Already the Rajya Sabha Members are there on that Committee.

Enquire

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR (Uttar Pradesh): That is another one, that, is, the Visva-Bharati Bill.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: That is what I have said. The Lok Sabha was dissolved and the Members from the Lok Sabha could not be there. The better course for the Government is to keep whatever quota is there from the Rajya Sabha and you can induct the Lok Sabha Members who have newly come. I say this because, Sir, there is no convention. When the Rajya Sabha Members are already there, how are you going to renominate again another set of Members? What is the reason that is there in the Government's mind? Is it that they do not like the present Members or that they want to induct their own Members. Members from the Treasury Benches?

SHRI SITARAM KESRI: Although I do not commit myself on your suggestion, I must say that I wi'l consider your suggestion. I do not commit myself; I don't do it. But still I can assure you that your suggestions I shall keep in mind.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: Thank you.

RESOLUTION RE APPOINTMENT OF COMMISSION TO INQUIRE INTO THE INSTITUTIONAL FIN-ANCES GRANTED TO INDUS-TRIES AND CONTROL EXERCIS. ED BY THE LENDING INSTITU-TIONS—Contd.

SHRI SANKAR GHOSE (West Bengal): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, the honourable Member, Shri Kalvan Roy, has brought a very important question before this House.

[The Vice-Chairman, (Shri A. G. kulkarni) in the Chair].

He has raised the question about the entire credit policy of the Government. The Ministries concerned with

regard to this matter are the Ministry of Industry, the Ministry of Finance and the Ministry of Company Affairs. Now, I am glad to see that in the absence of the Minister of Finance, the Minister of Communications is ably representing the Ministry of Finance and in the absence of the Minister of Company Affairs the Minister of Information and Broadcasting is representing the Ministry of Company Affairs in the House.

THE MINISTER OF COMMUNI-CATIONS (SHRI C. M. STEPHEN): We both are in charge of communication.

SHRI SANKAR GHOSE: Yes; both of you are in charge of communication. And what has happened to the communication between the management of the sick industries and the financial institutions? It has failed and, therefore, it is good to see that the Minister of Communications has come to rectify the defect. Now, Sir, so far as the credit policy of the Government is concerned . . .

SHRI KALYAN ROY: I can assure vou that the Information and Broadcasting Minister is here and he will see to it that whatever is said here is completely blacked out from the All India Radio.

SHRI SADASIV BAGAITKAR (Maharashtra): Is he here for that purpose?

SHRI SANKAR GHOSE: Will the honourable Minister give an assurance to Mr. Kalyan Roy in this connection?

THE MINISTER OF INFORMA-TION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI V. P. SATHE): I am the Minister for information collecting and broadcast-

SHRI SANKAR GHOSE: So far as the credit policy and the Government's control over this policy are concerned, during the last three years or so, in the Consultative Committee attached to the Ministry of Finance. myself and the present Industry

[Shri Sankar Ghose]

Minister, Mr. Chanana, raised many questions. So, the Minister is, to some extent, familiar with these matters and, so, it is now a question of implementing those things.

SHRI SADASIV BAGAITKAR: He is more than—familiar with it.

SHRI SANKAR GHOSE: Yes, he is more than familiar. We had raised with Mr. H. M. Patel and then with Mr. Charan Singh many of the things that Mr. Kalyan Roy has raised. Being familiar with them, I think the Industry Minister will be in a better position to implement the suggestions we made and he himself made.

SHRI LADLI MOHAN NIGAM (Madhya Pradesh): He will make a positive commitment today.

SHRI SANKAR GHOSE: Now, so far as the resources of the various industries, sick or otherwise, are concerned, generally 75 per cent of the resources comes from the public institutions and banks, and 25 per cent from sundry creditors and, actually, the industrialists are not investing these days. There is no anything risk investment by them. But they are taking the money of the Government and the public institutions. Therefore, the question of control by the Government over these industries is very important. More than two thousand crores are in the sick industries including the National Corporation. Therefore, a mechanism has to be found by which the Government can have greater control and would be able to find out the causes of sickness and to remove the causes of sickness. Now, we cannot control it merely if the balance-sheets are given to the Company Affairs Department at the end of the year or later. That is no monitoring and that is no control. The banks are not really exercising much control. Reserve Bank itself is complaining that the banks are not controlling, that sickness is taking place. Though the bulk of the funds are

controlled from the Government banks, in many cases the Directors of sick industries and other industriesappointed by banks-go there unprepared. They do not take any real interest, and they do not control or give any guidance. There is no viability scheme. There is no rehabilitation programme. Nothing is formulated. Therefore, some remedial measures should be taken. Shri Kalyan Roy quoted facts and figures. I do not want to go into them. I only want to make certain suggestions.

So far as the Directors are concerned-Directors appointed by pubfinancial institutions. We must make them accountable to the Government so that they really take an interest in these industries and give guidance. They cannot say that they are only two or three Directors and that the majority of the Directors are of the private sector, because more than Rs. 2000 crores are involved it is essential that they exercise proper control. They are sleeping Directors. They are retired Government emplovees or bureaucrats. A managerial cadre must be created. We cannot run a dynamic industrial economy unless we have the right people. It is not a question of IAS or ICS or bureaucrats. The people who know modern industry, who know modern technology, must be there. We would like the Government to have a clear policy and a programme so that a managerial cadre is formed who will really function effectively in the Board of Directors of these industries, sick or otherwise, who will be accountable and who will pull up industries, prepare viability schemes, prepare rehabilitation programmes, and so on.

Only the other day, the Minister, Mr. Chanana, I think, made a speech at the Annual General Meeting of the Engineering Association. He said that 50 per cent of the units have technology which is obsolete. If the technology is obsolete, then naturally they will become sick; they will not be

able to make profit. If 50 per cent of their technology is obsolete, then what is the Government doing? What are the Government Directors doing? It is good to see that Ministers are making these complaints. But it would be better to see that they remove the causes of these complaints. And they have power to do so.

Now, what is happening? After the nationalisation of banks in July, 1969, 85 per cent of the banking resources came in the public sector. After the nationalisation of-six banks recently, another 6 per cent of banking resources came to the public sector. Therefore, about 91 per cent of the banking funds have come to the publice sector. And more than 75 per cent of the capital of these industries is taken from banks and term lending institutions. Now, there have been proposals that the loans will, to some extent, be converted into equity, so that the Government will have a stake in these industries and it will purchase shares through these loans and also have control over the man. agement and the Board of Directors.

Now, what is happening? Public funds are taken. Then these industries are squeezed. Profits are taken away and diverted. There is inefficient management. There is misappropriation of funds. And then they just pass on the buck to the Government. But the Government is in a position to have control over the financial resources, to have management control, and to have Directorsnot sleeping Directors and bureaucrats but dynamic, modern Directors, who understand the modern economy. What is happening? Now, our credit policy is a part of our general planning and a part of our economic policy. What is happening in public sector? Last year, there has been no growth. Some people say that the growth will be 1.2 per cent. Some people say that it will be zero rate of growth. If all this money has come from the banks and financial institutions of the Government and they have the power of control, then why is this happening? Is it that no industry is making profit? If you see the figures, you find that in spite of shortage of coal, the transport bottle. necks and the power crisis, a large number of industries are making profits. But the industries which are necessary for the development of the country are not making profit. If you look at the figures, you find that even last year there has been a good rate of growth in the air-conditioning industry, in the refrigeration industry. in the bear industry, in the cigarette industry and in the polyster industry. But in cement, the growth has been 25 per cent less than the target. In steel the growth has been 25 per cent less than the target. In aluminium, the growth has been 25 per cent less than the target. In nitrogenous fertilisers, the growth has been 18 per cent less. What is our credit policy and how are these inlustries running in spite of power shortage, transport bottlenecks, coal shortage, etc. The industries-the refrigeration industry, the air-conditioning industry beer industry, cigarette industry and polyster industry-are making profits. There is no reason really that those industries which are producing goods for the national interest, consumer goods for mass consumption, should make losses in spite of the bottlenecks and difficulties we have in the coal, power and transport sec-And the second second

Therefore, if we have a credit policy, we can see that credit is given to those industries which will help in really developing the economy and will not produce merely elitist goods. The question that has been raised in this Resolution is control over entire credit policy and unless our credit policy is devetailed with Planning policy and our general economic policy and unless we see the loans that we give really go deserving industries, all our planning will become distorted and the distortions will grow.

Shri Sankar Ghose]

Now, so far as our credits policy is concerned, as the Government control over the commanding heights of financial institutions, we have to that credit goes more to the priority sector. I understand that the target has been raised to 40 per cent. Forty per cent of credit will go to the priority sector by 1985. It is not a very big target because already more than 30 per cent credit is going to the priority sector. But how do you ensure even this moderate target to be achieved if you do not monitor, if you do not have proper Directors or if your Directors are sleeping Directors. If you do not convert your loan into equity or share so that you get better control over the management, you cannot do this. Now, Sir, so far the loans given to the priority sector are concerned, it is a part of policy that the loans should be given more to industries which produce mass consumption goods and also loans will be given more to industries which will help employment or which are labour intensive industries. But, Sir, what is happening? If you make an analysis of the credit that goes to the small-scale industries, you find that even in the case of smallscale industries, the bulk of the credit will go to the larger industries in the small-scale sector, and the minimum credit goes to the small industries in the small-scale sector. That is again distorting the priorities. Those who have a credit limit of Rs. 10,000 the small-scale sector get only 10 per cent of the credit. But those with 20 per more than Rs. 10 lakhs get cent of the credit. Thefore, what is the control by the Government over the priorities? Even in the priority sectors, there are sectors which of more labour-intensive and employment oriented. Therefore, the Government has to look into this aspect.

Sir, the next question that arises is Before the nationalisation the banks were mainly traders. were not developmental organs. They were giving loans against the security

of goods. Now, the purpose of nationalisation was to increase development, increase growth and also to justice, justice as between persons and justice as between regions-inter personal justice and inter-regional justice. Now, the loans that are given are still going to larger industries and to more affluent sections. Unless there is a policy of proper and proper surveillance, this development strategy, mingled with justice, economic development mingled with economic justice will not take place. Even the other day the Governor of the Reserve Bank regretted that the banks are not having control over the finances that they are giving to different industries.

Now, Sir, what is happening is this. We are finding that still a large proportion of the bank loans is going for the purpose of inventory building by persons and for speculation. One of the major purposes of our credit policy should be not only development but it should also be anti-inflationary. But we are still finding that the bank loans are going to traders, speculators and hoarders. And if we get the figures of the inventories that they have built up it wil show clearly that speculators are getting bank loans. They want to build up inventories for the purpose of selling at a profit and to make a gain out of the rising price situation. The loans should not be meant to help hoarding or speculation or help the traders to make money without any manufac-That cannot be the purpose of Government policy. But in spite of the generally accepted national policy, in spite of the warning of this Reserve Bank, thing taking place. And have got the figures of the inventories that they are allowed to build I do not want to quote the figures but I say that there are large For example, sums in inventories in 1976-77, the figure was Rs. crores. And in 1977-78, the inventories went up by 4.6 per cent. Therefore, there is a growth in the bank

loans given for the purpose of building up inventories.

Another thing is happening our credit policy which is the most unfortunate thing, an unprecedented thing for a developing country. When we started on the path of development, our savings rate was poor. Now we have reached a stage where, for various reasons, we have a very high rate of saving, unprecendented in the third-world countries. national product the gross savings rate is 24 per cent. so we have a very high rate of growth of savings. The Planning Commission has said this and the eminent economist, Prof. Raj has said that has been a dramatic increase in the rate of saving. But in spite of our control over the banking institutions, in spite of nationalisation, we not in a position to invest Now the purpose of credit savings. policy is to increase development and we cannot have development because sometimes we do not have money. But India is in this paradox that we have got the money but we are not in a position to What control doe_s vest. Government have over these banks? When investment is falling short of the real savings, we have this infla-The best remedy against inflation, in the long run is to increase production and the increase in production requires larger investment. We have real savings to ensure that large investment, but we are not in a position to invest, there is a shortfall between our savings and Then what control do investment we have over the financial tions and term-lending institutions? When we have got this money why cannot we give it so that it can be invested?

Sir, with regard to these investments we have to see that we do not change our nationally accepted priorities about economic justice. suggested Tandon Committee had that the big houses may be given more loans and the ceiling limit be raised from Rs. 20 crores to Rs.

crores. When we are finding that small industries are not getting the required credit, agricultue getting adequate credit, in the ority sector targets are not being achieved, to the elitist industries only credit is given, the mass sumption industries are not credit, then I hope that the Government will not reverse the nationally accepted policies and will not more credit to larger houses or only to capital intensive industries. Greater credit has to be given to labour intensive industries.

At one time the Government the awareness that a proper credit policy could not be had unless there was a package credit policy, namely, that you could not give credit small scale industry unless you could also give them consultancy service, unless you could also give them training facilities, unless you could also give them certain marketing facili-Merely giving credit to small scale industry, without a package programme of giving them also consultancy, training and marketing facilities, would not be able to achieve the desired result.

This Resolution, which Mr. Kalyan Rov has brought forward, is of vital concern to the country. I say Minister knows it because he had also cried himself hoarse in the Consultative Committee meetings and that this credit was not going in proper directions. Credit is not being utilised for combating anti-inflationary activities. It is still being given for speculative purposes. Credit being given to larger houses and not to the priority sector and small scale industries. There is no proper control or monitoring cell. There is no proper managerial cadre. Therefore, I hope that the Government will give due consideration to all these things. Otherwise we shall lose the bettle against inflation. We will lose the war against poverty if we have a wrong and faulty credit policy which is not integrated with our planning policy and our national economic Thank you.

4 P.M.

Enquire

श्री शिव चन्द्र झा (बिहार) : उप-सभाध्यक्ष जी, यह जो प्रस्ताव है कल्याण राय जी का यह बहुत सिम्पल प्रस्ताव है। इसको मानने में सरकार को कोई हिचकिचाहट नहीं होनी चाहिये । इस प्रस्ताव में कहा गया है कि बैंक से जो पैसा दिया गया है ऐसे उद्योगों को जो सिक हैं, जो खत्म हो गये हैं, उस पैंसे का सही इस्तेमाल नहीं होता है। मौटे तौर पर बैंकों से ऐसे उद्योगों को बढ़ाने के लिये पैसा दिया जाता है लेकिन उद्योग को न बढ़ा कर उस पैसे का दुरुपयोग किया गया है भ्रीर कर रहे हैं। इस प्रस्ताव में यह है कि नेशनलाइज बैंकों से, सरकारी बैंकों से जो पैसे दिये जाते हैं उनका ठीक से इस्तेमाल होता है या नहीं प्राइवेट सैक्टर में इसकी जांच होनी चाहिये श्रीर इसकी जांच के लिये एक कमेटी बहाल की जानी चाहिये। वह कमेटी पालियामेंट के सदस्यों की कमेटी बने । यह प्रस्ताव बिल्कुल साफ है ग्रौर मंत्री महोदय को इसको मानने के लिये कोई एतराज नहीं होना चाहिये। सरकार चाहती है कि हमारे देश में उद्योग बढ़ें। हमारा देश बहुत ग्रनडेवलप रहा है। श्राजादी के बाद हम यह उम्मीद कर रहे थे कि हमारे देश में उद्योगों का तेजी से विकास होगा । पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने इस गाड़ी को काफी भागे बढाया और देश का भौद्योगि-करण करने का एक दर्शन दिया। यह कहा गया कि हमारे देश में पब्लिक सेक्टर श्रीर प्राइवेट सेक्टर दोनों का विकास किया जाना चाहिए। कुछ क्षतों में प्राइवेट सेक्टर को स्रागे बढ़ते रहने की बात कही गई । इस देश में प्राइवेट सेक्टर भी ग्रपना काम करता रहा श्रीर सरकार जो मदद उसको दे सकती थी वह देती रही । हमारा मुख्य उद्देश्य देश का श्रौद्योगिकरण करना है । इसी बात को महे नजर रख कर हमारे देश में योजनाएं बनाई गई । इसके साथ-साथ हमारे देश में गाइवेट सेक्टर में जो उद्योग हैं उनको भी आगे ्ढ़ाने की बात कही गई है। इस प्रकार के श्राइवेट सेक्टर के उद्योगों के पास अगर पैसा

नहीं है या वे सिक हैं तो उनको मदद देने की बात ग्रौर उनको मोड़ेनाइज करने की बात भी कही गई। जिन उद्योगों के पास श्रपनी पूंजी नहीं थी उनको ग्रपना विस्तार करने के लिए पंजी भी देने की बात कही गई भौर सरकार की तरफ से उनको मदद भी दी गई हमारे देश की ग्रर्थ व्यवस्था में सुधार करने के लिए यह भी कहा गया कि जनता का जो पैसा बैंकों में जमा है : उसका इस्तेमाल उद्योग-घन्धे स्थापित करने में किया जाय ह इसी दुष्टिकोण से हमारे देश की स्रौद्योगिक नीति चलती रही । स्रापको याद होगा, पहले हमारे देश में लाइफ इंश्योरेन्स का राष्ट्रीयकरण किया गया । इसके बाद इस दिशा में कुछ स्रौर भी कदम उठाये गये। 14 चैंकों का राष्ट्रीयकरण किया गया ताकि देश की ग्रर्थ व्यवस्था के सुधार के लिए बैंकों से सरकार ग्रासानी से पैसा ले सके ग्रौर सही ढंग से काम आगे बढ़ सके और जो उद्योग देश में बढ़ नहीं रहे हैं उनको भ्रागे बढ़ाया जा सके । इस प्रकार का हमारा दर्शन रहा।

into Institutional

Finances etc.

ग्रब सवाल यह ग्राता है कि जो पैसा प्राइबेट सेक्टर को उद्योगों के विकास के लिए दिया गया, क्या वह सही दिशा में उपयोग में लाया गया ? हम देखते हैं कि हमारे देश का जितना ग्रौद्योगिक विकास होना चाहिए उतना नहीं हुन्ना है। किसी भी उद्योग या बैंक का राष्ट्रीयकरण करने का मतलब यही होता है कि जनता का जो पैसा है उसका सही रूप में इस्तेमाल हो। राष्ट्रीयकरण के पीछे बुनियादी दर्शन यही होता है कि देश के श्रन्दर समाज कल्याण के काम किये जायें। जब 14 बैंकों का राष्ट्रीयकरण हम्रा तो यह स्रामा की जाती थी कि देश के स्राधिक विकास के लिए, उद्योगों के विकास के लिए पैसा क्रेडिट के तौर पर दिया जाएगा । यह रूपया व्यक्ति को भी दिया जा सकता है। चौथी लोक सभा में मिनिस्टी ग्राफ फाइनेन्स की श्रौर प्लानिंग कमीशन की कंसलटेटिव कमेटियों में हमने इस बात को उठाया था और कहा था कि जिस व्यक्ति के पास सिक्योरिटी के लिए न तो जमीन है, न ग्रपना कोई घर है, उनको भी रुपया उधार दिया जाय । ऐसे लोगों के पास सिर्फ ग्रपना शरीर होता है ग्रीर वे मेहनत करना चाहते हैं, ग्रपना कोई धन्धा करना चाहते हैं। हमने यह कहा था कि ऐसे लोगों को भी बैंकों से ऋण दिया जाना चाहिए । हमने जब यह प्रश्न किया कि जो सिक्योरिटीलैस लोग हैं, जिनके पास कोई साधन नहीं हैं, क्या म्राप उनको भी पैसा देंगे ? हमें बताया गया कि भ्रभी सरकार के पास इस प्रकार का कोई सोच नहीं है कि सिक्योरिटीलैंस लोगों को भी बैंकों से पैसा दिया जाये । मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि हमारा दर्शन यह होना चाहिए कि ग्रगर कोई व्यक्ति मेहनत करना चाहता है उनको भी बैंकों से मदद दी जानी चाहिए । ग्रभी प्रस्तावक महोदय ने बताया है कि वहत से उद्योग ऐसे हैं जो हमारे देश में सिक उद्योगों की श्रेणी में स्राते हैं। उनको जो पैसा मिला है उसको भी वे देना नहीं चाहते हैं। इस प्रकार की स्थिति हमारे देश में चल रही है। यह जो है यह जनता के पैसों का दृष्पयोग है। उस पैसे का सही इस्तेमाल हो, उससे देश का श्रौद्योगिकरण हो, उससे देश की जो ग्रर्थ व्यवस्था है वह उन्नत हो, क्या श्राप इसको पसन्द नहीं करेंगे ? मैं नहीं समझता कि कोई भी शुभिंचतक यह नहीं चाहेता कि हमारी भ्रार्थिक जगत में रफतार तेज न हो, हमारा विकास तेज न हो श्रौर श्रौद्योगिकरण की गति तेज न हो। वह वही चाहेगा कि हमारी जो ग्रोथ है, हमारा जो लक्ष्य है, स्टेट श्राफ प्लेन्टी है वह ग्रपनी मंजिल पर पहुंचे श्रीर हम सेल्फ डिपेन्डेन्ट श्रीर सेल्फ रिलाइन्स हों यह तो है यह हमारा पहला आदर्श होना चाहिए । प्रस्ताव में पहली बात यह है ।

Re. Commission to

Enquire

दूसरी बात ग्राती है कि क्या ग्राप चाहते हैं कि इस पर कुठाराघात हो। प्रस्ताव में बिल्कुल साफ है। मैं इस बात को फिर दोहराना चाहता हूं कि ग्राजादी के बाद क्या हुग्रा। ग्राजादी के बाद यहां पूंजीवाद का **ਜ਼**ੈ; क्योंकि विकास हम्रा

Finances etc. योजनायें हैं उनकी खामियों के म्रन्दर नही जाते हैं, जांच नहीं करते हैं। ग्रापके पैसों को कन्ट्रोल करने की व्यवस्था ठीक नहीं है। उस पैसे का दूरुपयोग होता है स्रीर जनता का जो पैसा है वह जनता के कार्य में नहीं लगाया जाता है ग्रीर उससे कोई विकास का कार्य भी नहीं होगा। उस पैसे का फायदा किसको होता है? मिल मालिकों को, उद्योगपतियों को उसका फायदा मिलता है। जो पंजीपति हैं, जो प्रोफिटियर्स हैं वे ही इस पैसे का फायदा उठाते हैं । दूसरे शब्दों में उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, जो अर्थ व्यवस्था की बनावट है वह कैपिटलिस्ट इकानामी जिसे कहते हैं वह है । नेशनलाइजेशन के लिये कदम उठाये जाते हैं परन्तु वे कदम इस तरह के नहीं उठायें जाते हैं कि जिससे आभ जनता की उन्नति हो । यह हथियार या इन्स्टमेन्ट जो है वह कैपिटलिस्ट के विकास का कारण बन जाता है। श्राजादी के बाद इस देश में ऐसा ही हुआ। श्राजादी योजनाम्रों में बावजद बाद पंचवर्षीय योजनाम्रों के ग्रच्छे उद्देश्य होने के, बावजद हमारी ग्रच्छी भावनायें होने के इनमें इम्प्लीमेंन्टेशन का काम ठीक से नहीं हुग्रा। साथ ही साथ जो थोड़ा बहुत विकास हमारा हुआ है, उद्योग बढ़े, विकास हम्रा मधिकतर फायदा प्राइवेट एन्टरप्राइजेज का हुन्ना ग्रीर ग्राम जनता के लिये ठीक से उसका इस्तेमाल नहीं हो पाया। नतीजा यह हुआ है कि धीरे-धीरे पूंजीवाद, कैपिटलिज्म बढता गया। भारत की म्रर्थव्यवस्थ। कंपिटलिस्ट इकानामी की तरफ बढती गई ग्रौर सोशलिज्म जो हमारा लक्ष्य था उसकी ग्रीर हम न बढ पाये। इन पंचवर्षीय योजनाम्रों के बाद देश में पूंजीवाद बढता गया। इसकी सबसे बड़ी खराबी यह हुई कि ग्रापका पैसा, जनता का पैसा जनता के इस्तेमाल के लिये नहीं हुन्ना। प्रोफिटियर्स ग्रौर मुनाफाखोरों को इससे फायदा हम्रा। यह सब इसमें हुश्रा ।

ं [श्री शिव चन्द्र झा]

Enquire

🦥 स्रभी छः बैंकों का फिर राष्ट्रीयकरण हम्रा है। इस म्राशय का एक विधेयक भा रहा है लोक सभा में । यहां भी ग्रायेगा ग्रौर हम उस पर चर्चा करेंगे। में कहना चाहता हूं कि इन छः ही बैंकों का राष्ट्रीयकरण क्यों किया जा रहा है में पूछना चाहता है कि क्या सरकार में वह ताकत नहीं है, हिम्मत नहीं है जिससे कि वह जितने भी देशो और विदेशी बैंक हैं, जितनी ऐसी संस्थाये हैं, जहां पैसे का खजाना है, जो पैसे का केन्द्र है उन सबों पर समाज का अधिकार हो। क्या प्रधान मंत्री श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी में यह हिम्मत नहीं कि जितने देशी विदेशी बैंक हैं उन सबों पर सरकार समाज का कब्जा कर ले ताकि उस पैसे का इस्ते-माल देश की अर्थव्यवस्था के विकास के लिये ग्रौर जनता के स्टैंडर्ड को बढाने के लिये हो। स्राज हमारे देश की स्राधी माबादी पावटीं लाइन से नीचे है। इस बारे में म्रांकड़े हैं परन्तु में उनको दोहराना नहीं चाहता। मैं चाहता हं कि सारे जितने बैंक देशी और विदेशी बैंक उन सबका राष्ट्रीयकरण ग्राप कर नें. दूसरे जो इस देश के स्रौद्योगिक घराने हैं, जो पैसा लेते हैं श्रीर जिनमें सारे देश का आर्थिक तंत्र केद्रित हो गया है--कु ब लोगों में --- पह निविवाद फैक्ट है, यह हकी-कत है कि 75 मोनोपाली हाउसेज या श्रौद्योगिक घराने हमारे देश में ऐसे हैं, जिनके हाथों में सारी अर्थ व्यवस्था है। इनमें 20 श्रौद्योगिक घराने बड़े हैं श्रौर उन 20 के अपर . .

SHRI V. B. RAJU: (Andhra Pradesh) Sir on a point of order. There are hardly half a dozen people in the House. It will not be dignified to address the House when there hardly five to six Members. though the convention is that

should not insist upon quorum I would suggest that the quorum bell must be rung.

into Institutional

Finances etc.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI A. G. KULKARNI): Is the hon. Member insisting on quorum?

SHRI V. B. RAJU: I have already said that although there is no convention, but on a non-official particularly, it is does not seem to be

VICE-CHAIRMAN A. G. KULARNI): My difficulty is. the hon. Member will appreciate that if I ask for ringing the quorum bell and if nobody turns up, I will have to adjourn the House till 11.00 A.M. on Monday, but there are two or three more items still to be taken up. In this House I think there is no convention of quorum. I would, therefore, request you to please bear with

SHRI V. B. RAJU: I am not sisting.

SHRI P. RAAMURTI (Tamil Nadu); I would suggest smebody goes out and gets some people.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: All right, will go out and get some people.

श्री शिव च द्वा हा : तो उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं कह रहा था कि सारी भ्रर्थ-व्यवस्था कुछ ही लोगों के हाथों में केन्द्रित होती जा रही है। 75 मोनोपोली हाउसेज हैं। 20 इसमें बड़े-बड़े हैं। दो बड़े मगर-मच्छ टाटा ग्रौर बिङ्ला बैठे हए हैं। सारी मर्थ -व्यवस्था इन्हीं के हाथों में शिक्जे में सिक्ड़ती जा रही है। नतीजा इसका यह होता है कि बावजूद बाहर के साइन-बोर्ड के कि सोशलिस्ट पेटर्न ग्राफ सोसाइटी है, लेकिन इन्ही के हाथों में पूंजी केन्द्रित होती जा रही है। इन्होंने क्या किया? एक वक्त था कि गरीबी हटाम्रो का नारा श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी जी ने दिया था। लेकिन गरीबी नहीं हटी। ग्रब परिस्थिति यह म्रा रही है कि गरीबों को ही हटाया जा रहा है। यह चीजें बड़ती गईं जिससे गुंजी-वाद विकसित पूजीवाद होता गया। पहली योजना के बाद दूसरी योजना स्रोई, लेकिन पूंजीबाद विकसित होता चला गया इतना ही नहीं, यह पूंजीवाद फिर फाइनेंस कंपिटलिज्म, वित्तीय पूंजीवाद हो गया। इसके वे ही लक्षण हैं जो लक्षण दूसरे पूंजीवादी देशों में थे। चाहे ग्रम्रीका हो, चाहे इंग्जैण्ड हो, चाहे कान्स हो वह वहां पर भी इसी तरह से वित्तीय पूंजी-वाद ग्राया । मैं यह कह देना चाहता हूं कि भारतीय पूंजीवाद भी वित्तीय पूंजी-वाद में बदल गया है। वित्तीय पूंजीवाद क्या होता है ?

विकसित इलाकों की पूंजी भागती है हायर रेट आफ प्रोफिट के लिये पूंजी **त्र**विकसित इलाकों में भागती है। मंत्री महोवय, जरा ध्यान दें। ग्रापको तो ग्रर्थ-व्यवस्था से मुहब्बत है। ग्राप तो इसका विकास करना चाहते हैं। तो भारतीय पूंजीवाद भी बदल रहा है। जिस तरह डवलप्ड एरिया में पूंजी भागती है हायर रेट ग्राफ प्रोफिट के लिये ग्रंडर डवलप्ड कंट्रीज में भागती है। जब रेट स्राफ प्रोफिट डिक्लाइन करता है तो ग्रन-डवलप्ड एरिया में पूंजी भागती है। ग्रंग्रेजी पूंजी हिन्द्स्तान में ग्राई, ग्रमरीकी पूंजी एशिया में है। हायर रेट ग्राफ प्रोफिट को प्राप्त करने के करण पूंजीवाद सम्प्राज्यवाद का रूप ले रहा है। म्रापकी पूजी कीनिया में, थाइलैंग्ड में, श्रफीका में तथा दूसरी जगहों पर लगी हुई है। इस तरह से पूंजीपति जब यह देखता है यहाँ प्रोफिट नहीं हो रहा है तो वह दूसरी तरफ भागता है। म्राप जरा बताइये कि म्रापकी पूंजी हायर रेट ग्राफ प्रोफिट के लिये भागती है या नहीं भागती है। सरकारी लेबर की बात ब्राती है, मैं उसकी बात नहीं लेता । लेकिन प्राइवेट एंटरप्राइजेज को ग्राप प्रोत्साहन देते हैं, टाटा, बिड़ला को प्रोत्साहन देते हैं। मैंने देखा है आप भी वही धन्धा करते हैं को ग्रमरीक साम्प्राज्यवाद करता है। ब्रिटिश पूजीवाद करता है, फ्रैंच करता है। कहने का मलतब है कि भले ही ऊपर से म्रावरण म्राउट ल्क सोशियलिस्टिकपैटर्न का हो लेकिन भारतीय पुंजीवाद साम्प्राज्यवाद के रूप में काम कर रहा है। ये खराबियां नहीं होनी चाहियें। म्राप जानते हैं, हम जानते हैं, सारा देश जानता है कि हमारे देश की अधी आ-बादी दरिद्रता की रेखा के नीचे है ग्रौर सारे देश में अनएम्प्लायमेंट है, बेकारी है। ऐसी ग्रवस्था में तकादा हो जाता है कि हम सब इसकी जाँच करें कि सब कहाँ जा रहा है। इस बारे में बोस क्षमेटी ग्रादि कई कमेटियां बनीं कि यह थोड़ा बहत जो विकास ही रहा है यह जा कहां रहा है तो पता लगा कि ग्रामदनी कुछ लोगों के हाथ में जा रही है। ये ही जो सिक उद्योग है जो पैसे विकास के नाम म्रापके फोइनेंशियल इंस्टीट्यूशन से ले लेते हैं। लेकिन ये विकास न करके उसका दुरायोग करते हैं, दूसरा अन-प्रोजिक्टव रूप में इस्तेमाल करते हैं। यह बड़े दु:ख की बात है। क्या म्राप इसको पसंद करेंगे बदौस्त करेंगे कि टाटा, बिड़ला ग्रापका पैसा लेकर उसका दुरु-पयोग करें। इस लिये ग्राज यह लीजिमी हो जाता है कि सारे देश की जो सम्पत्ति है उसका सोचकर उपयोग किया जाय। ग्राप में सोचने की कमी है। बजट श्रा रहा है भ्राप फिर टैक्स लगायेंगे। डेफि-सिट फाइनेंसिंग, दूसरा रास्ता या तीसरी

श्रिः शिव चन्द्र झा

रास्ता ग्राप इस्तेमाल करते हैं । ग्रापको हाथ फैलाना होता है इंग्लैण्ड के सामने, श्रमरीका के सामने श्रीर दूसरे देश के सामने फिर म्राप ब्याज देते हैं, कितना देते हैं। जो कर्ज लिया है बाहर से उस पर कितना रेट ग्राफ इंटरेस्ट दते है । ग्रापको खद ही पता हैं, देना पड़ता है, भ्रापकों यह जो ग्रापकी हालत है यह क्यों होती है जब ग्रापके पास पावर है, सब रिसोर्सज हैं तो ग्राप क्यों नहीं उनका ठीक से इस्तेमाल करते हैं (Time bell rings) दो मिनट में खत्म कर देता हू। जो आपका पैसा है वह तो है ही, लेकिन प्रर्थ-व्यवस्था में, इनकम में डिस्पैरिटी है, कितने का डिफरेन्स है, विनिमय श्रीर मैनिसमम में श्रीर एक दस का है वेजेज में इसको कम करके ग्राप इसको मोबिलाईज नहीं कर सकते हैं। डाक्टर लोहिया के ग्रांकडे है कि ब्रापकों एक हजार करोड़ रुपये मजे में मिलेंगे यदि ग्राप इन्कम पर सीशिंग लगा देते हैं एक दस के अन्यात में एक अमे-रिक्षन इकनामिस्ट की रिसर्च है कि भ्राप पाँच सौ करोड रुपये बचा सकते हैं, यदि ग्रापकड़ाई कर सकते हैं तो फिर श्रापका दो संसे तीन सौ करोड़ रुपया टैक्स इवेजन में जाता है यदि म्राप मस्तैदी से सख्ती से उनको वसूल कर सकते हैं, तो 1820 करोड़ रुपया जो हजार करोड़ इपया ग्रापको मर्जे में ग्रा सकता है। इसी फेयरवर्क में यदि स्राप थोड़ी मुस्तैदी श्रीर दुढता दिखायें जैसे इमरजेंसी में तो श्रापने बड़ी दढता के साथ सबको हम लोगों को बंद कर दिया था, केन्द्र से लेकर जिले तक सबको बंद कर दिया था ग्र∴र सब जिसे कहते है कि निकल नहीं पाते थे कोई इधर उधर नहीं चल सकता था, यदि उसी मस्तैदी से ग्राप इन मुनाफ खोरों को छरा दिखायेंगे और जो खराबियाँ भ्रापके पैसे के दुरुपयोग से होती है उनको ग्राप

बंद करेंगे. भ्रपनी ईमानदारी से जिसे मैं कहता हूं कि ठीक से दर्शायेंगे तो फिर खशहाली की मंजिल की स्रोर मजे से बढते चले जायेंगे यह प्रस्ताव इसी के लिये है कोई बड़ा ग्रास्किंग फार द मून जिस कहते है वेबेचारे कल्याण राय, ही इज नाट श्रास्किंग फार द मृन। वे एक पालियामेंटरी कमेटी बनाना चाहते हैं, वे चाहते है कि हमारं पैसे का जो गैर-जिम्मेदार इस्तेमाल होता है, उसकी जाँच हो ग्रार उसको कन्ट्रोल करने की व्यवस्था करें यह श्राप् मान लें। मैं इसका समर्थन भरता हुं ग्रर मैं समझता हं कि हमारी जो ग्रर्थन्यवस्था है उसका यह विकास करेगा।

into Institutional

Finances etc.

DR. BHAI MAHAVIR: Mr. Vice-Chairman Sir, the question of industrial sickness is growing to threatening proportions and one can even say that it is baffling solution up till now. The efforts that have been made to tackle it have been guided partly by compassionate considerations—the fear of the workers losing jobs in large numbers and the danger of industrial plants remaining idle. Despite these very laudable considerations, Sir, is rather unfortunate that we do not seem to have been able to come grips with the problem so much so that even the dimensions of the problem are not quite clearly known. whether there are 280-odd units 334-odd units which have the credit limit of Rs. one crore or more and are in the sick list, and whether there are 8,000 units or more in the decentralised industry which qualify to be termed as sick, it does not make much material difference, when it is conceded that the problem is large enough to call for a very serious consideration and perhaps a nation-wide debate before it can be tackled earnestness. Not only this. There are various types of industries and also units belonging to various types of production, various industrial units including engineering, textiles, chemicals, etc. so much so that the

217 Enquire

estimated loss of output because of sickness is something Rs. 2,920 crores every year, the loss on account of Excise duty is something more than Rs. 430 crores and there is another loss of Rs. 320 crores of Government revenues because of this loss of production. This, Sir, is a situation which could have tolerated temporarily if the remedies undertaken to solve the problem were showing signs of success or proving effective. It appears that this sickness is increasing. It is perhaps worsening in every single unit. What is more baffling is that even in case of those units where a lot money is being pumped in and Directors representing various financial institutions of the Government nominated-despite their sence—the results are satisfactory only in very rare cases. Normally the cases show rather disappointing results. Where do we go here? The question, therefore. mains: what do we do about increasing sickness of our industry? So much is the pressure of this that the orgainsation which was set for nursing the sick units, the IRCI (the Industrial Reconstruction poration of India) has proved ineffective. Recently, in an article appearing in the newspapers it was described that the nurse who had been de. puted to look after the patients herself had fallen ill and was in need of first-aid or some sort of medication.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: Medication or meditation?

DR. BHAI MAHAVIR: Meditation perhaps for policy-makthe and medication for the The I.R.C.I. organisation itself. set up in 1971 has grown in vertical fashion. They say that the number of units which it had taken under its umbrella in 1974 continues to be the same, but the amount of money put in goes on increasing. And do not know where this is going to end up in. The bureaucratic inefficiency also has been pointed out in the case of the IRCI It is said that

till today they do not have published accounts of the actual utilisation of funds which have been released by it to various sick units nor does it have any published accounts of variance analysis of the performance visa-vis revival programmes. they give some money or allot some funds, naturally, they do it according to some plan of expected performance. Now to what extent that expected performance has proved correct to what extent results have been achieved according to expectation and to what extent there has been variance in actual performance from what was expected. There should be an analysis of these and also clear picture before us of how much money has been given to which units and how they have utilised funds. If this particular unit οf agencies is not able financing give accounts or statements on these two aspects of its working, the doubt remains that bureaucratic handling of this institution itself needs a deal of reform.

into Institutional

Finances etc.

Sir. you keep on pouring money whether it is through the Industrial Development Bank or through IRCI or through the commercial banks which are now the nationalis. ed banks. We do not have an endless scope of funds. If one were to listen to the spokesmen of the nationalised banks according to them, the banks themselves are in trouble because of the decisions regarding loans taken on political grounds. Those decisions, when I say, "on political grounds", are not necessarily wrong. When we for example, decide that 40 per cent or more than 40 per cent has to go to the priority sector, it is a verylaudable objective, and, perhaps, it necessary that the fruits of industrial development, economic development. should be made available to the common people, the small man, the under-privileged persons and the priority sectors have to be defined according to that. We also have to take in to consideration the need for expanding the job opportunities.

I had an occasion to refer to the hon. Minister the fact that today whole taxing pattern, the system of our duties, is whole biased in favour of more fixed more informed. capital being vestment being made in plants and machinery, and a person, an entrepreneur, who spends more on creating more jobs, does not get any rebate or any encouragment or any incentive, but a person who puts money big plant and imports or a semi_automatic an automatic plant from somewhere or the other, gets all the incentives for encouraging him. We have to change these priorities or we have to adjust these priorities or incentives to fall line with the needs of the country's economic growth, and in that, as everybody knows, and it is being repeatedly said, that the emphasis has to be today on creating more job opportunities. But that is one thing.

The banks give loans. The IRCI gives loans. The Industrial Development Bank and other organisations give loans. But where does that money come from? That money is the money collected by these from the common people. As Kalyan Roy was repeatedly saying, it is our money, it is my money it is money, it is the money of the small man, the farmer. the labourer, the worker. These banks are also like the Life Insurance Corporation. The Government has control of practically the whole of savings which the country raises. If these savings are not utilised properly, it is not merely the banks that are going to lose, but it is going to end up in a debacle in our whole planning and in our whole developmental effort.

Sir, th other day, I noticed a statement by the Executive Director the Central Bank, saying that all the nationalised banks would have gone into deep red in the last financial year itself. He says that they would be going into the deep red except for the foreign business that they are doing and because of some useful novel operations. Now, what these novel operations were, he not spell out. But he did say that because of the structure of the bank. ing that we have, because of the interest rates that we have and cause of the type of loans that they have to give, the internal business which the banks are to operate, the nationalised banks are threatening to go into deep red. If this is the danger to these large banks, we cannot expect much better things from the six banks which have been recently added to the nationalised sector. Practically the whole banking industry will be on a skeleton type of existence. This is the danger for the banking industry and, therefore, we should be very careful in spending whatever limited resources we have.

into Institutional

Finances etc.

Sir, why do these sick units appear? Why is there sickness? It is a vast problem and I would not like even to try to swim through the whole ocean. But I can only say that private industrial units complain against the capital market being dry. against there being restrictions on intra-corporate investment and the fact that invitations for public deposits are restricted and the advances from banks which are today governed by the Tandon Committee's recommendations being unrealistic. They say that these causes are at the root of industrial units getting sick. But I would like to add that it is partly also because of the obsolescence of the machinery, the machinery being out of date. And sometimes they sick because of factors which are beyond their control like power breakdowns, shortage of power supply and labour unrest.

So when we come to face this problem, we have to analyse first of all what particular causes are there the various sick units and a study has to be made whether a particular unit is viable at all. If it is viable, then we should not try to cling to a corpse, to a body which has no

future because by trying to save and by trying to prolong its existence, we will be pouring down more money into the drain merely for the purpose of keeping some people employed. I remember that the Chief Minister of Kerala the other day made a statement to the effect that even if their industrial units were closed down and the workers were their wages through Money Order at their homes even then probably the State Government would be a gainer because they would not be losing the extra money which they were losing in those concerns. That apart, there can be a situation where we may be trying to give jobs to some people or keep them employed whereas by closing down those units, the money can be transferred to better production methods and more jobs can be created. So to start with, viability has to be determined. If viability is there, the next question is, why did it fall sick? Did it fall sick because of reasons which lav in mismanagement fraudulent practices, people were behaving in a manner in which they were betraying the trust of the shareholders and the workers? For example, my friend mentioned the Dalmia Dadri cement factory. From 1973 the Government has been dealing with it. There was an enquiry in 1973. So many irregularities and objectionable things were discovered. But no action was taken. In 1976 the working of the plant came to a standstill. At the end of 1976, a reconstruction plan was evolved in which the Government of India. the Government of Haryana, the State Bank of Patiala and the IRCI participated. One representative from each was taken and two former directors continued. But in spite of this, the loot went on and the thing ended up with something like Rs. 3 crores of piling up against Ramakrishna Dalmia or whoever it was the sole selling agent of cement.

Enquire

SHRI KALYAN ROY: Even after the Board of Directors of the IRCI was reconstituted the same people were kept.

DR. BHAI MAHAVIR: That is what I am saving. Not all of them-at least two of them were there. Then there were the Government representatives. And it remains a mystery to us what the Government representatives were doing when this type daring daylight robbery was going on. Today the workers are running from pillar to post seeking help and unable to get any assurance of their jobs being secured for them. the question therefore, is this . . . (Time bell rings) I am finishing in a minute or two.

If it is a case like this, when it was Janata Government we spoke to the then Industry Minister, Mr. George Fernandes, twice or thrice and he said, there is no scope left for raw materials are exhausted, and all that. If it is a fact, well, let some experts go into it and let them decide that this particular plant cannot be revieved. Why keep on pouring money down the drain? Why keep on permitting people who just sharks to loot these units at the cost of the workers and the exchequer? Let this be stopped. If there

are fraudulent practices, then that management should have nothing to do with the reconstructed business. If they were no dishonest then, of course, it is a different matter: you can give a loan, give proper financial aid, but at the same ensure proper monitoring. Such proper monitoring was not done in this case, as is very obvious. Unless we do this proper modiforing, we would people not be doing justice to the whose money is being given to them.

And thirdly, if the management is inefficient, not dishonest but inefficient, there is no need to let that management continue, there is no need to let them have anything to do with reconstructed business. Let the whole thing be given to some new management or give it through auction. If it is taken over by a new management, the shareholders also may get something and we may save ourselves 0.00154 T

[Dr. Bhai Mahavir]

from the danger of unnecessary bureaucratization also. I am not particularly fond of the Government taking over everything. The Government has already too much more on its hands than it can chew or swallow even now, and if it goes on adding to itself more and more sick units it will only be draining the exchequer and increasing the hurden on the tax-payer. I am therefore not particularly fond that. οf would only say that the Government's approach should be to make the industry, to make the sick unit stand on its own feet as soon as it could be, and then let some new management, somebody else, take over. I would even welcome workers being allowed to run the unit; in fact, I was talk. ing to Mr. Kalyan Roy: why not the units, the sick units, be given over to the workers to run as a corporate undertaking, a cooperative undertaking or a collective undertaking? Let this experiment be tried. It will be some thing new which we will achieve in this country. In either case the Government should try to unburden itself, recover the lent money and use that money for reviving other units. This is, therefore, by way of recommendations that I would say.

In the end I would add that the problem is too vast to be disposed of satisfactorily in a short debate like this. I, therefore, support my friend, Mr. Kalyan Roy's Resolution that a commission be appointed to go into the whole problem, all its ramifications, and suggest remedies which could help the Government and the country save its limited resources and sort out the problem of industrial sickness.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: The Resolution that my friend, Mr. Kalyan Roy, has moved covers a number of subjects, not only the subject of sick units but also the entire investment policies and practices pursued by the financial institutions in this country.

Finances etc. We are dealing with a situation in this country where capitalist development has been topsy-turvy, the tire development of capitalism in this country ever since independence has been of a topsy-turvy character. You remember the English proverb "Honesty is the best policy". They not say "Honesty is the best virtue." They said "Honesty is the best policy". It was typical of the daily competitive capitalism in the 18th century when they had to fight against feudal exploitation and when the Industrial Revolution had taken place. that period of competitive capitalism before capitalism grew into monopoly capital, the more honest you were the more custom you get, therefore more profit you made. Therefore, the proverb came "Honesty is the in policy". But, today. the which conditions in We are for the capitalists working. this country, honesty is not the best policy, but this honesty is the best policy. This is the situation in which we are working. The more dishonest are, the more money they able to make. As far are capital investment is coninvestment of the cerned the entrepreneur is very little in the He hardly invests about industry. ten lakhs. The financial institutions and he enters into him up back some foreign collaboration with country and their machines are made available and that investment is also here. Now, whatever capital he has invested, he is able to recover through the commission that he gets on the machinery that he purchases. Therefore, with no investment whatsoever, he is able to control the entire industry and the reason for most of the industries being closed down today is that there has been a total mismanagement and there have been fraudulent transactions. This is the position. Most of them are due to this only. I am not talking of every one of them. This is the position with most of the industries. How are we going to remedy it? That is the main problem that we are concerned with.

Obviously when the financial institutions play a major part in the investment of capital resources in this country, something is fundamentally wrong with the method of financing and with the method of investment. There is something fundamentally wrong with the various policies being pursued by the banking institutions and institutions. I do not want to go into all those things because of the short time at my disposal. Now, we also know that despite Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru's talking at that time of taking over the commanding heights and that it was not necessary to take over everything, but the commanding heights only could be taken over the commanding heights are the public financial institutions because you have got the financial resources with them in this country and despite the fact that the Government has got the bulk of the investible finances in this country, the fact remains that it has led to the development of monopoly capital and big monopoly houses and all these things have happened. Therefore, it is obvious that there is something fundamentally wrong with the policies that are pursued by the financial institutions which have led to a queer industrial and economic development in this country. It is very obvious. Therefor, I do not want to go into all the details of the question. It is obvious and it is patent. It is patent that these people are incapable of managing the economy of this country. All these 30 years we have experimented with them and they have proved that they are totally incapable of managing the economy of this country. In their hands the economy of the country is not safe. This has been proved, and how to remedy this is the problem now. I am not suggesting the remedy now because that is not the scope of the resolution. This Resolution calls for the appointment of a committee so that the whole question can be gone into in its entire gamut and remedies could be suggested. How it can be done is a different question. But some remedial action can be suggested and that is the scope of this Resolution. In this connection, I will deal with one aspect of it and that one aspect is this: Some of the biggest financial institutions of this country have got tremendous resources and they are using their resources for speculative purposes. Take, for example, the Life Insurance Corporation of India. The Life Insurance Corporation of India has got tremendous funds. But what is the purpose for which they are using them? If the shares of a particular big business house falls in the share markets, in order to boost the shares of that house, they go and buy up the shares! Is it an investment? Buying up the shares of the Tata or the DCM is an investment? Are you investing anything in any productive thing? Are you investing for productive purposes? Are you investing the money that you have got for productive purposes? It is not for productive purposes that you are investing the money. The moment the LIC goes to buy up the shares of a sick industry, the sagging shares in the market, immediately within a short time, the shares shoot up and then they make a profit, not the LIC, but the other people. They make a profit. So this kind of speculative investment is being indulged in by the biggest financial institutions in this country, namely, the Life Insurance Corporation of India. Obviously, when the LIC was created, the intention was not—at any rate, from the speeches that were made at the time when the LIC was created, when the insurance business was nationalised—that the investible surplus gathered through th_{e} insurance business in this country should be used for speculative purposes But the intention was that this fund must be made available for productive purposes. There was a specific statement made at that time. But today, after so many years, we find that the [Dr. Bhai Mahabir]

Enquire

Life Insurance Corporation of India. Management is using it mainly for speculative purposes. Now, how to put an end to it? I am making a suggestion here. We need not go into it. I would like to say that the LIC should not be allowed to purchase shares in the share market, and if the investible surpluses can be handed over to the Government or a financial institution named by the Government and it can be converted into bonds, the Government of India can give them the bank rate of interest, so that the LIC sharesholder do not lose anything, so that they do not indulge in these malpractices. These finances that are made available to the Government of India can be directly invested for creating new productive assets. For example, today, suppose the country is suffering from an acute shortage of cement. How to get over it? Today if the LIC funds are made available to the Government, these funds can be directly invested by the Government for creating new cement industries. Today, India makes cement machines. The machinery need not be imported from other countries. If necessary, the existing cement machine making industry can be expanded. Money can be advanced for that, so that immediately a big expansion of the cement industry can take place. I can name so many things like that, so many other types of industries, which are affecting the entire economic growth of this country. This can be accelerated. I am talking of this within the existing framework. Within the existing framework, some method can be found. If speculative investment can be stopped and the investible surpluses that are available can be utilised for productive investment, for investment for productive purposes, certainly it will give a big boost to the economic activity of this country. This is what I would like to impress upon.

As far as the sick industry is concerned, we know that there are many industries in which we have

poured money and in which the same Directors are appointed. We have found that within two years they have swallowed that money also. For example, take the example of Birmingham Karnatak Mills or Mohini Mills. Several crores of rupees were given by the Government. Within two years, several crores also were swallowed. Take Udyog Mandal of Kanpur. Ultimately, you have to take them over. This is a very big question. I do not want to deal with it. I am making a specific suggestion. The Finance Ministry can take it up straightway; the Government of India should make a policy statement, that as far as the LIC is concerned, the LIC should not indulge in speculative dealings in the share market. The entire surpluses must be made available to the Government who will issue bnds to the LIC and they should promise them the bank rate which will also vary from time to time according to the varying bank rate prevailing. If the bank rate increases, that will also be increased, so that the policy-holders need not suffer. The policy-holders will not suffer and the country will be benefited by this. This is the specific suggestion I would like to make. My friend, Mr. Chanana does not deal with the subject, but I would like him to convey this to the Finance Minister so that the Minister takes it up and put it before the Cabinet, so that money becomes immediately available and this can be utilised for productive investment for the benefit of the economy of this country.

Thank you.

श्री रामानन्द यादव (बिहार) : उप-सभाध्यक्ष जी, बैंकों के माध्यम से सिक इंडस्ट्रीज को कारगर बनाने के लिये पैसे दिये जाते हैं। मैं उन श्रांकड़ों में नहीं जाना चाहता कि श्रब तक हिन्द्स्तान में बैंकों के माध्यम से सिक इंडस्ट्रीज को कितना पैसा दिया गया। ग्राप जानते हैं कि पब्लिक इंस्टीट्यूशंस के पैसा की

इस देश के प्जीपतियों द्वारा बड़े पुँमाने पर आज लुट हो रही हैं। इस लुट के पीछे ग्रौर इस षडयन्त्र के पीछे तीन शिवतयां हैं। उनका समर्थन उनको इसमें मिला हम्रा है। इनमें एक तो वे लोग हैं जो बैंकों के मालिक हैं ग्रीर दूसरे बैंकों के मुलाजिम हैं, वे भी इसमें मिले हुए हैं। इस देश में जो पंजीपति हैं उनका नम्बर दूसरा है ग्रीर तीसरे नम्बर पर बड़े-बड़े सरकारी मुलाजिम म्राते हैं। ये तीनों मिल कर पब्लिक इस्टिटयशन्स के पैसे का दुरुपयोग करते हैं।

NARASINGHA SHRI PRASAD NANDA (Orissa): I am on a point of order, It is not on what Mr. Ramanand Yadav is saying This Resolution moved by Mr. Kalyan Roy essentially involves the credit policy. We have only the Minister of State for Idustries here. Nobody from the Finance Ministry is here. You must be noticing from the trend of the debate that of the Members are concerned with the credit policy of the Government. It is the Finance Ministry which is concerned with it. What will the poor Minister of Industries do?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI A. G. KULKARNI): He is not poor. Also, there is not point of order.

श्री रामानन्द यादव : श्रीमन्, मैं यह कह रहा था कि इस तरह से इस देश की गाढी कमाई के पैसे का इस देश के कुछ लोगों के द्वारा म्रपव्यय किया जा रहा है। सिक इडस्ट्री के नाम पर पैसा बरबाद किया जा रहा है।

NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA: At least you can direct the Finance Minister to be present.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI A. G. KULKARNI): I will look into it.

श्री रामानन्द यादव: श्रीमन, जब इस देश के पंजीपति भ्रपनी मिलों का पूरी तरह से शोषण कर लेते हैं श्रौर गलत ढंग से इसका उपयोग कर लेते हैं तो फिर वह मिल सिक

Finances etc. मिल हो जाती है और फिर वे सरकार के पास पैसा मांगने के लिए जाते हैं। बैंकों की वह जिम्मेदारी होती है कि वह उद्योगों को या मिल को पैसा दे। इस प्रकार से एक बहुत बड़ी कांसपिरेसी के माध्यम से इस देश के पंजीपति ग्रीर बैंकों के बड़े-बड़े ग्रधिकारी श्रोर कर्मचारी बिना किसी रूलरेगलेशन के श्रीर बिना किसी एक्जामिनेशन के श्रीर श्रन्य कोई काइटेरिया फोलो किये रुपया दे देते हैं। मिल की वायबेलिटी को भी नहीं देखा जाता है। इस प्रकार के कार्यों में सभी लोगों का हिस्सा होता है। फाइनेन्स वालों का हिस्सा होता है, बैंकों के बड़े-बड़े मुलाजिमों का हिस्सा होता है ग्रीर जो पंजीपति होते हैं उनका भी अपना हिस्सा होता है । ये पूंजीपति लोग बडे-बडे ग्रधिकारियों को इन्फलएन्स कर लेते हैं भ्रौर मन माने ढंग से स्रावश्यकतास्रों से अधिक पैसा प्राप्त कर लेते हैं। स्राज स्थिति यह हो गई है कि इन पुंजीपतियों को बिना किसी टर्म श्रीर कन्डीशन के पैसा दिया जाता है। पुंजीपतियों की एप्लीकेशन को ठीक प्रकार से एक्जामिन भी नहीं किया जाता है स्रौर यह भी नहीं देखा जाता है कि यह युनिट वायबेल भी है या नहीं। हमारे देश में बैंकों के माध्यम से हमारे देश के प्ंजीपति एक बहुत बडा एमाउन्ट प्राप्त करने में सफल हो जाते हैं। पंजीपति लोग ग्रपनी मशीनरी के माध्यम से इस पैसे को इधर-उधर कर देते हैं स्रीर फिर सरकार के पास पैसा प्राप्त करने के लिए पहुंच जाते हैं । प्जीपतियों की पहुंच बड़े-बड़े भ्रधिकारियों भौर उच्च स्तर तक होती है। श्रीमन्, इस संबंध में मैं एक मामला जानता हुं। धनवाद में एक कुमार दुहिया इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स हैं । श्री प्राण प्रसाद उसके किसी तरह से संचालक बन गये। उन्होंने लोन में गवर्नमेन्ट से ह यूज मनी लिया भ्रौर उस लोन को लेने के तीन महीने बाद उस मिल को बन्द कर दिया और कारखाने को बन्द कर दिया । स्राज हजारों हजारवर्कर्स बेकार ण्डे हए है ग्रौर वे फिर सरकार से ग्रनादर लोन लेने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं। वे मोरार्जा

into Institutional

[श्री रामानन्द यादव]

Re. Commission to

Enquire

भाई के बड़े अजीज दोस्त हैं। हर वक्त इलेक्शन मैं खड़े हो जाते हैं। आप कहीं भी देख लीजिये वे मोरारजी भाई के साथ रहते हैं चुनाव में और हर इलेक्शन में ये प्राण प्रसाद भी खड़े होने के लिये तैयार हो जाते हैं और इलेक्शन लड़ लेते हैं और हार जाते हैं बेचारे करें तो झ्या करें। पैसे के बल पर लाखों लाख इपया इनवेस्ट कर लेते हैं।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI A. G. KULKARNI): You help him to get elected.

श्रो रामानन्द यादव : मोरारजी भाई ग्रसमर्थ हो गये । उनके साथ उनका भविष्य निर्भर करता है ।

मैं कह रहा था कि कुमार इंजीनियरिंग वक्स में यह बराबर चलता रहा ग्रौर उन्होंने इस तरह से लोन लेकर फिर मिल बन्द कर दी ग्रीर वह गवर्नमेंट से दूसरा लोन लेने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं। वहां की पब्लिक श्रीर वहां के वंर्कर्स ने मंत्री महोदय से अप्रोच किया है कि उनको लोन न दिया जाय श्रौर गवर्नमेन्ट उसको स्टार्ट करके उसको टेकग्रोवर कर ले। मंत्री जी ने सहानुभूतिपूर्वक इस बात पर विचार किया ग्रौर कर रहे हैं। उन्होंने इसको एकजामिन करने की बात कहीं है कि वे इसको देखेंगे। वरकर्स लोगों की तरफ से रिप्रजन्टेंटिव स्राये हैं यह बताने के लिये इनको एडवांस लोन न दिया जाय। प्राण प्रसाद के हाथों लोन ग्राने से पैसा बरबाद हो जायेगा श्रीर कारखाना नहीं चलेगा । तो मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि जहां कहीं सिक इंडस्ट्री होती है तो वहां जब कोई लोन की बात करता है तो सरकार को निश्चित रूप से भ्रौर पूर्ण दृष्टि से उसकी मांगों को एकजामिन करलेना चाहिए ग्रीर एकजामिन करके यह देखना चाहिए कि लोन उन्हें मिलना चाहिए कि नहीं, कहीं इसका दूरपयोग तो नहीं होगा । यदि ऐसा नहीं करेंगे कि श्रौर फिर उन्हीं डाइरेक्टर को बहाल करेंगे तो फिर वह सारा पैसा खा जाते हैं। श्रापका

कानून इतना लचीला और पेचीदा है और वह इतना पुराना पड़ गया है कि म्राज ग्राप वह पैसा उनसे वसूल करने मैं म्रसमर्थ है। मैं चाहता हूं कि सरकार कानून बनाकर ऐसे यूनिटों को ने मनाइज कर ले। मैं भाई महावीर के इस विचार से सहमत नहीं हू कि सरकार टेक-मोवर न करे। ऐसी जहां सिक इंडस्ट्र ज है सरकार टोकन मनी देकर उनका राष्ट्रीयकरण कर ले और उन्हें खुद चलाये यह बात हो सकते। है लेकिन पैसा जो

श्री सदाशिव बागाईतकर : एक बार लेने के बाद उसको वापस देने की बात हो रही है । कानपुर के बारे में ऐसा हो रहा है ।

श्री रामानन्द यादव: ग्राप उस सिक मिल को चलाने के लिये लोन देते हैं ग्रीर उसको टेक-ग्रोवर करते हैं गर्वनमेंट उसका काम फिर ठीफ कर देती है ग्रीर यदि पुनः उनको लौटाने की बात हो तो ग्राप जरा सोधिये कि हैल्थ रेस्टोर करने के बाद यदि ऐसा हो तो क्या यह उचित हैं?

SHRI KALYAN ROY: There is a conspiracy to hand over the huge complex at Kanpur to the Jaipurias.

श्री रामानन्द यादव : मैं सरकार से यह श्राग्रह करूंगा कि जो सिक फैंक्टरी श्राप लेते हैं, श्राप जिन पर मनी इनवेस्ट कर लेते हैं, जिनकी हैल्थ रेस्टोर कर लेते हैं तो उनको श्राप लौटाइये मत ऐसा श्राप कानून बनाइये । श्रगर इसके लिये कानून में कुछ संशोधन करने की जरूरत हो तो इस पर श्राप विचार क जिये ।

मैं ग्रापसे एक बात श्रीर कहना चाहता हूं। ग्राज देश में जो बें किंग सिस्टम है, जो तौर तरीका है मनी को एडवाँस करने का उस पर पुनः विचार किया जाय। ग्रच्छे लोग मारे जाते हैं ग्रीर बैंड लोग बीच में काफी हावी हो जाते हैं। चैंड

items. One is the continuation of the discussion on the Calling Attention Motion. It is a very rare occasion in this House that it is taken up after 5 P.M. Anyway, Shri J. P. Mathur will start. Please be very brief. You are at the fag-end of the day.

एलीमेंट इस देश का पुजीपति है वह सारी प्रर्थ व्यवस्था पर हावी है ग्रौर जो छोटी छोटी युनिट के लोग हैं जो कि पैसा लेना चाहते हैं इनवेस्टमेंट करने के लिये, ग्रौर इंडस्ट्री खडी करने के लिये, स्माल स्केल इंटस्ट्री की स्थापना के लिये जाते हैं तो उनको पैसा नहीं मिलता है। लेकिन ग्रगर विरला जी जाये, डनडनिया जी जार्यें, चनचित्रया जी जार्यें, मोरास्का-जी जायें, डालिमया जी जायें तो उन्हें जल्द से जल्द कर्ज मिल जायेगा । लेकिन स्माल यनिटस के लिये मिलने में दिक्कत होगी। चाहे मो ारजी भाई हो या कोई भौर यह बात देखी गई है। इसलियें मै ग्रापसे कहंगा कि . (Interruptions)

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MAT-TER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPOR-TANCE

The situation arising out of the recent increase in the prices of petrol, diesel, kerosene fertilizers and other petro-. leum products-contd

भ्राप वैसे ही सिस्टम के रहने वाले हैं 5 P.M. ग्रौर वही कर रहे हैं। लेकिन ग्राप के यहाँ क्या हुआ ? आपने गोयनका साहब को जो फायदा ग्रपने राज में पहुंचाया उसको म्राडवाणी जी म्राप मत भूले । श्रापने सत्ता में श्राते ही गोयनका साहब को फायदा पहुंचाया। यह बात छिपी नहीं है (Interruptions) करोड़ो रुपया हिन्दुस्तान लीवर को भ्रापने फायदा पहुंचाया । ग्रापने जितना फायदा पहुंचाया वह छिपा नहीं है। अगर आप कहलवाना चाहते हैं तो मै एक एक करके कह सकता हूं। तो मैं यह कह रहा था कि सिक युनिट

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर : प्रातः काल मंत्री महोदय ने जवाब देते हुए दो बातें ऐसी कह दीं जो मै नहीं समझता हूं कि सोच कर फही गई हैं ग्रथवा वे जल्दी में कह गए हैं। एक बात तो उन्होंने यह कही कि तेल की जितनी कीमतें धीरे धीरे बढ़ती चली जाएंगी इन सारी कीमतों का भार धीरे धीरे बराबन कन्ज्यमर पर डलता चला जाएगा, जमीन की कीमर्तें बढ़ाते चले जाएंगे। दूसरी बात उन्होंने यह कह दी कि हम किसान को सुविधा देने की दुष्टि से जो गेहं की परचेज प्राइस है उसको बढ़ा देंगे । इन चीजों में मैं विस्तार में नहीं जाएंगा । उनके पहले स्टेटमेंट से बाजार पर श्रसर पड़ेगा और पेट्रोल की चोरी प्रारम्भ हो सकती है। जब उनका कहना यह है कि कीमर्ते बढ़ रही हैं ग्रीर बढ़ेंगीं तो चोरी गुरु हो जाएगी । ऐसे ही गेहूं का है। उन्होंने यह कहा कि प्रोक्योर-मेंट प्राइस बढ़ा देंगे। मैं नहीं समझता कि मंत्री महोदय ने यह वस्तत्र्य कृषि मंत्रालय से पुछ कर दिया है या नहीं। श्रथवा एग्रीकल्चर प्राइस कमीशन से पूछ कर दिया 🖁 या नहीं । मैं नहीं जानता कि पूछ कर दिया है या नहीं लेकिन इस का परिणाम क्या होगा? किसान

लोटाया जाए । The sick indusries should not be returned after they have been restored to health by investing such a huge amount by the Government.

को प्रगर गवर्नमेंट लेती है तो वापिस न

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI A. G. GULKARNI): It is already 5 P.M. I think this debate will now be carried over.

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV: I will be continuing my speech.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI A. G. KULKARNI): There are now two