REFERENCE TO THE THREAT OF CLOSURE OF THE BARAUNI OIL REFINERY DUE TO NON-SUPPLY OF CRUDE ODL

श्री शिव चन्द्र झा (बिहार): सभापति जी, मैं श्रापके जरिए इस सदन श्रीर इस का ध्यान बरोनी रिफाइनरी की बिगड़ती हालत की ओर खींचना चाहता हूं । बरोनी श्रायल रिफाइ-नरी के लिए कुड आयल जो कि आसाम से बाता है और जिसको लेकर रिफाइनरी नलती है, उसकी सप्लाई नहीं हो रही है। अब वह रिफाइनरी बन्द होने की स्थिति में है। सभापति महोदय, ब्राप जानते हैं, बिहार एक पिछड़ा हमा इलाका है। इस सरकार द्वारा पिछले 30 सालों में इसको प्लांड रूप में पिछडा हुआ बनाया गया है। बिहार बाह पर केपिटा इनकम हो, बाहे इंडस्ट्रियल डबलपमेंट हो, पिछड़ा हुम्रा है। कुछ उद्योग जब प्लानिंग के जरिए वहां पर बहें किए गये तो उनको भी ठीक से नहीं चलने दिया जाता है। दरभंगा में एक पेपर मिल है उसकी भी हालत बिगड़ी हुई है। उसी तरह से यह भ्रायल रिफायनरी जिसको पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू माडर्न टेम्पल कहा करते थे बनाई गई । यह बहुत ग्रन्छी बात थी । लेकिन इस सरकार का ध्यान उस छोर नहीं जाता है कि किस तरह से यह रिफाइनरी ठीक से चले । अभी आप वह सकते है कि आसाम की हालत खराब है जिसकी वजह से कुड आयल ठीक समय पर नहीं आ रहा है। मह बात में मान सकता है । उस दिन यह बात उठी थी और प्रधान मंत्री जा से पूछा गया था कि वह कब एयर डेम या हेली-काष्टर डेश वहां पर करने जा रही है । आसाम की स्थिति को स्धारने के लिए तो उनको ग्रभी समय नहीं है। ग्रभी जैसे कि महा गया वे डिफोनशन में व्यस्त है, राज्य सरकारों को कैसे गिरायें, इसमें व्यस्त हैं। आसाम जाने के लिए उनके पास फुर्सत

नहीं है। राज्य सरकारों को गिराने के लिए उनके पास फूसंत है। मेरा निवेदन यह है कि सरकार आसाम की परि-स्थिति को कंट्रोल करे जिससे यह रिफाइ,-नरी बन्द न होने पाए । यदि यह बन्द हो गई तो बहुत से लोग बेकार हो जायेंगे तया ग्राधिक व्यवस्था बिगड जाएगी । कुड ग्रायल सप्लाई करने के लिए सरकार को बार फुटिंग पर, पैकेज प्रोग्राम कहें, इमरजेंसी प्रोग्राम कहें, बनाना चाहिये। अतः में सरकार से निवेदन करता हं कि बरोनी आयल रिफानरी को ऋड आयल की लगा तार सप्लाई के लिए वह कोई योजना बना दे ताकि वह बन्द न होने पाए, लोग बेकार न हों और उत्तरी विहार की हालत जो बिगड गई है वह भ्रौर न बिगडे। इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ में आपका और इस सदन का ध्यान खींचना चाहता हं।

MOTION OF THANKS ON THE PRESMENT'S ADDRESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now there will be Motion of Thanks on the President's Address. There are only five minutes left. I would requefet Mr. Antulay to move his resolution now and to speak on it at 2 o'clock.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY (Maharashtra): Sir, I move:

"That an Address be presented t» the President in the following terms:—

"That the Member of the Rajya Sabha assembled i_n this Session a_re deeply grateful to the President for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both Houses of parliament assembled together on the 23rd January, 1980.' "

So, I think we better start with...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think we better adjourn now and resume at 2 *o'clock* when you can make your speech and the Motion ca_n toe seconded. Thank you very much.

The House is adjourned to 2 o'clock.

The House then $_a$ dj ourned for lunch at fifty-six minutes past twelve of the clock.

2 P.M.

The House reassembled after lunch at three minutes past two of the clock, Mr. Deputy Chairman in tho Chair.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: Mr. Chairman, Sir,

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): 'I have come to bury Ceaser, not to praise bim.' Start.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: It gives me indeed very great pleasure to extend heart-felt thanks to the President of India for having delivered the Address. But while thanking the President, Mr. Deputy chairman, we may also—all the hon. Members of this House—make a little introspection...

श्री शिव चन्द्र शा (बिहार) : जोर से बोलिए, ग्रावाज सुनाई नहीं दे रही है।

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. Come nearer. We can't hear.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY:

तपते तपते तपेगा सूरज । े ा

So, ,Mr. Deputy Chairman, the introspection to which I was referring is the one as to why the parliament #f India—thereby I mean the Lok Sabha—had to be dissolved before it ran out its natural constitutional term. Has there, been a single occasion during the past 32 years of independence when even during less

than three year₃ a new Parliament is sitting to hear the President of India give an Address to a new Parliament, namely the Seventh Parliament? There have been many novelties and firsts. I wilL challenge if anyone were to produce one shred of evidence to me outgoing Government, where an democratically elected Government democratically defeated Government, was subjected to the sort of persecution, harassment, humiliation in any part of goes oui- the outgoing Government becomes the Opposition and it becomes the Opposition, it becomes an equally inalienable part of the machinery of the political apparatus necessary to run a democratic set-up. And if there has not been a single instance, then why did India have to witness it during the past 2J to ? years? It is not a very easy question: nor is it a ver_v happy poser. We have adopted parliamentary system of democracy. We are not born with it nor can we claim unconsciously to live with it. We have to adapt it to the genius of our soil. We are experimenting a very (difficult endeavour. Can there be a parliamentary democracy without sovereignty of Parliament? knowledge. during all the limited to my reading that I have made. Whereas British parliamentary democracy enjoys a Parliament which is sovereign, our democracy enjoys a Parliment which is not sovereign, if n piece of legislation passed by Parliament is liable to b« struck dow:i by a court of iew it is the sovereignty that is struck and therefore in a true sense of the term nobody can say, in the true conception of Dicev who wrote a brilliant piece on constitution, parliamentary democracy, rule of law, federalism and other salient fea tures, that -v~ arg a sovereign parliament. We are not presidential system a of government Under the U.S. either. Constitution Presid.cn', is unlimited within sphere of his powers. President is not

removably by Parliament. Here the executive is removable by Parliament which Parliament is not sovereign. Therefore, we have tried to unnaturally man within our constitutional. framework the two systems: federalism-the socalled, because I find many notices of amendments on federalism, unfortunately without understanding the true concept of federalism ...

Motion of Thanks on

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: We do understand.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY; So we are trying to be federal without a presidential system of government within the concept called Parliamentary Democracy which cannot be federal inherently. There is inherent limitation in this concept. There can be one sovereign and that sovereign body can be the sovereign Parliament. But within the federation there can be Assemblies which are equally sovereign and under our Constitution they have the same powers and privileges as the Lok Sabha or the Rajya Sabha has. Where then is the sovereignty? The sovereignty of Parliament negatives, in my view, the federal concept. It is the jurists who have conceived this and generations have experimented with it. Some say we are a federation. Some call it a quasi-federa-tion . ..

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA; You are tempting me to interrupt you ...

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: My friendg who know what f^deva'ion mean? ...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I do know. Mr. Antulay ...

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: I am not yielding, Mr. Deputy Chairman. He will have his say. I do not like people to postulate with their halifbaked knowledge. They should first have a profound knowledge of the

subject and fundamental before they' speak. Therefore, they wake up to the situation that we are a federation. Okay. We are a federation, for the sake of argument. When was this federation born? Wag it born when the Congress(I), ably, dynamically benevolently led by the leader of the nation, Shrimati Indira Gandhi, was led to victory? Or, was it already there on the 23rd .March, 1977, when the Janata Party was- Jet us say-voted to power? If it is a federation—I am not saying whether it is or it is not, at the moment —I will show the contradiction. Half the federal units of this country have not voted them to power in March 1971, and half the federal units did. At the time when they were voted to power in March 1977, half the federal units of this country, in the shape of the electorate of the South, had not voted for them, whereas the electorate of the North had. Can you say there js a federation in the North and there is lack of federation in the South? But now, the length and breadth of the country from one end to the other, have acclaimed Mrs. Gandhi aq the leader of the people of this country, as the symbol of national integration, as the symbol of national integrity, a^ the symbol of the aspirations of the common people, the underdogs, the Scheduled Castes, the Scheduled Tribes and the minorities.

President's Address

Mr. Deputy Chairman, no system in the world can work without individuals, without groups of individuals and without political parties. In a Parliamentary system of Government, a leader is needed. A leader—as used to be said by the Conservatives

_i_s never elected, but acclaimed. Mrs. Gandhi is the acclaimed loader of the country. She wa«, voted accordingly by a massive mandate . of the people of India.

Now, shall we go through the two and half years of our recent history? Can we remain a nation, if there are

(Shri A. R. AntuJay] disparities in the economic sphere? Disparities j_n geographical spheres; disparities in linguistic spheres, and disparities, more importantly, in the religious sphere. Thig country can never remain a nation, let me warn all of you, if democracy in this country does not lay the foundations of seculiariSTO. Democracy in this country has no use for religious minorities if they cannot find a place for themselves in the democratic set-up. That they can only do if democracy is secular and religious minorities can enjoy the fruits of Socialism. Those fruits of Socialism are to be distributed, looking to the persona bearing the names of religious denominations. -And if the hands are to be withheld, the hand that distributes on the basis of religious denominator and denomination, who can say that real Socialism can prosper or even take roots? Sc, Mr. Deputy Chairman, ours is a tolalljy different democracy—call parliamentary democracy, call it ordinary democracy. No country in the world has the type of population that India has, not only in its magnitude and volume but because of its diversity. Pt. Nehru was right when during all his life he said: There is unity in diversity. And that unifying force is only that Seculiarism. Foresake it and you are cut as under into pieces, not woven into a single whole, because no on_P, would like to be treated as less than a citizen simply because he goes to the temple or the mosque or the church for his private. personal prayers. But what did we witness during the past two and a half years? There were communal riots in the past. Nobody would say, 'No'. It will be atrocious tp gay 'No'. But there were reports of the Member.on that side, who were in the ruling party, engineering the riots, Mr. Deputy chairman. And the riots continued not for days, not for weeks but for months on end. So the secular foundations were shaken --not only eroded a? thev sfiy it. Secular foundations were uprooted or

attempted to" he uprooted. TJiey would have been uprooted if the ex periment had continued for a period little longer. I must say here it is the unseen hand of God over this nation—that belief-whick is my comes to the rescue of the people of this country which enjoys the culture civilisation cient and and which shows light t_0 those so-called progressive nations of the world which are groping in the dark. dav So the unseen hand of God has shown that this nation must survive. fore, the attempts that were being with regard to the axing of made foundations—those hands to be withdrawn because of the un seen hand of God. What about linguistic or what about regional siderations? Has there been a single during instance the past thirty years during the General Elections? Almost the whole State could not go the polls. It has not happened immediately in the wake of the Par tition in 1947 when the First General Elections in 1952 were held. It was not so in 1957, 1967, 1971 and in 1977. entire region has threatened and A_n some unpatriotic and anti-national connived at forces have it. Support ed by foreign powers, they are sup the movement of secessionism, porting to say, seceding certain from tory this country. Mrs. Indira Gandhi, during her last regime, solv ed the Kashmir problem which hanging fire for almost 3° years. None in the world talko about it any more political except some lunatics. seated the border of Sikkim so that the nation could be safe and secure takes steps to prosper in its own But the Prime individual right. Minister of the country who assumed power in 1977 March unfortunately said—and immediately rushed to amend that it was his personal opinion—that the merger of Sikkim ought not to have taken place. The Indian people took two years to throw him. In any other country, people would have thrown him out in a few hours after utterances of this kind

President's Address

97

It i_s because the Indian people are tolerant, forebearing, indulgent, magnanimous When Mvr. Gandhi sealed that generous. border, she became the creater of geography as one of the hon. Members had said in the Central Hall of Parliament. On the creation of Bangladesh and at the ceremony when Bharat Batna was conferred on that great leader of the people of the country, some of the friends fr^{om} that side who are still in the Lok Sabha and who adorned the Treasury Benches only a months ago. said, "Here is Durga gifted by God to the people of India". All that was away in a moment and the moment they assumed power, they said that the first declaration they were going to make was about the appointments of commissions of inquiry. Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I challenge them to show me a single instance where the commissions of inquiry were appointed to humiliate and harass and persecute the ex-Prime Minister of the country. Can an ioto of evidence be produced in this august House by anyone show that the appointees of the Government by an ordinary, law. not by the Constitution, were there to go int₀ the actions of the Government? I am not saying that it is a good example to be followed. My leader has said that we are not going to be vindictive. But, Mr. Deputy Chairman, if an ordinary commission of inquiry is appointed, what during the Prime could not be found Ministership of Mrs. Indira Gandhi during the last eleven long years can be found during the 28 or so meagre month, when they were in power. Mr. Deputy chairman, this i_{s a} tribute to the democratic set up and the leadership of Mrs. Indira Gandhi that the commissions of inquiry could not find anything in spite of the fact that the people were sent abroad and with what they came back I think you know better and they know much better. And the reports were not revealed even though they went at the cost of the exchequer. So.

Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, the two and a half year, of history of this country is a sordid tale, a sad commentary on the democratic functioning of any country including even the country whom we do not call democratic though some of our friends sitting here think it to be democratic. The persecution that takes place even in an autocracy or under the dictatorships nale into significance before what had happened here during the past two and a half Therefore, the secular foundation, the only unifying force of welling the various types of people together into a nation, the geographical unifying force, that is Indian nationhood, also got weakened not only because of the ut-terrances and actions of that Government but also because of their doings and non-doings. m the North-Eastern region, which has throughout been more sensitive, the geographical bond The religious unifying force got weakened. Iposened, and the country was unfortunately the threshold of on not disintegration geographically but also disintegration even as a nation from man to man. Then came this Government. Morarji Government was non-performing as liig own Minister had declared, suceeding Government of Mr. Charan Singh was a non-Government. It could not govern. The law and order situation had gone bad. Sir, I am amused to find some notices of amendments pointing out that the law and order situation got out o' control during the care-taker Government. It was not so. The Chopra son and the Chopr₃ daughter were murdered when they were going to school in the evening for a function, and this was not during the regime of the care-taker Government. We do not have anything to distinguish between the Janata Government and the off-shoot of the Janata in the name of Lok Dal or any other name that you may call It was the people of India Mr. Morarji Desai may blame Mr. suffered. Charan

99

President's Address

[Shri A. R. Antulay] Singh and Mr. may blame Mr. Jagjiva_n Charan Singh But the people of India were not interested as to who was blaming whom. The people of India were interested in getting the right type of Government, the right type of polity which, unfortunately,, the people did not get and could not get. And as a result, when thig Government came into power by a massive mandate. Morariibhai said that it was a personal triumph of Smt. Indira Gandhi. think, no tribute can be bigger and better Smt. Indira Gandhi than this one from a person who almost hated her and who gloated and took pride in the fact that he was the person to expel her from the Parliament by moving a resolution and imprison her in a jail So, Sir, it was a called the Tihar Jail. censure against Mr. Morarji Desai and his Government when people said, "We elected her from Chikmaga-lore, you turned her out; now we are electing her not alone but we are electing her with a vast majority and that she should lead the country in a manner she wants so that the goods are delivered to the people of India". If Mr. Morarji Desai chooses to say that it was a personal victory and a of Smt. personal triumph GandM, yes, it is and it was and we are proud that we have got such a leader. After all, in a democratic set-up, it is the leade.r who leads. It is not the collective leadership of the sort we have seen either of that Congress and the Lok Dal or of the Janata Party during the past two and a half years. That has opened our eyes. It has to be a leader in a democratic set up, in a parliamentary system England many books of democracy. In have come out saying it is the Prime-Ministerial system of Government and the Cabinet system of Government. Change it there. Then tiy to change what we have adopted here. A_s I have said in the beginning, we are undergoing a tremendous experience endeavour where we are not. even enjoving

the powers that their parliamentary democracy enjoys and yet for 30 long years this country has sustained the democratic fibre. So, Mr Deputy Chairman, when I find the Leader of the Opposition and till recently the Leader of the House saving that de-stabilisation of the States should! not take place, wh;re did t.-.e concept 11 federalism go when the then Home Minister, Mr. Charan Singh, fired a letter to the Chief Ministers of the States who were enjoying more than two-thirds majority all over? Was that concept thrown to the wind? Yes, Mrs. Indira Gandhi did say it was undemocratic. But when the Supreme Court put its seal of approval, Mrs. Gandhi did not say anything thereafter. We bowed before what the judicial decision was. But today, surprisingly, all the hon. Members from the other side have given notices of amendments more than two-thirds of which are dealing with dissolution and defection. Defection? Mr. Morarji Desai was the Prime Minister and Mr. Chandrasekhar was the President, as he today is. have not only encouraged but made Mr. Sharad Pawar to defect and stab the Congress in the back. The_v lured him away and even gave him the political chocolate, called the Chief Ministership of Maharashtra.

AN HON. MEMBER; Lolly pop.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY; Now, Mr. Deputy ^Chairman, have they right to speak anything with regard engineering defections when they acclaimed Mr. Sharad Pawar as the leader who is out to fight authoritaria nism? Let alone authoritarianism, the legitimate authority even that the Constitution vested in you, you could not exercise. I think Mr. Charan Singh was more right, or who was more right I do not know, when he called them a pack of impotents. I am not thereby absolving him or all the blame and sins, but if you were determined to prove that Mr. Charan Singh was right in calling you im-potents, who can help it. And, if

Mr. Sharad Pawar could defect with his supporters by giving them the lure of office of Ministerial posts, are you today moving the amendments to say that defection should not take place? Has there been a single instance in this country when a Bil> on defection was moved in the Lok Sabha and, because of shouts and cries and dissenting note and also the opposition voiced by the important member called the General Secretary of the ruling party, the Bill wag withdrawan and are you saying that you have not given any assurance with regard to Bill on Defection?

Mr. Deputy Chairman, I do not want to waste the time of the 1 One has only got to go through these amendments to see what an irony of fate it is. I thought that people had given them enough beating, politically. People have punished them enough. But if they are also wanting to punish themselves again at their own hands by giving notica? of such amendments, who can help it? Shakespeare said—perhaps Dr. Sahib can help me there—that when Gods want to destroy,, first they turn them mad.

Now these amendment are moved. This party has been in power during the past 2-3 years and anybody, glancing over these amendments, will first for a moment think: 'TiH a week ago you were in power and we have had no time yet to settle, and yet you think of the document that you presented through the President of India v/hen he addressed the first joint session, see thing with hatred, bursting with venom against MK, Gandhi and her party, and you see this document now. Show a single word which is not befitting an honourable party, a responsible leader and a responsible party. We have not given promises. Many points that are there have been copied verbatim from our manifesto. I am not going into that. Anybody can see that it is Congress-! manifesto, whether it is Mr. Shahabuddin speaking about Urdu or Mr. Sankar Ghco-e talking about many other things; it i« verbatim from the manifesto.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN (Bihar): I would like to know,, Sir, whether it is proper on the part of Mr. Antulay to answer the amendments which have not yet been moved. They are not on the Table of the House.

President's Address

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: Mr. Deputy Chairman, he is a new Member. I can hon. Member-being understand the uncomfortable. He is a new Member. (/ntemtptions) I am not going to yield the

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Sir. he is almost replying to all the amendments.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: Mr. Deputy-Chairman, the amendments are in my possession. They have been circulated to the Members. Anybody can refer to them. So, Mr. Deputy Chairman, let the hon. Member take some lessons about the sittings and business of the House. So, whether the hon. Member, Mr. Shahabuddin talking about Urdu or the hon. Member talking about the Muslim cause.

AN HON. MEMBER: Ask him to sit down,. Sir.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The hon. Member has raised a point of order that the hon. Member who is moving the Motion, should not refer to the amendments because they have not yet been moved, i think the point of order is net well-taken because the amendments are so broad and they cover so many subjects that if you were not to do so, I think there would not be much left in the speech. Secondly, these are just broad ideas which would be formally presentee' in the form of amendment;. I do not think the hon. Member is debarred from referring to them even as direct amendments.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: So, all these things are promises given in our manifesto, to be done during the next five years. This shows that the manifesto and the Address of the President

[Shri A. H. Antutay]

are being mistaken for each other and confused which should not be a fact for those who have really many years to go in this House or who have been for many years in this House as Members.

Mr. Deputy Chairman, I will only touch upon a couple of points before I resume my. seat. Very interesting in this is by Mr. Jha viio says that as the largest single party, Mr. Jagiivan Ram should have been invited to form the Government. After the massive mandate by the people and, Mr. Deputy Chairman, after a complete rout, I really do not know what comment to make, if at all anything is warranted.

AN HON. MEMBER: Is he mentally fit?

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY: So, Mr. Deputy Chairman, these are the types of amendments that have come, simply because they cannot find any fault with this Presidential Address which speaks of secularism which to me is dearer than democracy, dearer than the rule of law,, dearer than anything else as a person belonging to the minority. It ispeaks of secularism, it speaks of socialism, it speaks of democracy, it speaks of self-reliance, it speakis of non-alignment, it speaks of selfrespect as a nation. I think, Mr. Deputy Chairman, not only I formally move a vote of thanks to the President,, but I really admire the President for giving in brief an Address which is full of meaning and import

Finally, I would only say a couplet passed on to my by my friend here before I resume:

वो जो कहते थे कि हम पर मौत है छाई हई, देख लें कैसे ब्राज हम है मौत पर छाए हए।

श्री कल्प नाथ राय (उत्तर प्रदेश): उपसभापति महोदय, राष्ट्रपति जी के श्रीभ-भाषण पर जो धन्यवाद का प्रस्ताव प्रस्तुत किया गया है, मैं उसका समर्थन करने के लिए खड़ा हुआ हं। ईश्वर को धन्यवाद कि उन्होंने इस देश को बचा लिया। उपसभापति महोदय, जब जनता सरकार . . . (Interruptions) में दोबारा कहना चाहता हं कि ईश्वर को धन्यवाद कि उन्होंने इस देश को खनी भेड़ियों के पंजों से बचा लिया । 1977 में जनता सरकार का निर्माण हुआ और राष्ट्रपति के अभाषण पर मैंने 6 अप्रैल, 1977 की इसी सदन में कहा था कि जनता सरकार का दिल टूटा हुआ है, जनता सरकार के विचार दृढे हुए हैं, जनता सरकार का मन ट्टा हुन्ना है। इसलिए यह जनता सरकार एक साल चले, दो हाल चले, मगर इसके बाद हिन्दस्तान की 60 करोड़ जनता, जनता सरकार को उखाड फैकेगी और घाने वाले जमाने में श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी ग्रीर उसके महान कार्यों की चर्चा करेगी, जा जात मैंने अप्रल, 1977 में इसी सदत में कही थी ग्राज वह बात ग्रक्षरणः सिद्ध हुई है। पिछले 3 वर्षों में जनता सरकार ने क्या किया वह आज देण के सामने है। जब हमारी सरकार सत्ता में ग्राई तो देश की नहीं बल्कि विश्व की महान नेता श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी ने पहले कहा :

"Indira Gandhi today appealed to the people to unite in a common effort to solve complex economic and social problems which have been aggravated by the interlude of non-concern and nongovernance. We have only one adversary, social and economic justice. We have only one goal to build up, a strong and .selfconfident, self-reliant independent India."

उपसभापति महोदय, जब जनता सर-कार सत्ता में ग्राई तो उसका एक ही लक्ष्य था कि इंदिरा गांधी को मिटाओ और एक ही लक्ष्य था कि श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी को हिन्दुस्तान के राजनीतिक के नक्शे से सदा 105

के लिए समाप्त कर दो । श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी को पिछले तीन वर्षों में लगातार एक नहीं अनेक मुकदमों में फंसाने, उनकी चरित्र हत्या करने, नेहरू परिवार को भिटाने की कोशिश जनता सरकार और लोक दल सरकार ने की । उपसभापित महोदय, ग्राज यही कारण है कि जनता सरकार ने इन सड़ी हुई नीतियों के कारण जनता ने उस सरकार को समद्र में फैंक दिया और कांग्रेस मरकार को मत्ता में कायम किया है।

उपसभापति महोदय, मैं ग्रापसे कहना चाहता हं कि आज चौधरी चरण सिंह और मोरारजी देसाई, ये लोग हिन्द्स्तान की बात करते हैं। इंदिरा जी ने कहा कि हमारी कोई दश्मनी नहीं है। हम सत्ता में आये हैं लेकिन हमारी कोई दृश्मनी नहीं है। हमारी दृश्मन है गरीबी, हमारी दृश्मन है बेकारी, हमारी दश्मन है सामाजिक उत्पी-डन, हमारी दश्मन है आधिक उत्पीड़न, हमारा सपना है शक्तिशाली हिन्दुस्तान का निर्माण, हमारा सपना है बात्म निर्भर हिन्द्रस्तान का निर्माण, हमारा सपना है भारत को शक्तिशाली बनाना । एक तरफ देश की नेता जिसके खन की ध्यासी जनता सरकार और लोक दल की सरकार थी, वे जब सत्ता में झाती हैं दो सारी उन पुरानी वातों को भूल कर, उन सारी बदले की भावना से बोत प्रोत जनता सरकार के लोगों की बातों को भल कर, उनका लक्ष्य रह जाता है, जनता की भलाई, राष्ट्र की भलाई, देश का निर्माण, मत्क का निर्माण। उस नेता का लक्ष्य यह नहीं है कि जनता पार्टी बरी है या लोक दल बरा है। चौधरी चरण सिंह बरे हैं या मोरारजी देसाई बरे हैं। मगर मैं कहना चाहता हूँ कि इन्दिरा गांधी के सपने का भारत जो वे बनाना चाहती है उस सपने के भारत के निमाण के लिए आज सहयोग की भावना

इस देण के अन्दर होनी चाहिये, विरोधी दल के अन्दर होती चाहिये, परन्तु उसका अनाव उनके अन्दर नजर आता है।

President's Address

उपसभापति महोदय, आज प्रजातंत्र क बात उठायी जाती है। मैं पूछना चाहता है कि जनता सरकार का निर्माण जब हुआ तब पहली कैविनेट मीटिंग में गह मंत्री चौधरी चरण सिंह ने विधान सभाग्रों को भंग करने का प्रस्ताव लिया सर्व सम्मत्ति से नी विधान सभाग्रों को भंग करने का कैबिनेट ने अनुमोदन किया । चौधरी चरण सिंह ने उत्तर भारत के मुख्य मंत्रियों को पत्र लिखा कि ग्राप अपने मन से विधान सभाओं को भंग कर दें। जब किसी मध्य मंत्री ने विधान सभा को भंग नहीं किया तो बिना गवर्नर की रिपोर्ट को लिए चौधरी चरण सिंह ने जनतंत्र का गला काट कर 9 विधान सभाग्रों को भंग किया था। उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रीर बिहार में बिना गवर्नर महोदय की रिपोर्ट के भंग किया था। दो वर्ष ही उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार और विहार की सरकार को काम किये हये थे कि उनको भंग किया गया। उडीसा की विधान सभा को मंग किया । उपसभापति महोदय, ग्राज प्रजातंत्र की बात ग्राती है दूसरी तरफ बनारसी दास की सरकार, राम सुन्दर दास की सरकार, उड़ीसा की सरकार, सारे उत्तर भारत की सरकारें केन्द्र की सरकार से कांन्क्रदेशन की स्थिति पैदा करना चाहती हैं। बनारसी दास ने बयान दिया है कि चुंकि जनता ने कांग्रेस को बोट दिया है इसलिए डीजल नहीं मिल रहा है, मिटटी का तेल नहीं मिल रहा है। एक तरफ जनता सरकारें, लोक दल की सरकारें केन्द्र से कांफ्रन्टेशन की स्थिति पैदा करना चाहती है खोर दूसरी तरफ प्रजातन का सबक सिखला रही हैं। मैं साफ तरीके से कहना चाहता हं, उपसभापति महोदय, यदि हिन्दस्तान में समाजवादी समाज के,

Motion of Thanks on

न र्गाण के सपने को पूरा करना है. अगर हिन्द्स्तान में केन्द्र ग्रीर राज्यों के ग्रन्दर एक सम्यक सामंजस्य स्थापित करना है, अगर मुल्क की जनता को 20 सूत्री कार्य-कम के अन्तर्गत जन राहत दिलानी है तो देश की नेता का यह कर्तव्य है कि वह देश की जनता की मनोभावनाओं का महे-नजर रखते हथे उन विधान सभाग्रों को भंग करें जो हिन्दुस्तान की केन्द्रीय सरकार को कंफ़न्टेशन की स्थिति पैदा करना चाहती हैं। मैं मांग करता हं कि उत्तर प्रदेश की, बिहार की, पंजाब की, हरियाणा की जो विधान सभाएं हैं जहां कि जनता और लोक दल का सफाया हो चुका है, वे भंग की जायें ग्रौर वहां पुनः चुनाव कराये जायें ताकि केन्द्र ग्रीर राज्यों के बीच सामंजस्य स्थापित हो श्रीर देश के श्रन्दर एक नए बातावरण में देश की जनता का कार्य हो सके।

उपसभापति महोदय, प्रजातंत्र की बात की जाती है । ब्रादरणीय चौधरी चरण सिंह ने प्रजातंत्र की बात की है। मैं आपसे पूछना चाहता हं कि पिछले चुनाव में क्या हुआ ? उपसभापति महोदय, में आपके सामने पश्चिमी उत्तर प्रदेश का एक आंकडा प्रस्तत करना चाहता हं। पश्चिमी उत्तर प्रदेश में चुनाव हुग्रा । कैराना लोक सभा क्षेत्र जहां चौधरी चरण सिंह की पत्नी श्रीमती गायती देवी चुनाव लडीं, वहां हमारी पार्टी के उम्मीद-वार को मावदाखेड़ी स्टेशन पर से एक बोट मिलता है ग्रौर उनकी पत्नी को 9 सौ बोट मिलते हैं। कैराना पोलिंग स्टेशन चर उनको 634 मिलता है ग्रीर कांग्रेस को जीरो मिलता है। विधान सभा क्षेत थाना भवन, विधान सभा क्षेत्र कामला. विधान सभा क्षेत्र कैराना, इन सारी विधान सभाग्रों में लाठी के बल पर सारे पोलिंग चुथों को कलेक्टर ग्रौर सुपरिटेंडेंट पुलिस

से मिल कर रातों-रात सारे पोलिंग बथों पर कब्जा किया जाता है। कांग्रेस के उम्मोदवार को एक सी ग्रीर लोक दल के उम्मोदवार को 1100 बोट मिलता है। इस पूरे पश्चिमी ग्रीर पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश में नौकरशाही का इस्तेमाल करके, जिलाधीश का गलत इस्तेमाल करके, पुलिस सूपीरटेंडेंट का इस्तेमाल करके पोलिंग बुध पर कब्जा करके, लाठी ग्रांर बन्द्रक के सहारे यह तीस-चालीस सीट जीत के ग्राये हैं।

यह लोग प्रजातन्त्र की बात करते हैं। में कहना चाहता हं कि हिन्दूस्तान की जनता का प्रजातन्त्र में कैसे विश्वास होगा? चौधरी चरण सिंह के खिलाफ कोई व्यक्ति चुनाव नहीं लड़ सकता है। ग्यारह हरि-जनों की हत्या कर दी गई। गांधी जी का नाम लेना और हरिजनों को वोट का मंह नहीं देखने देश । इस लाठी दल ने जो पुरे उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रीर बिहार में किया, मैं कहना चाहता हं हिन्द्स्तान में तीस-बत्तीस वर्ष के इतिहास में कभी ऐसा काम नहीं हुआ है। मैं चाहता हं कि विरोधी दल के लोग जो प्रजातंत्र की बात करते हैं. वे छ रौली क्षेत्र से लेकर कैराना--जहां लोक दल ने चुनाव जीते हैं, उसकी इन्क्वायरी कराएं, जांच कराएं कि क्या वहां पर कोई वोटिंग हम्रा है। रातों-रात बक्से भर दिए गये पुलिस के बल पर। कलेक्टर से कह कर पुलिस अफसरों की नियुक्ति कराई गई और सारे उम्मीदवारों के बक्सों पर कब्जा कर बन्द्रक के बल पर वोट ड.ले गये। इ.ग तरह से इनकी विजय हुई। यह प्रजातंत्र की बात करते हैं।

यह देश गांधी जी और जगहरलाल की कुर्वानियों से जो हिन्दस्तान आजाद हसा. यह देश की किस्मत है कि हमारा देश किसी तरह बच गया अगेर हम रे देश में श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी के नेतृत्व में एक स्थिर सरकार आई। 109

चोधरी चरण सिंह ने अभी लोक सभा में कहा है कि पिछले तीस वर्षों में कांग्रेस पार्टी ने क्या किय हूँ। उन्होंने कहा है कि अगर मेरी नीयत खराब होती तो श्रीमती इंन्दिरा गांधी की हालत बड़ी खराब होती। यह उन्होंने अपना स्पीच में कहा है विरोधो दल के नेता चरण सिंह कहते हैं कि हमने कमीशंस केवल बिठाये। हमने उनके ऊपर केवल ग्रोवर कमीशन, रेड्डी कमीशन, गुप्ता कमीशन, शाह कमीशन—प्रनेको कमीशन बिठाए, हमने उनको केवल जेल में डाला। अगर हमारी नीयत खराब होती तो हम क्या कर डालते।

में पूछना चाहता हं कि इस सदन के माध्यम से, कि चौधरी चरण सिंह की नीयत क्या थी और नीयत खराब होने का मतलब क्या होता है ? छोटी वातें करना चौधरी चरण सिंह--यह पालियामेंट इस बात का गवाह है कि चरण सिंह के भ्रष्टाचार के खिलाफ मैंने इसी सदन के अन्दर काशीपूर लैण्ड डील का मामला उठाया था । ब्राठ दिन उस पर बहस चली । चौधरी चरण सिंह सदन को छोड़ कर भाग गये । सदन में जवाब नहीं दे पाये । ग्राप तो सदन में भ्रव्टाचार को मिटाने की बात, बड़ी ऊंबी बात किया करते थे, पर जब उनके दामादों, उनके रिख्तेदारों ग्रीर उनकी बेटी पर प्रक्रन ग्राये तो सदन को छोडकर भाग गये। मैंने पालियामेंट के बारे में उसके खिलाफ प्रेस कां होंस की, उनके खिलाफ सूर्य में इन्टर-व्य दिया । तो उन्होंने पत्र लिखा कि क्या आपने इन्टरच्य दिवा है ? और यदि दिया है तो इन ग्रारोपों को वापिस कीजिए, माफी मांगिए, नहीं तत्र हम ग्रापके खिलाफ मान-हानि | का दावा पहली मई को करेंगे। मैंने उनको पत्र लिखा कि मैं चाहता हं चौश्ररी साहब कि आप मेरे ऊपर मान-

हानि का दावा करें ताकि में भारत की जनता के बीच साबित कर सक् कि एक कंगाल परिवार कैसे करोडवित बना ग्रीर करोडपति इन्दिरा गांधी का परिवार कैसे कंगाल बना ग्रीर यह भारत की जनता को मालम हो कि कौन ईमानदार है ग्रीर कीन बेईमान है। इस बात पर छोड़ कर भाग गये। कहां गया मानहानि का दावा ? जो लोग नैतिकता की बात करते हैं, चरित्र की बात करते हैं, ईमानदारी की बात करते हैं, वे पालियामेंट ग्रीर सदन को छोड़ कर भाग जाया करते हैं । ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, उन्होंने नीयत की बातें कीं कि अगर हमारी खराव होती तो यह काम करते । जनता सरकार के समय में इस मुल्क की नौकरशाही को डिमारलाइज किया गया इस मुल्क की पुलिस को डिमारलाइज किया गया । इस मुल्क के लोगों को खत्म करने की कोशिश की गयी, गरीबों को नव्ट करमे का प्रयास किया गया ।

उपसभापति महोदय, कौन नहीं जानता है कि सुन्दर डकैत जो 22 करल ग्रीर 70 डकैतियों का मुलजिम या श्रीर जिसे भागते हए पुलिस के डो ग्राई जो भिन्डर ने गोली मारी थी जब जनता सरकार आयी तो पहला पुलिस का डा आई जी वही मुग्नित्तिल कियागया। उस के ऊपर कमोशन आफ इनक्वायरी बैठाया गया । सुन्दर डकैत, जिप्त ने 22 कन्त किये और 70 डकैतियां डालीं, पुलिस के साथ एनकाउन्टर में मारा गया । चौबरो चरण सिंह ने अपने गह मंत्री के काल में हजारों डकैतों को एनकाउन्टर में मरवाया है, हजारों को उत्तर प्रदेश में मरवाया है, लैकिन जब 22 कल का मुल नजन मारा जाता है तो उसके लिए अनग से कमोशन आंफ इन्तकशयरी गृह मंत्रो बैठाते है।

- श्रिशे कल्प नाथ राधी मैं जानना चाहता हूं सदन के माध्यम से कि इस कातिल की रक्षा करने के लिए कौन सी उम्मीद थी ? मैं जानता हूं मुन्दर इकेत को इन्दिरा गांधी और संजय गांधी का कत्ल करने के पाल मेहरा के माध्यम से प्रेरित किया गया था। यह इतिहास है जिसे कोई बदल नहीं सकता। लेकिन इन्दिरा गांधी किसनी महान है।

जो तुझ को काटा दीय ताहि सीय

तुझ को फूल का फूल है, वाकी है तिरसूत ।

^{े हे} इस कहानी का प्रतिबिम्ब श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी है। जनता सरकार के लोगों ने लेसन नहीं लिया। हिन्दुस्तान का इतिहास इस बात का सब्त है कि जब-जब नारी का श्रपमान हुग्रा है तब-तब नारियों का भ्रपमान करने वालों का नाश हुआ है। रावण ने सीता का श्रपमान करने की कोशिश की उस का नाम हुन्ना, कौरवों •ने द्रं,पदी की इज्जल लूटने की कोशिश की उन का सर्वनाश हो गया ग्रौर इन्दिरा गांधी की इज्जत, नेहरु परिवार की चरिल-हत्या करने की कोशिश अपने की आप का सर्वनाश हो गया। ये इतिहास के लेसन

ीले बीत हैं। इसैवेंबर इ.बो. शहा के

चौधरी चरण ने कहा है कि तीस वर्षों में कांग्रेस ने क्या किया ! में कहता हूं कि जब हिन्दुस्तान ग्राजाद हुआ तो भूखा-नंगा, कगाल हिन्दुस्तान था, लेकिन जब इन्दिरा गांधी ने हिन्दुस्तान को जनता सरकार के स्पूर्व किया तो यह शानित-

शाली हिन्दुस्तान था। मैं पूछता हें कि क्या इन्दिरा गांधी से पहले हिन्दुस्तान इतना शक्तिशाली था ? क्या हिन्द्स्तान इन्दिरा गांधी से पहले ब्राधिक दृष्टि से तकतीकी दृष्टिं। में ग्रौद्योगिक दृष्टि से इतना शक्तिशाली था ? क्या हिन्दूस्तान इन्दिरा गांधी से पहले खाद्यान्न के मामले में ब्रात्मनिर्भर था ? क्या हिन्दुस्तान इन्दिरा गांधी मे पहले दुनियां के इतिहास में इतना शक्तिशाली था? क्या हिन्दुस्तान ने इन्दिरा गांधी से पहले दुनियां की विरादरी में इतना ऊंचा स्थान प्राप्त किया था? ये सवाल है।इन सवालों का जवाब हर हिन्द्स्तानी को देना होगा। मैंने पूछा कि क्या इन्दिरा गांधी से पहले हिन्दुस्तान इतिहास में दुनिया की बिरादरी में इतना गौरवणाली देश था। क्या हिन्दुस्तान दो हजार वर्ष के इतिहास में विदेशी हमला-वरों के सामने विजय प्राप्त कर सका था? मैं पूछता हूं कि क्या हिन्दुस्तान दुनियां का चौथा फॉटलाइजर-नेहाउ देश नहीं बना ? क्या तीस वर्षों में हिन्दू-स्तान दुनिया का ग्राठवा इंडस्ट्रियल पावर नहीं बना ? क्या हिन्दुस्तान तीस बर्षी में छठा एटामिक पावर नहीं बना? वया हिन्दुस्तान बंगला देश की जंग जीतने के बाद एक शक्तिशाली राष्ट्र नहीं तना ? क्या हिन्दुस्तान इन्दिरा गांधी के तीस वर्षी में दुनियां का तीसरा टेक्नीकल नोहाउ देश नहीं हम्रा ? क्या हिन्द्स्तान म्राठवां इंडस्ट्रियल पावर और छठा एग्रीकल्चरल पावर नहीं बना ? क्या 20 मिलियन टन अफर स्टाक हिन्द् स्तान के पास नहीं रहा क्या 500 करोड़ रु० का सोना हिन्दस्तान के खजाने में नहीं था ? क्या हिन्द्स्तान के पास 500 करोड़ रु० का फारेन एक्सचेंज नहीं था ? एक शक्तिशाली हिन्दुस्तान, एकताबद्ध हिन्द्स्तान, ताकतवर हिन्द्स्तान, खाद्यात्र में ग्रात्मनिर्भर हिन्द्स्तान, इन्दिरा गांधी ने जनता पार्टी को दिया था, लेकिन जनता पार्टी ने ऐसा, हिन्दुस्तान

पाकर भी विकास की दिशा में कोई कदम
नहीं उठाया क्योंकि उनके विचार टूटे हुए
थे। उपसभापति महोदय, श्रीमती इन्दिरा
गांधी के नेतृत्व में बीस-सूत्री कार्यक्रम
समाजवाद की दिशा में कदम था। बीससूत्री कार्यक्रम के श्रन्तर्गत भूमिहीनों को

भूमि दी गयी। अकेले उत्तर प्रदेश 3 г.м. में 15 लाख भूमिहीनों पर जनता सरकारने मुकदमा कायम किया । उपसभापति महोदय, 30 मार्च, 1976 को हिन्दुस्तान के इतिहास में पहली बार एक कानुन बना कि लैंडलेस के लिये लैंड, भूमिहीन के लिये भूमि, बिना घरवालों के लिये मकान, बिना जमीन वालों के लिये जभीन ग्रौर जमीन का मालिकाना हक, राइट ट्रप्रापर्टी होगा। पहली बार 30 मार्च 1976 को इन्दिरा गांधी की कलम की एक नोक से हिन्दुस्तान के करोड़ों लागों के दिलों में एक चिराग जला ग्रौर उसी 20-मुत्री कार्यक्रम की भावनात्रों के खिलाफ जनता ग्रीर लोक दल की सरकारों ने काम किया । मैं हरिजन सदस्यों ग्रौर देश की कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी के नेताग्रों ग्रीर बामपन्थी ताकतों से पूछना चाहता हुं कि क्या 20 मुत्री कार्यक्रम समाजवाद की दिला में एक कदम नहीं था ग्रगर उसके खिलाफ काम करने वाली सरकारों को ग्राप के द्वारा समर्थन नहीं दिया गया था ? हिन्दुस्तान का माडर्न इकोनामिक इंका स्ट्रवचर पं० जवाहर लाल नेहरू की ग्रध्यक्षता में विल्ड-ग्रप हम्रा था, लेकिन आरप तीन वर्षी में ही सीमेंट ग्रौर लोहा बाहर से मंगाने लगे कोयला बाहर से मंगाने लगे। जिन चीजों में हिन्दुस्तान ग्रात्मनिर्भर था उन चीजों को भी हम बाहर से मंगाने लगे। देश के प्रधान मंत्री ने कहा कि झगड़ा है ग्रामीण बनाम शहर का । शहर ग्रीर गांवों के बीच लड़ाई है। किसान ग्रौर गैर किसान के बीच, धनी ग्रीर गरीब के बीच, पिछड़े

श्रीर श्रुगड़े के बीच लड़ाई है। भारत के टुकड़े टुकड़े करना, देश में जातिबाद सौर प्रान्तवाद ग्रीर उसके द्वारा राष्ट्रीय एकना को नष्ट करने का प्रयास जनता मरकार श्रीर लोकदल की सरकारों ने किया। मैं पूछनाचाहता हूं कि जो हिन्दुस्नान स्टील के मामले में ग्रात्मितर्भर हो गया था, जो कीयले के मामले में ग्रात्मनिर्भर हो गयाथा, जो हिन्द्स्तान सीमेंट के मामले में भारमनिर्भर हो गया था, उन को ही हमने इंपोर्ट करना शुरु किया और प्राइवेट संक्टर इकोनामी को क्चलकर प्राइवेट सेक्टर इकोनामी को प्राथमिकता देने का क्चक जनता ग्रीर लोकदल की सरकारों द्वारा किया गया। मैं चौधरी चरण सिंह जी से पृष्ठना चाहता हं कि गांव का विकास कैसे होगा? क्या बिना सीमेंट के गांवों का विकास होगा? क्या बिना लोहे के गांवों का विकास होगा? क्या गांवों का विकास बिना नहरों के होगा? क्या गांवों का विकास बिना खेनी के होगा? क्या गांवों का विकास बिना टयब बेल के होगा ? क्या गांबों का विकास बिना सडक के होगा? क्या गांवीं का विकास जिना उद्योग धन्धों के होगा? क्या गांवों का विकास इंडस्टियल डेबलप-मेंट ग्रौर एग्रीकल्चरल डेवलपमेंन्ट के विना हो सकता है ? यह दोनों तो सप्लीमेंटरी श्रीर काम्प्लीमेंटरी है ट्ईच ग्रदर ? श्रीखो-गिक विकास पर ही निर्भर करता है खेती का विकास ग्रौर खेती के विकास पर ही श्रीद्योगिक विकास निर्भर करता है। इस मोटी सी बात का स्वप्न पं० जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने देखा हिन्द्स्तान में ग्रोर उसके ग्रनुरूप ही काम करने का प्रयत्न किया गया, लेकिन उन सारे मुददों की नष्ट करने का प्रयास जनता सरकार ने किया।मैं पूछनाचाहताहूं कि खेती की तरक्की कैसे होगी? खेती की तरक्की के लिये उपसभापति महोदय, ूफटिलाइजर चाहिए। खेती की तरककी के लिए पानी

116

[श्री कल्प नाथ राय]

चाहिए, खेती की तरक्की के लिए इंसेक्टिसाइड ग्रीर पेस्टीसाइड चाहिए। खेती की तरक्की के लिए ग्रन्छे बीज चाहिए। खेती की तरक्की के लिए ट्रेक्टर चाहिएं।तो खेती की तरक्की के लिये इन सब चीजों की जरूरत है। जब हिन्दस्तान ग्राजाद हम्रा था तो इस देश में एक छटांक भी फरिलाइजर नहीं था। इस देश में एक टन लोहा भी पन्लिक सेक्टर में नहीं था। इस देश में एक भी हवी इलेक्टिकल का कारखाना नही था। इस देश में एक भी टेक्टर का कारखाना नहीं था। इस देश में एक भी फॉटलाइजर का कारखाता नहीं था और देश में कोई कारखाना बनाने के लिये किसी प्रकार का इतका स्ट्रक्चर नहीं था । इन सारी चीजों की बुनियाद किस ने डाली ? मैं पूछना चाहता हं कि ग्रगर खेती के विकास के लिये फर्टि-लाइजर चाहिए तो फर्टिलाइजर कैसे बनेगा। उसे पैदा करने के लिये तो हम को लोहे की जरूरत होगी श्रीर लोहे के लिये हम को रूरकेला भ्रौर भिलाई के कारखाने बनाने पहेंगे ग्रीर जब लोहे का स्टक्टर तैयार हो जायेगा तो उस लोहे के कारखाने के लिये टरबाइन मणीनें चाहिएं, टरबाइन मणीनों के लिये हमें हैवी एलक्टिकल कारखाने चाहिए ग्रौर उस के लिये हमें नो-हाऊ चाहिए और उसके लिये श्रच्छे इंजीनियर चाहिए । इंजीनियर्स हमारे यहां पैदा हो जायेंगे तो हमें नेपथा चाहिए । समुद्र में छापा मारकर नेपया पैदा करना होगा । तल पैदा करेंगे तो नेपथा जब हम होगा, जब नेपथा होगा तब फर्टिलाइजर बनेगा । जब फर्टिलाइजर बनेगी, तब खाद बनेगी तो किसानों के खेतों में जाएगी । खेतों में जब खाद जाएगी तो उसमें पानी के लिए हमें ट्युबवैल चाहिए, ट्यूबवैल के लिए हमें बिजली

चाहिए । विजली के लिए हमें विजली पैदा करने के बड़े कारखाने चाहिए । इसके लिए हमें इजीनियरिंग कालेजों की ग्रावश्यकता होगी। पिछले 30 वर्षों के अन्दर कांग्रेस पार्टी और कांग्रेस की सरकार ने हिन्द्स्तान के बुनियाद की नींब डाली जिसके कारण हमारे देश में गल्ला 6 करोड़ टन से बड़कर 13 करोड़ हग्रा जिसके कारण हम हिन्दस्तान का निर्माण कर सके।

उपसभापति महोदय, मेरा कहना है कि इस देश की नेता श्रोमती इन्दिरा गांधी ने इस देश को समाजवाद का नारा दिया है। ग्रगर हक्मत हमारे हाथ में होगी तो हमें इसको लाना चाहिये। There must be political stability. If there is no political .stability, there cannot be any economic development. If there is no economic development, we cannot stop chaos and confusion in the country.

उपसभापति महोदय, राष्ट्र को एक झंझावात के बाद एक पोलिटिकल स्टेबि-लिटी मिली है। पोलिटिकल स्टेबिलिटी श्रीमती इंन्दिरा गांधी के नेतृत्व में देश में कायम हुई है। उस पोलिटिकिल स्टेबि-लिटी के साथ समाजवाद की दिणा में देश की नेता कदम उठायेंगी। देश की समस्यायों बड़ी हैं, गम्भीर हैं, स्थिति नाजुः है, परिस्थितियां गम्भीर हैं। इनको हल करने के लिए केवल भावनाओं में नहीं बहा जा सकता । एक शक्ति-शाली हिन्दुस्तान के निर्माण के लिए, एक समाजवादी हिन्दुस्तान के निर्माण के लिए, समाजवाद की दिशा में कदम उठाने होंगे । उस कदम के लिए जब तक हिन्दुस्तान की समाजवादी ताकतें इस सरकार का समर्थन महीं करती तब तक

इस मुल्क में लोकतंत्र कभी मजबूत नहीं हो सकेगा ग्रीर समाजवाद कभी मजबूत नहीं हो सकेगा ।

ब्राज दुनिया के मुल्कों में एक ऐक्सपरिमेंट चल रहा है। जिन मुल्कों में समाजवाद है वहां डेमोक्रेसी नहीं है, जहां डेमोकेसी है वहां समाजवाद नहीं है हिन्दस्तान में महात्मा जवाहरलाल नेहरू ग्रौर श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी के नेतत्व में डेमोकैटिक सोशलिज्म कायम करने का कदम उठाया गया है ग्रौर समाजवाद के साथ डेमोक्रेसी का समावेश कर एक जनतांतिक समाजवाद की स्थापना का संकल्प हमारी ग्राजादी के सेनानियों ने किया था ताकि मन की ग्राजादी के साथ, पेट की ग्राजादी भी द्या सके । ताकि द्यतीत को सामने रखकर ग्रपने भविष्य का हम निर्माण कर सकें। जो अरमान गांधी ग्रौर नेहरू के थे वही अरमान श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी के हैं कि हिन्दुस्तान एक शक्ति-शाली राष्ट्र बने जिसमें न कोई भुखा हो, न कोई नंगा हो, न कोई दबा हो. न शोषित हो, न पीड़ित हो, न कोई तबाह हो । इस नये हिन्दुस्तान को नेहरू ग्रौर गांधी के सपनों को साकार करना है ताकि ग्राने वाले जमाने में हिन्दुस्तान एशिया ग्रौर ग्रफीका के मुल्कों का भी नेतृत्व कर सके, नये विश्व का निर्माण कर सके, एक शक्ति-शाली दुनिया राष्ट्रों की बन सके।...

(Time bell rings)

उपसभापित महोदय स्राज दुनिया दो हिस्सों में बंटी है। एक का नेतृत्व पूंजीवादी स्रमरीका कर रहा है सौर दूसरे का नेतृत्व समाजवादी रूस कर रहा है। तीसरे जो डेवलिंग कंट्रीज हैं उनका नेतृत्व, एशिया स्रौर स्रफीका के मुल्कों का नेतृत्व हिन्दुस्तान की नेता श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी को करना है, भारत को करना है तािक दुनिया के राष्ट्रों की लूट न हो, नव-स्वतंत्र और विकासशील देशों को विकास मिल सके और हिन्दुस्तान के साथ-साथ इन देशों में भी समाजवाद थ्रा सके । एशिया और ग्रफीका के मुल्क भी हिन्दुस्तान के रास्ते पर चलें तािक पीड़ित मानवता दुनिया के समृद्धि-शाली राष्ट्रों, साम्राज्यवादियों की लूट से वच सके और हिन्दुस्तान प्रतीक बनकर उनका नेतृत्व कर सके । धन्यवाद।

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Motion that has been moved and seconded is as follow:):

'That an Address be presented la the President in the following terms:

'That the Members of the Rajya Sabha assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the President for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 23rd January, 1980.'"

There are 141 amendments to this Motion which may be moved now at this stage. I will call the hon. Members in whose names the amendments stand and they may please indicate if they want to move them.

Dr. Bhai Mahavir. Not here.

Shri V. B. Raju.

SHRI V. B. RAJU (Andhra Pradesh): Sir,, I move;

8. "That at the *tnd* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address makes no mention of the growing unemployment among the educated youth.'"

85. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely.—

'but regret that the Address does not give any assurance to the States that the State Assemblies

[Shri V. B. Raju]

119

would not be dissolved and the democratic functioning of the legislatures in the federal frame would not be interfered with by the Union Government.'"

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, I move:

9. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that the Address has failed to provide any assurance to the people of India that their rights and privileges provided in the Constitution will not in any manner be curtailed or circumscribed as was done in and after May 1975.'"

10. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address has failed to assure that the All India Radio and Doordarshan would be changed into an independent corporation as suggested by the Chanda Committee followed by the recommendations of the Verghese Committee."

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI (Tamil Nadu): Sir, I move:

11. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the machinations of U.S.A. to create tension in the Indian sub-continent by giving massive arms aid to Pakistan.'"

12. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address makes no mention of the increasing American Naval power in the Indian Ocean which endangers the security of littoral States in this area.' "

13. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention the steps to be taken for strengthening the federal character oi the polity in the changed political situation.'"

14. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address doe_s not mention about giving recognition to Kampuchian Government headed by Heng Samarin.' "

15. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about 1he hectic activities of C.I.A. and other foreign agencies in the North Eastern States in fomenting linguistic strife.'"

16. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the continued firing by Bangladesh Armed Forces on the Tripura border,, and the steps propose! to be taken to stop the same.'"

17. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the withdrawal of American forces from South Korea, which are a continuous danger to the security of the region.'"

18. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address do&s not mention about the acute shortage $_0$ f essential commodities in Tripura du? to disruption of road-transport in Assam-Meghalaya areas as a result of continuous agitation there ' "

19. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about bringing down the prices of essential commodities and their supply to the 121

people at reasonable prices Ugh fair price shop-s throughout the

20. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the failure of the Government to nationalise foreign banks, monopoly houses and branches of multinationals.' "

21. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the payment of bonus to Government employees and employees in Departmental Undertakings as referred wage.' "

22. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address S not mention about giving need-based wage to workers and employees.' "

23. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about full neutralisation of the rise in cost of price index.' '

24. "That at the e?id of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the failure of the Government to provide iobs to the unemployed in the country."

25. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the precipitate fall in the prices of agricultural products leading to the ruination of the peasantry."

26. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about giving

allowance to the unemployed person.;; in the country.' "

President's Address

27. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about introduction of free education upto the Secondary level throughout the country;

28. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the inclusion of Nepali language in the Eighth Schedule to the Constitution of India.' '

29. "That at the e?id of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about curbing the monopolies."

30. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the abolition of contract labour system in the country.'

31. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the danger to the Indian economy due to increasing concessions being given to foreign monopolists;"

32. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the failure of the Government to give credit facilities to the lowest strata of the society such as agricultural labourers,, poor peasants, unorganised workers, etc.""

33. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention abou¹; any radical measures for land reforms.' "

"[Shri P. Ranuvmurti]

34. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the failure of the Government to curb the increasing crimes in Delhi.'"

35. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

•but regret that the Address does not mention about fixing the minimum wage for agricultural labourers.' "

36. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the "Food For Work Programmes" in various States, particularly in West Bengal and Tripura.'

37. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the .Address does not mention about the severe crisis being faced by the traditional industries of Kerala, which has affected the livelihood of several lakhs of workers in Kerala.' "

38. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

•but regret that the Address does not mention about the inclusion of the Right to Work as a Fundamental Right in the Constitution of India.'"

(Amendment Nos. 11 to 38 also stood in the names of Shri Harkishan Singli Surjeet, Shri Pattiam Rajan, Shrimati, Kanak Mukherjee, Shri Vishwanatha Menon and Shri Syed Shaheduliah).

SHRI HARI SHANKAR BHABHRA (Rajasthan): Sir, I move:

39. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the need

for imposing a legal ban on poetical defection so as to stop their recurrence which was respoi for the dissolution of the Six'.h Lok Sabha before time and created unstable conditions at the Centre.'

108. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not make any mention that Government proposes to maintain the Federal character of the Constitution.' "

SHRI KALRAJ MISHRA (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, I move:

40. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

but regret that the Address does not mention about the need to change the present election system which involves incurring of heavy expenditure, provides encouragement to corrupt practices in elections and j_s incapable of ensuring proper represent to the political parties in proportion to the votes polled oy them.'

SHRI SHIVA CHANDRA JHA: Sir. I move:

41. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not mention that the Sixth Lck Sabha was dissolved beci the largest party under the leadership of Shri Jagjivan Ram was not given the opportunity to form the Government.'

42. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not clearly state that trie uncertainty in the country has been resolved.' "

43. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Addrssa does not mention that the present

125

44. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely.-

'but regret that the Address does not mention that the prices rose specially under the Caretaker Government headed by the former Prime Minister, Shri Charan Singh.' "

45. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention anything about the Sixth Five-Year Plan."

46. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the minimum programme of the Sixth -Five Year Plan.' "

47. "That at the e?id of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

•but regret that the Address does not mention about the development of the Press through the "Planned Press and Parly I'ress

Process".' "

48. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the Russian armed intervention in Afghanistan.' "

49. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: — 'but that the Address does not protest against the. American supply of arms to Pakistan.' "

SHRI SANKAR GHOSE (West Bengal): Sir, I move;

50. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about Government's intention to ensure that at

least one adult member per family is employed at a socially acceptable wage level within a time-bound programme.' "

President's Address

51. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not mention about setting up a special peacekeeping force composed of persons drawn from minority, communities, scheduled castes and scheduled tribes in order to control communal disturbances.'

- 52. "That at the end of the Motion,, the following be added, namely:- 'but regret that the Address does not mention about the recognition of Heng Samarin regime in Kampuchea.' "
- 137. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: - 'but regret that the Address does not envisage the setting up of a Commission for Relief from Natural Disasters with an adequate fund corpus to attend to such disasters expeditiously and* for affording immediate relief to those affected.' '

138. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address fails to declare t;->.e Government's intention to provide clean drinking water to all needy villages in five yeaic."

139. "That at the endof the Motion, the following be added namely.—

"but regret that the Address fails to mention anything about the revised or continuance of the scheme for the grant of pension for freedom fighters.' '

140. "That at the end of Motion, the following toe added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does net state that the School Health Programme and the mid-

President's Address

[Shri Shankar Ghose] day meal programme will be expanded and strengthened so ae to cover all children within a period of five years.' "

. 141. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not envisage the setting up of a National Commission on Teachers to consider all aspects relevant to the teaching community,, including their emoluments, with a view to according them their due status and for enabling them to play their Legitimate role in the educational process more effectively.' "

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Manubhai Patel, not here. Shrimati Leela Damodara Menon, not here. Shri Ajit Kumar Sharma, not here. Shri Surendra Mohanty, not here. Shri Buddha Priya Maurya, not here. Shri A. G. Kulkarni.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI (Maharashtra): Sir, I move;

72. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not contain an assurance that the State Assemblies will not be dissolved by the President, on the advice of the Government, thus putting an end to the persistent inspired statements emanating from sources close to Government, about Government's efforts to subvert the democratic process either by dissolution or by defection."

(The Amendment also stood in the names 0/ Shri K. V. Raghunatha Reddy. Shri S. W. Dhabe, Shri Nara-singha Prasad Nanda, Shrimati Ambika Soni, Shrimati Margaret Alva, Shri Jaharlal Banerjee, Shri Sankar Ghosh and Shri V. B. Raju).

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN; Sir, I move;

73. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not make anv reference to the implementation of the Sixth Five Year Plan.' "

74. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address makes no reference to the place of Urdu in administration, education and mass media.'"

75. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address gives no indication whether the Government propose to take any steps to curb the frequency of and intensity of communal violence.' "

76. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not address itself to the problem of unemployment, specially among the educated and the skilled youth.' "

77. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not indicate a clear perception of the threat posed by the U.S.S.R's occupation of Afghanistan to the security of the subcontinent as a whole and of the need to evolve a collective response of the States in the region to this threat.' "

78. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address gives an incorrect impression about our nonexistent relations with the ASEAN.' '

79. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address makes no reference to the continuing struggle against SouthAfrica and its policies of apartheid, economic exploitation and armed intervention."

Motion of Thanks on

' 80. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

'but regret that the Address makes no reference to the escalation of great power-rivalry in the Indian Ocean and specially to the expansion of the Diego Garcia base.""

SADASHIV **BAGAITKAR** (Maharashtra); Sir, I move:

81. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address not not categorically assure that taking into account the federal structure of our Constitution, the new Government will not disturb the duly elected Vidhan Sabhas either by dissolving them or by engineering defections.""

82. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about establishing price-parity agricultural and industrial goods."

83. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention that Government would immediately undertake the electoral reforms including State financial assistance to political parties."

SHRI S. W. DHABE (Maharashtra): Sir, I move:

84. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not contain any reference to the important problems like workers' participation in management bonus for all employees including

»6fl R&—9.

Central Government servants, plan to remove and eradicate the large-scale unemployment, comprehensive legislation for service conditions of rural labour, distri-tion of surplus land to landlesf and national public distribution system.' "

(The Amendment also stood in the name of Shrimati Ambika Soni).

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, I move;

86. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

but regret that there is not even a hint in the Address that there will be any radical structural reform or socioeconomic changes without which the problems causing havoc on the life of the toiling masses can never be solved."

87. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address is not only uninspiring and colourless but is one that holds out the bleak prospect of the continuation of the discredited policies in the interests of the monopolists and other exploiters."

88. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there, is no convincing indication in the Address of any change in the economic policy to bring down prices or even to arrest the growth of unemployment.' "

89. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

but regret that the Address completely ignores the monopolists and similar other exploiters, not to speak of taking any effective measure to curb them or to prevent the concentration of economic power.' "

90. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta]

'but regret that the Address does not take any serious note of the fall in prices of agricultural commodities nor does it propose any concrete measure to ensure remunerative prices to farmers."

91. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not propose, any effective measures for distributing essential commodities at fair prices to the poor people.'

92. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention the plight of the millions of Indian women due to their inequal social and economic status ever-increasing burden of dowry, almost total lack of em-'1 ployment opportunities, utter lack of education and the existence of terrible rate of illiteracy and steps to improve their lot, despite the fact that the International Women's Decade is still continuing.'"

93. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not promise inclusion of Nepali and Manipuri languages in the Eighth Schedule to the Constitution.'

94. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that while the Address fails to mention that the stability, the nation today needs, can never be brought about without improving the living conditions of the masses and ensuring them a better life and social justice.'"

95. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not take serious note of the

moves of the ruling party at the Centre to engineer defections ia the States on a large scale and even to arbitrarily dissolve the elected State Assemblies.'"

96. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address is totally silent on the need for radical electoral reforms, notably the replacement of the present electoral system by a system of proportional representation.'

97. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not show any concern over the unprecedented play of money power in elections and otherwise also in the political life of the country."

98. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that while touching on the Centre-State relations the Address makes no promise whatsoever to grant greater autonomy to the States or to increase their economic powers or provide them with greater resources or their developmental and other nation-building activities.'

99. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that while the Address speaks of the law and order situation it does not take note even of the fact that the crime in the capital city itself has gone up after the new Government has been formed thereby belying the ruling party's tall electioneering pledges.' "

100. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address totally fails to assess in the correct perspective the grave threat to the security of our region a»\$ indeed to the world peace resulting from the counter-offensive and aggressive moves including arms race of the U.S. imperialists and their allies in collusion with the • Chinese rulers.'"

101. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not identify and come out sharply against the imperialist threat to our region including the open armed attacks organised by these forces against the Democratic Republic of Afghanishtan and does not state that in fact, what India is faced with is a calculated offensive by U.S.A, which is not only abetted by other imperialist powers and the Chinese rulers, but which is also mobilising* the internal forces of counter-revolution and other reactionaries as is, for example witnessed in Afghanistan.'"

102. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

but regret that the Address fails to mention that U.S. imperi alists are rushing arms aid to Pakistan and are on a global offensive against world peace, independence and nations.'"

103. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address fails to take a forthright anti-imperialist stand, which alone can give meaning and content to India's policy of peace and nonalignment' "

104. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not take notice of the disturbing- attempts to engineer defections on a large scale in the Assemblies in the States under the non-Congress (I) governments and even to arbitrarily dissolve suck assemblies in flagrant violation of of all federal principles, nor doee it give any assurance that the Government will not in any manner encourage, directly or indirectly, such attempts at subverting the Constitution and flouting democratic norms and standards."

(The Amendment No. 104 also stood in the names of Shri A. G. Kulkarni, Shri P. Ramamurti, Shri Lai K. Advani, Shri Rabi Ray, Dr. (Shrimati) Sathiavani Muthu and Shri Buddha Priya Maurya).

128. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not indicate that the police and the civil armed forces will be reorganised to give adequate representations to the members of the Muslim minority community.'"

129. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not give any warning against any return to the style and ways of the Government and administration during the Emergency.'"

130. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not give a clear and firm assurance that there would be no encouragement to the trends that gave rise to the excesses committed during the Emergency, or to the forces, official or otherwise, involved in the commission af excesses."

131. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address rather loosely talks about 'a period of stable government at the Centre' overlooking the fact that such stability cannot be achieved in the interest of the toiling masses and of democracy

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta]

135 Motion of Thanks on

without radical socio-economic transformations, ensuring social justice to the exploited and the oppressed, without improving the living conditions of the masses which alone provide a solid basis even for a Govenmental stability.*"

132. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that while referring to the "deterioration in the law and order sector" the Address does not show any awareness that at the root of this deterioration there is frustration, unemployment and growing discontent among the youths."

133. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that while referring to the 20-point programme, the Address does not indicate that the Government have drawn the correct lesson from the failure in the implemention of this programme, despite the unlimited powers in the hands of the administration.'"

134. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

•'but regret that while assuring the 'Freedom of the Press' the , Address does not see the need for ending the control of the Big Money over the press, nor does it give any assurance that there would not be any covert attempt to influence the press and to make it fall in line with the Government.' "

135. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that while stating that 'A healthy and functioning parliamentary democracy, proceeds according to well laid down rules CSE the gam?, the Address does not take any note of the fact that these 'grounds rules' are already being disregarded or violat-

ed in the attempts to organise defections of the legislatures or in the moves to arbitrarily dissolve some State Assemblies.' "

136. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not share the public concern. that some high officials, guilty of the emergency excesses, are being brought back to keep positions and in some cases, even promoted.'"

SHRI ALEXANDER WARJRI (Meghalaya): Sir, I move;

105. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

•but regret that the Address does not mention about the final political settlement in Nagaland with special reference to the Shillong Accord signed between the Naga underground representatives and the Governor of Nagaland representing Government of India.'"

106. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the steps to be taken by the Central Gov-^ ernment regarding peace talks with the Miz rebets—particularly the M.N.F. leader Mr. Laldenga.'''

(The Amendment Nos. 105 and 106 also stood in the name of Shri Khyomo Lotha).

107. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention specifically about the danger from inclusion of large-scale foreign nationals in the electoral rolls of the States of L North-Eastern Region and the steps taken to delete their names from rolls.'

(The Amendment No. 107 also stood in the names of Shri Khyomo Lotha,

Shri Sriman Prafulla Goswami and Shri A jit Kumar Sharma).

109. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the solution of the boundary disputes between different States in North-East'."

(The Amendment No. 109 also stood in the names of Shri Khyomo Lotna and Shri Scato Swu).

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, Shri Harekrushna Mallick, not here. Prof. Sourendra Bhattacharjee, not here. Then Shri Advani.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI (Gujarat): Sir, i move;

llf. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

"but regret that the Address does nol make any mention about the st';ps to be taken to avert destabilizing State Governments'."

113. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following be *added*, na-melj: —

but regret that no mention has been made in the Address to following due process of law and fab- and expeditious trials to maintain rule of law'."

IV,. "That at the *end* of the Motion, the following, be added, namely. —

'tut regret that no mention has beei made in the Address to re-vievf the existing constitutional provisions relating to the powers of tie President and State Govern! lents, the imposition of President's rule and the extent of, and limitations on. the powers of care aker Government'."

118. "That at the end of the Mo tion, the following be added, na mely:—

'but regret that no mention has been made in the Address to reform the present electoral system.' "

119. "That at the *end* of the Mo tion, the following be *added*, *na mely:*—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address to lower the voting age to 18."

120. "That at the *end* of the Mo tion, the following, be added, na mely:—

'but regret that the Address does not make any mention about any steps to stop defections by introducing appropriate legislation to ban defections.'"

121. "That at the *end* of the Mo tion, the following be added, na mely:—

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the steps to control prices and to prevent erosion of real income."

122. "That at the end of the Mo tion, the following be added, na mely:—

'but regret that the Address makes no mention to ensure the primacy of agriculture and rural development in planning for country's development.'"

123. "That at the *end* of the Mo tion, the following be added, na mely:—

'but regret that the Address makes no mention for the continuation of the 'Food for Work' programmes initiated by the previous Government.'"

124. "That at the *end* of the Mo tion, the following be added, na mely:—

•but regret that no effective measures have been outlined *ixt*

[Shri I,al K. Advani] ' the Address to stop arson, loot and murder that are being periodically unleashed in Delhi."

125. "That at the end of the Mo tion, the following be added, mely:-

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of interference by fhe Centre to topple non-Co>.igress Governments in the States."

126. "That at the end of the Mo tion, the following be added, na mely:-

'but regret that the Address makes no mention about the steps to be taken to prevent corruption in public life and to re-introduce the Lok Pal Bill introduced by the previous Government.' "

127. "That at the end of the Mo tion, the following be added, na mely:-

•but regret that the Address makes no mention to amend the Constitution to provide explicit protection to the freedom of the Press.""

The questions were proposed. MR. CHAIRMAN: The motion and DEPUTY the amendments are now open for discussion.

As honourable Members are aware, three days, that is, twelve hours, have been allotted for this debate. And obviously when Members speak, they speak in their party's time. But as the debate proceeds only very few Members are adjusted and some party Members who are at the bottom of the list and who are naturally keen to participate in the debate, are not able to d₀ so. So the honourable Members who speak will please keep that in mind; otherwise, they would be depriving their colleagues in the same party of participating in the debate. Now, these twelve hours are to be distributed over three days. Tomorrow

we may have to adjourn at about 4, j little earlier, because many Members want to witness Beating of the Retreat, which means today we have to sit longer. So I will seek your cooperation in this. We can sit a little longer today, maybe, 6 or beyond if the House so wishes, so that as many Members as possible could be accommodated. Once again I would request honourable Members to keep the timelimit in mind so that more of them could take part in the debate.

Now, Shri Advani.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, I rise formally to associate myself with the Motion of Thanks moved from the Treasury Benches though I must express my deep disappointment with the contents of the Address. In fact, the numerous amendments that have been moved from this side of the House reflect the measure of disappointment that has been felt by most of us here with the Address, i look at the Address in two parts. The first part refers to the state of the economy. In that course an attempt has been made to run down the achievements of the last Governments and to say that the difficult economic situation the country is faced with today is a result of what the last three years of governance have brought to the country. It is a matter of facts. It is not a matter of impressions, i would have no hesitation in conceding, that the result of the last election, has been a vote against -the outgoing Governments. It reflected the people's disappointment with the Janata Government and the Lok Dal Government. But that disappointment should be viewed in a proper perspective. I will deal with it later. But X would not expect the President's Address to be wrong on facts. My preliminary objection to the Address is that in so far as economic facts are concerned, the first part is wrong on facts. The President has been made to say that agricultural production has gone down, that industrial production

has been stagnating and that exports have gone down. Similar speeches are being made outside the country and speeches have been made in the course of the elections also. These have no relevance whatsoever to facts.

141 Motion of Thanks on

I would briefly sum up the facts and then let the Treasury Benches decide whether it was proper for them to frame the Address in this manner. As far as agricultural production is concerned, I am sure that everybody on the other side also is aware that 1977-78, and 1978-79 have been years when the production has been going up and up. At least for the first two years of Janata rule, 1977-78 and 1878-79, the total production of food-grains was 256 million tonnes which was 24 million tonnes more than the total production of the best two years in the preceding one decade, the dynamic decade.

SHR KALYAN ROY (West Bengal): Sir, none of the Cabinet Ministers is here in the House. When the Leader of the Opposition is speaking, at least one Cabinet Minister should be here to listen to him.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI YOGENDRA MAKWANA): Shri A. P. Sharma is supposed to be here. He has gone for some work. He will come now.

DR. RAMKRIPAL SINHA (Bihar): At least courtesy demands that he should be here when the Leader of the Opposition speaks.

SHRI YOGENDRA MAKWANA: He was here. He has just gone for some work.

SHRI ERA SEZHIYAN (Tamil Nadu): He says the Cabinet Minister has gone for some work. Is this not his work?

DR. RAMKRIPAL SINHA: Sir, they should send for a Cabinet Minister, or till then you can adjourn the House.

SHRI HARI SHANKAR BHA-BHRA; They should listen t₀ the speech patiently, without moving here and there.

SHR! LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, again coming to industrial production, it is wrong to say that industrial production has stagnated during the preceding three years. It is true that the voter outside made no distinction between the Janata Government and the Lok Dal Government. But people here should be able to make a difference and say that this happened during this period and this happened during a different period. Particularly when the Address comes from the President, on point of fact it should be precise and correct. For the President to say that industrial production has gone down, agricultural production has gone down, exports have gone down or that all the gold reserves have been sold, this was being said during the election campaigns, is not proper. Statistics are available on exports during the first two years.

According to these statistics the exports during these two years were worth Rs. 11095 crores. This figure represents Rs. 2,000 crores more than even during the two years of the emergency period, which are being projected as the best period from the point of view of economic development. Similarly, industrial production in 1977-78 and 1978-79 rose by an average of 5-9 per cent per annum as compared to the average rise of 4.8 per cent per annum during the earlier six years. These are statistics. These are hard facts. And when an Address is framed, at least these facts cannot be ignored. So my first complaint is against the first part which, in an attempt to run down the earlier regimes, does net even care for facts. While comparing the 1977 Address with th«

[Shri Lai K. Advani] 1980 Address my friend, Shri An-tulay, said that that Address spouted venom, while this is a very sober document. Frankly, if you were to assess and analyse objectively and condemn us, I would not demur. It the Government had gone wrong- the Janata Government or the Lok Dal Government-and you criticize that Government in order to enable the country to move forward in the right manner; I would not object to it. But 1 would certainly object to it when you talk of reconciliation, when you talk about not having any vindictiveness or vendetta1 and at the same time, going about and seeing to it that the whole country is destabilised seeing to it thai emergency people are brought back, despite everything.

Many a time, I feel that while we in the Government said what we believed in, did accordingly, here is a Government which says something but does something else. After all, the two speeches just now made have in a way served a notice on the country that "we are going to dissolve the State Assemblies": it is a notice before the House. And they take recourse to what the Janata Government had been doing earlier— not that what we are doing is the right thing to do but because the Janata Government did the same thing in 1977 and therefore, we do propose to dissolve the legislatures. That was the sum and substances of the two soeechec; made. T will come to that later, and particularly to the judgment that has been referred to by Mr. Antulay on the basis of which it was decided to do that or to think in that direction

In the second part of the Addreng I was looking forward to some direction in which the Government want to go. 1 would not exDect the substance, because Mr. Antulav is very right when he says that we have just come into office; it is merely a fortnight. I would not expect substance

in that Address. But 1 would certainly seek a direction. I am sorry t* say that the Address lacks even direction. There is no direction, it is just; a string of pious platitudes and generalities. 1 do not see what enlightenment it gives to say that this Government will do its utmost to put down lawlessness. Every Government has to do that. No doubt about it. It was made a major public issue here during the elections in Delhi that under the Janata regime, chains snatching wag going on and women were not safe in the streets. But what has happened during the last fortnight? It is now your Government. What, has been happening? I do not say that it is because of you that 'all these crimes are taking place. j d® not say that. I am not one of those who try to use every issue for political ends. 1 merely say that in a city like Delhi if there is crime, that crime should be tackled firmly, administratively; if there are shortcoming* and weaknesses in the police administration, they should be corrected. But do not try to politicalise everything. If you try to do that, then there will be people who will com© with facts during the last 14 days., There have been numerous dacoities and murders. In fact never before during the Janata regime sa many crimes taken place in so short a duration. I would only plead that there are issues which should be kept outside the pale of party politics. But, unfortunately, you have been doing just that.

Then, you have said: "stringent action will be taken against antisocial elements such as smuggler* hoarders and black-marketeers'* A very good stat°ment! I wo^d have no objection if you bring a stringent law against b'*TKPti a,r , S vr^n will suoport you. But I am surprised to find that here is a t>artv which was in the Opposition opoosed such a law when the Lok Dal Government promulgated an ordinance providing fot preventive detention of black-mar-keteers and hoarders.

would seek the cooperation of all political

145

groups.

We know from experience that in the past when MISA was enacted, it was said that it was not going to be used for political purposes. A written commitment was given to Shri Morarji Desai by the then Prime Minister, Shrimati Indira Gandhi, Shri Uma Shankar Dikshit had stated that MISA would not be used for political purposes. It was said that it would be used only against anti-national elements and against those who were a danger to the security of the country. But we have seen before our eyes as to what happened. (Interruptions).

जिस मीसा के लिए भ्रपने वावदा किया था उसी मीसा के तहत 19 कहीने जेल में रहे हैं।

Do you expect this country to be taken for another ride and aHow you to make this ordinance a permanent Act on the Statute Book and give you power to use it against political opponents and to use it for ulterior things? We are not going to do that.

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT (SHRI A. P. SHARMA): Mr. Advani brought this ordinance. The same thing is being done today.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: i did not bring it. Those colleagues too who brought it know your Government well. Your Government has given everyone a taste of what you are capable of doing. Therefore, I cited the example of MISA.

हरिसिह (गुजरात): मध्य प्रदेश में क्या हका ?

President's Address

श्रीलक्त कृष्ण श्रहवर्णः : मध्य प्रदेश की सरकार ने जिस समय धार्डिनेंस लाया तो जनता पार्टी की सेंटल हाई कमान ने कह दिया था कि बापिस ले लो । मध्य प्रदेश का जिक मत करो । जो बात गलत होती है उसको मैं गलत कहता हं। चीफ मिनिस्टर भी इसकी गवाही उनमें से था जिन्होंने ग्रानिंस के लाए जाने किया था ।

SHRI YOGENDRA MAKWANA: You did it even without that.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; So far na I am concerned, I am proud to be a follower of that great illustrious parliamentarian, Dr. Shyama Prashad Mukherjee and I recall that one of the best speeches that has been made in parliamentary history is the speech that he delivered in respect of preventive detention. I cannot compromise with preventive detention. The second part of the Address contains generalities and platitudes. "The role of science and technology will be strengthened." "The Government reiterates its commitment to the freedom of the press". I was looking forward to something more. I do not object to these sentences. To say that "we are committed to the freedom of the press", by itself, does not meaa much. Although, the moment a journalist reads "reiterates its commitment to the frepdom of the press'* and this reiteration coming from a Government headed by Mrs Gandhi, he is bound to be disturbed. When this Government says "I reiterate our commitment to the freedom of the press", does it mean the same kind of commitment in action which it had shown? Only this morning I was reading an inter-sting article writtea by a journalist from Chnndi^arh, Mr. Prem Bhatia. He has commented 00

147

how the press is reacting to the new situation. Mr. Bhatia writes: "During these two weeks, there are distinct signs of caution among editorial writers and political columnists. Everyone has his hand on hia heart about what is going to happen. They seem anxious. No one in the press is really looking for trouble. The gentlemen and ladies of the press are feeling their ground." He concludes with something which echoes what I had said earlier. He says; "Let us see how long it take, our once brave journalists to start crawling again." This is something which reflects what the press feels about your Government. Mr. Sathe is not here. I would not blame him until I know something very specific. But the situation is such that the press feels that the moment they do something, they criticise the Government, they criticise the present Prime Minister particularly, then God knows what will happen.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: How to remove it? SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I will tell you ag to how to remove it.

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI (Uttar Pradesh): I want to ask you one thing. You have quoted from the article of Mr. Prem Bhatia. I should like to know whether it is a reflection on the press or it is a reflection on the Government's attitude towards the press.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: It is essentially a reflection on the press but it is also a reflection on the press's view of your Government, what the press thinkg of your Government. That is also true.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: That is your interpretation.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Sir, I am speaking within the constraints of time. Otherwise, on a subject like this, I can give numerous instances. I shall give one example. I remember that when our Government was

swearing in, at the first swearing in, our colleague, Jagjivan Ramji, at the beginning had certain reservation. He did not turn up for the swearing in. That became front-page banner headline news, understandably and rightly so. This time, when ceremony is held of a new swearing in Government, who are there with a 'massive mandate' as they describe it-I do not agree and I will come to that later on—and at that solemn swearing in ceremony where the Ministers who are to be sworn in have been invited by the President, one of tho $\!_{S}\!e$ who has to be sworn in as a Minister walked out of the meeting. Thi_s happened for the first time in 30 years. As a journalist, it was frontpage banner headline news for me. none of the newspapers in Delhi put it on the Only a couple of newspapers front page. recorted it, that too in a corner. I began to wonder as to what is happening. Mr. that this Dwivedi would say is a reflection on the press, this is not a reflection on the Government. This is certainly a reflection on the press and the press's view ag to what is going to happen now. But more than that it is an expression of the apprehensions in their minds, and it is for you, because of your record, because of your past, because of the emergency, to see that these apprehensions are completely erased. responsibility lies on you.

Sir, I read a statement this morning made by Mr. Sathe. I wish he were here now because I was intrigued by his statement. I do not quite understand the meaning of this. He was addressing the meeting of the All-India Newspaper Editors' Conference yesterday and there he said that the terms of reference of the Press Commission will be made more comprehensive. Well, I would not quarrel much with that though I do not regard that as either proper or necessary. "Those members who had left will be replaced by others." The moment I read this, I started making enquiries as to have left. A

[28 JAN. 1980]

member of the Press Commission met me day before yesterday and I had enquired from him about the Commission. He had told me nothing about anyone leaving. When I enquired this morning, I am told that because the Press Commission was appointed by Mr. Advani by the Janata Government, when the Lok Dal Government came in, all of them immediately tendered their resignation, including the Chairman, to the then Government. The then Government, however, asked them to continue, and they continued. Similarly, when a new Government came in, the Chairman as well as the other members, following the formality which was observed earlier, tendered their resignations. It is entirely for the new Government to do what it wants. Now what Mr. Sathe said is that "those of them who have left will be replaced by others." I thought that perhaps one or two have left and so they are going to be replaced. I would have no objection to that. The Press Commission has resigned which is a formality, which involved a propriety, and they are entitled to do it. Now, the Government, it seems, is going to have a fresh Press Commission. Or, as I apprehended what is going to be done is that the Press Commission is going to be packed with people who are regarded as conformists and those who are regarded as being against the emergency or against the measures taken against the press during the emergency are going to be shunted out.

SHRI YOGENDRA MAKWANA: Do you reflect on what you did? You replaced all of them by R.S.S. people. You are reflecting, the same people.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; Yes, Mr. Nikhil Chakravarti was there, Mr. Abu Abraham was there. They are all R.S.S. people. Do not throw them out.

श्री श्ररविन्द गणेश ["]कुलकणी : मकवाणा जी आप आभी मिनिस्टर वन गये हैं, आप जरा ... (Interruptions) SHRI S. W. DHABE; He has forgotten the hegemony.

150

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I would urge upon you, not to touch the Press Commission. The Press Commission was about to complete the work in December. I know the valuable work they have been doing. I know how much exertions they have undertaken. I do not know what their conclusions, what their findings and what their recommendations are. But I know that they have been taking a lot of pains in doing their work and it would be improper for any Government to try to meddle with it at this juncture when they are about to submit their report and try to change the composition of the Commission.

Sir, in the same context, I would also like to say that in this House and in the other House I felt very happy when it was decided that the Bill to convert the AIR and the Doordarshan into an autonomous corporation shall be referred to a Joint Select Committee. There was wide agreement that a broadcasting organisation like the Akashvani or Doordarshan can best serve the people and can best perform its role of educating, informing and entertaining the people, if it is freed from Governmental and administrative shackles or bureaucratic shackles and made autonomous. There was a demand from all sides that it should be made more autonomous than the Prasar Bharati Bill contemplates and I am very happy to say that in the Joint Select Committee also I found a measure of consensus emerging on the broad provisions of the Bill. I wonder how the Government feels about it today. The new Information and Broadcasting Minister has expressed somewhere that he would look at the flatter with an open mind. I would be very happy and personally also very happy because I have been pursuing this matter for the last many many years, if even now thU Prasar

[Shri Lai K. Advani]

Bharati Bill, as we contemplated i*» Or as you think would be better, is brought before the House at the earliest. It is, therefore^ that I have given notice of an amendment expressing regrets that there is no mention of the question of AIR autonomy.

Sir, Mr. Antulay has said that they have got a massive mandate. This has become a cliche somewhat. It was said in 1971. It was repeated in 1977 about the Janata Party. It has been said once again this time in 1980. First Mrs. Gandhi's Congress, undivided Congress or rather Congress (R), then the Janata Party in 1977 and then the Congres_s (I) in 1980 have said that they got massive mandates from the people. It would be right to say that the Janata Party in 1977 or the Congres₃ (I) now had a massive majority in the Lok Sabha. But in terms of popular support it has not got a massive mandate. What to speak of a massive mandate? It has not even a majority mandate. This is a gift, this is an illusion given, to all of us over and over again because of this majority system of elections that we have. It is a quirk of this system that by a 5 per cent shift in the voting or a 10 per cent shift in the voting there is the illusion of a landslide victory as if all others have been wiped out and that party only represents the whole people.

SHRI KALP NATH RAI: This is the second independence.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; Yes, because so many people who have been behind the bars, including Shri Jayaprakash Narayan, have been released.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA (Maharashtra): You also called it a massive mandate. You were equally in the minority. That is the parliamentary • system.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I said the phrase was used in 1971 and 1977 and the phraie was again—used in 1980.

As a person who has been taking a lot of interest in the electoral systems* I feel that this is not a correct description.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: What abouft proportional representation?

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Yes, yes, I am coming to that. Why be impatient about it?

SHRI KALP NATH RAI; We have mature people.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; Yes, people of India are no doubt mature people. You got a massive majority in the Lok Sabh_a in 1971 but when, you betrayed their trust, the people voted against you; they voted yow out absolutely. Again, they voted us into power with a massive majority in the Lok Sabha . . .

AN HON. MEMBER: And they voted you out.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Yes, we let them down.. I do not blame the people at all. We let them down by our infighting and squabbles. When they found that leaders in this party kept on fighting all the while. However, I would not accept it and t would not agree to it that the Janata Government did not perform well. The Janata Government performed well but it behaved badly. Because it behaved badly, therefore, the people reacted and the people said that this kind of infighting and squabbling is not the right way in which we should be governed and, therefore, they told us: You go to the opposition. So, here we are, in the opposition. But what I am saying is that it should be realised and recognised by all of US, whether we are on this side or that side that after all, 57 per cent of the people are not with you if they are not positively against you. Forty-three n~r cnt vote means, forty-three per cent of those who voted are with you and 57 per cent of the people who voted are either against you or not with you. That is the reality

ihat we have to take into account. If we do that, then there would be a measure of humility in our approach.

153

AN HON. MEMBER: That is true of all governments.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Yes, that A/i true of all governments in this particular system, not in the world. It is true of countries which have the majority system of elections, which I am sure Mr. Antulay knows, is, confined to the Anglo-Saxon countries and the countries of the Commonwealth which for historical reasons have been associated with England. In Europe, you do not have this. In most countries of Europe, you have some form of list system; you have some form of proportional representation. The other day my friend Mr. Khobragade said that at one time, the opposition often used to talk about proportional representation and now they do not talk. As a member of the Government I could not give my persona], views but I have been pleading over and over again that elections ought not to be reduced to a gamble as they are. Today they are a gamble. After all, a five per cent shift thig way or that way makes alV the difference. In 1962, there were five seats of Lok Sabha from Delhi. There was no Janata party then. In Delhi, it was the Jana Sangh. The main parties used to be Congress and Jana San-gha, and the Congress then got all the nve seats and Jana Sangh got-zero. In 1967, out of seven seats- because by that time, the number had been increased—Jana Sangh got six and Congress got one. Again in 1971, Congress got all the seven and the Jana Sangh got zero. Again in 1977. the Janata Party got all the seven and the Congress got :.ero. This time. Congress not six and ^nata Party got one. Now, in all these elections, except in 1977 when the gulf was wide between Janata and Congress, in all other elections right from 1962 till today the gulf has always been just about ten per cent; may be the votes of

the two parties would be 40 per cent and 50 per cent; may be 38 per cent and 48 per cent, that kind of difference has been there always. Just with a 6 per cent swing this way or that way, one party is deprived of all the seats. And it is, therefore, that the li' era! critics of the majority system of elections in England have very picturesquely said that the Englishman has an instinctive weaknes, for betting, for gamling, for horse-racing and thia weakness of the Englishman finds a reflection even in his electoral sya-tem.

Shri Khobragadeji referred the other day to the need of proportional representation system. I do not agree with it. I am of the view that in a country like India where actual constituents are to be attended to, actual developmental needs of the constituents to be attended to, we must have a kind of admixture of the list system as well as the majority system. Something like Something like what West Germany has today. Fo_r the last 30 years, for the last three decades it has been experimenting with it and it has been very successfully tried. have something like this system in our we will have stable politics which country. today swinging like a pendulum, from one party to another. Whichever party comes into power has the illusion of being the sole representative of the whole country. (Interruptions). Because all ddi not rupee, this could not be done. It need, a consensus, a broad consensus. Many people are not familiar with the mechanics of the whole system also. When I suggested the system, they said what is the list system. So, it takes time. I wanted to point out that when we were in the Government, the Janata did start a process and had Government come to certain conclusions in respect of electoral reforms. For example, we had taken a decision for public financing of elections. There is the decision that the voting age should be red»-

[Shri Lai K. Advani]

ced from 21 to 18. Several such decisions were taken. We had decided that we will first discuss them with the opposition before initiating legislation. The work JS done there. It is there in the files. You have only to go into that, the kind of work that we have dene ana if you follow it up credit comes to you, it will he fine. But the electoral system badly needs to be' reformed. There is the need also to curb the influence of money power in elections. During the last elections money has been spent like anything. It is fantastic. Li you can do something in that regard, you will have made really a worthwhile contribution to the cleansing of the politics.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Gujarat): What do you think? Will they do it?

SHRI KALP NATH RAI: Do you want presidential system. Mr. Advani, like America?

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: No, I am not in favour of that. Oun friends in the OPT and the CPM are also hi favour of some proportional representation system. I am sure, they would be conscious that if We have had some such system, the results that are there today in Kerala would, not have been there. The results would have been entirely different.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: It would be different over the rest of India also (Interruptions).

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Yes, yes everywhere. That is why I was saying that this is also true that there is an illusion today in Kerala that it is the left front that has swept the polls. But that is not the fact. I was looking at the figures and I find that the left democratic front obtained 50.6 per cent of the popular vote, whereas the united democratic front on the other side got 49.4 per cent of the vote. There is hardly a difference of 1.2 per cent extra vote and this has made difference.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: Despite that they wan* the proportional representation system.

SHRI KALYAN ROY: We are also in favour of that.

SHRI JAGJIT SINGH ANAND (Punjab): It is not a matter of convenience, it is a matter of principle for us.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Of course, you were the first, you had told us so.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, I would like to refer to one or two points more. The one is in respect of Afghanistan. I remember I was on an election campaign, touring the country when these happenings took place in Afghanistan. The first reaction that came from the then Government, the Lok Dal Government, or my party, the Janata Party or Mrs. Gandhi, there was not much difference. By and large the reaction was reflective of national opinion in the country, that a country so close to us and friendly with us, if there were any foreign troops on that soil, we did not approve of it, they should not be there. Anyone could see that all the three parties had reacted similarly, identically, absolutely identically. It is only after some time that the changes started taking place. Some changes in expressions I can understand between what the ruling party says or what the Opposition parties say. They are understandable. Perhaps, it would apply to me also if I were on the Treasury Benches. But there are limits; there are constraints. One should not somersault over and over again, as '-he ruling party presently has been seeming to do. After all how can I reconcile this

SHRI JAGJIT SINGH ANAND: You highlighted the so-called national consensus, I remember.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: The Hindustan Times reports Mrs. Gandhi's interview with the American corespondents before the elections and the words used aire very-categoric. It says:

"There is no excuse for Soviet Jroopg going in. And the more direct intervention, the more we are against it, specially bringing in troops."

Now this is absolutely unequivocal.

SHRi' KALYAN ROY: Before the elections, she also said that this cannot be seen in isolation.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Yes, yes, I would not see it in isolation. I am coming to that. The people of India understandably are more concerned with Americans arming Pakistan, with America extending massive arms aid to Pakistan

SHRI KALYAN ROY: They were aiding rebels from April 1978.

JAGJIT SINGH ANAND: Americans and Chinese both.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; I would like to plead with the Government that this is a matter in which apart from other things we should take into account the sentiments and feelings an i aspirations of the people of Afghanistan also. We should never ignore that. Indeed, I was very much dtetres&ed when 1 read a longish despatch in the Statesman on the 17th or 18th of January. It was from their special representative, Mr. M. L. Kotru, who had gone to Afghanistan,, whose opening remarks are very significant. He writes:

"TO be an Indian in Afghanistan is no longer the fun it was a bare four days ago. Then an Afghan would warmly grab your hand, wish you well and pour his heart out t you. . Now the same Afghan is seething with anger. He no longer tiles to be helpful. He is very correct in his dealings with you but if it is the old warmth, you are looking for,, it ;ust is not there.

The transformation took place the day the official Afghan media highlighted the Indian delegate's address in the U. N. General Assembly".

j This is from Kabul. A person who I senses the feeling of the people there reports where we erred and hew we went wrong. I would say that it is not proper for us to try to justify the presence of foreign troops in any other country on any ground. In fact it was there that our delegate said that because the Soviet troops went into Afghanistan at the invitation of the Afghan Government, therefore it was justified.

President's Address

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI: He has not said that. You are distorting. Mr. Brijesh Mishra has said that we are opposed to the presence of foreign troops in any country. Mr. Brijesh Mishra has said this; the Prime Minister has said that; and the Foreign Minister has said that. We do not condone their presence. Time and again, you are distorting. I am. sorry to say that you are distorting Government of India's position, Mrs. Indira Gandhi's position, External Affairs Minister's position and Mr. Brijesh Mishra's position.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Anyway I have quoted what Mrs. Gandhi said before the elections and J have just cited what the reaction is to the Indian delegate's speech in the United Nations, on the Afghanistan issue. That is the Afghan people's reaction. I would say that to bring in the argument of Afghan Government's invitations was totally unwarranted because on that basis I do not know where we will go. We have known in the past what happened in Vietnam, Czechoslovakia and HungaTy. The mere fact that the Government in office at a particular point of time issues an invitation should never be regarded as justification for the presence of foreign troops.

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI: When Mr. Brijesh Mishra made that statement. Mrs. Gandhi was not the Prime Minister. Lok Dal was still in the Government

SHRI PILOO MODY; This is the difference between real non-alignment and your non-alignment.

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI: What is the trouble with you?

SHRI PILOO MODY; This is the difference between your non-alignment and real non-alignment.

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI: What happened to genuine non-alignment?

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I would say_r jUst as the Government of India is unequivocal and unambiguous in respect of American arms aid to Pakistan and we say that this is destabilising, similarly there is no reason why we should be ambiguous or why we should hesitate in maintaining a very correct stand in respect of foreign troops in Afghanistan.

THE PRIME MINISEK (SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI): Our stand is very correct.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I wish our delegate at the UN had been very correct and very precise and clear about it. It is that speech that has caused a lot of confusion.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: The confusion has been cleared up in everybody's mind except yours.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: This morning my friends, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta and Mr. A, G. Kulkarni raised an issue which has been agitating the minds of the people all over the country. During th~e last one fortnight a very systematic campaign has been going on to destabilise various States by various means, if possible by defections and if that is not possible, then, taking recourse to dissolution of the State Gvernments.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: You showed the way.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: So we are being followed in that regard?

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: I am not saying we are following you.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; That is very good. At least we have it from you saying of this talk about no confrontation with the Stales. That has exposed what is this.

(Interruptions),

SHRI PILOO MODY: She was part of a resolution that condemned it.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: That has been said in the morning.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Did you mean it then or not?

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Mean what?

SHRI PILOO MODY: That you were part of a resolution that condemned it then.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I wish even that judgment that has been cited by Mr. Antulay was gone into carefully because that judgment speaks about a complete alienation between the Government and public opinion and I see that, as far ao popular vote is concerned, in most of these States which are sought to be dissolved,, against all these States the popular vote received by the Congrei3s(I) is much less than 50 per cent. In places like U. P. and Bihar it is 35 per cent and 36 per cent. So, it is only because of a division of votes between Lok Dal and Janata Party that the party has happened to

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI: U. P. and Bihar?

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: These two States only. Therefore, I said "la most of the States." You are going to take advantage of that. But I would

say, the judgment in this regard should be a dear guide and if the Government has that intention, well and good. We will face it. But certainly it would be as undemocratic as you said. It would be much more undemocratic to do anything of that sort.

Motion of Thanks on

SHRI PILOO MODY: Unconscionable. (Interruptions).

श्री लाल कृष्ण भ्रडवाणी कैपचरिंग ग्राप लोगों से सीखी; केपचरिंग नहीं होनी चाहिये थी ।

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI; The Congress has never captured boothe.

श्री कल्प नाथ राय: किसने सिखाई?

श्री पील मोदी : सब ग्राप लोगों ने

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Why did not you complain then? Never was such a complaint brought before us, not once in all these thirty years.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Many many complaints never reach you, Madam.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Not one complaint was brought.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Why look for excuses only if you want to dissolve? Therefore, you are going to create law and order problems in the States. Four days back, a Youth Congress rally was held in Lucknow only to create a law and order problem and in order to create an excuse.

4 P.M.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Mr. Deputy, Chairman, Sir, may I say something? I want to state very categorically that there was no law and oorder problem at all until the police very brutally beat un some cf our people who are now in hospital—two of them in' a serious condition. Not one of our people tried to enter the Assembly or to indulgs in any kind of

966 RS.—6.

voilence. I say this with the fullest authority.

162

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: It is difficult for me at this particular moment to rely on the Central Government's or the State Government's version of the situation. It is not my party Government there.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: If you don't know anything about it, why do you mention it?

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: What I know tells me that a deliberate attempt was made -----

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Not

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI.... tc create a law and order problem there in the State only to provide an excuse to the Central Government to dissolve the Assembly because there is no other excuse. Thirty-five per cent votes...

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: There are plenty of excuse; and the best excuse, if one is wanted, is the condition of the Harijans. If you come and sit in my house just for 12 hours, you will see how many people are coming with their heads broken and with other injuries. Your workers have cut off the hand of one of our workers. If you say it is only pretend-ing, then you don't know what nonsense you are talking.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: The kind of incidents in Delhi cannot be taken..

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR: Sir, 'nonsense' i3 an unparliamentary word. She should withdraw it. (Interruptions). The Prime Minister should withdraw her words.

श्री कलराज मिश्र : श्रीमन विरोधी दल के नेता बोल रहे हैं। प्रधान मंत्री को उनकी बात सुननी चाहिए . . . (Interruptions)

श्रीकल्पनायारायः श्रीमन, कना चाहता हं कि · (Interruptions)

164

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR: I seek your protection, Sir. The Prime Minister has uttered a very unparliamentary word viz. 'nonsense',

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI; If you think it ia unparliamentary, I withdraw it.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: So he has withdrawn it.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: No: I said. if it is unparliamentary. In which Parliament of the world is it unparliamentary? Certainly not here.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I wish the Prime Minister had maintained greater cool than this exhibition of anger. Using words like nonsense, merely saying that hands are being chopped off, does not solve the problem.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: It was brought and put on Stephen's table.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: But, who has done it? Are you accusing the State Government of doing it?

SHRIMATI **INDIRA** GANDHI: I am accusing a particular party here in Delhi.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; I do not know what the incident is that is being referred to. I do know however that two or three days back there was a mushaira in the Red Fort in connection with the Republic Day celebrations where it was made impossible for the Chief Executive Councillor to preside over it. Not only that. His wife, Mrs. Sahani and Mrs. Khurshid Kidwai had to take refuge somewhere. This kind of lawlessness that is taking place in Delhi is not the responsibility of the Chief Executive Councillor. It is the responsibility of the Union Gov. eminent and the Lt. Governor. (Inter ruptions) I did not want to bring it up,

but when you started accusing the Opposition, I was forced to refer to this; otherwise, I would, not have done it, at all. We are discussing a solemn subject, namely, the President's Address. It is a solemn occasion. I am sorry the Prime Minister should have indulged in this kind of exhibition of anger.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: With the manner of atrocities that I am hearing about, I am certainly angry. These are matters which should make everybody angry. If you are not angry, then you must be quite inhuman.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, the atrocities make everyone sad. Those who are guilty of these atrocities must be punished. But the political manner in which she is trying to accuse me or bring it up against me or my party or. others in the Opposition is not very proper. Punish those who are guilty, but I wish this issue of Harijan atrocities is not made a political issue or an issue against m_v party. My party has been particular about this matter. What I am pointing out i_s that (Interruptions)... .against the background of a senior spokesman of the ruling party speaking in respect of various State Governments, against a very systematic attempt that is going on to seduce_N to bribe, to browbeat MLAs int₀ defecting t₀ Congress[^] (I), how am I accept this sta-Eement?

(Interruptions) This is what happened in Haryana. We did not seduce, we did not do any such kind of thing. Against this background, to say that "mutual respect is to be shown by the Government and the Opposition for each other" or that "harmonising of differing points of view in a spirit of accommodation and conciliation rather than conflict and confrontation which is necessary condition of democratic functioning." I would think that these statements, these words, sound hollo-wand hypocritical against this back ground.

Sir, one of the biggest boon, of these two elections—I would say two, not one—1977 and 1980, is that the average voter in the country feels very confident. He has acquired immense confidence that he can throw out any Government which misbehave₃ and doe_s not conduct itself well, howsoever powerful it may be Mrs. Gandhi'g Government in 1977 was very powerful; similarly, so far as the Janata Government or the Lok Dal Government, in terms of numerical majority in the Lok Sabha, were very powerful—could be thrown out by the people absolutely, and this, I regard, as the biggest gain of democracy in the country. Despite the Emergency laws and despite the fact that for 19 months all the opposition parties had been completely paralysed, nothing of that kin<j happened at least during the twoand-a-half years.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Not at all I Only people were removed from their jobs.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Not at all. In fact, there was ^a complaint against me that I was allowing the radio to give publicity to Mrs. Gandhi and her activities. I was asked why the Radio should do it.. I asked them why it should not be done, if it was newsworthy. It was a news covered by the Radio. I said that I would not use the Radio for partisan ends. The Janata Government never used it.

SHRI KALP NATH RAI; You tried to do character assassination through the Radio.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: What is happening now? A major dacoity took place in Lajpat Nagar at 12 o'clock noon the other day. In the evening, neither the Radio nor the Television covered them. It is non-news for them. That is why people are apprehensive that they will get what happened during the Emergency.

Sir, I would like to plead with this Government that it should realise that the people in the country are the masters and not those who happen to come to power with a massive majority in the Lok Sabha by the quirk of this kind of majority system of election. If this humility is there, then I am sure that something good will come out of this.

I would wait for a while because as I have said, so far as this Address is concerned, it give_s me only generalities and platitudes. The Address asks us to bear with the Government for a while. It says:

"___the new Government has taken charge just over a week ago. The Budget will be presented in the next Session when the socio-economic measures proposed to be adopted in the furtherance of Government's broad objectives will be sP^t out."

I am willing to wait till then, and let me see what kind of socio-economic objectives are going to be spelt out. Till then, I would certainly say that this $k^{\text{in} < i}$ o* deliberate attempt at creating a condition of instability in the whole country should be stopped immediately.

I have referred to the issue of defections earlier. I remember one of the statements made by Mrs. Gandhi Shortly after her victory. When a question was put to her about defections, she said that in the past they had tried to bring in an anti-defection law but that there was n_0 consensus and that, therefore, it could not be brought forward. I would say that now you have clear majority in the Lok Sabha. T am sure that within...

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: At that time also we had the majority.

SHRI LAL ADVANI: ... six months or one year some internal problems in your party will arise, and

[Shri Lai K. Advani]

then in my party there will be a temptation to seduce people from your side and bring them to our side. (Interruptions) Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, what I am suggesting is for the good of her party.

SHRI PILOO MODY: They are suggesting that there are too many seducible people there.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; It would be in the interest of the country's political health.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE (Maharashtra): Take care of yourselves.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: It would be in the interest of the country's political health, no less than for the security of your own party that an anti-defection law ^ brought forward in Parliament at the earliest. You do not have a majority here. But I will try to persuade all my colleagues in the other parties to see that an anti-defection law is passed at the earliest in this country.

SHRI HARISINH BHAGUBAVA MAHIDA:: Why didn't you persuade them in the past?

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Mr. Antu-lay gloated over the fact that the Bill that we brought was withdrawn because my own party colleague, Mr. Madhu Limaye, opposed it. I would say that it was not merely he who opposed it. It is the Opposition also that opposed it. Therefore, we had to withdraw it. Otherwise there was no question of withdrawing it because Mr. Madhu Limaye opposed it. Now at least when you are in the Government, bring forward a law against defection. And I am sure then you would have done a great service to the country's political health. Thank you, Sir.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Bring a rational law, unlike the last one that you brought.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri V. B. Raju.

SHRI V. B. RAJU: M_r . Deputy Chairman, Sir, the Motion of Thanks moved by a Member of the ruling party is under discussion, and I have moved two amendments. One relates to the fact that the President had made no reference to the unemployment problem among the educated youth, and the second relates to the climate that i_s created today for dissolving the States' Assemblies. Sir, this is a motion of thanks to the President. I do not know why I should join in thanking the President.

SHRI A. R. ANTULAY; Nobody is inviting you.

SHRI V. B. RAJU: In fact, the ruling party should be very thankful to the President and it is very relevant that they should be thankful. I would not have touched on the subject but for para 2 of the President's Address which said:

"The Sixth Lok Sabha was elected in March 1977. But it could-not last its full term and had to be dissolved less than half-way through."

Sir, I would not like to go into the controversies that arose at that time. It is not my purpose now. But I can say that the dissolution of the Lok Sabha could have been avoided at that time, and by the dissolution the beneficiary is the Congress (I) and the worst sufferer is my party. Sir, I do not blame the President for this, because in politics, I believe there is only suicide, no murder. Politicians or political parties commit mistakes and liquidate themselves. In retrospect, I must say—and this is an occasion to go in for that searching, critical analysis—that my party had committed two mistakes. Even though my party members had been opposing

our entry into the Government at that time, m_v leaders had taken that decision. I will not say it was a mistake; it was a blunder to get into the Government because we were not voted to go into the Government. The people gave a verdict that the Janata Party should rule for five years and the Congress should be in opposition for five years. The electorate have a vested interest in the continuance of the opposition in the House. A strong opposition is a pre-requisite for a successful functioning of democracy. And by getting into the Government we carried on our shoulders all the dirt that was created by the earlier rule. But the second mistake, wHIch is more serious, which my leaders committed was that, without a mandate, they were party to a resolution recommending to the President that the Lok Sabha should be dissolved. In fact, my colleagues had entered the Government only to save the Lok Sabha. But they became a party to the recommendation for the dissolution of the Lok Sabha. I knew the pulse of the Members: I had occasion to know the feelings of the Members of the Lok Sabha at that time. The majority of the Members were not in favour of the dissolution because it did not complete even half of its term. Anyhow the result has been that the beneficiary is the Congress (I). Now every political party in this country must really make an introspection and must analyse correctly what has happened and what is happening in this country...

SHRI RAMANAND .YADAV (Bihar): Party forum is the best place for such introspection.

SHRI V. B. RAJU: What forum are you talking about?

From 75 we came down to 12 in the Lok Sabha. One thing is universally accepted, that the great mass of this electorate have demonstrated a capacity for a mature decision; whichever party it was, in all the seven elections they have returned the majority party to the Centre... (Interruption) I

think Mr. Mody has still to maintain his contact with the masses of the country...

SHRI PILOO MODY: You come with me and I will show you what mass contact means.

SHRI V. B. RAJU: I do not want to enter into a dialogue with an indivi. dual now.

I am saying a thing without fear of contradiction that even the Janata Party was returned in a majority even though it was not a party at the time of election, not a wellstructured party. But still the people had chosen to return it. This is one observation that we could make about our electorate and I am happy that democracy has taken roots in this country.

The second observation of mine is some friends unwittingly put in juxtaposition freedom and discipline. They sad either this or that. But we should make a study of the past and accept this. What was the vote for in 1971? I just recall the period between 1967 and 1871. The year 1967 was a watershed year. For the first twenty years, for two decades, the Congress had a monopoly rule. In 1967 the Congress had lost in ten States in the Assembly elections which were conducted along with those to the Lok Sabha. That is what I would call an erosion of the Congress monopoly. From there started as we came to 1970 a new philosophy in the name of aya ram, gaya ram to which a reference has been made, and Harvana should take the credit for it. And even today Haryana stands at the top for en masse defections. I think the agility, the virility, the dynamism of Harvana is reflected in all these things. By 1971 the people had a feeling that national discipline was eroded. And when Mrs. Gandhi came forward saying, with the slogan of garibi hatao I will maintain discipline, the people voted for her. I do recall those days when the whole nation was feeling very much embittered that things were moving in a wrong direction and there was no national discipline at all:

[Shri V. B. Raju]

offices were not working, means of transport were not functioning on time and everybody for himself, and political opportunism came to the zenith and everywhere every political party was looked at with suspicion. That was the period and one year before the Lok Sabha had completed its term, elections were called and my reading of that vote was that the people wanted more discipline. But what happened in 1977? My reading was this: When the people felt that disci- • pline became more harsh, they wanted more freedom. That was how the Janata Party came. No second revolution, nothing of tha* type. Many claims were made with which I did not agree at that time. Therefore, the people have demonstrated their urge for both freedom and discipline. And what happened in 1979? Again the people wanted more discipline. This is how the electorate is responding to the situations. If between 1975 and 1977 that nation suffered from the excesses of Emergency, between 1977 and 1979 the country suffered from the excesses of democracy. This is a thing which we have to learn. How to behave in the name of democracy? Freedom became licence. It was here on the floor of this House when three Ministers made three different approaches to the same issue and I had to advise them to decide the matter in their Cabinet and then come here and speak with one voice. There was practically no political discipline, there was no discipline; and there was no administrative discipline and naturally law and order failed. Therefore, I could hear the voice of the people demanding more discipline. Then came this opportunity for Shrimati Gandhi. Mind you this is not a vote for you, not for your party, nor even for your candidates. It is a personal vote for Mrs. Gandhi. I can say this without any fear of contradiction. I can Show this if she wants to come with me. I do not want to take the name of any body. One of the senior members of your party went to his constituenency during the last elections. The

people were angry with him. Thevtold him: After two and half years, you come here for the first time. Have you come for our votes,, they asked him. They did not allow him to speak. He bore everything patiently. They got exhausted and when silence was established, he told them: "Yes, it is true, I did not com, here earlier. Nor did I serve you to the best of my ability. I have now come here not to ask for votes for me. If you want Mrs. Gandhi as Prime Minister, then you should vote for me." The is the political education you gave to the people. Otherwise, what are your speeches? Only when you brought in Shrimati Gandhi's name, you got votes. You are banking too much upon her personal capacity. It is good for you presently. But in the long run, it is not good for the country. Failure of the political party system in the country has made the electorate to look to a dominant individual. This happens everywhere with the failure of democratic parties. I blame the Congress (U). I blame the Lok Dal. I blame the Janata Party. I blame all democratic parties for this. What we need is a cadre-based party. The Marxist Party, for instance, has been able to resist the influence of individuals. It is not my opinion or impression. It is the truth; it is the fact. Therefore, this country needs cadre-based parties. What happened in the past few years is the disintegration and liquidation of the political party system, particularly democratic parties and the middle of the road parties. I am sure, in the long run, if the middle of the road parties do not take a lesson from this experience, there will be political polarisation.

Sir, this actually ig an occasion for the major sections of the opposition here for introspection and to read and assess the situation correctly. So far so good.

Sir, there is a threat to democracy in this country. Thig threat can come from three situations. First, if issues

173

are dragged in to the streets and if decisions are taken in the streets, democracy will not survive because then it becomes mobocracy. I would warn the ruling party not to encourage street demonstrations on major issues. This will boomerang. The second threat arises from the erosion of the sanctity of Parliamentary institutions. Behaviour in the Parliament should be dignified. In fact, I would like the opposition to give a lead in the matter to the ruling party. Knowing the composition of the present opposition, I can assure the ruling party that we will at all costs protect the dignity of the Rajya Saibha and I expect to get positive response from the ruling party. The strength of the ruling party should not become a threat, not here so much, but in the other House where I was told that they did not allow an opposition Member to speak. Where is democracy then? You are making tall promises. Therefore, the sanctity and the proper functioning of democratic institutions must be guaranteed. The third threat to democracy will be when there are too frequent elections. A political scientist has Put it that elections are like the heart-beats of the body. There must be elections. But if there are too frequent elections, the heart beats too fast and the body politic is damaged. We have been having too frequent elections. We should see that as far as possible the elections come periodically &g determined. We must give the ruling party—I wish the party which has been returned wilj complete its ftdl term and will olace its record before trie people. I do not want any defections. Mr. Advani said that there may be defections. I do not want defections. There is no question of defections here. Nothing of the type. I would request the ruling party to respect federalism also. Sir, gradually Centralism is developing and we have experienced thi3 in the first five weeks of the Janata Government. When Mr. Charan Singh was the Home Minister I had made a statement on the floor of the House that you are destroying the non-Congress Governments and

had not even the Governor's Report. The earlier Government had the Gov ernors' reports, but the Janata Gov ernment had not even the Governor's report before it In Article 356, on. account of only the word 'otherwise'. the Congress Governments were des troyed. I said that you are going against your own election manifesto. You promised in your manifesto that you would not use Article 356 for political purposes, but you are destroy This is ing the Opposition. beginning of the destruction of vour own party. If there ig no strong, healthy Opposition outside, the rumb lings in the ruling party will come the surface and will break up. It has come true. The same thing will happen if the ruling party \ will not respect actually the Opposition—its integrity, its health. It will boomerang.

Sir, we have a federal character in our polity. It seems Mr. Antulay was very vociferous and there were Press reports that the Assemblies should be dissolved. Is it Mughal rule? Are you going to behave in the same way as the Janata Party did? You say that the Janata Party did not allow their democratic functioning. It was very bad. You were one with us when you were in the Opposition to decry the Janata Party. You yourself have said in your speeches that ours is a multi-lingual, multi-religious and multi-cultural society. Let there be scope for different expression and articulation. If different parties actually are there. Let them articulate. The rules of the game are the same—democratic form of Government. Nothing wrong would happen if the AIDMK is there in Madras. When the Janata rule was , there, we had still the CONG-I Government in Andhra Pradesh. Nothing wrong has happened from the Centre. And why are they having this urge of dissolution? In fact, you are interfering with the democratic rights of the State people, in choosing the type of Government. And do not think that the Assembly election will be of

[Shri V. B. Raju]

the same type as the Lok Safaha elections. You will taste it. You will experience it.

SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV (Uttar Pradesh): Let us see.

SHRI V. B. RAJU: The people have become wise enough as to distinguish what is actually Parliament and what is Assembly and what the local elections are. Do not adopt the Janata \ philosophy that it is the same. No. The interests differ, the situation differs. They act in a different manner. Therefore, please for Heaven's sake, do not be tempted because of the brute majority you have that you can do whatever you like. I am sorry to say, Sir, that the Janata Government depended upon the doctrine of dissolution, the Congress-I seems to be depending upon an improved application of it, that is, defection-oriented dissolution. You defect the people, get the majority and advise 'he Governor to dissolve. The Centre need not come into the picture. No. These tactics would not do. This is very bad.

The present Government has three stupendous problems. We have the problem of Afghanistan, the problems of Assam and the problem of derailed economy. Mr. Advani said that the economy was good for the first two years. I do not say that the Janata Government did everything bad. They have done something good. But whatever good was done had been spoiled by their quarrels. Now, th<» year 1979-80 is a very difficult year. There is galloping inflation of 22 per cent. The budget deficit is going to be twice of what it was estimated. It may be 2700 crores of rupees. There is negative rate of industrial growth. We have one of the worst droughts. We have increasing unemployment. Then, we have adverse balance of trade. The year 1980 is a very difficult year and the present Government is faced with great difficulties, hev need the cooperation of the opposition and the State Governments. They should not get into power politics. Let us solve the problems which are before the nation. We need not quarrel all the five years. We can quarrel once in five years. After the elections are over, let us settle down. I would request the present Government not to indulge in political gimmicks. With these words, I would say that my amendment should be accepted because no reference has been made to the employment situation in the Address.

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदय, कुछ परेशानी दिखाई दे रही है असेम्बली के डिजोल्यशन के ऊपर । मेरा ग्रपना स्याल है कि जिस तरह से जनता सरकार ने डिजोल्यशन किया था ग्रगर वही ग्राधार िजोल्युशन का बनाया जाय तो फिर कोई एतराज नहीं होना चाहिए और उसके बावजुद अगर उत्तर प्रदेश श्रीर विहार में ि जोल्यशन किया जाता है तो डिजोल्युशन का फैसला करते समय प्रधान मंत्री जी को यह एलान करना चाहिए कि अगर कांग्रेस आई पार्टी उत्तर प्रदेश श्रीर विहार में हार गयी तो लोक सभा के फिर से चुनाव होंगे।

श्री श्याम लाल यादव : यह किस श्राधार पर होगा ? यह कैसे होगा ?

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाव शाही : मैन्डेट के ग्राधार पर ।

श्री कल्प नाथ राय : क्या यू० पी० ग्रीर विहार इंडिया है ?

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: यह वात तो आप ठीक कह रहे हैं यू० पी० और बिहार इंडिया नहीं हैं आप ने श्रांध्र प्रदेश में स्वीप किया है,

कर्नाटक में स्वीप किया है, उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रौर बिहार में ग्राप की शक्ति 35, 36 परसेंट है। उसके आधार पर क्या म्राप डिजोल्युशन चाहते हैं ? भ्रगर चाहते हैं तो हमें कोई एतराज नहीं है श्रीर मैं श्राप के इस चैलेंज को स्वीकार करता हुं । ग्राप वहां की ग्रसेम्बलियों को फरवरी में डिजाल्व कर दें ग्रौर मार्च में चुनाव करा लें ग्रीर ग्रगर उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रौर बिहार में ग्राप की पार्टी हार जाती है तो अगर पूरे नहीं तो कम से कम उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रीर बिहार के लोग सभा के सदस्यों को ग्राप कह दें कि वे अपने इस्तीफे दे कर हट जायें ग्रीर वहां फिर चनाव हो जायें । (Interruptions) अगर ब्राप में नह हिम्मत नहीं है तो आप को कोई नैतिक आधार नहीं है उत्तर प्रदेश और बिहार में असेम्बलियों को डिजाल्व करने का । आप इस को स्वीकार कर लें, हम ग्राप के चैलेंज को स्वीकार करते हैं। श्रीमन्, मैं इस समय जो पूरे मलक की समस्या है उसकी ग्रोर श्रापका ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हं । पूरे समय देश को चुनाव बंधन में बांध देना देश के हित में नहीं है। बारहों महीने देश में केवल चनाव की हवा हो, यह देश के हित में नहीं है । इस समय देश में महत्वपूर्णं विषय हैं पाकिस्तान अमरीका का आर्सेनल बनने जा रहा है, हवाई सेना का अड़ा बनने जा रहा है, नौसेनिक श्रद्धा और भृमि सेना का श्रद्धा बनने जा रहा है। इस बात का साफ़ साफ़ ऐलान अमरीकी सरकार के विशेष लोगों * ने कर दिया है।भूतपूर्वं विदेश मंत्री श्री किसिंगर साहव ने भी अमरीका सरकार को यह सलाह दी है कि पाकि-स्तान को हथियारी मदद देने से ही पाकिस्तान की रक्षा नहीं हो सकती बल्कि पाकिस्तान को धमरीकी सेना का ग्रहा वनाना चाहिए और वहां पर अमरीकी समुद्री सेना, हवाई सेना दोनों एहनी

चाहिएं। इतना ही नहीं, उनको इतने से ही सन्तोष नहीं हुआ बित्क इस समय इस्लामाबाद में दुनिया के इस्लामो देशों के विदेश मित्रयों का सम्मेलन चल रहा है और उस सम्मेलन में वहां के राष्ट्रपित ने काश्मीर के मामले को उठाया है। एक तरफ़ उन्होंने फ़ैलेस्टीन के मामले को उठाया है। एक तरफ़ उन्होंने फ़ैलेस्टीन के मामले को उठाया है। वह हिन्दुस्तान के खिलाफ़ इस्लामी जेहाद का नारा दे रहे हैं। उनका यह पैंतरा कितना सफ़ल होगा वह देखने की चोज है। लेकिन श्रीमन्, श्रपने देश की सरहद पर श्रमरीकी सेना, श्रमरीकी ताकत पृी तरह से आ गई है।

हमें भूलना नहीं चाहिए कि सन् 1971 के युद्ध के समय अमरीका का सातवां बेड़ा बहुत दूर से उतर पड़ा था पाकिस्तान की मदद में, अब जब कि उनकी सेना पाकिस्तान में मौजूद रहेगी, उस समय वह क्या करेगी इसकी कल्पना आसानी से की जा सकती है।

श्रीमन्, इसके लिए यमरीका ने बहाना बनाया है श्रफगानिस्तान में रूसी सेनाध्रों के धाने का। अफगानिस्नान में रसी सेनायें आयें तो वहां अमरीका अपनी सेनायें नहीं भेज रहा है बल्कि वह पाकिस्तान में अपनी सेना और अपने हथियार भेज रहा है। इसका मकसद साफ़ है कि अमरीका इस क्षेत्र को पश्चिम एशिया के देशों को, हिन्द महाद्वीप को युद्ध का क्षेत्र बनाना चाहता है। यूरोप के देशों में जहां शीत-युद्ध शान्त है, उस को खिसका करके उसको एशिया के देशों में ला दिया है। ग्रगर वह रूस के धाकामक ख को रोकना चाहता है तो उसको अपनी सेनायें युरोप में भेजनी चाहिए, उसको पश्चिम जर्मनी में, फाँस में, इस देशों में अपने अड्डे बनाने चाहिए, 179

[श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही] वहां रूस की ताकत को कमजोर करने को कोशिश करनी चाहिए। वहां वह ऐसान करके अपनी सेनायें इधर भेज रहा है । इससे भारत को जो खतरा पैदा हो रहा है उसके वारे में अब हल्के-फ़ल्के ढंग से राष्ट्रपति जी के अभिभाषण में जिन्न है। देश इस मसले पर बहुत हो चितित है और यह सरकार से जाना चाहता है कि सरकार अमेरिकी सरकार के इस ग्राकामक रुख की ग्रीर कौन सी नीति अखितयार कर रही है। क्योंकि इसके साथ-साथ देश के ग्रन्द रूनी मामले में भी लगे हुए हैं इसलिये मैं सफ़ाई के साथ कह देना चाहता हं कि अपने देश में भी ओट की सरकार है और माइनोरिटी की ओट का बहत ही प्रमुख असर होता है। अपने देश में माइनोरिटो को ओट देखते हए हमारा रवैया पाकिस्तान के इस सैनिक तैयारी की ओर मलायम नहीं होगा ऐसी हम ग्राशा करते हैं । क्योंकि पाकिस्तान के शासक हर बार कश्मीर की चर्चा करते हैं, वह कोई मीका नहीं छोड़ते जब कि कश्मीर की चर्चा न करते हों। श्रपने देश में जो नीति विभिन्न दल अख्तियार करते हैं उसका ग्रसर हमारे पाकिस्तान से संबंध का नहीं होना चाहिये ।

एक दूसरी बात जिसको श्री स्थाम लाल जी चाहते हैं, कहना चाहता हूँ।

श्री श्याम लाल यादव : क्या ?

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : आप को मंत्री बनाने के लिये । पूरे देश में नारा लगाया गया : जात पर न पात पर, मोहर लगेगी इन्दिरा जी के हाथ पर । नीवरी साहब को बदनाम करने की कोशिश की गई। यह कहा गया कि पूरे देश में जात-पात फ़ैला रहे हैं।

श्री कल्प नाथ राय: चौधरी साहब जात-पात के खिलाफ़ हैं या नहीं ?

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: मैं श्याम लाल जी के पक्ष में कहना चाहता हूं, क्योंकि उन्होंने मुझ से कहा था । मुझे उन्होंने बताया है कि जो केबिनेट दिल्ली में 15 मंत्रियों की बनी है उसमें सात सदस्य एक जाति के हैं ।

श्री रामानन्द यादव : मैंने कब बताया । श्रसत्य बात मत कहिये ।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : यह नारा लगाया गया था कि 'जात पर न पात पर, मोहर लगेगी हाथ पर ।'

ब्राज हम को जात-पात की सारी चीजें दिखाई दे रही हैं नीचे से ऊपर तक । विश्वम्भर नाथ पांडे जी को दाद देता हं कि उन्होंने यह कहा कि पिछली सरकार ने हाई कोर्ट में जो जजेज की नियक्ति की उसमें जातिबाद की महक आ रही है। यह सही बात है कि जाति का नाम लेकर बहुत से जजेज ग्रपाएंट किये गये । यह बहाना करते हैं कि हम तो जजेज झपाएंट करते हैं चीफ़ जिस्टिस ग्रीर वहां की राज्य सरकार के रिक्मेन्डेशन पर । यह कहने की बात है । दिल्ली की सरकार जो चाहती है, दिल्ली के मिनिस्टर जो चाहते हैं वह राज्य सरकारों की रिक्मेन्डेशन से बा जाता है। यह बात थी । मैं चाहता हूं कि बाज देश की राजनीति और देश का प्रशासन जो जातिवाद से भरा पड़ा है, चाहे कोई पार्टी हो मैं किसी एक पार्टी की वात नहीं करता, चाहे कोई पार्टी सरकार चलाये मैं यह कहता हूं यह समाप्त होना चाहिये। देश धर्म के नाम पर बटा । देश धर्म के नाम पर दकड़े-दकड़े हो गया और ग्रगर जाति के श्राधार पर वटेगा तो देश नहीं रह सकता, नहीं बच सकता। इसलिये जातिवाद पर ध्यान जाना चाहिये, इस पर श्रंकुण लगना चाहिये। (Interruptions)

श्री रामानन्द यादव : शाही जी, मैं श्रापसे यह जानना चाहता हूं क श्राप किस पार्टी से संबंध रखते हैं श्रीर श्री राजनारायण के कहने से श्रापने कितनी पार्टियां बदली हैं ?

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : ग्राप बैठ जाइये। विहार में गरीबों की क्या हालत है, उसको देखिये।

श्रोमन्, मेरा कहना यह है कि राष्ट्रपति के श्रीमभाषण में इस बात का जिक है कि एन्टी सोणियल एलीमेन्ट्स के साथ सरकार को तरफ से सख्ती की जाएगी। मगर एन्टी सोशियल एलीमेन्ट्स जो कांग्रस ग्राई में भरे हुए हैं उनके खिलाफ ग्राप कब सख्ती करना ग्रुष्ठ कर रहे हैं, यह भी बताइये। इन एन्टी सोशियल एलीमेन्ट्स के कारण हरिजन बस्त हो रहे हैं, गरीब बस्त हो रहे हैं, माईनोरिटीज बस्त हो रहे हैं। उनके खिलाफ ग्राप एक्शन कब लेंगें? उदाहरण के तौर पर मैं बताना चाहता हूं कि जिस दिन यहां सरकार बनी उसके दूसरे ही दिन यू० गी० निवास में उत्तर प्रदेश के चीफ मिनिस्टर को कांग्रेस ग्राई के लोगों ने पीटा ... (Interruptions)

श्री श्याम लाल यादव : मैं आपसे यह कहना चाहता हूं कि आप विलकुल गलत वार्ते ग्रीर विलकुल ग्रसत्य वार्ते बना-बना कर क्यों कह रहे हैं ?

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: आपके पक्ष में कह रहा हूं। दिल्ली के सन्दर यह घटना हुई हैं यू० पी० निवास में श्री बनारसी दास को एन्टीसोशियल एलीमेन्ट्स ने बुरी तरह से पीटा जिससे उनका कोट भी फट गया।

श्री रामानन्द यादव : वे सब ग्रार० एस० एस० के ग्रौर लोक दल के लोग थे, ग्रापकी तरफ के लोग थे ।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : श्रीमन, मैं बहुत कम समय में भ्रब खत्म कर रहा हूं। श्राप जानते हैं कि लोक सभा के लिए पांच बाई इलेक्शन 24 फरवरी को हो रहे हैं। जिस समय यह बाई-इलेक्शन का नोटिफिकेशन हम्रा है उसी समय एसेम्बलीज की सीटें भी खाली हो गई थी। उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रीर विहार में एसेम्बलीज के जो सदस्य थे उनमें से बहत से लोक सभा में आ गये हैं और उन्होंने एसेम्बलीज की अपनी सीटों से इस्तीफे दें दिये हैं। उनके स्थान स्क्ति हो गये थे, लेकिन उनके लिए नोटिफिकेशन नहीं हम्रा है। श्राप जानते हैं कि इट इज ए मेटर ग्राफ जनरल पालिसी । जो सीटें रिक्त हुई हैं उनका नोटि-फिकेशन भी साथ-साथ होना चाहिए था। मैं समझता हूं कि यह इस बात का संकेत है कि इन स्थानों के लिए उप चनाव इसलिए नहीं हो रहे हैं क्योंकि वहां जनरल इलेक्शन होने हैं। श्रापके दिमाग में यह बात है। मैं चाहता हं कि भ्रापकी तरफ से यह बात साफ होनी चाहिए ।

श्री श्याम लाल यादव : आपको सही स्थिति की जानकारी नहीं हैं। जब विधान सभाओं की सीटें खाली होती हैं तो चीफ मिनिस्टर इलेक्शन कमीशन को लिखते हैं कि इन सीटों के लिए इलेक्शन करवाये जायें। आप पहले प्रोसीजर जान लीजिये।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: मैं समझता हूं कि यह इस बात का द्योतक है कि इन लोगों के दिमाग में एसेम्बलीज के इलेक्शन हैं, एसेम्बलीज का डिज्योल्यू शन इनके दिमाग में है और इसीलिए एसेम्बलीज की खाली सीटों के इलेक्शन डिक्लेयर नहीं किये गये हैं। अगर इनके अन्दर ईमानदारी होती तो इन सीटों के लिए उप-चुनाव करवाये जा सकते थे

इन शब्दों के साथ मैं निवेदन करता हूं कि राष्ट्रपति के श्रिभिभाषण में जो बातें साफ

(श्री नागेइवर प्रसाद शाहो)

नहीं हुई हैं ग्रौर जिन के संबंध में सफाई से बात करने की आवश्यकता है उनकी सफाई होनी चाहिए और जो विषय मैंने उठाये हैं उनकी भी सफाई होनी चाहिए और श्री श्याम लाल यादव को मिनिस्टर बना दें।

श्री श्याम लाल यादव: ग्राप इस प्रकार से क्यों भ्रसत्य बात कहते हैं ग्रीर बार-बार मेरा नाम क्यों लेते हैं।

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA; Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, the Leader of the Opposition, Mr. Advani, who has been an experienced parliamentarian, with a short spell in the Government, criticised the President's Address on the basis that it bristles with generalities and platitudes. I was rather surprised at this observation because when I went through—after the observation that he made-^the Address that the Janata Party Government of which he was a member had presented to both the Houses of Parliament, I found that it contained more generalities and platitudes. In fact, as a matter of parliamentary practice, the President's Address only gives directions and shows the way in which Government proposes to go ahead with its programme. In this Address, Sir, it has been made clear that as far as the socio-economic measures were concerned, it is during the Budget Session that the Government will come forward with the specific measures. But the Address contains directions which are not only a departure from what the last Government did or the Janata Government did, but also how the Government proposes to implement many of the promises that it had made during the election campaign.

Now, Sir, the first reference in the Address is, regret for the absence of representatives from the North-Eastern States. I do not want to take much time as far as the situation in

that region is concerned, particularly, in Assam, but I would like to plead with the Government as well as the people in Assam that the situation can create complications in other parts of the country if it is not viewed in its correct perspective. I am happy that the Prime Minister has made it clear that the question of who are foreigners will have to be gone into in depth, studied properly and she has gone even a step further and assured that even those people whom the Assamese may consider as foreigners would have to be rehabilitated elsewhere. Sir, there is, unfortunately, a communal angle to this question. I do not want to say anything which will aggravate the situation, but even when I discussed this question with some of my friends from Assam who feel very much agitated, they admitted that the problem is something with which almost 80 to 90 per cent of the Muslims are concerned. And it is from the point of view that we will have to be extremely careful because the very fabric of our secularism may be in danger if passions are allowed to have swayed in the solution of this problem.

Next, Sir, there is a reference—when I said that there are specific directions, I was coming to them-to the communal and other devisive forces who have reared their ugly heads in different parts of the country causing serious prejudice to our ideals of national integration and national unity; and the confidence of linguistic and other minorities, Harijans and weaker sections of society has been seriously eroded. Sir, it has been admitted on all sides now that one of the decisive factors in the massive mandate that the Congress (I) has obtained has been the overwhelming support received from the Harijans and the Muslims. It was not a negative vote in the sense that they not only reacted to the non-performance of the Government, but what happened was that the little hope that they might have entertained in 1977 was so dashed to the pieces, by what happened to them in the last two and a

half years, that they were almost frightened. They did not know whether their future was at all secure in this country and it was at that time that Mrs. Gandhi's leadership gave them a ray of hope. When the manifesto was published, specific measures to restore this confidence among the Harijans and the minorities were given. It is true, Sir, that in the Address these measures are not spelt out. But, perhaps, the Address had to be brief and, therefore, as I said, a general direction could only be given. I do not think that at any time in the history of free India, any political party, including the Congress Party in the past, had given such specific assurances, both to the Harijans and the minorities, in particular to the Muslims, as has been done in the Congress election manifesto and I would like to read some of these assurances that have been given.

One is, "it will safeguard the rights of the minorities". That is all right. Then it says: "The effective and timely control of communal disturbances shall rest squarely on the district administration and any failure on their part will promptly entail suitable action. Special measures will be - taken to bring offenders to book speedily and deterrently". I would like the Prime Minister, if it is possible, to spell out how this terrible communal problem which erupts every now and again and which under the Janata rule had made the lives of the Harijans and Muslims almost intolerable is going to be controlled.

Then, Sir, there i_s another assurance given in the manifesto, "Special peace-keeping force will be created to prevent and suppress communal violence which will be composed of people drawn-from minority communities, scheduled castes and scheduled tribes and others", Sir, in this connection, when Mr. Morarji Desai was the Prime Minister and was for some time incharge of the Home portfolio. I had repeatedly asked for some such specific assurance on the floor of the House and I am sorry to say that

none was given. Our party in its manifesto has given this categorical assurance to the minority communities and the scheduled castes and the scheduled tribes.

Then, Sir, there is also the assurance about the Minorities Commission being made statutory. But more important than that is the assurance as far as the employment opportunities to the members of the minority communities are concerned. And therein, Sir, I would like to read to you the specific commitment in the manifesto: "So far as the economic condition of minorities, scheduled castes, scheduled tribes and other weaker sections of the society is concerned, a thorough examination is needed to ascertain if the benefits of various fiscal policies of Government, both Union and State, had really reached them. It is learnt that incentives, facilities 'and other encouragements, entitlements- like licences, quotas, loans etc. are not being fully availed of. A high-powered panel including members belonging to the minorities, scheduled castes and scheduled tribes and weaker sections will be appointed to go into the whole question and make recommendations". Sir, this is an assurance which I am quite sure will for the first time give u_s a clear perception of what the economic conditions of the minorities, in particular the Muslims and the Christians,

are. If this panel is appointed 5 P.M. quickly, I am sure we will

be in a position to tackle the problem in the right manner. In this connection, Sir, I am also happy that there is this assurance that as far as employment in Government services is concerned, the words are very significant and I would like to quote them because they are part of the hope for the fulfilment of the grievances of the minorities:—

"Equitable employment opportunities to the minorities will be ensured in Government services including the law and order and security personnel." [Dr. Rafiq Zakaria]

Then, Sir, it further goes and elaborates:-

"It has always been the policy of the Congress to give adequate representation to minorities including Muslims Christians both in the organisational and parliamentary wings. We feel that in every field of national activity as also in the affairs of the Government the totality of the population shall be properly and effectively reflected and represented in the interest of national integration."

Again, Sir, a kind of unequivocal declaration which was never made before. That is why, when we went to the Muslims during the election campaign, we found for the first time that they realised that apart from the leadership that Mrs. Gandhi has provided and the assurances that the Congress (I) has given that their future would be secure, what is required is that steps and measures have to be taken as quickly as possible.

Mr. Advani talked of this Government being a minority Government,

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: I did not say that.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: Yes, yes, you said that the vote is a minority vote, that the Government has been appointed on the basis of a popular minority vote.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: That has always been there.

DR, RAFIQ ZAKARIA: It has always been there. But I would also like to remind him that if we have to go on with the parliamentary system of Government and if he were to study the electoral results even in the United Kingdom and other countries, the same anomaly is bound to recur. Therefore, the question of the list system or of proportional representation is not going to solve as far as stability of the

Government is concerned because under a list system or under a proportional representation system, there is also the danger that no particular party may get a majority. You again get the same kind of disequilibrium against which there has been no answer and, therefore, it is not such a simple solution as Mr. Advani has advanced.

Now, Mr. Advani ha₃ been a little unkind to of Information and the present Minister Broadcasting when he said that he proposed to reorganise the Press Commission. know what he has said or what he has not said but, surely. Mr. Advani will agree with me that all the appointments that the previous Government made were of a partisan nature, that many people were blacklisted flimsy grounds, that we have had discussions in this House also as far as personnel and composition of such commissions or panels are concerned and. therefore, if a to power and if it Government has come wants to implement its promises and assurances and its programmes of action, then, surely Mr. Advani, under a democratic system of Government cannot expect that such instruments which may not have faith in the philosophy and approach of this Government should be continued. I am not saying this a_s far as the Press Commission % concerned, but the approach should be quite clear. Most of the appointments were of a political nature. And if they were of a political nature, then certainly we will have to change these appointees. This is exactly what is done in the United Kingdom and even in United States of America. In fact, in the USA, with every change of the President, thousands of appointees are overnight changed. In this country at least there i_s som_e kind of continuity. I do not want that any injustice should be done to any one of them, but surely if our manifesto and the assurances and the commitments made in the manifesto are to be implemented, then certainly we will have to choose our own instruments for fulfillingthose assurances.

190

Then, Sir, there is a reference in the Address to the deteriorating economic situation. Mr. Advani was very aggressive in asserting that there has been no deterioration in the economic situation. Well, let me assure, Mr. Advani that if his presumption were to be right, his party would not have met the fate it did. In fact, the very newspapers which he has quoted have been at pains to point out that one of the factors which wiped out the Janata Party in the recent elections has been the Price of onions. It is only symbolic. It $i_{\mathfrak{g}}$ true that ,the way inflation went up, the way the prices went up, the way commodities became scarce, there was no hope for the common man. It is because of all these factors that the poor and the downtrodden— and the minorities and the Harijans are the worst of the poor and the downtrodden-were so affected that they voted en masse for the Congress Party and which resulted in this massive mandate.

Then, Sir, in the Address itself, as Mr. Advani admitted, there is a clear indication that ag far as the socioeconomic measures are concerned, in the Budget session they will be spelt out; but he cannot say that the directions in which the Government proposes to go ahead have not been given in the Address. They are there in paragraphs after paragraphs. For instance,, it is indicated what is to be done so far as the energy crisis is concerned. A national plan is being worked out. The very fact that an assurance on these lines has been given should indicate that the necessary preparation to meet this grave situation is being made.

Sir, I have only one or two more points to make before I end my speech. One is about the fear that Mr. Advani said has been generated as a result of the massive victory of the Congress (I) in certain section of the people. I am rather surprised at this observation. Let me tell him from my personal experience that it was the Janata

victory which really created the fear among those who were on the other side. The various inquiry commissions, the probe* and the raids created a psychology under which hundreds of thousands of people suffered and because the press was all through pro-Janata, it never got reflected. The press even now has a soft corner for the Janata Party. That is why it is a fact, and, therefore, Mr. Advani should realise that had there been any kind of such phychosis created despite the categorical assurance given by the Prime Minister that there shall be no prosecutions, that there shall be no i political vendatta, that there will be no victimisation and that our.3 will be a policy of reconciliation and national consensus, this kind of atmosphere wheirein even this massive victory of the Congress (I) has not created really any kind of psychology which the Janata Party victory had created in 1977 among large sections of the people particularly the Congress rank and file, would not have been there. It is the biggest hope for the success of democracy. It is on the basis of our understanding that if one party goes out and another party comes in, it should not 'result in our instituting commissions of enquiries or having raids and all kinds of harassments to the people who might not have been with us or even who attacked us or criticised us, that proper democratic functioning is possible. It is in that spirit that I appeal to Mr. Advani and my friends on the other side to cooperate with the Government because we are at a very critical stage at this stage. With the international situation pregnant with all kinds of dangers, if we are once again going to indulge in a policy of confrontation in a spirit of conflicts, then, I am afraid we will ill-serve our people. What thi.3 election should teach us is that we have to keep the national interests in the forefront and that even if we have suffered political defeats and even if it has resulted in the loss of power by some people, it should not, as a result of it, create a climate of

[Dr. Kafiq Zakaria]

non-cooperation and of fear of unse-curity which again may generate such forces which will disintegrate the unity of the country.

With these few words, I would like to thank you for the time given to me.

Vice-Chairman (Shri U. K. Lakshmana Gowda) in the Chair.]

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir as I rise to make my maiden speech in the House 't the fag end of the day, may, I beg you, Mr. Vice-Chairman, and through you, my esteemed colleagues here to grant me their indulgence as a junior colleague?

At the outset, Mr. Vice-Chairman, with your permission, I would like to touch upon some of the points that have been made 'by m_v esteemed colleague, Shri Antulay, who moved the Motion of Thanks. He is a constitutional expert, I know and, I have been a student of Constitutional law myself. But personally I do not see the conflict that he appears to see between parliamentary democracy -on the one hand and federalism on the other. Indeed, the two refer to two totally different levels of operation— parliamentary democracy is a system of Government, federalism is a constitutional structure—and the two may go together and the two may not go together. But the point that he wanted to derive at was indeed very interesting. He wanted to justify the process of destabilisation that has been let loose in the country on the ground of this premise that true parliamentary democracy in thig country means elimination of federalism. I submit, Mr. Vice-Chairman, that it is not a premise that we who are the Members of this House, can accept even for a moment.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, Shri Antulay spoke of secularism as dearer to him than the rule of law and dearer than democracy. The Constitution describes secularism as one of the fundamental principles of the Constitution,

of our polity, and indeed all of us are committed to the .principle of secularism. But I do not understand this effort to monopolise the secular outlook, this propaganda that only the ruling party, represented here by Shri Antulay and his friends, is the defender of true secularism. I reject this presumptuous approach. Dr. Zakaria has in his intervention spoken of the massive mandate from the Muslim voters. I just saw, perhaps today or a few days ago, a computer analysis of 62 constituencies in which thg Muslim votes range from 20 to 50 per cent. These 62 constituencies have the highest proportion of Muslim voters in the country. And you will be surprised to know, Mr. Vice-Chairman, that in those constituencies the votes secured by the Congress (I) are 7 per cent lower than the 43 per cent votes received by the Congress (I) in the country. That shows that obviously the Muslim masses have not reposed their confidence in the Congress (I).

श्री रामानन्द यादव: ग्रौर दूसरी पार्टियों को उन कांस्टिट्युएंसीज में कितने परसेंट मिला है यह भी तो बतलाइये

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: I am sorry, I do not have the figures, Mr. Deputy Chairman. I am only referring to an analysis made...

(Interruption)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): Mr. Yadav, let him

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN:...., and the conclusions drawn by some computer analysts from those particular figures.

श्री रामानन्द यादव । उस में दूसरी पार्टियों का परसेंटेज भी दिया है।

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Mr. Vice Chairman, Sir, Mr. Antulay said that the twoand-a-half years that the Janata Party was in power is a sordid tale and a sad ' commentary. Strong words, indeed, Mr. Vice Chairman, but strong words do not change history, do not change facts. He has spoken of this period as worse than

dictatorship. I wish he knew what dictatorship was. I wish he were on our side when the Emergency was imposed on the country. Then he would have known what dictatorship means and what authoritarianism signifies.

We established Commissions of in-inquiry. Yes. W_e did not punish people right away. We did not presume that they were guilty. We established commissions of inquiry according to law because we believe in the rule of law. And it is not a crime to enquire into allegations. We know that the reports that have come out of these commissions of inquiry are very valuable and indeed contain a lot of material which wiH serve as a guide to the growth of democracy in $_0$ ur country.

Mr. Vice Chairman, the point has already been made that even in the country as a whole, the ruling party did not secure a massive mandate. •Yes, they have a massive majority in the Lok Sabha. But about the massive mandate, let me go one step further. They have secured 43 per cent of the votes cast, and the votes cast were only 55 per cent of the total electorate. Mr. Vice Chairman, if you multiply these two figures, you will come to the astounding conclusion that the present Government is ruling the country with less than 25 per cent of the electorate behind them. It is not just a matter of the votes cast. Of course, it is all due to the vagaries of our electoral system to which I shall come a little later. So let there not be too much jubilation or excessive rejoicing merely on the presumption that the entire country is behind the present ruling party.

Mr. Vice Chairman, we have been told that collective leadership i_s bad and one-man leadership or one-woman leadership is good. Well, we know what personality cult means. Do we wish to introduce in this country the cult of personality? Do we

966 RS-7.

wish t_0 take the inevitable path towards totalitarianism which this personality cult would signify? I think in our country we have not yet risen above the feudal syndrome. I think we have not gone beyond the Kingship psychosis. And it js this which perhaps permeate a the thinking of the treasury benches when they talk of one leader and one party fo_r the country.

Mr. Vice Chairman, Mr. Kalp Nath Rai sang a long paean of praise and a long hymn of homage to 'the leader" whom he described as the world leader. A few sentences later he demoted her to "the leader of the non-aligned group and the developing countries". Well, he should make up his mind whether Mrs. Gandhi is "the world leader" or just "the leader of the non-aligned and developing countries". May I point out to Mr. Kalp Nath Rai through you, Mr Vice-Chairman, that the isolation of India on the question of Afghanistan is symptomatic of the fact that we are not leaders of the non-aligned group today and we are not leaders of the developing countrie₃ today. We are not even leader_s of our own region of the world in South Asia, thanks to the policy followed by the present Government on Afghanistan, on which I shall have something more to say later.

SHRI KA.LP NATH RAI: Your people have destroyed the image of India during these three years.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Mr. Kalp Nath Rai i_s an esteemed colleague and I do not hlame him. I know he is in the waiting list and he has got to make his point. But I would hke to suggest that if our worker_s had the same lung power and similarly strong vocal cords, perhaps we would have won the election and not hi_s party

May I now come to the Presidents Address? I have moved certain amendments to the President's Address. When I read through the opening paragraph, paragraph 2, I felt a bit sad. It is natural that there is a degree of selfglorification. It is natural to have a bit of backslap-ping when you come with such a massive majority to the Lok Sabha. But is it in consonance with the conventions of democracy, is it in keeping with the democratic spirit, to malign everybody else, to tar all the other parties which the same black brush and castigate them obliquely for their 'philosophies' based on regional, linguistic, sectoral and other differences? Was it necessary to have included thia sentence, this unfair criticism, this undemocratic cri ticism of the Opposition parties as a whole, in their totality? I personally feel, as my leader Mr. Advani has pointed out, that there should be some humility, and a_s my friend, Mr. Antulay, has said, let there be some introspection; introspection with humility would perhaps

inject sense in the ruling side.

May I now refer to para 5 in which the economic situation has been described as a matter of grave concern and anxiety? Much has already been said on this point. I would like to bring to your attention the intervention of the present Finance Minister, Mr. Venkataraman, when he was Pari ticipating in the Budget Discussion last year on March 12, 1979. He said in the Lok Sabha-and I quote-"The Finance Minister has said i_n the Budget Speech that the performance of the Indian economy during the year is a matter of greatest satisfaction, a statement with which subject to some clarificationg I will agree. It is true that agriculture has maintained the record production reached, last year of 126 million tonnes, industry showed signs of receovery and may achieve a 7 to 8 per cent growth. There is a relative price stability in respect of wholesale prices, thanks particularly to the 20 million tonnes of foodgrains and Rs. 8,000 crores of

I foreign exchange which have held down the wholesale price". This is the verdict of the present Finance Minister when he was in the Opposition last year. May I, of course, in this connection refer to the price of onions to which Mr. Zakaria referred? Yes, some commentators have called this election an onion election. Well. I would like to point out that during the two years that the Janata Party was in power, 1977-79, two full financial yeans, the wholesale price index in this country rose by only 3.4 index points. Of course, there was an increase of 20 index points in the nine months between April, 1979 and December, 1979, and that was perhaps due to the fact that the Caretaker Government had ^its limitations and did not quite come to grips with the situation. But for that to discredit the entire period between 1977 and 1979 on a period of economic chaos is not fair. I think there is a bit of exaggeration in that.

Then may I refer to para 9 because I have an amendment there? There it is said, "The Government would like to re-affirm its commitment to planning ag an essential tool for engineering social and economic change". I quite agree with the sentiments, but I fail to see here the necessary corollary. I find no mention at all of the Five-Yea* Plan which has been formulated and which is under implementation, no mention at all of the priorities; of course, the present Government has the right even to change the priorities. But let there be a mention of it. Are we going to lose another two years in formulating another Five-Year Plan and 1et the country's economy stagnate during that period? Or, are we going to start from the stage where the opposition had left off and build a stronger economy?

Mr Viee-Chairman, may I refer now to paragraph 20 of the President's Address? And here, I would like to speak at some length, because this

paragraph refer,3 to the problems of the minorities. It says:

"The Government is committed fully to secularism" etc.

In the end it speaks of legislation for assuring the minority character of the Aligarh Muslim University. But there are more important matters than these, which concern the minority community. And there is no mention at all of these. I am referring to the promises and assurances given by the Congress (I) Party in its election manifesto on Urdu and on the question of communal violence I refer to communal violence because it has already been introduced in the debate by my esteemd frind, Dr. Zakaria.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, communal violence is a shame for the country. That it should go on for thirty-two yearrs after Independence is indeed a matter of regret and for which we should all bow down our heads in shame. For thirty year_s of these 32 years—to be exact for 29 years—the Congress was in power. If the virug still persists in our body politics, can we not say that it is because of the wrong policies-I am not saying anti-secular policie.3 because 'securalism' is perhaps defined by many people in their own waysfollowed by those in power? Our friends on the Treasury Benches now talk of communal violence. They forget that in one of the very, very publicised statements just before the elections. Prime Minister Mrs. Gandhi claimed that during her eleven years of irule there had been no communal riots at all Mr. Vice-Chairman, if this is not falsification of history. I do not know what is. We know, and the Government of India's own records and statistics bear out, that on an average there were 303 communal riots every year during those eleven years of Mrs. Gandhi's rule. On this point we aire equally ashamed lecause communal riots¹ also took place while Janata Party was in power. But we have not ibeaten Mrs. Gandhi's record because during this time there were only 204 communal riots per year. But the casualties were much

lower. It was not comparable at all to the average casualty figure during those eleven years of Mrs Gandhi's rule. This is a majore issue concerning the minority community. But there is no reference to it in this. I say this because I am also a Muslim...

198

SHRI RAMANAND YADAV: On a point of information . . .

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: I am not yielding. You can reply to that.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, I was saying that no reference has been made to thi_s most important question how to control the frequency an[^] intensity of communal violence. The Government should have spelt out the measures or controlling communal violence.

The second question i_s about Urdu. Mpny a time promises have been made "iy the party which *ia* now in power both at the State level and the Central and national level that Urdu shall be given its due place and that Urdu shall be recognised in some States as a second official language. No indication has been given in this Address about the place in administration in the media and in education which the present Government proposes to give to Urdu which is one of our finest languages and which is a language which is dear to us.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, I now come to some aspects of foreign affairs.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): How much more time you are going to take?

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Another five minutes, if I am not interrupted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): You carry on.

SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Mr. Vice-Chairman, paragraph 23 of this Address makes a reference to the recent developments in Afghanistan

which highlight the re-emergence of the cold

which should have been taken by us. We see today in Islamabad the spectacle of an Islamic Conference on this development. Mr. Vice-Chairman, I regard Afghanistan case as the rape of a small nation by a great power, as the occupation and an attack on the sovereignty of one of the non-aligned and friendly nations, one of our closest friends who helped us during our freedom struggle. Our first provisional government was formed in Kabul. It consistently be friended us after independence. It shows our Government is

insensitive to the fate of small nations, it is

insensitive to the people who are our friends,

to the fate of people who are today forced to

struggle against foreign occupation and it

does not care about South Asia. It does not

care about the fate of this region.

say, a group, has already pre-empted action

200

I am afraid this is not an adequate diagnosis of the situation. This shows a remarkable degree of insensitivity to the very grim and grave threat that has arisen on our borders. If the 5,000 year_s of our history is any guide, any power which has established itself oh the plateau of Afghanistan has done it only with one purpose, namely to enter into the Indo-Gangetic plane. Mr. Vice-Chairman, history cannot be an infallible guide, but is does repeat itself, through not with absolute precision. Therefore, I am rather amazed that there is no perception at all in this Addfress, of this long-term threat which may even become imminent to the security of the entire subcontinent. There is no mention at all of this aspect. We have taken such an ambivalent and such an ambiguous stand, just expressing concern, that we are really puzzled as to what the Government of India concrete steps propose to take. If the intervention of our Permanent Representatives in the United Nations is any guide, this ambivalence is carried to extreme absurdity. Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, the Government of India should have tried to evolve a collective, sub-continental response to this threat by taking all the countries of the South-East Asia into confidence,, by consultations with them, by convening a conference of South Asian States in order to secure the withdrawal of the Russians from Afghanistan and also to refuse or to deny the foothold to the Americans and the Chinese in Pakistan. Mr. Vice-Chair -man, the two go together. We do not trust any of the super powers. We treat them equally. presence is a threat and danger to our security. We want to deal with them. We live in an But we want to keep inter-dependent world. them at arm's length. Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, if the clatter of cavalry of the olden times has now been replaced by the rattle of tanks, it should not make us deaf to the threat that is knocking at our door. On this, Pakistan has already—\ would

Mr. Vice-Chairman, I would also like to refer to the Indian Ocean which instead of becoming a zone of peace, has become a zone of confrontation and which has not even been mentioned. We, who were the foremost

-Donents of the proposal for the -stablishment of a zone of peace in the Indian Ocean, do not even care to give it any priority in our scheme things, in our scheme of external relations. I think it is high time that the Government of India should take note of the growing militarisation, of the growing escalation of military forces in the Indian Ocean. If this trouble reaches the Gulf, our economic development will come to a halt: our eco-

oroic machine cannot run without netroleum. If it is threatened either by the presence of conflicting forces in the Indian Ocean or by the march of the Russians, we shall be affected and our economic development will be affected.

On South-East Asia, I find that a patently inaccurate-in fact, a wrong --statement has been made. The

-tement here Is about relations w411 ASEAN. I am aware of the plea made by the Government of India two years

202

ago for a dialogue with the ASEAN lor cooperation. I am amazed that it says that we intend to improve our relations with ASEAN, while India has no relation at all with the ASEAN.

About Africa. only one sentence, because I find that South Africa today is the main bulwark of colonialism and imperialism in that part of the world. It is not the White Minority in Zimbabwe which is obstructing the dawn of freedom and democracy in that part It is the White Racist of the world. apartheid regime in South Africa which, through its alliance with international monopoly capital, exploits the natural resources which really belong to the African people, and which intervenes in the affairs of the neighbouring African States and launches aggression against them persistently. And we were the pioneers of anti-apartheid who movement do not care to mention South Africa in the President's Address. I have nothing more to say. I would appeal o.ice again to our friends on the Treasury Benches that they should act with humility and tolerance. Mr. Vice-Chairman, the system is being questioned The politicians, all of them without today. exception, to whichever party they belong, are being questioned. Their integrity, their usefulness and their relevance is being questioned and whatever we do in this House, the common man outside is watching us. Let us not fail him. Thank vou.

SHRI A. P. JANARDHANAM (Tamil Nadu): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, the previous speaker said that the common man is watching us. He has been watching us for the last 30 years in the name of parliamentary democracy. Parliamentary democracy has been reduced in India, in the words of Mr. Galbraith, to a functioning anarchy. We have seen so many things. I cannot go on repeating those things and waste my time. So many things have come to us in the guise of parliamentary democracy. We should

realise that if a politician can rise in moments of crisis above party politics, men only ne belongs to the people. L compliment Mrs. Indira Gandhi on ner reutrn. We can always depend on ner in handling the loreign affairs and i am sure sne will rise to the occasion, i am enthused by President's reference co Twenty Point Programme to revitalise our economy and to give meaningful life to the toiling masses, nungi-y bellies have been our lot so lar. iwen among the under-developed countries we are going down and down and down. The world would respect us only if we cease to exploit each other internally. We are ace adulterators in foodstuffs. produce Beicnis and Willipurams. We have to put a stop to all these things. The -rresiaent has spoken about harmonious Centre-State relations. But, Sir, a very powerful Centre and a very .veak State cannot go together. Our great leader Anna was for a Centre relationship. I belong to a party which is advocating sound uentre-State relationship and which J& projected so many things like State utonomy and other things for the ights of the States. I may reiterate that we shall not be hesitant to give our loyalty to the Central Government in all their constructive endeavours. But let not the States be crushed under the jaggernaut wheels of this or that. Every region has its aspirations. Every region has its own culture. Every region hag its own Let all those language. things be respected. National integration should blossom from our hearts. It should not be a forced one. In the name of national integration, if we throttle one section, the resentment will be there. In Madras we used to address meetings and lakhs and lakhs of people used to come to our meetings and our great leader Anna used to shake them and to make them follow him. The land is for the DravicUang for the next 30 or 40 years. The Dravidiang will be winning there. That is our confidence. We are ther, to cooperate with the Centre in all its constructive endeavours. We were the first to receive

'[Shri A. P. Janardhanan]

the Twenty Point Programme enthusiastically. We wetre the first to support the family planning. We were the forerunners in our State. We were the educators. We spread many ideas and Tamil Nadu has been a fertile ground for many progressive plans and other dynamic measures. We will take pride that we have ibeen the firebrand champions of the underdog, we were the first to fraternise them through our journals; through our lectures and through all our groups. We have lots of young lions among us. They all thunder on platforms. They write well. That is all. We never go underground. We never believe in violance. In fact, we ware the first to introduce Karl Marx in Tamil Nadu. We. were the first to introduce Lenin to Tamil Nadu. We were the first to introduce the radicals. But still we fought one thing—monopoly by birth. Monopoly by birth i₃ very worse in India. That has been proved everywhere. And especially, so many people now venerate Periyair Rama-swamy, our founder. Some have agreed that Periyar is required in every State. We come from that rugged stuff. Periyar was our Gandhi. He brought us high standards. Anna was bighearted. He adorned this Rajya Sabha and by the Niagairal eloquence, he converted one and all, and by his magnetic touches of statesmanship, human element and all that, he impressed the great Pandit Jawa-harlal Nehru and others. We were all moulded by them. We are ready to co-operate with the Centre in all its constructive measures. And we will always stand by and perspire all our endeavours to uplift the downtrodden. But, for States' irights, we will remain firm. We respect the Marxists because the / are dedicated and they will fix the hangman's noose. But one thing that in our soil, violence is never productive, violence is never endemic, violence will never pay dividends. Otherwise, they are dedicated people. Karl Marx advocated the otherthrow of exploitation, we advocated the overthrow of all brands. Those who speak of the left and the

right, as Harold Laski, rightly put it should remember the flight of the bird. It goes straight. So also, we shall march straight. For too long. India has wasted its opportunities. For too long, India has relied on this and that. In India, politics are personalities. The personalities should bear in mind that .ney have very heavy (responsibilities. Mrs. Indira Gandhi declared that she will not be vindictive. Mrs. Indira Gandhi has declared that she will not have vendetta. I take her at her words, I hope that a chastened Indira Gandhi will lead India and we will, shoulder to shoulder, give her all strength, give her all support. Thank you, Sir.

VICE-CHAIRMAN THE (SHRI TJ. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): Mrs. Ambika Soni—not here; Shri Sankar Ihose—not here; Shri KuBcarni—not here. Now Shri Dhabe.

SHRI S. W. DHABE: Mr. Vice-Chairman\ Sir, this President's Address in which some policy declaration has been made is really very disappointing. Sir, it was expected that at least in the fiold of industrial relations, the policy which was declared in the manifesto will find some place in this Address.

Sir, in paragraph 5 of the Address, it has been stated that there is a lack of effective management, the balance of trade is seriously in deficit, and that there has been a worsening of industrial relations and a loss of morale in the entire industrial Gee-tor. And only platitudes have been mentioned in para 14 that through better utilisation of existing capacity and improved labour relations and better managements, we can have rapid increase in industrial production. Sir, this is a thesis which has been reproduced in para 14. It was expected that the Government will enunciate some policy or indicate what they want to do in this matter. Sir, as you know, it has been our experience that the number of strikes and lockouts has been increasing day by day. The question about the recognition of unions has not

been solved at all. The Industrial Re lations Bill the draft of which was produced before the last Lok Sabha, did not see the light of the day as it was opposed by all the trade union.3 in our country. Therefore, Sir, if any progress is to be achieved in indus peace, the Government form a policy on industrial relations. In our country national policies are formed only on matters like health, education and some such other mat ters. In the matter of industrial re lations, I must say with regret no national trade union policy has been formulated up till now. Sir, the trade union movement is growing and a large number of trade union centres are coming up but there is no attempt on the part of the Government to form a policy. Only when a strike takes place or production falls does the Government generally blame worknig class or the trade unions. No attempt has at all been made to associate trade unions and their ders with the planning process right from the beginning. Sir, I -oleud with Government that if at want industrial peace and increased production, the active co-operation of trade unions and working class is a must. It is for this reason, Sir, that I have said in my amendment that workers' participation in manage ment is a sine qua non and is very better essential for industrial rela tions and better economic prosperity. Therefore, Sir, it is high time that Government formulates а nation trade union policy which tionally and actively associates the workers right from the beginning in planning economic processes. The absence of such a policy is one of the reasons for our failures as a result of which the trade unions, the Government and the managements are following different paths and we are lagging behind in developing our country. As we cannot afford to suffer in production, we are in the mess in ourselves which we find today. I. therefore, plead with the Govern ment to come forward with a nation al policy in respect of trade unions and better industrial relations.

Otherwise, with the growing crisis. I am certain the number of strikes and lockouts will also grow.

The streamlining of the public distribution system is very essential because the prices are going up and up every day. In respect of bonus also the Government must come out with a clear cut policy. All the Central Government employees, everybody who is working, must get bonus. The principle that for 12 months work the worker must get 13 months wages is accepted in other countries. This principle should be accepted as a wage policy in our country also. Therefore, Sir,, it is essential that a national trade union policy is evolved and, at the same time, there is a national policy in respect of bonus, wages and public distribution system, which is clearly enunciated and spelt out by the Government.

You are aware, Sir, that the agricultural labour trade unions' were demanding a comprehensive legislation for fixing the service conditions of agricultural and rural labour. It is only in Kerala that some such legislation ks there. I plead, through you, with the Government that they, must bring forward suitable legislation for prescribing the service conditions of rural labour and other welfare amenities for them.

Sir, another question which is facing us and with which we all are concerned is the future of our democracy. In this whole Address there is no guarantee given that our democratic institutions will be properly looked after and that democratic norms will not be violated and that democratic conventions will be evolved. What is it that is happening today: What have we been seeing for the last one year. It is a shame on our democracy. The defection is at a premium. Those who defect are given places of advantage not only within the party but also

SHRI KALP NATH RAI: Who is Mr. Sharad Pawar?

SHRI S. W. DHABE: Si

SHRI S. W. DHABE: Sir, my friend is asking about Mr. Sharad Pawar. I am not speaking about him. I am speaking about the problem in our country.

SHRI K. K. MADHAVAN (Kerala): Who is Dr. Rafiq Zakaria?

SHRI S. W. DHABE: My friend will be happy to know what I am going to say. It is also a wrong policy . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): We all know who they are.

SHRI S. W. DHABE: It is democracy by the people, of the people and for the people. It is not democracy of the few persons. In Democracy it must be seen that not only a large number of persons of a party are elected but the people elected are of good quality and proper persons are put at proper places. Is it proper that a person who is defeated at the polls is made the Leader of the House? You know that he is mem-iber of the Rajya Sabha from the West Bengal Assembly. Those who were not defeated are put on the waiting list.

SHRI KALP NATH RAI: This is the prerogative of the Prime Minister., Don't talk like that.

SHRI S. W. DHABE: I arm not 'saying about the prerogative. I am saying about the system. Prerogative has to be used judiciously.

THE LEADER OF THE HOUSE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): Where was your conscience, Mr. Dhabe, when Mr. K. C Pant was made the Leader of the House?

SHRI S. W. DHABE: Two wrong things do not make a right one, even assuming what you say is correct. But that was a different thing.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Did you protest at that time If you see the press reports of that time, you would know whut was my stand.

SHRI S. W. DHABE: Sir, I have got great regard for my friend Mr. Pranab Mukherjee. What I am saying is that in a democratic system certain norms will have to be fallowed.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Then according to you, a Lok Sabha Member has to be made the Leader of the House.

SHRI KALP NATH RAI: He was the Deputy Leader of my party long ago. When Panditji went to the Lok Sabha, he was made the Leader. Why do you talk like this?

SHRI S. W. DHABE: I am sorry that a person like Mr. Kalp Nath Rai should be annoyed over it. What I am suggesting is that the democratic system requires some norms. Take the case of state Assemblies and see what is happening. Justice Bhagwati's judgment in state of Rajasthan's case of Supreme Court of the year 1977 in para 147 says; Mere victory in the Lok Sabha elections is no ground for toppling of State Assemblies. Sir, what is going on today? Would it be proper for a ruling party which enjoys such a massive majority to send emissaries to all the State Assemblies to get defection or topple the Governments there? My submission is that this is negation of democracy, this is negation of the federal structure, this is negation of the principles you talked about. When you say that you do not want confrontation between the Centre and the State Governments, is it proper to send emissaries and create this situation: This question of defections is very serious in our democracy. People who are getting advantage may be happy about it but when it boomerangs it will destroy the whole system. Those who are rejoicing today, will be sorry tomorrow. My submission is that the parliamentary democracy which we are having suffers from two or three kinds of infirmities, the most important of which is defection. The other *one* is about the election expenses. You know that

when it conies to the question of ex penses, a parliamentary election costs Rs. 5 lakhs to Rs. 10 lakhs per candidate, directly or indirectly. No ordinary citizen of this country stand for the Lok Sabha elections un less he is financed. And we know who pays for the elections. It is the industry, the big business houses nom which the money comes and the elections are fought. This is not going to help our democracy. money comes from somebody else, it is going to have strings attached with it. The party will not be able freely, to have a political will to decide and lay down the policy. That is why we are finding so many con tradictions. One of the items of ex penses in the elections is with regard to the writing of cards, and sending those cards to each one of the voters. Five or six lakhs of voters are to toe sent cards toy each candi date. The total expense for all the candidates put together is very enor Therefore public financing mous. of elections is very essential for sus tenance of democracy, as is done in Germany and other countries. the expenditure which a candidate is required to make should be financed by the Government and it should be seen that elections become inexpen sive so that it becomes a real de mocracy.

Lastly, I would only like to say that the foundation of the democratic system is the growth of proper political parties and, therefore, a policy will have to be evolved—whether this Government likes it or not—and people will have to take an active interest in the matter to see that a proper political system is formulated so that our democracy and democratic institutions are safe in this land.

With these words, I would ask the Government to accept my amendment,

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): Anybody

SHRI KALP NATH RAI: The Lok Dal is liquidated.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): I cannot accept that statement, but anyway they are not present here. ¥es, Mr. Gopalsamy.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY (Tamil Nadu): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I extend my heartiest thanks to you for having given me this opportunity to take part in the discussion on the President's Address. On behalf of the DMK party to which I have the honour to belong, I express my thanks to the hon. President of India for his address before the Joint Session of both the House,? of Parliament

Hon. President has been pleased to mention that the uncertainty of the last few months has been resolved happily, that the mandate for the new Government is not only massive but national in the eense that it is from all parts of the country and from all sections of the population and that it will lead to a period of stable Government at the Centre.

Sir, this reference by the hon. President is of great significance in the present political context of cur nation because the mandate secured by our Prime Minister truly reflects the ambitions and aspirations of all sections of our people. The mandate is the result of the desire of the masses to recall our P.M. to govern the ccuntry. Mr. Vice-Chairman,, Sir, I lay special emphasis on the word 'govern' because for about two and a half years we have experienced the philosophy and practice of 'non-governance' in the country. I take pride in the fact that it was our party, the DMK and our party's leader Dr. Karunanidhi, who first gave a call to our Prime Minister on behalf of the people and also to call on her with the slogan "Nehruvin maghle varuga nilayana atchiyaitha-ruga" translated into English it will mean "Come Nehru's Daughter, give us stable Government".

[Shri V. Gopalsamy]

Thk3 call echoed throughout the State of Tamil Nadu and reechoed from all parts of the country, resulting in the huge mandate. Sir, I am glad for it and I am sure we will have a stable Government as prescribed by the Constitution and that this Government would cherish the mandate and serve all sections of our people.

I want to draw the attention of this House to the reference made by the hon. President to the law and order situation in the country, with a particular emphasis about the lack of confidence of linguistic and other minorities, Harijans and weaker sections of the society. It is a tragedy that after 32 years of Independence Harijans who constitute about 20 per cent of our population are still insecure. The root cause of this problem is the caste system in our country. Basically,, it is the attitude of the human mind. The average Indian mind is psychologically conditioned bv the Varnashrama, the theory that gives religious sanction to the caste system. Law alone cannot change this mentality. It requires several Mahat-mas, Periyars and Annas. Nothing would change for the better unless the Indian mind is emancipated from the dangerous concept Varnashrama. We should bow our heads in shame to admit the fact that these Harijans seek safety in their motherland. I will only cite one glaring example of the atrocities committed on the Hari-jan.3 and the breakdown of law and order machinery completely. The Honourable member Mr. Janardhanam also cited example of Veliupuram and I am sure have forgotten the Members could not incidents at Veliupuram in our State of Tamil Nadu about one and a half years ago". There in one day nine Harijans were killed and thrown into a lake. Arson and looting continued unabated for more than five days. This is not an isolated case. Such incidents have taken place on several occasions during the past 2 J years. With all earnestness 6 P.M. and in all sincerity, I would urge the Government to turn

its attention urgently to this problem of protection of our Harijans.

What I say for the Harijans will equally apply to the linguistic minorities inasmuch as confidence must be restored in them. In this context, I welcome whole-heartedly the Government's decision to bring forward legislation in the next Session for assuring the minority character of the Aligarh Muslim University.

While on the subject of law and order situation in the country over the past 2£ years, I would like to draw the attention of the lion. Members of the House to the strange phenomenon that the law-enforcing machinery itself became a victim of the lawless situation created by both the Central Government and the Janata-ruled State Governments and also the Tamilnadu Government. In Tamilnadu...

SHRI NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA (Orissa): He can continue tomorrow. The debate will continue for three days.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: The House is going to sit beyond 6 P.M. Tomorrow the House will sit upto 4 P.M. Members want to witness the "Beating of the Retreat".

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA); Mr. Nanda let him speak. Let us finish as much as passible. Go on Mr. Gopalsamy. But please be brief

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: The Tamilnadu Government, while allowing the police people to have their own organised unions, interfered with their right to elect their own union leaders and sought to impose the Government's puppets and dummies as union leaders. When this outrageous attempt on the part of the State Government was resisted,, the Government treated the police people like petty criminals and unleashed violence on them. The Tamilnadu Government in its own high-handed manner has dismissed from service hundreds of policemen

and mercilessly sought to *yict* them with their families from their living quarters. Now what is going on in Tamil Nadu is that the policemen are driven to the law courts to secure shelter for themselves and to regain their jobs. I want to place it on record in this context that unless the problems facing the policemen of Tamilnadu are solved peacefully and justly, the law and order situation in Tamil Nadu will not improve. I hope the Central Government will take this aspect of the problem into serious consideration.

I am glad that the Government has recognised that the economic situation in our country has deteriorated and that it is a matter of grave concern and anxiety for the present Government. It is shocking that in the past year alone, the prices registered an increase of about 20 per cent. The loss jn industrial production has been heavy. No constructive effort has been made in the past years to strengthen the infrastructure of industrial production. The non-availability, of steel, cement and power has struck at the very roots of our industrial might. Imports have increased alarmingly with dwindling foreign exchange reserves.

I am glad that the Government has given an assurance to the people that it will act with determination and •speed to arrest the deterioration on the economic front.

I will fail in my duly if i do not draw the attention of the Members of the House and also of the Government to the agrarian problem. Sir, the farmers in this country have been suffering for a long time for want of adequate finances, for want of power and for want of proper distribution of items of inputs like seeds, fertilisers and pesticides. The main problem that plagues the farmer is indebtedness. A scientific analysis of the problem of indebtedness of the farmer will reveal that the root cause of this indebtedness ie the difference between the enormous increase in the cost of

agricultural production and the price which the farmer gets. While the prices of all the inputs used by a farmer have gone up manifold, the market price available to the farmer for his produce is very meagre. It is not adequate to cover the expenditure involved in agricultural production. As a result, the farmer having no other alternative but to continue agriculture, takes recourse to borrowing. Such borrowings over a period become too much of a burden for him and so he almost becomes a pauper.

Sir, I am sure Members are aware of the farmers' agitation in ... he State of Tamil Nadu. They have been agitating for almost a year seeking relief mainly from indebtedness. Both the Central and State Governments failed and neglected to find an equitable solution to the problems of the farmers in Tamil Nadu. On the contrary. Sir. coercive measures were adopted in Tamil Nadu. The farmers were harshly treated. The measures adopted by the Tamil Nadu Government were injurious to the dignity and self-respect of the farmers. To add insult to injury, the Government foisted false cases on the farmers and launched even criminal prosecution, particularly against their leaders.

Sir, I would like to draw the attention of the Government to the problems not only of the Farmers of Tamil Nadu but of farmers throughout the country and appeal that they must be looked into and solved. I would request the Government to consider the suggestion that essential inputs like seeds, fertilisers, pesticides and diesel should be supplied at highly subsidised rates to enable the farmers to make a reasonable profit. At the same time I would request the Government to take note of the fact of absence of debtrepaying capacity of the farmer and evolve a national policy for relieving the farmers from the clutches of indebtedness.

Sir, today in Tamil Nadu the farmers are left in the streets with empty cans. For what? They are not

[Shri V. Gopalsamy]

able to get diesel. When industrialists and businessmen get diese!, these farmers are not in a position to get diesel. They have to stand in queues and then they have to return home empty-handed. On 'he other hand, the present Government of Tamil Nadu tries to shift the blame on the Central Government. 1 would like to say that the distribution system now prevailing in Tamil Nadu is very very poor. That is why the agriculturists in Tamil Nadu are not in a position to get it. So, this is a serious problem. I would request the Central Government also to see that the farmers not only of Tamil Nadu but throughout the country are in a position to get •diesel to feed their oil engines.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): Mr. Gopalsamy, you will have to wind up now.

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: I will just conclude, Sir. Just as the Government's mandate is big, its problems and responsibilities are huge. The late lamented Jawaharlal Nehru was-ever conscious of the global responsibilities and he constantly kept before him the famous lines of Robert Fro"3t:

> "The woods are dark, lovely and deep But I have promises to keep And miles to go before I sleep."

Sir, while the problems facing the nation are many and the solutions demanded are difficult, 1 hope and I am sure this Government will fulfil the promises, all the promises, which have been made by our hon. President in his Address.

Thank you, Sir.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): Thank you. Mr. Mishra.

SHRI NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA: Let it be tomorrow.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): Now we

have finished with the other speakers. Anyway, let him speak, j have called him and he is ready to speak. He has already got on his legs. Let him speak.

SHRI NARASINGHA **PRASAD** NANDA: Mr. Mishra, you won't get publicity if you speak today.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA); Mr. Nanda, why don't you sit down and listen to what he says?

NARASINGHA NANDA: He is my friend. 1 know he will agree to what 1 asy.

भी महेन्द्र मोहन मिश्र (बिहार) : उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, राष्ट्रपति के श्रमिभाषण पर माननीय सदस्य श्री अन्तुले ने धन्यज्ञापन का जो प्रस्ताव रखा है मैं उसका समर्थन करता हूं । राजनैतिक ग्रीर सामाजिक कृव्यवस्था कुछ वर्षों से, लगभग 3 वर्षों से देश में चल रही थी उसका खातमा हआ।। हमारी जो मान्यताएं थीं उस पर निरन्तर तीन वर्षों से जनता पार्टी हो या लोक दल हो, निरन्तर भाषात किया। जिन मान्यतास्रों को लेकर निरन्तर 30 वर्षों से कांग्रेस चल रही थी, हमारी मान्यताएं थीं---लोकतंत्र, समाजवाद, धर्मनिरपेक्षता और म्रात्मनिर्भरता, इन सब के बारे में हमारा जो इत संकल्प था उस ग्रोर निरन्तर जो हम अग्रसर हो रहे थे उस पर पिछले 28 महीनों के अन्दर जनता पार्टी की सरकार हो या लोक द की सरकार हो निरन्तर प्राचात किया राष्ट्रीय स्तर पर और अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय स्तर पर हमारे देश की जितनी गिरावट हुई उसको कहीं मिसाल नहीं।

ग्रभी 1980 के चुनाय हुए । इससे पूर्व 1977 के चुनाव हुए । मैं यह कहना चाहता हैं कि 1977 के चुनावों में क्या हालात थे। 1977 के चुनाव में जनता पार्टी के ऐसे नेता थे जिन्होंने किसानों को गमराह किया यह बहुकर कि तुम्हें उपज की सही। कीमत मिलेगी, विद्यार्थियों को गुमराह किया गया कि तुम्हारी अच्छी पढ़ाई होगी, श्रौर रहने की व्यवस्था श्रच्छी होंगी, व्यापा-रियों को गमराह किया गया कि सेल्स टैक्स माफ़ कर दिया जाएगा स्रीर वेतन भोगियों को यहा गया कि तुम्हारी सर्विस कंडीशन भच्छी होगी । हमारी जितनी भी उपलब्धियां थीं उन सब की श्रीर ध्यान न देकर भ्रामक प्रचार करके लोगों को गमराह विया गया श्रौर 1977 में सत्ता संभाली । इनकी नीयत सःफ नहीं थीं । जनहित कार्य का इनका कोई कार्यक्रम नहीं था। हमने क्या देखा कि इलेक्शन की एक मीटिंग में एक विपक्षी ने मुझसे पूछा कि जब मैं भाषण दे रहा था कि कांग्रेस की उपलब्धियां क्या हैं। मैंने कहा कि उपलब्धियों के ग्रांकड़े, मैं श्रभी नहीं देना चाहता लेकिन मैं इतना कह देना चाहता हूं कि हमारी उपलब्धियां इतनी थीं कि हमने 30 साल तक शासन किया श्रीर तुःहारी उपलब्धियां इतनी हैं कि ढाई साल में तुम रोड पर था गये हो। मैं पूछना चाहता ह कि बैंकों का राष्ट्रियकरण, जीवन **बीमा** का राष्ट्रीयकरण, महाराजाभ्रों का प्रिवीपर्स लोना क्या यह समाजवादी कार्यक्रम नहीं थे। इन्दिश जी चाहती थी कि देश में गरीवी हटे, देश में खशहाली हो, गरीबी तबके को अंचा उठाया जाए। यह सब इन्दिश जी चाहती थीं लेकिन क्या हुआ जनता पार्टी और इस देश की जो पंजीपति शक्तियां हैं, अपने देश की क्रौर विदेशियों की शक्तियों ने मिलकर इन्दिशाजी के खिलाफ एक ऐसा अभियान चलाया जिसके हमारे जो मुल्क में समाजवादी कार्यक्रम थे, उनका खात्मा हो गया । हम लोग जिकार हो गये और पिछले इलेक्शन में

हमारी हार हुई। लेकिन कुछ ही दिनों के बाद जनता इनको पष्टचान गई। हमारी इन्दिरा जी ने 16 मई, 1979 को कहा था कि जनता पार्टी ग्रपने बोझ से गिरेगी । वह गिर गई । उसको हमने नहीं गिराया. अपने आप गिर गई। लेकिन चिन्ता इस बात की हैं कि ग्राज देश की हालत क्या हो गई हैं। ग्राज मुल्क किस स्थिति में पहुंच गया है। हमारी आधिक हालब ग्रौद्योगिक हालत ग्रीर सामाज्यि स्थिति क्या है प्रश्न यह उठता है। लेकिन राष्ट्रपति के अभिभाषण से कुछ ऐसा लगता है कि जो हमारी मान्यताएं थीं जिनकी ग्रोर हम निरन्तर आगे वढ़ रहे थे, उसीको आगे वढ़ाने की बात है। इस देश को विशाल बनाने की बात हमइसग्रभिभाषण में सोच रहे हैं। राष्ट पति के ग्रिभिभाषण में जिन बातों का जिक किया गया है उनसे मैं सहमत हं। हमारी सरकार का यह साफ़ इरादा है कि हमें इस मल्क को लोकतन्त्र ग्रौर समाजवाद की तरफ़ ले जाना है और हम उस दिशा में जा रहे हैं। जनता पार्टी के 30 महीनों के शासन के ग्रन्दर मुल्क की क्या हालत हुई और हरिजनों पर जिस प्रकार से अत्याचार किये गये उसके उदाहरण इतिहास में बहुत कम मिलते हैं। हमारे मित्र श्री शाहबद्दीन साहब ने शल्पसंख्यकों पर अत्याचार की बात वहीं और वहा कि श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधीके 11 साल के शासन में इन लोगों पर जितने अत्याचार हुए भार जितने दंगे-फसाद हुए उससे वाम जनता पार्टी के राज में हए। लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता है कि जनता पार्टी के राज में माइनोरिटीज की जो स्थिति रही श्रीर अलीगढ का जो नक्या बना रहा और जिस प्रकार से हिन्दू मस्लिम दंगे हए और जिस प्रकार से वहां पर अभी भी स्थिति चल रही। है उसकी मिसाल वहीं नहीं मिलती है। 11 महीनों तक ग्रलीगढ़ में वड़ी भयानक स्थिति रहो । मैं समझता हं कि जिस तरह से जनता पार्टी के रिजिम में दंगे-फ़साद हुए उनकी मिसाल दुनिया के इतिहास में नहीं है। मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि क्या कारण

[श्री महेन्द्र मोहन मिश्र]

है कि यें अल्पसंख्यक जो सन् 1977 में आपके साथ थे, आज आपसे क्यों हट गये हैं ? वे अब समझ गये हैं कि हम लोगों को रक्षा, हिन्दुस्तान के नागरिकों की रक्षा तभी हो सकतो है जब इन्दिरा जी के हाथ में नेतृत्व आये और यही कारण है कि पिछले चुनावों में इन लोगों ने आपका साथ नहीं दिया, बल्कि इन्दिरा जी को अपना पुरा समर्थन दिया।

उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, दूसरी बात मैं यह कह देना चाहता हूं कि राष्ट्रपति के अभिभाषण में बहत से महों को तरफ़ इशारा किया गया है। हमारी सरकार का यह इरादा है श्रीर मुझे इस बात को खुणी है कि राष्ट्रपति के अभिभाषण में लॉ एण्ड आर्डर, कानून ग्रीर व्यवस्था को स्थिति ग्रीर महंगाई का जिक किया गया है। हमारी सरकार ने इन समस्यात्रों की ब्रोर सर्वं प्रथम ध्यान देने की बात कहीं है। सरकार को यह बारणा है कि इन महों पर जब तक पूरी तरह से ध्यान नहीं दिया जाएगा तब तक हमारा देश सही रास्ते पर नहीं चल सकता है। इसलिए राष्ट्रपति जी के अभिभाषण में ला एण्ड आर्डर को तरफ़ ग्रीर महंगाई की तरफ जो इशारा किया गया है, उसका मैं स्वागत करता हं लेकिन मैं एक बात की तरफ़ ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हं श्रीर यह हमारा सुझाव भी है कि राष्ट्रपति जो के ग्रमिभाषण में एक चीज का जिक्र नहीं किया गया है और वह परिवार नियोजन का विवय है। परिवार नियोजन का इस अभि-भाषण में जिक्र नहीं किया गया है। मैं नवम्बर के महीने में कामनवैल्थ पालिया-मेन्टरी डेलोगेशन के साथ न्यजीलैण्ड गया था। हमारे मित्र श्री शिव चन्द्र झाभी, जो कल श्री जगजीवन राम जी की बहुत दूहाई देरहेथे, वेभी हमारेसाथ थे। वहां पर जितने भी विभिन्न देशों के सदस्य आए थे वे हमसे पूछ रहे थे कि किस हालात में जनता पार्टी को सरकार हिन्दुस्तान में गिर गई ? वे समझ गये थे कि ये लोग सरकार नहीं चला के

ये लोग निक्कमे हैं, इनकी सरकार चलाना नहीं स्नाता है। हमारे जनता पार्टी के साथियों के पास कोई जबाब नहीं था। वे लोग यह भी कहते थे कि सन् 1980 के चनावों में कांग्रेस बाई ही जीत कर देश का संचालन कर सकती है। वे लोग हम से यह भी वहते थे कि हमारे देश में खशहाली तभी आ सकती है जब हमारे देश में आवादी पर नियंत्रण किया जाये। ऐसी गम्भीर समस्या की तरफ़ राष्ट्रपति के अभिभाषण में जिक्र नहीं किया गया है। इस संदर्भ में मैं यह भी कह देना चाहता हं कि श्री संजय गांधी जी ने परिवार नियोजन का जो कार्यक्रम चलाया ग्रीर जिसके बारे में जनता पार्टी के लोगों ने भ्रामक प्रचार करके हम लोगों को परेशान किया, उसकी तरफ विशेष रूप से ध्यान देने की आवश्यकता है। सही माने में हमारे म्लक में खुशहाली आबादी षर नियंवण षा कर ही ग्रासकती है। में श्री संजय गांधी जी को इसके लिए धन्यवाद देना चाहता है कि उन्होंने शासन का ध्यान आबादी को रोकने की तरफ़ दिलाया और हमारे देश में परिवार नियोजन का कार्यक्रम चलाया । ग्रब हमारी सरकार को इस ग्रोर ध्यान देना चाहिए। मैं बिहार से आता हं।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): *j* think you have to wind up now.

SHRI NARASINGHA PRASAD NANDA: He can continue tomorrow.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA). Let him finish.

श्री महेन्द्र मोहन मिश्रः हमारे राज्य में डिजोल्यूशन का सवाल है। हमारे नेता ने इस संबंध में चिन्ता प्रकट की है और कहा है कि हम डेमोकेटिक ढग से काम करना चाहते हैं। मैं इन लोगों से पूछना चाहता हूं कि सन् 1980 के लोक सभा के चुनावों में हमारे देश के लोगों ने श्राप को बोट क्यों नहीं दिया, इस पर श्राप विचार करें। श्रापने कहा, जनता पार्टी ने कहा कि हम श्री जगजीवन

ाम को प्रधान मंत्री बनाना चाहते और हैं लोक दल ने कहा कि हम श्री चरण सिंह को प्रधान मंत्री बनाना चाहते हैं। लेकिन हमारे देश की जनता ने कहा कि इस मुल्क में श्री जगजीवन राम की जरूरत नहीं है, इस देश में श्री चरण सिंह की जरूरत नहीं है, हमें तो इस देश का नेतत्व कांग्रेस-ग्राई की नेता श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी को देना है। इसीलिये देश की जनता ने देश को चलाने के लिये इंदिरा जी को बहमत दिया। ग्राज राज्यों की स्थिति क्या है ? राष्ट्रपति महोदय के भाषण में जिक हैं कि हम चाहते हैं कि राज्यों से हमारा तालमेल भ्रच्छा हो । लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता हं कि बिहार की स्थिति क्या है ? बिहार में 1979-80 में 350 करोड़ रुपया उन्हें मिला था उसमें से भ्रभी तक केवल 50 करोड रूपया खर्च किया। सिचाई की मद में 120 करोड रुपया दिया गया था उसमें से केवल 15 करोड रुपया खर्च किया। 37 जिलों में से 22 जिलों को अकाल क्षेत्र घोषित किया गया है, लेकिन ग्रकाल क्षेत्र घोषित हो जाने के बाद सरकार की यह इयटी हो जाती है कि ऐसे क्षेत्रों में अपने कार्यक्रमों को तेजी से लाग करे ताकि लोगों को राहत मिले। लेकिन स्रकाल क्षेत्र डिक्लेयर करने के बावजद इन क्षेत्रों में काम नहीं चल रहा है। इसी तरह ला एण्ड ग्रार्डर का सवाल है। समस्तीपुर में जहां से श्रीमती तारकेश्वरी सिन्हा खड़ी थीं उस क्षेत्र में एक सरायरंजन ब्लाक है। वहां सीताराम झा जो कि ब्लाक प्रमख हैं, वे 19 जनवरी, 1980 को दिन के 1 बजे ब्लाक हैडक्बार्टर में बैठे चाय पी रहे थे । कुछ विपक्षी दलों के लोगों ने तलवार उसकी गर्दन पर चलाई। वे ग्रभी तक हास्पिटल में पड़े हुए हैं श्रीर मरने-जीने के प्रश्न में झल रहे हैं। ग्रभी तक म्जरिमों को नहीं पकड़ा गया है। हमारे यहां बिहार में ला एण्ड ग्रार्डर नाम की कोई चीज नहीं रह गई है। डीजल के वितरण की कोई सूचारु व्यवस्था नहीं है । वहां पर एक ऐसी परिस्थित पैदा की जा रही है ताकि लोगों में यह भावना हो क्योंकि दिल्ली में इंदिरा गांधी की सरकार बन गई है, इसलिये

उनके राज में अमन चैन नहीं है और इसी के कारण महंगाई है। इसलिये हमारा दायित्व हो जाता है कि अगर वहां की सरकार भ्रपने मन से चलना चाहती है ग्रीर केन्द्र के साथ तालमेल रखने को तैयार नहीं है तो ऐसी स्थिति में केन्द्रीय सरकार को उस सरकार को भंग करने का ग्रधिकार है। जनता पार्टी के लोग जो दलीलें पेश कर रहे हैं इस तरह की दलीलें देने का उन्हें कोई हक नहीं है क्योंकि उन्होंने ही इसके लिये मार्ग प्रशस्त किया है। उन लोगों ने बहमत मिलने पर इस अधिकार का दृष्पयोग किया था। मैं दूरुपयोग करने की बात नहीं कर रहा हं। ब्राज कारे राज्य में जहां कांग्रेस का राज नहीं है वहां की सरकारें वहां के कार्य को चलाने के लिये सक्षम नहीं हैं। इसलिये मैं बर्ज करूंगा कि जिन राज्यों में हमारी सरकार नहीं है उन राज्यों की विघान सभाग्रों को भंग किया जाय ग्रीर वहां चनाव कराये जायं । कांग्रेस (आई) को जनता ने जो बहुमत दिया है, उसके जो कार्यंक्रम हैं, उन कार्यंक्रमों का पालन करने में हम सक्षम तभी हो सकते हैं जब कि राज्यों में हमारे मन की सरकारें हों। इन जब्दों के साथ मैं यह जो प्रस्ताव है इसका समर्थन करता हं

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): Mr. Goswami, you want to speak?

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GOSWAMI (Assam): Yes.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): You better start now. Then we will adjourn and you may continue tomorrow.

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GOSWAMI: I thank you, Sir, for the opportunity given to me . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA). Can you finish in five minutes?

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GOSWAMI: No, Sir. Ten minutes.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): You start now

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRi U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA); . . . and then we will adjourn because everybody wants the House to be adjourned.

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GOSWAMI: Sir, i have started, i have thanked you. I also welcome the President's Address, i welcome the new Government which has come with a massive mandate. In 1977 I welcomed the Janata Government also. But the Janata Government could not complete its term because of their split and all kinds of things. And they have brought an unprecedented tradition in India-that is, a Government was voted to power with a massive mandate and it could not continue even for its full term. Anyway i need not criticise them, when they are out. I also most heartily congratulate Mrs. Indira Gandhi who lias again come back as our Prime Minister. This is a very critical period not only in our country but in the whole world. At this critical period she has come. I do not belong to any party, as you know, T retired from the Congress on 2nd February, in 1978 when I found the Congress splited. i did not join any of the group. I became independent, and did not join any party and today also T am remaining an independent because politics has become so much dirty. I fear to act against my conscience. Once you join a party you cannot speak with conscience; it is very difficult when its whip comes. Of course, all through my life I had been a Congressman. In 1978 i only retired and I did not join any party, but i am a follower of the basic policy of the Congress. I was also once a follower of Mrs. Gandhi when I was in Congress. Today I am not a follower of anybody, any party, any person. But still T am a friend to Mrs. Gandhi and not enemy, j was a friend

to Mr. Desai also when he became Prime Minister—although I was never his follower. I warned him, "Don't continue for more than one term as Prime Minister. So, Sir, when I stand here—i am retiring after two months —I am speaking here with a heavy heart and with all sincerity on the present situation of Assam. I am feeling helpless, i am alone, T come from Assam which is in turmoil now and I come from that area, North Kamrup, Nalbari, which was declared a disturbed area, where curfew was imposed. So I look at what has happened with a heavy heart, T congratulate the students of Assam for the movement they have started. I am happy in this President's Address there is a mention about north-eastern States, northeastern region, but the main issue is expulsion of foreign nationals and large-scale inclusion of their name, in the Voters' List. It was a genuine demand, but that was not conceded. And this demand was not communal. Many people have pointed it with many prejudices. Actually this movement was not against any Indian citizen. It was not against the Biharis; it was not against the Punjabis; ot was not against the Bengalis nor was it against any particular Hindu or Mussalman. It was against foreign nationals, whether he was a Hindu, whether he was a Muslim or whether he was a Nepali. Last year through a Calling Attention we raised the issue of influx of foreign nationals into Assam and we took active part in the debate. I participated in it, my friend, Mr. Warjri participated in it, Mr. Dinesh Goswami spoke in it, Mr. Ajit Sharma spoke in it. We all drew the attention of the then Minister of External Affairs, Mr. Vajpayee and Mr. Vajpayee assured us and accepted some of our suggestions. I suggested to him to call a conference of all Chief Ministers of the north-eastern region with the Home Minister to discuss this issue so that the volcano would not erupt. But the volcano has erupted. Mr. Vajpayee and all others v/ere busy, quarrelling among themselves; they were all busy with elections, this and that. Nothing was discussed; everything was forgotten. Naturally then the volcano erupted. Even on the November 29th, 1979 the Lok Dal Home Minister, Mr. Chavan, called us all Members of the Rajva Sabhabecause the Lok Sabha was dissolved-and representatives of many parties of Assam. At that time also i requested him and told him, the movement was a peaceful movement, the movement was a n on-violent movement, in Assam not only students but women and children, everyone, including government officers participated in the movement, there was only the government but no administration. I told him that the question of foreign nationals was a live issue; so as a gesture to the movement, please postpone it and start pome kind of dialogue with the students and try to correct the electoral rolls. But he did not listen to our voice. I told him if he did not listen to the voice of the people, if he did not take urgent steps, the situation would go out of control and election could not be held. But Lok Dal has not done anything. I am happy today that Mrs. Indira Gandhi has called the students and they have accepted to come. Mrs. Gandhi has issued a statement, i would have been more happy if in the President's Address the question of foreign nationals and about the peaceful movement had been mentioned.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, shall I continue tomorrow?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA): No. you can take another five minutes and conclude speech.

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GOSWAMI: No, I need another ten minutes at least.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA); Then in that case you continue for another two minutes and we will adjourn at 6.30. You can continue

GMGIPMRND-LS IT- 966 Rs.—14-3-80

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GOSWAMI: So the Lok Dal Home Minister, Mr. Chavan, did not listen. Now it is very heartening that Mrs. Gandhi has taken note of the situation in Assam and called the students leadeni and shown a gesture to the movement. The students will be coming and let us hope a solution will come out now. But one thing why I am depressed is this, why I am feeling helpless is this. I was freedom fighter. We fought for independence. After independence I have seen what is happening in my own area, North Kamroop. In the district a whole subdivision was handed over to the military. There was not so much violence. There was sporadic arson, some trouble, in some two or three villages. The death of a student Shri Khargeswar Talukdar, who became the victim of military action created mas ..; discontent all over Assam. In nr other instance there another young student Shri Dilip Hurmi of a village was killed by another section of people. It was not due to police firing in this case. So two incidents took place. After these incidents curfew was imposed in Nalbari subdivision and that th@ local administrator, the young S.D.O, handed over the administration to the military. That is why I feel I should retire from politics now, when I as an M.P. could not be helpful to the victims of atrocities.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI U. K. LAKSHMANA GOWDA); Mr.

, you can take another five minutes tomorrow. The House now stands adjourned till 11.00 A.M. tomorrow.

The House then adjourned at thirty minutes past six of the clock till eleven of the clock on Tuesday, the 29th January, 1980. 576.