SHRI BHUPESH **GUPTA** (West Bengal) : Sir, this matter you have to And out because for the first time. a_n official, $solem_n$ address on the \mbox{In} dependence Day has been used for pure and simple party propaganda in some respects. I am not saying that everything that the Prime Minister, Mr. Morarji Desai, said is wrong. For example, his statement on the Kanjhawala issue was quite right. Sir, can you cite one example of a speech o'f the Prime Minister from the ramparts of the Red Fort on Inde pendence Day when parties have been talked about in this manner?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All right, you cannot have a discussion on this, hon. Member.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Therefore, my friend, Mr. Advani, should take it seriously. It is gross misuse of power and authority, a fit case to go to the Shah Commission.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Discussion on the Education Policy of the Government of India, Mr. Bipinpal Das.

श्री मुलतान सिंह (हरियाणा): डिप्टी चैयरमैन साहब, मुझे केवल इतना ही अर्ज करना है कि उस रोज सरकार का जो पैसा खर्च हुम्रा है वह जनता पार्टी को देना चाहिए क्यों कि उस पैसे का प्रयोग जनता पार्टी के लिए किया गया है।

श्री उपसभापति : ग्राप श्रपना स्थान ग्रहण कीजिये। ... द्व

श्री सुलतान सिंह: श्रीमन्, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि उस रोज जो पैसा सरकार ने खर्च किया उसका प्रयोग जनता पार्टी के प्रचार के लिए हुआ है ।

DISCUSSION ON THE EDUCATION POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

SHRI BIPINPAL DAS (Assam): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I gave notice to raise a discussion on the Education Policy of the Government of India. As I am not feeling well, I would request you to allow Dr. Rafiq Zakaria, a member of my party, to initiate the discussion.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN Dr. Zakaria.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA (Maharashtra): Mr. Deputy Chairman, we are discussin'g the educational policy of the present Government. However much they may like to claim that...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): What happened t_0 Special Mentions? I took permission from the Chairman for Special Mentions.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We had finished the Special Mentions before lunch.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: No, Sir. you have not finished Special Mentions ...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The honourable Member spoke at that time...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Here is the permission given by the Chair--man...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: When he was called, what was the honourable Member speaking about at that time?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: It was not Special Mention ...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I had called for Special Mentions and you spoke.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA $_{:}$ But then you did not call me.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I called you and you spoke. Perhaps you spoke on something else.

Jt SHRI BBTJPESH GUPTA: I went to the Chairman for permission for ' tw_0 Special Mentions: one with regard to the procedure of the House, and another ...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Then would you like to interrupt the discussion and speak on your Special Mentions?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I do not know. But then, you allow me tomorrow.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All right, we will see tomorrow.

"" SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I do not know what is happening.

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: Mr. Deputy Chairman, we are discussing the educational However policy of the present Government. much this Government might like to undo what might have been done in the past thirty years—because, that seems to be their swansong—in the educational field as in the various other fields, they have inherited a legacy which, while there might have some mistakes and even some blunders, has many glorious achievements to its credit. intention of going into the history or the background of our educational system. For that purpose I will have to go back to centuries since the time of the minute of Lord Macaulay. But what we were anxious at the time of independence was that the impact of our newborn and newly-won freedom should be so translated in the transformation of the generations that are to follow, that they would be able to enjoy the fruits of this tremendous achievement on the part of our people. It was not an easy task. The first Education Minister, Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, struggled hard; he went about it cau--~ tiously because he knew that the educational system has to be by its nature delicate operation. One couldn't - do violence to it lest the whole superstructure should collapse. Therefore,

various committees were appointed to-go into the question of not only modernising our educational system but also bringing it in tune with the hopes and aspirations of our people. This struggle on year after year. There was the cry went at that time of replacing English immediately by Hindi. Some effort in that direction was made, an effort which was misunderstood ty the South. But it must be said to the credit of our Prime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, that with the sagacity, with the tact, with the understanding, that he possessed, he tackled this problem wisely, carefully and in a manner that for almost two decades the changes that were brought about, were brought about in a man-' ner which did not create the kind of nervousness among the people which they are experiencing When Mr. Chagla, who is one of the today. founding fathers of the Janata Party became the Education Minister, he applied his mind to the mess that thr whole process had created. He appointed one of the finest Commissions that have been appointed in this country, namely, the Kothari Commission. The Kothari Commission went deep into all aspects of our educational system and came out, after almost three years sustained hard work and understanding all the implications of the various changes that were sought to be brought about, with its recommendations. I have no intention again of going into those recommendations because th. House is well aware of them and it has also discussed those recommendations. From out of those recommendations certain healthy features which changed the pattern of our education came about. One of them with which we are experimen'tingf at present, is the 10 plus 2 plus 3 system.

But before I come to that, I would like also to point out the significance of the three language formula because, as you know, we are a multilingual society. We are a multi-lingual state i while the urge was there to regiona-

lise education and make the mother tongue the medium of instruction at rthe primary and secondary stages, Pandit Nehru realised that the minorities, as a result of this regionalised education, were undergoing certain legitimate difficulties. In order to meet that situation, the three language formula was adopted. Under the -three language formula, as you know, the regional language or the mother tongue, a modern language and a foreign language were to be taught and the States were called upon to implement this formula. But many of the States tried to implement this formula in their own with the result that greater misunderstanding was created. The whole cry from the South of Hindi imposition is because of the fact that we have not approached our educational system in that objective, rational and integrated manner in which we should have. Had we been more circumspect, we would have seen to it that the students in the North did not suffer from the lack of English education from which they are suffering. And despite all that the Hindi enthusiasts have done the domination of English continues as before. The South also would not have been so allergic to the learning of Hindi with the result that instead of integration -we have again a division between the North and the South which is almost frightening. Therefore, when we are discussing the educational policy, what is important is faithful adherence to the three language formula. This is the basic requisite if we have to succeed in that direction.

What is happening, for instance, in Uttar Pradesh? For mother tongue the students there have to take Hindi. And, Sir, I have nothing against Hindi. I believe that in the North, if the students have t_0 fight the battle in the economic field, there is no escape from learning Hindi. But what is happening is that in the name of Hindi, Urdu, which is the mother-tongue of a larg& section of the people, is being elimi-

nated. What was expected was that under "modern languages", Urdu would get a place. But, Sir, you would v be surprised to note that Sanskrit has been brought in by an ingenious device. Instead of having a modern language, out of the languages as enshrined in our Constitution, in the relevant Schedule of our Constitution —I do not know which Schedule it is—Sanskrit has been brought in with the result that Sanskrit becomes one of the languages under the three-language formula and it becomes a modern language under the threelanguage formula and, for the foreign language, English has been selected. Why are we fighting shy of the realities of the situation? Why are we not taking into

184

consideration the fact that if we are really committed not only to a democratic set-up, but also to a secular setup, then the satisfaction of ^{no}t only the linguistic minorities, but also of the religious minorities, is very necessary, in fact absolutely necessary? Why is it that there is a feeling in the South that Hindi is being imposed? In that process, Sir, as I said, a tremendous damage is also being done in the North so much so that the students who are coming out from the universities in the North, are taking up medical whether they whether they are education or engineering education or whether they having any other technological are necessarily to be subjects, they j have taught in English, but they are all Half-baked products. I was in West Germany, Sir, the other day and I was told that some of our doctors who came there for training and who had got first classes in some of the North Indian universities, were not able to follow even the ridimentary things in the medical field. This is what we have done to our students. Therefore, as I have said earlier, this has come about not because Hindi should not be taught, not because English has to be somehow or the other placated but because of the fact that the sort of under-standing that was to be brought to bear on education, on

matters concerning education, was lacking. I am sure, Sir, that with a proper understanding, the three-lan-¹ guage formula could have been worked in such a way that our students would have become genuinely multi-lingual students, who would have become proficient in Hindi, as well as in their respective mother-tongues and also in English. But we are neither here nor there now and, in this process, what has happened to the textbooks that we have prepared, is tragic. Some of the excellent textbooks that we have prepared have been withdrawn. Why? Because they said that some of those who prepared those textbooks were the favourites of the Congresswallahs. Now, tomorrow, when another party comes into power, the other textbooks would be withdrawn because some of them would be accused of being the favourites of the Janata Party wallahs! Is this the way we are going to give education to our younger generation, to our children, to those people who are going to secure the future of this country? And, Sir, what are those textbooks which are being replaced? Sir, I am reminded of a couplet by the great Urdu poet, Akbar Allahabadi:

"हम ऐसी सब कित बें कि बिले ज़ब्दी समझते हैं, क जिन हो पढ़ के बेटे बाप को खप्ती समझते हैं"।

This is what is happening now. Even the students, who are learning what we are prescribing to them, laugh at us. They say that we do not know what we are giving them. Instead of being grateful to us for giving them what we think are in their interest, they laugh at us. Their reaction is exactly the opposite. The same is the situation as far as the 10-f2-|-3 formula is concerned. I am not blam-" ing the Education Minister for this formula because this is a legacy which he has inherited. Let me tell you that the formula is not bad at all.

It is an excellent formula. But, Sir, despite the fact that after it has been adopted, since three years, by the various State education ministers, conferences, by the Centre, by various other academic people, it is being implemented in such a manner that we have created more and more confusion all around.

We have created, as I said, nervousness among the people, among students and among parents. They do not know what next we are coming forward with. They are not quite sure whether a particular system wherein a child starts from the age of 5 would be assured of regular education till the age of 12, or something else will be introduced suddenly and he will be left high and dry. The whole educational system, as a result, has gone to ducks and drakes. What is the significance about the 10 plus 2 system? Instead of going in for higher education, it is much better, also in view of the growing educated unemployment, that we create a kind of technical skill which finishes at 12 clan stage for students who do not have the aptitude, who do not have the means, who do not have the time at their disposal. Excellent idea! But what has happened? I can tell you about my State -of Maharashtra which is considered one of the progressive and advanced States. Sir. this plus 2 system cannot work unless sufficient funds are made available-sufficient funds for equipment, sufficient resources for training teachers for that purpose and sufficient resources for text books. Sir, I have been wanting for the last two years to open a technological institute. I have procured more than ten lakh rupees for that purpose. The buildings are ready. I have got the equipments. But the curriculum is not yet ready. We adopted plus 2 system almost three years ago. Even today the text books are not ready. The curriculum is not ready. Teachers are not available. And we have introduced 10 plus 2 plus 3 system. Sir, I had asked the Education Minister a

[Dr. Rafiq Zakaria] pointed question as to what are the funds which the Centre is going to place at the disposal of the State, as far as this plus 2 part of the system is concerned. His reply was—a very nice way of saying—that they have provided ten thousand rupees for this, twenty thousand rupees for that. I was not asking that, i was asking if a proper assessment had been made in this regard, in particular what is required to make plus 2 system a success. That alone can justi-10 fiy the plus 2 system. Otherwise, what was wrong with our old system? We wanted this new system so that a large number of students are properly trained in the various technical fields—small artisans, small mechanics, etc. Sir, My friend on the other side stated that in the rural areas they do not have the funds. They do not have the resources even in the urban areas. Funds are, of course, the most important problem. But text books are not ready. The curriculum is not ready. Teachers are not available. we are seeing that practically every State has adopted 10 plus 2 plus 3 system. We are going ahead. Are we going ahead in this way? These changes have created such a situation, I can tell vou from my experience of Maharashtra. I am running about a dozen different institutions, institutions of higher education, and the we have is nobody's business. problems that That is why there is furstation among students. We are creating a sense of frustration in them. We are not giving them a sense of fulfilment, as far as our whole system is concerned. What is happening in our Universities? They have become a breeding ground for all kinds of troubles. The autonomy of the University is the basis of a sound education, higher educational system. is being adversely affected.

The Government then stens in. I will come to that later. But I would like to know from the Education Minister as to how much money is given. Sir, the States cannot bear this burden. i have been 8 Minister

for more than fiteen years and I can tell Mr. Chunder—He is new to the Government—that he should not go by what the experts advise him because v the experts have the habit of presenting even black as white with an 'ease' which is very difficult to fathom. Let us be simple, honest and realistic and find out how much funds are at the disposal of the States for this purpose which will be apart from what they have been spending so far and how much the Centre is prepared to give for capital expenditure for the plus two pattern and how muh for recurring expenses. What is being doing for the training of the teachers? Even if we start this thing from today, it will take another 3 to 4 years. What is to happen to the present generation? What is to happen to those who have gone to the 11th and 12th classes with no education at all or with half-baked education because at least in the old system there were intensive studies in those particular fields because there was not this emphasis on the technical stream? Now that emphasis is taken away in the hope that we will be able to pursue the technical As I was saying, the Education Minister should see that in this process also the conflict that has been created between Hindi and English is properly resolved. (*Time Bell rings.*) Otherwise, it is not only the people in the South who are suffering, but the people in the North are suffering more. Sir, unless we have ^ the necessary text-books and there teachers available in the Hindi language, to take away the emphasis from English and them through the medium of English teaching and producing half-baked doctors and half-baked engineers is the greatest disservice that we can do to this country. One of the finest institutions that we have created in this country is the Jawaharlal Nehru University.

SHRI N. G. RANGA (Andhra Pra desh): Has it really become one of the finest institutions?

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: I said 'created'. What I have been reading in the newspapers and what I have

been hearing here and there is really painful. I am not fully familiar with all the facts but the way people talk, > that somebody is leftist, somebody is trying to do this and somebody is trying to do that and therefore they shall be removed, well this fine institution is being made to suffer. My friend, Shri Rasheeduddinh Kan, is going to praticipate in this debate. I would request him because he is not only a Member of this House but he is also connected with that university, to tell us what exactly is happening and to take the House into confidence because these are the citadels of learning which we have built with some hopes and expectations and which should be kept free from the ravages of time.

Sir, now I come to the question of adult education. What I am hearing, if it is true, is also frightening. We have not done well in adult education at all. Adult education has been going on in an half-hearted manner with the result that while for show purposes we are making loud claims, there has not been any concrete result which should make us happy. Perhaps, the Education Minister has some new scheme under his consideration. I am told that in the guise of voluntary organisations, giving this work to voluntary organisation and earmarking almost 250 crores of rupees for this purpose, a cretain sinister political mechanism is being created which will have very little to do with adult education, but which will strike at the very roots of our secular character.

I would like to know this from Mr. Chunder. I have full faith in his secularism and I know that he means well. The manner in which he has gone about as far as some of the legislations regarding some Universities are concerned, it is a hopeful sign. But as I said earlier, he should not fall into a trap of certain bureaucratic creations which while giving a cover to adult education are trying to achieve some other purpose. Sir, when I look at this whole picture of education, especially after all that was being said

during the elections, by the ruling party, all that was being said in the manifesto of the Janata Party and despite all the loyalty to the Gandhian ideals—smoe of the leaders are fond of swearing by them in season and out of season—despite all that, I get a feeling of nervousness, a feeling of fright that we are going about in our educational policy in an aimless way. Perhaps, the Education Minister has some objective, but i do not know it. Again, Sir, I am reminded of an Urdu couplet:

"बहुत मुमकिन ग्रमीरे कारवां की कोई मिजिल हो ,

मगर रभतार ग्रहले कारवां कुछ ग्रौर लगती है।"

The way the whole caravan is going about, it is going about aimlessly, it is going about from confusion to confusion; the promises by their very nature becoming are incapable of being fulfilled. The patterns while looking perfectly all rigHt oni the paper, unless the wherewithals, the necessary requirements, the funds, the staff, the equipment are provided, they shall not produce the result. Therefore, I would plead with the Education Minister to clear this confusion. He told the other day that we were creating the confusion. Well, perhaps, the Opposition does that kind of a thing. Everywhere it does. But he should be in a position even if we have created any kind of confusion in the minds of people to clear it. He can do so if his aim is clear, if his objective is clear and if he knows where he is going. But, Sir, I find even the 10-|-2-f-3 formula suddenly gets changed because the Prime Minister felt, "No, 10-f-2-j-3 is not correct; it must be 8-[-4-)-3" or some such thing. Then, Sir, the Cartoonist Laxman in his cartoon says "What does, it matter? You can put any figure so long as you come to that total." Sir, are we totalling or are we having a pattern? It is that question that I am asking the Education Minister because, believe me, Sir, the parents are suffering from a kind mental

Raflq Zakaria] shock. They do not know what is going to be the future of their child ren. They just do not know. Sir, the way we are passing our students, nobody bothers whether one is really merit student or not. This is the worst disservice that we are doing to our educational system. If you have money, specially black money, you can get 80 per cent, 90 per cent marks and you can get easy access, easy entry into any medical college or engineering institution, i am not blaming the Education Minister for that. But I am only telling him that he has to take steps in suh a way that this kind of a situation which has been prevailing in our country does not prevail any longer. Otherwise, people will lose faith in the whole system and if they lose faith in the system, Sir, our future itself becomes mark. auestion 3 P.M.

Discussion on

डाक सोकेश चन्द्र (नाम-निर्देशित): उपसभापति महोदय, मेरे मिल डा० रफीक जकारीया ने जो बात कही मैं उनकी एक-एक बात का समर्थन करता हुं ग्रौर मैं समझता हं कि उन्होंने बहुत मौलिक प्रश्न उठाये हैं कि शिक्षा का क्या माध्यम हो । मैं समझता हं कि शिक्षा का माध्यम पढ़ने वाले पर छोड़ दिया जाय । उससे वहत सा संघर्ष समाप्त हो जाएगा । परन्तु जैसे हम हिन्दी भाषा नहीं लादना चाहते हैं, कोई भाषा नही लादना चाहते हैं तो बहुत से ऐसे विद्यार्थी भी हैं जो श्रंग्रेजी भी लदवाना नहीं चाहते हैं। इसके लिये मैं उदाहरण देने वाला हं। मैं हं, मेरी इच्छा नहीं है ग्रंग्रेजी बोलने की, पढ़ने की, जैसे मुझे इस सदन में स्वतंत्रता दे रखी है कि हिन्दी में बोलूं, उर्दू में बोलूं, तमिल में कोलूंया तेलुगुमें वोलूं। मैं समझता हं कि जो एक नया शिशु है, एक नया बच्चा है उसको भी अपनी भाषा के द्वारा ज्ञान पाने का भ्रधिकार रहे।

डा० रफीक जकारिया ने बहुत ग्रच्छी बात कही कि जो हमारे देश में ग्रहपसंख्यक

वर्ग है, भाषा की दृष्टि स या धार्मिक दृष्टि से, उनको पूरी स्वतंत्रता होनी चाहिये कि वे ग्रपनी भाषा के माध्यम से पढ़ सकें। प्रत्येक देश में संसार के जो भी अल्पसंख्यक है उनको विशेष ग्रधिकार हैं। सोवियत संघ में कोई डेढ सौ के लगभग भाषाएं बोली जाती हैं। सोवियत संघ में छोटे से छोटे ग्रल्पसंख्यक वर्ग को प्रत्येक सुविधा दिलाई जाती है। वे अपनी प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा, श्रपनी पहली पांच-छः वर्षं की शिक्षा, ग्रपनी भाषा के द्वारा पा सकते हैं। जहां तक माध्यम भाषा का प्रश्न है मैं समझता हूं यह प्रत्येक व्यक्ति के ऊपर छोड़ दिया जाए । इंग्लैंड में शिक्षा के बारे में जो नई धारा चल रही है जिसे उन्होंने वोलिशनल प्रिसिपल किया है यानी स्वेच्छा से वह.ंव्यकित किसी भाषा मे अपनीः शिक्षापासकताहै । इस दृष्टि से हम लोग ति-भाषा तक सीमित करें या एक भाषा मे या या दो भाषा में, मैं समझता हूं यह सब विद्यार्थियों पर छोड़ दिया जाए विशेष रूप से ग्रह्प संख्यकों के श्रधिकारों का. जो कि संविधान में पूरे रूप से स्वीकार किया गया है, मंत्री महोदय के ऊपर एक विशेष दायित्व है कि उनके ग्रधिकारों की रक्षा के लिये ग्रधिक से ग्रधिक पैसा दें जिससे कि देश के ग्रन्दर जो शिक्षा पद्धति इस समय चल रही है वह सामंजस्य पूर्वक चलती रहे।

शिक्षा के भ्रन्दर एक बडे महत्व का प्रश्न रह गया जिसे हमारे मिल्र श्री रफीक जकारिया ने नहीं उठाया, वह है जीवन मृत्यों का । हमलोग जब से स्वतंत्र हुए तब से हमने ग्रपनी शिक्षा के ग्रन्दर भाषा के प्रकृत को उठाया । उसका क्या विषय-वस्तु हो, क्<mark>या</mark> कांटेंट हों, यह प्रश्न नहीं उठाया गया । मार जीवन परिवर्तन शील है। शिक्षा के विषय-वस्त का परिवर्तन होता है, ग्रीर इन्नि में भी परिवर्तन होता है । जब सब कुछ परिवर्तन होता है तो उस परिवर्तन के साथ हमने चलने का प्रात्न किया या नहीं, मैं समझता हं कि परिवर्तन के साथ, हमने चलने का प्रयत्न नही किया । इसलिये बहुत सी:

उलज्ञनें खड़ी हो उठी हैं। वास्तव में शिक्षा मनुष्य की. प्रकृति की एक अन्तः किया है। प्रयागराज में जैसे तिवेणी है ग्रौर वहां तीन नदियां श्राकर मिलती हैं वैसे ही मनप्य. प्रकृति स्रोर उनकी सन्तः किया से उत्पन्न ज्ञान या विज्ञान है उसका एक समन्दय बनता है **और** उसी को हम लोग शिक्षा कहते हैं। शिक्षा केवल लोगों के ऊपर ज्ञान थोपन की बात नहीं है । मनुष्य कंप्यूटर नहीं है जिसके अन्दर एक हजार तथ्य डाल दें, एक लाखातथ्य डाल दें। पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने शिक्षा के लक्ष्यों की ग्रोर संकेत करने हए बहुत सुन्दर कहा है । उनका अंग्रेजी में कथन है इसलिये मै अंग्रेजी में ही पढ़ना चाहता हुं क्योंकि उनकी भाषा में विशेष लक्षण भौर व्यंजना है । उदधरण इस प्रकार है :

"Can we combine the progress of science and technology with the progress of mind and spirit also? We cannot be untrue to science because that represents the basic facts of life today. Still less, can we be untrue to those essential principles for which India has stood in the past throughout the ages? Let us then pursue our path to industrial progress with all our strength and vigour and, at the same time, remember that material riches without toleration and compassion and wisdom may turn to dust and ashes.'

यदि हम शिक्षा को समग्र रूप में देखते हैं तो हमारी शिक्षा में पिछले तीस वर्षों में उल्लेख-नीय उन्नति हुई है । यदि ग्राप अमेरिका के किसी बड़े बड़े से विश्वविद्यालय में चल जायें, नासा में चले जायें जो अमरीका की अन्तरिका अनुसंधान की सर्वोच्च संस्था है उसके श्रन्दर ऊंचे से ऊंचे वैज्ञानिकों में भारतीय मिलेंगे। अमेरिका में गणित में भी विशेषकर शुद्ध गणित में भारतीयों का **ग्रादरकी**य स्थान है । यह हमारे लिये, 1089 RS-7.

हमारी शिक्षा के लिये सबसे बड़े गौर्व की बात हैं कि भारत के लोग जहां कही भी जाते है जैसा रफीक जकारिया साहब ने कहा, चारों श्रोर उनकी प्रशंसा है।

पिछले कुछ दिनों में भाषा के संबंध में हम लीगों के सामने कुछ कठिनाइयां जरूर म्राई है। मैं समझता हूं कि जहां तक उच्च णिक्षा का संबंध है, भाषा का बन्धन समाप्त प्रायः है । उच्च शिक्षा के लिए लगभग श्रंग्रेजी भाषा का उपयोग श्रारम्भ कर दिया है। चाहेदुर्भाग्यपूर्णक्यों न हो हमारे देश के यन्दर बहुत ही छोटे लोग हैं, जिनके पास इतने साधन और रुपये नहीं है कि वे ग्रपने बच्चों को ग्रंग्रेजी भाषा के माध्यम से शिक्षा दे सके, उनके बच्चे पब्लिक स्कूलों में पढ़ने के लिए नहीं जा सकते है। यही कारण है कि वे लोग अपने जीवन में प्रगति नहीं कर पाने है और वैज्ञानिक प्रगति के क्षेत्र में भी पिछड़ जाते हैं । इस कारण भारतीय भाषात्रों को ही शिक्षा का ब्राधार बनाया जासकताहै ।

श्रीमन्, मैं सबसे पहले प्राथमिक शिक्षा को लेना चाहता हूं। हमारे देश के संविधान की धारा 45 के ग्रनुसार प्राथमिक शिक्षा पुरे देश में व्याप्त होनी चाहिए । लेकिन प्राथमिक शिक्षा का हमारे देश में पूरी तरह से प्रचार नहीं हो पाया। इसके कुछ कारण रहे। धन का स्रभाव रहा सौर हम प्राथमिक शिक्षा को सारे देश के ग्रन्दर नहीं फैला पाये। ग्रब हमारे नये मंत्री जी ग्राए हैं। उनके मन में प्राथमिक शिक्षा को फैलाने के लिए उतनी ही ब्रास्था है जितनी हम लोगों के [डा॰ लोकैंश चन्द्र]

195

प्राथमिक शिक्षा के संबंध में मन में है - 1 हमारे देश में ग्रब तक जो काम हुए हैं उनमें बड़े बड़े विद्वानों की समितियां हमारे मंत्रालय की तरफ से बैठाई गई हैं। उनके अन्दर एक भी ऐसा ब्रादमी नहीं होता जिसका गांवों से कोई संबंध रहा हो । मेरे पास प्राथमिक एजुकेशन के बारे में जो प्रतिवेदन है उसमें कई बातें लिखी गई हैं। इसमें एक प्रस्ताव शिक्षा मंत्रियों ने भी लिखा है ग्रौर यह कहा है कि हमारे देश में 6 से 14 वर्ष के बच्चों को शिक्षा दी जाएगी और साथ ही साथ इसमें एक वड़ी भयकर बात लिख दी गई Without insisting attendance. compulsory school मैं इस बात को इसलिए उठा रहा हूं कि बहुत से गांवों में जो लोग शिक्षा देने के लिए रुपया पाते हैं, ग्रध्यापक हैं, वे दिन भर ग्रपनी खेती के काम में लगे रहते हैं। स्कूलों में पढ़ाने के लिए नहीं जाते हैं। विद्यार्थी ममझते हैं कि हमारे गुरू जी बहुत श्रच्छे हैं क्योंकि उनको पढ़ने के लिये कोई कप्ट नहीं करना पड़ता। यद्यपि हमारे राज्यों के विभाग शिक्षा पर पूरा रूपया लगाते हैं, परन्तु उसका लाभ कुछ भी नहीं हो पाता है, यदि मैं यह कहं कि उसका शून्य लाभ होता है तो अशुद्ध नहीं होगा। इस प्रकार से इस प्रस्ताव के भ्रान्दर एक बात यह रख दी गई कि बच्ची को स्कूल में उपस्थित होने की जरूरत नहीं है। इसका भयंकर परिणाम यह होगा कि बच्चे स्कूल में पढ़ नहीं पाएंगे ग्रांर ग्रध्यापक -उनको पढ़ाने नहीं भ्राएगा । वह भ्रपनी खती क्रथति अन्य काम में लगा रहेगा। मैं समझता हूं कि इसके परिणामस्वरूप देश में शिक्षा पिछड़ जाएगी। दुष्टि से देखा जाय तो यह बात जो प्रस्ताव ेमें . लिखी गई है, यह ठीक नहीं है ।

दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि प्राथमिक शिक्षाकी एक बड़ी ब्रावण्यकता यह है कि उसको ग्रामों की ग्रावश्यकताग्रों के प्रमुख्प बनायाजायः। जिस शिक्षः का मेरे जीवन से संबंध नहीं उसको मैं क्यों पढ़ें। जो ज्योमिट्री, एलजब्रा मैंने ग्रपने शिक्षा काल में पढ़ी । वह मेरे विसी नही श्र,ई। गणित मेरे काम नहीं ऋाया। इस प्रकारकी शिक्षा से क्या लाभ ? मैं समझता हं कि हमारी सारी की सारी प्राथमिक शिक्षा के बारे में कई समितियों ने सुझाव दिये हैं। परन्त् जब उन मुझाबों को कार्यान्वित करने का प्रश्न **भ्राता है तो कठिनाइयां पैदा हो जाती हैं**। मंत्रालय के अन्दर कुछ इस प्रकार का जाद या चमत्कार हो जाता है कि जो हमारे देश में ग्रामों की दिशा है ग्रीर ग्रामों के प्रति हमारे श्रन्दर जो रुझान होना चाहिए वह विल्कुल ग्रौझल हो जाता है। मंत्री जी ग्रौर ग्राध-कारीगणग्रामों की स्थिति को भल जाते हैं। इस प्रकार के कामों में गांवों को जो स्थान दिया जाना चाहिए वह नहीं दिया जाता है। प्राथमिक शिक्षा के बारे में जितने भी सम्मेलन होते हैं वे सब दिल्ली में होते हैं। कमी भी गांवों में कोई सम्मेलन नही होता है। गांवों के चौधरी लोग इन मम्मेलनों में भाग नही लेते हैं। एक बात ग्रापातकाल में मदनगीर में एक सभा हुई ग्राम की , समस्याद्यो को देखने का नया दृष्टिकाण उपस्थित हुआ। वहां पर गांवों के लोगो को बुलाया और कहा कि तुम लोग बोलो। हम लोगों को यह नही समझना चाहिए कि जितनाभी ज्ञान है वह हम को ही है ग्रीर गांवों के लोग ते कुछ नहीं जानते है, वे निरक्षर है। यह ठीक है कि साहित्यिक भाषा में वें लोग अनपढ़ होते हैं. लेकिन उनके श्रपने संस्कार है, ग्रपनी संस्कृति है। चाहे उनका धर्म कोई भी हो, वह उनके लिए ए क बहुमूल्य तत्व है। ऐसी स्थितिमें ब्रावध्यक ता

Education Policy

1. 19.

शिक्षा का एक जो पक्ष है जिस के विषय ुमें कभी ध्यान नहीं जाता । कई बच्चों को तो वर्तमान शिक्षा इतना भारी लगता है कि वे निश्चय करते हैं कि वे कैसे छटकारा पाएं। पिछले दिनों 'सूर्य' पत्रिका मे एक बहुत लेखा निकला जिसका जीर्घक भा 'श्रल्टीमेन डिफेंम' उसने जो उदाहरण दिया हुम्रा है वह पढ़ कर नहीं सुनाना चाहता हूं एक बच्चे को पढ़ना बोझ लगता है. वह सोचता है कि में पढ़ नहीं सकता हं, मेरे लिए शिक्षा अति भारी है, भाषा समझ नहीं आती, कुछ ममझ नहीं स्राता । "स्रंत में जाकर वह ग्रात्म-हत्या कर लेता है। शिक्षा ऐसी नहीं होनी चाहिए जो बच्चों को इस बात के लिए प्रेरित करे कि वे ब्रात्म-हत्या के विषम पथ तक पहुँचे प्राथमिक शिक्षा को उनके जीवन के साथ कुछ न कुछ लगा हुआ। होना चाहिए। हमारी जो शिक्षा की पद्धति है, हम ग्राज नगरों में जो शिक्षा दे रहे हैं उसका केवल एक लक्ष्य है, शासन की सेवा करना, सरकारी नौकरी है। वह जब तक रहेगातब तक स्नाप भी कष्ट मे रहेगे, और देश भी कष्ट में एहेगा, शिक्षा निरर्थक रहेगी ।

सन्देह होता है कि मंत्री जी संविधान की 45वीं धारा का पालन करना नहीं चाहते। वह इस बात से होता है कि श्रापने प्रौढ़ शिक्षा के लिए 200-250 करोड़ रुपया देने का निश्चय किया है। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि यह आपने किस बजट में से किया है ? कहां से रूपया निकाल कर ग्रापने इसके लिए व्यवस्था की है ? प्रौढ़ शिक्षा पर रुपया लगाना सार्थक ग्रवश्य है क्योंकि जब माता पिता पढ़ जाते हैं, तब अपने बच्चों को पढ़ने के लिए प्रेरित करते हैं। माता-पिता अगर शिक्षित होंगे तो बच्चों को पढ़ने लिखने के लिए सुविधा भी होगी । यदि कम पढ़े हैं तो बच्चों को कम प्रेरण दे पायेंगे यदि श्रधिक पढ़े हैं तो अधिक दे पायेंगे। साथ ही साथ व्यवहारिक प्रश्न यह है कि जो माता पिता नहीं पढ़े तो वे अपने बच्चों को कैसे पढ़ा पायेंगे ? अध्यापक पूरा पाठ्य-ऋम नहीं पढ़ा पाते । मेरा श्रनुभव है हमें स्वयं

[डा० लोकश चन्द्र] अपने बच्चों को पढ़ाना पड़ता है । उनको काम मिल जाता है। माता-पिता का कर्त्तव्य हो जाता है कि बच्चों को पढ़ाई पूरा करायें उनकी पढ़ाई भ्रच्छे से भ्रच्छे ढंग से पूरी करें। इस दुष्टि से प्रौढ़ शिक्षा ग्रावश्यक है। परन्तु इसमें एक भय है इसके श्रन्दर स्नापने राजनैतिक दलों को डाल दिया है । राजनैतिकः करण शिक्षा की हत्या का प्रारम्भ है। श्राज तक किसी मंत्री ने शिक्षा का इतना राजनैतिकीकरण नहीं किया जितना कि भ्रापने अल्पकाल में प्रौढ़ शिक्षा के नाम से 250 करोड़ रुपया दे कर किया है। स्रापने इसको —राजनीति के मुख में डालने की प्रतिभा कर ली है। यह किस दल को देंगे, यह प्रश्न नहीं है। मौलिक प्रश्न है कि शिक्षा को आप राजनीति का भाग क्यों बनामा चाहते हैं। हमारा एक ऐसा देश है जिसमें शिक्षा कभी राजनीति का भाग नहीं रही । संसार में केवल दो राष्ट्र हैं जिनमें प्राचीन काल से शिक्षा राज्य का एक भाग रही । एक है चीन जिसमें कनफ्युशियस ने अपरिहार्य रूप से निर्धारित किया कि शिक्षा देना राजा का कर्तव्य है और दूसरा रोम जिसके अन्दर शिक्षा राज्य का ग्रंग था । हमारे देश में राज्य का शिक्षा से दूर का संबंध भी नहीं था । ग्रीस में भी शिक्षा राज्य से दूर थी। इंडो-यूरोपियन परम्परा है कि शिक्षा विद्वानों की सम्पत्ति है, उसका परिपोषण करना, उसको ग्राग बढ़ाना, उसके ग्रन्दर नये ग्रायाम बनाना विद्वानों का कर्त्तव्य है । प्रोफसर नदरफोर्ड ने कैम्ब्रिज युनिवर्सिटी की केवेंडिश लबोरेटरी में जब परमाणु को विस्फोट का श्रनसंधान किया तो लोग उसे नहीं समझते य ग्रौर कहते थे कि इसमे क्या होगा । परन्तु भविष्य में सिद्ध कर दिया कि परमाण् विज्ञान मनुष्य की प्रगति का एक महत्वपूण केन्द्र है । शौर उस विस्फोट मे नये सिद्धांत निकले जा नयी प्रगति का ग्राधार बने उस दृष्टि मे शिक्षा को र।जनीति से भ्रलगरखना ग्रावश्यक है । ग्रापने जो प्रौढ़ शिक्षा का राजनीतिकरण किया वह बड़ा भयंकर पग है । म्राप जब राप्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति बनायें तो प्रौढ़ शिक्षा को राजनीनि के पंजे से निकालकर, उसके शिकंजे से निकालकर, उसका विधान विद्वान पुरुषों को दें । सारे देश में राजनीति छाती जा रही है, श्रीर उसका बड़ा भयंकर परिणाम है। दिल्ली के श्रंदर बहुत सी प्रतिमाएं लगी हैं, केवल तमिलनाडु का एक भवन है जहां पर एक विद्वान पुरुष की प्रतिमा है ग्रौर भ्रन्यत्न तो केवल राज-मीतिज्ञों की प्रतिमाएं ही लगी हैं। जब प्रायः श्राप समाचार पत्न खोलते है उसमें किसी भी बड़े वैज्ञानिक का नाम नहीं, कवि का नाम नहीं, लेखक का नाम नहीं और अगर है भी तो एक छोटे से स्थान पर । यह देश के लिए एक बड़ी ग्रंधकारमय स्थिति है। इस ग्रंधेरे को बढ़ाने में मंत्री महोदय ने एक ग्रौर भ्रायाम डालने का प्रयत्न किया है।

200

्राह्म । अस्ति । अस्ति

知 かんしょ 直立 かんだ

फिर एक हायर सेकेंडरी की शिक्षा को लें, यद्यपि 10 -|- 2 सिद्धांत से ठीक है परन्तु ± 2 देश के लिए ग्राधिक दृष्टि से भयंकर है। हम+2 को पूरे देश में लगाने के लिए हपया दे नहीं सकते हैं यदि श्राप 🕂 2 लागू करना चाहते हैं तो आपको प्रौढ़ एजूकेशन * को समाप्त करना पड़ेगा। +2 के अंदर कितना व्यय होगा इसकी कल्पना नहीं की गई। एक स्कूल के श्रंदर 🕂 2 लगाने के लिए तीन लाख रूपया चाहिए होगा और वह भी एक छोटी सी प्रयोगशाला, छोटा सा भवन जिसके ग्रंदर 40-50 विद्यार्थी काम कर सकें। यदि श्राप उत्तर प्रदेश में जायेंगे तो वहां बहुत से इन्टर कालेज पायेंगे उनमें -1-2 की पढ़ाई हो सकती है, इनको स्कूलों से क्यों नहीं जोड़ते । यह मुझे समझ में नहीं ग्राया । इन इंटर कालेजों को छोड़ना भयंकर भूल होगी । यद्यपि 👍 २ की पृष्ठभूमि ही है 1

परन्तु इसके ऋियान्वयन के बारे में सोचना पडेगा । उत्तर प्रदेश के पांच छः हजार इन्टर कालेज निरर्थक हो जायेंगे यदि ग्राप 🕂 2 कः स्कूलों में लायेंगे। 🛶 2 को सफल बनाने के लिए विद्यमान साधनों से समन्वय के बारे में सोचना चाहिए । क्या मंत्री महोदय ने उनके वारे में चितन किया है। जैसे कि मुझ से पहले रफीक साहव ने कहा हमारा वर्तमान शासन ही पूर्णतः श्रनिष्चयग्रस्त है । 'संशयाला विनश्यति' सब वातों में संदेह घरे हुये हैं । कही कोई निर्णय नहीं कर सकते हैं प्रत्येक वात संदेहास्पद हो रही है। इसमें एक ग्रोर मूल्य बढ़ रहे हें ग्रोर दःरी ग्रांर जिक्ष का अअमूरुका हो रहा है । जीवन इतने अनिश्चय के अंदर चलते-चलते किसी दिन खाई के अंदर पहुंच जायेगा । 🖟 2 के वारे में भी ठीक-ठीक निर्णय करने की ग्रावश्यकता है। जो हमारे सीमित साधन हैं हम उनका दुरुपयोग न करें, भ्रन्पयोग न करें । ऐसान हो कि उत्तर प्रदेश के इन्टर कालेज तथा ग्रौर प्रदेशों में भी इसी प्रकार की व्यवस्थाएं जो कालेज का भाग रहीं, हम इन्हें भूल जाये । हम सोचें कि क्या समन्वय हो सकता है ?

व्यवसायिक प्रशिक्षण की ग्राज बड़ी श्रावश्यकता है तो यह -|-2 में एक बहुत ग्रच्छापक्ष है। हमारे देण में बहुत स्थानों पर भाई० टी० ग्राई० ग्रर्थात् व्यवसायिक प्रिमिक्षण संस्थान है। इन ग्राई० टी० ग्राई० के ग्रंदर शिक्षा विस्तार से दी जाती है परन्त् सब सैंडांतिक है, कर्मनिष्ट नहीं है । इसके ग्रंदर व्यक्ति. सिढांत जान जाता है, काम नहीं । यदि इस में प्रशिक्षित नल ठीक करने वाला है, वह सिद्धान्त श्रवश्य जानता है परन्तु जब नलका ठीक करना पड़ता है तब वह नलका ठीक नहीं कर सकता है बात यह है कि उसका मन नहीं करता है नल को हाथ लगाने को, वह कहता है कि श्रमिक को बुलाग्रो, वह नल खोलेगा ग्रौर ठीक करवायेगा, मैं तो पढ़ा लिखा व्यक्ति हुं । तो जितने ग्राई० टी० ग्राई० हैं, जितनी व केशनल एजुकेशन है इसके बारे में हमें मोचना पड़ेंग । वह केवल सैद्धान्तिक शिक्षा न हो, शिक्षा के साथ-साथ व्यक्ति को, एक चेतना दी जाये, एक कमब्दिड दी जाये, उसकी कर्मयोगी बनाया जाये जिसको कि उसे काम करने में किसी प्रकार की झिझक न हो । गांधी जी भी अपने आश्रम में लोगों से कहते थे कि अपना मल-मूल अपने आप उठाग्रो । जीवन की यह सब से बड़ी शिक्षा है किसी ने दो पुस्तकें पढ़ ली या 20 पुस्तकें पढ़ लीं इसमें कोई प्रगति नहीं है यदि व्यक्ति ग्रपने जीवन की ग्रावण्यकतात्रों को दूसरों के सहारे के बिना नहीं चला सकता तो यह धिवकार के योग्य है और कम से कम जो बोकेशनल में जाता है. व्यवसाय मे जाता है उसके लिए यह परम रशस्त्रप्यक है कि वह कर्मयोगी हो ।

समय कम है। विश्वविद्यालय की शिक्षा के बारे में कुछ कहना चाहता हूं। विश्वविद्यालय बहुत अच्छा काम कर रहे हैं। पर कुछ वर्षों से इनके अंदर राजनीति अधिक घुसती जा रही है । हमारी शिक्षा का सबसे बड़ा ग्रमिणाप उसका राजनीतिकरण

विषक्ष के नेसा (थी कमलापति त्रिपाठी): विश्वविद्यालय नो सव वंद हैं भाई।

डा० लोकेश चन्द्र: चलिए हमारे नेता कह रहे हैं कि विश्वविद्यालय बंद है तो वह तो क्रीर भी बड़ा प्रभिणाप है, महा ऋभिणाप है । इसका एक महत्वपूर्ण कारण है, कि हमारे शिक्षक शिक्षा से बहुत दूर जाते ज_ा रहे हैं, आदर्शों से बहुत दूर जाते जा रहे हैं। प्रत्येक विषय में विभागाध्यक्ष को जैसे रोटेशन में कर दिया, श्रावर्तण से कर दिया। इसी प्रकार उपकुलपति को भी बाई रोटेशन किया जाए । हमारे यहां जो वाइस-चान्सलर न्याया-लय से माते हैं, अथवा भाई० ए० एम० मे

[डा० लोकेंग चन्द्र] मा जाते हैं उन का शिक्षा से, विद्यार्थियों के मनों में परिचय नहीं होता है । जो **आई**० ए० एस० श्राता है, वह समझता है कि जैसे पुलिस, क्लकों को, प्रशासन के कार्या-लय को चलाते हैं वैसे ही शिक्षा संस्थान को भी चला सकते हैं। पर विद्यार्थी को ग्राप धकेल कर नहीं चला सकते। विश्वविद्यालय के ही प्राध्यापक अपनी वरीयता/सीनियरिटी के ग्रन्सार यदि उपकुलपति बनते जाएं, तो विद्यार्थियों का ग्रसंतोष है वह बहुत कुछ खत्म हो सकता है । विश्वविद्यालय के सव स्तरों पर विद्याशियों का सहयोग हो। ब्राज विद्यार्थी के प्रति ग्रध्यापक न सौहार्द दिखाते हैं ग्रौर न प्रशिक्षण देते हैं । उसक लिए बहुत ग्रावश्नक है कि तिद्यार्थियों का भी सारे प्रबंध में ग्रधिकार हो भौर वे ग्रपनी समस्या में स्वयं समाधान कर सकें।

एक महत्वपूर्ण वात अनुसंधान की है। मैने कुछ प्रतिवेदनों में सुझाव दिया है कि अनु-संधान एक ही प्रकार का है और उसके श्रन्तर श्रीझल कर दिए जायें। । श्रनसंधान दो प्रकार का है, एक शुद्ध अनुसंधान है जो केवल विद्या-वर्जन के लिए होता है। जब रथरफोर्ड ने परमाणु तोड़ा, उस समय परमाणु का तोड़ना ब्रार्थिक कार्य नहीं था । परन्तू पोछे जाकर वह ऋार्थिक रूप से महत्वपूर्ण वन गया। उसका समाज के लिए महत्व हो गया । अनुसंधान का दूसरा प्रकार व्यवहारगम्य ब्र**न्संधान (ए**पलाइड रियर्च) है जिसको बोकेशनल रिसर्च भी कहते हैं। मैं समझता हं कि इन दोनों को श्रलग स्रलग रखा जाए। विश्विद्यालयों से शुद्ध अनुसंधान के काप ग्रच्छे हो सकेंगे। जब तक श्रनुसंधान मिला-जुला है और हम लोग निश्चित नहीं कर पायेंगे कि विश्वविद्यालयों का ग्रनुसंधान पंक्या कत्तंब्य है, दैज्ञानिकों, संस्थानों और विभागों का क्या कर्तव्य है। म्राज शासन के म्रन्दर केई "मंत्राज्य अनुसंधान में लगे हुए हैं। ईन ने में बिक अनुसंवान कार्य नहीं हो पाता

यद्यपि रुपया बहुत लग जाता है। जब हम **ग्रांकड़े देखते** है कि ग्रन्संधान के लिए **१** इतना रुपया लगाया, वास्तव मे वह रुपया विज्ञान पर, ग्रनुसंधान के लिए नहीं लगता। वह सारा रुपया एसटेब्लिशर्मन्ट चार्जज में चला जाता है, जैसे अन्तरिक्ष विभाग, ऊर्जा मंत्रालय, न्युक्लियर एनर्जी है, सायन्स एण्ड टैक्नालोजी विभाग कृषि इत्यादि व्यवहारिक अनुसंधान होता है । शुद्ध विद्या पक्ष की अवहेलना होती है। यह अवहेलना न हो । इस के लिए विश्वविद्यालयों से स मन्वयन होना चाहिए और जो शुद्ध अनुसंधान है वह शुद्ध रूप से विश्वविद्यालय का दायित्व होना चाहिए । श्रीर सब रुपया इनको दिया जाना चाहिए। इस से काम अच्छा होगा, श्रधिक माता में होगा स्रीर देश अधिक प्रगति कर सकेगा श्रीर पंडित जी का जो स्वप्त था कि देश में विज्ञान भी हो, ज्ञान भी हो ग्रौर मनष्य की चेतना हो वह सफल हो सकेगा।

अन्त में मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि सारी शिक्षा में सांस्कृतिक धारा भी बहनी चाहिए। उसके अन्दर जीवन मृत्य होना चाहिए । जब विद्यार्थी पूछते है कि क्या करूंगा उसका, ग्रर्थ ह कि उस के ग्रन्दर ग्रात्मविश्वास नहीं है। ग्रविश्वास का भाव विद्यार्थियों को बहुत सताता है । मंत्री महोदय का कत्तंत्र्य है कि विश्वास की विद्यार्थी के ग्रन्दर जगाएं कि मेरा जो भविष्य है उसको मै स्राप बना-ऊंगा, उसे मंत्री महोदय नहीं बनायेंगे या संसद सदस्य नहीं वनायेगा । जो ग्रात्म विश्वास से अनुत्रम।णित चलता है उसकी धारणा ग्रीर सुजनशीलता म्रलग होती है। सुजनशीलता उसको अग्गे ले जाती है और उनकी ममाप्ति उसकी समाधि वन जाती है।

एक क्रीर विशेष बात है कि जो शिक्षा ं हम चला रहे हैं , वह देश की मुख्य धारा उससे . बहुत दूर है। देश के 80 प्रतिशत लोगों से पढ़ें लिखें लोग दूर हो जातें हैं। जैसा मेरे मित्र डा० एकीक जकरीया ने कहा कि ऐसी शिक्षा

न हो, ऐसी पुस्तक न हों कि बच्चे पढ़ कर ग्राएं श्रौर कहे कि मेरा पिता मुर्ख है श्रौर ग्राज की शिक्षा में प्राय: यह हो रहा है। विशेषकर ग्रामों में जहां पिता स्ननपढ़ है, बच्चा स्नाकर माता-पिता से कहता है कि तुम्हें कुछ नहीं ब्राता । सार परिवार का प्रेम और ब्रादर श्रीर श्रद्धा गिरने लगती है श्रीर शिक्षा श्रमान-बीय बनती जाती है। ग्रमानवीय शिक्षा से कोई लाभ नहीं हो सकता है।

श्रंत में मैं केवल एक-दो उद्धरण स्नाना चाहंगा जो सारे के सारे समाज मानवीकरण पर है। मानवीकरण पर पश्चिम के देशों मे बहुत काम हो रहा है, 1977 के जून-जुलाई में युगोस्लाविया में एक सम्मेलन हुन्ना, जिसका विषय था 'प्रमति के मानवीय पक्ष'। बहुत से लेख प्रस्तृत किए । प्रो० रात्स के लेख से एक उद्धरण स्नाना चाहता हं :

"Humane Aspects of Progress: There are however cases where the positive role of engineering in medicines is less obvious. 'Over-engineering' does not always mean more humanization, it can sometimes mean questionable humanisa-tion, perhaps even dehumanisation."

हमाराही ऐसा देश है जो इस ग्रमान-वीकरण की, इस डी-ह युमेनाइजेशन की प्रक्रिया को रोकः सकता है । सारा संसार हम से **ब्राशा करता है। हम स्वयं नया ब्रादर्श** प्रस्तुत करें। हमारी जो नयी शिक्षा नीति निश्चय ही पाश्चात्य शिक्षा की जो आरणाएं हैं जनसे ही प्रेरित न हो। उस में अपनापन भी हो ग्रौर क्राधनिकता भी हो । ग्राधनिकता में अग्रदुत पश्चिमी देश हैं । मुझे कोई लज्जा नहीं है कहने में---िक हमें पश्चिमी देशों से बहुत कुछ सीखना है । परन्तु उसका ग्रर्थ यह नहीं है कि पश्चिमी देशों से ही हमें सब कुछ सीखना है। अंतगोत्वा में श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी के एक दीक्षांत भाषण से, जो उन्होने 14 नवम्बर, 1969 को दिया था, सुनाना चाहता हू क्योंकि यह इस प्रसंग में बहुत सार्थक है।

"Education is not merely an accumulation of knowledge for knowledge can soon be out of date, _but a means of stimulating the capacity to thing, to learn and to innovate and to apply in the interest of larger causes."

Education Policy

यदि इन विराट कार्यों के लिए, इन विशाल आदर्शों के लिए हमने देश को कुछ देना है तो श्राप को सोचना होगा कि नयी नीति निर्धा-रित करते समय उस के जेवल शिक्षा शास्त्रियों को ही स्थान न हा पर मानव शास्त्रियों का भी स्थान हो, ग्रामीणों का भी स्थान हो ग्रौर सारे के सारे चितन में केवल 10 या 5 प्रतिशत के लिए शिक्षा निर्धारित न की जाए वल्कि देश के 80-85 प्रतिशत उन लोगों का भी ब्यान हो, श्रौर उन के जीवन मृल्यों का भी ध्यान हो जो इस देश को सदा जीता रखे रहे हैं और जिन्होंने जब कि और देश संसार से समाप्त हो गए, इस देश को शाक्वत रूप से जीवित रखा।

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH (Gujarat): Mr. Deputy Chairman, only very very recently we have had an occasion to debate on the educational policies of the Government when in the last session we had reviewed the working of the Ministry . j thought that probably it is now too early to have a very meaningful discussion today on the issues have been debated comprehensively very recently. But since the occasion has now come, we shall try to refresh our thinking on some of the major issues that confront our educational policy.

Sir, the problem with the educaion-al policy is that it has not been so much a matter of fundamental difference of policies that worries us. The question has been more of implementation of policy, of understanding these policies correctly, of applying these policies at the gross root level. It is here that we are really stuck up. If we look at the history of educational policy, even in the preindependen[Prof. Ramlal Parikh]

days some of the issues were such on which there were hardly differences. Take, for example, universal, free education. Was there any diff-rence? But the question remains unresolved. Nobody would be quarrelling that adult education is essential and is so imperative. But. Sir, the question is how do we implement all these policies, how do we arrive at an agreement on the application of these policies.

Discussion on

श्री कल्पनाथ रामः (उत्तर प्रदेश)ः पारिख साहव गुजरात विथापाठ के बाइस चांसलर रहे हैं, मैं उन से प्रार्थना करूंगा, मात्मापा में बीले।

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: Sir. I appreciate it but since we are....

DR. V. P. DUTT (Nominated): I think it is very wrong for anyone to try to force anyone to speak in any particular language. Let him speak in English. Please continue.

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: Since we all just heard Dr. Zakaria about the three-language formula, I would rather not make it an issue about .speaking in a particular language.

शिक्षा, समाज कल्याण श्रीर मंस्कृति मंत्री (श्री प्रताप चन्द्र चन्द्र): में बगला में बोलूगा।

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: Be cause we are alL committed to the three-language formula and we can use one of them....

DR. V. P. DUTT: But speaking in Hindi is not.... (*Interruptions*)

श्रो कत्यनाय राषः । मैने मातृ भाषा कहा है । आप समझिए । PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: Mr. Kalp Nath Rai knows that I took my oath in this House in my mother ton gue, Gujarati, and not in Hindi.

208

DR. V. P. DUTT: And I will speak, in Punjabi.

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUN-DER: I will speak in Bengali.

भी कल्पनाथ रामः यह तो बड़ी अच्छी बात होगी।

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: But "that was not meant to create any barrier between our communication. As far as I am standing here, I am looking at all the sides and trying to communicate something. I may communicate it in Hindi, I may communicate it in Gujarati, I may communicate it in English or I may communicate it in any language in which I have an assurance that I will be understood. This is the whole discretion and criteria which I keep in my mind.

श्री कल्**पनाय रायः** में समझ नही पा रहा हं कि स्नाप क्या कह रहे हैं ?

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: It iB known that I have been one ol those * who is a crusader for the introdiictiion and development of regional languages as the media of instruction, as the media of administration and as the media of all our national affairs. So there is no question of my drifting way from what I have been crusading for last thirty years. In fact, that bas been one of the most important issues on which I have to fight with say friends also. So, let us not bother about the language question here because then it would be bogging down the whole education policy into narrow orbits of the language issue only After all, language is a means of communication and so long as we can

communicate, let us be open minded and work accordingly. If we have to communicate, and if we look at the vast masses of India who are illiterate and who are deprived of all the educational facilities, nobody can question that it can be done only through the regional languages or only through one of our national languages. But that is not the question which wo are debating at the moment.

what I was saying was that the educational policies that we have been framing from time to time have, more or less, a certain common core, a certain common basic agreement. like adult education, primary education, media of instruction, education should be oriented more towards the needs of the community. All this is agreed But the question is not what we agree upon but the question is how do we integrate al] these into nur educational system. most difficult thing is that the system remains as it is. The Kothari Commission gave an excellent Report. I agree •with Dr. Zakaria and I have been one who has been admiring this Report since its inception, but it has never been seriously attended 10 Many of its vital recommendations on which there was a test of the change of the system wore deferred lor fulure. Il is not a question of what we accept as desirable. We accept common schools as desirable, we accept medium of regional language as desirable, we accept education as desirable, we accept productive work as part of education as a very essential, desirable and good thing, but the test comes only when we have to integrate all these elements into our system. And I must say that in spite of all our efforts, whether of the previous Government or even this Government, we have not been able to make, < nuch headway about integrating . these elements into our system. Our system has remained closed, rigid, un-. responsive and is not yielding place Io new ideas. In the system as a whole, there are good pockets, good Islands, within the system everywhere.

My friend, Sarup Singhji and I whenever we met in the Vice-Chancellor's Conference, we accepted everything. We have aiways accepted that good and bad go together; we have alway* accepted coexistence of the evil system and the good system. Therefore, we do not give up any of the old components of the systems and we go on adding something. The result '.3 that the question of changing the sytem remain? where it is.

And it is here that the Educatiua Minister has a very difficult and a very challenging and a very stupendous task, and I must say that he has started doing it very sincerely, very earnestly, since the day'Re took over. What was the first thing he came to say in this House? He brought to our notice the most important thing that we have been lacking in priorities in our educational policies. He was the first man who made a statement on the 5th of April in this House and said that the whole question of restructuring or renovating oi" transforming the whole system is a stupendous task, a very challenging task, which needs co-operation of everyone. No single party can do it; no single authority can do it. It is not a question of parties or only the Government or only the officers or only the bureaucracy or only the educational administrators or only the educational institutions; it will have to be a combined, strength, a sum total of several factors combining together in a spirit of understanding and co-operation, which will bring a change in the educational system which we have been craving for, which we have been crying for. Now, Sir, the point is that the new Education Minister started his conduct of educational policies with tw₀ major priorities. statement on the 5th of April, he said that the primary education shall receive the highest priority and that 50 per cent of the allocation on Education would be allocated to the primary eduaction. And this is obvious. If you look to the statistics, what is happening? We want the primary education to be

[Prof. Ramlal Parikh]

211

made universally free for the duration of seven or eight years. Even if we want to complete it by 1985, what is required to be done? Today there are 65 million students in the primary schools. We will have to raise the number to 85 million. That is for classes 1 to 4. For classes 5 to 7 or 8, we will have to raise it from 15 million to 55 million. That means that we will need an addition of nearly 52 lakhs students every year. Only then we can achieve this target, we can achieve this objective, which has been prescribed in our Constituiton as one of the Directive Principles making it obligatory on the State to implement this basic objective which we have not been able to achieve in one or the other way. But the Government has, I think, struck its attention very rightly on the core of the educational system, that is, the system of primary education is the basic system which has been neglected so far. Bri the last 30 years, what has been the average? If you look to the average, the average enrolment is not more than 24 lakhs. If we want to complete this programme by 1985, we need it to be more than doubled, it should be made 52 lakhs per year. In the last three or four years the neglect of primary education was so much that the average enrolment in the primary education declined from 24 lakhs to 11 lakhs. Now, this is a very alarming situation. And that is where Wg have to seek the co-operation of everyone, and make it an all-out effort, to see that we do not lag behind. Otherwise, the consequences are very clear and evident—that our illiteracy will go on increasing. In spite of whatever we may do-we may even spend Rs. 600 crores on adult education— our illiteracy cannot be wiped out if we do not resolve this issue of primary education. Therefore, I think this is a step in the right direction and this should form the first priority in our Education Policy. And the Government has been moving in the right ittrection. I was very much surpris-«<d when Dr. Zakaria said that the

Government has been moving aimlessly. How can you say that? Here is a Government which corr.es forth with a concrete programme with a concrete direction, of moving very rapidly to achieve the goal of universal primary education. I think nobody can dispute the Government's intentions. And here, it is not only a question of intentions, it is also an action-oriented concrete programme that has now been built up and which the Education Ministry and the Government of India are pursuing.

In the same way, the second priority was adult education. This also' is a very serious and a very stupendous challenge which the new Education Ministr has takan up. It is a stupendous because in five years' time we want to wipe out the illiteracy of nearly 10 croresof people. Working for wiping out literacy among a hundred million people is, I think, a staggering proposition. But the Government has taken up courage and said, "We will do it. We will find money for it. We will seek the co-operation of everyone, of all political parties, of all associations, voluntary agencies, State Governments, local bodies, everyone. Let everybody join in this national endeavour". This is again, to my mind, a very significant and important step taken in moulding the new Education Policy.

Before I go to the third point, mention was made about the 10+2 system and it was said that it was not necessary to enter into this statistical jugglery. It is not a statistical jugglery. In the name of ten-year schooling, the primary school system was totally submerged and subordinated and was practically being abolished. Therefore, this rectification that has been made recently by the Education Ministers' Conference is a right and correct rectification, without which the whole purpose o'f primary education, the basic obligation of the Constitution would have been forgotten. I was very happy when the Prime Minister suggested an 8+4 system. Some

people say that he was playing with - statistics and that because there was a new Government, wanted to change it. No. It was because even when the Kothari Commission had suggested this, many of us had said that this submerging of the primary education system, of seven or eight years, which has its own personality, is own objectives and which is universal, free and compulsory education, under secondary education in the name of 10year schooling, was a disastrous proposition. It had to be changed. Irrespective of the fact whether this Government or that Government was in power, many of us woulrt have continued to fight, against the 10+2 pat-term. It was not a question of whether the 10+2 pattern was right or wrong. Nobody questions fact that we want, reform and change But this is a very good in education. corrective that now been accepted. has And what was further suggested—which has not been accepted and for which I am going to continue the struggle—was that we are making too many layers and making our education too much hierarchical. After all, our society is so much ridden with hierarchy that we want to get out of it. But in education we are increasing hierarchy. It will lead us to the old feudal order if we do not correct it. Whether it is in terms of layers or in terms of cadres of teachers or in terms of positions in administration or anything, we must reduce hierarchy and the minimum. The Prime Minister has, therefore, suggested that let there be only three levels of education—primary, secondary and higher education. He ne\er suggested tliat 12 years should be scrapped, that we should go back from 12 years to 11 years. He never said that. None of us ever said that. The Education Minister never said that. Instead of two years of secondary education and then another two years of higher secondary education and fragmenting education into units of one year, two years, three years, he said, let there be a four-year integrated course for those who want to

Discussion on

study further and for those who want to terminate at 10 years, let them also have an option to terminate. The National Educational Conference which was called by the late Shri Shriman Narayan came to the same conclusion that students should be allowed an option; those who want to study up to 12 they need not go to universities. I entirely agree with it, that we must stop this mad rush to universities and colleges. On that there is no difference of opinion....

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: The Kothari Commission never suggested that.

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: I said, that is why why even the Kothari Commission's formula was defective and imperfect. That is what I said: in 10+2 even the Kothari Commission's formula was defective. But the Kothari Commission did suggest one thing which I d₀ not think most of its remember, that +2 stage of 11 and 12 shall be a stage of secondary education as part of high school system, not be tagged as a corridor of the college. What has happened in the name +2? We have created more coming for enrtance to universities and colleges. As a consequence, what we were hoping to achieve has been completely lost sight of. In course of time we should also have to integrate secondary and higher secondary education into one allowing numerous options, or electives in all courses. I do agree, let us not label anybody as vocational or non-vcation-al. This is the bane of our country. Gandhiji raised his voice long ago, since 1907 in his Hindi Swaraj. Nobody listened to it. In the world of education everybody paid a tribute to him but when it came to implementation. everybody developed cold feet; they began to run away. I have been in this educational word for a period of over 25 years working as a Professor and a Vice-Chancellor. I have been attending their forums. I have seen how they began to stagger when the question o* ^olementation, of in-

tegrating productive work into education. came. Therefore, it is a very hard and a very difficult problem, which the new Government is facing and Adiseshaiah Committee. And the ment faced. I am not making any distinction on that account. But the Government here did make a breakthrough and appointed two committees immediately Isharbhai Committee and Adiseshaiah Committee. And the important thing that was brought out was "socially useful productive work" because we were doing some kind of a make-believe thing in the name of work experience which was a Western concept. This was never known to us. There may be an experience of productive work. There cannot be an experience of work. It was the most mischievous and fraudulent, worrl the Kothari Commission used. I had told the Kothari Commission, if they wanted to use that word, work experience, they should have the courage to say that they do not believe productive work. But they used a word in which they believed but they did not mean to do it. This js how our academicians, our educational experts, are going about in this matter, and I have to continuously fight against them and say that, this make-believe word wiH not do. They say this is an excellent thing and they would find some terminology or other under the cover of which they can escape the responsibility of implementing it. This Government has tried to pin down this issue and said, "socially useful productive work", "Not Work Experience", will be an integral part of education, primary education and secondary education. And to that extent it is in line with the modern educational thought. This whole mad rush o'f information load, considering education as mounting information, crushing the young minds under the load of information, to be given up. therefore, think that the Government is moving in right direction.

My fourth point is about the regional languages. It is equally true that our

education cannot be mass oriented, it cannot be consistent with our national interests, it cannot be in tune with our national life. community life and social life, until you gave all education including the medical and engineering education in our regional language. It is no use saying that you study in your regional language up to a point and when you go in for medical and engineering education you study in English. For that Dr. Zakaria will say: You make them learn English from the beginning. My suggestion is: You impart medi !al and engineering education also in the regional languages. ...

Education Policy

DR. RAFIQ ZAKARIA: Where are the text books in regional languages?

PARIKH. PROF. RAMLAL books have always followed introduc tion of languages the world over. Pro duction of text books has never pre ceded introduction of a language. By now some generations have learnt in regional languages and they are far superior to others. When I say this, I speak from my personal experience. I am not quarrelling with my friend . . . (Interruptions). I can substanti ate what I said. For 25 years we have laught tribal students, Harijan students, rural students, urban stu dents, middle class students and upper middle class students their mother through tongue. They in anything. Lack of are not 'Lacking text-books have created no problem course, I do not say this in order to minimise tlie importance of text-book? But do not try to < re-ate this fear ol text-books and then try to put an obstruction in the progress of this very important programme. introduction of regional languages as medium of instruction, no country make its education people oriented. What type of education you want? Do you want some type of education just to serve a limited oli- ^ garchy of urban people? Or. do you want the education to serve the masses? If you want the latter one, then regional language is inescapable. In

196() the Kothari Commission suggested that we should implement it within 4 ten years. We did not even remember it. After ten years we say we will implement in the next five venrs. After five years, we will fix a limit of another five years. This is not the way to change our educational system. By making peripheral changes or placing patches here and there, we cannot transform an outdated system.

Discussion on

Coming to the question of policy, I also feel the time has come when our higher educational system should come to a ha't. No more expansion should be allowed. Except in very genuine cases, it should be very difficult for anybody to open a college or expand it or proliferate irrelevant, traditional departments in the name of this centre or that centre which does not have relations either with our local problems or even global problems. The whole world has been thinking afresh. In 1960 I was in Moscow and there I asked my Soviet friends: What is the key to your progress? They said: Our educational system. 1 had been to other western countries also. All of them attributed their progress to None of them may say educational system. so now because they are also facing all these All these people feel that the educational system basically has to change and We have no better solution than what Gandhiji had propounded or commended. Everybody is coming round to that view now. I do not say that everything that Gandhiji had said is right or it should be accepted. But the basic what Gandhiji had said has its applicability even real education You cannot have now. undertake socially unless you useful productive work. That alone will lend credibility to our educational system. Mv quarrel with the educational administrators here. In Gujarat we introduced productive - work.

And, at the end of the examination, they said that the marks for the achievements or the preformance of

the students was not going to be counted for working out the total for their career. I had a quarrel with them and I said, "Then, don,t do this at all." You want to introduce socially useful productive work. But you are not counting it. for career. 1 think that we should stop the expansion. Of these colleges. Fifty per cent of the colleges are nonviable colleges and they are without any substantial enrolment at all and they do not have any viable number and they do not have the minimum number which is required to run to college. Now. in this situation, to go on expanding the colleges is not good. Now, I was told that in Rajasthan, during the last three or four or six mon^fhs, fifteen more colleges were added. I do not know whether it has come to the notice of the Education Minister. If that is so, it is something which must be looked into.

Now, Sir, the next point in the field of education or in the field of educational policy which the Government ha_s adopted is the acceptance of diversity and no imposition in the name of a uniform policy. That also was something which we wanted.

Then, Sir. the next question that comes uP is the questioin of the place of technology. Several people are creating misunderstanding on this question also. Only a couple of weeks ago, we had a statement laid on the Table of the House showing the various schemes of rural technology or intermediate technology or appropriate technology, or whatever you may call it, being pursued in the various departments and institutions, in the various departments of the universities and in other scientific institutions. This is something which we need and when this is integrated into our educational system, you will find that everybody would have gainful work through his or her own skills.

Then, Sir, coming to the question of textbooks which has been referred to. i I would say that I personally feel

[Prof. Ramlal Parikh]

that the Government should get out of this business. No government should publish textbooks on its own. It can recognised textbooks and it can say that textbooks of this standard and with these contents, with this kind of an educational aim may be accepted, and Sir, when you have only one textbook, it. becomes very dangerous thing even if the government does it. Let there be two or three or four textbooks in each subject and my own view is that the first step which we should take is to see that the government itself never undertakes the work of publishing. But it should certainly moderate them and it should certainly try to see them and evaluate them and it should also see that only appropriate types of textbooks which are consistent with our national goals, with the aims and objects prescribed in our constitution are allowed and there is no doubt that if there is any textbook which undermines secularism which undermines socialism and which undermines democracy, we should not allow it. There is no doubt about it. But the point is that in the process of doing it, the Government also should not acquire the undue authority to do whatever it likes in the field of education. In this light, Sir, I would like to say, that some of the textbooks which were prescribed here by the NCERT were not good. On this there was some controversy also and I also thought in the beginning-why there should be controversy at ali over this. But, when I read some of those books, particularly some books on history, I wondered how this kind of a material, this kind of a highly objectionable material, could gO' into the textbooks.' University professors can write any number of books, but not school textbooks. Textbooks are meant to provide a certain commonly agreed material and are not to bring about any controversies or class controversies or any other type o[^] conflicts. But I think the Ministry had no choice. But it can certainly go into certain textbooks where

some very objectionable things have been found and there is no harm if those objectionable things are corrected.

Now, Sir, there is one more question which I want to deal with. I shall now deal with the question of adult education. I had mentioned it earlier and i want to complete it now.

SHRI K. K. MADHAVAN (Kerala): How much time will he fake?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; He is taking his party's time.

4 P.M.

[The vice-chairman, (Shri Shyam Lal Yadav), in the Chair"].

PROF. RAMLAL PARIKH: We should not undertake it as a kind of war on illeteracy^ not take it as a kind of normal administrative programme. I remember, Sir, that in the last thirty years the Government did its best but could not succeed. We have to approach voluntary agencies. I do not understand why you distrust them. Once the condition is made that no agency which is of communal character, which is against secularism, will be allowed to do this, or no political parties will be directly allowed to do this or undertake this work, this will certainly get support and strength. I feel that without involving voluntary agencies on a very large scale—not as a minor 5 per cent sector or 10 per cent sector, but 90 per cent voluntary sector and 10 per cent Government ;ector—only then adult education as a mass movement would be generated. And to that end, I think the Ministry deserves our congratulations that they have taken the right step. They should not be afraid of this criticism of involving voluntary agencies and pursue it unhindered and unfal-tered. Without involving voluntary agencie^ neither rural development nor adult education will succeed. In my State, during the last thirty years, we hardly enrolled 300,000 adults— in thirty years-for the literacy pro- •> gramme. And in the last four months with the help of voluntary agencies, we have been able to 2,50,000.

Sir, when the Government has declared that it has to be completed in five years, let us make a deadly -effort, a determined effort, to fight it as a war because if you delay it, more and more people will come into the fold of illiteracy, and we wiH come to a situation in which, I think, we wiH never be able to eradicate illiteracy in this country. Therefore, the time factor is very crucial. Nobody can go on giving it priority—to adult education, every time. I say, therefore, make it two or three years. For three or four years we concentrate on adult education. Within three years we want this to be wiped out. It is for completely overcoming, completely wiping out illiteracy that this adult education programme should be undertaken. And then, of course, it will have a great impact on planning, it will have a great impact on development, it will have a great impact on thp quality and improvement of life of our people. There is no denying this fact. I need not go into details on this question.

Lastly, I am sorry, Sir. the subject is such a big one, education is such a comprehensive subject, and has such a wide dimension, that it is very hard to present one's views in a shorter time even if one really wanted to. The last foing that 1 would like to say is that the steps new, Government are taking in terms of forming a new educational policy, are welcome. But I would o:ily say that the policies must be made, if at all, we are able to commit ourselves to something, and not just express desires. If. we cannot commit ourselves to anything, let us not accept that. When we say we are committeed to common schools, it means abolition of public schools. No compromise on that. It is better not to give lip service. If you believe in it, public schools must be brought into the system without delay, and no fees should be charged for the first seven years. They must not be allowed to have any foreign language as a medium of instruction. They must leach in the mother tongue. Only then

they can form an integral part of our system of education. They must fall in line with the primary education system of the n'ation. This is for primary schools; I am not saying this, about secondary schools. The acid test of this new policy which is in the process of being formulated, is how this common school pattern is established without delay, which means no difference between public schools and other schools. The idea of providing scholarships in public schools is notorious and obnoxious. It is an evil system which is not in tune with (he national system, a system where no values, no goals and no aims of national education are being prescribed and you want the Government to spend, provide scholarships to study in these schools. We want to abolish the pub-lie school system. Here it is for the Government to decide and to make up its mind, (Time Bell ring.) I am sure that the Government will make up its mind.

The second test will be adult education, wiping out illiteracy in 5 years and not extending the programme beyond 5 years. With every day that is passing, we are losing something, then the regional language as the media of examination in universities as well as public service commissions. Thera stopping of expansion of colleges and de-linking degress from ordinary Government jobs except where specialised jobs are required like engineering and medicine. There are the acid test. If we can do something and if we can not sharpen our policies towards these things, the national policy will be hardly useful. I have every faith and confidence that our Education Minister, Dr. Pratap Chandra Chun-der, who is a dedicated educationist, will spare no pains in getting these things done. He might have difficulties in persuading the State Governments. He might have difficulties in persuading the universities. I knew that it is not easy. But his dedication, his sincerity and his endeavours would bring about these results and then you will see that India has a real

[Prof. Ramlal Parikh]

n tional educational policy. This is what we are striving for, what the Government is striving for and what c.ii ot us ir» this House who come from education irrespective of whether we belong to this group or that group, this party or that party are craving fcr. Let us ail join our hand? together in his noble endeavour.

1

SHRIMATI RAJINDER KAUR (Punjab): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I want to draw the attention of the hon. Minister only to the centrally-run Bigher Secondary Schools which are directly under his control. It is a fact that the educational standard of these schools is quite good, the descipline is well-maintained and the staff is always well trained. Only there are some drawbacks that at times the classes start and the books are not available. I can say that these schools are much better than the socalled public or convent schools. The only thing is that they need to be expanded to many more places. I find that el] the Centra! Government employees are unable to get admission in the central schools. They are useful, but still there are certain shortcomings which are, of course, there in our educational pattern. They are not as much job oriented as they ought to he and even in primary classes and middle classes, the children have to learn too many subjects which, are of n.o use absolutely to them in their 1"4oi- studies. That is why it i_s sometimes said that th_e difference between e student who passes the examination rd a student who fails in the exami-iMion is only this much that the];:ter forgets what he has learnt be-fcie the examination and the former J nils after the examination.

Sir, this is a lengthy topic and I dor it wish to go into details. I willconcern myself only with the centralschool curriculum. In the centralsi hool curriculum there is absolutely provision whatsoever for teachinge regional language 5th class on-rds, in the 6^ 7th and 8th classes,

the children have got no other option but only to learn Sanskrit. Under the three-language formula, three languages are to be taught. But only Sanskrit is being taught in the central schools. In three years in these schools, the children can only learn what verb, is to be used with what subject and what object. They can leai-n nothing more of Sanskrit within three years and one year there/ore they forget whatever they have learnt

Sir, 1 have got personal experience baeause all my children are in the Central School. My eldest child learnt Sanskrit and she got more than 85 per cent of marks in the final examination. If you now ask her anything about Sanskrit, she has entirely for-gr-Uen it. (Time bell ring.) Sir, I understand that it is very difficult to make a provision for all the regional languages in all the Central Schools because they are spread all over the country. But there can be a provision for the regional language of the region in which a particular school is located. For this there would not be any extra expenditure on the exchequer. If a school JS located in Bengal, the Bengali teachers somehow manage to get posted i_n Calcutta or any other nearby place. If the Bengali is made the optional language in that area, the '•fiildren can easily up that. Therefore, I would like fo suggest to th«; hon. Minister that if a school is located in a particular region and if a certain number of students opt for th'it regional language, then there should be a provision for teaching that language.

Sir, there is one thing which I want to say about the 104-2 system. There is great disparity between the college curriculum and the 10+2 curriculum. in the curriculum of the students who go to 10+2 and the students who go to the college. The student who goes to the 10+2 system has to study five subjects and the student who opts for th<j college has to study only iour subjects. Sometimes the combination

is not advantageous to the students in his latter ftadies. A non-medical f student has to take biology as an additional subject or one other language as an elective subject. I_n the same | way, a medical student has to take mathematics. For a medical student, mathematics is of no use in his later studies, and in the same way biology is not of any use for a non-medical student.

Sir, I know the aspirations of every Member of this House about the regional languages. Therefore, I suggest that there should be a provision for the regional languages in these schemes. Then, Sir, we should not consider Urdu as a foreign language. It is as much known to the people here as outside. And there may be a provision for Urdu also to be a second language. Previously, we used to have Persian, Sanskrit and other languages and one had to opt for one language out of them. Sir, Urdu is 1 spoken at the all-India level. Why should not the students be provided an opportunity to study that also? For the regional language also, there should be some provision. Thank you, 1

श्री योगेन्द्र कार्मा (बिहार): मान्यवर, किसी भी देण की जिला पद्धति उस देण के राष्ट्रीय उद्देश्यों की प्राप्ति की साधक होती है। दुर्भाग्यवण हमारे देण में जो जिला पद्धति रही है और खास कर पिछले 16 महीनों में उस को जिस तरह से कार्यान्वित किया गना है उस से हम इस निष्का पद्धति हमारे राष्ट्रीय उद्देश्यों की साधक नहीं, बल्कि उन में वाधक है।

श्रीमन्, हमारं राष्ट्रीय उद्देश्य क्या है ? हमने अपने संविधान में कहा है--धर्म-निरपेक्षता, जनतंत्र और सभाजवाद । क्या हमारो शिक्षा-पद्धति इन राष्ट्रीय उद्धेश्यो को सिद्ध करने में सहायक हुई है ? हमने 1039 RS--8

श्रपने संविधान में कहा था कि दस साल के भीतर 14 वर्ष तक की उम्र के तमाम बच्चों को हम निःश्तक ग्रौर ग्रनिवार्य शिक्षा देंगे । 31 साल बीत गर्द हम उस उद्देश्य तक नहीं पहुंच सके । 31 साल बीत गर्न लेकिन हमारे देश में ग्रभी भी 70 फीसदी लोग अनपढ़ हैं, अशिक्षित हैं। ये 70 फीसदी लोग कौन हैं ? ये हमारे देश के सबसे गरीब लोग है। तो हमारे देश की जो शिक्षा पद्धति है वह गरीबों के लिए नहीं है, वह ग्रमीरों के लिए है। वह भ्रमीरों के विशेषाधिकारों की रक्षा की शिक्षा पद्धति है ग्रौर यही कार**ण** है कि हम एक ग्रोर देखते हैं कि तथाकथित पब्लिक स्कुल्स हैं जहां की बच्चे पर 5-5 सौ रुपने खर्च किने जाते हैं और दूसरी तरफ ऐसे भी स्कूल हैं जहां पर की बच्चे 5 रुपक्षे भी खर्च नहीं कि : जाते हैं।

मान्यवर, हमें इस सम्बन्ध में दूसरे तकों में जाने के वजाय डा० गुर्नार मिर्डल को उद्धृत करना चाहते है जिन्होंने विकसित देशों की समस्याओं का विशेष अध्ययन किया है, उन्होंने शिक्षा के सम्बन्ध में बहुत ही सटोक बात कही है। विकासशील देशों में शिक्षा पद्धित में क्या दोष है ? श्रीमन्, मैं उससे उद्धरण देना चाईगा--

"The shortcomings in the educa tional system are manifold as you will come to touch upon. At bot tom, the main cause besides pover ty why they should have persisted, selfishness of this upper strata who hold power in these countries and do it rather indepen dently of their constitutions. have not been prepared to take the consequences of the goal to reach greater equality which they com pronounce. monly Instead. thev have been bent on perpetuating edu cational systems that preserve traditional privileges."

यह हमारी शिक्षा पद्धति का सबसे बड़ा दोष है। यह दोष ग्रौर भी जोरदार हो गया पिछले 16 महीनों में। हम जब [श्री ये।गेन्द्र शर्मा]

कहते हैं कि पिछते 16 महीनों में जोरदार हो गई है तो आज हम देश पर नजर डालते हैं और देखते हैं कि जितनी भी सैंट्रल युनि-विस्टीज हैं, जितने भी केन्द्रीय विश्वविद्यालय हैं, सीधे केन्द्रीय सरकार के अधीन चनने वाले विश्वविद्यालय है वे आज प्रशास्ति, असन्तोष और अन्द्रोलन के क्षेत्र वन गये हैं । अनेकों विद्यालय और अनेकों विश्व-विद्यालय आज आन्द्रोलन के क्षेत्र वने हुए हैं । वे बन्द पड़े हुए हैं । वहां परीक्षायें नहीं हो रही है ।

इतना ही नहीं, यदि इतनी ही बात होती तो ज्यादा चिंता नहीं होती। दो-चार दिन पहले हमारे दल के नेता कामरेड भूपेश गुफ्त ने कहा था कि मकार्थीवाद का दौर-दौरा चल रहा है। हमारे लिये सबसे वडी चिंता की बात है। मैं समझता इंड्स देश के तमाम देशभक्तों के लिये, तमाम जनतंस्रवादियों के लिये सबसे बडी चिंता की बात होनी चाहिये यदि हमारी शिक्षा संस्थाओं में, हमारी शिक्षा पद्रति पर मैकार्थीवाद का, साम्प्रदायबाद का नदौर-दौरा चलना है। मेरा निवेदन है कि ऐसा हो रहा है। इस सिलसिले में दो-चार प्रमाण देकर अपनी बात खत्म करूंगा।

श्रमी-श्रभी हमारे पूर्ववक्ता महोदय ने,
।रीख साहव चले गर्न, उन्होंने 'एर्नासएन्ट इंडिया' नाम प्रपुरत के, जिसको डा॰ राम शरण श्रमा ने लिखा है, की चर्चा की । उन्होंने कहा कि इस पुस्तक को पढ़ कर उन्हें कई विवादास्पद वान सिली हैं। मै सरकार सं पूछना चाहता ई कि आपने डा॰ राम शरण शर्मा, रोमिला थापर, हरचंस मृखिया, विपिन चन्द्र, वरुण दे श्रादि—विद्यानों की किताबो की सिलेब्स से निकाल कर बाहर फैंक दिया है तो बाहर फैंकने का क्या कारण है।

डा॰ प्रताय चःद्र चन्द्रः यह सही नहीं है। श्री योगेन्द्र जमी : जवाव दीजिएगा । हमने ता अखारों में पढ़ा है । हम आपकी . तरह प्रोफ़ेसर नहीं हैं, विद्वान नहीं हैं और न हीं सरकार की ओर से कोई खंडन हुआ हैं— आप खंडन कर दीजिएगा बड़ी खुशी होगी ।

मैं कह रहा था कि क्या कोई विशेषज समिति की बैठक जांच करने के लिये बुलाई गई । मैं समझता हूं कोई नहीं बुलाई गई ।

मान्यवर, ग्राप जानते है कि इस देश मैं इतिहासज्ञों का सबसे वड़ा संगठन 'इंडियन हिस्ट्री कांग्रेस' है। इस देश के इतिहासजी के प्रतिनिधियों के इस संगठन ने किताबों के निकाले जाने के खिलाफ प्रस्ताव पास किया है। लेकित हमारी सरकार ने क्या किया? हमारे शिक्षा मंत्री ने क्या किया? क्योंकि हिस्ट्री कांग्रेस ने ऐसा किया इसलिये 'हिस्टी कांग्रेस' को विभक्त करने के लिये. उसमें फुट डालने के लिये दूसरी संस्था खड़ी कर दी। सरकार है, सरकार के पास साधन हैं, साधनों की कमी नहीं है इसलिये एक दूसरी संस्था खडी कर दी ग्रौर इस संस्था का सभापतित्व करने के लिये कोई माने-जाने विद्वान को नहीं बुलाया गंधा बल्कि एक भानन्दमार्गीको लावागवा श्रधक्षता करने के लिये और खुद शिक्षा मंत्री केबिनेट मीटिंग से उठ कर वहां पर भाषण देने के लिये गें। शर्मकी बात है।

मान्यवर, डा० राम शरण शर्मा न केवल इस देश में माने-जाने इतिहासज है विहित्र अस्तर्राष्ट्रीय ख्याति के इतिहास पर हैं, जिनको प्राचीन भारत के इतिहास पर भाषण देने के लिये युनाइटेड किंगडम, अमेरिका, एशिया, और दूसरे देशों से निमंत्रण आते हैं । वह वहां भाषण करने जाते रहे हैं । कलकता युनिविश्विटी से भें उन्हें 'एनसिएन्ट इंडिया' प्रभाषण देने के लिये ब्लायाथा। वहां उन्होंने भाषण दिये उनको 'पयूडिलिज्म इन इंडिया' नामक पुस्तक के

रूप में प्रकाशित किया गया है। अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय . ख्याति का ऐसा विद्वान देश के लिये शर्व ग्रीर गौरव की बात है। लेकिन ग्राप लोग ऐसे ब्रादमी को डेलीगेशन में भी जाने से रोकते हैं। ऋौर वह डेलीगेशन क्या था, इस बात को भी देखने की जरूरत है। मध्य एशिया से जो माइग्रेशन हुन्ना है, उस पर विचार करने के लिए एक थन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मेमिनार हो रहा था । उसमें हिस्दी के एक ऐसे विद्वान का जो न केवल राष्ट्रीय ख्याति का विद्वान है बित्क ग्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीय स्थाति का विद्वान है, भ्रौर जो प्राचीन इतिहास का प्रकाण्ड विद्वान है, उसको उस सेमिनार में भाग लेने से रोक दिया गया । उसका क्या कसूर था, क्या अपराध था ? उसका अपराध यह था कि वह सम्प्रदायवादी नहीं है ग्रौर उसने भारत के प्राचीन इतिहास को वैज्ञानिक ढेग से समझने ग्रौर लिखने की कोशिश की है। उसके ग्रन्दर हिन्द्वाद की व तक नही है, यही उसका कसुरथा।

श्रो **पी० राममृतिं** (तमिजनाड्) : इसके लिए क्या बहाना बनाया गया ?

श्रो योगेन्द्र शर्माः बहाना करा हो सकता है, इसके तो श्राप खुद ही भुक्तभोगी । रहे हैं भान्यवर, सरकार को तरफ से कहा जाता है कि उनमें वटियां थीं । वटियां निकालने के लिए कोई विशेषज्ञ कमेटी नहीं बैठाई गई। इंडियन हिस्ट्री कांग्रेस ने उनकी किताब का समर्थन किया । मै सरकार ने यह पृक्रत(चाहता ई कि ग्रगर वह सही माधनों मे वैज्ञानिक ढंग में इतिहास को लिखना चाहनी है नो क्या हमारे मंत्री महोदय इप बात को इन्कार करने के लिए तैयार हैं कि हमारे प्राचीन भारत में कुछ बुराइयां ऐसी थो जिनको हटाना चाहिये ग्रौर जिन के लिए हमको गर्म भी अपाती है ? क्या वे यह बात मानने के लिए नैवार है कि हमारे सनाज में जाति-गाति श्रौर सम्प्रदायबाद की कुछ इस प्रकार की ब्राइयां थी जिनके कारण ग्राज भी हमारा

देश त्राहि-त्राहि कर रहा है ? इसलिये श्राज जरूरत इस चीज की है कि हमारे समाज में जो ब्राइयां ग्रागर्ड थो उनको समाज से निकाल दिया जाय ग्रीर वेजानिक द्ष्टिकेश्य से इतिहास को लिखा जाय।

Education Policy

Sio प्रताप चन्द्र चन्द्र : क्या ग्रापने उनकी यह किताब पढ़ी है ?

श्रीयोगेख शर्पाः जीहां, मैंने व्ही है। उस किताव की तमाम वातों का मैं समर्थन करता हं। मैं चाहता हं कि श्राप उस किताब पर एक सेमिनार कीजिये श्रौर उसमें विद्यार्थियों ग्रौर विशेषज्ञों को बुलाइये । ग्रापने बिना सोच विचार किये उस किताब को शिक्षा जगत मे निकाल दिया। एक तरफ तो श्राप लोग शिक्षा के क्षेत्र मे भोटनोमी की बात करते है, जनतंत्र की बात करते हैं, दूसरी तरफ श्रापकी इस प्रकार की कार्यवाहियां होती हैं। मैं समझता हूं कि यह जनतंत्र का नाम श्रीर शिक्षा क्षेत्र की घोटोनोमी एक प्रकार का ढकोसला ग्रीर पाखण्ड है।

श्रीमन् मैं श्रापके सामने एक उदाहरण रखना चाहता हं। एक डा० बी० सी० चाधरी विहार के भ्रन्दर जायसवाल शोध संस्थात में काम करते थे। श्रभी श्रभी उनको निकास दिया गया है । उन्हें क्यों निकाल दिया गया ग्रौर उनका क्या कसूर था, इसको भी देखने की जरूरत है। अभीथोड़े दिन पहले कटक के भ्रन्दर एक हिस्टी कांग्रेस हई जिसमें सम्प्रदायवाद के खिलाफ ग्र वाज उठी थी । उस अवाज में डा० चौधरी ने भी ग्रपनी ग्रावाज मिलाई । यही उस विद्वान का कसुर था ग्रौर इसी कसुर के लिए उसको निक≓ल दिया गया । इस कार्यवाही के खिलाफ पूरे बिहार के शिक्षा वर्ग में ग्रौर विद्वानों के समदाय में व्यापक ग्रसंतोप फैला हुआ है और खलबली मची हुई है। ग्राप लोग जनतंत्र श्रौटोनोमी की बात करते हैं, लेकिन श्रा**प**ं

[श्री योगेन्द्र शर्मा]

सम्प्रदायवाद का जो जहर फैला रहे हैं उसमें ये विद्वान ग्रापका साथ देना नहीं चाहते है। तो उनको निकाल बाहर कर देते है। इसी प्रकार से दिल्ली के राजधानी कालेज के इनिहास विभाग में एक शिक्षक हैं श्री जे०एन सिह ग्रव उनको भी निकःलने की साजिश चल रही है ।

क्यों साजिश चल रही है ? इसलिए कि उसुने कहा मैं स्रपना वैज्ञानिक हृष्टिकोण छोड़ने नहीं जा रहा हूं चाहे इसके लिए हमें प्राणों की विल चढ़ानी पड़े। हम सम्प्रदायवाद के सामने नहीं झुकने जा रहे हैं उससे हम समझौता नहीं करेगे । यह है सरकार का मैकार्थीवाद, जिसको अंग्रेजी में भूपेश जी ने विच हंट कहा। मान्यवर हमारा देश बहुत बड़ा है, महान देश है। हम घड़ी देख रहे हैं ग्रौर ग्राप भी परेशान होंगे इसलिए हम इधर-उधर भागना नहीं चाहते हैं, दिल्ली में ही रहना च हते हैं ? दिल्ली के 14 कालेजों में झसंतोष है। डा० बी० पी० दत्त नहीं बोले हमें स्रामा है कि वे बोलेंगे क्योंकि शिक्षा तो उनका विषय है। दिल्ली के 14 कालेजों की गर्वानग कमेटियों को बदल दिया गया। ग्रापकी सरकार ने बदल दिया। भ्रापने बदल दिया। यह जिम्मेदारी स्नापकी है। क्यों बदल दिया ? इसलिए कि ग्रार० एस० एस० के मातहत गर्वानग कमेटियों का पूनर्गठन किया जाए ग्रौर 14 कालेजों में जो नयी कमेटियां बनाई गई वहां पर ग्रार० एस० एस० के लोगों को ग्रध्यक्ष बनाया गया । ऐसे लोग ग्रध्यक्ष बनाए गए कि जिनमें से कुछ मेट्रिक पास भी नहीं। यह है भ्रापका शिक्षा के प्रति प्रेम । (Interruptions).

श्री प्रेस मतोहर: (उत्तर प्रदेश): शर्मा जी स्नार० एस० एस० के नाम से क्यो परेजान हो रहे हैं। स्नार० एस० एस० का नाम क्यो ले रहे हैं....

श्री मेंगन्द्र सनी: मान्यवर यह हम लोगों की पारस्परिक परेशानी है . . . मान्यवर, हम जे० एन० यू० के बारे मे नहीं बोलना चाहते । हमारे माननीय मिन्न श्री राममूर्ति जी इस पर बोलेगे । लेकिन हम हम यह कहे बिना नही रह सकते है कि आज जे० एन० यू० के विशेष चरित्र को बदलने के लिए साजिश चल रही है और यह चल रही है तमाम वैधानिक और तमाम विधि की संगतियों को तोड़ कर । हम इसकी डिटेलस् मे नहीं जाना चाहते । क.मरेंड राममूर्ति विस्तार में इस पर बॉलेगे।

एक शब्द ग्रक्सर सुनाई देता है --केम्पस वायलेंस, कैम्पस भ्रनरेस्ट का सवाल उठाया जाता है। हम जनबूझ कर विश्व विद्यालय 🤨 परिषद् हिन्दी में नहीं कह रहे हैं ताकि श्रधिक से अधिक लोगों की समझ में आवे। युनी-वर्सिटी अरनरेस्ट युनीवर्सिटी कैम्पस में क्यों हो रहा है? इसको यह लाऐंड ग्रार्डर का सवाल बताना चाहते है। जंतनगर कृषि विश्वविद्यालय में वाइस चांसलर ने जो हरकत की जो जुल्म किए वे जलियांवाल। बाग जैसे जल्म थे। जब इस तरह की चीजे होती है फिर शिक्षा संस्थाओं में शान्ति कैसे रह सकती है; शिक्षा सस्थान्नों में शिक्षा के मन्दिरों में त्राज असंतोष है, ऋान्दोलन है इसलिए श्राज हमारे देश की ग्राधिक ग्रौर समाजिक व्यवस्था मे विषमता बढ़ती जा रही है और इस विषमता को बढ़ाने में इस शिक्षा पद्धति ने भी योगदान दिया है। यदि मंहगाई बढ़ेगी, बेकारी बढेगी, जिस देश में डाई करोड़ स्रादमी बेकार होंगे जिस देश में 60 लाख शिक्षित बैकार रहेंगे उस देश के विश्वविद्यालय में कैसे शान्ति भ्रौर संतोष रह सकता है ?

ग्राज भी वहां ग्रशांति है, ग्रसंतोष है उसका मूल कारण देश की ग्राधिक ग्रौर समाजिक व्यवस्थाग्रों में जो बुराइयां हैं, वे हैं। हमें उनको दूर करना है ग्रौर उसके ग्रनुरूप ही शिक्षा को बदलना है।

हमारे बिहार में, मान्यवर, विद्यार्थी लोग कहते है कि नहीं साहब, हम चोरी से उत्तर लिखेंगे, परीक्षा में । उन्होंने नारा बना दिया

है 'सरकार बनी है चोरी से हम पास करेंगे **च**ारी से['] कोई भी ग्रादमी इसका समर्थन नहीं नहीं कर सकता है ।

एक माननीय सःस्य : क्या ?

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्मा : "सरकार वनी है चोरी से हम पास करेंगे चोरी से"। कोई भी इसका समर्थन नहीं कर सकता है। लेकिन एक वैज्ञानिक की हैसियत से, एक समाज शास्त्री की हैसियत से, वस्तुगत रूप से हमको देखना पडेगा, समझना पडेगा कि वही नौजवान जिसने सम्पूर्ण कान्ति के नाम पर ग्रपने कंधों पर चढ़ाकर भ्रापको विठाया राज गददी पर म्राज वह क्यों यह नारा लगा रहा है ? वह इसलिए नारा लगा रहा है कि उनकी तमाम **भ्राशाश्रों पर तुषारापात हो गया है।** जिसको उन्होने सम्पर्ण कान्ति समझा था, वह देखना है कि बाज वह सम्पूर्ण श्रान्ति हो गयी है। वह ग्रपने को ठगा सा महसूस करता है और इतना ही नहीं। जिनको उसने समझाथा कि ये समग्र कान्ति के नेता बनेंगे - हमारे विहार के मंत्री खुलेग्राम पब्लिकली कहते है हमारे डाक्टर, राम कृपाल जी माथे पर हाथ रख हर रो तो नहीं रहे हैं?

श्रम तथा संदरीय कार्य मंत्रालय राज्य मंत्री (डा॰ राम कृपाल सिंह): सुन रहा हूं।

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्माः सुन रहे हैं ख्लोग्राम, पञ्लिकली कहते हैं कि हम गुडे रखते हैं , हम मुडें प∵लते हैं क्योंकि चुनाव जीतने के लिए ग्डे पालने जरुरी हैं श्रीर जब ऐसे लोग उन विद्यार्थियों का इस्तेमाल वय कैंप्चरिंग के लिए करेंगे तो उसके बाद अगर वे यह कहते हैं कि सरकार बनी है चोरी से, हम पास करेंगे चोरी से, हम नकल करेंगे हम कित ब को लेकर प्रश्नोत्तर देंगे तो इसके लिए कौन जिम्मेदार है ? इसके लिए हम श्रीर श्राप जिम्मेदार हैं । उनको जिम्मेदार बनाना अपनी जिम्मेदारी से भागता है, अपने दोवों पर पर पर्दा डालना है।

प्रौढ़ शिक्षा के नाम पर वहत सी नधी स्कीमें बनायी गयी है । हम विदवान लोकेश के कहने से पूर्ण सहमत हैं कि आप प्रौढ़ िक्षा समस्या नही हल करने जा रहे हैं बल्कि ग्राप ग्र_ंर० एस० एस० का संगठन गाव मे *गांव* सरकारी सहायता श्रीर सरकारी मर्यादा के श्राधार पर स्थापित करने में मदद करने जा - रहे है जहां पर कि वह कमजोर था । यह इनका उद्देश्य है और इस तरह से क्राफ पूरी शिक्षा में सम्प्रदायवाद का जहर घोल रहे हैं। इन बातों को देखने के बाद हम बहुत परेकान थे कि हमारे इतने विद्यवान शिक्षा मंत्री जिन्होने बहुत सी कितावी लिखी हैं, ऐसे शिक्षा अंत्री के रहते इए कैसे ये वातें हो रही हैं तो मैने इनकी किताबों को पढ़ना शुरु कर दिया (मैं खत्म कर रहा हूं) इनकी किताबों को पढ़ना शुरु कर दिया। इनकी एक किलाब जो बंगाली में लिखी गयी है श्रौर उसका हिन्दी श्रन्वाद हुआ है उसको हमने पढ़ा है, ''जाब चारणोखर की बीबी चारणोखर की पत्नी, उस किताब को पढ़ने के बाद हमें विश्वास नहीं होता कि ये किताव डाक्टर चुन्दर जैसे व्यक्ति लिख सकते हैं। क्योंकि उस किताब में लिखा है कि ईस्ट इंडिया कम्पनी के ग्राफिसर पटना भौर पटना के म्रास पास, वहां की दुखी, शोषित पीढ़ित जनता को मुस्लिम शासकों के उपद्रवों से ग्रौर ग्रत्याचारों से रक्षां करने के लिए गये श्रौर उनकी भूमिका उसी तरह की थी जिस तरह की भूमिका पश्चिम में शिवाजी ग्रदा किया करते थे।

यह हमारे शिक्षा मंत्री श्री पी० सी० चन्द्र ने लिखा है उसमें विश्वास नहीं होता था कि इस तरह की बात यह लिख सकते हैं।

श्री कलपनाथ राष: उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, डा० रफीक जकरिय। स हव ने कहा कि I praise the secular Chief Ministership. Now I ask what is your opinion?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): Please clude

SHRjI PRANAB MUKHERJEE (West Bengal); 1 would like to tell only one thing to my friend. After all, it is a good novel and is worth reading. He should not be so critical the wole country rose.

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्माः हम खत्म कर रह है **प्राखिर में** केवल इतना ही निवेदन करना चाहता

Therefore, I read and I am sur prised and shocked how such things could be written by learned Dr. P. C Chunder praising the East India Co. as a liberator of India against whom the whole country rose.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: I do not think, Sir, it is wrongly interpreted. 1 would like to place on record that the book j₉ worth reading.

्._ श्रीयोगेन्द्र द्यर्गः में समझता हं कि कोई भी भी पैटियाटिक इण्डियन, कोई भी देश भक्त ईस्ट इण्डिया कम्पनी का समर्थक नहीं हो सकता और कोई यह नहीं कह सकता कि वह हिन्दस्तान में लिब्नेटर हो कर ग्राई। स्लेवरी करने वाले को लिटर कहना यह देश भिकत नहीं, देशभिक्त के साथ गदारी है।

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: If it is so, Sir, on the basis of the friend's disclosures in the House I say that this book should be withdrawn. I request you to withdraw this

SHRI YOGENDRA SHARMA: Fortunately, that is not in the syllabus.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: You have never read a novel in your life. Why are you interested in it? He has never read a novel in his life.

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUNDER: It is a very good publicity for my book.

श्री योगेन्द्र शर्माः में खत्म कर रहा हैं। ब्राखिर में मेरे दो-तीन सुझाव है। मंत्री महोदय से मेरा पहला सुझाव यह है कि शिक्षा संस्थायों से मैकार्थीवाद ग्रीर सम्प्रदायवाद को दूर करो । उनकी स्वायत्तता ग्रौर जन-सांतिक प्रबंध की गारण्टी करो। बिहार में मान्वर विश्वविद्यालय का वाइस-लान्सलर कमिक्नर बनाये जा रहे हैं। कमिक्नर के मातहत विश्वविद्यालय किए जा रहे है ग्रौर यहां आरटोनमी की बात करते है।

दूसरी बात शिक्षा को रोजगारमुखी

तीसरी वात, शिक्षा की पद्धति ऐसी होनी चाहिए जिसका मोटो हो पढ़ो और पढ़ाग्रों पढ़ों और काम करो, काम करो और पढ़ों। प्रौढ़ शिक्षा के लिए साल में तीन महीने यह जो 60 लाख शिक्षित बेकार है ग्रीरन पता नहीं कितने लाख शिक्षक हैं.....

भी कल्प नाथ राय: एक करोड

श्री योगें-द्र शर्माः तो इन एक करोड. तमाम लोगों को तीन महीने इस ब्रान्दोलन में लगा दीजिए यदि ग्राप सचमच में प्रौढ शिक्षा करना चाहते हैं ग्रीर इस देश के 70 प्रतिशत लोगों को ग्रशिक्षा के क्लंक से मक्त करना चाहते है।

ब्राखिर में मैं कहना चाहंगा कि हमारा जो यह बह-भाषी देश है, यनेक धर्मी को भानने वाला देश है वहां पर त्रिभाषी फार्मुला, जिसे श्री-लग्यएज फार्मुला कहते है ग्रनिवार्य है। यदि देश की एकता हमें कायम रखनी है तो इससे बचना ग्रसम्भव है। मातुभाषा में अंची से अंची शिक्षा होनी चाहिए लेकिन साथ ही साथ सैकण्ड्री स्टेज में या द्वितीय स्टेज मे यह तीनों भाषाएं, विभाषी फार्म्ला लाग् करना चाहिए। खास करके हिन्दी क्षेत्र में, कितने हिन्दी क्षेत्रों के राज्यों ने इसको टाल दिया । ग्रौर मैं डा० जकरीया के इस सजेशन को सपोर्ट करूंगा कि इस पूरे हिन्दी

बैन्ट में ति-भाषी फार्मुले में एक भाषा उर्द ंग्रवश्य होनी चाहिए। इस से देश के इंटीग्रेश*न* मे बहुत बड़ी सहायता होगी।

Discussion on

डा॰ प्रताप चन्द्र चन्द्र : साऊथ इंडियन लैंग्एज में से नहीं ?

श्री योगेंन्द्र शर्मा : हम समझते हैं उर्द् होनी चाहिए इस से इन्टिग्रेशन में सहायता होनी चाहिए।

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUNDER: No South Indian language?

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): It should be in Telugu.

श्रीयोगेन्द्र शर्माः : तो फिर उर्दूमर जाएगी ।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): Please conclude now.

श्री योगेंद्र शर्मा :: तीसरी चीज ग्राखीर में ...

(Interruptions)

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: Should be Telugu.

श्री योगेंन्द्र शर्मा : तीसरी चीज यह है कि हिन्दी कि पर नहीं लादनी चाहिए। परन्तु साथ ही साथ ग्रंग्रेजी भी नहीं लादनी चाहिए। यह जो ऐतिहासिक लाभ है हमारे देश में ग्रंग्रेजी का, उस से देश को मुक्त करना चाहिए। हम किसी भाषा के विरोधी नहीं हैं परन्तुनए देश को बनाना है तो उस नए देश के ग्रनुरूप हमारी भाषा नीति भी होनी चाहिए ।

इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ मैं समाप्त करता हूं।

*SHRI E. R. KRISHNAN Nadu): Sir, I welcome the debate on

*English translation of the speech delivered in Tamil.

educational policy and I take this opportunity to express my views here.

Education Policy

Before our country become free, the percentage of literate Indians was only 15 per cent. Now, it has increased, it is stated, upto 40 per cent its seems that the census has included even those persons who know only how to sign in their mother-tongue. Our country is not, I regret to note, similar to America, wherein the literate persons constitute more than 90 per cent or to England wherein the percentage of literate persons is more than 88. It cannot stand equal to the Communist Countries, wherein the literacy has grown up more than 90 per cent.

We will have to think seriously as to how the literacy has to be spread equivelent to other countries in the West. I think, it may take even one hundred years more, if we follow the present state of affairs. It is a very much regrettable position.

When the Education was in the State-list and the States were allott[^] ing 30 per cent of their funds towards' Education, the literacy was very low. When it is included, now, in the concurrent list, then also, we find, the progress is not upto the mark. And hence it seems that the Central Government is reviewing the policy and going to include it in the Statelist again in the interest of education we feel that only one Educational policy is not at all suited to this country, because it has many languages, many cultures. India means "Unity in diversity."

In Switzerland, wherein the total population is more than 6.5 million, there are 25 educational policies in their different units. Even in Britain, there are many educational policies. If we follow those examples and permit the State Government to have their own educational policies. I fee}, there is every possibility in the growth of literacy. So far as our Tamil Nadu is concerned, we are deeply interested in the 10+2, because it has an opportunity to learn 36 types

[Shri E. R. Krishnan] technical education. Our Hon'ble j Minister Shri Chunder also appreciated our Government's has effort in the progress of technical education, but some conservatives do not have iaith in the 10 + 2. There is every opportunity in the 10 + 2 system to learn nursing, dentistry, fertilizers etc. ; The conservatives are interested only in History and Geography etc.

If we follow the 10 4- 2 system and ! achieve the desired progress, there will be every possibility of getting employed io the technical fields for the graduates. The 10 + 2 system will also prevent the unemployment problem, which has increased because we followed so far only the old and conservative type of education. If this 10 + 2 system is to be pursued sincerely, the Central Government should I increase the grant to our State Government. In regard to the Adult Education programme, I may point out here, some persons dupe the unemployment graduates with the promise of job and take bribe, say Rs. 5,000 to 6,000 in our Tamil Nadu. I request the Minister to take adequate and precautionery measures to prevent such malpractices. So far as the Three-language formula is concerned, this House is aware of, our Tamil Nadu is dead against it. We are very successful in our two-language formula for the last ten years. Wherever the three-language formula is followed, 1 find, only Sanskrit or Urdu is studied as a Third Language. May I ask the Hon'ble ' Minister, is there any Hindi speaking, State where in any South Indian j language is taught as a third*—language? That is the main reason that we i had rejected the threelanguage formula and pursued the two-langu-; age formula. I may also point out on I this occasion that no serious efforts were taken in teaching South Indian languages in the Kendriya Vidyalayas lodated in the Hindi speaking States. It is also very much regretted to note that no lessons depicting the Dravidian culture and the progress our Tamil Nadu wer^ included in the books

brought out by the NCERT.

I protest strongly on the efforts-taken to teach Hindi compulsorily in the Central Government Offices, it has caused unnecessary embarrassment to all the non-Hindi speaking employees.

When the resolution favouring Hindi as the Official Language was adopted in the Constituted Assembly in the year 1949, the voting was evenly given i.e. 128 votes in favour and 128 votes against. Because of the casting vote of the President of the Asembly, Dr. Rajendra Prasad, Hindi was given the position as the Official Language. It is, therefore, crystei-clear that Hindi was not at all given the position, Sir, as the official language with the majority of votes, as it was often stated. It is also said now and then. That Hindi is the language of the majority of Indians. We know that the crow is seen everywhere in India; that does not mean that it should be acclaimed as the National bird, b*ut we have given that credit to the peacock, although it is not seen every where, due to it's beauty and charm. When we take all the States into account, we find only the four States, i.e. Uttar Pradesh. Bihar, Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh-where in Hindi is spoken by the majority of people. In Harvana, Delhi, Himachal Pradesh Hindi is understood. Even we take those three States also into account, we find that Hindi is not at ali spoken in the majority of States. Then, how can you claim that Hindi is the language of majority of Indians? This type of confusion created now and then, I regret to point out, mars the progress of education in our country; even after 31 years of our independence our literacy did not exceed more than 40 per cent.

We go to foreign countries, visit the U.N.A, but we feel ashamed of in quoting our percentage of literacy, whenever any foreigner asks about it. It is, therefore, not at all a civilised claim that Hindi is our language and spoken by the majority here. Please do not impose Hindi compulsorily, it

would, definitely, stand in the way of our national integration. Let every State-Government take steps to teach the regional language. English should be continued as our Inter-State language. We have no objection for teaching Hindi in the Hindi speaking States, but it is not justifiable to impose Hindi on the non-Hindi speaking States. Even Mahatma Gandhi did not advocate Hindi as our official language, he was in favour' of Hindustani commonly understood. On this occasion, may I request. Sir, for taking sincere efforts, atleast within the ensuing 10 to 15 years for propagation of the regional languages?

No efforts should be taken to impose Hindi upon us and treat as second-class citizens in India I welcome once again the 10-|_2 system and request the Hon'ble Minister for allocation of more funds to our State Government. Thank you.

5.00 P.M.

DR. V. P. DUTT: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, time and again I have participated in the discussions on the Education Policy. In fact, for the last six years I have been taking part in these discussions. Sometimes I have even initiated discussions on the Education Policy. In fact, I was wordering today whether I should speak at all. I have seen Governments come and go, Education Ministers come and go. AU of us come and go, but the educational system remains where it was

Sir, when my friend, Mr. Ramlal Parikh spoke, I was almost tempted to bring back those reports, proceedings, to show how I have year after year pointed out some of the fundamental problems. I have pointed out that the primary, most vital, most urgent, central, task in India is to remove illiteracy. The new phrase that is being used is universalisation of primary education. To my mind, removal of illiteracy goes beyond that. Therefore, I still use the word 'illiteracy'. I say, the most vital problem facing the country is to re-

move illiteracy from this country, to bring some knowledge and light where there has been darkness before I have been pointing out that education should be related to labour and work, that learning should not be divorced from life, that there should be an intimate relationship between learning, labour and productivity, also that education should be related to environment I have said before, some two or three years ago, that we have our own lakes and ponds and our own flora and fauna, our own natural environment and we must bring our education, our school education, in alignment with this natural environment, that the educational system should enable us to face the challenges of life, should essentially make us independent human beings, able to think for ourselves, able to solve the problems, and that in order to achieve that the most unrgent need is to spread the spirit of science, to includate the scientific spirit. Also I have pointed out year after year that our educational priorities were distorted, that the rate of growth in our educational sectors was in inverse proportion to the needs of the country, that the rate of growth of secondary education was higher than the rate of growth of primary education, that the rate of growth of higher secondary education was higher than the rate of growth of secondary education, that the rate of growth of undergraduate education was higher than that of higher secon-ary education, that the rate of growth of higher education—post-graduate education-was higher than that of undergraduate education.—I am talking of the rate of growth-^-and that the rate of growth of the highest education— post post-graduate education, Ph. D-and so on-was higher than that of higher education, and that this is a distorted kind of priority for a country like ours, also that 75 per cent of our children drop out before they reached the 9th class. I have also said again and again in this House that the entire infrastructure of education, the complex of education, the industry, the related development should be

Education Policy

[Dr. V. P. Dutt] taken to the villages of India and be made relevant there because that is where India really is. I have also mentioned and I am repeating it today, because these are the fundamental problems, that the rate of growth in education"has no relationship with the rate of economic growth in the country. We have had 10 per cent rate of growth in virtually education. speaking, since independence whereas the rate of economic growth has only been 3.5 per cent. Today the Government says that the rate of growth is 7 per cent. But one of the most important members of the Planning Commission, Mr. Raj Krishna, has said publicly that this target is entirely unreal. Unless we bring the rate of growth in education in alignment with the rate of economic growth, I do not know how we are going to solve our problem with our education.

I have also been pleading in this House for delinking jobs from edu cational qualifications. T moved resolution here some four years ago. In that private Member's resolution I had said that qualifications for be functional and should not require a B.A. degree for every little job you have in the country. If you require that qualification for any job, you should enable everybody to get that degree. In that resolution I had said that while delinking from educational degrees and provid for functional qualifications and providing sufficient protection re presentation to the weaker sections of our society, we should have a dis criminate system of education and mission in our higher education. A11 these things we have been saying time and again. I should also like to say that these are not new ideas. I am not something fantastically saving which nobody else has even thought of when I say that essentially educa tion. work. labour and productivity go hand in hand. This is idea which has been universally cented. In fact most of the pro blems and many of the solutions

to those problems have been given by the Radhakrishnan Committee and. wherever there was some lacuna it -was provided by the Kotari Committee. There is hardly anything that we need to know from any new Commission that we did not know. But that greatest problem is implementation. That is where everything fails. It is at the level of implementation that nothing seems to moved. I would be the last person to say that we have made no achievement in our educational system. We certainly have made tremendous achievements in spreading education. We have also got an oasis of excellence. But that remains an oasis in the desert of what we call either illiteracy or useless and irrelevant education.

I would say that the greatest need today is for innovation, change, experimentation and willingness to try new methods and discard high-bound customs, traditions and rituals of education. Our goal is to remove poverty in five years or six years, remove unemployment—I do not know in how many vears....

SHRI N. G. RANGA: Ten years.

DR. V. P. DUTT: I would like to point out that the NSS estimates that there are at present 19 million man-years of unemployment and that 70 million persons have to be provided work in the next decade. This means creating something like 200,000 employment, units a week. This cannot be wage employment, but outside the wage employment sector and the Government itself will admit that no known economy can create employment at this rate. How are you going to solve and who is going t₀ implement? In fact, I was interested to read the Isharbhai Patel Committee Report. Very good ideas are there and they say at the end.

"We are strongly of the view that physical conditions in which the teachers and children could work together must be made by providing

suitably designed buildings, class room space, teaching aids, science r^ equipment, facilities for socially useful productive work...."—that is the new word for labour—"and productivity and recreation".

It is all right and I do not want to play upon words. They go on to say:

"We feel that if teaching conditions are made reasonably congenial, creative and experimental work can be developed."

I would ask you who is going to do this. Who is going to create these conditions? Who is going to provide these buildings and class room space and the teaching aids and science equipment? Is there really any massive national effort being made to nro-vide these things? Where is the implementation going to be? Sir, the question of illiteracy has been mentioned, the question of providing even primary education to all the eligible children. I not only say that the task is colossal, but I am also cynical enough to point out that it would not be achieved in three years or five years or even ten years, although my friend, Prof. Ramlal Parikh believes that everything is being done now. He is a good friend of mine and I admire him and I do hope that he does not dislike me either and we have many things in common. But, I am sorry, he is probably new to the treasury benches and he has more enthusiasm and also more of optimism and expectation. Biit the analyses that we have been making, the exercises that we have been undertaking, over the last ten years do not warrant really any optimism in this respect. Sir, in order to provide primary education for every eligible child, you have to provide facilities for five crores of children. The total number of children attending schools would be 4.52 crores-1.37, crores for class I to class V, and 3.15 crores for classes VI to VIII and if—this is from the Report itself—the 22 per cent of the enrolment in classes I to V of children who are either under-

age or overage is taken into account, then facilities will have to De provided for more than five crores of children. The story does not end here, because, even where children are registered, a great deal of it is bogus registration. They are not really there in the classes. As I have mentioned earlier, 75 per cent of the children drop out by the time they reach class IX. So, you have to provide for a total of 64 lakhs of children during the fiveyear period from 1977-78 to 1982-83. As I said, this is virtually an impossible task and, not in the next three or five or ten years, but in another twenty years, if we could achieve this, I would personally be satisfied. But the way we have been going, the way we are stuck up, the inertia that has come to seize us-I am talking of this only and I am not blaming this person or that person, this agency or that agency, but of the inertia that is there-and, more than this inertia, I would say, unfortunately, the ill-advised policies, the illadvised concepts, the ill-advised thinking, of a great many important people, I don't think it is possible to achieve this during this period. But, Sir, I would like to make a very serious proposition. My esteemed and elderly colleague, Shri Kamlapati Tripathi, is here. I have my party leader, Shri Bhola Paswan Shastri who is here. Shri Kalp Nath Rai is here. Dr. Lokesh Chandra is here. My proposition, Sir, is that the fundamental problem of education of India is the problem of education of the Hindi belt. 1 am making a very serious proposition. I have studied this question. Let me say with all seriousness that the problem is that of education in the Hindi belt area. Sir, 74 per cent of the non-enrolled students, that is, students who are not getting even minimum literacy, are in eight States. And the largest number of them are in four States of the Hindi-speaking of 3.58

crore children, non-enrolled children, between the ages of 6-14, actually one-half belong to the Hindi belt States— Bihar, U.P., Madhya Pradesh and

[Dr. V. P. Dutt] Rajasthan. In Bihar, the number of non-enrolled children between the ages of 6-11, is 40 l&khs, between 11-14, 28 lakhs: the total is 68 lakhs. In Madhya Pradesh. those between 6-11 number 37 lakhs and those between 11-14, 29 lakhs. The total comes to 66 lakhs. In Rajasthan, the number of nonenrolled children between 6-11, is 34 lakhs, and those between 11-14, 17 lakhs; the total comes to 51 lakhs. In Uttar Pradesh, the number of non-enrolled children, between the ages of 6 to 11, is 24 lakhs, and those between 11 and 14, 41 lakhs; the total comes to 67 lakhs. In Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Orissa and Jammu and Kashmir, this problem is not of the same magnitude. That is why, I would "like my elder colleagues to consider and ponder over this question as to what has gone wrong there. I say, what has gone wrong is the educational fanaticism in these States, unfortunately. And please consider this as a national problem—not from any narrow point of view, but as a national problem.

One other thing that I would like to add, Sir, while on this question of national effort to remove illiteracy, is how can you remove illiteracy, how can you expect these teachers and these schools, two-thirds of whom have to cater to villages and village boys, to be really dedicated in the kind of conditions -that we are giving them? In fact, it is a mockery. We are mock, ing at them by, on the one hand, telling that they should have 'guru-chela' relationship and not think of material benefits, and, on the other hand, a school teacher gets not as much as a peon gets ir. this country. Sir, my friend, Dr. Ramlal Parikh, talked of the Soviet Union. Let me say that in the Soviet Union, one of the first things that they did was to raise the status of the school teachers and to provide special benefits and special incentives to school teachers to take up this work. In fact, most of their effort in the first few years of reconstruction was spent on removing illiteracy

and improving the schools, especially the primary and secondary schools,. Sir, in this connection, I have some> other misgivings, fears and apprehensions which I would like to mention to the hon. Minister. I have gone through all the reports that he has released so far and very carefully. As I said, there are many good ideas in many of them. Now, with the new system, new scheme of curriculla and syllabi and courses that are going to be offered to our schools, I cannot resist the impression or I cannot resist the apprehension that we may be going backwards and that there is a denigration of science, new mathematics and economics. I am all for making the system flexible using the diversity of India. I am also in favour of not compelling the child to cram too much. Therefore, I am all for intellectual stimulation. But it appears to me, if my reading is correct, that even in the 9th and 10th classes, you have introduced awful categories whereby a person who takes history, civics and geography will not be studying any economics and may not be studying science either and a student who takes science will probably have nothing to do with history, civics and economics. Now, Sir, if my impression is correct in any case, I am totally opposed to this kind of optional categorisation — I feel that we may look back to the past and we may try to create Ram Rajya so far as social situation is concerned and social equalities are concerned, but we have to look to the future also. We have to look forward also. We have to keep abreast of the strides that are being made in the world in science, in technology, in new Mathematics and in technical studies. I have a fear that we are slipping into anti-diluvian policies. I am giving a word of caution that let us give careful thought to it and let us not play with the future of this country and the

Education Policy

future of our country. Let us give very careful consideration to it. It is all right saying that you should not put to₀ much burden on them. But atthe same time, they have to keep this

country in line with the progress that is being made elsewhere. They have •tc see that this country takes its right-' ful place in the comity of advanced nations of the world. Tc my mind, no country which ignores science and the scientific spirit and mathematics will be able to take rapid strides. In fact, my complaint has always been that in our schools, especially in the villages and even in the towns, we have made machine a kind of a dreadful thing whereas what we should be doing is to train our people to master the machine, to lose his fear and dread of it and to be able to innovate it, experiment with it and take the country forward with his independent thinking. I do agree 'that there are certain basic differences of approach in some areas between you and us. I will mention just a few. You want private enterprise to undertake education mostly, whereas we, at least, I certainly, stand for the society to undertake this responsibility. I do not want commercial managers of education. You seem to believe in the philosophy of capitalism and private enterprise in education, and I do not. You do not want any textbooks to be prepared by the society, by the Government, by the nation as such but only privately done. I believe, and I think, many other colleagues believe that the teaching materials must broadly subserve the national ideology enunciated in the preamble to the Constitution—socialism, democracy secularism. How are you going to ensure this unless there are some broad teaching materials subserving these ends and prepared by the society, prepared by the Government and prepared by the country to be used in the country? And also, how are you going to promote national integration unless you give attention to this aspect? We know that in the past, .communal poison was spread through our educational institutions. I have heard myself many examples given in

this House as to how communal poison was spread through our school curriculum some five or ten years ago. And today—it is not a party thing for me,

it is a matter of convictions-again I feel that the communal obscurantist ideology of the RSS is infiltrating into-our educational institutions, from the schools to the colleges and to the universities. My friend here mentioned one college the Rajdhani College. I do not want to take the names. But the fact remains that today they are infiltrating, they are trying to entrench themselves. I have nothing against the people individually. I am talking of the communalist, obscurantist ideology, and that is taking hold of our education and our educational institutions. That is why, we have stood for education being in the Concurrent List. It is not a question of dogma. We must ensure that the content of education is secular, democratic and socialist. And also, there is another point. You are making these national policies on education. And who is to ensure that they are being implemented? When the subject is in the Concurrent List, it does not mean that it is only a Central subject. It will only mean that the States implement the schemes, but the Centre has also the right to ensure, to over-see that they are being implemented. (Time bell rings).

Finally, Sir, I will make one or two points. One is the question of Vocationalisation. I do not want to enter into the controversy of 10 plus 2 plus 3 or 8 plus 4 plus 3 or whatever you like. I think, I will ask the hon. Minister t_0 clarify the position. I am getting more and more confused as to what really is the policy. I do not want to enter into it. But it has been accepted that there shall be an attempt an effort, a massive effort at Vocationalisation of education in between 10 and 12 classes. It is on that that I would like to mention just one or two/ points.

Sir, I am speaking from experience. If you want to succeed in that, do not" split those who work with the hand? and those wh_0 work with their iminds. What I am saying js, let there be art

[Dr. V. P. Dutt]

opportunity to move from one into the other. Let not an inferiority complex be given to those wh₀ go in for skilled •training. Let them not be condemned, as second class citizens. Let them have an opportunity also if they demonstrate merit, to go to colleges and universities. (Time Bell rings). This way, if we say that this is the terminal jstage, if we say that those who are not good enough to go into the educational stream, will gc into the vocational stream, we will be inviting disaster for vocationalisstion. That is why it has not made progress during the last one year or so. I say, therefore, that the skill-based education should not be isolated and divorced from the knowledge-based education and that the relationship between education and labour work, productivity, skilled training, should go together all along in one form or other, from the beginning to the highest university education. Of course, thera will be some who will go only for one or the other form, but let us provide for flexibility so that the scheme does not come to grief. This is a good scheme. Let it not come to grief for fear that if we go in for vocational education, it is good for nothing, and we will be considered as second class citizens. Sir, I do not want to speak on higher education because the time is not there.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI - SHYAM LAL YADAV) : Please conclude now.

DR. V. P. DUTT: I hope that there will be a_n opportunity, when we discuss the University Grants Commission Report, to speak on higher education.

Sir, here 1 would just like to make one small comment about it. The University Grants Commission has produced an excellent report on the deve- | lopment of higher education in India, a policy trend. We should seriously consider this report and subsequently discuss tt. Sir, 1 would like to com- '-mend the University Grants Commis-

sion, it_s Chairman, Prof. Satish Chandra, other office-bearers as well as the members of the Commission, for the work that they have done. Therefore, I would only like to point out the problem pinpointed by them, and that is that this system has become a gigantic monolith, very difficult to move or change...

SOME HON. MEMBERS: At what Page is it mentioned?

DR. V. P. DUTT: _____ and in spite of it_s achievements, which are by no means inconsiderable, it has proved itself to be inadequate to meet our national needs and aspirations. The system maintains a set of double standards. A small minority of educational institutions at all levels is of good quality and compares favourably with those in the developed countries. But this core of good institutions is surrounded by a large number of institutions where although there is open door access the standards have deteriorated.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): Please con clude now.

DR. V. P. DUTT: I am concluding, Sir. These are the aspects that have to be given attention and I would hke to emphasise what we have been say--ing, what every friend of mine here has said, namely, that our educational system should not be by the elite, for the elite and of the elite only, and that, at the same time, we should not get into fanaticism, whether of the language type, whether of the ritual or education type or whether of the methods .Of education. We should not get into any kind of fanaticism but we have to make a national effort to make our education relevant and labour oriented. For this, I would suggest that the hon. Minister of Education consider calling-* meetings various levels of the at various political parties, of academicians and of others, for making such a national effort fo change, or for arriv-

254

ing at, at least, the minimum consensus, and also at the State level, where it is most important, and also at the grassroots level, where the community must be involved_i where all the leaders of the community must be involved. OTime Bell Rings.)' Let that be done. I would, once again, like to make my humble submission to the hon. Minister any say that let that be done in order to change and overhaul the educational system of India.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): Mr. Chanana.

PROF. RASHEEDUDDIN **KHAN** (Nominated): One minute, Bir. Vice-Chairman. I had submitted to the Chairman the day before yesterday that somehow it has become the practice that the nominated Members, who remain unattached, are only called at the fag end of the debate. I have submitted it for his consideration. While I appreciate the role of the parties in this system, the entire purpose of functional representation by persons like me is not worth its attention if we get the opportunity by 7 or 7.30, at the time when the House is exhausted and when the Minister is overwhelmed by the rich ideas and the wise words thrown from there. Therefore, as an act of protest, I would like to walk cut of the House for the first time, because I have spoken to the Chairman, I spoke to the Deputy Chairman and 1 wrote to the Secretary-General also and I find that no attention is being paid to our legitimate right. If our voices are not worthy of being heard, . (Interruptions).

DR. V. P. DUTT: May I make a suggestion? Actually, if I had known, I would have given my time to Prof. •Rasheedudtiin Khan. Therefore, I would request you to call Prof. Ra-sheeduddin Khan earlier and I would request Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan not to carry out his walk-out. In fact, I would have gladly given my time to him.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): I think Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan ;»ets time every time. On the External Affairs debate also, he got the time. What can be said about it? The parties are there; they have got their own time. We have to go by the party-line. How can separate time be given? He will get his time also. One person from 'Others' has been called already.

PROF. RASHEEDUDDIN KHAN: I have submitted that while I respect the parties' role-and I am writing a long note-I think after the first round of the parties is over, you should show indulgence to those who speak very rarely and speak either on educational or foreign policy or on problems of national importance. I never take part in the Question Hour; I have never given my name for the Calling Attention, because I think that my role is very limited and specific. And when that specific role is over-ruled, what is the purpose of my staying on for hours? I am not interested to hear my own voice if you think that my voice is not worthy of bein? heard by clhers. ..

(Interruptions).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): One Member from 'Others' has already spoken in the first round and we are now taking the second round.

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI: (UttarPradesh): Sir, on a point of order. And that is, as has been made out by hon. Prof Rasheeduddin Khan. I think it is ridiculous $\ n$. haye an educationist and an expous on external affairs of the ftature of Prof ^isheeduddin Khan to....

(Interruptions).

VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): But this vou see. i have already said that ont person from 'Others' has spoken.... (Interruvtions).

DEVENDRA DWI-SHRI NATH VEDI: I am referring to the manner in which the Chair and the Secretariat prepare the list. I am only referring to that. My request is, once the first is exhausted, round of the speakers immediately, he should be also

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): Let me explain; perhaps, you are not aware as to how many persons have already spoken. We started with Congress, then Congress I, Janata, CPI, ADMK and one from amongst the 'Others and these persons have already spoken. What can be done?

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWI-VEDI: Who-so-ever is responsible, I feel Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan is oeing wronged and we strongly protest against thg manner in which he is being called at the fag end.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): That can be discussed with the Chair and we can arrive at an agreement.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, we have been discussing this thing. I agree with him and I agree with Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan also very much. In such matters, Sir, you have to follow your own rule. Sir, the practice in the past has been, especially when the subjects like this, science, education, culture, etc., are discussed, those who are particularly conversant with these subjects-and in fact, they come to the Rajya Sabha nominated on the ground of being connected with themshould .get priority. Sir, I do not mind

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YAPAV). They got the chance.

SHRI BHUP^?H GUPTA: I think one round should go to the parties....

THE VIC¡E-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): There is no denying.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Then an Independent Member should be called. As far as I am concerned, in such matters I am in favour of them even if you like that some of the parties may come later. Let us hear Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): Everyone has spoken...

SHRI BHOLA PASWAN SHASTRI (Bihar): Sir_

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHR1 SHYAM LAL YADAV): Please.

श्री भोला पासचान शास्त्री (विहार): समय बहुत कम है लेकिन जो मन में बात ग्राती है उसको मैं कहना चाहता हूं। माननीय सदस्य प्रो॰ रशीदुद्दीन खान की जो भाधना है मैं उसको महसूस करता हुं। इसलिए कि: मैं भी 6 वर्ष से इस सदन का सदस्य हंग्रीर जब से ग्राया हं उन के भाषण को सुनने का मौका मिला। वह विशेषज्ञ है ग्रौर खास ही मौकों पर वह बोलते हैं। यह ठीक है कि पार्टी को देख कर टाइम मिलता है और इसरी पार्टी के मैम्बर ज्याहा है लेकिन उनकी सेवाइम सदन की मार्फत देश को मिलनी भाहिए। भैं ग्रापको नही कहता है कि ग्रापने उनको अकोमोडेट नहीं किया और न मुझे किसी से शिकायत है। मैं इसको महसूस करता हं। प्रो: रशीदहीन खान जो यहां आए हैं उनकी सेवा का देश की मौका मिलना चाहिए। उनके विचार देश को मिलने चाहिए और सरा-बर मिलते भी रहे हैं। उन्होंने चेयरमैन साहब से जाकर कहा, निवेदन किया लेकिन सकोमो-डैट नहीं हो सके। जो बीत गया सो बीत गया। मैं यह महसूस करता हूं भीर भ्रापसे यह निवेदन करता हं कि उन के बहुमूल्य मुझाव हम

को मिलने चाहिएं । जैसी उनकी फ्रीलग है इस से हमें दुख हुआ है । उनको भ्रवण्य मौका मिलना चाहिए ।

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWI-VEDI: You should revise your decision.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): He will get his chance.

श्री कमलापति त्रिपाठी ः सदन की राय है कि प्रो० रक्षीदुद्दीन खान को बोलने दिया जाए।

DR. SARUP SINGH (Haryana)'-. Sir, Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan may be given a chance. I withdraw my name.

(Interruptions).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): I have called Mr. Chanana. After him, I will call Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan. Other parties will suffer. Dr. Sarup singh has withdrawn his name. ('Interruptions') Members should not be so much sensitive on this. I would request the leaders of all parties to discuss the whole matter of allotting time to different parties with the Chairman and the Secretariat. They prepare the list. I have to go by the list which is prepared here. One or two Members are always accommodated.

SHRI CHARANJIT CHANANA (Delhi): Sir 1 shall comment only on a part of the process started by the Janata Government ever since thoy came to power; in fact, right from the day when they released their election manifesto which was full of slogans. I am touching only a part of it. In this process of undoing all that was done in the past, they should give exemption to a few subjects which are very delicate subjects like foreign affairs, as I had submitted the other day in this House. Perhaps, education is a more important subject which should be given exemption, not only exemption, but it should also be given national attention; it needs the national attention, of people who are living today. I

1039 RS-9.

am purposely saying 'people living today'. When I am saying people, I am including in this particular category, the policy-makers, the educationists and the experts. They have one accusation on them today. Everybody is saying that the problem today is the generation gap. Now, why and how this generation gap came into existence? How is it being felt by the next generation, the children who ara ten years ahead of us? They also feel that we do not live today, but that wa live in yesterday.

My hon, friend was talking of the system of education which our elders had, when they did not have any books at all. They read without books. They became educated without degrees. That was a wonderful system. $W_{\rm e}$ did not call them 'educated'. But they were more than that. Their outlook was very rich. Now, you have a system which is a different one. In fact, you were born in a system which was an imported one. We have to get out of it. This will not be a simple exercise.

Now, Sir, before I go on, I would like to start with a few presumptions and I would like my friends to bear this in mind whenever they make such statements. Mahatma Gandhi is the Father of the Nation. Besides that, he was a great philosopher. One very important thing which he said was that he should not be quoted in future. He said 'Don't quote me in *uture; quoting me in future in words would be misquoting me. He might have said one thing in one situation and another in different situation. Therefore, when one is quoting Gandhiji and when one is trying to say that the system followed by the previous Government was in contradiction with tha Gandhian philosophy, he is absolutely wrong, howsoever highly placed an educationist he is.

Now education policy in a society must be oriented in its social, cultural and philosophic outlook for

[Shri Charanjit Chanana.]

259

. tomorrows to come. While we live today, we learn from yesterday, but we build infrastructure for tomorrows to come. Otherwise, the next generation ' "will not pardon us at all; that is the =- very important thing.

Sir, a wonderful Committee that the hon. Education Minister created . as the President of the NCERT was the Patel Committee to review the curriculum for the 10-year school. Now I would give a preamble to this report, which the Members must Anow, that this Committee was created at a time-in fact, I wrote an article and it was published in ctalily newspapers—when promises and counter promises were made by the Ministers, statements and contradictions were made by the Ministers and even by the Prime Minister. In the field of education I can give you a list, a statistical table, where the hon. Education Minister has made one statement and the hon. Prime Minister has contradicted it. And this is a change that we see in the newspapers and all oi you would agree with me on this, and that is a very horrifying phenomenon created by this.

The other day I made a submission in this House and the hon. Education Minister was kind enough to have given it a jargon of beautiful English vocabulary. I wish that that beautiful jargon could evolve a system, but unfortunately, that does not evolve a system. My submission that day was that you have already created a confusion. I would, therefore, like to request that you have an opinion poll conducted on a scientific basis, involving educationists, teachers, parents and children, because all these plus many more people are partners in the system that you are trying to evolve.

I started with this Committee. In Geometry we used to prove theorems. We were given a theorem and similarly, a theorem was given to this Committee, it appears, that they

h&ve to prove that the system evolved by the NCERT was not good. Had they restricted themselves to the syllabi, it was all right, but the t^rms of reference of the Committee Were wider. On page 2 of this Com-' niittee's Report it is said:

Education Policy

"In the opinion of the Committee the terms of reference were wide enough not only to permit a review of the objectives and scheme of . studies set out in the document. 'The curriculum for the Ten-Year School' but also to identify the principles for formulating a new scheme."

So, this Committee was almost made a big commission. Fortunately, the Committee starts with a very good paragraph which only is to enlighten the people who want to understand the exercise which was undergone by the system, ignoring the first para. The first para says: The recommendations of the Education Commission—i.e. the Kothari Commission 1964—66—this is very important-worked for a period of two years whereas this Committee which Claim to convert itself into a commission worked only for a few months and produced this report, including the time consumed in its printing were considered by the Government of India and the Resolution on the National Policy on Education was adopted after consulting both the Houses of Parliament in 1968. Now after the National Resolution of Education was adopted the first part of the implementation was initiated at that time it-Self. Now I would like to draw the kind attention of the House as tilso the Members who talk of the rural education being ignored etc., that this particular commission—the hon. Education Minister would know it better than meconsisted of 12 task forces and 7 working groups. See the composition of each task force.

I would just like to give headings of th; task forces which are very $_9$ important in the discussion here because that would only give you the coverage of the commission.

No. 1 is the task force on adult education. No. 2 is the task force on agricultural education. No. 3 is the task force on educational administration. No. 4 is the task force on educational finance. No. 5 is the task iorce on higher education. No. 6 is the task force on manpower. No. "7 is the task force on techniques and methods in education. No. 9 is the task force On science education. No. 10 is the task force on school education.

These are the task forces, "and then "you have got seven working groups «on these. This is not a simple exercise. This is a Commission which •consisted of top educationists in the country, the policymakers, the aca-demisians and applied scientists. Therefore, to have ignored this hy just creating a theorem for a Review Committee is not a proper thing at all.

My second point is this. I would only like to draw the kind attention 'Of the House to the magnitude of the subject with which you are dealing. According to the population figures of 1971, the school education system covers the age group from 6 to 19. I am not trying to create that equation. I will talk about that when I come to 10 plus 2 plus 2 or 3. In 1971, out of 54.71 crores, the 6-19 age-group, which is your ultimate aim to be educated-I am talking of the whole thing in terms of your slogans about education—is 19.56 crores. In 1976, the estimates say that out of 60.19 crores total population, 22.27 crores lie in 6— 19 age group. In 1981, out of 67.20 crores, this would be touching 25 crores. The estimates are 24.44 crores, but then these keep on revising. In 1981, the figures, as they stand today, would be 24.44 crores.

Now the reason why I am resorting to these statistics is that you have to deal with the future of 25 crores of the children of this country. This is not a small thing. That is the population which touches the population of the United States of America. The process having been already evolved by the Commission— which you are still trying to play with; it has not yet been finalised- I would say that this is a very big call to you. You are a great nationalist also and you are a great educationist also. My only appeal to you is, if you think of covering the children, who would be in the age-group 6 to 19 in the year 1981—1 am riot wanting you to go far off these will be 25 crores. You have to decide how much of this population would be imparted education and what type of education you are to impart to them. The second important thing is what would be the product out of this education.

My hon, friend, Dr. V. P. Dutt, has just talked of the NSS figures which are old figures. I would like to give you the latest NSS figures. The 27th round of the NSS puts the unemployment figure at 40 million. He talked of 19 million. You can see the difference between the last round and this round. It is almost hundred per cent increase. What is going to happen in future? I will give you a break-up of the age group of the population between 6 and 19 who would be coming forth for employment In 1981, you will have to think of employment for 7.33 crores. Now if you keep both these things in view-this is my submission to you-and try to see the magnitude of the whole problem, you will realise that such a problem should not be dealt with at all at a casual level because that, you would r-gree with me, would be a national crime. I would hke to say as a student of economics that a new mould given by the Patel Committee has

[Shri Charanjit Chanana] already extended the structure of education. I am now talking of the economics of the system that you have already initiated. A new mould given by the Patel Committee has extended the structure of education because now you ihave created a wonderful distinction between the structure and the content. You are now putting more stress. The new method given by the Patel Committee extended the structure of the education system to the 26 States and Union Territories. I would only like to tell you one thing that Keraia was the first State in 1967-68, immediately after the National Resolution was accepted, to have adopted this system. West Bengal, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu accepted the whole system in 1974 although Tamil Nadu has now adopted the curriculum which has been given by the N.CIE.T. Karnataka and Andhra have already adopted the system. This is besides those within that group of 26 States and Union Territories. I would like you to feed the imagination of all of us as to what is going to happen to the system which started in 1968. What would it cost if you put the whole system in this reverse gear? The system was, in fact, introduced on 1-5-75 by the N.C.E.R.T. The expenditure incurred on evolving the system, the effort put in, the experiment about the cost borne by the children are very important. I am not talking about the budgetary provision in terms of money spent by the State Governments and the Central Government. I am talking of_ the tremendous cost "which is to be evaluated in terms of impact on the children when you reverse the whole thing. My basic qu-astion to the law-makers, the policy-makers and the implementors of the policy, the educationists, the experts is that they have the responsibility because they are acting as the custodians of the future of today's children and, as such, do they have the right to feed them with

their personal prejudices and predilections? Do they have the authority?¹ These are the issues. Can they poli-^ ticise the system of education?

I agree with the hon'ble friends: who have raised the issue that the system of education or the management of the institutions is being politicised in a very big way. These political forces are trying to create national networks wherefrom they would be able to generate their political systems in the educational institutions. 1 am reminded of one of my great teachers that the object of education is to generate and create such students as have the power, as have the capacity to discriminate the right from the wrong. If you have already biased the whole system and if you are going to feed the system with political fuel, then you can imagine what you are going to havewhether you are going to have a democratic State or a theocratic State or a State which would be hued in whatever colour, whatever input you put into it. That input would decide whether it should be 8 plus 4 or 10 plus 2 plus

The system of education in our country has also had one richness. I have to remind the hon'ble Minister and the Members that we were told-by our elders to respect our teachers as Guru Pita. That is what we are trying to do for the simple reason that there is confusion. What is happening today? There is cleavage between the teacher, the parents and the child. By generating new confusion what are you doing? In the earlier system they were meeting the teachers and the students. They would discuss the whole system. I would give you the example of a man who headed the of 6 P.M. 'mathematicians. He is a person who happens to be a mathematician, a professor in an American university. This man

not agree with the American system, or the system, of modern mathematics, 4he way America has evolved it. He also did not agree with the Soviet system as the Soviet mathematicians had evolved it. Now you would agree with me that this is one science in which our country is very rich with experts and academicians. This is the country where the birth of the concept of zero had taken place. But zero should not be equated with nothingness. It is a mathematical concept. That is supplemented by one particular fact, as many hon. friends said here, that Indian mathematicians, Indian physicists and Indian scientists abroad-I am talking of Indians in advanced countries—are having status which has won them Nobel Prizes and other international prizes. Now you have to evolve a system for 25 crores of people where you produce not two or three Nobel Prize winners but you will have to think of starting with 25 Nobel Prize winners or more than that. If you have to do that, you will have to be very serious about it and you should not at all .be casual about it, just by appointing a committee which will give you a product that you want. (Time.btll rung.)

Discussion on

The social, political and economic of the country infrastructure demands from the futurologists in the country the evolution of a system. This is a very important challenge which I am throwing to vou. You will have to evolve a system where the children of the Scheduled Cas-Scheduled Tribes tes. and backward classes are educated and brought up in an environment, in a system, so that by the time they are grilled out of the system of education they are the top citizens of the country and they are as brilliant sociologists, scientists and experts including, course, politicians, as any other. I am not depriving these lower castes opportunity to produce politicians also.

SHRI K. K. MADHAVAN: You have said "lower castes". There is no lower caste or higher caste here.

SHRI CHARANJIT CHANANA: am sorry, I did not moan it. criterion should be an optimum the country. We would tem for like you to take it as a challenge accept it as a challenge so that system of education would which would not like a class to classed as Scheduled Castes and Why should the child on. of Scheduled always Caste remain The level? the same educa tional system should be such as priority would give top the Castes, Tribes Scheduled Scheduled and other backward classes in differ ent parts of the country and to start, with, first priority should be given to convert them as top citizens. Not should they be given schoolgoing facilities. My suggestion to you that these children should have is boarding schools. They should only be provided with teachers, books and other facilities but they should also have environment. The system of education should be able create to an environment which we used to have Ions ago in the ancient ashrams of oui s where the ch Ur en used to be sent away Ior education. My first schooling was in an r shram where I was sent away from home. The institution of ashram was created for the simple reason that there should be no difference between the child of a rich man and the child of a poor man, where they were only students and where the guardian of the students used to be the teacher, the guru. The guru used to enjoy the status of a father to them.

My challenge and my submission to the Janata Government is that if at all they want to do a thing like that, they should evolve a system which would give top priority for giving education to the Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and other backward classes.

Thank you, Sir.

PROF. RASHEEDUDDIN KHAN: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, let me start first by mentioning that what I submitted for your consideration was no reflection on my friendship towards you. As a matter of fact Sir, I feel very much overwhelmed by ths spontaneous response which I have received from the Leader of the Opposition, Shri Kamlapati Tripathi, the leaders of the Congress Party, shri Bhola Paswan Shastrl, the leader of the Communist Party, Shri Bhupesh Gupta Dr. Sarup Singh of the Janata Party, my friends, Dr. V. P. Dutt, Mr. Dwivedi, Union Minister of Education, Shrimati Barkataki and my friend, Mr. Sinha, Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, indeed from all quarters because I was submitting about a role which I think has some relevance to the provision for representation of functional groups and functional interests, and I wiH have occasion to submit it a little later. The hour is late and I would not like to go into the many aspects of the educational policy notes on which I was trying to make this forenoon; I shall restrict to only a couple of its aspects.

I can do no better than begin by appropriately reverting the attention of the House to the Independence Day broadcasting message of the President Sanjiva Reddy. He said many things. I quote.

"The country's political system can function only within the framework of accepted norms."

"There is need for an ennobling vision oi a resurgent India, imbued

with a crusading spirit and an ex-hilirating sense x>i participation in acommon effort".

"A credible gap should not be -al-lowed to develop between the massof our people and those jn position of authority."

He has given voice to the sentiments of- his compatriots in this rega'd. Therefore, I approach the problem of educational policy with certain trepidation, with a certain sense of seriousness, especially because we are talking of the educational policy of the second i most populated country in the world, '

the largest country of the non-aligned movement, and a country which has committed itself to the building of an new educational policy. Therefore, I submit that like the foreign policy, the educational policy, in all fairness, ought to be a policy of national consensus, at least in its broad perspective and the larger strategy of implementation. The policy should not get vitiated merely by the Government or shift in change of administration. In fact, I submit that during the last 30 years, one of the achievements is, if one can say within modest limits that the foreign policy and the educational policy have by and large remained the-policies of national consensus, and this' ^ was taken as axiomatic. There had been a universal commitment to the values of democratisation of politics,, secularisation of society, socialisation or at least increasing social control of the economy and the means of production, and I will add inculcation of the values of composite culture in order to comingle the many streams of regional and linguistic diversities into an expanding mighty river of national ever sustenance that would not dry up the myriad streams that voluntarily, inevitably regularly flow into its confluence, but in addition expand as a consequence of this. also-sought to reconcile our rich We had socio-cul-tural heritage with the vital elements of global techno-scientifle culture and the temper of enquiry, rationalism and,, humanism, in order to become effective" components of the growing and interdependent world famify of man.

We thought that the magesty of our system and the vitality of our democratic experience especialy in education would not be tampered with merely by the change of Government. Since the challenges remain more or less the same, the instruments of implementation also ramain the same and the general direction of Parliamentary control and vigilance is in tact, hopes were raised that the Janata Party would not depart from the path of national consensus, but I am sorry to say that when one examines very carefully the shifts and turns, the withdrawals and marking times, the hesi-

tations and capitulations, the inertia | and non-action in the working of the j Union Education Ministry as exhibited j 'in the past 16 months, one gets al- 1 most possessed by a sense of disquiet and despair, no less than by a mood of indignation and anger.

Discussion on

Sir, those who ?ay that they are happy under all circumstances and satisfied in every situation they face, are, I subimt modestly, either sublime hypocrites or ridiculously insensitive characters. I confess that when one looks at the future of the country and the prospect of national development, with which everyone is concerned, even elementary patriotism should make one alert and vigilant. Sometimes, therefore, one feels very concerned and angry.

My basic submission in all seriousness for the consideration of the Minister and the Ministry is that the Janata Government's Education Policy has generated confusion. cynicism and demoralisation in the ranks of the teachers and the taught as well as in a large cross-section of our citizenry, by contradictory statements, needless and, above all, by sideexperimentation tracking the national consensus en the goal and of education. While the Congress Government used to drift and drift tragically in matters of education, the Janata Government, it appears to me, is almost out to wreck the very edifice of national education and culture which has been assiduously built over the last thirty years. I am pretty sure that the Education Minister would be startled by this statement—and in his unenviable innocence and becoming naivety might even feeT offended. Nothing farther for me than to offend persons, certainly not a person whose patriotism and are as manifest as those liberal outlook of Dr. Chundef. But let him and others in the Ministry realise that some of us" who have honestly and " patiently watched the working of the Ministry for a year and a half, without so much as openly criticising in public, have now reached a stage where

silence wou'Td be tantamount to conni vance and repugnant to the very ethos and expectations of a parliamentary system. Therefore, I submit for your consideration some aspects.

Education Policy

Sir, it is a fact that when we talfi: of policy process, we talk of at least six components. Firstly we talk of identification of goals and objectives. Secondly, we talk of formulation of a National Perspective for policy perception and policy articulation. Thirdly, concretisation of proposals, steps moda-* lities for each of Fourthly, component policy. implementation. Fifthly/, monitoring of implementation process for rectification or modification of the direction and pace. Lastly, performance evaluation at periodic intervals. I do not have time at the moment to go into each of these components. But for considering the performance of the Ministry, one can judge on all these counts as to how it has worked. There is neither time nor occasion at the moment to dilate on this theme.

Briefly, let me say that the Education Ministry is at least ambivalent and, therefore, confused and is confusing others about the goals and objec-. tives and the national perspective. On the on, hand, we have an excellent document prepared the UGC in February 1978—mention alre?dy been made about it by my friend Dr. V. P. Dutt-entitled "Higher Education in India-A Policy Frame": and, on the other hand, we have a whole host of pronouncements and speeches by the hon. Education Minister and administrative arrangements made by the Ministry which are reported in the press. There is I submit, an apparent conflict of position between the two. In fact, it is nothing new in this country that the printed documents are prepared by experts and well-meaning bureaucrats, and the policy pronouncements are made by active politicians, and a careful content analysis would reveal a deviation between the two.

£Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan]

Apart from divergence in goals and perspectives it is at the implementa tion Ievel, I submit, that—this is a important level—the areas of change and compromise become evi dent. Let me give a single example. The UGC document rightly emphasises the three weakness of our system, namely, dominance of the models and value system adopted during the colo nial regime; secondly, maintenance of a set of double standards one for the affluent few and the other for the miserable millions; thirdly, the proportionate benefits of education accuring to the upper-middle classes to the neglect of backward classes. Therefore, the document very rightly suggests a drastic overhaul through the introduction of "a modern scientific outlook" by promoting "ethical values and human welfare enshrined by science and technology and promotion of rational outlook, a scientific outlook", etc. etc. In this spirit, among other things, adult education is to receive high priority. The document says that the programme of adult education should strive to make all adults of age group 211-35 functionally literate, and lay the greatest emphasis on the non-formal education of the youth (age group 15-21); a massive programme of motivating adults and enthusing and training voluntary workers will have to be developed. All this is laudable. All this is welktaken and bright. But Vfien what happens? What happens is that the RSS is invited in a big way to permeate the entire programme of adult education. Let me add, as a democrat I have no objection to RSS •working there. I think the vision and strength of India will fight all negative forces. I have friends among the RSS. Among the RSS I have Bhan-daris, J have Vajpayees, Advanis, Sinhas, etc. But the fact remains that when you invite the RSS in a big way, you are inviting agencies whose value orientation, whose major premises of national development, whose entire approach to politics, are too well known to scrutiny or any deeper

analysis. And what is interesting is that here I have the ORGANISER' dated March 12, 1978 in which it is said; In the meeting of the Akhil Bharatiya Pratinidhi Sabha of the RSS which met between March 2 and 5, 1978 which incidentally is the highest poJicy-making body, they have adopted a resolution "The ABPS accepted —I underline this word—the Union Education Ministry's invitation—1 would like to underline these words!— to help educate 10 crore illiterates in the 15—35 age group and called upon swayamsevaks to extend active cooperation to make the scheme a complete success." It was noted that out of these 10 crores in this age group as many as 6 crores came in the Hindi area. Firstly, before examining even the nature of the RSS, particularly in terms of their value orientation, I would like to ask: Did the Education Minister invite the RSS? If so, would he kindly lay on the Table of the House the letter or the communication or the transcript of his oral invitation or whatever is relevant? Did ne invite other organisations also and what are those and when? The 'ABPS says it accepted the Union Education Minister's invitation. What is the text of the letter of acceptance and what are the grind pro quo involved? Since the ORGANISER' says that there are 10 crore illiterates in the country, and of these about 6 crores are in Hindi area and assuming that at least the RSS will be interested in the education of the 6 crore illiterates in the Hindi area, if we calculate at the rate of 10 paise per head, for six crores the amount comes to Rs. 1 crore per annum. Is it the intention of the Education Ministry in order to help an organisation which it has invited to subsidise it in order to fight illiteracy in India? These are the problems. I am again speaking in a non-partisan manner. I have never spoken about the RSS earlier. But I would like to submit that the values of our national life and the consensus thereon are very clear, namely rationalism, secularism, democracy and if not socialism at least commitment to egalirananism, that is,

of alj as equals. I would like to treatment know whether the Education 'Ministry has ! ^.subscribe examined whether RSS values. I would welcome RSS's interest in change-changing even the Imam of Jama Masjid, Bokhari. Indianisation should take place in the process of development of RSS. I would like RSS to be indianis-ed. But by that I do not mean they i should stop with the ancient age or stop even with the medieval age. I would like them to become the inheritors of the entire civilisation and culture of India together with the rich medieval culture and together with the scientific temperament of this period. In other words, ,1 would like them to forget their past and become the authentic rational Indians. If su, RSS is welcome. This aspect is important because I understood that Shrimati Subhadra Joshi, the President of the Congress Committee here wrote to the Education Minister some back. Since I have been associated with an organisation called Educators for Socialism, Secularism and Democracy, I am concerned to know the Education Minister's views in this regard. Is it necessary to recapitulate in the House the entire ethos of the RSS? I do not think so.

Discussion o₍₁₎

Let me now go to another point. What is the policy towards secondary and higher education? If you have a look at the policy document, certain approach emerges. But the fact remains that the entire experimentation of what is known as playing;—with figures such a_s 10+2+3 which has now become 8 + 2+2+3 is all leading to tremendous strain on the academic administrators on the one hand and a large bulk of our young people on the other. Has the Education Ministry worked out the implications of the inclusion of higher education in the Concurrent List? What is the implication of shifting higher Education from the State List to the ^ Concurrent List? What is the policy? Is.it a fact that attempt is being made even at this level to resurrect unreason, parochial outlook. communal

orientation and even obscurantism by allowing people belonging to Parties, who all the time advocate all these, to play a very big role in the field of education? For instance, some-times reports come from Rajasthan that about 60,000 teachers at the primary and secondary school levels have been inducted having close association with , the RSS. Is it a fact? J would like the Education Minister to clarify this. I would like to find out whether the withdrawal' of text books of the NCERT

Education Policy

अं प्रेम मनोहर: ग्रापको हर चीज में ग्रार० एम० एस० दिखाई दे रहा है। यह कब से ग्रापको दिखाई देने लगा है।

(Interruptions') **SHRI** KALPNATH RAI: Stop. Mr. Deputy Chairman, we want to hear him.

PROF RASHEEDUDDIN KHAN: My friend's agitation is very well founded. Had I been in the RSS I would have been offended to hear all these. Let my small voice of reason be heard inside the House. I would like to sit down and talk to him later. I think the way the NCERT books have been withdrawn under the surreptitious attempt of anonymous letter emnating from Prime Minister's Secretariat, to put it mildly, is extraordinary. After all the Education Minister is expected to examine the entire episode. He belongs to the undivided Congress Party. He and his illustrious forebears have played a very important role in the national movement I am aware of it. When you withdrew the text books, did you examine what happened? The vacuum created by the withdrawal of these textbooks would be filled by academically focussed, cheap unsound. wrongly textbooks, which would be coming into the process of cheap the market through commercial competition in different parts of the country. So, what was the reason for the abolition of the Boards in the different subjects? Have you been able to appoint an alternative Board of Professors? understand that the

[Prof. Rasheeduddin Khan.],

policy-orientation of the Government approves a certain type of people and it does not approve oi a certain type of people. Probably, some of us would not b'e interested to work in such an atmosphere. But has an alternative Board 'of eminent historians and political scientists and sociologists been appointed? This is what one would like to ask. On the one hand, respect for the autonomy of the university is being mentioned day in and day out and, on the other, an attempt is being made to uproot and encroach on ihe autonomy of the university. I am very much hesitating to talk about the Jawaharlal Nehru University. First of all, I belong to the Jawaharlal Nehru University and I have been there since its inception and it wiH appear as if I am offering an apologia on behalf of the Jawaharlal Nehru University. Nothing of that sort. The Jawaharlal Nehru University and its distinguished faculties are capable of taking care of themselves in any public debate. That is not the point. But one-thing I was trying to say. The manner in which the inquiry was conducted into the charges and the manner which it has been advertised are all very alarming. Firstly, I was a Member of the Executive which went to see the Chancellor and the Chancellor made it very clear the inquiry was being conducted within the framework of the University's autonomy and he ! mentioned: "I as the Chancellor am doing it.". Strictly speaking, in terms of the Act, my impression is that except for the Visitor, nobody is entitled to It may be internal institute an inquiry. inquiry by the University body. For example, Executive Ccuncil of the University could the institute an inquiry and thg Chancellor can conduct an internal inquiry. University has paid for the services 1 of a retired ICS officer to help in the examination of material. . . (Time bell rings).. .and it certain was expected that the Chancellor of the University, working within the framework of the University Act, would send a report | to University body. But what i

happens is that suddenly the distinction, a very distinction, very subtle distinction, vital between the Chancellor and the Minister gets-^s evaporated. The Chancellor acts like the Prime Minister and sends the Re-1 port not to the Jawaharlal Nehru 'University, but sends the Report to the Education Minister. The Prime" Minister is within his jurisdiction to 'conduct an inquiry and inform thee Education Ministry. I am not s>peak-*i, ing about that and I am not disputing ' that. But, if he was conducting the 'inquiry as the Chancellor, then, I think, propriety demands that the Report should have first the' University body and a copy, come to even an' advance copy, of the Report could have been concurrently sent to the Ministry instead of its being straight to the Ministry first. Nothing of that sort was done. While you " are to send it to the University body, • you have not sent it and the Execu- 'tive Council has met earlier and we -have got the meeting adjourned to the" 28th August and we just do not know what is happening.

SHRI YOGENDRA SHARMA: Was the inquiry conducted through a Deputy Secretary of the Transport Ministry or the Tourism Ministry?

PROF. RASHEEDUDDIN KHAN: I" do not distinguished person's what the background was. But one thing I must tell and that is that he is a retired civil servant and the University was asked to pay for his services and the University, because it was an internal inquiry, agreed to pay. All these inquiries be paid for. But the fact is that the Report is still to come and has not come yet. In half-a-sheet of paper it ' is there in which 13 at-random com-palints have been mentioned and a few sentences are there in the form of findings of the Chancellor supposed have been communicated, but which does not give any indication "s-except of the interference of the Chancellor even in process pf'selection. After all, there was а

regular Selection Committee of the University, a Committee of external -experts, and these Selection Committees are supposed to examine the candidates and come to certain conclusions. Nobody in the Selection Committee comes to any conclusion on the basis ol any unidimensional screening of the bio-data or of the published papers which you are supposed to have published. Appointments are made only on the basis of curriculum vitae. The Selection Committee did nothing. Now anybody in the Department can say that he has got a First Class with 65.5 and another 64.5 and. therefore, he has got one per cent more and he should be appointed. That should not be the approach. The selection should be made on the basis of a complete organic assessment. I would only like fo say about the manner in which it was leaked out in the papers. As a matter of fact, the "Times of India" report gives much more information. It says that "as a fraternal gesture, the teachers of *he Delhi University have sympathised with us on which depends much and that the Jawaharlal Nehru University has become the preserve of the leftist ideologies". Now, it is a*" fact that in our University, we have eminent scholars who pretend at least formally to be Marxists and some of whom pretended to be Marxists of one brand or the other. There are others who have been inspired by the historical analysis of Marx, who have been inspired by what is called left ideology. But it is also a fact that there are people who neither subscribe to the Marxist school of thought or others. Some of them probably are neither Marxists nor anti-Marxists. There is a happy inter-connection of different groups. I would like some of you, Sir, to come and visit us, without informing us, and sit-down in the class rooms and see how lectures are given and how things are done. No bell rings in our university, no attendance is marked in our university.... (Time bell rings). This is a University where the 'free press' enioys vicarious pleasure in mud-slinging. This is something

very alarming. Thi_s University was buut with high hopes. This University need not be treated like this. One instance of the Janata Party's encroachment of the autonomy is the manner in which the Jawaharlal Nehru University affairs are publicly aired.... (Interruptions)

Education Policy

Sir, I will take two minutes. Sir, I have been particularly unhappy by looking at the affairs of the Institute of Advanced Studies, Simla. I happened to be in Simla in June. I had occasion to go to Simla some time in June. Sir, there the whole atmosphere is charged disquiet, suspension, suspicion, misgivings as to what will happen. There are several aspects which should be examined. Proposals were made to improve its working. It is a very important national institute where occasionally seminars, conferences and meetings take place, where work has also been done-some good and some bad also. But to spread the impression that the Education Ministry is even thinking of winding up the Institute or at least removing the Institute from the place where it is located at the moment, is demoralising the scholars. I would request the Education Minister to take a more positive view in this matter. It is an important institute having eminent social scientists. Well, sometimes the rumours are that all parties extend patronage, the Congress had its share, and the Janata Party must also have its share. And if a person with his own background of University was recommended for appointment as Director of the Institute, well, that would be a sad day. I will suggest that the Education Minister must take into account all these factors, not in a partisan manner. He should act as a leader of national development. Sir, I sav all this not in a spirit to accuse, not to derail, not even to attack, but as a student of Indian politics and problems. As a Member of Parliament, I feel greatly concerned, and as I mentioned previously, as far as the working of the Education Ministry is concerned, it leaves much to be desired.

Thank you very much.

भी रामेश्वर सिंह (उत्तर प्रदेश): श्रीमन्, उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे बहुत खुशी है कि पंडित कमला पति विपाठी जी मौजूद हैं स्रौर भोला पासवान शास्त्री भी हैं मैं सारी बातों को बहुत गौर से सुनता रहा श्रौर शिक्षा में थोड़ी मेरी रूचि भी है ग्रौर बचपन ही से विद्यार्थियों में मैं काम करता पहा हूं। जो कुछ भी बातें यहां पर हुई हैं मुझकों निराशा ही हुई है। सरकार क्या करेगी इससे मुझे कोई मतलब नहीं है मगर हम लोगों की बुनियादी लड़ाई थी कि मुल्क में शिक्षा में आमूल परिवर्तन हुए बगैर मुल्क आगे नहीं वढ़ सकता। श्रीमन्, 23 बार कांग्रेस के राज में मुझकों जेल जाने का सौभाग्य प्राप्त हुग्रा। उसका परिणाम यह हुग्रा कि देश ने एक करवट ली ग्रौर कांग्रेस पार्टी का कुशासन जिसको मैं कुशासन कहता हूं, उसका खात्मा हुँ ग्रा । नेहरू जी के युग की थोड़ी मैं चर्चा करना चाहता हूं क्योंकि 1947 में हम लोगों ने देखा कि जिन लोगों के हाथ में सत्ता जा रही है ये मुल्क की खिदमत नहीं कर सकते हैं।

श्री कल्प नाथ रायः सता जा रही .है।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: श्राप थोड़ा हमकी बोल लेने दीजिए। मैं नया सदस्य हूं बाद में मैं भी श्राप जैसा हो जाऊंगा? तो हम लोगों के दिमाग में, सारे नौजवानों के दिमाग में जो डाक्टर राम मनोहर लोहिया के चरणों में हम लोगों ने राजनीति की श्रीर हम लोगों ने शपथ ली कि जिन लोगों के हाथ में सत्ता जा रही है इनकी दिशा कुछ श्रीर हैं यह चांदी के चम्मच से खाने वाले लोग, बड़े बड़े महलों में रहने वाले लोग इस मुत्क की भलाई नहीं कर सकते हैं। मैं शिक्षा का मसला श्रीमन्, श्रापके सामने रखना चाहता हूं। श्राप चले जाइये गांवों में, मैं गांव का रहने वाला हूं, मैं एक गांव में पैदा हुश्रा हूं, श्राप जाकर श्राज

भी देखिए, श्रीमन्, शिक्षा मंत्री जी, मैं समझता हं कि ग्रापको कड़ी दृष्टि हमारी बातों की तरफ जायगी, भ्राज गांव की क्या हालत है। शिक्षा मंत्री जी, एक तरफ तो 85 से 90 प्रतिशत ऐसे स्कूल हैं जहां पर कि छप्पर है तो टाट नहीं है, टाट है तो दिवाल नहीं है, दीवाल है तो छप्पर नहीं है, छप्पर है तो कुर्सी नहीं है। ये करीब करीब 85 फीसदी गांवोंकी हालत है जहां पर कि देश बसता है। देश दिल्ली ही नहीं है, देश कलकत्ता बम्बई नही है। श्रीमन्, कलकत्ता और बम्बई के शहरों में जब एक तरफ हमारे जैसा श्रादमी जाता है। 15-20 साल पहले डाक्टर राममनोहर लोहिया के चरणों में मुझें देश के भ्रमण का मौका मिला था। डाक्टर लोहिया कहीं जाते थे दिखाते थे, कहते थे कि देखो इसको सीमेंट की सड़क कहते थे, इसको कंकीट की मड़क कहते थे, इसको किसानों की सड़क कहते हैं, यह किसानों के बच्चों के पढ़ने के लिए स्कूल हैं। ट्रेन से दिखाते थे कि ये गांव के वज्वे पेड़ के नीचे पढ़ रहे हैं। बम्बई शहर में मुझे एक बार सौभाग्य प्राप्त हुङ्का उनके साथ जाने का, वहां पर सबसे पहले बड़ा होटल है उस होटल को उन्होंने दिखाया। उस होटल में जब उन्होंने हमको चाय पिलाई तो वहां का बैरा अंग्रेजी में बोलता था, हिन्दी में नहीं बोलता था। एक स्कूल में भी उनके साथ जाने का मौका मिला, ऐसे तो कई स्कलों में जाने का मौका बाद में मिला। तो श्रीमन्, उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, एक तरफ 85 से 90 प्रतिशत लोगों के बच्चे वहां पढ़ते हैं उन स्कूलों में पढ़ते है, जहां पर कि आज भी अध्यापक नहीं है, छप्पर नहीं हैं श्रौर एक तरफ उन स्कलों में उन्होंने हमको दिखाया जहां कि गर्मियों के दिनों में ठण्डा ग्रौर ठण्ड के दिनों में गर्मी रहती है। ऐसे स्कूल जिस देश में बने हों, इस ढंग से जहां पर्-

साप भी बैठ जाइए आपको दो बातें मैं सुनाना चाह रहा हूं, आप भागे जा रहेहैं। श्राप जाइए कोई बात नहीं लेकिन मैं आपको सुनाना चाहता हूं।

281

उपसभाष्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल यादव) :
 श्राप चेयर को सम्बोधित कीजिए।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: क्योंकि ग्राप लोग शिक्षाविद हैं। तो श्रीमन्, में कहना चाहता हूं कि डाक्टर लोहिया कहा करते थे कि पाकेट का मालिक स्राज देश का बनिया है भ्रौर दिमाग का मालिक पंडित है । श्राज इस देश का मालिक इकानामिकली बजटका मालिक बिड़ला या चन्द चार घराने है जिनका जिन्न मैं रोज हाउस में सुनता हूं? जब उद्योग की बात आरती हैं तब सुनता हं, जब शिक्षा की बात ग्राती है तब सुनता हूं दिमाग की बात, श्रीमन्, सरकारी रिपोर्ट मैं लाया हूं, श्रापके सामने प्रस्तुत करने के लिए, यह कोठारी कमीशन की रिपोर्ट है, कोठारी कमीशन की रिपोर्ट के दो ग्रंश ग्रगर श्चाप कहें तो मैं पढ़कर सुना दूं लेकिन समय कम है शायद शिक्षा मंत्री जी घबड़ा जायेंगे। कोठारी कमीशन की रिपोर्ट में-यह हिन्दी वाला संस्करण है पेज नम्बर 285 पर देखेंगे इसमें लिखा हम्रा है-पंडित जी ग्रापसे मैं माग्रह करूंगा म्राप काशी के रहने वाले हैं, ब्राप भी मंत्री रहे हैं, स्रापकी भी सरकार 30 वर्ष तक रही है...

श्री कमलापति त्रिपाठी : शिक्षा मंत्री भी रहे हैं।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: श्राप शिक्षा मंत्री भी रह चुके हैं मुख्य मंत्री भी रह चुके हैं। तो श्रीमन्, इसमे पेज 285 पर लिखा है कि 85 प्रतिशत स्कूल ऐसे बने हैं जो करण्यन और प्रष्टाचार के ग्रहें हैं। मैं पेज नं० 285 से कोट कर रहा हूं कि जो बड़े लोगों के बच्चों

को पढ़ाने के लिए स्कूल बने हुए हैं, वह करप्शन का ही नहीं बल्कि वहां सारी एशो-इशरत के साधन भी उपलब्ध हैं।

श्री कल्प नाय राय: इसको पढ़ दीजिए ह

श्री रामेम्ब्वर सिंह: काहे को पढ़वायेंगे। टाइम कम है। दो चीजों को मैं दिखाना चाहता हूं। यह मेरे द्वारा प्रकाशित पुस्तक नही है। यह भारत सरकार के विश्वविद्यालय ग्रन्दान म्रायोग की पुस्तक है। इसमें 105 विश्व-विद्यालय हैं जैसा कि श्रांकड़ों के द्वारा मालम होता है। उसके ग्रलावा भी हम समझते हैं कि दस, पन्द्रह या बीस इंस्टीच्युशन्ज हैं जो यूनिवर्सिटी के बराबर है । ब्राजइन यूनिवसिटी में क्या है। उद्योग का मालिक, जैसे कैंपिटल का मालिक बिरला, टाटा घराना माना जाता है, उसी तरीके से शिक्षा का श्रग्रणी भी वहीं. इस देश में बैठा हुआ, है। शिक्षा के क्षेत्र में पंडित लोग यानि विद्या के पंडित, जाति से नहीं। जाति से भी पंडित का अर्थ लगा लिया जाए तो इनका वर्चस्य कायम है। एक तरफ ऐसी नीतियां चलाई गई किइन विश्वविद्यालयों में ब्राज तक एक भी हरिजन वाइस-चान्सलर 105 युनिवर्सिटीज में नहीं बना है। उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, एक भी अपर कहीं बन गयाहो तो मैं नहीं जानता। लेकिन हरिजनों की बड़ी दुहाई हमारी भूतपूर्व सरकार के लोग देते हैं कि हरिजनों की हम तरक्की चाहते हैं। जब से हम मंत्रिमंडल में ग्राए है और यहां का बातावरण जय मैं देखता हूं तो मुझको ऐसा नही लगता कि यहां सबसे बड़ा अधिकारी कोई हरिजन होगा। मगर शिक्षा के ऊपर यहां बहसहो रही है, तो श्रीमन्, यह शिक्षा से वास्ता रखता है।

मैं यहां दिखाने के लिए एक ऐसी पुस्तक भी साथ लाया हूं जिसको भारत सरकार के एज्यूकेशन मिनिस्ट्री ने प्रकाशित किया है।

2.

:283

श्रीमन्,हमारे मंत्री जी संस्कृतक बहुत बड़े विद्वान हैं, पूरातत्व के भी बहत बड़े विद्वान हैं। यह पूस्तक भारत सरकार के द्वारा प्रकाशित है "संस्कृत इंस्टीट्यशन इन इण्डिया"। एक तरफ कहा जाता है कि हरिजनों के बच्चों को पढ़ाने के लिए उनकी फीस माफ हो, उनके लिए भी स्कूल बनें । मैं पूछना चाहता हं कि जब इस देश में प्राइमरी स्कूल से लेकर य्निवसिटी तक जब संस्कृत की पढ़ाई की ञ्यवस्था है तो यह करीब 3600 के संस्कृत के विद्यानय या विद्यालय कहिए, विद्यालय एक ऐसी वर्ण व्यवस्था के लिए कायम है जिसमें कि एक जाति के लोग पढ़ते और पढ़ाते हैं? क्या शिक्षा मंत्री जी हमारी बातों की तरफ ध्यान देंगे, ऐसी नीति क्यों ? मेरा तो कहना है कि ग्रापने बालरेडी ग्रारक्षण किया हमा है उन घरानों के लिए जो पांच हजार वर्ष से इस देश के लिए कोढ़ बने हए हैं, पांच हजार वर्षों से इस देश की नीचे गिराने में लगे हैं, पांच हजार वर्ष तक छुब्राछूत को फैनाने में लगे हैं। हालांकि पंडित जी ग्रग्रणी हैं। पंडित जी ने जाति-पाति को छोड़ दिया। इनके बच्चों का ग्रन्तरजातीय विवाह हुआ है, श्रन्तर राष्ट्रीय भी हुन्ना है। पंडित जी इस मामले में भ्रागे हैं। मगर वर्ण-व्यवस्था एक ऐसी व्यवस्था है ग्रौर मंत्री महोदय जी इसी स्कूल को अब क्यों चला रहे हैं ? इसी इंस्टीट्यृशन को ग्रब क्यों चला रहे हैं जबकि विद्यार्थी नहीं हैं, यातो वह भैंस चरारहा है, यागया है णादी-विवाह कराने, या गया है कथा बंचने, मगर उसका नाम इस स्कूल में लिखा हन्ना है, पाटशाला में लिखा हुग्रा है और शाम को ग्राकर उसकी हाजिरी भर दी जाती है-पंडित जी हाजिर है यह उसमें भर देते हैं श्रोर यह पुस्तक मैं लाया हूं और श्रोमन्, यह प्रतक अधिको सरकार की प्रकाशित है, इसको मैं ऋषिके सुपुर्दकरता हूं। ऋषि खुद भी अपने प्रकाशन विभाग से इसे मंगा लीजिए। तो कहना यह है कि यह जो व्यवस्था है कि इस

विद्यालय को ऋाप पुस्तक भी देते हैं और 50 ह० से लेकर 350 रुपए वेतन भी देते हैं, उनकी स्कालरिशप भी देते हैं, श्रीर यही नहीं, जब ऊंची नौकरी की बात ग्राती है तो इन्हीं महा पाठशाला से निकले हुए विद्यार्थी, ग्रध्यापक जो निकलते हैं वे ईक्वल ट० एम० ए० उनको मान कर बड़े विश्वविद्यालयों में उनको सर्विस देते हैं---यह किस की देन है? यह भतपूर्व सरकार की देन है। उस सरकार ने इस अ्यवस्थाको कायम कर रखा है कि जिससे एक है तरफ जातिबाद पनपे ग्रौर दूसरी तरफ देश का गरीब नीचे आए और देश में भातंक का वातावरण बने । श्रीमन, यह मैंने भापको इसलिए याद दिलाया कि एक दिन ऐसा अ।एगा कि यह भूत भ्राप के सिर पर चढ़ कर वाचेगा।

भी स्नतंत प्रसाद ज्ञर्मा (बिहार): भूत काहै तो बतमान की बात भी कीजिए।

भी रामेश्वर सिंह : मैं जनता पार्टी की भरकार को ग्रगाह करना चाहंगा: 30 वर्ष जो शासन कृशासन करने पर समाप्त हो गया, कहीं भ्राप भी उसी रास्ते पर चले तो दो-चार भान में समाप्त न हों जायें Interruption) इसलिए मैं चाहता हूँ, श्रापकी सरकार ठोस नीति बनाए, हम लोगों की राय लेकर बनाए । गांवों के लोगों की राय लेकर बनाए, जो ग्रामीण जनता है उनकी वातों को जान कर बनाए। ये साफा बांधे हुए जो लोग यहां खड़ हैं। उनकी राय लेकर शिक्षा व्यवस्था चलायें---ये महाशय यहां से बोलकर, श्रंग्रेजी में बोल कर, चले गए, भेरी बात कैसे सुनेंगे । ये चाहते हैं इनका याधिपत्य जवाहरलाल नेहरू युनिवसिटी कायम रहे, ऐसे ही लोग चाहते हैं कि काशी विश्व-विद्यालय पर कब्जा बना रहे । शिक्षा मंत्री वड़े पंडित ग्रादमी हैं, काबिल ग्रादमी हैं, मैं ट्रेजरी 🚤 **बेंच** का ग्रादमी हं लेकिन कहने से चुकुंगा नहीं, सत्य को कहना पड़गा । शिक्षा मंत्री जी, श्रापने विश्वभारती का बिल तो ला दिया मगर बनारस

285

- TITE

हिन्दू यूनिवसिटी का बिल ग्रभी तक न मालुम ,ाकित खण्ड में पड़ाहग्र∷ हैं; सुन्दर बन के खण्ड में पड़ा हुआ है या बंगाल की खाड़ी में पड़ा हुआ है या कहीं वनारस में पण्डित जी के पैर तले दब कर ती नहीं पड़ा है? Bary House

श्री कल्प नाथ राय: पण्डित जी से क्यों बोलते हो ?

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: ग्राप सारे बिल लाते चले जा रहे हैं और यूनिवर्सिटी को बरबाद करते चले जारहे हैं जहां पर रोज दंगे ग्रौर उपद्रव हो रहे हैं। श्रगर श्राप चाहते हैं विद्यार्थियों का जोवन सुधरे तो लाएण्ड ऋर्डरठीक होना चाहिए ।

श्रीमन् मैं उस जिले का रहने वाला हूं जहां लोग सत्त्र खाते हैं, जहां के लोग चूहे खा कर पेट पालते हैं——इ र हाऊ स में बता रहा हं-भोनक्, ग्राप म्राश्चर्य करेंगे देवरिया ग्रौर बलिया...

श्री देवेद्र नाथ द्विवेदी : ये चुहे खाने वाले, जिनको स्रापने कहा बतारस से होते हैं, स्राप यह बताइए क्या ग्राप बनारस की बात कर रहे हैं या बलिया को त्रात कर रहे हैं।

😁 श्री रामेश्वर सिंहः श्रीमन् मैं ग्रापको बताऊं, जन्म हुन्ना है मेरा बलिया में . . .

श्री कहर नाय राय: उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, रामेश्वर जो के गांव के लोग चूहे खाते हैं, बनारस के नहीं।

उपसमाध्यक्ष (श्रो स्याम लाल यादव) : श्राप विषय पर भाइए।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंहः तो मैं उस जिले का िंबता रहा हैं कि उम इलाके के लोग चूहा भ्राज भी खाते हैं। सन् नहीं मिलता है लोगों को खत्ने के लिए। यह 30 वर्षों की देन है,

श्रापकी सरकार की देन है । श्रापकी सरकार ने शिक्षा के क्षेत्र में इस मुल्क को जितना बरबाद किया है हम समझते हैं जनता पार्टी की सर-कार में हम ग्राए हैं, हम भी जनता पार्टी के हैं, हमचुनाव घोषणा-पत्र भी लाए हैं...

चुतःव घोषणा पत्न में ग्रापने क्या वायदा किया है। ग्रापने यह वायदा किया है कि उन सभी छात्रों को छात्रवृत्ति मिलनी चाहिए कि जो उसके पात्र हैं। श्रनुसूचित जाति तथा जनजातियों के परिवारों को शैक्षणिक भ्रतुक्षान मिलना चाहिए । यह भी श्रापने कहा निरक्षरता दूर करने की बात भी ग्रापने कही भ्रौर श्रापने यह भी कहा है कि श्राप पब्लिक स्कलों को बन्द करेंगे। श्रीमन्, हम लोगों ने कसभ खाई थी कि इस देश में पब्लिक स्कूल हम बन्द करेगे। लोगकहते हैं कि भ्रंग्रेजी का खात्मा करेंगे। हमारे मित्र कुछ चले गये हैं, मगर स्राप हैं बंगाल के । मैं चाहता हं कि हिन्दुस्तान की भाषा, राजभाषा बंगला बन जाये । इसमें मुझे एतराज नहीं है । तमिल वन जाये तो मुझे एतराज नहीं है, मगर इस देश से अंग्रेजी का हमेशा हमेशा के लिए खात्मा होना चाहिए। मगर पता नहीं कि जनता पार्टी और जनता पार्टी की शिक्षा नीति कहां जा रही है। जनता पार्टी की शिक्षानीति वहीं जा रही है जहां 30 वर्ष तक पंडित जीकी सरकार रही। वह जिस काम को करती रही, उसी काम को आराप करता चाहते हैं । तो ग्रापसे हमारा रिश्ता शायद ज्यादा दिन तक चल नहीं पायेगा। सीधी सादी सी बात है । (स्व**वध**ान) क्योंकि हमारे जैसे ग्रादमी का ग्रापके साथ रहने का कोई मतलब नहीं बैठता । (स्यवधान) क्यों कि हम लोगों ने ऋपने इस देश को बनाने के लिंगे भ्रपने को बर्बाद किया । श्रीमती इन्दिरा नेहरू गांधी ने⊸फ्र.प कल्प नःथ जी **अव** बोलियेगः मत, श्रीमती इन्दिरा नेहरू गांधी ने बीस हजार नौजवानों को, जिन्म ग्रीर एम० ए० इंजीनियर, डाक्टर, पीएच०डी० भी थे इमरजेंसी खत्म

[श्री रामंदवर सिंह]

होने के 6 महीने पहले मौत के घाट उतार दिया। ज्योति बसु की सरकार को जबरिया कब्जा कर लिया और बोट लेकर सरकारी पक्ष को कायम रखा। तो वह जुल्म की सरकार थी। हम जनता पार्टी की सरकार को जुल्म की सरकार नहीं बनने देंगे। हम जनता पार्टी की सरकार को भ्रष्टाचार की सरकार नहीं बनने देंगे। हम जनता पार्टी की सरकार को श्रिष्टाचार की सरकार नहीं बनने देंगे। हम जनता पार्टी की सरकार की शिक्षा विहीन नीति नहीं चलने देंगे। यह चुनाव घोषणा पत्र मैंने आपको सुनाया है।

श्री कल्प नाथ राध : चिट्ठी पढ़ो । श्री रामेश्वर सिंह : श्रीमन्, श्रुव मेरे कुछ सजेशन्स हैं।

उपसभाष्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल यादव) : अव आप संक्षेप में कहिये । ...

, श्री कल्प नाय रायः मधु लिमये की चिट्ठी पढ़ रहे हैं क्या ?

। **श्री रामेश्वर सिंह**ः श्रीमन्, मेरे कुछ सजेशन्स हैं और मंत्री जी से मैं बहुत स्राग्रह के साथ कहना चाहता हूं कि वे इनको मानें क्यों कि मैं बहुत दुखी हू। श्रीर मैं ही दुखी नहीं हूं, कल से बनारस से मेरे पास तीन डेलीग्राम ग्राए हैं । चारों तरफ यूनिदर्सिटिया बन्द हो रही हैं। पंडित जी ने कहा, सही हैं कि चारों तरफ युनिविनिटियां बन्द हो रही हैं क्योंकि ग्रापकी शिक्षा नीति दूषित रही । ग्रापकी शिक्षा नीति गलत रही। तीस वर्षतक जो शिक्षानोति चली है उसका परिणाम जनता पार्टी की सरकार को भोगना पड़ रहा है। यदि स्राज विरोधी पक्ष चाहता है, माननीय पंडित जी चाहते हैं कितीस वर्षके जमाक ड़ेको तीस वर्षके कतवार को, तीस वर्ष की गंदगी को एक दिन में हम साफ करदें तो यह भी संभव नहीं है, मगर जनता पार्टी के मंत्री श्रीर जनता पार्टी की सरकार, मैं चाहता हूं कि कम से कम मेरो बात पर जरूर ध्यान दें क्योंकि हम 1947 में ही समझ गये थे कि कांग्रेस पार्टी

की सरकार जहन्नुम में जायेगी ग्रीर क्राज जनता पार्टीकी सरकार को भी हम समझर 🚄 रहे हैं। मंत्री लोग वही उत्तर दिया करते हैं कि जो भूतपूर्व कांग्रेस के मंत्री दिया करते थे। मैं यह जम्मीद इस सरकार से नहीं करता हूं। (स्यवधान) कुछ सजेशन्स में ग्रापकों देना च हता हुं मैं शिक्षा मंत्री जी से ग्रीर ग्राप से बहुत नम्रता के साथ कहना चाहता हुंक्योकि मैं गांव से द्याया हूं, मैंने बताया कि में **उस इला**के **से ब्राया हुं कि जहां पर ब्राज भी लोग वृहे खाते** हैं। मैं उस इलाके से ब्राया हूं कि जहां पर लोग ग्रभी भी गोदर के ग्रन्न से, पशु बैल के गोबर से जो ग्रन्न निकलता है उससे जीवन यापन करते हैं। वहां के लोगों को वह जो स्रन्न मिलता है। वह जयप्रकाश नारायण जी का इलाका है। लेकिन दुर्भाग्य की बात है कि पंडित जीकी सरकार ने भी पूर्वी जिलों को उपेक्षा की दृष्टि से देखा और श्रापकी मरकार भी हमारे पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश के लिए कोई ख्याल नहीं कर रही है । हम पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश में जब कोई विकासशील कार्य करने की बात करने हैं तो जनता पार्टी की सरकार भी नाक-भौ सिकोडने लगती है । ग्राप बनारस चल कर देखिये, में शिक्षा मंत्री जी से क्ह्नंगा कि वे हमारे साथ बनारस चल कर देखें, 13 करोड़ रुपये का घोटाला बनारस हिन्दू यूनिवर्सिटी में हुम्रा है । उसकी जांच नही हुई । डा० श्रीमाली के जमाने में बनारस हिन्दू यूनिवर्सिटी 🧵 में यह 13 करोड़ का घोटाला हुम्रा था जिसका मैंने रहस्योद्घाटन किया था, लेकिन उस पर कोई ध्यान नहीं दिया गया ।

स्राज भी मैं हाउस में कह रहा हूं कि
13 करोड़ रुपये का जो घोटाला श्रीमाली के
कार्यकाल में हुस्रा है उसकी जांच होनी
चाहिए। श्रीमाली ने 20 नौजवानों को मौत
के घाट उतरवा दिया। श्रीमाली ने सारी
यूनिवर्सिटी की मान्यतायें तोड़ दीं स्रौर
सारी परम्परास्रों को तोड़ कर यूनिटर्सिटी को
बरवाद कर दिया। श्रीमाली ने बनारस
हिन्दू यूनिदर्सिटी को तवाह करने के लिये

यह कह दिया कि यहां के लोग उजड़ होते हैं,
यहां के लोग गुण्डई करते हैं। में पूछना
चाहता हूं कि ग्राप भी बंगाल के रहने वाले हैं
मैंने दस साल बंगाल में राजनीति की है,
मुझे पता है कलकत्ता यूनिविमिटी में कितना
उगद्धव होता है, वह मुझसे छिपा हुग्रा नहीं है।
ग्राप हमारी यूनिवर्मिटी की ग्रोर ध्यान
लगाकर बैठे हुए हैं, कलकत्ता यूनिवर्मिटो पर
ग्रापका ध्यान नहीं है।

उपसमाध्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल गादव) : कृत्या समाप्त करे ।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह : श्रीमन्, मैं स्रब कुछ सजेशन्स देना चाहता हूं ... (Interruptions)

श्री देवें ह नाथ द्विवेदी: यह इनका पहला भाषण है। (Interruptions)

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री स्थाम लाल यादव) : भाषण बहुत हो चुके हैं पहले ।

श्री देवेन्द्र नाथ द्विवेदी : यह मेजर स्पोच है।

श्री कल्प नाय राय: 5 करोड़ का भ्रष्टाचार भी है उसमें जो जनता पार्टी पर है।

ं उपसभाष्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल यादव) : ग्रव समाप्त करिये ।

भी रामेश्वर सिंहः मैं समाप्त करने जारहाहूं और श्रपने सजेशन्स नोट करादेना चाहताहुं।

श्रीमन्, मेरा पहला मुझाव यह है कि संस्कृत पाठशालाश्रों में यह कंपलमरी कर दिया जाए कि 60 परसेंट हरिजन श्रीर पिछड़ी हुई ग्रन्य जातियों के लिए जिस पाठशाला में रिजर्वेशन नहीं होगा उसकी मान्यता छिन जाएगी। उनको एड नहीं दी जाएगी। संस्कृत की पढ़ाई हो, मगर 60 1938 RS—10. परसेंट श्रदर कम्यूनिटीज के लोग— मुसलमान हरिजन, ईसाई, पारसी— जो लोग भी पढ़ना चाहें उनको पढ़ने का उचित स्थान मिले श्रीर उनको प्रयोगेंनेटली उसमे हिस्सा मिलना नाहिए नहीं तो ऐसी पाठणानाश्रों की मान्यता छिन जानी चाहिए। मैं नहीं चाहता हूं कि संस्कृत का विकास नहीं, मैं नहीं समझता हूं कि संस्कृत जैसी प्राचीन भाषा को हमारे लोग न पढ़ें ... (Interruptions)

290

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल यादव): बहुत हो गया । ब्राप भाषण मन पढ़िये सूत्र गिना दीजिए ।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: मैं ग्रंपने मुझाव नोट करा दे रहा हूं। श्रीमन्, पहले नम्बर का सुझाव मेरा यह है कि शिक्षा की बुनिय.द प्राथमिक शिक्षा होती है। (Interruptions)

उपसभाष्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल यादव) : ग्राप पूरा पढ़ रहे हैं। बहुत समय लग रहा है। समाप्त कीजिए।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: श्रीमन्, शिक्षा की बुनियाद, प्राथमिक शिक्षा को सर्वसुलभ, सर्वमान्य श्रीर समान किया जाये । इसके लिये म्रावश्यक है कि पब्लिक स्कृलों को बिना किसी द्विधा या पूर्वाग्रह के बन्द किया जाये । हमारी पार्टी के चुनाव घोषणा पत्न में भी कहा गया है कि अभी तक पश्चिमी शिक्षा पद्धति की मकल की गई है जो बहुत खर्चीली है। दुख का विषय है कि इस दिशा में श्राज तक कुछ भी नही किया गया । यह एक मामान्य सच है कि बिना पब्लिक स्कूलों को बन्द किये स्वदेशी गुलामी से मुक्त नही हुन्ना जा सकता, न ही कलम श्रीर कुदाल की दूरी को खत्म किया जा सकता है। इसके लिए ब्रावश्यक है कि लोक शिक्षा की एक ऐसी समान स्कुल पद्धति का विकास किया जाये जो देश के सभी भागों के लिए हो, जिसमें स्कूल शिक्षा की सभी ऋवस्थायें सम्मिलित हों । इससे कोई [त्री रामेश्वर मिह] ं भी व्यक्ति अपने बच्चे को इस पद्धति के वाहर किसी अन्य शिक्षण संस्था में भेजने की आवश्यकता नहीं महसूस करेगा।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल पादव) : इतना लम्बा भाषण श्राप पढ़ रहे हैं, श्राप पाइट्स कहिये।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह: हमें आप 3 मिनट दे दीजिए। मैं समाप्त कर रहा हूं।

श्रीमन्, मेरा मुझाव दूसरा यह कि प्राथमिक शिक्षा के सन्दर्भ में प्राथमिक शिक्षा के सन्दर्भ में प्राथमिक शिक्षकों को भी देखना होगा। श्राज ग्रामीण विद्यालयों के शिक्षकों को देख कर दया का भाव उपजता है। उनका रहन-सहन, जीवन-स्तर उठाना होगा। शायद तभी उनकी रुचि शिक्षण में होगी। इसके साथ ही प्राथमिक शिक्षकों की योग्यता भी ध्यान देने योग्य है। अधिकांश ग्रामीण शिक्षकों का ज्ञान इतना भी नहीं होता कि बच्चों के विकास की उनसे अपेक्षा रखी जाए। ये शिक्षक स्थानीय राजनीति, घरेलू कार्यों में फंस कर रह जाते हैं।

7 PM Section 2 मेरा तीसरा सुझाव है कि लोकमाव में शिक्षण व्यवस्था। शिक्षा का माध्यम लोकभाष होनी चाहिये। गुलामी की प्रतीक अंग्रेजी के मोह से मक्त होकर हिन्दी श्रीर क्षेत्रीय भाषाश्री को शिक्षा का माध्यम बनाया जाये जिससे मेधावी छात्र ऐसे स्कूलो मं ग्रपनी योग्यता के बल पर अवेश पाने पर इसलिये न घवरायें कि वहा भाषा विदेशी है। भारतेन्दु हरिश्चन्द्र ने कहा था--निज भाषा उन्नीत ग्रहे सब भाष का मूल...। अंग्रेजी और अंग्रेजियत से चिपकने वालों से इस प्रकार देश को निजात मिल सकेगी। यह दुखद स्थिति ग्राजादी के इतने वर्षों बाद भी बनी हुई है कि चन्द अग्रेजी के जानकर पूरे जन मानस पर पंजा कसते जा रहे हैं। 🚕 🚕 🍇 🎎 🔭

चौथां सुझाव है रोजगार पर शिक्षा पर। लाखों की संख्या में लगभग एक करोड़ है।

े उपसभाष्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल याद**व**): श्राप क्षपया समाप्त करिये।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह : मैंने दो-तीन मिनट मांगें हैं। ग्राप घंटी बजा रहे हैं। मैं ग्रामी तक खत्म कर देता।

श्रावादी वढ़ रही है। हर वर्ष बढ़ रही है पढ़े लिखे लोगों की फीज। यदि यही रफ्तार रही तो भविष्य क्या होगा। इन शिक्षित बेरोजगारों का श्रसन्तोष क्या रंग लायेगा? इस सन्दर्भ में यह स्मरण दिलाना उचित होगा कि डाक्टर लोहिया ने कभी सन्न सेना बनाने की बात कही थी श्राज उसकी सार्थकता समझी जा सकती है।

मेरा पाचवा सुझाव है प्रौढ़ शिक्षा के बारे में। यह अच्छी वात है लेकिन शिक्षा के नाम पर सिर्फ दस्तखत करना ही न सिखाया जाए जैसा कि आज तक हुआ है। वरना उसी तरह से धन का अपव्यय होगा जैसा कि पहले हुआ है और शिक्षा का कोई मतलब नहीं रह जायेगा। प्रौढ़ शिक्षा के नाम पर पहले काफी धाधलियां हुई हैं। आज भी उसकी सम्भावना से इंकार नहीं किया जा सकता।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल यादव) : ग्राप कृत्या समाप्त करिये ।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंह : इसलिये इस कार्यक्रम में विशेष सावधानी बरतने की ब्रावश्यकता है। इस योजना से पढ़े लिखे बेकार लोगों को श्रांशिक रूप से रोजगार दिया जा सकता है, लेकिन देखने में यह बा रहा है कि इस योजना में ऐसे लोगों की घुसपैठ शुरू हो गई है जो कहीं न कहीं लगे है।

मेरा छठा सुझाव है कि संस्कृत शिक्षा के नाम पर करोड़ों रुपये खर्च किये जा रहे हैं लेकिन परिणाभ बही . . . 'वही रफ्तार बढ़ेगी जो पहले थी वो श्रव भी है...सरकार पता लगाये कि इतने रुपयों का क्या हो रहा है। महोदय, भेरी जानकारी के अनुसार इसमें काफी गोलमाल किया जा रहा है।

उपमभाध्यक्ष (श्री स्थाम लाल यादव): म्राप ग्रपनी बात को दोहरा रहे हैं। श्रुपमा समाप्त करिये।

अं रामेश्चर स्हिः पताचला है कि फर्जी नामों से उपस्थिति लिखा कर छात्रवृत्ति ग्रौर ग्रन्थ तरह की सुविधायें ली जा रही है। श्रौर इस तरह से सरकारी धन का दुरुपयोग हो रहा है। प्रसंगवन यह पूछना चाहुंगा कि संस्कृत िक्षा में एक ही वर्ग का ग्राधिपत्य क्यों है ? हरिजन या इसरे लोग क्यों नहीं ? पठन ग्रौर पाठन का कार्य एक वर्ग विशेष के हाथों चल रहा है ग्रौर न जाने कब से चल रहा है ऐसा क्यों ? क्या दूसरे इसमें रुचि नहीं लेते या उन्हें जान बुझकर वंचित रखा गया है । इस सम्बन्ध में मेरा सुझाव है कि प्रत्येक सरकारी सहायता प्राप्त संस्कृत विद्यालयों में हरिजन और दूसरों के लिए कुछ प्रतिशत निर्धारित कर दिया जाय । यदि वहां ऐसा नहीं होता है तो उस संस्था की राजकीय सहायता बन्द कर दी जाय । श्रौर संस्कृत की पढ़ाई विद्यालयों में जोड़ दी जाये।

उपसमाध्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल यादव) : कृपया समाप्त करिये । यह बहुत लम्बा है इसे ब्राप मन्त्री महोदय के पास भेज दें।

श्रो रामेश्वर मिंहु: मैं सिर्फ एक मिनट लुंगा। सिर्फ थोड़ा साही है।

· उपसमाध्यक्ष (श्री श्याम लाल वादव) : एक मिनट लीजिए ।

श्री रामेश्वर सिंहः मेरा सातवां सुझाव है डिग्रियों की दुकानदारी के बारे में । भ्राजकल समाचार पत्नों में ऐसे विज्ञापन देखने को मिल जाते हैं जिसमें घर बैठे डिग्नी लेने की बात होती है। इस तरह की डिग्रियों को बन्द किया जाय । क्योंकि इनका व्यवहारिक रूप से कोई उपयोग नहीं । इस द्कानदारी में दुकानदार को लाभ धवश्य होता है, लेकिन खरीददार को कुछ भी नही हासिल होता। सिवाय एक कागज के पूलिन्दे के । यही नही मेडिकल के प्रमाण पत्न भी बेचे जा रहे हैं श्रीर धड़ल्ले से खरीददारी हो रही है। इन तथाकथित डाक्टरों का रोजगार गांवों में देखा जा सकता है। इन नीम-हकीमों से बढ़ रहे खतरे से सावधान होने की ब्रावश्यकता है। मैने उत्तर प्रदेश धौर बिहार के गांवीं में देखा ह ऐसे डाक्टरों कः । सौ-पचास रुपये की डिग्री लेकर श्रपना कारोबार चलः रहे हैं भ्रौर लाचार ग्रादमी उनके शिकंजे में फसता जा रहा है । श्राव-क्यकता इस बात की है कि ऐसे नामधारी डाक्टरों की प्रैक्टिस पर तत्काल रोक लगाई जाय ग्रौर इस तरह की डिग्री बांटने वालों के कारबार को रोका जाये।

294

उपसमाध्यक्ष (श्री स्थाम लाल यादव) : श्राप समाप्त करिये, नहीं तो मन्त्री महोदय को ये सारे मुझाव भेज दीजिए।

श्री रामेश्यर निहः मैं समाप्त कर रहा हूं। मेरा श्राटवां सुझाव है शिक्षा का स्वदेशी-करण के बारे में। भारतीय शिक्षा का स्वदेशी-करण किया जाये । आज का विद्यार्थी श्रपंनी प्राचीन गौरव परम्परा को बिस्मत करता जा रहा है । प्राचीन भारतीय संस्कृति ग्रौर सभ्यता को पुन: उजागर करने के लिये संस्कृति शिक्षा ग्रौर प्राचीन साहित्य का प्रसार-प्रचार किया जाय । ध्राज का विद्यार्थी <mark>पातं</mark>जलि, पाणिनि, भवभृति श्रौर कालि<u>दा</u>स से अधिक 🗸 जानकारी सेक्सपीयर, कीट्स, वायरन, वर्डसवर्थ के बारे में रखता है । मैं

यह बड़े सम्मान से कह सकता हूं कि हमारे

296

रचनाकार किसी भी पाश्चात्य रचनाकार से कम नही है। लेकिन हुमारी श्रांख पर पश्चिमी चश्मा यह देखने ही नहीं दे रहा है। यह रवैया बदलना होगा । यही मेरा आग्रह है ।

Vtscussion on

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA (Karnataka): Sir, if there is any aspect of Government functioning •which has shown a lack of proper direction and indefinite drift over the years, I think it has been the field of education. And here, perhaps, we cut across party lines when we say that this is one field in which the maximum experimentation confusion and frustration have existed irrespective of the State to which the students may belong. And it is as a result of this that we have all over the country what people have commonly come to call the 'teaching shops', commercially run institutions whose aim is money-making rather than the education of the child, where standards have fallen and where, perhaps, more than anybody else it is the student and the young mind which have paid the price. Little wonder, therefore, that wherever you go, you hear these days of student indiscipline and frustration, of dropouts and of different types of student revolt.

There is no denying that over the last 30 years, a lot of effort has gone into the building of a_n educational system which would be both productive as well as acceptable to all sections of our people. But we have to remember that we inherited an educational system from the British and, unfortunately, it was that generation of our patriots who believed that there was no need to recast or change it overnight allowed this system to continue as it was. And let us not forget that the British established an educational system in this country not out of love for the people of this country but basically to produce a class of administrators which would suit the interests of the British empire in this country. It was

their intention to build a class of administrators as set apart from the common people so that they could con- i tinue to rule this country perhaps for another hundred or two hundred years. It was unfortunate that after Independence we believed that this imported Western pattern of" education could suit the needs of this newly independent gation. I believe that this has been the fundamental point of difference or the fundamental complaint from the new generation that we are following a pattern which js basically an imported system. Of course, there have been other factors which •have contributed to our problem. An uncontrolled growth of population threw all our plans for primary education out of gear. We have also 'had other impediments;social factors like the religious road blocks at various stages and The other problem of getting education for women equally accepted and as quickly as it was for the rest. Then there was the great, big problem of language. Lack of finance has always been an excuse as far as the Ministry of Education is concerned. It has been a permanent experience that whenever cuts have had to be imposed on budget allotment it is normally the Ministry of Education and Social Welfare which has been among the first to pay the price. Besides these, there have been conflicting factors in Indian society which we have tried, with great difficulty perhaps, to compromise. I would cite only two or three such factors.

We have accepted the socialist pattern of society as our national pattern of development. And yet what "15 been our experience on the educational front? It has been purely a classoriented educational system. And here I feel very strongly that in a country which speaks about equality of opportunity, which speak* about all sections of the people having equal rights, we start the child -at the primary stage at different levels of education. The rich have the public schools, the special schools and the best of our schools.

And yet the child of a class IV employee, the child of a scheduled caste citizen, the child of poorer sections go to the free schools, municipal schools, corporation schools where often textbooks are lacking, class rooms are lacking and, more often than not, the teacher is lacking. And yet we are talking about a society in which all have equal opportunities to appear for any examination, to get into the I.A.S, to go abroad or to become an expert. How do you expect to build a society on an equal footing when you start your child at the primary level at different levels of education?

We speak about a country, about a nation where everybody feels one and united and yet we Tiave to give in to local pressures. We had education in the State list. And there came the question of local languages, local pressures, local interests, minority groups, and language groups. Every group has been accommodated with the result that we have failed to build today after 3l years of freedom a truly nationalist pattern o* education in which all our young people could live and grow believe that they are one.

Then there ig the conflict of whether emphasis should be on the rural child or the urban child; and unfortunately, in spite of all that has been said, the emphasis has always been in favour of the urban child. Everything in the educational system is weighted against the child in the country-side and yet we say there should be emphasis on villages because 90 per cent of our population comes from the rural or the semi-rural area. Now, in an atmosphere (like this—I know we are running out of time-we have tried at different levels to do what best could be done. Free, compulsory, primary ieducation has been the goal. But how far are we from our projected target?

It is true that my party's Government—at least I am proud of it—took a positive step in making education compulsory and bringing it on to the Concurrent List. I think this was the nrst ever effort at trying to get some kind of a national pattern established in this country, I believe that if you are building a new generation of Indians to be one, to be united, it is absolutely neeessary that you have a common^N pattern of education for the entire country. An experiment was made earlier on the neighbourhood schools. It was, perhaps, one of the best things that could have been developed but because of pressures from different sides it was abandoned by the then Congress Government. I believe that if you want to build a new nation you have to start with primary education. You have got to have somehow a primary common syllabus for this country. After all, our history is the same. The geography of this country is the same. Our traditions are the same. Why can we not unite our children by these basic subjects, mathematics, history, geography, basic sciences? Why can we not have a common syllabus for the primary schools of this country? if my child comes to the North the while pattern is out of gear for him and he is absolutely stranded. If the child goes to Bengal you have something new altogether. Why should this happen in a country 31 years after independence? We are still groping to find a national pattern of education suited to our development.

One more thing which hurts me very much is the school uniform. We i have seen this round the world. Irrespective of their school, private,'., public or whatever they are, they have a common uniform at the primary level. But here at the primary '-level, the moment you look at the child. You can distinguish a dhild on the road "by his uniform., You can distinguish the social strata . to which the child belongs, - whether have the school whether have a school whether have the school whether have the school whether have a school whet

[Shrimati Margaret Alva]

he is from an exclusive school by looking at the uniform. And it is at that level everywhere that you are giving him a class distinction that there are different sections, different privileges and different norms for our little children.

Discussion on

As far as the figures are concerned —I do not believe in figures especially from "the government-they are misleading and you can quote them for your benefit or to prove something else altogether. No doubt, the figures show that a_s far as enrolment from class 1 to class 8 is concerned, we have gone up from 222.75 lakhs in 1950-51 to 845.38 lakhs in 1976-77. A very good record for our Government, no doubt. But you must also remember that these figures do not show the dropouts, and at this level the 'dropout rate is so high that to think that so many children have really been educated in that period is absolutely misleading, because the dropout rate at this Ievel is the highest that there is in this chart.

Now, as far as teachers are concerned, I would like to say that here again the best teachers get the best salaries, going to the best schools, with the result that the not-so-wellpaid teachers are left to the ordinary students-I would say the poorer students; I would use this word because that is how our schools system is built. Therefore, I would sav. let the Government think in terms, at least, of a uniform salary for school teacKers. 1 know if is difficult but there should be something by which teachers, whether in private schools or public schools or Government schools get at least a common salary scales so that there is no discrimination between your Government schools, private schools and the richer schools.

As far as science and technology is concerned, it is a tragedy that the ne^"Government has, 50mehow or the begun, as a policy, to shift it»,

from what had been really the emphasis national goal, perhaps, one of our real aims j_n this country, of making this country scientifically and technologically self-relient. Over the last few weeks or months we have seen some of our top scientists absolutely ridfculed as, being guided by political their research, considerations in different national institutions of research and technical development disbanded or made absolutely to feel like secondary institutions. I mean, this new atmosphere which you are creating in this country is going to further increase the brain drain. Your young people do not lack nationalism. They want to be part of the development "process in this cuntry but if you, I don't mean you alone but if we people, who are in a position to give them this sense of belonging and security, fail them, it is but natural that they wiH go to others. And you have seen many of them rejected here go abroad and then be invited as heroes by us on ce they make their mark in foreign universities or foreign countries. Is it not a disgrace that our young people have got to go abroad to be recognised, to be made to feel wanted, to be given the opportunity and then to come back and say, "Well, I started as an Indian but I had to go away because I did not have the opportunity?" And I fee] that the present policy of saying, "Well, technology is not important; industrialisation was Nehru's fad; do not need this; we are to go back to we the village; we are to go back agriculture" will not help us. Right, go back to the village, go back to agriculture, but at least build agricultural universities. What is your outlay, at the moment, for agricultural universities, for rural universities, development of even your traditional handicrafts? What is the emphasis you are giving in your schools and your educational You talk one thing and you do institutions? something else. As the speakers before me had pointed out, even in the past our educational development has been lopsided. Emphasis has been on higher education when you could not absorb these people

into jobs for which they have been trained and yet, at the primary level thg expansion has really not been broad-based.

I feel that this Government is now going to reorient its educational policy to making imported technology more acceptable and, the exPort of scientists more paying. As far as medical and engineering colleges are concerned, it is true that there is a scramble for seats. Private colleges, even in my State and many other States, are charging exorbitant capitation fees. They get students in upto a certain point where merit is recognised; the rest are given opportunity through very heavy capitation fees: and there is nothing you can do because it is literally blackmarketing of seats in the country. And yet I feel, what you need today is doctors. I do not want you to copy the system of barefoot doctors as I am not obsessed by the China syndrome like many other fellow-politicians are these days.

DR. V. P. DUTT; Now they have started wearing shoes. They have been ordered to wear shoes.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: They are wearing shoes: they are no longer barefoot doctors; okay. But why can't we get people picked up from the rural side, trained for rural services themselves? I do not want doctors from Bombay, Calcutta and Madras, or engineers, to go and work in the rural side. It is difficult for-them to readjust.

But there young people, intelligent, dedicated young people, in the rural areas. Even for the Adult Education Programme I am certain that the people who will be taken for this purpose are those from the cities, from the universities, the unemployed engineers and graduates and they will be asked to go to the rural area for adult education. This is the trouble. We do not pick up the local talent and train them-for the local programmes. If this would be done, there would be

no unemployment in the cities, and there would be no shift of population from the rural side to the cities.

302

Now the other question is about the language. 1 am not a fanatic as far as language is concerned. I speak, read and write Hindi. It was my second language in the university long before anybody thought of fighting it in the South. I want to say that language can make and unmake an educational system. If today there is this fear in the country that Hindi is going to be pushed, then, you will see that the students in the South, as a reaction, will stop studying the language altogether for the simple reason that nobody wants to do a thing when compelled to do it. But I ask you, Mr. Education Minister, while we in the South have learnt Hindi with a great effort, I want to know in how many of your schools in the Hindi Speaking States you have introduced languages from the other parts of the country and made them compulsory for them?

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUN-DER: Ask Panditji.

[Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair]

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: I would have asked Panditji had he been the Education Minister. Is if fair to make our children learn more languages? And some of the minorities speak their own languages. If you want the language policy to succeed, you must give the students in the Hindi-speaking areas a language other than that of their own State. Only then there will be the feeling that we are all learning together and sharing the burden together equally. I will give my own example. I have my own children studying in Delhi. I had to bring them with me when I was elected to Parliament. The language in my State is Kannada, and I would certainly like my children to learn Kannada because they have to bo gack there. When I asked in Delhi,

303

[Shrimati Margaret Alva]

I learnt that there was only Sanskrit. There is no Kannada. They must learn Hindi and they must learn Sanskrit. What use is it to my child ren? But, no. Your policy is like that. There is no opportunity even to learn their own language in Delhi. must go to the school and learn Hindi and Sanskrit to keep your local re gulations .--. '

As far as the Adult Education Programme is concerned, I am a member of the Adult Education Board, and I do not want to offer any criticism here because I can offer it in the meetings of the committee. But I would say that you lay emphasis, not shift completely from the primary school level to the adult level because I know that ultimately it would be a question of funds. And T would say here very honestly that if you have to choose in your allotment of funds between 0-14 agegroup and 15—30 age-group, let us build the new generation with complete educational facilities provided and let us not deprive the new generation of this age, that is the under 14 age group. At least let us start at that stage and see that the next generation in this age group is educated completely.

The question about the involvement of the R.S.S. in the Adult Education Programme has been raised. I would say, Mr. Minister, I have also been concerned by what I have been reading in the free Press. It has been reported in the "HINDUSTAN" sapta-hik that the Secretary of the RSS himself has made a statement that they have been asked to run the adult educational programme in a big way and that they would do it with determination under the able guidance of their leader, Mr. Deoras. Now, even if they are helping in this programme, I do not think that it is proper for any organisation to give the impression that they are masterminding or leading this whole programme of adult education. It may create immediately a reaction and a

certain amount of hostility from the general public. I would plead with you, therefore, to correct this misim-pression and to see that non-political organisations, the university students, the local organisations and others come in and not to let the people think that the public money is being given to the organisations like the RSS to run the Adult Education Programme in the country.

Education Policy

I just want to take two minutes more. You are looking worried already, Sir.

I would like to emphasise one or two points. One is the question of the 10-f-2-(-3 system, about which there has been so much discussion and so much debate. I would plead with you: please don't think that this was a brainwave of the previous Government and so it must be undone. I think there is a lot of sense in that system, not because it came as a proposal from my Government but because I believe that indiscriminate admission into the universities in their thousands and millions and their coming out with paper degrees solves no problems either for the students or for the parents or for the nation itself. I am happy that after 10 year3 of general education, there will be two years of vocational training for the students. This has been done in other countries. And this belief that one has to get a degree and get a whitecollar job and sit at the desk and mark files is something which we have to remove because we need technicians. In fact, as far as trained man-power is concerned, we stand third in the world today and we are proud of it. Let us train our young people to do something concrete rather than just get a B.A. People come to us for jobs. So many of them come pleading for jobs. What can you do? I can do nothing if a person merely gets a B.A. and comes to me and asks for a job. Even if he has passed only the High School examination, if he has a certificate" in shorthand and typing, you can absorb hifil

somewhere. But what can I do if he is just a B.A.? I cannot make him a clerk and get him employed somewhere. There is need not so much for degrees but for emphasis on technical education after ten years in school. This is something which will solve many of our problems. Then, let our education become more and more job-oriented. This was talked about for 30 years, but we seem nowhere near getting at it. But your announced prgramme that within 10 yearsone-and-a-half years are already over-you will be able to solve the unemployment problem in this country, puts a special burden on you to reorient your education policy to see that it becomes job-oriented, because I hope you also do not think that election promises are only for the manifesto to the forgotten. People believe you are going to do it. But we find that in all these 18 months, you have not even started drafting a programme by which you can make your so-called employment policy in some way connected with your education policy.

Now, i hope I won't sound partisan, but I am the only woman speaking today and so I have to say a word about women's education. There is no denying the fact that in 1975 a lot was talked, a lot was promised, a lot was said about making women's edu cation a little more priority-oriented. As it has been rightly said, you edu cate a man and you educate an in dividual; but you educate a woman and you educate a family. So wo education is a primary men's impor tance today in this country. The dropout rate among girls particularly in the lower income groups and parti cularly in the rural side is very high because the girl has to look after the young ones at home when the mother Therefore, the need is away at work. is somehow to relate your educational -' institutions—the Labour Minister here—with that of setting up creches for children of working mothers. This is very important, i had raised this question earlier.....

DR. V. P. DUTT: The Labour Minister comes here only to hear you. He does not come here to hear anybody else.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: 'Don't be jealous. I would just like to say that it would be very necessary somehow to relate creches, particularly in the rural side and in working areas, with the primary schools so that the elder girls when they go to the school can leave the children in the creche to be looked after. Otherwise, with all your allotments, with all your plans, the girl at the primary school level is going to be a permanent dropout and permanently backward as far as education is concerned. *

Then, just a word about the need to emphasise development of sports and cultural activities along with our education. I do not think this point has been touched at all by anybody. I believe that sports are something which this country has got to emphasise a little more within the educational system which has till now been neglected the most. You have educational institutions without even a 6 sq. yd. plot in front of the institution where the children can gather for an assembly. The need to have a common play ground in different areas where children can go and play, is of very great importance. And then introduction of yoga as a means of physical fitness is also necessary. Yoga has got a great tradition in this country and it needs little plan. It should be introduced at the primary school level.

Finally, what I want to speak about is the trend of political interference in the universities which we have noticed over the last few months about which members have already spoken. I very often wonder with whom the Government is. On the one hand they claim to be with the students,, and on the other hand they say that discipline has to be restored and so everything, has got to be changed. When the Delhi University took 8' stand about discipline and students^ were punished, two Ministers of ther

[Shrimati Margaret Alva]

307

Union Government led a delegation to the President to plead that their cases must be reviewed. Ministers of the Government of India leading a delegation of students who have been rusticated by the University does not speak well for the Government or for anybody concerned. And then you have heard about the Jawaharlal Nehru University; you have heard about the fate of even teachers of the History Congress. Why is there this new trend to try and control even history teachers and their interpretation of history? What are we heading for in this situation? Finally I would like to say 'hat education is not rsxfly the building, or everything else that you put into it; education is judged by the end-product of the process. What are we training our young people today for? Why are our moral standards falling? Why is it that public life itself has gone into disrepute? It is because there is not enough emphasis in our educational system, on character building, on nationalism, on a sense of service to the nation above oneself. unless this is inculcated in our youth through our educational system, no amount of outlays, no amount of educational reforms and no number of dedicated Education Ministers can change anything in this country. Thank you.

श्री कमलापित त्रिपाठरे : मान्यवर, यह कव समाप्त हो रहा है ?

श्री उपसभापति : श्रव मन्त्री महोदय उत्तर देंगे।

SHRI K. K. MADHAVAN": Can you not give me five minutes?

SHRI DEVENDRA NATH DWIVEDI: I would request that Mr. Madhavan be given just five minutes at least.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All the parties have exceeded their time-limits,

श्री शिव चन्द्र झा: थोड़ा थोड़ा समय सब को दे दिया जाये।

श्री कमलापित व्रिपाठो : सदन का समय है 11 बजे से 6 बजे तक । श्रीर समय बढ़ाने के लिये यहां कोई रेजोल्यूशन भी नहीं मूच हुआ कि समय सदन का श्रीर बढ़ाया जाय श्रीर सदन बढ़ता चला जा रहा है। तो श्राखिर यह कब तक समाप्त होगा ।

र्थाः उपसभःपति : माननीय मन्द्री महोदय से मैं निवेदन करूंगा कि वे उत्तर दे। ः बहुत समय हो चुका है।

श्रं प्रताप चन्द्र चन्द्र : कमलापति जी से मैं कहूंगा कि मुझे भी तो कुछ वक्त उनको देनां चाहिए । इतने सवाल उठे हैं । उनका कुछ जवाब तो देना चाहिए । यदि आप चाहें तो मैं जवाब न दं।

ं श्री कमलापित विषाठी : श्राप जवाव दें, लेकिन सदन को समय बढा देना चाहिए, नहीं तो समान्त करना चाहिए (Interruptions)

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUN-DER: Mr. Deputy Chairman, I h?ve for over five hours patiently listened suggestions points and various of criticism made by several honourable Members of the House. But when we say education is very important, it seems that this importance is not reflected by the presence of Elders in this House, because I have counted the heads and I find that not even ten per cent of the honourable Members are present. Anyway, when the respected Leader of the Opposition is there I am expected to address you, Sir. Since you are present, I am certainly to address you and express my point of view.

Some of the hon. Members have criticised us on the ground that we are undoing whatever had been done in the past or we are trying to change the national consensus and BO on. I

would like to draw the attention of the hon. Members that even in the national policy on education which was adopted in 1968 and which has been referred to in this House is clearly mentioned at the end that the Government of Indis will also review every five year_s the progress made and recommend guidelines for future development. Therefore, this national consensus was not final. Every five years such a review should have been made, but it was not made. It took ten years. Now, when we undertake such a review and if we suggest some .guidelines for future development, it cannot be said that we are undoing the national consensus or we are undoing whatever was done in the past. We are actually following the national policy on education. This has been reviewed and some of the reports of the review committee have been placed here. Others have taken place at different levels and we are preparing the new national policy on education which was placed before the Ministers' Conference. Then a copy had been sent to the various States and many of the States have considered the draft national policy. They have expressed their views. But many want us to give them more time for this purpose. I thought I shall be able to place the new draft policy before this House and the other House in the course of this session. Now

-many States have written to us saying that they would require more time to consider this matter. So, I am very sorry it will not be possible for me to place the new national policy in its draft form before this House during this session. However, it is not true t₀ say that we have no sense of direction. It" is rather wrong to allege that we have not indicated our priorities. In fact we had indicated them as early as the 5th April, 1977 when I made the statement on this both in this august House and in the other House. We are relentlessly and ceaselessly pursuing on the basis of the priorities that we have set before us. Therefore, it is not correct to say that we have no sense of direction or there is confusion. This type of allegations and statements are not borne out by facts.

Education Policy

But some of the hon. Members have praised this—Development of Higher Education in India-a Policy Frame as prepared by the University Grants Commission. They have praised it and I thank them for that. At least they had some word of praise for the work of an institution which is with us and which is being financed by us either directly or indirectly, whatever it may be. When they praised this policy statement here, they mentioned about double standards set~in the educational system. Then they praised adult education, universalisation of elementary education. secondary education Vocationalisation. What is new in this book? It is entirely based on the priorities which we had set earlier last year on the 5th April, 1977. Therefore, what this book says is more or less the substance of what we have indicated. So, when they criticise us by saying that there is no sense of direction, I would subnii- that ibis apprehension should not bp th.re We are not only having a sense of direction but we are trying to implement it in a systematic manner. What is the system we are following? We have discussed the various aspects of our policy at different levels and we had series of meetings with educationists representatives of various bodies, educational and non-educational, and we have consulted even representatives of political parties in connection with adult education programme and connection with student unrest.

So, we are trying to meet the cross-section of the viewpoints so that we can formulate such a national consensus. Then, Sir, we are providing for funds for the priorities that we have set before us and, as I have told you earlier—some of the honourable Members a] so have said about it earlier we have set our priorities for the first time in such a clear fashion and herein lies the difference in our approach and the approach of the previous Government.

[Dr. Pratap Chandra Chunder]

We have said that we have the first priority for broad-basing education in our country. That is" fo say, we want adult education and we have a time-bound programme for making ten crores of adults literate in five years' time. Now, how can we do that unless there is proper allocation of funds? As the honourable Member, Dr. Zakaria, has pointed out, funds are important. So, we approached the Planning Commission and I am glad to tell you that whereas in the last Plan only one per cent of the total plan allocation had been provided for adult education, in this coming Plan, ten per cent has already been provided for and more will be forthcoming if we can show results. Is that not an achievement at least in the matter of our approach and in the matter of a realistic assessment of the situation and a realistic step towards the solution of the problem? Similarly, we have said that primary education should be universalised. Various figures have Veen quoted and I have said about that on the 5th April last year and, in order to help in the implementation of this aspect, we have approached the Planning Commission and I am glad to tell you that the Planning Commission has increased the fund allocation in the plan from 32 per cent in the last Plan to 50 per cent in the present Plan. Is that not a proper and realfstic approach towards the solution of the problem? Now, the point is that we have to tackle the other fields also. Mention has been made of the dropouts. We know that there are dropouts because of poverty and because of various other factors. So, we are also formulating schemes of non-formal education for these drop-outs so that we can solve this problem also and we want to shift our emphasis, as has been very well made out. by Mrs. Alva just now. In the past, there was the class-oriented education and we now want to make it a mass-oriented education. This ia a Tery big shift in our policy and this we are backing up with the provision

of adequate funds for the purpose. I do not say that it is completely adequate. More funds would help u»;, more. But what has been provided by the Planning Commission is certainly a definite improvement in this field. Now, what is the modality of implementation? Many of the honourable Members have criticised us saying that the Government is doing nothing and that there is a lot of corruption in the schools and so on. They have also said that dilapidated school buildings are there and so on. Now, who is responsible for running a school? Under the Constitution, it is the State which is responsible for running the schools, for schools education, and the Central Government is responsible for providing standards in respect of university education or higher educational institutions. Similarly, educational institutions under the school system are run by the State Governments. So, whatever policy we frame, we have to take the State Governments into confidence in respect of that policy. So, what we have done in this field is that we have consulted the Ministers of the States, not once, but twice. Last year, the Ministers met and they have by and. ' large approved of our approach. This year also the Ministers have met and they have given their stamp of approval on almost all the points except in formulating the national policy on education because they wanted more ' time to consider the matter in all its details. But, in the matter of formulation of the policy in a broad manner, there is not much of a difference and, you will remember, Sir, that when we speak of the State Governments, it is not the State Governments run by same political party as it was tha case during the previous regime. Now, we have broad spectrum of all the

political views in the administration of the States. So, when we try to get the approval or help of these Stata -_ Governments representing the view of the Congress (I) or the Congress or the Communists or the Marxists or, the.

""National Conference or the Janata or ~the various other local parties als₀ in V "the eastern States, that indicates really the national consensus which was not present in the past. So, when these "Ministers agree on broad principles, on "othe broad matters of principle, we can •take pride in the fact that her, is realty the national consensus when the 'broad spectrum of the various political units holding different view have "considered the broad plan of education and they have given their stamp of approval to this.

Now, with this end in view, we are proceeding at the grass root level. We are sending our officers to different 'States to talk to the Education officers of those States individually. There have been meetings of the Chairmen of the Boards and Secretaries Secondary Education, and I have at tended that meeting twice, so that we can convey our ideas so that people who the level of are at ex ecution of those idea9

the State level will certainly carry out these ideas. Then, when I go to dif ferent States, I individually meet Chief Ministers and Ministers to discuss the various aspects of education so that the ideas are certainly conveyed to them and they can also give us their view points. And I can tell you that I am getting very good response from all different Ministers in different parts of the country. Only the other day, I had been to Calcutta, and I had three hours' discussions with the Min ister of the United Front who is in charge of higher education and adult education and all the Vice-Chancellors of the State had come. Now, these are some of the modus operandi which we are trying to follow so that our ideas can be implemented at the State level. I had similarly gone to Vishakhapat nam and I had a meeting with the Education Minister who belongs to Congress (I) party, and I am glad to tell you that we got very good res ponse from the honourable Shri Ven katarama Reddy. And not only that. Today I find a news published in the Patriot which gives the view of Dr.

Chenna Reddy, Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh, and what he says here in this report is exactly in the light of what I had said in 1977 before this august House. I had said that the reduction of load on the students should be effected, so that the children (may have proper time to develop their total personality by taking part in sports, games, exercises and cultural pursuits. And Dr. Chenna Reddy has said the same thing. He had said that the curriculum in the schools would have to be changed to reduce the "donkey's load" of bocks which clutter their minds. Similarly, he also says that this would bring an effective change in the character of education and under this scheme the school would start at 6 in the morning with prayer, vogic exercises, and that school children will be given free meal, etc. So we are getting results and we ara getting response from the various State Governments.

Education Policy

Sir, I have a big file with me which deals with the reactions of a large number of States but because there is not much time, I do not want to go into details. Many States have accepted in writing the oolicies that we have adopted, and others have taken some time to consider. So, by and large, I can say that the policy that we have adopted has got proper response from different parts of the country. But the details are being worked out. And I shall present the details before this House in the coming session.

Now, let me indicate to you how we want the change. I have already said that our first priority is to broad-base our education. That is why we have this adult education programme. Now, many criticisms have been made about the involvement of USS and other groups or politicalising the issue. Now, if I remember correctly, there was a Conference in 1965 organised by the UNESCO held at Teheran, and it was decided by the Ministers coming from different parts of the world that no policy of adult education can succeed unless there is political will behind it. To have this political will, I have not

[Dr. Pratap Chandra Chunder]

only consulted a large number of groups like organisations, teachers' students' organisations, voluntary-agencies, workers' organisations, but I have also consulted leaders of political parties. A.nd all of them have agreed to accept this programme and to start this programme. But when we were formulating the details, we came to the conclusion that this adult education should not be simply adult literacy just to teach these adults the 3R's.

Tt should be linked up with our developmental process. In other words, it should be a composite integrated education so that the adults are moti-. vated and they get something out of the great change which is going 1 to take place throughout the country. In the coming Five Year Plan, there will . be rapid in the rural areas and if the development adults do not take part in this developmental process and, at the same time, if they are not educated, then there will be a hiatus bet- ween the two and the nexus will not < be established. Therefore, in consul-- tation with the Planning Commission, it has been decided that at the level ofadult education, 350 hours will be alii located for each adult in a year. Outof these 350 hours, 200 hours will be *:for adult literacy and numeracy and ""150 hours will be allocated for educa-• tion in health, in agricultural matters, Si acquisition of some skills, in games

etc. so that the total personality of the adult can be developed through education, the adult becomes a link in the matter of development of rural areas and he takes legitimate pride for his part in rural development, In the matter of education of women, I can assure Mrs. Alva that nearly 62 per cent of 10 crores, i.e. nearly 620 lakhs, will be women adults. That is the scheme. But how to implement this scheme? We cannot implement from Delhi the adult education scheme throughout the country which is spread out in different parts starting from the most eastern to the most western and from the northern-most to the south-

ern-most part we have to take the State Governments into foilde-^e. Therefore, it has been deci(?»-i t)*at^ even when the voluntary agencies are to be employed for this purpose, ths selection of the voluntary agencies will depend on their clearance from.' the State Governments. Whether it is R.S.S. or a Communist organisation or a Muslim League organisation, we have indicated the guidelines. There should be no direct participation in political propaganda and no discrimination on the basis of race, sex, caste, colour or creed. Then, we have also said that in these matters the main role of the political parties will be to have the motivation and to create a climate in the matter of field work. We would like to have registered societies or trust bodies, but all these will not be selected by us dirsctly at the central level. It will be done by the Stat© Governments and if a particular State Government actually nominates certain parties or selects certain parties and sends them to us, then we will certainly have the option to consider whether these bodies satisfy the guidelines of non-communal approach, democratic approach, non-violent approach, etc. We have a right to check whether they satisfy all these things and only then we will provide funds to these bodies, not directly but through the State Government again. This has been the decision of the Education Minister's Conference. -Therefore, the fear that we are paying so much to a particular organisation is absolutely wrong. We have not paid to that particular organisation up till now. Whatever we have paid is to the registered societies which have recommended by the State Governments. Under the coming programme which we have undertaken, we will depend on the State Governments. In other words, there will be a sort of partnership between the Central Government and the Slate Governments because according to the financial provision also, the Planning Commission says that 50 per cent of the adult education expenses should be provide ed by the Centre and 50 per cent by

the State Governments. Therefore, in the matter of choice also, the State Governments will have their say. That is the position. So, whatever apprehension is there, I do not think it is borne out by facts.

But I can say about the RSS that they are really dedicated people, and Prof. Rashiduddin Khan has also sai that the RSS has actually done a lot of good work. Whether they believe in ancient traditions or not, I think we all believe in our ancient traditions. Why shouldn't we have faith in our own past? Why shouldn't we have patriotism? Therefore, -it-is not a fault if a particular group or followers of certain organisations have faith in the past, faith in the glorious tradition of India. Why should we denationalise ourselves? We have not gone to that length. However, this is neither here nor there.

Now, Sir, about the various other points which have been raised, I would like to point out that there is no scope for pessimism as Dr. Dutt has mentioned. If we have pessimism, then we cannot work. We have to work with optimism. May be, we will succeed, we may not succeed. But that does not matter. But if we give vent to our pessimism, then we will be immobilised and no change can take place in the country. I have

.optimism. I have faith in our people.

" I have faith in the administrators at the State level, in our own officers, in the officers of the State Governments, in the administrators of different States, And I am getting good response from many of them. So, I should humbly request Dr. V .P. Dutt to get rid of this pessimism and in that case, he will find that he himself will come forward to help us in implementing the programmes that we have undertaken.

DR. V. D. DUTT: I am prepared - to do whatever I can.

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUN-DER. That is most welcome. That

suggestion is very welcome. And Mrs. Alva is also in the National Adult Education Board. We expect much from them because it is not the task of the Education Minister of India or any political party alone. A single political party cannot do anything.. After all, here we do not believe ir, one party system. Here we have plurality of parties. Everybody should be involved in this, in creating the atmosphere, in creating the motivation so that we can succeed in this process. Now, Sir, that is the first priority.

Sir, the second priority has been to change the contents of education. Dr. V. P. Dutt has listed a number of statements that he has made concerning the changes he wants in the educational pattern. And I can assure him that most of the suggestions that he has already made or he has repeated today are incorporated in the pattern which we have undertaken. So, when We change the contents of education, we want to make education related to life. Here again is a very significant change. Earlier, education was more or less bookish in character. But we tried to change the pattern of education, and this Review Committee, that is, the Patel Committee's Report indicates how we propose to do that. Here, you will notice that in the lower classes, in the primary classes, we have one language, not too many languages. Then, mathematics, then environmental studies, which Dr. V. P. Dutt has already mentioned. Then, Sir, even from the lowest class, we have involved the new element of socially useful productive work, that is to say, even from class one, the children will start with that concept of socially useful productive work. It is not a simple work experience. But it is some work with hand which is related to the good of the community. It may also be services like propaganda in the matter of health and sanitation or it may be for growing something in the fields attached to the school so that it may be useful for the community, and animal husbandry and

; [Dr. Pratap Chandra Chunder]

what not. Similarly games and crea tive activities such as music, dancing and painting, in other words, even from the lowest class, a comprehen sive education is sought to be given which would try to develop the total personality ol the child. 8 p.M.

Now, let us turn to the next group of classes—five to seven or eight as the case may be. Here also, we have language for seven hours, mathematics for four hours, history, civics and geography together for four hours, science and integrated course for four hours. And it is not correct to say that we are dismissing science from the course of our study. That is absolutely wrong. We are not. But we are trying to make science more intelligible to our children so that they ean really take something out of this. So, science is there. Then, arts, music, dancing, paintingthree hours, and socially useful productive work and community service—six hours. Then, games, physical education and supervised studies—4 hours. Thirty-two hours is the total workload in a week.

Now, when we come to the next group, classes 8 or 9, on the one hand, and up to languages, mathet-matics, science, history, civis, geography and then are given; but socially useful options productive work community service still find an important place as also games, physical education and supervised studies. "In other words, the content which we want to place before our people will be comprehensive content. It is not lop-sided content as has been suggested by some of the hon. Members. We are not doing away with science. We -are certainly having games, as Mrs. Alva just now pointed out. At every stage, games physical education are made compulsory. So, these are •some of the problems that will have t_0 be solved and how to solve them, -much will depend on the co-operation *We get from different States.

Now, a question ha_s been raised about the problem of higher educa-

tion. We hsall have more opportunities to discuss this matter when we take up the Report of the UGC. In the case of the higher education- "* here also-, we find that the UGC has indicated one important change in the field of higher education. What they have suggested is that there should be an extension project for all university students and teachers, so that they will be involved either in the adult education work or some other developmental work, which is also an innovation in this field. The UGC is framing detailed schemes for this purpose and we are finding that from class 1 onwards up to the higher studies at the university level, the students will generate a new social commitment so that they will had be isolated from the society and the present alienation which exists between the students and the society will be done away with, if we are able to implement the programme that we are laying before this House.

Now, Sir, in the course of the debate, and apart from that also, certain detailed questions have been asked. For instance, this problem about the Jawaharlal Nehru University was mentioned. Now, you will notice, Sir, that the Prime Minister took up thi3 task of making some preliminary inquiry into the matter in certain serious situations which had developed in that particular university. On account of the students' demonstrations the university had to be closed down and students were demanding the resignation of certain officers of the university. So, the Prime Minister went out of his way to save the situation and, instead of thanking him, I am surprised that some of the hon. Members are criticising him. It was not his business. He need not have taken up this matter on himself. In spite of his heavy preoccupations, he offered or volunteered his services to make a preliminary inquiry into this"" matter, he has taken great pains to get the report from the university, the complaints from the people, and,

on the basis of these complaints to get an answer from the university and after that he had come to certain conclusions: but still it is a preliminary report and it has been sent to the Ministry for processing. This is being processed and it may be that we need not have to make the formal inquiry with the help of the Visitor. It may be, that the university authorities themselves can see reason in this suggestion and the university authorites themselves may change some of the patterns which had been criticised at different levels. Therefore, it will help us to save a large part of our time and other complications in the running of the university. Instead cf supporting the Prime Minister's stand, I am surprised that very respectable academicians like Dr. V. P. Dutt or Dr. Rasheeduddin Khan, have criticised it. I do not think there ia any harm in this. It is not a commission like the Shah Commission. Tn fact, certain matters concerning the university are still before the Shah Commission as also in the case of Delhi University. Therefore, we are trying to see how best we can solve the problem of this university without going into the great deal of for-ma'itiss. Nobody has been dismissed; nobody has been asked to go. It is not correct to say that the Vice-Chancellor has been asked to go. In fact, when the matter was discussed with the Prime Minister, the Vice-Chancellor himself felt that in that situation, possibly, he might resign. However, he has not resigned. He has gone to Canada to attend the conference of the Commonwealth Vice-Chancellors. Therefore, I do not know why there is so much of furore in the university circles. We are not going to do away with the autonomy of the universities On the other hand, jn the Bills that I am bringing before this House and the other House—this

Viswa Bharti Bill or the Aligarh Muslim University Bill and in future I•will bring other Bills also relating to-as Hindu University and oth-jr

universities-I am trying to give back democracy to the universities cerned. These universities had been. in the past, more or less packed bodies consisting of the nominated members. I had to nominate some of the mem bers to some of these universities. I do not want that. I do not want to grab power. I want to give real au tonomy to these universities and with the help of the hon. Members we will certainly succeed in doing so. So, this is not correct to say that we are doing away with the autonomy of the uni versities. Where was this talk of au tonomy when during the emergency more than 200 teachers of Delhi Uni versity had been arrested without trial and sent to jail? At that time there was no talk of autonomy. Some of these hon. Members who are waxing so much in favour of autonomy, were keeping silent before the power that be in those days. So, today it is rather funny to hear from them so much about autonomy. We really be lieve in the democratic system; really believe in autonomy. There fore, we are not only trying to uphold the autonomy but we are trying to restore the legitimate autonomy, the academic autonomy to these universi ties

Education Policy

DR V. P. DUTT: Sir, we are seeing that legitimate autonomy in the JNU.

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUN-DER; Sir, in the JNU also, some of the students were whisked awaj' from the institution (*Interruptions*). Some of the teachers were also whisked away

DR. V. P. DUTT: because the We-Chancellor has been asked by tKe Prime Minister to give resignation. Sir, he is misleading the House.

DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUNDER: Dr Dutt will not like it certainly because it is not going to be palatable *to* him....

DR. V. P. DUTT: Nor will it be palatable to you.