ce to good taste, but at least in the new climate, the climate of permissiveness should not be allowed on the All India Radio. So, I ask the Members to bear with me. (*Interruptions*) This is a matter which is being looked into and it would be decided in the propore perspective. But at least the issue is there. I am sure that you would yourself be certain that on the issue of social and civil liberties and social discrimination, this play would never be stopped.

Ref. to Statement

SHRIMATI MARGARET **ALVA** (Karnataka): I wish a statement was made yesterday when we raised the point on the type of books which were published. There is absolute obsenity in them. None of your people were willing to condemn that yesterday.

SHRI LAI, K. ADVANI: I was not here yesterday: I was in the other House, and I am aware that my colleague, the Minister of Commerce, made the position very clear. He also conveyed the feelings of this House to the Prime Minister. Further more, this morning's papers also tell and the Prime Minister himself told me that he made it clear to a deputation that called on him that he did not approve of it. that he thought that it was wrong, but that at the same time hg asked the deputationists themselves what could be done in the pre. sent framework of the law. I think the position is very clear. (Interruptions).

SHRIMATI LEELA DAMODARA MENON (Kerala): If you are really keen, you can bring in an amendment.

REFERENCE TO THE STATEMENT OF THE UNION HOME MINISTER AT **BOMBAY**

श्रीमती सरोज खापडें (महाराष्ट्र) : चपसभापति महोदय, मैं इस सदन में भापके माध्यम से मेंशन करना चाहंगी कि श्रीमती

इन्दिरा गांधी को गिरफ्तार करने की प्रबल इच्छा को जाहिर करते हुए, जो वक्तव्य गृह मंत्री श्री चरण सिंह जी ने हमारे बम्बई में दिया, उससे यह प्रमाणित हो गया है कि कांग्रेस पार्टी को भीर देश की जनता को जो ग्राशंका थी कि शाह कमीशन को मुटठी में लेने के लिये जनता सरकार का प्रयास जारी है, वह सही है। शाह कमीशन ने स्वयं इस बात को स्वीकार किया है कि कितने ही महों पर यह सरकार का ग्रादेश लेता रहा है। जस्टिस शाह से प्रधान मंत्री ग्रीर गह मंत्री का घंटों-घंटों तक दैठकर मिलना ग्रीर बातें करने की बात ग्रब तो विश्व विदित है। इससे यह स्पष्ट होता है कि जनता सरकार ने कमीशन की स्वतंत्रता को नष्ट-भ्रष्ट कर डाला है। इस कमीशन से, जिसके लिये गृह मंत्री का खुला इशारा है कि श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी को गिरफ्तार किया जाये, देश का क्या कल्याण होगा ? शाह कमीशन जनता पार्टी के राजनैतिक उद्देश्यों की पुर्ति-मात्र का एक अंग बन गया है।

of Union Home

Minister

श्रीमन्, शाह कमीशन एक जुडीशियरी बाडी है, एक जड़ीशियल ग्रथारिटी है। उसे काम करते समय, जडीशियली ढंग से ही काम करना चाहिए। ऐसे कमीशन से यह भी ग्रपेक्षा की जाती है कि वहां पर किसी का भी प्रत्यक्ष या अप्रत्यक्ष हस्तक्षेप नहीं होना चाहिए। इसलिए यह भ्रावश्यक है कि जिन बातों की शाह कमीशन छान-बीन कर रहा है उससे संबंधित जो कुछ बातें हैं उसके बारे में कोई भी वक्तच्य देना कमीशन के काम में दखलन्दाजी करना है। श्रीमन्, मैं कहना चाहंगी कि जिस तरह से प्रधानमंत्री जी ग्रौर चरणसिंह जी पब्लिक के सामने बोलते हैं या जस्टिस शाह को मिलते हैं जब कि इन्क्वायरी का काम अभी जारी है इससे मुझे ऐसा लगता है कि उनका यह व्यवहार किसी भी सभ्य तथा प्रजातांत्रिक देश के इस्टेबिशल ला के खिलाफ है भीर . . . (Interruptions)

[श्रीमती सरोज खाः हैं]

135

शाह कमीशन की कार्यवाही के ऊपर बहत गहरा असर हो रहा है। यह अच्छा नहीं लगता । उपसभापति महोदय, मैं ग्रन्त में श्रापके माध्यम से कहना चाहंगी कि अगर ऐसे ही हस्तक्षेप होता रहा तो शाह कमीशन की कोई ग्रावश्यकता नहीं है ग्रीर उस पर जो फालतू खर्चा हो रहा है, उस खर्चे को श्रगर नेक काम में लगाएं जैसा कि हरिजन कल्याण के काम में लगाएं तो देश का काफी अधिक भला हो सकता है। उपसभापतिजी, श्रापके माध्यम से इस सदन में एक बात ग्रीर कहना चाहंगी कि प्रोसीडिंग्स जिस तरीके से सड़कों पर, फटपाथ पर जनता को सुनाने के लिए, रेडियो ग्रीर ग्रखबारों में जो प्रचार किया जा रहा है, विलकुल गलत है। जो कुछ शाह कमीशन में होता है उसको तो बताया जाता है परन्त संसद में कीन-कीन किस चीज में पार्टीसिपेट करता है इसका भी कोई श्रन्तर्भाव नहीं रहता है ग्रीर इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ ग्रापने जो स्पेशल मेंशन के लिए परमी-शन दी है उसके लिए बहुत बहुत धन्यवाद।

गृह मंत्री(श्री चरण सिंह): मैं उनसभा-पति महोदय, अगर्जे इस प्रकार के कथन और वक्तव्य का जवाव देना नियम के अनुसार मेरे लिए जरूरी नहीं है। यह कहा गया है कि बम्बई में वक्तव्य जारी किया (Interruptions) . . . उपसभापति महो-दय, जिस वक्त श्रीमती खापर्डे ग्रपना लिखा हुआ भाषण पढ़ रही थीं, तो मैं बिलकुल खामोश था । अब मैं उसका बहुत मुक्तसरन जवाब देना चाहता हुं । इन्होंने ग्रारोप लगाया प्रधान मंत्री पर, मुझ पर ग्रीर गवनैमेंट पर कि ग्राम तौर पर हम लोग शाह कमीशन की कार्यवाही में दखल देते हैं या हस्तक्षेप करते हैं, यह विलकुल निराधार है।

श्रीमती सरोज खापर्डे: ग्राप तो शाह से बंटों तक बात करते रहते हैं।

श्रो चरण सिंह: मैंने कभी शाह कमीशन से उनके काम की बाबत कोई बात नहीं की है। जब उन्होंने इस जिम्मेदारी को संभाला, पहले मुझ को याद पड़ता है एक बार बात की थी । जब हमने उनसे रिक्वेस्ट की तब कुछ मजब्रियां वे बतला रहे थे, उनके हाथ में कुछ काम था जिससे उनको फर्सत नहीं थी, ऐसा उनका ख्याल था तो हमने उनसे बहुत इसरार किया, बहुत दर-ख्वास्त की तब जाकर वे राजी हुए। सिवाय उस वक्त के जिस वक्त उन्होंने काम संभाला है, उससे पहले मुझ को याद नहीं पडता है कि मैं उनसे मिला हूं या उनसे बात की है। उपसभापति महोदय, बदिकस्मती यह है कि कुछ लोग, इस देश के प्रशासन को या देश में जो जनतंत्र चल रहा है अथवा जो कुछ हुया है उसको जिस चश्मे से देखते हैं वह मेरे चक्मे से अलग है ...

(Interruptions)

श्री कल्पनाथ राय (उत्तर प्रदेश) : ग्राप तो चश्मा पहने ही नहीं हैं।

थी चरण सिंह : उपसभापति महोदय, मझे न कोई गुस्सा है, न ताज्जव बल्कि मुझे खशी हो रही थी कि यही प्रतिकिया होगी। अगर यह चाहते हैं कि गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से कोई जवाव न दिया जाय तो दूसरी बात है मैं बैठने के लिए तैयार हं। हर चीज पर दखल दिया जाता है ताकि गवर्नमेंट का वक्ता या स्पीकर न बोल सके । उपसभापति महोदय मैंने वयान दिया कि प्रोपेगेण्डा की खातिर बयान लिखकर लाये या पढ़ेंगे तो वह दसरी बात है...

(Interruptions)

श्रीमती सरीज खापडें : बाहर से लाने की जरूरत नहीं पडती है।

(Interruptions)

श्री कल्पनाथ राय: ग्रादरणीय उपसन्धा-चौधरी चरण सिंह साहब पति महोदय,

I37

अपनी इस बात को वापस करें। जब वह वापस करेंगे तभी हम बोलने देंगे । इस तरह की बात कहने का अधिकार उन्हें नहीं है। यह मंत्री को शोभा नहीं देता कि किसी सदस्य के संबंध में ऐसी बार्ते करें।...

(Interruptions)

श्रीमती विद्यावती चतुर्वेदी (मध्य प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदय, मेरा प्वाइंट आफ ग्रार्डर यह है कि सदन में यह परिपाटी है कि कोई भी सदस्य पढकर या लिखकर अपने विचारों को रख सकता है। मंत्रियों की तरफ से भी और शासन के सदस्यों की तरफ से भी अपने विचारों को लिखकर पढ़ा जाता है फिर से यह कहा जाय कि यह बाहर से लाया हमा है तो यह भव्द वापस लेना चाहिए। अगर यह परम्परा सही है तो (Interruptions) ... वन ट् यहां पढ़कर ही सुनाया जाता है इसलिए इस तरह के जो भव्द हमारे श्रद्धेय मंत्री महोदय ने कहे, मैं बड़ी नम्प्रता से कहती हूं कि वे बजुर्ग हैं उनके मुंह से अचानक ये शब्द निकल गये होंगे । ऐसी बात उनको शोभा नहीं देती है। ...

(Interruptions)

Sir, I was a witness to it. This is not fair.. Mr. Charan Singh should really consider himself beholden to the honourable lady Member that she has written out her speech. I saw her in the lobby writing out her speech. Mr. Charan Singly she has done you a signal honour. You should be grateful to her rather than making a debating point. It was not written outside. It was written in the lobby. As I was coming she was writing it. Mr. Deputy Chairman, perhaps she thought that her natural way of speaking will not easily convince an un'natural man. Therefore, she thought she shouM write out to be precise exact, in order to make sense to him. He should be beholden to her because this is a unique gesture she has shown to him.

(Interruptions)

द्यी उपसमापति : उत्तर ग्राने दीजिए ।

SHRI CHARAN SINGH; I do not know what decision you have given. That was a point of order that the honourable Member raised.

> श्री कल्पनाथ राय: ग्रादरणीय उपसमा-पति महोदय... (Interruptions)

> की चरण सिंह: माननीय मिल को हर चीज पर एतराज करना है ? मैं अर्ज कर रहा या कि मैंने सिर्फ इतना ही तो कहा कि माननीय सदस्य एक लिखा हुमा बयान पढ़ रही हैं इस उद्देश्य से...

> > (Interruptions)

You have a right to dispute it.... (Interruption)

मैंने श्रापके अधिकार के अनुसार जो आप काम कर रहे हैं उसका बयान कर दिया। यह चमक कहां पर है, मेरी समझ में नहीं श्राता । उपसभापति महोदय, अब जो हस्तक्षेप किया गवर्नमेंट ने, जिसकी श्राप ने मिसाल SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): दी है, वह सिर्फ एक--िक हमने बयान कर दिया बम्बई में

> श्री देवराव पाटिल (महाराष्ट्र) : हाऊस में कहा गया।

श्री चरण सिंह: . . . कि गवर्नमेंट को यह अधिकार है, अगर शाह कमीशन ने प्राइमा-फेर्ती श्रीमती गांधी को गिल्टी पाया तो गवर्नमेंट प्रोसीक्यट करेगी उन को ला कोर्ट में ग्रीर उस के वारण्ट के जरिए नहीं ग्राती हैं तो बारण्ट के जरिए उन को भ्रदालत में पेश किया जा सकता है ; पेश किया जाएगा। मैंने सिर्फ यह कहा।

(Interruptions)

श्री कल्पनाथ राय: उपसभापति महोदय, इस तरह की बात कहने का क्या ग्रधिकार [श्री कल्पनाथ राय] है उन को ? शाह श्रायोग के संबंध में इस तरह की बात कहने का कहा ग्रधिकार है ?

AN HON. MEMBER: This is a direct insult to the House.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Order, order.

SHRI JAGJIT SINGH ANAND (Punjab): On a point of order . . . (*Interruptions*)

श्री कल्प नाथ रायः शाह आयोग के अजमेंट के विषय में बात करने का क्या अधिकार है?

श्री चरण सिंह: त्राप तो यह समझते नहीं हैं।

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; The idea of raising this point is that some clarification must come from the hon. Minister. At least let him say what he wants to say. If you have any point to make thereafter, you are free to do that.

SHRI JAGJIT SINGH ANAND: My point of order is this. Is it correct for the Home Minister to totally mislead the House . . .

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: There is no point of order. Let him finish.

SHRI CHAR AN SINGH: My statement I am going to read out.... (Interruptions). You may have got your own paper—Communist paper.

SHRI JAGJIT SINGH ANAND: The same paper.

SHRI JANARDHANA REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Let him read from his Janata paper.

श्री चरण सिंह: मैं, जिस बात के लिए ब्रापकी परेशानी है, उस पर भी जा रहा हूं; श्राप ध्यान से सुनिए। उपसभापित महोदय, यह "स्टेंट्समैन" जो कल की तारीख का है, 5 दिसम्बर का है, उस में पहली न्यूज यह है, 2 कालम्स की सुरखी हैं:—

Minister

"5-12-77. Interim Report by Shah this month. Charan Singh awaits verdict on Mrs. Gandhi. Mr. Charan Singh said here today that if the Shah Commission came to the conclusion that there was a prima *facie* case against Mrs. Gandhi, the Government would prosecute her".

श्री कल्प नाथ राय: ब्रादरणीय उप-सभापति महोदय, इस तरह की बात बोलने का कहां ब्राधिकार है इन को ?

SHRI BIPINPAL DAS (Assam): May I konw whether he has read out the statement he his made in Bombay or in Delhi? We are referring to the statement he has made in Bombay.

श्री चरण सिंह: देखिए, वम्बई का ही जिक है। देखिए, श्राप की नोटिस क्या है?

श्री देवराव पाटिल : श्रापने जो यहां स्टेट्मेंट पढ़ा है वह न्यूज दिल्लो की है, या बम्बई की है। हमारा रेफरेंस बम्बई स्टेट का है।

श्री चरण सिंह : मैं बोम्बे का ही पढ़ रहा हूं, जनाव । आपकी जो मेरे पास इत्तिला आई है, चेयरमैन साहब की तरफ से, वह है:

Shrimati Saroj Khaparde, Member, Rajya Sabha, has been permitted by the Chairman to mention the following matter of urgent public importance in the House today, the 6th December, 1977, after the disposal of questions. The executive interference in the functioning of the judicial commission like the Shah Commission—that warrants will be issued against the absentees before **the** Commission.

ये वर्डस थे। तो बाम्ब में मैंने कहा था कि अगर जरूरत पडी--यानी, शाह कमीशन ने अगर उनको प्राइमा फेसी गिल्टी पाया, उन को या किसी को भी, तो कानन यह है कि गवनंभेंट उन को प्रासीक्यट कर सकती है ग्रीर करेगी ग्रीर उसके लिए ग्रदालत को ग्रव्तियार होगा वारेण्ट जारी करने का। मझ से प्रेस कांहेस में यह सवाल पूछा गया कि इन्दिरा गांघी नहीं स्राती हैं तो 1 P.M. गवनमेंट क्या करेगी ? क्योंकि इन्दिरा जी नहीं जाना चाहती हैं ग्रौर अब तो मालुम हुआ है कि कोई भी नहीं जाना चाहता।

Ref. to Statement

श्री कल्प नाथ राय: कोई नहीं जायेगा। (Interruption)

द्यी चरण सिंह : क्यों जायगा . . . (Interruption)

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SA-LEEM (Andhra Pradesh): Sir, on a point order. Sir, there is some misunderstanding in whatever the 3earned Home Minister has said before this House. The procedure for appearing before a Commission is entirely different from the procedure for appearing before a court of law. If a person is prosecuted in a court of law, if it is a warrant case, a warrant could be issued. But, if it is a summons case, a summons will be issued. If the summons is not honoured and is in default, a warrant may be issued. But here the question is one of appearing before the Commission. The Home Minister has rightly said that in case the Commission finds anybody guilty of having committed certain offences, he or she would be prosecuted and could be prosecuted and the consequences will automatically

SHRI CHAR AN SINGH; Yes.

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SA-LEEM: The learned Home Minister

need not throw any light on this because it is the procedure. Even if the Home Minister does not throw even. light this anv on consequences then the will automatically follow and the magistrate or the judge will take action accordingly. But, Sir, here the question is this; Certain persons have not obliged Commission to whom letters were addressed asking them to appear before the Commission and to give their statements and replies to the questions put to them the Commission. The Home Minister is alleged to have thrown light in his statement at Bombay that Mrs. Indira Gandhi, the ex-Prime Minister, will be issued a warrant if she fails to appear before the Commission (Interruptions). . .

of Union Home

Minister

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No, no.

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SA-LEEM: If he has been wrongly reported, he can correct himself. But, if I remember rightly—I am sorry. I do not have papers with me to produce before the House; but I saw in vesterday's papers—he has said that in case she fails to appear before the Commission . . .

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: Yes.

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SA-LEEM: ... a warrant will be issued against her. Now, Sir there is a lot of difference between appearing before a court of law in the case of a prosecution and appearing before a Commission. I want a clarification on this question. (Interruptions)...

SHRI D. P. SINGH (Bihar): Sir, I will place the passage before the House....(Interruptions).... It is with me. Let him explain.... (Interruptions).

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yon see, a point has been raised and it is being answered now.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: Sir, I must thank the honourable Member who was just now speaking for at least

144

conceding that I had merely said that in case Shrimati Indira Gandhi was prima facie found guilty ... (Interruptions)

SHRI JAHARLAL BANERJEE (West Bengal): No. no.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: That is what I have said. In that case, she can be prosecuted under the law and the Government will prosacute not only her, but everybody ... (Interruptions).

SHRI JAG JIT SINGH AN AND: You cannot do it.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: Then who will do it, please . . . (*Interruptions*). If you are not prepared to hear me, then I will sit down.

रेल मंत्री (प्रो॰ मधु दण्डवते) : सवाल दूछते हैं तो उस का जवाब क्यों नहीं सुनना चाहते ?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If the honourable Members do not want the Home Minister to say what he wants to say, then we can close the business, because there must be some procedure, A very responsible Member has raised a point and the Home Minister is answering it and the Members must cooperate with me. If this is the type of discussion, then we can close the business. There must be some procedure. This cannot be the procedure. You must co-operate with me. A Member has raised a pertinent point and the Home Minister is answering it and you must hear him.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: This is because of what is going on in the press. This is only about appearing before the Commission.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: I had said that if the Shah Commission finds anybody including Shrimati Indira Gandhi, *Prima facie* guilty, then the Government had the right to prosecute such persons before the law courts and the procedure of the law courts authorises them to issue warrants even to

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SA-LEEM: If it is a warrant case. Otherwise what will happen?

SHRI BIPINPAL DAS: Sir, the honourable Home Minister is mislead ing the House _____(Interruptions)..

SHRI CHARAN SINGH; My statement is not yet over . . . (*Interruptions*) .

SHRI BIPINPAL DAS: Sir, **the** Home Minister is misleading the House.... (*Interruptions*).

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let him complete his statement.

श्री चरग सिंह: श्रीमन् . . .

SHRI BIPINPAL DAS: Sir, he is referring to something else. We an referring to the statement made be him in Bombay (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This is not the procedure to be followed when a special mention is made in the House. I think I will have to adjour the House if the Members do no want to co-operate with me.

SHRI N. G. RANGA (Andhri Pradesh): I think that is the **bes** thing.

श्री चरण हिंह : उपसभापित महोदय,
मैं यह अर्ज कर रहा था कि हमारे माननीय
मित्र ने यह कंसीड़ किया और इसके लिये मैं
उन को घ यदाद देता हूं कि किसी कोर्ट आफ ला में अगर गवर्नमेंट कोई केस फाइल करे शाह कमीशन की रिपोर्ट ने नतीजे पर तो जो लोग उस कोर्ट में हाजिर नहीं होंगें उन क खिलाफ वारंट जारी किया जा सकता है। यह ला आफ दि लैंड है। मैंने सिर्फ यही कहा या। लेकिन दूसरा सवाल जो उन्होंने उठाया "Bombay December, Mr. Charan

Ref. to Statement

हमारे माननीय मित्र ने उस का जवाब भी मैं दंगा । लेकिन ग्राप मेरी पूरी बात सुने ग्रीर उस के बाद कुछ कहें तो बात समझ में आती है। मैं ने जो वहां कहा था वह मैं आप को सुनाना चाहता हं । बंबई, दिसम्बर 4, यह दिल्ली की रिपोर्ट नहीं है।

Singh said here today that if the Shah Commission came to the conclusion that there was a prima facie case against Mrs. Gandhi the Government would prosecute her..."

SHRI N. G. RANGA: Where was the need to have said that?

SHRI CHARAN SINH; Because a question was asked.... (Interrup tions) What was the harm if I said that? ___ (*Interruptions*).

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Order, order, please.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: In democracy, nobody is sacred, nobody is above the law. My friend, Mr. Ranga may consider that it was not necessary but....

SHRI N. G. RANGA: It was very unwise of you to have said that -----

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: It was very wise, and it was necessary for me (Interruptions).

SHRI N. G. RANGA: Very unwise to have said this. .. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: It is not wise on your part (Interruptions).

It says:

"Addressing a Press Conference at Raj Bhavan, the Union Home Mini ster said that nobody is above the law and 'we shall prove it to the people'----" -----

(Interruptions)

You consider people above law. not I. It goes on to say:

"... .Mr. Charan Singh made these remarks when asked what would happen if Mrs. Gandhi did not appear before the Shah Commission."

Minister

(Interruptions)

So this shows the occasion when I made these remarks, my friends. Let me have my say, my friends; then you can say whatever you like. This shows that I made these remarks when I gave answer to a question put at the Press Conference.

Now, the point that the hon. Member Shri Yunus Saleem has raised was whether the Shah Commission has the right to issue warrants or not (Interruptions).

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SA-LEEM; I did not say this . . . (Interruptions).

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: Some people are of the opinion that the Shah Commission has no such right... (Interruptions) Even the Shah Commission has the right to issue warrants. I did not issue any direction to the Shah Commission that the Commission must issue a warrant... (Interruptions).

श्री नत्थी सिंह (राजस्थात) : स्पेशल में जन में क्या इस तरह के डिस्कशन एलाउ किने जार्येगे ?

There is no procedure that the debate will be allowed....

(Interruptions)

SHRI MOHAMMAD YUNUS SA-LEEM: The question was only with regard to certain persons who did not oblige the Shah Commission. That was the question put to the Home Minister ____ (Interuptions) ... with full sense of responsibilty I submit before this House that if the case was not pending before the Shah Commission and if it was before any court of justice, on this very statement of the Home Minister, he would have been

[Shri Mohammad Yunus Saleem]

prosecuted for contempt of court.... (Interruptions). I do not know what sort of a Commission is this. The Shah Commission is neither a court nor... (Interruptions). If this statement was given and he preferred to own that statement, and the matter was before a court of law, the law Minister—who is present here would bear with me that on this statement of his he would have been unable to be prosecuted for contempt of

c सदन के नेता (शी लाल कृष्ण म्राडवाणी): उत्सभावति जी, सदन में स्पेशल मेंशन की एक प्रानी परभ्परा रही है, लेकिन इसमें पिछले सन्न से थोड़ा परिवर्तन किया गया । यह परिवर्तन यह सोच कर किया गया कि ग्रगर कोई बात सदन में कही जाती है जिस पर सरकार को उत्तर देना है तो उसका उत्तर दे दिया जाय ताकि उस वात का समाधान हो सके । इसीलिए मंत्री जी को इस बारे में सूचना दी गई। लेकिन अब क्या हो रहा है ? एक स्पेशियल मेंशन हुआ। उसके बारे में गह मंत्री जी ने अपनी बात कहनी शरु की तो उस पर डिवेट शरू हो गई , ... (Interruptions) मेरा निवेदन आपके माध्यम से यह है कि यह जो परानी परम्परा है और उसमें जो इनोवेशन किया गया है कि स्पेशियल मेंशन हो जाय ग्रीर उसके बाद मंत्री जी उस संबंध में अपनी बात कह दें तो वह बात वहीं खत्म हो जानी चाहिए।... (Interruptions) ग्रगर उस में डिबेट करनी हो तो उसके लिए ग्रलग से फारमल क्वेग्चन हो सकता है कि इस विषय पर डिबेट हो जाय । इस पर कोई ग्रापिस नहीं है । अन्यया सरकार को पूर्व-स्थिति को उपयक्त मानकर चलना पड़ेगा । हमारी दृष्टि से ग्रीर सरकार की दृष्टि से ग्रीर सदन की दष्टि से पूरी बात हो जाय श्रीर उसके बाद मंत्री महोदय पूरी बात कह दें...

(Interruptions)

Minister

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN (Tamil Nadu): I have to say something. The Congress Party thinks that this House is the monopoly of that party and we are here seeing that special mention has been changed into special shouting by the Congress Party. The time of the House is being wasted. It is unfortunate that the time of the House is being wasted on a criminal. Why do you discuss about a criminal in this House? Shrimati Indira Gandhi killed so many people. It is a shame. (Interruptions) It is a shame to discuss about a criminal lady in the House.

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Words have been used from both sides of the House. I will consult the record and if I find them objectionable, I will pass necessary instructions. I will re-guest Members that what the hon. Leader of the House has said has a lot of substance. This cannot be converted into a general debate which seems to be the tendency. Now, I will not permit many. I will allow only Shri Dwivedi.

(Interruptions)

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI (Uttar Pradesh): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I totally endorse the position explained by the Leader of the House vis a vis the special men, tion in the House. Under the rules, it is not incumbent upon the Minister concerned to say anything in regard to the point raised. But the Home Minister has chosen to make a statement and he has tried to confuse the issue further. While giving clarification, he has made confusion worst confounded. He has mislead the House. He has stated wrong facts before the House. I want to draw the attention of the House to the press reports which have appeared about his speech in Bombay and what he is purported to have said there. He has supported his own statement saying that Mr. Charan Singh said this and Mr. Charon Singh said that I want to read from two newspapers. One is

Minister

Indian Express of Mr. Goenka, the darling of Janata Party, and the other is the Times of India. The Indian Express says in headlines something which is contrary to what the Home Minister has just said. The heading of the Indian Express dated December, 5 says-. "Warrant will be issued to fering Mr.
Gondhi before Shah Panel" "And warrants will be issued to bring Mrs. Gandhi before the Shah Panel." And the heading in the 'Times of India' says: "Warrant likely for Mrs. Indira Gandhi's appearance.' Now it is not as if the Home Minister has stated that if the Shah Commission finds somebody guilty, then certain legal proceedings will follow and consequences will flow out of the report of the Shah Commission. This is not the position. He is talking about the procedure that will be adopted by the Shah Commission. What he has stated

Ref. to Statement

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; All right, you made your point.

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVE-DI: I will not take more than two minutes, Sir. The Home Minister has said that in case Mrs. Indira Gandhi refuses to go before the Shah Commission, then a warrant will be issued. Now, the warrant will be issued by whom? Mr. Indira Gandhi, in her letter, explained the legal position and legal objections which she has to the manner in which the Shah Commission is functioning. A curiouser and c.uriouser situation is arising everyday in the manner in which the Shah Commission is functioning. She has pointed out certain constitutional, legal objections to the manner in which the Shah Commission has started functioning. And what legal position she has stated is shared by a large number of people of this country. My point is a very simple one. Well, something is happening between Mrs. Indira Gandhi and. Mr. Justice Shah. What Is the locus standi of the Home Minister to make statements which can be interpreted as trying to influence the

deliberations before the Shah Commission? That is the point. And by doing so, the Home Minister has come out in his true colours. It has become very clear that the Shah Commission is nothing but an attempt by this Government to malign the national leaders, to malign those people who have had a special place in this country. Therefore, apart from this, the manner in which he has misled the House also calls for a breach of privilege for which I am going to give a notice to the House.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: Mr. Deputy Chairman. Sir. I have not at all misled the House. I have simply stated what the facts are and I have read from one of the important national dailies of the country. I had sim ply stated that if anybody including Mrs. Gandhi is prima facie found guilty by the Commission, then the Government will prosecute that person and if necessary warrants will be issued and can be issued. Now, there are three versions according to the hon. Member himself, three newspapers giving three versions. The 'Indian Express' says that the Shah Commission will issue a warrant. The 'Times of India' says that warrants are likely to be issued. The "Statesman" says that if she is found guilty, then the Government will prosecute her/and warrants will be issued if she fails to appear. Now, this is the position of the Press reporting. When a question was put to me, as it could understandably be put to me because I happened to hold the Home portfolio in this Government, when the Press asked what will happen if she does not appear, I have said...

AN HON. MEMBER; Even before the **Shah Commission?**

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: What does it matter? I simply replied... (Interruptions) why do you shout? If you are shouting, then I can also shout. Why should anybody shout?

I would request my hon. frierda through you, 'Sir, just to have patience and hear even things which are

public *importance*

[Shri char an Singh] irnpalatable and unpleasant. I was explaining the position to a press man who was present and who wanted to know what would happen if she did not appear before the Shah Commission. I said that so far as the Government was concerned, it could prosecute her and compel her attendance through a warrant.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: For appearing before the Shah Commission?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. RAMKRIPAL SINHA): If in a prima facie case she was found to be guilty. (Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Order please, order please.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH; I do not remember to have made any statement. But even if I have made any statement at that time, I am prepared to make a statement here on the floor of this House and say that under the law the Shah Commission is entitled to compel her presence before it by way of a warrant. That is what the law says.

श्री उपसभागति : सदन की कार्यवाही 2 बजे तक के जिये स्थागत की जाती है।

The House then adjourned for lunch at twenty-two minutes past one of the clock.

The House reassembled at five min. utes past two of the clock.

The Vice-Chairman (Shri C. K. Lakshmana Gowda) in the Chair.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MAT-TEE OP URGENT PUBLIC IMPOR-TANCE

Reported Explosion in Heavy Water Plant, Baroda

SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH (Bihar): Sir, I beg to call the atten-

tion of the hon. Prime Minister to the reported explosion in the Heavy Water Plant of the Atomic Energy Commission near Baroda, causing con. siderable damage to the plant.

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHR] MORARJI R. DESAI): Mr. Vice. Chairman, Sir, I regret to inform the House that there was an explosion and fire at 4.20 P.M. on Saturday the 3rd December, 1977 in the Ammonia Synthesis Section of the Heavy Water Plant at Baroda. This was. followed by a series of explosions, approximately twelve in number, due to the bursting of nitrogen cylinders kept in the Plant for process requirements. The fire at the bottom of the Ammonia Convertor was extinguished by 5.25 P.M. and the last flames of the fire were put out by 6.00 P.M.

Three persons received minor inju. ries from flying glass pieces. They were given first-aid and discharged from the hospital. Three firemen belonging to the Baroda Municipal Corporation were overcome by fumes of Ammonia and were attended to, but were not required to be hospitalised.

The first report shows that the fire seems to have been caused by the rupture of one of the two forged pieces where injection of Ammonia is done to reduce the temperature of the synthesis gas. The reasons for the rupture of the forged piece will have to be investigated.

The damage caused by the fire and explosion is essentially to the cables, insulation,, instrumentation and certain portions of the structure. The Plant will be examined completely and thoroughly to find out whether any other parts have been affected by the heat and the explosion. The Chair, man of the Atomic Energy Commission along with the technical team is at the site to inspect the damage. Till the complete examination is over, it is difficult to give an idea about the extent of damage, the time that the repairs will take or the cost thereof.