205

SHRI P. C. SETHI: Sir; the time to place these on the Table of the House has not yet come. When it comes I would certainly have no objection; with your permission, I will do it. As far as the ruling which is being quoted here is concerned, he is quoting from the 'Design Competition For An Indoor Stadium'. This booklet "was published when the people participated in the competition. It leads:

"The DDA undertakes to pay the following prize money within two months of the award of the assessors:

First Prize Rs. 50,000/-Second Prize Rs. 30,000/-Third Prize Rs. 20,000/-

Provided the entries in the hands of the Board of Assessors meet the required standard of drawings called for."

This is only a sort of guideline as to on what basis they are going to work. Now about this amount of Rs. 7000, i when it was found that it may work a little inadequately, was revised by the DDA and instead of Rs. 7000 it was made Rs. 10,000. Similarly, in the case of Rs. 20,000 also. Therefore, to say that rule or law is absolutely binding on them is not correct. Further more, as far as this is concerned, the decision of the Board of assessors in this respect and in respect of assessing which is the best design will be final. We are not challenging the Board of Assessors as to why they came to this conclusion that this is the best design. But the DDA, while considering the whole provision, will definitely, through the technical committee's report and also, based on the discussions here, take any other help or guideline from any other person who is more competent and expert. The D.D.A. can take it. The Government can take it. Tht matter Is still at a very premature stage.

SHRI G. C. BHATTACHARYA: Are these guidelines not binding on the State?

of External Affairs of U.S.S.R.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI R. R. MORARKA): The discussion is over. Now, there is a statement by the hon. Minister of External Affairs.

DR. M. M. S. SIDDHU (Uttar Pradesh): One point has not been answered. It is a question of ethics. Any architectural design or any money . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI R. R. MORARKA): That discussion i3 over, Dr. Siddhu. You will get some other opportunity. Then you can ask your question.

## STATEMENT BY MINISTER

# RECENT VISIT OF THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS TO THE U.S.S.R.

SHRI NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA (Orissa): I am on a point of order, Sir. You will kindly notice that the hon. Minister, Mr. Narasimha Rao, made a statement on his recent visit to the U.S.S.R. in the Lok Sabha yesterday. This statement which he made in the Lok Sabha yesterday has appeared in the Press and we have seen, in all the national papers, the details of the statement made by him on the floor of the Lok Sabha. I do not know what purpose will be served by making the statement on the floor of this House today. My point of order is on a question of propriety. This Government does not treat both the Houses on the same footing and uses the other House for making important statements. It is a very important statement on his visit to the U.S.S.R. His visit was important and what transpired there was important from the point of view of the country. If this statement would have been made simultaneously in both the Houses or on the same day, the purpose would have been

[Shri Narasingha Prasad Nanda]

served. No purpose would be served by making the statement now. The Minister, by his action, has belittled the position of this House. It involves a serious question of propriety. Sir, I beseech you to direct the Minister not to indulge in this kind of discrimination between the two Houses of Parliament which are equal in all respectg except in financial matters and not to treat this House in this manner. You will kindly direct the Minister not to indulge in this kind of act of impropriety in future.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI (Gujarat): I entirely endorse what Mr. Nanda has said. Sir, we know that till now the Government was so scrupulous about it that if it was not possible for the External Affairs Minister to come over here, then the Minister of State would come or some other person would come to apprise both the Houses simultaneously on all important questions. It has never happened before. It is unfortunate that it should have happened in this case.

श्री शिव चन्द झा (विहार) उप-सभाध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे कहना है कि यह वक्तव्य जो इन्होंने लोक सभा में दिया श्रौर ग्राज यहां देने जा रहे हैं । यह काफी लम्बे समय के बाद वापस भ्राने के बाद दे रहे हैं। कर्तव्य यह था कि ग्राने के तुरंत बाद ही ये देते । यह इसलिए और दे रहे हैं कि चुंकि रिटर्न में ग्रन-स्टार्ड क्वेश्चन में यह पूछा गया था। वह कल या परसों सरकार की तरफ से ब्राने वाला है। उसको न देते फिर भी किसी रूप में देना पडता । हम लोगों ने भी इसलिए कवेश्चन के रूप में इनको प्रेणराईज किया । कवंश्चन फाईडे में है । यही ग्रनस्टार्ड है । इसमें इनको देना ही पड़ता इसलिए वे दबाव में ग्राकर दे रहे हैं। । इसका मतलव यह

था कि इनका इरादा था कि न दें, जितना टाल सकते हैं टालें।

दूसरी बात यह है कि यह स्टेटमेंट लोक सभा में दे दिया तो श्रखवार में वात श्रा गयी । सुबह श्राते ही मैंने पूछा कि स्टेटमेंट के कागज लाशो तो उन्होंने कहा कि नहीं । मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि लोक सभा में जब स्टेटमेंट हो गया श्रीर लोक सभा में जो वातें श्रा जाती हैं उनके कागजात हमको मिल जाते हैं । इसलिए जो वहां स्टेटमेंट दिया गया है, वह हमको उपलब्ध क्यों नहीं हुआ हैं ? बारह बजे से हम लोग यहां हैं, यह बात भी है । यह दोनों वातें श्रापके सामने हैं ।

श्री नागेक्वर प्रसाद शाही (उत्तर प्रदेश) : यह स्टेटमैंट जो ग्रादरणीय मंत्री जी पढ़ने जा रहे हैं, उसका क्यां महत्य है ग्रीर इस सदन को क्या लाभ होगा ? मंत्री जी ने चार दिन पहले यहां ग्राष्ट्र वासन दिया था कि मैं इस सदन के सामने एक स्टेटमैंट दूंगा । सारा स्टेटमैंट, पूरे का पूरा, जो मंत्री जी ने लंक सभा में दिया है, सारी श्रखवारों में छपा है । अब तो जो टाइम मंत्री जी, पन्द्रह बीस मिनट लेंगे, वह वेस्ट श्राफ टाइम होगा । सारे देश के लोगों ने उसकी पढ़ लिया है ।

इसलिए, मैं निवेदन करता हूं कि मंत्री जी कम से कम इतना ख्याल जरूर करें कि इस सदन की मर्यादा का भी ध्यान रखें श्रीर कल जो श्रापने यहां स्टेटमैंट नहीं दिया, इसके लिए कोई उचित कारण नहीं है।

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO): Sir, I am making the statement on the day on which I am asked to make the statement. So far as

209

the other question raised from the other side is concerned, immediately-after landing at the Pajam airport, pressmen asked me and I told them that I am going to make a statement on the floor «of the Houses of the Parliament and, therefore do not ask ... (Interruption) I am answering the other point raised that only after finding a question having been tabled, I made haste to make a statement. That is not true. On landing at Palam airport, the first thing I told the pressmen who came to me was, "Please do not ask me any 'further questions because I have to make a statement on the floor of the Parliament." I have asked for time at the earliest opportunity and I am making the satement in each House on the day allotted for the statement. This is the position, Sir. (Interruptions).

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No, no.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI R. R. MORARKA): Order, please.

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: He could have read the statement yesterday itself. (*Interruptions*)

## श्री शिव चः इ.सा : . (Interruptions) जान करके इसकी डिले किया है और इस सदन की मर्यादा को नहीं रखा है।

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: But, Sir, I am going to add that if it is the wish of the House that any statement like this has to be made on the same day in both the Houses, . . .

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: That is the practice followed.

SHRI NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA: That is the practice.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: I do not know about this practice. I was asked about the date. Whatever date was convenient to me, I had pointed out. But if it is considered necessary to make it on the same day, I have no objection. But since this date has been fixed for

making a statement, I am making it. If I had been asked to make it on the same day, I would have done this.

AN HON. MEMBER: That i<sub>s</sub> the practice of making a statement.

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: That is a long-standing practice of each and every Minister. Your Parliamentary Affairs Minister knows it that in both the Houses, the statement is laid or read on the same day,

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: I really do not know about it.

SHRI NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA: Sir, he may not complete the ritual of reading out the statement which he made in the Lok Sabha. Let him just lay it on the Table of the House and we will take it as having been read. We have already read the statement.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI R. R. MORARKA): It is a very desirable practice that if a similar statement has to be made in both the Houses, it must be made, if not simultaneously, at least on the same day.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Yes, Sir, I now see the point. But since I was personally not aware of this practice and since I pointed out a date which was convenient to me, the same date was fixed and so, I am making it. Nothing more than that. This is not meant as any disrespect to this House and I would like to assure that to this House.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI R. R. MORARKA): The hon. Minister may proceed with the statement.

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR (Utta<sub>r</sub> Pradesh): I<sub>s</sub> there something additional?

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: There is nothing additional. I am reading the isame statement verbatim.

SHRI NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA: You lay it  $o_n$  the Table of the House.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI R. R. MORARKA): Mr. Nanda, please let the Minister proceed with the statement.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, at the invitation of the Government of the USSR, I paid an official visit '-0 the Soviet Union from 3 to 7 June 1980. During my stay in Moscow I was received by President Brezhnev and had an opportunity of exchanging views on matters of interest and concern to our two countries. I had official talks with my colleague, the Foreign Minister of the' USSR," Mr. A. A. Gromyko, on a wide range of subjects covering both bilateral relations and international affairs.

I also had a meeting with the Deputy Prime Minister of the USSR, Mr. I. V. Arkhipov, who together with me is the Co-Chairman of the Indo-Sovet Inter-Governmental Commission for Economic, Scientific and Technical Cooperation.

Besides Moscow, I also visited Leningrad, a city full of historic memories.' During my short stay in that city, I had an opportunity of meeting with Mr. G. V. Romanov, who heads the regional Communist Party in Leningrad.

It was my first visit to the Soviet Union, a country which had suffered great devastation in the most destructive war of our times, the Second World War. It was, therefore, a moving experience to witness massive rtconstructon efforts undtrtaken by that country symbolised lay the rise of the city of Leningrad from the ruins.

I would like to place on the Table of the House a copy of the Joint Press Statement issued at the end of my visit. I would also like to share with the Hon'ble Members some of the impressions of my visit. Wherever I went, I was received with great warmth and friendliness which spoke eloquently of the highest esteem in which our country and our people axe held.

I would also like to share with the House the universal admiration for our Prime Minister and the conference in her policies which was evident during my visit to the Soviet Union. Our Soviet friends profusely expressed their regard for Smt. Indira Gandhi and respect for her leadership of the Government of India

My talks with the Soviet leaders were marked by a cordiality and openness which, I dare say, is a measure of the close nature of Indo-Soviet relationship and which admits of no inhibitions or misunderstandings. Fortunately, there are no bilateral problems between our two countries to preoccupy the Foreign Ministers. I did, however, review hi some detail the progress achieved in Indo-Soviet economic, technical and scientific cooperations, since my last meeting with Mr. Arkhipov in February this year. We agreed that the next session of the Indo-Soviet Joint Commission will be held in New Delhi some time during October or November 1980.

Both in Moscow and i» Leningrad, I had an opportunity to visit the Institutes of Oriental Research and meet Soviet Indologists. I was impressed by the depth and breadth of Indological studies, both ancient and modern, in the Soviet Union. The fact that these great institutions, more than a century old, have been engaged in the study and research of different aspects of Indian life, including the preparation of authoritative version of Mahabharata— these facts at once place the abiding bonds of interest and understanding between the peoples of India and the

214

Soviet Union in the right historical perspective.

I also visited the Progress Publishing House in Moscow which, along with many other similar Publishing Houses, is engaged" in a massive and multifaceted progrmame of translations of literary works of Indian languages into Russian and *vice versa*. There is every scope to widen this exchange so as to include all the languages in the Soviet Union. The fact that the books translated into Russian run into 50,000 copies or more and are all sold out within a few days goes to show the depth of knowledge and insight of the Indian scene on the part of the vast Soviet readership. Needless to say, these offer ample scope for greater and more diversified bilateral cooperation.

As the House may recall, the Soviet Foreign Minister had visited India from February 12 t<sub>0</sub> 14 this year when among other things we had discussed in some detail the evolving situation in South West Asia. A number of important developments have taken place both in our region and in the international environment since that visit. The Presidents of USSR and France met in Warsaw. The Soviet Minister and his American counterpart and colleague, the Secretary of State, met in Vienna. Therefore, my visit to the Soviet Union, apart from being a protocol visit in return for the visit of the Soviet Foreign Minister, had deeper significance in the context of the developments I have mentioned above

We discussed international issues generally and I am glad to say that on many of them, there was similarity of views between ou<sub>r</sub> two countries. I would, however, like to Inform the House about the important and urgent subjects which came up for a detailed exchange of views in Moscow.

The Soviet leaderg were of the view that the international situation had been aggravated by several pro-

vocative moves by the USA. However, as stated above, some meetings have taken place recently between Soviet and Western leaders which appear to mark the resumption of a dialogue which was interrupted earlier this year. Kore<sup>TM</sup>meetings of the same kind are likely to take place. It is reasonable to believe that as a result of these meetings it may be possible to revive detente in Europe say by the beginning of next year. It was my assessment that the Soviet Union shared this expectation on international detente and this finds reflection in our Joint Statement.

Another problem I discussed at length with Mr. Gromyko and subsequently with Mr. Brezhnev was fine regional situation in South West Asia.

The Soviet position, as we understand it, was that the Afghan issue had been artificially exaggerated by outside forces and that aggression against Afghanistan was~ still continuing with the help of well armed and trained insurgents based on Pakistan territory. The Afghan Government has put forward proposals for a political settlement, the first step in which would have to be a meeting between the representatives of Afghanistan and Pakistan and similarly between the representatives of Afghanistan and Iran. Only after direct contacts had been established, could other countries think of participation in the working out of a guaranteed solution. But any talk about the withdrawal ei forces without furnishing of complete and reliable guarantees of an end to interference in Afghanistan and without an actual end of such interference would merely hinder the achievement of a Solution. At the same time the Soviet leaders left us in no doubt on the Soviet Union's readiness to withdraw troops once a political settlement had been reached.

Our approach to the problem has been as follows: We are opposed to the presence of foreign troops in any country. The Soviet Union had announced that Soviet assistance to

### [Shri Narasimha Rao]

Afghanistan was limited in time, purpose and scale and did not present a threat to security and stability in the region. However, reports coming out of Afghanistan during the past few months, even after they are discounted for the inevitable interested propaganda element, do seem to suggest that in view of the situation there, the hope that Soviet assistance to Afghanistan could indeed remain limited in time as originally intended is not very strong.

This is naturally a matter of concern to India as indeed to the others who seek a reduction of tension and a peaceful solution to the problems of the region. It is time for us to ask ourselves the question whether the Soviet troops meant for assisting in Afghanistan have not become, or are not likely to become, a pretext for those who wish to create further instability in that country. Our fear is that beyond a reasonable time frame this could well come to pass and this is why we urge that a stage has come when ways and means, other than military, should be devised to bring about a solution to the problem while this is still within the range of possibility. The emergence of a political solution in Afghanistan has, therefore, acquired an urgency greater than ever before.

I am sure Hon. Members will agree with me when I say that the situation in Afghanistan is evolving so fast that it would be rash for anyone to claim that he has an answer to the problem. For instance, after my return from Moscow, the 3-Member Committee proposed by the Islamic Foreign Ministers Conference has met in Tehran. In regard to this Committee, while we tended to believe that it would constitute an advance over the previous position of the Islamic Conference, we did not get any clearcut corroboration of this assessment, while we were in Moscow. On the whole, this Committee seems

to have had a mixed reception so far, although allowance has to be made for the extreme nature and appearance of initial public postures on both sides. Again, I have seen press reports from Washington, quoting a senior U.S. official which would suggest a slight but significant shift in the American position on the subject. We shall not let these uncertainties and ambiguities deter or dampen our efforts to work towards a solution. In such circumstances, it would be too early, if not also inappropriate, to make a definitive assessment of the situation. However, adverting to my visit to the Soviet Union, T must add that the Soviet Union seemed to have fully understood and respected the views held by India, recognising that it is but natural for a non-aligned country like India to have a different perspective on some international questions. I have every reason to believe that the Soviet leadership appreciates our efforts to attain normalisation in and around Afghanistan. This issue has not allowed even the slightest clouding of our bilateral relations; these have indeed grown from strength to strength during the last five months.

As the House is aware, the Government has had the benefit of holding consultations with a very large number of countries, both in the region and outside, the consensus and after consultations—and these include our discussions with the Soviet Union and other socialist countries of Eastern Europe—i<sub>s</sub> that there is a need to prevent escalation of tensions in South West Asia. There is also a recognition all round that the problems can be resolved only through a political solution.

Sir, since I am confining this statement to my visit to the Soviet Union, I shall conclude it with a brief outline o,f what appears to be the possible directions for a meaningful follow-up. It is obvious that we are too vitally interested m the region to be dismayed or disheartened by the alternating swings of over-reaction and utter scepticism which seem to characterise the opinions of some other countries. We will not give up. The immediate task is to undertake consultations for the limited purpose of getting a dialogue started, without any strict stipulation as to the outcome thereof. This will be our endeavour hereafter, although in view of the stated positions as of today, it is not going to be an easy task. This exercise will also involve a meticulous lifting of global regional and national aspects and their inevitable inter-action. However, in the process several other lines of percop tion would open up for further probe and action leading, hopefully, to the contours of a political solution.

Before I end, I would like to take this opportunity to express my thanks to my Soviet hosts for the warm and cordial hospitality extended to me and to the members of my delegation. This visit marks yet another important stage in the consolidation of relations between our two countries. I am confident that India and the Soviet Union will $_4$  in future, continue to cooperate closely, both bilaterally as well  $a_{\rm s}$  in the international arena.

Thank you.

#### TNDO-SOVIET JOINT PRESS STATEMENT

Jyaistha 17, 1902 New Delhi June 7, 1980

At the invitation of the Government of the USSR, the Minister of External Affairs of India, Shri P. V. Narasimha Rao paid an official friendly visit to the Soviet Union from June 3 to 7, 1980.

During his stay in Moscow the Minister of External Affairs of India laid wreaths at the Mausoleum of V. I. Lenin and at the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier.

In addition to Moscow, the Indian Minister and his party visited Leningrad.

The Minister of External Affairs of India Shri P. V. Narasimha Rao was received by Mr. L. I Brezhnev, General Secretary of the Central Committee of the CPSU, President of the Presidium, of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR, to whom he conveyed greetings from the President and the Prime Minister of India.

Talks were held with Mr. A. A. Gromyko, Member of the Po-litibureau of the Central Committee of ttie CPSU, Minister for Foreign Affairs of the USSR.

Shri P. V. Narasimha Rao also had a meeting with Mr. i. V. Arkhipov, Deputy Chirman of the Council of Ministers of the USSR and Co-Chairman of the Inter-Governmental Indo-Soviet Commission of Economic, Scientific and Technical Cooperation.

The talks and discussions were conducted in a warm and friendly atmosphere. The two sides reviewed the development of their bilateral relation? and also a wide range of international question of mutual interest. They agreed to continue in future as well exchanging views on on such questions through appropriate contacts.

Both sides noted with satisfaction that relations between India and the Soviet Union contributed to peace and stability in Asia and throughout the world. They are based on friendship, equality and mutual trust and are developing in the spirit of the Treaty of Peace, Friendship and Cooperation.

The two sides reviewed the progress o'f long-term agreements encompassing the key spheres and di"  $rection_s$  of Indo-Soviet cooperation.

The two sides reiterated their determination to continue developing and strengthening  $i_n$  every way the close relations between India and the USSR in the interest of the two peoples.