powerful. We have full faith in you. (Interruptions) I request you to immediately order confiscation of the relevant papers and call Mr. Singh to give a deposition . . . (Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am going to reach my own decision...(Interruptions)

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE (Maharash-tra): We have not been observing the rules which govern the question of privilege; that is the cause of the entire trouble, and...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have read them most carefully...(Interruption)

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: Only after you have admitted the motion, can there be a statement by the person. If that is so, under which rule has this tall talk been going on by the Leader of the Opposition, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta and others?...(Interruptions)

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: It is the same rule no other rule—under which you were shouting from this side; it is the same rule which helped you to bring the matter of Kanti Desai. The same rule we are quoting. Nothing else... (Interruptions)

SARI JAGJIT SINGH ANAND: You have already given your ruling; you will decide quickly.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. I am going to address the House. I want silence. A ruling can only be given when there is silence want silence. (Interruptions) Again, you have started it. The hon. Member will keep his peace and be cool and then let me also say a few words. The matter is a simple one. A Privilege Motion was raised by Mr. Advani. I was not here when the whole Privilege Motion was discussed. Mr. Mirdha informed me. file came to me. I am taking just a shade of time because I read through all the rules very carefully. I know them as well as anybody in this House and am now applying them. I am going to reach a decision and the 972 RS-5.

decision can only be two ways. One way is that the Privilege Motion is disallowed by me or if I give consent it is decided one way or the other by the House as per rules. There is no third way. These party shoutings and quarrels are not advancing the matter any further in my mind. I have to reach the decision. Therefore, you must leave me free. All this noise is not helping me in any way. I now request you to allow Mr. Advani to move his Calling Attention Motion. The Privilege Motion cannot be debated now under the rules.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Government's decision to scrap the Press Commission headed by Justice Goswami and to appoint a new Press Commission.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI (Gujarat): Sir, I call the attention of the Minister of Information and Broadcasting to the Government's decision to scrap the Press Commission headed by Justice Goswami and appoint a new Press Commission.

THE MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING AND SUPPLY AND REHABILITATION (SHRI V. P. SATHE): Mr. Chairman, Sir, the Press Commission is not being scrapped. Our Government has decided to continue the Commission with a more comprehesive set of terms of reference.

To recapitulate the Second Press Commission was appointed by Government on 29th May, 1978 under the Commissions of Enquiry Act, 1952. Mr. Justice P. K. Goswamy was appointed as Chairman of the Commission.

The Chairman of the Press Commission along with other Members tendered their resignations on 14th January, 1980 on change of Govern-

[Shri V. P. Sathe]

These resignations were cepted on 28th January, 1980.

The Commission is being reconstituted.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Sir. when the Press Chairman. Commission headed by Justice wami tendered their resignation to the Government, they were going through a formality which they regarded as the correct procedure, although it is not imperative, as I see it, for commissions of the nature of the Commission to tender resignation the formation of a new Government. I am awame that there are several other commissions in the country. For instance, there is the Police Commission which has not only not resigned, but which proposes to submit a fresh report to the Government today. The Police Commission was appointed by the Janata Government. The Press Commission too was appointed by the Government. When the Janata Janata Government resigned and new Government was constituted, even at that stage the Press Commisheaded by Justice Goswami, after discussing the matter with other colleagues, came to the conclusion that they should resign as a matter of propriety. They did resign even then. The new Government that was constituted then asked them to continue and told them, "So far as your work is concerned it is very valuable; you should continue to do work." And they continued to do it. Their term was to end on the December, 1979. They had virtually completed their entire work but some little things remained, the drafting of ... the report remained and, therefore, they sought permission from the outgoing Government for an extension of time and that extension of time was granted. Three months extension was granted upto 31st March, 1980. Again when the Government changed and a new Government came accordance with their concepts of propriety, they again resigned. Sir, my submission is that it was a formal

resignation and that formal resignation could be accepted only if the Government had substantial grounds for holding that that Press Commission was a wrong Commission, it was not functioning properly just as the new Government always says many things about the Commissions like the Commission or Commissions which they referred to as Commissions which were politically motivated. If the present Press Commission regarded is also as a politicallymotivated Press Commission I could understand the new Minister accepting their resignations. Sir. I may point out that this decision to appoint the Second Press Commission was decision taken by the Cabinet. It was not a decision taken by the Minister of Information and Broadcasting. It was a Cabinet decision. And if that decision is to be changed, in all propriety, the matter has to go back the Cabinet and the Cabinet has to decide that these resignations are accepted and a new Commission has to be consitituted. Sir, it is not the impropriety of which present Minister of Information Broadcasting is guilty.

Sir, I recall very well that this was one of the decisions that had been made in Parliament that the Government had decided to appoint the Second Press Commission. I did it myself and in this House. And it has been the convention of Parliament that whenever Parliament is in session, no major decision is announced outside the House. In fact if this happens, very many Members notice of a breach of privilege. The Chair however has always held that this is not a breach of privilege, though it is a breach of courtesy. breach of propriety. If a decision was to be taken when the House is in session, then that decision has to be communicated to the House. In this case, particularly because the original announcement was made in House, an announcement to accept the resignations of all the members of the Commission should have

MR. CHAIRMAN: You may confine your observations to the subjectmatter under discussion.

not one shred of evidence.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: My case is that the decision in respect of the Press Commission stems out of basic attilide of the present Government towirds the press, towards media. I have been accused or last Gover iment has been accused of destroying the credibility of media. I can quote here what Prime Mil inter said in the conference of A.I.R "tation Directors on

tember 1975. • She said, "Quite honestly, I do not understand what credibility means. Who has credibility? The newspapers, who had day in and . day out printed falsehood". She derides the very concept of credibility and tells the AIR Station Directors, not to be vary, not to chary, not to be worried about this allegation that you are losing your credibiliy and go ahead with you want to do. This is the kind of Government that we are facing today.

श्री कान्त वर्मा (मध्य प्रदेश) : यह तो ग्राप 🥍 कहते थे, जिसका पैसा उसका प्रेस ।

श्रीलाल कृष्ण श्राडवाणी: मैं नहीं कहता हं, मैं कहताहं, प्रेस की आजादी का मतलब है कलम की ग्राजादी, पैसे की ग्राजादी नहीं । इसलिए टर्म्स ग्राफ रेफरेंस हमने इसको प्रमुख स्थान दिया ।

The Janata Government gave mary place to the need to make recommendations in respect of of the press ownership which could make the press really independent, really free.

Sir, I would like to know wha**t** exactly was the stage at which Press Commission's work had arrived. According to my information, the Press Commission, which was headed by an eminent judge like Justice Goswami, and which included several outstanding journalists like Shri Abu Abraham an_d Shri Nikhil Chakravarti, neither of whom you can accuse of being sympathetic to the Government's, or the Janata Government's beliefs—in fact Shri Abu Abraham was one of those who were strongly in favour of the emergency, who supported the emergency at that time-, was the kind of commission which was not partisan and which was, in a way, representative of all shades of opinion in the press and the country. This Press Commission was set up by the outgoing Government it went

[Shri Lal K. Advani]

round the whole country. It visited every single State and every single Union Territory in the country. And, if I am not mistaken-the hon. Minister would apprise me what the facts in regard to this are—it examined about 800 witnesses, throughout many more than the first Commission could. And, after all these labours (the expenditure was naturally enormous, something like more than Rs. 30 the stage of work that had been reached was that everything was over. The evidences were Deliberations and discussions among the members of the Commission were over. Conclusions were drawn respect of two-thirds of the major matters. Some final discussions were due. The whole report was being drafted. If I am not incorrect, about nine or ten chapters of the report were drafted, already ready. It was only to go for printing. The Government had full authority to say that you can complete your work. We have received your resignations, you are under no obligation to send your resignations, but you thought it proper because a new Government has come in. You have tendered your resignation, all right, we have seen it but we would like you to complete your work. Your work is about to be completed and Rs. 30 lakhs have already been spent, you have gone round the whole country and after we get your report, we will see what to do about it. The Minister says, I found that the terms of reference were not comprehensive. I have been connected with the press, I have been connected with the media also and, therefore, from our point view, we tried to make the terms of reference as comprehensive as we could. The Statement regarding the terms of reference opens thus: "The Press Commission shall inquire into the growth and status of the Indian press since the last Press Commission reported and suggest how develop in future. best it should ambit which; This is the overall

I suppose, covers everything that the Minister can conceive of, that the Government can conceive of which may not have been specifically included in what follows. statement further says that "it shall in particular examine" 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. 9 and 10, 10 different items, covering the entire gamut of press functioning. Now, the first sentence says that the Press Commission snall inquire into the growth and status of the Indian Press since the last Press Commission reported and suggest how best it should develop in future.

That, I think, gave full scope to the new Government. If it wanted to add one or two paragraphs, it could do so and say: "This also should be examined because your report does not mention this thing." But they did nothing of the kind. If the House has forgotten, I shall read out the names of the members of the Press Commission. They are;

Justice P. K. Goswami; Shri Abu Abraham; Shri Prem Bhatia; Shri Š. N. Dwivedi; Shri Moineudin Harris; Shri Ravi Mathai; Shri Yashodhar N. Mehta: Shri V. K. Narasimhan; Shri F. S. Nariman: Shri Arun Shourie: Shri S. H. Vatasayan-

all of them eminent persons in their respective spheres of life, and all of them are now told: All right; your resignation is accepted. I had occasion to speak to some of them personally and they said: "We did it in propriety; we never expected it because that would mean that the work that we have done, will never come to light." This is what they say, Because if that comes to light, the people would have known what the Commission has done,

Sir, my specific question to the hon. Minister would be, if he says that the Press Commission has not scrapped is he willing to agree that the same Chairman of the Press Commission who was there, will continue as Chairman of the new Commission? My submission is that the moment you accept the resignation Chairman, that very moment, earlier Commission has come to an end. You cannot say that the Commission is being reconstituted. That is number one Number two: I would like to know the amount of expenditure that has been incurred on the labours of the Press Commission, the number of witnesses it has examined and the places it visited. If any of information is not available readily, it may be supplied later. So far as expenditure is concerned, I am sure, it will be available. Also so far as the number of witnesses examined is concerned, it would also be available.

Sir, I think, it is a very bad precedent that he has set in making this announcement outside the House. This calls for admonition from the Chair unless he himself realises it and comes forth saying that henceforth he would not do anything of the kind. My submission is that this is a matter which ought to go for consideration before the Government or before the Cabinet as a whole. Perhaps, I make bold to say, that the Minister of Information and Broadcasting himself is personally not responsible for it. He is only implementing what he has been asked to implement. That is all . . . (Interruptions). You will certainly deny it but this I say on the basis of facts. I know. He said to some one a few days back "I cannot say anything; I am waiting" (Interruptions).

My last question is: Is he aware of the fact that the entire press has, by and large, reacted very sharply to this decision, that the Editors' Guild has strongly criticised this decision and that various leading papers of the country have criticised it? I would not like to quote a paper which you would say is biased in favour of me or biased against the Government. I would only quote from the Times of India whose first opening sentences are: "The Union Minister for Information and Broadcasting, Mr. Vasant Sathe, has given the lamest possible excuse for accepting the resignations of the members of the Press Commission. He has said that while he appreciates the work the Commission has done, he proposes to set up another with wider terms of reference. But even a casual perusal of the terms of the now defunct Commission will show that those were wide enough So his explanation will not wash." Sir, I say that this is a purely politically-motivated decision and it only reflects the attitude, the hostile attitude of the present Government to the press. Thank you.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: Sir, I thought that in the Calling Attention he would be asking me questions but three-fourth of his speech was, as if, he was replying to a Calling Attention Notice. Probably, he has not yet forgotten that he has gone on that side, he is not in my shoes.

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SALEEM (Andhra Pradesh): Mr. Sathe, you have also forgotten what you used to do when you were on this side.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: With your permission, Sir,..

SHRI V. P. SATHE: Let me complete. I am not yielding.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Chairman, Sir, the hon. Minister is new to this House.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: I am not yielding, Mr. Chairman. I was coming to the question directly provided he allowed me to do so.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I see that your answer is coming in crisp language.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Let him not make an allegation that I am doing something that I am not expected to do.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: His last sentence was that the whole thing was politically motivated. My first sentence in reply is that your speech and the question itself politically motivated because, the entire Calling Attention Notice is based on a wrong premise. If you begin with a wrong premise, howsoever long your speech would be, it will all be wrong and the wrong premise is that the Press Commission has been scrapped. If it had to be scrapped, if it had to be dissolved in terms of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, then under section 7, sub-sections (1) and (2) of the Commissions of Inquiry Act two courses were open. One was, if the Commission than the Commission appointed in pursuance of a Resolution passed by the House of People or as case may be, the Legislative Assembly of a State, shall cease to if it is of the opinion that the continued existence of the Commission is unnecessary. And the other the Commission appointed in pursuance of the Resolution passed by the House of People or as the case may be, the Legislative Assembly of the State, shall cease to exist if a Resolution for the discontinuance of the Commission is passed by the House of the People or as the case may be, Legislative Assembly of the State.

Therefore, Sir, if the Government felt that it was not necessary to continue the Commission, because in this case it was not by a Resolution of this House, that this Commission was appointed by the Government, then the Government would have notified and dissolved the Commission. which was the only course in be could dissolved. Commission Therefore, Sir, in this case there was

no question of dissolving the Conmission. The other alternative is under section 8(a) (ii) where during the course of an inquiry before a Commission, a change has place in the constitution of the Commission by reason of any having been filled or by any other reason, it shall not be necessary for the Commission to commence inquiry afresh and the inquiry may be continued from the stage at which the change took place. Now, therefore, there are two things. As I have said, all that has happened is that by resignation here in this case of the members, vacancy has arisen. The Commission is not discontinued. We are not scrapping the Commission.

DR BHAI MAHAVIR (Madiyya Pradesh) What a childish explana-

SHRI PILOO MODY (Gujarat): Now I understand why you are wearing a Cap. You are hiding the vacancy under the hat.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: If there is nothing without the hat, what can I

How could we dissolve the Commission except under these And if it is not done, there is question of going to the Cabinet for that purpose.

I have said—and my friend was waxing eloquent—that this Second Commission was appointed unlike the First Commission. They did not consult the recognised bodies who are primarily concerned with the Press Commission such as All-India Newspaper Editors Conference, Indian and Eastern Newspaper Society, Indian -Newspaper Languages Association, Indian Federation of Working Journalists, National Union of Journalists, Press Association, None was consulted.

SHRI PILOO MODY: And future Minister of Information and Broadcasting.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: I do not want to go into the question of persons. I have regard for not only Justice Goswami but even one other member as a person. My friend is wrong when he was trying to say that it was done objectively. Most of the members— I would not name them a majority of them were not professionals-I say a majority of themand many of them belong to political parties. Do you call that "objective"? (Interruptions) Of vour party at that time. Therefore, don't wax eloquent that you have done it objectively.

Now, Sir, the Government proposes to consult all these organisations in regard to the terms of reference. I do not want to take up the time of the House in spelling out the terms of reference which will make it comprehensive. But two or hints may suffice. One is the question relating to the right of an individual citizen to privacy. The entire terms of reference were loaded in favour of the press-and the large press as such, if I may say so. am concerned with the small newspaper How is that to be covered? I am concerned with the freedom of the individual citizen as against even the press. How is that to be protected and covered? All these are factors which will have to be considered. We will consult various organisations concerned and then formulate our terms of reference. The work will proceed from where it has stopped. The labour will not lost, if that labour has been worthwhile.

SHRI PILOO MODY: That assurance you are giving.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: Yes, I say the Commission continues. Therefore all the good work that they may have done will definitely from the basis and it will continue further. Therefore, don't worry. No money will be lost. Nothing will be lost. Only thing is that Press Commission are not things which are appointed

every year and every now and then. After 25 years.....(Interruptions) Therefore, we want to make it more comprehensive. We want to have a good result which will help us for times to come in solving the various problems of the press inter se other various institutions and also the people concerned as well as the constitutional obligations.

DR. BHAI MAHAVIR: Will the same Chairman continue?

SHRI V. P. SATHE: That is for us now to decide.

DR. BHAI MAHAVIR: We are asking you.

SHRI V. P. SATHE: You are more keen about a particular individual. You are being unfair actually. We will try to do our best to see that justice is done in this matter. I can assure that much to the House. Thank you very much.

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही (उत्तर प्रदेश) : श्रीमन, मंत्री जी ने जिस तरह से इस बारे में जवाब दिया है उससे साफ जाहिर है कि प्रेस कमीशन ने ग्राज तक जितना काम किया हैं उसका कोई इस्तेमाल नहीं होगा। मंत्री जी ने एक तरफ तो यह कहा कि कमीशन ने जो श्रच्छा काम किया है उसका उपयोग होगा भौर दूसरी तरफ उन्होंने यह भी कहा दिया कि कमीशन के अधिकांश सदस्य ऐसे थे जो कि म्राडवाणे जी की पार्टी के थे ग्रौर वे प्रोफेशनल नहीं थे। तो जब यह आपके मन में भावना है कि जो कमीशन के सदस्य थे उनका सेलेक्शन म्राबजेक्टिव ढंग से नहीं हमा था मौर जब ग्रापका यह एलीगेशन है कि ग्रध-कांश सदस्य ग्राडवाणी जी के दल के थे ग्रौर प्रोफेशनल नहीं थे तो इससे सफ जाहिर है कि ग्रापने यह फैसला पहले से कर लिया है कि उस कमीशन ने जो कुछ भी कार्य किए हैं ग्रब

[श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही]

जितने भी विटनेसंज एग्जामिन किए हैं स्रोर जो रिपोर्ट तैयार की है उसका कोई मतलब नहीं होगा । श्रीमन् . . . (Interruptions) मुझे ऐसा लगता है प्रेस वालों के साथ पिछले दो सप्ताहों में उनके साथ जो व्यवहार हो रहा है मैं मंत्री जी का ध्यान विशेषकर नेशनल हेराल्ड के लोगों के बारे में दिजाना चाहुता हूं

श्री बी॰ पी॰ साठे : सर, हमें बचाइये काल ग्रटेंशन किस सवाल पर हैं ग्रोर यह सब ग्राप कहेंगे कि इतना लम्बा हो गया हैं

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : श्रीमन्, साठे साहब बहुत ही श्रनुभवी पालिया-मेंटेरियन हैं । मैं उनको सिर्फ इतना याद दिलाना चाह्ता हूं कि वह लोक सभा में जो बोलते थे मैं तो उसका शर्तांश ही रखना चाहता हूं ।

श्री सभापति : ग्राप काल ग्रटेंशन नोटिस पर ही बहस कीजिए ! कि

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: जी हां
उसी पर कह रहां हूं। जिस सरकार
ने ब्राते ही प्रेस वालों के साथ पत्रकारों
के साथ घौर प्रेस कर्मचारियों के साथ
जैसे व्यवहार शुरू किया है उससे लगता
है कि यह प्रेस कमीशन जिस म्राबजेक्टिव
के साथ बनाया गया था जिस उद्देश्य
से बनाया गया था वह सब समाप्त हो
जाएगा घौर इमरजेंसी के दिनों में जिस
तरह का कैपटिव प्रेस इनकरेज किया
गया था घौर जिस तरह से प्रेस को
कंट्रोल किया गया था उस तरह का प्रेस
ग्रीर उस तरह की पत्रकारिता देश में
चलाने के लिए उस ढंग का प्रेस कमीशन
बनाया जाएगा।

भी सभापति : ग्रभी तो ग्राप का शक है.....

144

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही ः शक नहीं हैं यह इनक्रेंस हैं You are a Judge, and from certain

You are a Judge, and from certain facts certain inferences can be drawn. That is why I am submitting......

MR. CHAIRMAN: The fact is only one.

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: After the Government has come to power, within fitten days....

MR. CHAIRMAN: The moment they nominate the other Commission that fact will come. And this is only one fact.

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: इसीलिए मैं कह रहा हूं। श्रीमन, साठे साहब ने कहा कि उसमें कमीशन में ग्रिधिकांश ग्राडवाणी जी के दल के लोग थे तो मेरा यह निवेदन हैं कि ग्राप इस बात का ध्यान रखेंगे कि ग्रब जो नये सदस्य नामीनेट करेंगे वे ग्राप के दल के ना हों।

क्या श्राप यह श्राश्वासन देते. हैं कि
जो श्रारोप श्राप श्राडवाणी जी के ऊपर
लगा रहे हैं उस तरह की बात श्रापके
मन में नहीं होगी । जब श्राप सदस्यों
को नामीनेट करेंगे । तीसरी बात यह है
कि श्रापने श्रपने जवाब में इसका
इशारा भी नहीं किया, श्रापने सिर्फ यह
कह दिया कि टर्म्स श्राफ रिफरेंसेज
जो होंगे वे मोर कम्प्रीहेंसिव होंगे ।
हम लोग यह समझते थे कि जब दो
साल पहले श्राडवाणी जी ने मूब किया
था उससे वेरी कम्प्रीहेंसिक टर्म्स श्राफ
रिफरेंसेज होंगे । श्राप उसमें श्रीर
एडीशनल क्या एड करेंगे क्या इसका
थोड़ा सा श्राभास सदन को देंगे ।

[1 FEB 1980]

145

एक माननीय सदस्य: दो तो बतलाये

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: ग्रौर ये बतला दें कि क्या इस बात का ध्यान रखा जायगा कि जो ऐसा प्रेस कमीशन बनेगा ग्रौर ग्रांप जो उसमें रिफरेंसेज एड करेंगे, उससे जो रिपोर्ट ग्रायेगी. क्या उससे सचमुच वह मक्सद हःसिल होगा जिस मकसद से यह कमीशन वना था ?

श्री बी॰ पी॰ साठे : सभापितः जी, में इतना ही कह सकता हूं जवाब में कि हमारे मित्र जिन्होंने स्रभी सवाल उठाया वे न्युन गंड से पीडित हैं । वे अपना न्यन गंड खोड दें तो भ्राप श्राश्वस्त हो जायेंगे जो कुछ होगा वह सही होगा, न्यायोचित होगा, देश के ग्रौर प्रेस के हित में होगा ग्रौर जो गलतियां ग्राडवाणी जी ने कीं वे हम नहीं करेंगे। मैंने शुरू में ही कहा कि ग्राडवाणी जी ने तो बिना किसी से पूछे अपने ही मन से या शायद ग्रपनी पार्टी के मन से किया होगा ये सारे टर्म्स श्राफ रिफरेसेज बनाये होंगे पर्सनल भी वैसे ही थे। मैं एक खबरदारी लेने वाला हू मैं सबसे सलाह मिश्वरा करूंगा ग्रौर उनकी सलाह लेकर ही टर्म्स ग्राफ रिफरेंस बनाये जायेंगे, जोड़े जायेंगे, तथा जो ग्रच्छे होंगे वे रखे जायोंगे । ग्राप इसके बारे में निश्चित रहे रहिए। जो होगा वह हित में

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : मेम्बर श्रापके दल के नहीं होंगे।

MR. CHAIRMAN: The House will now adjourn till 2:30 P.M. and the matter will be resumed after that.

> The House then adjourned for lunch at fifty-eight minutes past twelve of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at thirty-three minutes past two of the clock, Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair

RE. PRIVATE MEMBERS BUSINESS

SHRI KHURSHED ALAM (Delhi): I would suggest that today being the private Members days, the private Members' business should be given priority.

DEPUTY MR.CHAIRMAN: agree with the hon. Member. This is a non-official time. And if the House after the non-official so chooses. business or after 5 o'clock, we can take up the rest of the business. Otherwise, the whole time will be taken by this. There is only one non-official day in the whole week.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI (Maharashtra). The Chairman had stopped " the Calling Attention in between when the Minister was making the reply. The reply was also not complete. Then I asked him about the Calling Attention. He said that it would continue.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We will continue after 5 o'clock if the House so chooses. I do not think the Chairman could have meant that the non-official business should not be taken up. The issue was not before He said that he would continue. I also say that it will continue. We have the tendency in the House to cut non-official time. This been going on for a long time here, and the hon. Members have protested against it. Our rules specifically say this.

SHRI KALYAN ROY (West Bengal): Why do you change them now?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We are not changing it now.

And I form