- (d) whether any tenders were called by the N.D.M.C. and on what terms the land has been sub-leased?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF WORKS AND HOUSING (SHRI MOHAMMED USMAN ARIF): (a) and (b) Government had allotted a hotel site measuring about 4.5 acres, at crossing of Janpath and Raisina Road to the NDMC for construction of a five-star hotel to meet the requirements of hotel accommodation Asian Games, 1982. The allotment envisages that the plot will be held initially on license basis by the NDMC

†The question was actually asked on the floor of the house by Shri Amarprosad Chakraborty.

under an agreement, and after the conditions of allotment are fulfilled a lease deed will be executed. Apart from the usual terms and conditions, the allotment is subject to the condition that the NDMC shall not sublease the land in favour of any other party but can make such ment for construction and running of the hotel as will not involve sublease of the plot. NDMC has reported that they have entered into a licence agreement with M/s. Pure (New Delhi) Ltd. for construction, furnishing and commissioning of a five-star hotel at this site.

- (c) Does not arise in view of fact under the terms of allotment, the NDMC can make such arrangements for construction and running of the hotel as will not involve subleasing of the plot.
- (d) The NDMC has reported that it invited by advertisements in daily newspapers on 6/7th February, 1981, reputed firms who were already running Five Star Hotels India or abroad to discuss possibilities collaboration for construction and running of hotel at this site response 17 parties contacted NDMC and ultimately 14 offers were ceived. The NDMC have accepted the offer of M/s. Pure Drinks (New Delhi) Ltd. for construction, furnishing and commissioning of a Five Star Hotel at this site at their own cost and on payment to NDMC of an annual licence fee of Rs. 2.68 crores or 23 per cent of the annual turnover of the hotel, whichever is more. The NDMC has entered into a licence agreement with M/s. Drinks (New Delhi) Ltd. The land has not been sub-leased.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: Mr. Chairman, Sir . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please be brief. I am sure that a number of questions will be asked and I can assure you that there is no gold medal, no silver medal ond no bronze medal.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: I have not opened mouth and these remarks are coming from the Chair.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am telling the whole lot.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: The Chair must remember that this lengthy reply was not supplied to us and also it was placed in the lobby. Sir, it is very difficult to appreciate and follow this lengthy reply. But one peculiar feature, if I follow correctly, is that the NDMC was given a licence but not a lease But, you will appreciate, Sir,how can a licensee give a licence to another company? Under what law can it be given? (Interruptions) The NDMC was given a licence for construction of a five-star hotel for the ASIAD games

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the information that you are asking?

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: He says that a licence My question is whether there was any lease or permission or condition for giving a sub-lease anybody. But he says that it only a licence given to the NDMC for the construction of a hotel for ASIAD games Now, the NDMC has given a licence to another private company to construct and look after the hotel. How a licencee can give a licence? Under what law? How has the Ministry overlooking this, allowed it without taking any steps so far?

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SNGH: Sir, there should not be any confusion. This is the process actually. For bodies like the NDMC which are statutory bodies, Government bodies, we always give preference and we give them the land on pre-determined rates. And in giving the plot of land, we follow the practice. We give then licene. We lay down the conditions. And one of the conditions that the NDMC or any body ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: It cannot sublease.

SHRI BHISMA NARAIN SINGH: It cannot be sub-leased. That is the firm condition. Finally, when they fulfil our conditions the lease is executed. And whatever conditions have laid down, the NDMC have accepted And according to the information I have got, no sub-lease has been done. And the main thrust the question is whether the sub-lease has been done or not. It has not been done.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: Sir, I hope, you have followed the answer. There is a difference between a Minister and another Minister. Now, Bhishma Narainji says that a lease has been entered into between the parties.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Chakraborty, you have not understood the answer.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: Sir, if I correctly understood, the first reply was that there was a licence And now he says that a lease has been entered into. very good. Was there any condition for sub-lease to a private company in the lease deed?

MR. CHAIRMAN: He said ...

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: If not, what steps the Government has taken?

MR CHAIRMAN: Let me tell you. He said that there has been a clause that it shall not be sub-let, and it has not been sub-let. Only a licence has been given.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: What is sub-lease and subletting?

MR. CHAIRMAN: The same thing.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: No, Sir. Lease and sub-lease is one thing. Licence is another thing And sub-letting another is thing.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Chakraborty, may I tell you that there is a standard question in law examinations: Distinguish between a lease and a licence. And on that depend ten marks.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: I am not arguing that...

SHRIYOGENDRA SHARMA: What about the remaining 90 marks? That is his question. (Interruptions).

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: Sir, the Minister was explanning the position.

SHRI SANKAR PRASAD MITRA: The whole position would be clear to us if the hon. Minister is good enough to read out to us the actual terms preventing sub-lease.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: They will not give.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, I have very categorically stated that one of the conditions is that the NDMC won't sub-lease and the NDMC has reported that no sub-lease has been given.

(Interruptions)

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: What are the terms?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, Shri Shahabuddin.

SHRI SADASHIV BAGAITKAR: Sir, would he lay a copy of the agreement or a copy of the terms and conditions on the Table of the House?

(Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Order please.

SHRI SADASHIV BAGAITKAR: Let him place the document on the Table of the House.

(Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Chakraborty, please sit down; you have asked the question.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: But he has not answered. (Interruptions) MR. CHAIRMAN: I do not know whom you are going to answer.

SHRI RAMESHWAR SINGH: Sir, ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Rameshwar Singh, please listen. Mr. Chakraborty is again asking a question. It is Mr. Shahabuddin who has his turn on the paper and everybody else is jumping up before.

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: श्रीमन्, मेरा कहना है कि इतना गम्भीर मामला है।

श्री जे० के० जैन : श्रीमन्, इनको वैठाइये।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: श्रीमन्, यह इतना गम्भीर मामला है इसलिए इस पर फूल डिसकशन किया जाए। यह मैं मांग करता हूं। कल एक मंत्री दूसरा बयान देता है और श्राज मंत्री दूसरा बयान है इसलिए यह मामला गम्भीर है और मांग करता हूं कि इस पर फुल डिसकशन होना चाहिए।

SHRI PILOO MODY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I would like to ask the Minister ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shahabuddin is asking the question. He is just behind you. (Interruptions). Mr. Shahabuddin, please restore peace by asking something.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Mr. Chairman, Sir, there is a combination of factors and circumstances because of which this particular transaction has attracted public notice. There is the combination of a choice plot of land has been given at a very low rent to a private party whose managing director happens to be a Congress (I) ruling party M.P. That is why this question is in the public eye.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Shame, shame.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Now there are two documents involved in this question. First the agreement between the Government and the NDMC and the Minister says that the final agreement has not yet been signed and what is there is only an allotment order and subsequently a formal lease shall be signed. Therefore, the nature of the transaction between the Government and the NDMC is a lease, which is very clear. The Minister has also admitted that in that document there is no provision for a sub-lease. Now, the second document is the agreement between the NDMC and this private party. We do not know what is the nature of this agreement. To us it appears, Sir, that if the NDMC is a lessee then this document is a sublease. The Minister says it is not; it is only a licence. Now, if a licence is licensing, then it is a sub-licence. All right. Now, the question is, as some Members have said, let the Minister produce these two documents and lay them on the Table of the House and we would satisfy ourselves. But, subject to that, let me ask him one question, namely, what the normal procedure in such NDMC have cases? Does the invite offers or tenders in such cases? As I understand, there was a public notice. The notice invited offers, not sealed bids or sealed tenders. Offers are subject to negotiations. Sealed tenders are confidential. Offers can be manipulated. Sealed tenders cannot be so easily manipulated. I would like to know Member why this from the hon. departure from the normal practice was resorted to. The circumstances That is why this are suspicious. question becomes very important. The second part of my question is that, to my mind, the original document gave the land to the NDMC for building a hotel. It could seek collaboration in running the hotel, not in constructing the hotel, not in parting with the ownership of the land or the ownership of the building constructed thereon. Under the agreement, it appears to me, Mr. Chairman, that the Government has wilfully

given the land to the NDMC and the NDMC has parted with a part of its right of ownership over this land and given it to a private party for constructing a hotel. I would like to know whether the NDMC shall own the hotel.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, at the very beginning, I would like to clarify the position. I would like to tell the hon. Member through you, Sir, that this Government is the most judicious Government. We do not favour anybody, whether they are Congress (I) MPs. or Opposition MPs. We always consider ...

(Interruptions)

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: फेवर किया हैं जानवूझ कर। श्रीमन्, मैं साबित करूंग इसको ...(व्यवधान)

श्री भीष्म नारायण सिंहः सुनने की क्षमता रखिए रामेश्वर बाबू। जब सवाल की जिए तब सुनने की भी क्षमता रखिए . . . (व्यवधान)

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: जो श्रापको पैसा देते हैं उनको देते हैं ... (व्यवधान)

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, neither we favour the Congress (I) MPs. nor the Opposition MPs. Again, neither we oppose the Congress (I) MPs. nor the Oppsition We always decide on merits. (Interruptions) I wanted to mention this because this point has been raised by my learned friend Shri Shahabuddin. I had to say this because he mentioned about a Congress (I) MP being favoured. There is no question of favouring anybody. We have taken this decision on merits and we have followed all the norms, procedures and so on which are laid down. Now, Sir, so far as the location is concerned-he has mentioned about the location (Interruptions) . . . Please have patience. I had patience to hear you. Unless hear my reply, how can your misgivings be removed? Please hear my reply. . #

First, he has mentioned about the location. This plot was earmarked in the Master Plan for the construction hotel. When the approached us for allotment of land for construction of a hotel, we had to give site to the NDMC. As have said, there is no question of lower or higher rent. There is a predetermined rate and this rate is fixed. This has been fixed at the time of the Janata Party rule in 1979, for this area. This rate has been fixed at Rs. 1800 per sq. yard. were so cautious, the Government was very cautious, about it from the money point of view, that we have charged Rs. 2400 per sq. yard from our own body like the NDMC, though the predetermined rate was Rs. 1800 per sq. yard. We were so cautious about it. Hence, there is favouring question  $\mathbf{of}$ anybody. (Interruptions) Let me reply to all your points.

Oral Answers

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: I did not ask you about the rent charged from the NDMC. I had asked about the rent which the NDMC is charging.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: You have mentioned about low rent also. That is why, I had to say this.

I am coming to your point about offers and tenders. Sir, I have seen the proceedings yesterday. You have observed from the Chair that offers are also tenders. This has been observed by the Chair yesterday.

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद मायुर: श्रीमन्, . . . (व्यवधान)

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: ग्राप सदन को गुमराह मत की जिए... (व्यवधान)

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Don't make it political. I would very humbly request you. I am furnishing the information. You are not ready to listen to it. I will be the last man to mislead the House. But I would also make a request to you not to make it a political issue. I will very humbly request you.

श्री जे० के० जैन: श्रीमन्, हमको मजबूर होना पड़ेगा खड़े होने के लिए। श्रापसे मेरा निवेदन है कि इन लोगों को काबू रिखए। हम बिल्कुल चुपके से बर्दाइत कर रहे है। कल से ये लोग प्रधास कर रहे हैं ऊधम मचाने का। श्राप इन लोगों को कन्द्रोल की जिए नहीं तो सभापित महोदय, मैं श्रापको बताना चाहता हूं कि श्रगर इन लोगों ने शरारत की ... (ब्यवधान)

श्री मनुभाई पटेल: इनको बाहर निकालें तब हम काबू करेंगे ...(व्यवधान)

श्री सभापति: मुझे तो यह मालूम होता है कि इधर भी वही ग्रौर उधर भी वही ग्रौ सुना है कि बराबर की टक्कर हुई ...(व्यवधान)

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: I want to reply to him. But nobody is ready to listen to me actually, Sir.

(Interruptions)

श्री सभापति: मेरा नाम जो ग्राया, उसका मैं एक्सप्लेन कर दूं। कल यह सवाल उठा था कि टेण्डर बुलाए यः नहीं बुलाए गयं। मैंने उस पर यह कहा कि ग्राफर जो है, तो वह भी एक टेण्डर होता है ग्रोर टेण्डर जो बुलाए जाते हैं, वे सील्ड टेण्डर्ज होते हैं। इसमें सील्ड टण्डर्ज नहीं बुलाए गयं, खुले ग्राफर्ज दिये गयं।

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: I am coming to the point. So, I wanted to explain the position. I want to furnish all information, but if you have patience then only you can get it. What has happened is, in the Akbar Hotel case, in 1971, the NDMC had asked for sealed tenders and they had a sad experience about that. Nothing could materialise. From that date, the NDMC have

19

20

reported to us that they have changed the policy for making the joint ventures convenient. Also this been published. Seventeen parties have been intimated and 14 parties made offers. So they changed the policy from that time and they are following this policy of inviting offers since then, as they have reported to us. Not only in this case, but previous to this also, the DDA in a similar case followed the same practice. If the same practice has been followed in this case, I do not know why there should be doubts. As doubt is being created, therefore, I want to remove this misgiving. Nothing unusual was done. They were following this practice and they have followed this practice in this case also.

If you want to know the conditions which we had laid down to the NDMC, I would read these.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: You can place these on the Table of the House.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Why place them on the Table? I will read them out. It will be on record. In just two minutes, I will read them out. These are:

- (i) The hotel should be commissioned by the NDMC sufficiently in time before the commencement of the Asian Games in November, 1982.
- (ii) The site will be allotted to them on payment of security at the rate of R<sub>3</sub>. 2,400 (that I have already mentioned) per sq. yd. and F.A.R. of 1.5. The rate will be increased proportionately in case the FAR is increased subsequently.
- (iii) NDMC has been allowed the option to pay the security either in lümpsum, or in five equal annual instalments. In case the payment is made in instalments, the NDMC shall pay an interest at 11.85 per cent on the outstanding amount with 2 per cent additional interest on the entire amount outstanding in case there is default in payment of any instalment.

- (iv) NDMC will not sub-lease the land—it is very clear; the main thrust of the question is about this—in favour of any other party but can make such arrangements for construction and running of the hotel as will not involve sub-lease of the plot.
- (v) NDMC shall pay for structures standing on the land at replacement cost minus depreciation in addition to the cost of special repairs carried out during the preceding one year.

MR. CHAIRMAN Mr. Minister, will you read the last but one condition again but slowly?

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, you want the last but one condition. That means the fourth condition. It is:

NDMC will not sub-lease the land in favour of any other party but can make such arrangements for construction and running of the hotel as will not involve sub-lease of the plot.

Then I have already read condition. No. (v).

- (vi) The hotel should be constructed according to the standards to be laid down by the Department of Tourism.
- (vii) NDMC shall give a written undertaking that they will commission the hotel before the commencement of the Asian Games in November 1982.

These are the conditions.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: You have not answered my question. I asked you whether the hotel shall be owned by the NDMC or the private party. I have asked you about the terms of agreement between the NDMC and the private party.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: That also I want to bring to the notice of the House through you, Sir. These are the conditions which the NDMC

have reported to us. They have given the licence to the Pure Drinks Ltd., New Delhi because, according to them, they have given the highest offec. And the conditions are like this:

Oral Answers

(i) The licence will be for period of 99 years. No. 2: The terms of licence will be renewable after every 33 years and the annual guaranteed amount can be increased up to 100 per cent. (Interruptions). Number three is... (Interruptions).

SHRI PILOO MODY: Would please repeat that? We did not hear

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Please keep your colleagues quiet. How can I read otherwise?

SHRI PILOO MODY: I have already quietened them and only then I am asking you to repeat it.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: I am ready to furnish all the information.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am trying to gather the legal import of these conditions myself, but let us listen them.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: This is a licence. Sir, you have been a Chief Justice and about licences you know beyter than I.

MR. CHAIRMAN: If you want me to explain the implications of a licence I will do so, but I am not in the House to do this.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: They have given the licence and the licence will be for a period of 99 years. Number 2: The terms of the licence deed are renewable after every 33 years and the annual guaranteed amount can be increased up to per cent. (Interruptions). Please hear the conditions. These are the important conditions. The party has been granted moratorium for the 1982-83 in order to facilitate the construction of the said hotel expeditiously. The licence fee payable for this period will be recovered in ten half-

yearly instalments commencing from the date of the licence, the fee falling due in the year 1984. So, these are the important conditions laid down by the NDMC.

SHRT RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE: What is the licence fee? (Interruptions).

SHRI PILOO MODY: I would like to ask a question.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: They wanted to know about the licence fee. If you see the original reply, you will find that the NDMC has entered into an agreement with Pure Drinks wherein annually it is Rs. 2.68 crores.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Or 23 per cent, whichever is more.

SHRI AMARPROSAD CHAKRA-BORTY: It is a fraud on the document, Sir,

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, such things are said and I am sorry that anything can be said. I am furnishing all the information. The hon. Member is a very senior Member and I have all respect for him.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think if we camly go about this...

SHRI PILOO MODY: I have been very calm, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I do not know, but whenever this Pure Drinks comes, it seems to have more energy in it than anything else. Now I will ask Mr. Kulkarni to put his question.

(Interruption's)

SHRIMATI PURABI MUKHO-PADHYAY: Sir, from the beginning I have been raising my hand.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Kulkarni.

SHRI J. K. JAIN: Sir, all the people on that side  $\dots$ 

MR. CHAIRMAN: One and one. I am coming. There are 15 minutes left. Please go on, Mr. Kulkarni. Be verv quick.

(Interruptions)

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL. KARNI: Sir, the hon. Minister has read out the terms and conditions and he has provided certain information, but, out of that he made one reference to the Janata Government that they had already fixed this rent at Rs. 2,400. But that was on the basis that the hotel is owned by the NDMC. Now, about the major deviation, Mr. Minister, please do not try to take Janata Government's name. Whatever Janata Government was there, it has already gone. Now I am asking him whether this hotel... (Interruptions). You have no rules, Last time you accepted the position. No rules have been framed. That is why this is a misuse of executive power.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Abuse.

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: And I want to know whether it is a fact that a Congress(I) MP...

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the fact?

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: I want to know whether it is a fact that the near-about places in Connaught Place fetch Rs. 10 crores per acre. Even in Nehru Place it is nothing less than Rs. one crore per acre. This land worth Rs. 60 croies has been gifted to the Pure Drinks or Charanjit Singh, whatever it is. allege a definite involvement of corruption at the Government level. I want to assert it. And I want to know whether you have taken action whereby this country has lost Rs. 60 crores worth of assets, on which the interest charges even at 11 per cent which you are charging comes to ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kulkaini, you are exceeding the limits of the question asked. If you want, give this in the newspapers, you can send your article there. We are here to ask for information.

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: Sir, I am asking for the information. And for your information I am asking a specific question. This land itself is worth Rs. 60 crores by an average standard of the price at which land is sold in that area. Is it not a fact that if he would not have been a Congress (I) MP and if he would not have greased the palm of the authorities and the political party, this land would not have been parted? I think the Minister owes an explanation to the country whether he is not obliging a Congress(I) Member. Many proclaimed absconders are therein your party. So what is the fact?

MR. CHAIRMAN: You must bring everything in.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, I refute all the charges which have been levelled by Kulkarniji. It is not a fact. He is making all baseless charges and I refute them. I respect him, but he is imputing motives.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I must say... (Interruptions). Just a minute, Mr. Chakraborty. I think Mr. Kulkarni has missed a portion of the answer, that there were 14 persons who offered and they offered less than Rs. 2.68 crores. If it were Rs. 68 crores, I think they were all big fools. (Interruptions). You see the answer and then ask questions.

SHRIMATI PURABI MUKHO-PADHYAY: Mr. Chairman, you should not interpret.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, for the benefit of the House ... (Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: I want to draw your attention to the answer given. If you want, he will lay on the Table the offers which had been received.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Sir, we are concerned with the price of land in the neighbourhood because that is a crucial point. Let the Minister say what the price of land in the vicinity of that area is and on that basis what its market value should be.

MR. CHAIRMAN: If a straight question had been asked by him just as you are asking, it would have elicited a reply. But he is asking . . .

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Sir, after all, he gathered it from newspaper report and asked whether it is a fact that the price of land in the vicinity of the area is so much.

Oral Answers

MR. CHAIRMAN: Let them ask that way. Why bring in a Congress MP and all the rest of it?

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: I want to know whether it is a fact that land near about fetching Rs. 10 crores per acre. so, on what pretext was this passed on to the Pure Drinks? Please tell us that.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, this land was not passed on to the Pure Drinks. You are a very senior Member.

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: You are allowing him to make money.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Not at all. I emphatically deny all the charges. Sir, the position is like this. I had referred to the Janata Government not to malign it or to do anything against it. Actually, what is the pactice? (Interruptions) Nobody can listen. I never charged the Janata Government. I never maligned the Janata Government. Whatever I said ...

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: You are fanatically denying, not emphatically denying.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: He will not listen. I had patience to hear him, but he has no patience to listen to me. How can I help it? I did not say anything against Janata Party. I say, every two years almost, Sir, according to the prevalent rate nearby, as Advaniji is mentioning, the auction rate nearby. Government determines the rate.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is called the index.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Therefore, actually two years ago-

if you want I can give the date also when the Janata Government determined the rate ...

श्री रामेश्वर तिह: जनता गवर्नमेंट से क्या मनलब है?

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: It was the 1st of April, 1979. that area where this plot is situated, it was only Rs. 1,800 per sq. yd. and we had given it at Rs. 2,400 per sq. yd. If they say it is a favour to anybody, how will I be able to convince this House? If this is an example of favouritism, you are the best judge to decide.

Secondly, Sir, about the price that area, it has been said... (Interruptions) I have to reply to Kulkarniji. Kulkarniji, I want to give you this information through the hon. The position is this. Chairman. Take the example of the NDMC. It has gone into an arrangement with the Pure Drinks. They had given the highest offer. Annually Rs. 2.68 crords or .... (Interruptions) Just please hear. This is for every year. Can you imagine this? This is not sold to anybody. Every year they will be getting either Rs. 2.68 crores or 23 per cent of the turn-over, whichever is more.

SHRI MANUBHAI PATEL: How is it related to the price of the land round about? What about the price of the land round about? You are going into the terms.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Please try to listen to me. You will understand. This is how it is done. We give it to a government body. We had never given it to a private party. This is the position. Please try to understand it. Then you will be able to appreciate it.

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: यह हाउस की मिसगाइड करना चाहते हैं।

SHRI MANUBHAI PATEL: do you give it through the NDMC? Why not direct?

SHRI PILOO MODY: I thought you are a man of your word. You said, "Mr. Ku!karni first", then me and then you will go round. Now are changing what you said earlier.

CHAIRMAN: Ι am not MR. changing. I never change like that.

SHRI PILOO MODY: What is the new procedure?

MR. CHAIRMAN: You are visible to me quite well. Do not worry.

RAFIQ ZAKARIA: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I agree with Mr. Kulkarni that if there was an outright sale, this land might have fetched Rs. 60 crores. What I would like to know from the Minister how they have fixed the licence fees of Rs. 2.68 crores. And you know. Sir, it has been qualified as...

MR. CHAIRMAN: It was an offer.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: ... 2.68 crores of 23 per cent of gross income. I would like to know what the other serious offers and by whom they were made. Were they fictitious parties or were they serious parties? Again, Sir, I would like to know from the Minister whether any writ petitions in this connecton have been made by the interested parties either to the High Court or the Supreme Court on the ground that any partiality has been shown in this connection. If the answers to all these questions could be given that giving land to a local authority for augmentation of its resources has been one of the recommendations of the Committee for the Augmentation of Resources for Urban Bodies, of which, I humbly submit, was the Chairman. And this is one of the ways in which the local bodies have to augment their resources.

In this case the industrialist happens to be a Congress (I) M.P. would like to know from the Minister or from you, Sir, whether there is any ban for such industrialists simply because they belong to the ruling party and no ban if they belong to Mr. Kulkarni's party.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Your important question has got lost.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, I have already said...(Interruptions)

ARVIND GANESH KUJ -SHRI KARNI: Stop this sycophancy.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: I have already said that the NDMC ...

(Interruptions)

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: Sir, you must prevent Mr. Kulkarni from using such expressions. As he is doing his duty, so we on this side have got to do our duty. If any such aspersion of sycophancy is again made, we will see to it that Mr. Kulkarni is never allowed to speak in the House. What is this?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Zakaria, I did not hear the word.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: I have my point of view. They have their point of view.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I was paying attention to you because you were asking the question. If people interject from this side or that side. I have not got much divided attention.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: But you should not give indulgence to such Members.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is not right, Mr. Kulkarni, to interject like this. (Interruptions) I will have the record read: I will have the record brought to me.

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: You may remove whatever you like, I do not mind. (Interruptions)

SHRI PILOO MODY: It is not an unparliamentary word. I am totally against this idea of tampering with the record. Whether a thing is nice or bad, let it go on record and let the world judge what sort of Members we have over here. It must not be tampered with except for those expressions which are strictly unparliamentary, of which "sycophancy" is not one.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: One of the rules ... (Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Just a minute.

SHRI ARVIND GANESH KUL-KARNI: I had not taken his name. It is not unparliamentary. (*Interrup*tions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: I said, I was not paying attention to Mr. Kulkarni. I did not hear what he said. I will have the record brought to me.

(Interruptions)

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: If he has spoken without your permission, it should not go on record.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: The hon. Member, Dr. Zakaria, wanted to know how they had decided in favour of Pure Drinks Limited. I have already said, Sir, that they have given the highest offer. On that basis, it was decided.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: What were the other offers?

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: If you want the names ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Read out the names.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: The names of other serious offers.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The other names are coming out.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: I will just read out the names. You will see that they were also important parties, and this is not unfair at all.

- 1. I.T.C. Limited.
- 2. Tara Hotels Limited.
- 3. East India Hotels Ltd.
- 4. Maharaja.....

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: सागर सुरी का भी नाम बताइये। इनका नाम क्यों नहीं बता रहे हैं ? करोड़ों रुप्या लिया है इनसे । ..... (व्यवधान)

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Sir, they are not interested in hearing the reply. They are politicalising it. I will have to say this. They are not interested in hearing the reply. This is the difficulty. How did Sagar Suri come in? I was just reading out, and Rameshwar Babu had this information about Sagar Suri! How can I reply? Then,

- Maharaja Swai Bhawani Singh of Jaipur.
  - 5. Pure Drinks Ltd.
  - 6. Ambassador Hotel, Bombay.
  - 7. Indian Hotels Company Ltd.
  - 8. Shriram Fibres Ltd.
  - 9. Sudarshan Group of Hotels.
- 10. Hotel Ambassador, Delhi.
- 11. Glaxy International Hotels Ltd.
- 12. Mohan Hotels Ltd.
- 13. Mohindra Ugine Steel Co., Ltd.
- 14. Mohinder Singh, Janpath, New Delhi.

These fourteen people made offers. Then, Sir, Dr. Zakaria wanted to know whether somebody has gone to the High Court or the Supreme Court or not. Yes, it is a fact. One party had gone to the High Court. It was dismissed. The writ was dismissed in limine. Then they had gone to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court also dismissed it in limine. This is the position.

श्री राशेश्वर सिंह: इसमें आप लोगों ने पांच करोड़ रुपये लिये हैं ...(व्यवधान)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Mody, yours will be the last question.

SHRI PILOO MODY: I would like to know from the Minister when he knows that NDMC is not a hotel operation at all ...

SHRI J. K. JAIN: Even the Supreme Court has dismissed it. So sit down.

SHRI PILOO MODY: ... Why it is that the Government goes on giving these leases to NDMC, when they do

32

not operate hotels themselves. What is the point in introducing a middleman when they could ask for direct tenders from people who are interested in building hotels? ...

(Interruptions)

SHRI J. K. JAIN: Even the Supreme Court has dismissed it. So,...

SHRI PILOO MODY: I don't accept answers from all and sundry. I want the answer from the Minister.

I want to know why this procedure is adopted, apart from the frivolous reason that has been given by Dr. Zakaria that he presided over the company ...

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: I object to it ... (Interruptions) It is for the augmentation of urban resources. How can he be so irresponsible? Being a leader of the opposition on the other side, it is a matter of regret ...

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: I shall give the name of the party which had gone to the High Court and the Supreme Court ...

SHRI PILOO MODY: First answer my question.

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: The name of the party which had gone to the High Court and the Supreme Court is European Research & Supply. It had gone to the Supreme Court also and it was dismissed in limine.

SHRI PILOO MODY: What about an answer to my question?

SHRI J. K. JAIN: Does the party which went to the High Court and the Supreme Court belong to Mr. Piloo Mody? Mr. Chairman, let him say. Does that party belong to the Janata Party or Mr. Piloo Mody?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Jain, I cannot ask a Member to supply information. The Question Hour is for Members to ask for information from the Ministry. Do you want to reverse the whole thing? We have got other work also ...

SHRI PILOO MODY: I am still waiting for a reply to my question, Mr. Minister.

MEMBERS. SOME HON. Mr. Chairman, the Question Hour is over ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: But it takes only a minute to reply, Mr. Minister. So, what is your reply?

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH: Dr. Zakaria has already stated just now what the recommendations are. These bodies go in for joint ventures, and there is nothing wrong in going in for joint ventures.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now the Question Hour is over.

I think these drinks will have to be bottled up some day.

## WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUES-TIONS

Complaints regarding sale price and Distribution of Maida and Suji in Delhi

\*43. SHRI ERA SEZHIYAN: SHRI MANUBHAI PATEL:

Will the Minister of AGRICUL-TURE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have received complaints regarding the sale price and distribution of maida and suji in Delhi:
- (b) whether there has been any control on the sale price and distribution of maida and suji; and
- (c) what are the reasons for withdrawal of an order for taking over distribution of maida by Government?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICUL-TURE AND RURAL RECONSTRUC-TION ANDIRRIGATION AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI BIRENDRA SINGH): (a) No, Sir, No specfic complaints have been received from the consumers in the recent past in this behalf.