[Shri Kalyan Roy]

it should be paid. So, my request to Mr. Patel is that he should meet the Union representatives and there should not be any further delay in paying the 15 per cent bonus and starting negotiations with the Union. Mr. Patel is here and he can say something.

MR. CHAIRMAN: He has heard.

SHRI KALYAN ROY: Sir, if he prefers to be silent on all issues except the issue of non-payment of income tax by Mr. Biju Patnaik, then what to do.

SHRI N. K. BHATT (Madhya Pradesh): Sir, the position with regard to the LIC employees is not at all difficult to understand. There was an agreement which was arrived at in 1974 at the instance of the Government of India and the Finance Minister had blessed it. Unfortunately this agreement was annulled by an Act. Now that the Janata Government has .committed that workers will get their bonus in accordance with this agreement they should be given their bonus and other fringe benefits which they are committed to give. I have myself written to the Finance Minister and the Labour Minister for their intervention. The workers have done their utmost for the prosperity of this industry and if an honourable settlement is not reached and if this agreement is not honoured, then I am afraid this unrest will spread to other financial institutions, banks and industries like coal, mining and all industrial establishments. In the interest of industrial peace in the country this settlement is urgently required and through you. Sir, I would urge upon the Government to intervene before it is too late because from tomorrow an all-India agitation is going to start.

THE APPROPRIATION (NO. 3) BILL, 1977—contd.

SHRI C. P. MAJHI (Orissa): Mr. Chairman, Sir, this Appropriation Bill

actually deals with the expenditure incurred in the year 1975.

### [Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair]

There is not much scope to speak on this Appropriation Bill except to say that the expenditure which was incurred in the year 1975 actually should have been anticipated earlier before the expenditure was incurred.

This is simply a Bill to regularise the expenditure and that too out of Rs. 245 crores, Rs. 220 crores relate to the expenditure on Debt Services which is an inevitable expenditure. Sir, this Bill does not provide much scope for me to say except to say that the Government should have exercised monitoring of expenditure properly so that such unforeseen expenditure could have been very much avoided.

I want just to make a digression from the scope of discussion by observing about the performance of the Janata Party Government during the last four months, because I was not able to get an opportunity to speak at the time when the Appropriation Bill and the Finance Bill were discussed in this House. So, when the Finance Minister is here, I would like to make some observations regarding the performance of the Janata Government during the last four months.

Sir after a long time, after 30 years, this Janata Party Government has come into existence. It is really a very great change in the country, a historical change has taken place. Of course, this change has taken place on account of, may be, the mistakes which we in the Congress Party committed during our existence in the Government, but during the last four months the performance of the Janata Party has not been able to inspire confidence in the people in general and the Harijans and Adivasis in particular. far, what has come to pass has just been a tall talk. Tall promises have been made, but nothing categorical, nothing concrete has come to pass. As a matter of fact, the growing un-

employment, the price hike and all other things are going out of their control and the rumbling about these things is being heard in the streets. The Janata Party, it appears to me, has become so bold and so proud that they probably think that now since they have destroyed the giant, they could survive for all times to come. In this connection, Sir, I would like to say one thing. The Congress Party which came into existence with the blessings of Mahatma Gandhi, Jawaharlal Nehru, Sardar Patel could administer the affairs of the country for 30 years. It was very powerful, but in a democracy people are more powerful than the Parliament itself. People can change the Government if something goes wrong.

I only want to say that we have been indulging in so much of tall talk that we are not looking into the actual things. Sir, I belong to an area which is very much backward and I belong to a community which is still very much behind. I would only ask the Finance Minister to make some provision for those backward areas and also for backward communities. had made several proposals for those areas when the previous Government was in position. All of a sudden, when the Janata Government power, whatever the previous Government wanted to do has been put in oblivion and something new is held out. If we will be simply just making a start, then again if some new Government comes and once again we start, we will really not be doing anything for the benefit of the country as a whole. Sir, when the previous Government was there, in the State of Orissa, we proposed to have a ferrovanadium plant in the district Mayurbhunj. And this had actually made a headway and we were about to start doing it. The Ministry of Steel and Mines was busy with processing the proposal and it was to pass through the Public Investment But it stopped there. the Minister of Steel and Mines has started talking about the new coalbased steel Plant. Sir, I know these

things are not within the scope  $thi_S$ Appropriation Bill, but only want to impress on the Finance Minister that whenever we want to do a thing, let us not ignore the things which have already been started and start doing something My only point is that if we could create some infra-structure in those backward areas, it would very much help the people there, and they could be relieved of the life of the beasts of burden that they are lead-

I would, once again, urge upon the Finance Minister to consider all these points so that the backward areas and backward communities—about which he has spoken in his budget speech—would be taken care of. So long they have not been given any special attention to these areas I would request the Finance Minister to take care of all these things. With these few words, Sir, I finish.

श्री भीष्म नारायण सिंह (बिहार) : उपसभापति महोदय, विनियोग विधैयक जिसें माननीय वित्त मन्त्री जी ने सदन में प्रस्तूत किया है वह पहले के खर्च से सम्बन्धित है । मुझे कुछ ज्यादा इसमें नहीं कहना है, पर श्रापके माध्यम से मैं सरकार का ध्यान देश के लोगों की समस्यात्रों की स्रोर स्नाकृष्ट करना चाहंगा ग्रौर विशेष कर देश के 60 करोड लोगों की जो स्राशायें स्रौर स्राकांक्षायें थीं भ्रौर जी यह नयी सरकार गठित हुई है उससे जो उनको स्रपेक्षायें थीं उसके बारे में कहना चाहुंगा । ग्राप जानते हैं कि 30 वर्ष के बाद एक नयी सरकार इस देश में बनी भ्रौर यह स्वाभाविक है कि जब कोई नयी सरकार बनती है तो विभिन्न क्षेत्रों में उससे लोग उम्मीद करते हैं कि वह कुछ पहले की सरकार से ज्यादा ग्रच्छा काम करंके एक उदाहरण पेश करेगी । स्राप देखेंगे कि राजनीतिक क्षेत्र में, ग्राधिक क्षेत्र में, सामाजिक क्षेत्र में, न्याय के क्षेत्र में, इन सभी क्षेत्रों में लोगों की जिज्ञासायें बनीं कि कुछ नये काम होंगे। लेकिन मुझे दुख के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि

### श्री भीष्म नारायण सिंह

लगभग 4 महीने इस सरकार के गठन को पूरे हो गये, वैसे तो इस सरकार का गठन भी पूरा नहीं हुआ है, लेकिन अभी तक कोई ठोस कार्यक्रम इन क्षेत्रों में उदाहरण के रूप में नहीं दिया जा सकता कि इन के स्राने के बाद यह काम पहले से ज्यादा अच्छा हम्रा ग्रीर मैं ग्रापको बताऊं कि राजनीतिक क्षेत्र में तो मैं ऐसा मानता हूं कि यह जनता पार्टी की जो सरकार बनी या मिली जली कई घटक दलों की जो सरकार बनी या श्रकाली दल के सहयोग से जो सरकार बनी उसका एक ऐसा चित्र उभर कर देश के सामने श्राया है कि उससे एक कहावत याद श्राती है कि "कहीं की ईट, कहीं का रोड़ा, भानुमती ने कृनबा जोड़ा ।" जो एक रा<mark>जनी</mark>तिक स्वरूप कांग्रेस के विकल्प में ग्राना चाहिए था वह नहीं स्राया स्रौर ऐसा मालूम होता है कि श्रवसरवादिता की राजनीति की वजह से यह सरकार बन गयी। मैं तो बिहार से म्राता हं। मैं जानता हं कि जनता पार्टी के जो लोग हैं उनमें कितने ही ग्रवसरवादी हैं। मैं वहां विधान सभा का सदस्य था। विधान सभा मे परी प्रविध के लिए ग्रादमी चना जाता है. 5 या 6 वर्ष के लिये, लेकिन दो साल भी नहीं हुए थे, ऐसे लोग जिनको कि हमारे क्षेत्र से कोई मतलब ही नहीं था, हमारा इस्तीफा लेने के लिये पहुंच जाते थे। जो तथाकथित जनता पार्टी के सदस्य हैं वे इस्तीफा लेने पहुंच जाते थे। किस बात का इस्तीफा। ग्राप जनतन्त्र का ढोल पीट रहे हैं, प्रजातन्त्र में श्रास्था रखने की बात करते हैं श्रौर जिस जनता ने हमें चुना है जबिक उसको भी रिकाल करने का ऋधिकार नहीं है, ऐसी हालत में श्राप कौन हैं, श्राप इस्तीफा क्यों चाहते हैं। वहां यह हालत रही, लेकिन मैं इस बात का जिक इसलिये करना चाहता हूं कि जिन लोगों ने दल बदल दिया, इस्तीफा नहीं दिया श्रौर विधान सभा के सदस्य बने रहे वही लोक सभा के जनता पार्टी के उम्मीदवार बन गये और उनको ही ताजिया बना कर ले चले । इसको म्रवसरवादिता के म्रतिरिक्त ग्रौर क्या कहा जा सकता है । क्या इससे कोई ग्रच्छी राजनीतिक मिसाल पेश की जा सकती है । कांग्रेस ने तीस सालों तक इस देश में हुकूमत की ग्रौर इस देश के निर्माण ग्रौर विकास का बहुत ग्रच्छा इतिहास रहा है उसके समय में । लेकिन ग्राप इतने दिनों के संघर्ष के बाद ग्राये । ग्राप को तो बहुत सबक लेकर जो उदाहरण पेश करना चाहिए था वह ऐसा होना चाहिए था कि जिससे हम विपक्ष में जो लोग बैठे हैं उनकी खामियां भी समाप्त हो जातीं । लेकिन खामियां क्या खत्म होंगी जबकि कोई ग्रच्छा उदाहरण तो ग्राप की ग्रोर से प्रस्तुत नहीं हो रहा है । यह ग्राप की राजनीतिक स्थित का हाल चाल है ।

श्रब ग्राधिक स्थिति का समाचार सुनिये। ब्रार्थिक क्षेत्र में ऐसा मालुम हो रहा है कि देश चौराहे पर खड़ा हो गया है । कोई बेसिक सवाल नही हल हो पा रहा है। भ्राधिक सवाल राजनीतिक सवालों से ग्रधिक कठिन है। उस क्षेत्र में कोई नया ठोस कार्यक्रम ग्राप के पास नहीं है। जो पहले हो रहा था वही सब चल रहा है भ्रीर चारों तरफ एक भ्रस्थिरता का वातावरण पैदा हो गया है। स्राप रोज समाचार पत्नों मे देखते होंगे बड़े-बड़े उद्योगों में. कल-कारखानों में एक ग्रौद्योगिक ग्रशान्ति है। बड़े-बड़े सरकारी प्रतिष्ठानों में स्रशान्ति है ग्रौर लोगों मे बड़ा श्रसन्तोष बढ़ता जा रहा है। यह सब देख कर ऐसा लगता है कि जो बेसिक सवाल उनको हल करने थे गरीबी कम करने के, महंगाई धटाने के, बेकारी दूर करने के, नौकरी देने के, यह जो चार पांच <del>ग्रावश्यक बातें थीं जिनको हर सरकार को</del> करना चाहिए था वह नही हुआ और रोजी रोटी, कपड़ा, मकान ग्रौर स्वास्थ्य ग्रादि के क्षेत्रों में कोई ठोस कार्यक्रम इन चार महीनों में हुआ। नजर नही आता।

श्रीमन्, मैं ऐसे इलाके से ब्राता हूं जो बड़ा उपेक्षित है और पिछड़ा हुन्ना है, जो छोटा नागपुर कहलाता है। 20 सूत्री कार्यक्रम के सिलसिले में काफ़ी इन्तजाम वहां पर हम्रा, खास कर गरीब हरिजन ग्रौर भ्रादिवासियों के उत्थान के लिए बहुत ही पाजिटिव काम हुआ तथा काफ़ी भूमिहीनों को जमीनें दी गई । स्रादिवासी क्षेत्रों में स्राप गये होंगे, उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्रापने देखा होगा कि वहां सुदखोरी की बड़ी भारी प्रथा है ग्रौर वह वर्षों से चली स्रारही है। स्रापको सुन कर स्राक्चर्य होगा कि मनी लेंडर वहां पर 1400 परसेंट सूद लेता है। ग्राप ग्रंदाजा कर सकते हैं कि क्या इसका प्रभाव किसी व्यक्ति के म्राधिक जीवन पर पडता होगा। ग्रगर किसी महाजन के खाते में एक बार किसी हरिः न या गरीब का नाम ग्रा गया तो फिर जीवन भर उसका नाम कटने वाला नहीं है। वह जीवन भर उसका गुलाम बना रहेगा। कई मनीलेंडर 20 सूत्री कार्यक्रम के अन्दर पकड़े गए और जेल में गए। कई डिस्ट्रिक्ट मजिस्ट्रेट्स ने वडा भ्रच्छा काम किया । गरीकों ने इससे काफ़ी दिनों के बाद एक राहत की सांस ली थी। त्रखबारों में भी इसकी सराहना की गई। उस वक्त के ब्लिटज में से मैं ग्रापको सुनाना चाहता हूं। यह 20 नवम्बर, 1976 का है, इसके पेज 17 पर नारायण साह का फोटो है जो बहुत बड़ा शोषक था । लाखो रुपये कर्ज में लगाया करता था ग्रौर पूरा इलाका उसके शोषण से बिल्कुल गरीब बना हुआ था। लेकिन जब वह बन्द हुन्ना तो उसने खुद कबूल किया । श्री कृष्ण, सम्वाददाता ने उनका इंटरव्य लिया है तो नारायण साहू ने खुद कहा इस बात को । मैं ग्रापको सुनाता ₹ :--

"Narain Sau confesses that had it not been for Prime Minister Indira Gandhi's 20-point Programme, he would have never thought of returning the surplus land and would have never worried about the poor."

यह भ्रंजाम चल रहा था। ग्रब सरकार बदल गई। वही दुनिया फिर लौट भ्राई है। सारे

इलाके में फिर उसी तरह से जो जमीनें दी गई थीं, जो पर्चे बांटे गये गरीब, हरिजनों श्रीर श्रादिवासियों को उन्हें जमीनों से बेदखल कर दिया गया है। मनीलैंडर्स जेलों से छट कर ग्रा गयं है, ग्रब वे कहते हैं कि 14 सौ परसेंट नहीं, 28 सौ परसेंट सूद लेंगे। ब्रब क्या कांग्रेस की सरकार है ? हम यहां कुछ भी बतायें तो अपोजीशन पार्टी के नाम से सबका एक ही जाब है कि आपने ही ऐसा किया । इससे ग्राप्का कल्याण होने वाला नहीं है। मैं ग्रापको नेक सलाह देता हं कि ग्राप समय रहते ∣हीं चतेंगे तो कांग्रेस ने तो 30 साल क्ष्म शासन किया, श्राप तो 30 महीने भी शासन नहीं कर पायेंगे । आप देखेंगे कि देश में क्या हो रहा है। जब श्राप जायेंगे देश में तो ग्रापको पता चलेगा कि किस तरह से ग्रसन्तोष व्याप्त है।

उपसभापति महोदय, श्रापके माध्यम से मैं मरकार से कहना चाहता हूं कि वह ठोस श्राधिक कायंक्रम बनाये, ठोस कदम उठाये जिससे गरीबों को राहत मिले। जो गरीबी की विभीयिका इस देश में है उसे समाप्त किया जा सके । उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, हमारा देश कही अतिवृष्टि से परेशान है, कहीं अनावृष्टि से परेगान हैं। लोगों के पास बहुत सारे इलाकों में खाने के लिए इस वक्त ग्रन्न नही है । मैं उस पिछड़े हुए इलाके की बात आपसे कहना चाहता हूं । मै उदाहरणस्वरूप पलामू जिले के बारे में यह बात कहना चाहता हूं। मैं अभी दो-तीन दिन पहले वहां गया था । स्थिति ऐसी भयानक है कि कुछ इलाकों में स्रना-वृष्टि थो जिसके कारण रबी की बुग्राई नहीं हो सकी। कुछ इलाकों में जहां पर महुआ के जंगली पेड़ हैं उसका फल सुखा कर लीग खाते हैं, वह भी नहीं हुआ। अभी भदई की बुद्राई होनी थी लेकिन लगातार वृष्टि के श्रि भीटम नारायण सिही

कारण अब आगामी फसल का क्या भविष्य होगा, कुछ नहीं कहा जा सकता। तमाम इलाके में एक भुखमरी की स्थिति है। पलाम् जिले में भंयकर स्थिति पैदा हो गई है । इसके विपरीत बिहार सरकार में जो लोग हैं ग्रौर जो सरकारी यंत्र है. ग्रगर ग्राप उसको देखें तो स्रापको पता चलेगा कि वहां पर भ्रफसरों का डिमोरेलाइजशन हो गया है। वहां पर जिस व्यक्ति को चीफ सेक्रेटरी बनाया गया है वहा बहत जनियर स्रादमी है। अन्य सीनियर ग्रधिकारियों में कई बहुत ग्रच्छे हैं, लेकिन फिर भी एक जुनियर ब्रादमी को चीफ सेक्रेटरी बना दिया गया है । यह ठीक नहीं है। सीनियोरिटी के मुताबिक ही प्रमोशन होनी चाहिए । वहां पर ग्राई० जी० को बदला जा रहा है ग्रौर कुछ ग्रधिकारियों के खिलाफ इन्क्वायरी भी चल रही है। राज्य का सारा प्रशासन जैसा चुस्त होना चाहिए, वैसा दिखाई नहीं देता है। प्रशासन को लोगों की समस्याओं के प्रति जिस तरह से जागरूक रहना चाहिए वैसा प्रशासन दिखाई नहीं देता है। बिहार के मख्य मंत्री ने खद कहा है कि The Law and order situation Bihar not is यह टाइम्स म्राफ इंडिया की रिपोर्ट हैं । गःवो के अन्दर और प्रत्येक देहात में अशांति की स्थिति पैदा हो गई है । सर्वत्र स्रशांति का वातावरण है । लोगों को हर वक्त इस बात का भय रहता है कि पता नहीं कब उनका घर लुट लिया जाय । मैं समझता हूं कि चाहे राज्य की सरकार हो या केन्द्र की सरकार हो, उसको सबसे पहले कानुन ग्रौर व्यवस्था की स्थिति को ठीक बनाये रखना चाहिए । ग्रगर कोई सरकार कानून ग्रौर व्यवस्था की स्थिति को ठीक नहीं रख सकती है तो वह सरकार अधिक दिनों तक नहीं चल सकती है। म्रापको शायद इस बात की जानकारी होगी कि बिहार में सारी यूनिवर्सिटियां ग्रौर कालेज बन्द पड़े हुए हैं । सर्वेत्र प्रशांति है । मेडिकल

कालेजों के छात्रों की हड़ताल के बारे में मैंने यहां श्रापकी श्राज्ञा से सवाल उठाया था। वह सवाल ग्रभी तक हल नहीं हुग्रा है। जनता पार्टी के मंत्रिगण इस समस्या को किस प्रकार से हल कर रहे हैं स्रौर वे इस बारे में क्या करते हैं, इसका मै एक उदाहरण देना चाहता हूं। यह भी टाइम्स ग्राफ इंडिया में पी० सी० गांधी की रिपोर्ट निकली है। इसका थोड़ा-सा पोरशन में स्रापको पढकर सुनाना चाहता हुं 🚤

Bill, 1977

"Curiously, some Ministers, unable to find solutions to the problems facing them, are resorting to gimmicks. For instance, the Health Minister, Mr. Jabir Hussain, joined a dharna of the students of the Government Medical Colleges. the students. But after listening to their demands, he said that since he could not accede to these he would join the dharna."

ग्रब ग्राप एक दूसरे मंत्री की बात भी सूनिये--

"Senior officers too are not happy with the way some Ministers function. It is said, for instance, that the Finance Minister, Mr. Kailashapati Mishra, does not go through the files. He summons senior officials at short notice and at frequent intervals to read out to him the papers submitted to him. Ministers have cut a sorry figure in the Assembly, having failed to do their home work."

मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि इस तरह से कैसे काम चलेगा । बहुत मुद्दत के बाद ग्रौर बडी मुश्किल से जनता पार्टी सत्ता में ग्रायी है ग्रौर दूसरी स्रोर कांग्रेस दल के रूप में स्रापको एक ऐसा विरोधी पक्ष मिला है जिसके नेता ने श्रापको रचनात्मक सहयोग देने का वायदा किया है स्रौर कांग्रेस दल ने स्रभी तक कोई ऐसा काम नहीं किया है जिससे स्नापके किसी काम में बाधा पड़ी हो । स्रापको पीपुल्स मेनडेट मिला है । म्रधिकांश राज्यों म्रौर केन्द्र में भ्रापकी सरकार है। भ्रापको लोगों की

संसस्यात्रों को हल करने की कोशिश करनी चाहिए। लेकिन ग्राप तो कमीशन ही बैठाने में लगे हए हैं स्रौर कांग्रेस पार्टी पर इल्जाम लगाने में लगे हए हैं। हमने तो यह देखा है कि जब सन् 1967 में बिहार में महामाया बाबू के नेतृत्व में मिलीजुली सरकार बनी तो उस वक्त शरू-शरू में कुछ ग्लेमर दिखाई देता था । लेकिन दो तीन महीनों के बाद वह ग्लेमर भी नहीं रहा श्रौर परिणाम यह हुआ कि 10 महीने बाद वह सरकार ग्रागे नहीं चल मकी । लेकिन श्रापकी सरकार में तो वह ग्लेमर भी नहीं है। ग्राज हमारे सामने देश की 60 करोड जनता का सवाल है। मैं चाहता हं कि म्राप ठोस कदम उठायें म्रौर ठोस निर्णय लें ग्रौर ग्रधिकारियों को स्पष्ट डायरेक्शन दें। ग्रार्थिक क्षेत्र में ग्रौर सामाजिक क्षेत्र में जो काम सरकार की तरफ से हो रहे हैं उनकी ग्रगर में चर्चा करूंगा तो सारा समय उसी में चला जाएगा । यहां पर बेलची काण्ड की काफी चर्चा हो चुकी है। पिछड़े हुए लोगो पर जो ग्रत्याचार किये जा रहे हैं वे किसी मे छिपे हुए नहीं हैं। समाज विरोधी तत्वों द्वारा पिछडे हए लोगों पर जो अत्याचार हो रहे हैं उनकी चर्चा मैं इस वक्त नहीं करूंगा। tis the south of their 1 P. M.

इसी में सारा समय चला जायेगा।

इसलिये मैं सरकार से अनुरोध करना चाहता हूं कि जिस कार्यक्रम के लिये आपको मेन्डेट मिला है, आप स्पष्ट रूप से और दृढ़ता के साथ उसके अनुसार देश की समस्याओं का समाधान निकालें और देश की समस्याओं को हल करें।

श्री उपसभापति : सदन की कार्यवाही 2 बजे तक के लिये स्थिगित की जाती है।

The House then adjourned for lunch at one minute past one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at four minutes past two of the clock, Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही (उत्तर प्रदेश): श्रीमन्, इस ग्रप्रोप्रिएशन बिल के संबंध मे मैं और बात कहने के पहले वर्नाटक में इस ममय जो विशेष स्थिति उत्पन्न हो गई है. उसके संबंध में सरकार का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं। उत्तर प्रदेश में ऐसा ही हुम्रा था जब वहां बहग्णा जी मुख्य मत्नी थे तो उनकी केंबिनेट के चार-पांच मंत्रियों ने इस्तीफा दे दिया फिर बहुग्णा जी से इस्तीफा देने के लिए कहा गया। उनको विवश किया गया तथा वहां पर राष्ट्रपति शासन लागु किया गया। स्राज वैसी ही स्थिति कर्नाटक में है। कर्नाटक के 6-7 मंत्रियों ने इस्तीफा दे दिया है ग्रौर वहां के मुख्य मत्नी भी ग्रब सदन का विश्वास खो बैठे हैं। ऐसी स्थिति में ग्रावश्यक हो गया था कि भारत सरकार वहां इन्टरवीन करे ग्रौर वहां कुछ समय के लिए राष्ट्रपति शासन लागू करके वहां चुनाव कराये । श्रीमन् एक शब्द श्रीर मैं कहना चाहता हं चिक वहां के 4-5 मंतियों ने यह ब्रारोप लगाया है भ्तपूर्व गवर्नर के संबंध में कि भूतपूर्व गवर्नर ने गवर्नर के पद पर होते हए भी राजनीति में दखल दिया। इतना ही नहीं बल्कि ग्रपना पद छोड़ने के एक दो दिन पहले उन्होंने ऐसा कार्य किया कि जो मंत्री एलान कर चुके थे कि वे इस्तीफा दे रहे है उन मंत्रियों को उन्होने डिसमिस कर दिया। गवर्नर का यह कार्य बहत ही ग्रप्रजातान्त्रिक ग्रौर परम्पराग्रों के विरुद्ध था ग्रौर बहुत ही ग्रनुचित भी था। इसलिए, श्रीमन्, कर्नाटक के 4-5 मंत्रियों (भूतपूर्व) ने उनके कार्यों की निन्दा की। मैं चाहता हं कि भारत सरकार इस मामले पर ध्यान दे।

ग्रब श्रीमन् मैं जो प्रमुख विषय इस समय है उसकी ग्रोर सरकार का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं। वह विषय इस देश में बढ़ती हुई महगाई की समस्या है। सरकार ने यह बराबर कहा है कि महगाई कम करने के प्रयास किये जा रहे हैं। सरकार ने कुछ प्रयास किये भी

# श्री नागेश्वर प्र'साद शाही]

श्रौर कोशिशें जारी हैं लेकिन सरकार के प्रयास और कोशिशों के बावजूद, वित्त मंत्री जी के वित्तीय कदमों को उठाने के वावजूद, व्यापारी वर्ग को और उदयोगपतियों को उनके मामलों पर सहानुभूतिपूर्वक विचार करने के बावजुद, उनकी कठिनाईयों को दूर करने कं बावजूद (Interruptions) जरा सुनिए। इन सबके बावजूद कि श्रीमती गांधी अब राजनीति में ग्राना चाहती है, यह एलान करने के बावजुद कि अब वह राजनीति से दूर रहेगी, कीमते, श्रीमन् बढ़ती ही जा रही हैं और इसके बावजद कि कांग्रेस में दो गोल हो गये हैं एक एण्टी इंदिरा दूसरा प्रो इंदिरा, कीमतें बढ़ती जा रही हैं, इसलिए मैं कांग्रेस के लोगो से चाहंगा कि जब मैं बोलूं तो वे शांत रहकर सुने ग्रन्यथा मै बहुत कुछ मुनाऊंगा स्रौर स्राप परेशान होंगे ।

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GOSWAMI (Assam): You are speaking on the Appropriation Bill. Do not speak irrelevant things.

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: What I say is very much relevant to the Appropriation Bill.

SHRI PIARE LALL KUREEL urf PIARE LALL TALIB (Uttar Pradesh): You have joined a Party which is nothing but a conglomeration of various groups. It is not a Party at all because it has no ideology.

SHRI NAGESHWAR PRASAD SHAHI: It is your Party which has pro-Indira group and anti-Indira group.

श्रीमती हामिदा हबीबुल्लाह (उत्तर प्रदेश) : किंमतें बढ़ने के बावजूद ग्राप तारीफ कर रहे हैं।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : इसलिए उपसभापति महोदय मैं श्रापके कृ निवेदन करूंगा कि यह लोग शांत रहे श्रौर मुझे कहने दें। इन सबके बावजूद कीमतें बढ़ती जा रही हैं। मैं सिर्फ यह कहना चाहता था कि जब जनता दल के अध्यक्ष ने यह चेतावनी दी कि अगर कीमतें व्यापारी लोग बढ़ाते जायेंगे तो उनके खिलाफ सख्त कदम उठाये जा सकते हैं।

श्री प्यारे लाल कुरील उर्फ तालिब : उठाईये ना फिर । ग्रभी तो इब्तिदाए इक्त है रोता है क्या, ग्रागे-ग्रागे देखिये होता है क्या।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : कुरील साहब मैंबहुत देख चुका हूं ग्रगर श्राप **छेड़ेगे** तो मै बहत कुछ कह ग्राप शांत रहें. . . . हुं। इसलिए मैं श्रीमन्, से यह कहना चाहता हूं कि जब जनता पार्टी के ग्रध्यक्ष ने उन्हें चेतावनी दी, सक्त धमकी दी श्रौर जब हमारे व्यापार मंत्री ने उन्हें चेतावनी दी कि व्यापारी हमे विवश न करें कि मीसा का इस्तेमाल करना पडे, उस समय व्यापारी वर्ग स्रौर **उद्योगप**ति वर्ग में खलबली मच गई ग्रीर उद्योगपतियों ने तो यहां तक कहा कि साहब, हमकोधमकाया जा रहा है जबकि मीसा का प्रयोग न करने का एलान किया गया था। ये सारी बातें उन्होंने कहनी शुरू की । लेकिन श्रीमन्, जब प्रधान मंत्री की श्रपील का उनके ऊपर ग्रसर नही होगा, जब वे जनता के हित का ख़याल नहीं करेंगे और जब वे केवल शोषण का रास्ता अपनायेगे तो कोई भी सरकार हो उसके सामने विवशता की स्थिति होगी कि 🔻 वह उन व्यापारियों के खिलाफ सख्त से स**ड**न कदम उठाए।

श्रीमन्, मैं उन व्यापारियों के संबंध में कहना चाहता हूं ...

श्री प्यारे लाल कुरील उर्फ़ तानिब : इमरजेंसी लाइए तब व्यापारी ठीक होंगे ।

श्री प्रेम मनोहर (उत्तर प्रदेश) : अर्म नहीं श्राती है यह कहते हुए।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : ये दिन्दरा गांधी के लोग इमरजेंसी के अलावा दूसरी

भाषा जानते ही नहीं है। इतने गुलाम हो गए है इमरजेंसी के (Interruptions)

श्री प्यारे लाल कुरील उर्फ़ तालिब : ग्रापने मी० वी० ग्राई० को इतने ग्रधिकार दे दिए हैं कि जब चाहें गिरफ्तार कर लें। इमरजेंसी से भी ख़तरनाक हालात पैदा कर दिए हैं।

श्रीमती हामिदा हबीबुल्लाह: इमरजेंसी को थैंक की जिए।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही : बिना इमरजेंसी के उन व्यापारियों को ठीक किया जा सकता है। मैं कहने जा रहा हं श्रीमन कि जिस दिन इमरजेंसी समाप्त हुई उस के 2 या 3 दिन पहले मैं गोरखपुर में एक दकान में गया तो एक सामान की कीमत उस दकानदार ने 95 रु० मांगी, मेरे पास रुपया नहीं था इसलिए मैंने उस दिन नहीं खरीदा । इमरजेंसी खत्म होने के तीन-चार दिन बाद उसी सामान के दाम उस ने 102 रु० मांगे 7 रु० दाम बढ़ा दिए। मैने पूछा यह क्यों? उस ने कहा, कंपनी ने दाम बढा दिया। मैंने कहा कंपनी के काग़ज देख लो। खोंजने-खाजने के बाद उसने निकाला । मैंने 1970 का काग़ज ढ़ंढ कहा यह तो 1970 का कागज है, श्रौर तुम कह रहेहो कंपनी ने दाम बढा दिया। ग्राखिरकार उसने स्वीकार किया कि दाम उसने बढ़ाया है। मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि व्यापारी वर्ग कभी जनता के हित का खयाल नहीं रखता है। व्यापारी वर्ग तो सिर्फ यह करता है--नाजायज पैसा कमाएगा, जनता का शोषण करेगा श्रीर उसका कुछ हिस्सा नेताश्रों की पाकेट में रख देगा । यह धंधा इस देश के व्यापारियों का रहा है भौर यह ढंग इस देश के उद्योगपतियों का रहा है। वह जनता का शोषण कर के पैसा इक्ट्ठा करेगा । थोड़ा सा ग्रंश वह नेताश्रों की पाकेट में रख कर उनका मुंह बंद कर देगा। मैं श्रीमः! से यह कहना चाहता हं कि इस देश के व्यापारियों के स्राचरण ने यह साबित कर दिया है कि ये केवल सख्ती की भाषा

जानते है। मैं तो ग्रब यह कहने को तैयार हं कि जीवन के उपयोग की जितनी सामग्री है, वह हरगिज व्यापारियों के हाथ में न रखी जाए; उनके हाथ से सारा व्यापार लेकर उसको सरकारी श्रौर सहकारी माध्यम से किया जाए। जब तक इन व्यापारियों के हाथ में डिस्ट्ब्य्शन सिस्टम रहेगा, जब तक कि वितरण की प्रणाली इनके हाथ में रहेगी तब तक ये शोषण करते रहेंगे चाहे प्रधान मंत्री स्रपील करें चाहे वित्त मंत्री श्रपील करें, उनकी अपीलों का कोई असर उन के ऊपर नहीं होगा यह साबित हो चुका है कि उनकी अपील का कोई असर नहीं हुआ है।

श्रीमन्, ये उद्योगपति ग्राज बहुत उपदेश्व स्नाते हैं श्रौर ये ही खले ग्राम इमरजेंसी के दौरान इमरजेंसी का समर्थन करते रहे श्रौर श्राज ये जनता पार्टी को शिक्षा देते है कि श्राप मीसा की बात क्यों करते हैं। परन्तु ये मीसा की भाषा जानते हैं स्रौर मीसा के श्रलावा श्रौर दूसरे रास्ते को सुनते नहीं। मजबुरन सरकार को उसका उपयोग करना पडेगा। श्रीमन सरकार को यह भी देखना होगा कि जो पुरानी पद्धति रही है उस को तोड़ना है। प्रदीप नारंग साहब जो कई चीनी मिलों के मालिक हैं उन की 11 मिलों के ऊपर एक करोड़ डेढ़ करोड़ रुपया गन्ना किसारों श्रीर मजदूरों का बाकी है, लेकिन उसकी रिकवरी नहीं हो पानी है श्रौर जब डी एम से हम कहते है कि क्यो नहीं उन को गिरफ्तार करते, क्यों नहीं उन की मिलों को ग्रटैच करते तो वह कहते हैं कि दिल्ली से हम को रिकवरी सर्टिफिकेट नही मिलता क्योंकि प्रदीप नारंग संजय गांधी के बड़े. दिली-दोस्त हैं। संजय गांधी को ग्रौर कांग्रेस पार्टी के अन्य नेताओं को लम्बी रक्तमें देते थे। लेकिन वह रकम मजदूरों की थी, गन्ना किसानों की थी। उन को उन का बकाया न दे कर वह रकम वे नेताओं को दे देते थे। इस व्यवस्था को सख्ती के साथ तोड़ना होगा भीर भ्रब भ्रापको उद्योगपतियों के साथ

## [श्री नागेश्यर प्रसाद शाही]

दूसरे ढंग से पेश स्नाना होगा। श्रीमन् स्रभी हाल की मिसाल है, उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार ने बिरला साहंब से कहा कि अब आप को बिजली का वही दाम देना पड़ेगा जो स्रौर लोग देते हैं । कांग्रेस सरकार के समय में जब कि किसान और सारी जनता परेशान थी बिजली के लिये उस समय बिरला साहब के कारखाने को ग्राधे पैसे की फी यनिट के हिसाब से बिजली सप्लाई की गयी । रिहन्द डैम बनाया गया उत्तर प्रदेश में ग्रीर उसको बनाते समय स्वर्गीय पंत जी ने वायदा किया था कि इस से पूरा पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश उजाला हो जायगा ग्रौर वहां घर घर मे बिजली ग्रा जायेगी । मगर रिहन्द डैम बना ग्रौर बिजली मिर्जापुर तक के लोगों को नहीं मिली। वह बिरला को दी गयी लागत से कम दाम पर । भ्राज जब मौजदा सरकार बिरला साहब से कीमत मांगती है तो बिरला साहब इस सरकार के खिलाफ प्रचार करते हैं। पत्रकारों को ग्रपने कारखाने में बुला कर उन के मैनेजर कोठारी साहब स्टेटमेंट देते हैं ग्रौर प्रेस कांफरेंस करते हैं। ग्राज भी श्रीमन् उत्तर प्रदेश के उदयोगपितयों के उपर बिजली निगम का 18 करोड रुपया जब कि बिजली निगम इस समय बहत में चल ग्राथिक संकट रहा श्राज भी वहां के उद्योगपितयों पर उस का 18 करोड़ रुपया बाकी है श्रीर यह रुपया वे सारी कोशिशों के बावजूद नहीं दे रहे हैं। इस में साढे तीन करोड तो केवल बिरला साहब के पास बाकी है । जब रिकवरी की कार्यवाही होती है तो वे हाईकोर्ट चले जाते हैं ग्रौर वहां से स्टे ग्रार्डर ले लेते हैं ग्रीर स्टे ग्रार्डर कैसे मिलता है इसको सभी लोग जानते हैं। तो इन उद्योगपितयों के साथ हमें दूसरे ढंग का व्यवहार करना चाहिए श्रीर वित्त मंत्री जी को इस तरह के कानून बनाने होंगे कि वे इन सब मामलों मे हाईकोर्ट में न जा सके ग्रीर ग्रगर जाते हैं तो वे रकम जमा करने के बाद हाईकोट में जायें। श्रीमन्,

सीमेंट की महंगाई के बारे में जब हम लोगों ने कहा तो मंत्री महोदय ने यह दलील दी कि 50 हजार इस के फूटकर विकेता हैं। उन के हाथ से लेकर इस को पब्लिक सेक्टर में नहीं डाला जा सकता। उन के हाथ से ले कर इस की सरकारी व्यवस्था नही की जा सकती । कोई कारण नही है कि क्यों इस की सरकारी व्यवस्था नहीं की जा सकती। गांव गांव में गांव सभायें हैं ग्रौर सहकारी समितियां है। उन समितियों के माध्यम से ग्रौर गांव सभाग्रो के माध्यम से सीमेट, मिट्टी का तेल, कपडा ग्रौर इसी तरह की दूसरी चीजों का वितरण किया जा सकता है। इन के माध्यम से वितरण करने से गांव सभाग्रों की ग्रार्थिक स्थिति भी ठीक होगी ग्रौर सहकारी समितियां भी ठीक होंगी श्रौर इन चीजों का वितरण भी ईमानदारी से होगा। लोगों को जीवनोपयोगी सामान उपलब्ध होता रहेगा । लेकिन व्यापारी इतने शक्ति-शाली है, उद्योगपति इतने शवितशाली हैं कि स्रासानी से कोई सरकार उनके साथ छेड़छाड़ नहीं कर सकती है । जरा सी छेड-छाड होने पर इतने जोर से ये उबलते हैं कि इनके जो ग्रखवार हैं वह इनका साथ देते हैं। वह हर सरकार ५र दबाव डालते हैं। इसलिए मै कहना चाहुंगा कि वितरण प्रणाली को हर हालत में व्यापारियों के हाथ से लेकर पंचायतों के हाथ में ग्रौर सहकारी समितियों के हाथ में देना होगा। ऋगर जनता पार्टी चाहती है कि जनता उसके साथ रहे तो उद्योगपितयो श्रीर व्यापारियों के हाथ से वितरण का काम हर हालत में ले लेना होगा ग्रन्यथा यह 5 साल की जो शक्ति है वह शायद 10 साल के लिए न हो ग्रौर 5 साल के बाद शायद जनता यह सोचे कि उसको क्या करना है । भ्रगर यह वितरण जनता के हाथ में दे दिया जाए, व्यापारियों के हाथ से निकाल कर पंचायतों के हाथ में दे दिया जाए तो 5 साल 10 साल नहीं बल्कि जो अन्याय और जुल्म कांग्रेस पार्टी ने जनता के साथ किया है, जो धोखा और जुल्म कांग्रेस

पार्टी ने जनता के साथ किया है, शायद 25 साल तक जनता इनकी ग्रीर ग्रांख उठाने को भी तैयार न हो । धन्यशद ।

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU (Orissa): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, this Appropriation Bill is a Bill which has come before the House for consideration in connection with the amounts which have been spent in the year 1975. Two items have been brought out. One comes under Defence Services Pension Scheme and the other is regarding repayment of debts. This amount has come to this House hecause it is more than what was anticipated in that year's budget and there is nothing wrong in meeting it from the Consolidated Fund. The other thing is the repayment of debts. It is natural that a Government which is committed create a new social order and a new economic order for the betterment of the common people has to take recourse t.o deficit-financing borrowings for creating a new socioeconomic order and for creating infra-structure like railways irrigation potentialities, power generation, etc. It has to spend more to cater to the needs of the people. While we are discussing this it is very natural that we have to consider the basic approach at time. Is there any change? Are we facing some difficulties in the fiscal policies which have been mulated by the new Government. The main problem of the Indian economy and our fiscal policies is the eradicacion of poverty and creating a new social order and opportur les of employment. Sir I would like to submit humbly to the hon. Finance Minister through you that alhough a lot stones have been thrown on the party which was in power, many of our friends who are sitting on the oppoall, I entirely benches-not agree—are also responsible for philosophy of creating a new economic order and social order without which the maladies of the Indian economy cannot be solved. While coming to this point, I would like to submit that during the discussions on the Financial Bill which came before this House and the Parliament. have been seeing that a twist has been made from the policies of creating a new socio-economic order. find that there are different statemade by different Ministers ments on different polices. We find there are discrepancies also. So it raises a natural doubt not only my mind but also in the minds the general people of the country about the new policies. Are fiscal policies going to favour capitalists in the country or small common man? This is a very pertinent question about which every man thinks. I will just analyse the different points. Sir, we know that the new Minister of Industries is a great socialist thinker. He has been telling very often that we must see that the big houses do not grow and there should not be any preference for capital intensive industries in this country to grow. But in the Finance Bill, we see that we have given them more scope for investment of capital. That is how we have provided for the investment allowance in certain forms in this Bill. Then, Sir, if we think of the rural development which should be taken up as the first priority, what is the new irrigation potentiality that we are going to create? What is the anicipated penditure for this purpose in Budget? Sir, we can say that deficit financing helps inflation. It is true that in certain areas, it helps inflation. But, Sir, have the not increased within these four months? Do you think that we can go on keeping these backward areas backward and we will not create new infrastructure, nev railway lines and new irrigation pr entiality? the other hand, Sir, what are we We are taking the poor people. It might be argued that we are having additional excise on bidis. Bidi-smoking is not good. But this is the common need of the poorest man Bidi is not consumed by the richer sections of the society. Sir, if we read the reports of various commit[Shri Santosh Kumar Sahu]

tees, we shall see what the incidence of taxation is. A small pie at the Central level or one rupee per thousand might be a very uneventful taxation. But when we go to bottom, it creates certain problems, the incidence is hundred times more on the common man. Not only that, Sir. Any taxation levied at any stage creates different repercussions in the price structure of the country at the bottom level. Coming to the other point, Sir what is the incidence of taxation in India? Unfortunately, Sir, not that our friends on the other side are to be blamed. Here we are thinking of the country and no question of parties comes here. Sir, when a common taxpayer pays one rupee, he gets from the Government benefit of 50 to 60 paise. So, again we touch the poor man. So, where do we stand now? Is the common man not bewildered? And this is the fundamental point.

Then, Sir, there are many discourse in the parliamentary debates. Different statements have been made by the hon. Ministers. Regarding exports, the hon. Finance Minister said that we should give preference to the engineering industries and that they must be given encouragement in the export promotion. But, the hon. Commerce Minister said that should not be at the cost of the home consumption. Then the third thing is that hon. Home Minister said something to the effect that big industrialists must be permitted to export and small scale and cottage indusries should eater to home consumption. Sir, does this not lead to confusion? I humbly say that we must have a long strategy on these issues. Has the Government laid down its fundamental policy on this?

Then, coming to another important aspect, Sir, fiscal policies as such are inter-linked with the social development of the common man. But, Sir, have we not seen how the Harijans and other backward classes have been neglected? Have the atrocities not

been committed against them? only that. The facilities on the economic front given by the past Government also seem to be eroded. we have heard about the Belchi incident in this House. It was one of the worst happenings. There the people were brutally assaulted and there was a delay in the arrival of the police. When the first report came, we were informed in the House that the incident took place between two gangsters as if it was like the events that take place in New York where underground gamblers and gangsters fight with each other. The point is that the common man has been deprived of his right. And, what has happened in Andhra Pradesh? It came in the newspapers. The surplus loans which were distributed to the noor Harijans were taken away by landlords. Only a small number of incidents comes to the newspapers. Many things are happening in this country. The poorer sections suffer a lot. They are at cross-roads. They think whether their property, life is safe or not. We will not consider it very seriously. Does it not affect the economic development whole? What country as а is the progressive policy for the benefit of the common man, for the benefit of the poor man? Why has this House brought an amendment ir the clause meant for the merger of sick units with healthy units? It has been done to ensure that the worker interest is protected. Is it not out common goal in our new social ideo logy that workers must participate in management? Does this Governmen believe it or not? They must clarify it. It is a very fundamental question because it creates a sense of owner ship in the minds of the worker which results in greater production Can you think that without things we can move on the right path These are the questions which looming large on the horizon of country.

Now, it is not a question of blam ing this party or that party. What i the report of the I.L.O., the Interna-

tional Labour Organisaion on the question of our changing fiscal policies. An analysis made by the I.L.O. shows that while average labour intensity of the private corporate sector in India has declined industries with lower than average labour intensity have enjoyed considerably larger relief in tax incidence than industries with higher than average labour in-Fiscal measures which can tensity. be expected to change this situation, which can be of help in a pro-labour policy include, complete withdrawal of all fiscal incentives related to the employment of capital. Sir, answer had been given in the Lok Sabha which I read in the newspaper, wherein it had been stated that it was done because the Government wanted the units to be more productive. What do you mean by productiveness? There are many sick mills. They are no longer economic because of the new technique evolved. Let us take the case of jute mills, which the hon. Members have been discussing for a very long time. The cotton mills are the mills which employ a very large labour force. Can we not do a simple thing for them? When we merge sick units with bigger industries, shall we forget the poor workers who have worked for the whole of their lives for the survival of these industries.? Not only that. If jute industry is not protected by the Government naturally not nationalised if it is sick, what is going to happen? We cannot always think of transferring sick units to other industries. If we do that we do not see the common good of the labour and the cultivator.

Sir, we can see from the proceedings of this House and the other House that many hon. Members have observed that when the Commerce Minister visited West Bengal he told the industrialists that there should be no retrenchment, but no heed was paid to him and retrenchment is continuing in the jute mills. So, is it not right for the hon. Members of the House to say that whenever the merger of a sick unit with healthy unit is proposed,

there should be a social rule or philosophy followed whereby the rights of the labour force of the sick unit should be protected.

Now, coming to the next point, you can see that the prices are rising. What are the steps that are taken to see that all the promises are fulfilled? Talks alone will not help us to keep the prices down. There must be a definite policy and it must be tangibly shown to the people that rise in prices has been checked. Without that nobody is going believe the speeches. So, what we want is that the fiscal policy should be suitably adapted to the philosophy of just and equitable economic social order. You cannot only say that deficit financing will help curb inflation, we are trying to balance the budget and we are trying to have a new levy of fees. Ultimately the incidence of these new fees will fall on the poor people. They will be much more affected by the price structure. Of course, I agree that we have resort to a cerain amount of deficit financing if we want to develop backward areas. We cannot solve this problem without resorting to it. So, while supporting this Appropriation Bill, I would like to say that country is heading for a confusion. The fiscal policies which we are now following are going to create problems in the social and economic order which we were following in the past. So, it is necessary that not only the Finance Minister, but also other colleagues should consider and declare their respective policies so that a new idea, a clear idea, is followed in this country, which will be helpful for the improvement of the economic condition of this country.

Then, coming to the other problem, Sir, as you know the greatest problem in India is the problem of unemployment. How are we going to solve the problem of unemployment. It is related very much to the fiscal policies also. Sir, as you know, in the past it was said that young educated men, unemployed people should

[Shri Santosh Kumar Sahu] have financial help from commercial banks and other places for self-sustaining growth. What is happening about that? We are completely in the dark. Many commercial banks started setting up new branches and they started giving help to poor drivers to own taxis and start other industries. But now it is not so. Now want some clear directions from the Centre. It can never be expected that institutions serving the richer sections will support poorer sections. result of this, there has been employment and the poor has become poorer. It is nearly to the tune of five million people unemployed today which may be raised to 6.5 million in coming. decade. Thus, we are facing a giant problem. We have to consider it very seriously.

Then, coming also to fiscal policies followed, we have allowed certain investment allowance. I humbly submit before you, Sir. that it is only the richer sections of the society, the big industrialists who will take advantage of it. The small industry will benefited even if it produces certain items which are not on the priority basis. We are now planning for the sixth five-year period. We are cross roads. Even the honourable Ministers differ in certain cases their line of approach in public utterances. Whatever we may say, fiscal measure as a whole is more for the market economy than subsistence economy which is more important in this country. We cannot ignore people who live below poverty line. Richer sections are getting more benefits and the poorer sections do not get concessions. We think of having more capital-intensive industries but We do not think of the poor manufacturers who manufacture in his house. Not only this, there is the question of unemployment. By levying a tax on bidi, the Central Government may not be able to collect much but the incidence of this levy will certainly be felt in greater proportion by the poor people. It is at the cost of the poor man that the Government may raise a certain amount by this levy. In fact, we need other methods to raise resources...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please be brief.

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: So, my humble submission is that we cannot go on like this saying that the past Government did some mischief. We have emerged out a clear perspection of our economic and social order. We cannot bifurcate these two things. The poor people's economic development also depends upon the social order that we visualise. Now, it must be pointed out in clear lines by the Government otherwise, this country will plunge more into darkness. With pains in my heart I have to say that the poor people, the Harijans, Adivasis, the backward people backward areas have not been looked after as much as they were cared for by the Previous Government. This Government is yet to give a policy. They must come out with implicit and explicit clarification as to what is their view and what is their philosophy. They will say that some Assembly Committee or some committee is sitting. The country will not wait for long. We must be told what their policy is and what their philosophy is. Let us not try to have a negative attitude. This Government, up till now has tended towards right of the centre. As an underdeveloped country, we cannot have the luxury of going towards the right.

With these words, Sir, I think the Government will come out with clear and implicit policy to be pursued on the economic and social development of the country. Thank you.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): Sir, I should like to start on a few issues in connection with the debate on his Appropriation Bill. Since our friend, Mr. Charan Singh, is here, may I start with him? Sir, the Government is committed to releasing the political prisoners...

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFA-IRS (SHRI CHARAN SINGH): I think I am not proving provocative to you. . .

225

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: . . . and steps are being taken in some States, though not satisfactorily, in that direction. As far as West Bengal is concerned, many have been released but some could not be released, like Naxalite prisoners, like Ashim Chatterjee, Kanu Sanyal and others. This is because cases are pending States against them. I would ask the Central Government and Mr. Charan Singh in particular, to help in matter, in persuading the States withdraw the cases in consonance with the policy which is bring pursued by the West Bengal Gc ernment. West Bengal Government has adopted a very broad and forthright policy in this matter as far as I can see. But they have been handicapped by the fact that some of these people, Naxalites and others, have got cases pending against them for years in courts of other States. I hope intervention will be available, not with a view to interfere with the affairs of the State, but to help in the process of release of all these political prisoners.

Sir, many Commissions of Inquiry have been appointed, some by Centre and others by the States. read in the newspapers that some kind of a conflict is developing between the Commissions of Inquiry appointed by the Centre and those appointed by the reading States. Currently, we are something about the controversies that have arisen in regard to the Commissions of Inquiry that have been appointed in Karnataka and in Andhra Pradesh. This should also be sorted out and settled. This is one thing I would suggest. In this connection, Sir, I bring to his notice a very interesting memorandum which was submitted by the Executive Committee of Association to the the IPS Officers' Minister  $\mathbf{of}$ West Bengal. This has not been published. Somehow, I had come across the document.

There, the Police Officers' Association, the IPS' Officers' Association, says something in regard to the appointment of a Commission of Inquiry. Welcoming the decision of the State Government to appoint a Commission of Inquiry. the memorandum goes on to say—I quote:

"In the general condemnation of the police which followed in the wake of the withdrawal of the Emergency, the basic fact has been all too often lost sight of that the police is a part, and a subservient part at that, of the general administration structure and the actions of the police cannot be regarded in isolation from the motives and designs of the political powers that be transmitted at least at the State and district level through the general administration."

Then, it goes on the say—this is a very important point—which concerns the All India Services—I quote:

"The foregoing consideration bring us directly to a point which has been exercising the minds of the members of the Association for a long time—the question of the independence and status of the police revealed at the district level in the relationship of the Superintendent of Police to the District Magistrate and at the State level of the Inspector—General of Police to the Home Department."

Then, the Association says that it is preparing a document on it. This is a very interesting thing. The IPS Officers' Association in West Bengal is trying to make out a case, and understandably so, that whatever had happened was largely due to the political direction and guidance from the Government. This is the suggestion. Whereas the police officials should not be allowed to go scot-free, the Ministers responsible should also be questioned on how the police officials behaved. Here, we should, I think, take

[Shri Charan Singh]

a little interest in it. Not that we should interfere with the administration of the State. But it should not appear as if the Ministerial responsibility for the crimes, tortures, excesses and other things, is being ignored by It should not happen. But at the same time, to my surprise, this memorandum by the IPS Officers' Association does not name any policy officials in West Bengal belonging to the IPS cadre who have been responsible for the excesses, killings and so on. They should have done it. But they have not done it. I have given the names and particulars of those people who have committed crimes during the emergency and before the emergency. Mr. Charan Singh should know that the point I raised there related to what happened before the emergency from 1970 to 1974 or so. This I am dealing with.

DR. RAJAT KUMAR CHAKRA-BARTI (West Bengal): What about people in the administration, subordinate to I.A.S.?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: He cannot deal with that perhaps.

DR. RAJAT KUMAR CHAKRA-BARTI: You are neglecting them who have an equal responsibility...(Interruptions).

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Therefore, this has to be gone into. memorandum is quite interesting. I would ask the Chief Minister of West Bengal, if I may say so, and if Mr. Ramamurti permits, that this memorandum should be published, so that the people should know that the police is trying to put the blame Ministers and Ministers are trying to put the blame on the police. We would like the blame to be correctly apportioned. Neither the Ministers nor the police men responsible for all that happened in West Bengal should be spared. That is one thing, Sir.

Then Sir, we wanted a statement by the Prime Minister as to what happened. With a lot of fanfare the Chief Ministers' Conference took place. It seems that a mountain of talk has produced a mouse of result.

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE): What has this to do with the Appropriation Bill. This is not an 'Appropriation of Blames Bill'...(Interruptions).

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: This is what I find. Nothing much has come, except that we are told that the public distribution system has been agreed upon and would come into operation after Mr. Dharia has finalised the scheme, everything has been processed and the Planning Commission has gone through it. By that time what will happen, we do not know.

Now. Sir. at that Conference many other things were discussed. What about the bonus issue? The session is going to end. The bonus cut is not being restored. The Government is committed to it. Many of you are committed to it. You are committed to the restoration of the bonus cut of 8.33 per cent. It was given by an Act of Parliament in 1973. Arbitrarily, an Ordinance was issued in September 1975 to take away that right to bonus. You yourself in your Janata manifesto have said this. Then what is the difficulty in restoring bonus? Sir, festivals are coming in Bengal—Puja and others. Festivals are coming in other places also. It must be done here and now. Otherwise, Sir, there will be agitations demonstrations and strikes. Everything will be absolutely legitimate. The right of the working class has been taken away. You are committed restore it. That you are not doing. But any action in defence of the rights and gains of the working people is not only legitimate but it is honourable also. That is what I say. find nothing and no decision about this in the Chief Ministers' Conference. We are surprised. I am glad

that nobody in the Chief Minister's Conference recommended the use of MISA even for economic offences. None. What happens? Why is the Bill not being brought. You strengthen the Essential Commodities Act and other measures to deal with economic offences. But the repealed. Mr. Charan should be Singh told in this House that the MISA would be repealed. He should bring the Bill before we go. Other things are coming. Many things are coming. But not this Bill. Why? Explain this conduct. You say that you have appointed a committee. What is the committee doing? We are entitled to know this. What is the progress of the working of that committee? There is no need for a committee. Never did I hear that a committee is going into the question of repeal of a measure. To repeal a measure is very simple. You can do it easily. It was brought in 1969 when the Indira Gandhi Government did not have the majority and we insisted that unless the Preventive Detention Act was allowed to lapse we would vote against the Government. It went in a day. I am not threatening you because of any majority. But why should it not brought to honour your own pledge to the people? Mr. Chandra Shekhar, even today's papers say, is against it. All of you are against it. Why should MISA remain? So, I urge upon the Government to take this step immediately.

Sir, then the question of Centre-State relations has come up. I think the matter should be gone into and it should be discussed. I would urge upon Mr. Charan Singh and the Prime Minister to discuss this matter. We have a new set-up, a new situation and the question has come up from a number of States. And I do hope that it would be done in a proper, democratic manner through mutual consultation. The States do require more economic powers and they also require to be strengthened.

Section in

Mr. Morarji Desai has said that the States should be strengthened and the Centre should be strengthened. I agree. We want the principle of unity in diversity to be given flesh blood at both the ends-at the Centre and in the States. We are for strengthening the basic unity of the country. We want to see that the States are also strengthened and they have their legitimate due and rights. Therefore, there should be a discussion. Tamil Nadu has raised it; Kerala issue has come up; West Bengal has raised it. Other States have also raised it. Here is the time for the Government to take the initiative in this matter and see what changes are needed, not enough Finance Commission is and nothing much will happen. It seems the Government wants to go ahead with prohibition and all that very speedily. But these are other questions. The Centre-State tionship is a very urgent issue.

Then, Sir, in regard to Delhi I have said—and I repeat it again—that Delhi people are justified in their demand that they should have a Legislative Assembly and a fully responsible government. Here in Delhi the multiplicity of authority is working to the detriment of the interests of the people of Delhi. Therefore, I fully support the demand which has Deenvoiced, among others, by our party in Delhi in a resolution...(Time-bell rings)...I am just finishing. Surprisingly enough, Mr. Kedar Nath Sahni, who is the Chief Executive Councillor of Delhi, and who, before the elections, gave the pledge that he stood for a full Legislative Assembly Delhi and a responsible Government, now seems to be falling in line with Mr. Charan Singh and going back on the pledge that he gave during the elections. So, this question should engage the attention of the Government. Delhi is certainly entitled to have their demand met for a Legislative Assembly and for a responsible Government,

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta]

Then, the question of Haryana's capital is also hanging fire. That should also be settled in a proper manner. Here, Sir, I should like to say that I don't know why the P & T Department, for example, is trying to bring in the cross-bar system again. They seem to be placing an order for the cross-bar equipment. They want to import this equipment from the Nippon Electric Company in Japan. This matter has been discussed House and the other House. The cross-bar system was found not suitable for our country. Much was said here and in the other House. now we find that this Government is placing an order for the cross-bar system for about 30,000 lines and so on. I must say what is happening.

It does appear that the Secretary, Communications, has had a longstanding personal relation with the Nippon Electric Company for several years and, some how or other, seems to be interested in helping this Nippon Electric Company of Japan. This matter should be investigated as to why it should happen when the Houses and the Government have rejected this cross-bar system. Sir, I demand that the cross-bar system may not be introduced. Even in Japan, it is being given up. The company has cut down production because, it is not suitable in Japan. Now we find the Government of India is placing a new order for the import of the cross-bar system. The Finance Minister should no sanction any money. He should put a stop to it and cancel the order that has been placed. Here, Sir, is another thing and that will be discused tomorrow. But, for hon. Members' information I will just read out from the White Paper about the conduct of the House which concerns us. On page 29 the White Paper says:-

"All these guidelines were framed with the approval of the Minister of I & B. He wrote to Shri Raghu Ramiah on January 1. 1976 to obtain clearance of the Speaker of

the Lok Sabha and the Chairman of the Rajya Sabha to pre-censor-ship. There is nothing available to show what happened thereafter. Shortly afterwards, a room in Parliament House was set aside for this purpose."

Now, Sir, you see how we feel. A room in Parliament House was set aside for censoring our utterances. They did it. We brought it to the notice of the House from time to time. Nothing happened. I would like to know from the appropriate authority -whoever is there-what the speaker and our Chairman said. Was clearance clearance given? If any was not given and if Mr. Raghu Ramiah or anybody had created the impression as if he had got the clearance from the Chairman and Speaker, he and other Ministers concerned,the authorities concerned-had definitely committed a gross breach of privilege and even contempt of the House. Sir, I should like-on the verification of the fact from the Speaker and the Chairman-the matter to be considered as to whether we should bring in a privilege motion against Mr. Raghu Ramiah, Mr. Shukla and others who evidently, according to it, had violated the privilege of the House. (Time bell rings.)

Sir, before I sit down, Mr. Charan Singh is both interesting and a little provocative. He always tells me what I did when I raise all these questions.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: If you don't mind, I would just like to seek some information. It is very good that you are demanding that a breach of privilege issue should be raised. I just want to know for my information because then I was not in this House and that House also. During the emergency, did anybody try to raise the breach of privilege issue?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I am very glad. Prof. Dandavate is always

very helpful to me and I congratulate him. Here are the proceedings.

233

PROF MADHU DANDAVATE; You raised it, I know.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Everyday we raised, every day we went to the Chamber to protest. Mr. Raghu Ramiah called a meeting. We protested against it to the Speaker—at every point. We know that we had some differences but on this I don't think Prof. Madhu Dandavate and I differ. These are there. These are testimoney to our bona fides in this matter. The proceedings of Parliament—the fingerprints of the culprit Bhupesh Gupta and other Communists—are there. Sir, nothing was listened to.

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: The country is interested in knowing—just like his speeches and going into the Chamber. etc.,—whether the Communist Party continued to co-operate with the Congress...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: That is why I wanted to enter into a little dialogue with Charan Singhji there because he is so amusing. Charan Singhji every day says such thing because that seems to be his only talking point. Did we join the Government in U.P.? We did not join. Did we commit a crime then? We did not join that Government there. There we were in the Opposition. Yes, in certain matters we did support—in foreign policy and certain things also; you can say. You can even say we supported the emergency but, Mr. Charan Singh, we fought all excesses. May I ask him now, since he has always been asking me...

SHRI CHARAN SINGH: Not only that. You voted with the Congress. That is the point.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Mr. Charan Singh, you were not here.

(Interruptions)

SHRI JAGJIT SINGH ANAND: (Punjab): He was ignorant because he was not here.

Charan Singh, you were not here. Sir, I am glad that Mr. Charan Singh always provides enlivening things for this debate.

المن و دور در المال الما You were not here. May I ask you. Mr. Charan Singh, when had 3 P.M. been in the Uttar Pradesh Assembly, did you utter a against the excesses comword mitted, against the Naxalite killings between 1970 and 1975 till April and May. In June you were not there. Is there anything in the proceedings there to give an answer to these question?...(Interruptions). You did not... (Interruptions). I say because you only invite such remarks.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: Do you try to settle that account by supporting that fraud of Emergency? Is that your contention to try to support the fraud of Emergency?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Mr. Charan Singh asks us what we did. You know very well. As regards our stand on Emergency, that we can discuss later. You can condemn us, if you like; you can praise us, if you like. That is for you to decide, But, what I am saying is: Who had put up a threat to democracy? Who had decided that things will be settled by bullets? From Lucknow and other placles, who had put that threat? And who incited the kind of action that you catch hold of MLAs and make them at gun point resign? Was it democracy? Mr. Charan Singh is fortunate enough. He was not in jail for long. He came out soon. I was happy that he had come out. After all, he is my old friend. But, when he came out, did he utter a against the excesses against the workers, excesses against the Naxalites and others? Never did he make

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta] ' demand that bonus should be restored. Never did he do that....(Interruptions). I was very much interested to know his speech. Mr. Charan Singh may correct me if I am wrong. Now, Mr. Charan Singh, I think we should come to a gentleman's agreement-Mr. Charan Singh and myself. The esteemed Home Minister of the country lives in such a glass towersuch a vulnerable glass tower. Out of the 30 years of Congress misrule, Prof. Madhu Dandavate, almost for 25 years he was with it. He has been the Chief Minister of Uttar Pradesh and what not. I was not a Minister. I have been sitting here, here and here—these three places. But were there for 30 years. So let us not go into that. Therefore, I tell you. . . (Interruptions). Mr. Charan Singh, being in such a glass tower, should not throw too many stones at others all the time. It is not wise for you, Mr. Charan Singh. That is all I would say. Sir, it is all right, Mr. Charan Singh, the Home Minister of the country, has understood me. I have given some constructive suggestions, and so on.

Again, I give a note of warning to our friend Mr. H. M. Patel. Up to now he has not yet formed the Consultative Committee for Finance. I have been a member of that Committee ever since I came here; others are also there. Why has he not formed it so far? And I think whatever our friend Mr. George Fernandes may say, or others may say, he seems to be dead settled with the business of offering concessions after concessions to monopoly capital. Well, that is a very ominous symptom, as far as this Government is concerned. Sir, I do not wish to say anything more. I have given some very good suggestions and have brought certain facts to light. We also find that some retired ICS officers are being brought in to occupy new posts; and some these retired men have been connected with big business houses. is also disturbing us a little. One of

them-I am not giving out the namehas been connected after retirement as ICS with a multi-national corporation. So, Sir, all these things happening. The Peace Corps is also coming here. So many things you are doing now. The Finance Minister is a mild-mannered man, does speak very softly, does not get agitated like my friend, Shri Charan Singh, does not believe in politicking all the time. but he seems to have a real cantile approach on the whole subject, going full-steam ahead, giving concessions galore to the monopoly capital to their heart's content. Sir. I protest against this abject surrender and sell out of national interest to the monopoly capital.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We have already exceeded the time allotted to this Bill. Only one more speaker remains.

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR (Karnataka): Sir, in this august House. I feel that I am one of the youngest men. Though I took the oath on the 21st, I made a very brief speech on the appointment of the Civil Rights Commission, and subsequently I was eager to make a speech on the working of the judiciary. There have been...

भी चरण सिंह :श्रीमन्, मैं यह मोशन मूव करना चाहता हूं कि ज्वाइंट कन्सलटेटिव कमेटी में 15 मेम्बर्स को शामिल कर लिया जाये। इस लोकपाल विधेयक के बारे में मुझे मालूम हुन्ना है कि सुबह 2.30 वजे का टाइम रखा गया था।

श्री उपसभापति: एक घण्टा इस विधेयक के लिये निर्धारित किया गया था परन्तु यह समय से ग्रागे बढ़ गया । इसलिये इस विधेयक को खत्म होते ही ग्रापका विधेयक लाया जायेगा । Please be short.

·;...\*~

237

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: The salaries of the Judges of the Supreme Court are paid out of the Consolidated Fund of the Union, and the constitution and organisation of Supreme Court and the High Courts are under the control of the Central Government. We will discuss today about the appointment of the Lok Pal who would have powers to go into the allegations of misuse of power or allegations of corruption made against the Members of Parliament, the Prime Minister and the Chief Ministers of the States. But I do not find any such provision being made to go into the allegations of favouritism, nepotism or casteism against the Judges of the Supreme Court and the Judges of the High Courts. In India, as elsewhere, we regard the courts of law as temples, and the Judges as high priests. We expect that courts should be surrounded by an atmosphere of solemnity. We are treating the courts with greater reverance and awe than Parliament. though it is Parliament which is expresive of the supreme will of the people. Our Parliament representing the whole nation, has given the Judges the highest prestige and power. We pay them the highest respect. We address them in the most reverential approach and address manner. We the Judges with the utmost humility and submissiveness. All these things indicate the highest esteem in which we hold the judiciary and the Judges. All these things also indicate that we, constituting the Parliament, subordinated a part of our sovereignty to the Judges and the judiciary. We have given the Judges wide and unfeterred powers and have surrendered selves even at the risk of becoming victims of judicial displeasure condemnation. We have abrogated certain of our powers or delegated a part of our sovereignty to the judges in the hope that they would act and wield their power in a fair and judicious manner, without taking with political parties or individuals. They are expected to be impartial to be wurthy of the confidence we have

reposed in them. We have given a judge, either of the Supreme Court or of the High Court, the power to issue prerogative writs against authority) and in some instances. against individuals also. The judge automatically receives the highest title of "Lordship", though it is not recognished by law. Judges are the arbiters of disputes betwen the Parliament and the people, between people and the executive, and so they should not create or enter into any public controversy. They should impose restrictions upon themselves. But a feeling has grown in the recent months that there has been a decline in the calibre of some judges of the Supreme Court and of the High Courts. The British judges, and till recently the Indian judges, had maintained, as a rule, a tradition of isolation and aloofness. Of lafe, some judges reached an extreme position in the other direction. We hear of instances where a Supreme Court judge involved the Acting President of India and the Chief Justice of India in the decision of cases. We hear of cases where the Chief Justice of the Allahabad High Court belied the allegation of a puisne judge of the same High Court in a case involving the former Prime Minister We hear of where another judge of the Supreme Court also introduced the name of the former Prime Minister. And the present Chief Justice of the Karnataka High Court dragged in the names of two Ministers alleging attempt to influence some judges of the High Court in a criminal case in which another Minister and a Member of Parliament were involved. In all these cases, the utterances of some judges have the effect of stigmatising the President of India, the Minister of India and Ministers and Members of Parliament. Those accusations have exposed those bers to public ridicule, contempt or hatred and have injured their reputation. Every person has an inherent and absolute right to keep intact and to enforce respect for, the reputation of his character which he has earned

### [Shri L. G. Havanur]

at immeasurable cost and long years of struggle and sacrifice in public life. Indeed, for men in public life, loss of character occasions much more pain and mental embarrassment than the idea of loss of life itself. Some of the judges are playing fast and loose with the reputation of high dignitaries by casting aspersions...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Member, I would suggest you may not make such observations. You avoid it.

" SHRI KALP NATH RAI (Uttar Pradesh): Why not?

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: These things have been discussed.

- MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let us discuss it on a substantive motion but not on a Bill of this nature.

SHRI KALP NATH RAI: It is his privilege.

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It my duty to say what his privilege is.

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: I am coming to the relevant portion some of the judges are playing fast and loose with the reputation of high dignitaries by casting aspersions upon their character and suggesting moral obliquity. What is outrageous is that those judges with. out ascertaining the accuracy of facts, without disclosing . . .

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Member these are very general and, I think, uncalled for observations. Please do not make observations which are so general and sweeping. You can leave this topic, I would suggest, please. You can continue but you can leave this point.

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: Yes. Without disclosing the source of information and violating the principles of natural justice they are misusing their high station in life which is invested with an amount of terror sufficient to cowdown any opponent of theirs. Those who opposed such judges have been victimised in one way or other. The allegations of some of those judges against the other judges and the political administrators, true, tend to interfere with the course of justice and thus constitute an offence of contempt of court. But no proceedings have been instituted agai. nst anybody. The necessary inference that can be drawn is that those allegations of interference are not true. Take the case of the present Chief Justice of Karanataka...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You are passing judgements here, I not know of what nature. I do not think you should proceed in this manner. W ty. į,

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: I want to make out a case of impeachment against the present Karnataka Chief Justice...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: They are very vague and general in nature.

SHRII L. G. HAVANUR: No, these are facts.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No. they are not facts.

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: These are facts . . .

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: please. I will not allow like this. I request you, you may drop the subject. If you want to continue, take some other topic, otherwise, I will close the debate at this stage.

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: My submission is yesterday, I listened to the discussion in the Lok Sabha where the Minister of law and Justice had discussed this very subject. Even on the 16th June the same topic was discussed in that Lok Sabha. I went through the proceedings . . .

0.50

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let us discuss it as a subject, but not make general and vague observations of this nature. (Interruptions) Order, please. If you have nothing to say on the Bill here, then I will call the Finance Minister to reply.

SHRI L. G. HAVANUR: On the 25th of last month a written statement of the Minister of Law and Justice was placed here relating to the conduct of the Chief Justice of the High Court and two Ministers. My submission is the absence of law providing for the manner in which judges should conduct themselves has given scope for judges to come out publicly and make irrelevant and sometimes irresponsible utterances...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Therefore, the Member can raise a discussion on that. It is within the Rules. I am not debarring discussion. But at this time we have no notice and Government have no notice. To make such observations against the judiciary is not proper at this stage. If you have nothing to say on the Blil, I am calling the Finance Minister, Finance Minister, please.

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE AND REVENUE AND BANKING (SHRI H. M. PATEL) Mr. Deputy Chairman, the question that we were considering was demands for excess grants relating to the year 1974-75 voted by the Lok Sabha on the 25th July 1977 and the expenditure charged on the Consolidated Fund of India in excess of the sanctioned appropriations for that year. The reasons which led to the excesses have been explained in the footnotes below each Demand or Appropriation in the pamphlet circulated to the honourable Members on the 16th June 1977 and summarised...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, the honourable Minister is reading out his speech. Obviously it is a speech written before we spoke. Is he replying to the debate? The writer of the speech did not know what we would be speaking here...

ĸ

SHRI H. M. PATEL: The honourable Member spoke not one word on the subject\_matter that was brought before the House and I had to tell him to enlighten him as well as other Members of the House what the subject-matter was which I had brought before the House. All that I can say is that none of the Members made any suggestion with reference to this motion and I would, therefore, ask that the Appropriation Bill be approved.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: No on a point of order. This is again bad. The Rule is this...

SHRI H. M. PATEL: I will not yield. Mr. Deputy Chairman, I am not going to yield.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: The Rule is...

SHRI H. M. PATEL: No, I am not yielding...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Then you read out.

SHRI H. M. PATEL: I think I must explain what the subject-matter of this particular motion was. Now, the sanctioned grants and appropriations revealed in the annual Appropriation Acts are first scrutinised in the Public Accounts Committee and it is only thereafter that it comes before this House. And in this case the various items of excess expenditure during 1974-75 were scrutinised by the Public Accounts Committee, Fifth Lok Sabha who in their 227th report recommended regularisation of the excess expenditure. The excess expenditure during 1974-75 amounted to Rs. 248.56 crores spread over 24 grants and one appropriation. Of these, three items amounting to Rs. 220.34 crores are charged on the Consolidated Fund and balance excess of Rs. 28.22 crores on the voted side. The bulk of the excesses, namely, Rs. 207.40 crores the charged portion relates to premature cancellation of treasury bills issued in favour of the Reserve Bank [Shri H. M. Patel]

ad was notional, having no budgetary impact. The rest of the excess expenditure constituted .21 per cent of the sanctioned grants and appropriations. I thought, Sir, I should give this information to the House and it is for this purpose that I have come before the House. I do not propose to say anything further. Since no other points were raised, I have no further observations to make.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: When we discuss the Finance Bill of that type general issues concerning various departments and other things are raised and it is not strictly and technically an occassion when only financial matters are discussed. You know it very well. The normal practice is that the Government or the Finance Minister, in particular, says something. At least he should have said these will be considered brought to the notice of the Government. Sometimes other Ministers intervene. The Home Minister present and he could have intervened . . .

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: He has come for some other Motion.

remarks the second

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. Nothing like that was done. It is very difficult to deal with Shri H. M. Patel. Nothing can provoke him and he goes on giving concession after concession to monopolists.

SHRI HARSH DEO MALAVIYA (Uttar Pradesh): Normally, when there is a debate in the House the hon. Minister takes note of what we say and refers to them while replying to the debate. Last time on the Finance Bill also we 'howled' for three days. It was just a 'howl' for him. In the end he came with a written speech in which he covered only the points raised by Shri Pranab Mukherjee. In the course of his speech at least he would have referred to Shri Pranab

Mukherjee by name three dozen times. This courtesy may kindly be given to others in this House. At least kindly touch upon the points raised by us. We are simply shouting for nothing.

SHRI H. M. PATEL: I shall touch upon what the hon. Members say the day when they speak relevantely on the subject.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPIA. I referred to the consultative committee. He did not reply to it.

DR. RAMKRIPAL SINHA (Bihar): This Appropriation Bill relates to three years back. What is there to reply to?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He wants at least the names to be mentioned. The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for the authorisation of appropriation of moneys out of the Consolidated Fund of India to meet the amounts spent on certain services during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1975, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken ino consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 and 3 and the Schedule were added to the Bill. Clause 1, the Enacting Formula, and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI H. M. PATEL: Sir, I move:

"That the Bill be returned."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.