does not work that way. The ultimate decision in the ruling party is with the leader and once the leader takes a, decision and the party feels that it is in the right direction, it supports it. I therefore support this Bill.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; The House stands adjourned till 2.15 p.m. today.

> (The House then adjourned for lunch at seventeen minutes past one of the clock).

The House reassembled aftter lunch et seventeen minutes past two of the •Clock, THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LGKANATH MISRA) in the Chair.

PETITION RE. REDRESS OF GRIE-VANCES OF HANDLOOM WEAVERS

VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): Snri Kader-shah will present the petition.

SHRI M. KADERSHAH (Tamil Nadu): Sir, I beg to present a petition signed by Shri N. V. Subburam President, Handloom Weavers Association and 105 other weavers from Dindigul in Tamil Nadu praying for the redressal of the grievances of the handloom weavers.

### THE HOUSE OF THE PEOI'LE (EXTENSION OF DURATION) AMENDMENT BILL, 1976—contd.

SHRI ABU ABRAHAM: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, in spite of reservations, I voted the Constitution (Fourty-fourth Amendment) Bill yesterday and in spite of reservations, I shall also vote for this Bill but somewhat reluctantly, and only in the belief that this will bo the last time that elections will be postponed. I am doing it as an act of faith—in the Prime Minister, faith in Mr. Gokhale, faith in Mr. Borooah, faith in the Congress Party and faith in the people of India. I would like to state my reservations and express my disappointment, my doubts and fears about this Bill. We have been told again and again that the time

is not ripe tor holding elections, iney "The time is not ripe for the time is not ripe for that" and so It reminds me of what the Bri tish Government used to say in the old days to their colonies 'You ripe for democracy'. I remoniber. not Some years ago, a Jamaican politician told the British Government 'Do you think we are a bunch of bananas?' As for this country, Sir, we have been ripe for democracy for a long we are a democracy. Our prob today is to maintain the proces and the institutions of democracy. Then again, we are told that we have consolidate the the gains of Emergency. Now, how long are we going to consolidate the gains of the Emergency? Unless fresh gains made, what is there to consolidate? I belived that we shall make no more gains if the emergency continues much longer. In fact, already the negative effects of the Emergency are beinning to show. Various power groups have come up all over the country which are using the Emergency and the atmosphere created by it fo-r ıın authorised and unconstitutional acti vities. People, whether thev are politicians, bureaucrats or other public figure's, are using power for their own purposes in a most cratic manner. This is one reason for the unrest that has arisen in some parts of the country. Mr. Borooah mentioned this morning-Mr Gokhale has also said this—that 'here are still disruptive elements in the country. Of course, there will always be some disruptive elements, But we shoud put them down. Postponing the elections will only strengthen these elements. We are nevei going to have perfect conditions foi an election. Postponing the election; is. in fact, what these reactionary elements want because they are no the people who believe in the norma democratic processes.

Sir, the Prime Minister has sai that the Emergency is a strong medi cine. I think it is a perfect analog because, I believe, the Emergenc; came about on account of some sick

[Skri Abu Abraham.]

aess in the politics of our country. The medicine has worked well. The achievements of the Emergency are many and I appreciate the gains of the Emergency in various fields. But it should also be recognised that the drug we have been taking is begin ning to have some side-effects. Indeed some people have got addicted to it and they do not know how to give it up. A medicine is, afi.er all, a medicine and it i's very important that do not make it a food and we do not become addicted to it. It is my view, and also that of many of my friends, many people I meet these days, that the Emergency has worked itself out. It has achieved what it was intended to acnieve. It is now time that the country should give up this medicine and return to normal healthy food so that we can maintain a narmal life. Whatever Mr. Gokhale may say, I find that far too many people in the Congress Party are going around saying that the life of the Lok Sabha can be extended as many times as they want, not only for one or two years, but also for four or five years or even indefinitely because the whole thing is constitutional, according to them, and they are also convinced, they have convinced themselves, that they reflect the will and the aspirations of the people.

DR. V. P. DUTT (Nominated): No responsible persons has said that.

SHRI ABU ABRAHAM: If I give you the list of the people who have said this thing, you would not publicly 'say that they are not responsible I assure you.

DR. V. P. DUTT: No responsible person has made any public statement that Parliament

SHRI ABU ABRAHAM: No public statements. But Central Hall statements are truer than public statements. Very often, what people privately think these days is truer than public statements. You give so much importance to public statements.

They stay they represent the win and the aspirations of the people. But how can any one be sure today, six years after the 1971 poll when the will and the aspirations of the people? After all, since 1971, we have millions, several millions, of new voters. How does any one know what their will is? Mr. Bhupesh Gupta mentioned this morning about Mr. Antulays remark that the masses did not care about the elections. This again i have heard so often from various people, not only Mr. Antulap, but this is a new attitude because so far we were taking, the Congress and its leaders were talking about the wisdom of masses, how intelligently they vote, how interested they were in elections in spite of their illiteracy. When it suits the Party, they say that what the people want is food but not liberty. And this is precisely what foreign observers had said some years ago. They said: What is the use of personal liberty for poor peasants, why do you go on talking of democratic processes? But I have always said, in England or wherever I have lived, that it is a stupid attitude. We must not underestimate our Indian people, because they have a much better idea of personal

liberty than we, the so-called sophis- —f ticated or intellectual people, have. So, Sir, elections are a very important part of the democratic processes. In fact, it is the basis of our system and if we want to preserve the democratic system and it is essential that we held elections at regular intervals. I can understand that, in certain extreme situations, we have to extend the life of Parliament but I do not think that today, on this date in November 1976, we have that kind of a situation. The Government may have better information on the law and order aituation in the country than I have because I cannot read about it in the papers those -i days, but I personally think that, in fact, we have a very favourable situation for elections. In any case, an election is also an education in democracy and millions of newly

eligible voters also need that experience so that they have faith in the democratic processes.

As you know, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta in his speech this morning said—he has said it rightly—thaf -sometimes it seems to some of us in this country that people act as if U.P. and Hur-yana and the is what matters in India, that India consists of U.P. and Harvana and the problems of U.P. and Harvana are the problems of India and nothing else. So, whatever suits some people in these. States becomes the official policy at some time or other. Therefore, Sir, I would like the Prime Minister and the Law Minister, at some point, to give a firm assurance that elections would be held as soon as possible because only that way can we lay at rest the doubts and fears of the people. Only thus can we defeat the cynicism aha press-missim about the parliamentary system that seems to be spreading abong the people.

I have been going round and tell\* ihg people that we are certainly we are going .to have elections in Feb<sup>^</sup> ruary or March but how all my cynical friends have laughed at me. And there are people who always say: Don't think of elections'. If this it the kind oe attitude that the Bill is going to create, I think it has very dangerous aspects and we should be very careful. We should dispel the fear that they have that this is the end of elections. We have been consolidating the gains all these years. We have consolidated the gains this y'ear and also we wiH continue to do so in the next year. But if we take one year for every one point of the 20-point programme, we shall require another 20 years So, there are people who are talking in this way. I do not agree with them. I still have faith in the Government and in the Prime Minister. Parliament should have supremacy but at the same time we should take every care to see that Parliament retains the respect of the - people.

So, as I saig earlier, i shall be voting for this Bill, in the hope and

iaath that elections will be held soon, no matter to what date the life of Parliament is extended. I have faith in the good intentions of the Government I nave faith in Mr. Gokhale; I have great faith in the Prime Minister's liberal principles to which she has stuck very firmly in all these years. So, what I would like to point out is, between now and the time of the election, whenever it is, let us make some efforts to restore the healtn of some of the institutions that have been damaged by the excessive use of power since the emergency. And I am thinking in particular about the Press. I am sure, hon. Members in- this House by now know something about the troubles that papers like tthe Statesman, the Indian Express and the Tribune of Chandigarh have gone through in the last few months, and in some cases, for some years. And it is the journalists who have suffered more than the proprietors. At the Indian Express, water and prower were cut off; its press was sealed; censorship was enforced in a way that the paper could not go to the press before 8.00 a.m. And, of course, little of this has appeared in the Indian Press though much of it has appeared in the foreign Press. This again is a strange situation where the foreign Press in India today enjoys far more freedom than our own Press. If we do not have a Press which can at least speak out its mind freely, which can constructively discuss the issues before the country, how can we have fair elections? You take the Times of India. In all these weeks, they have not had one single article discussing the Constitutional amendment. Why? You know that there are so many journalists of repute who have been intimidated into a frame of mind where they cannot write anything or they are afraid to do so. Take the Seminar magazine. I have never agreed with everything that it said. But it was a forum which was used by many of our leading intellectuals who were in the good grace of .the-Government. when those intel-

[Shri Abu Abraham.] lectuals were not in grace and were not useful to them, and when their dissenting views came in a monthly magazine with a circulation -of a few thousands, censorship was imposed and it was closed. And this is another loss for freedom when things like this which are very important in any democratic soceity are not allowed. A few months ago, a directive came · from the Censors saying that no letters should be published about family planning. Now, this followed after a letter appeared in the Indian Express where a man said that hia son had failed in the examination in the school. But the headmaster had told the boy, "If you can get your father vasectomised, then I will promote you." And the boy went and told his father. He told the headmaster, "I am 65 years old. Why should I get myself vasectomised?" And the neadmaster says, "You get yoursef vasectomised, otherwise, your yon will fail.

Sir, these are some of the things which we should think about more seriously. And it is fashionable today to condemn intellectuals and say that they are negative, that they are cynical, etc. But I think it is important to restore the respect people have had for these institutions in this country because if you stop all criticism, then it only weakens our society.

So, I would end by saying this: Let us use the time over the next few months to restore 'some of the faith of the people in the democratic institutions in this country. And I would join with Mr. Bhupesh Gupta in requesting the Prime Minister to take some action by which she can prove her proverbial courage and let us have elections a'3 soon as possible.

Thank you.

श्री खुरजीद ग्रालम खान (दिल्ली)। उपसभाष्यक्ष जी, कोमों की जिल्दमी में ग्रवसर ऐसे वक्त गाते हैं जब कुछ ऐसे फ़ैसले करने

पड़ते हैं कि जो शायद कुछ लोगों को पसन्द न हों। लेकिन वह फ़ैसले ऐसे होते हैं जो कीम के मफ़ाद के लिए, कौम की बेहतरी के लिए भीर कीम की भलाई के लिए किये जाते हैं। यह बात बिल्कुल उसी तरह की है जैसे कोई बच्चा बीमार हो भीर उसको कडवी दवा देनी पड़े। बच्चे को यह कड़वी दघा उसकी मच्छाई भीर भलाई के लिए दी जाती है। मैं इस बिल की ताईद करते हुए यह ग्रजं करना चाहता हुं कि जिस लोकतन्त्र का रोना ग्रीर दहाई दी जा रही है उसका कुछ नक्शा हमारे सामने या चुका है। इसका कुछ नक्शा में भापके सामने लाना चाहता है। हमारे सामने इस वक्त इलेक्शन का सवाल है या लोगों की बेहतरी का है, यह सवाल सबसे ग्रहम सवाल है। हम लोगों की खशहाली चाहते हैं या इलेक्शन, इस सवाल पर हमें गौर करने की जरूरत है। हमारी भलाई किस में है, इस पर विचार करने की जरूरत है। हम यह जानते हैं कि इस वक्त लोगों की भलाई इसी में है कि जिस तरह से इस वक्त कीम का काम चल रहा है अमेर जिस ढंग से देश के अन्दर एक नई फ़िजा कायम की गई है, एक नवा वातावरण बना है, उस वातावरण को हम आगे बढाये । इसलिए आज जरूरत इस बात की है कि लोग इलेक्शन के चक्कर में न पड़े भीर अपने बक्त को भीर कौम के बक्त को बरबाद न करें। मैं समझता हं कि कीम की जिन्दगी को बेहतर बनाने का सवाल सबसे बड़ा सवाल है। हमने एक बहुत बड़ा काम श्रह किया है स्रीर वह काम यह है कि हम स्रपने कौम के ग्रन्दर दिसिप्लिन लाये, उसकी बेहतरी के लिए काम कर भीर हमारे देश की पैदाबार

65

बढ़ाई जाये ताकि हमारी इक्तिसादी या स्नाधिक हालत में सुधार हो सके। जिस रास्ते पर, हम निकल पड़े हैं उसको अध्रा नहीं छोड सकते हैं। अगर हमारा काम पूरा नहीं होगा तो हमारी कौम का भला नहीं हो सकता है श्रीर न ही जो काम हम करना चाहते हैं उनका फायदा लोगों तक पहुंच सकता है। जो ग्राणाएं लोगों के अन्दर बंधी हैं वे भी पूरी नहीं हो सकती हैं। अगर हमारे काम अधुरे रह जाएंगे और हम कीम की जिन्दगी को बेहतर नहीं कर सर्केंगेतो ग्राने वाली नस्लें इस बात को याद करगी ग्रौर कहगी कि हमारे बुजुर्गों ने यह काम नहीं किया है। वे सोचेंगे कि अगर हमारे बुजुर्ग अपने काम को अधुरान छोड़ते तो हमारा ज्यादा भलाहो सकता था । मैं यह भी यकीन दिलाना चाहता हूं कि हमारी यह ख्वाहिश नहीं है कि इलेक्शन हमेशा के लिए मुल्तवी किये जायें या इलेक्शन बारबार मुल्तवी किये जायें। हमारी यह भी ख्वाहिश है कि इलैंक्शन जल्द से जल्द किये जायें ।मैं समझता हं कि इसमें किसी को शुबहा नहीं होना चाहिए ग्रौर न ही किसी तरह का शक होनाचाहिए। यह तो कौम का फ्रीसलाहैं श्रीर जनता का फैसला है। फिलहाल जनता यह है कि हम कौम की भलाई के कामों में जुट जायें ग्रौर उनको ग्रागे बढाये । यह कहना कि इसमें लोगों का इन्वाल्वमेंट हासिल नहीं है, सही नहीं है। मैं यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि 20 नुकाती प्रोग्राम को क्या लोगों का सपोर्ट नहीं है ? क्या इसमें जनता का सहयोग नहीं है ? मैं समझता हं कि 20 नुकाती प्रोग्राम गरीव जनता का प्रोग्राम है। ग्राप गांवों ग्रौर शहरों में जाकर देखिये कि वहां पर किस तरह से लोग इस प्रोग्राम में हिस्सा ले रहे हैं। हमारा देश इस वक्त अपनी तरक्की की राह परचल पड़ा है। यह एक ऐसादौर है जिसमें हमारे मुल्क में एक इतिहास बना है। इसलिए ऐसे मौके पर हमें छोटी-छोटी बातों में नहीं पड़ना चाहिए और इस बात की कोशिश करनी चाहिए कि मुल्क 981 RS-3,

स्रागे बढ़ सके ताकि हम इस इतिहास को पूरा कर सकें। जिस मंजिल पर हमें पहुंचना है उस पर पहुंच कर दम लें।

ईमानदारी से यह बताने की हम कोशिश करना चाहेंगे कि इमरजेन्सी ने जो कुछ हमें दिया है इस जमाने में जो कुछ हमने हासिल किया है हम उसको किसी को देना नहीं चाहते। हमने यह जरूर है कि बहुत देर में यह काम शुरू किया लेकिन आज जब यह काम शुरू कर दिया है तो हम इस काम को लेकर आगे बढें। यही एक तमन्ना है, यही एक ख्वाहिश है। यह सिर्फ सरकार की ख्वाहिश नहीं, यह सिर्फ पालियामेंट के मेम्ब-रान की ख्वाहिश नहीं, यह करोड़ों लोगों की ख्वाहिश है, यह लाखों जनता की ख्वाहिशहै।

दरग्रसल श्रव हम तरक्की की मंजिलें तय करके एक ऐसे मुकाम पर पहुंच गए हैं जो कि हमारी कौमी जिन्दगी में बहुत ग्रहम ग्रीर एक बहुत तारीखी मुकाम है; यह वोह तारीख़ी मुकाम है ज़िसका हमें शिद्दत से इन्तजार था, मुद्दत से इन्तजार था ग्रीर जिसका बहुत ग्ररसे से इन्तजार था। ग्रब जब हम इस मुकाम पर पहुंच गए हैं तो हम पीछे पलट कर देखना नहीं चाहते। हम यह नहीं चाहते कि हमारा वक्त किसी सुरत से बरबाद हो, हमारे सामने तरक्की के नए रास्ते खुल गए हैं ब्रीर वह नयी मंजिल की तरफ़ ले जाना चाहते हैं । हमें, खुशक़िस्मती से, तारीखी कयादत हासिल हैं ग्रौर वह कयादत हैं जो रोशनी के मीनार की तरह से हमारी रहनुमाई कर रही है और यह कयादत न सिफं रोशनी ही दे रही है बल्कि गरमी भी दे रही है, रहन्माइ भी कर रही है, रहबरी भी कर रही है।

ग्राञः हमारा जो बीस-नुकाती ग्राधिक प्रोग्नाम है उसके ग्रन्दर जो हमने तरक्की की है, उसके ग्रन्दर जो गरीबों के लिए किया है, जो बेघर लोगों के लिए किया है, जो बेरोजगार लोगों के लिए किया है, जो मजदूरों के लिए [शा खुरणाद श्रालम खान]

किया है, जो कमजोर तबके के लोगों के लिए किया है, वह एक बड़ा कदम है। यह एक तारी खी कदम है जो इस मुल्क की तारी खमें इससे पहले आपको कहीं नजर नहीं आया होगा और अब जब हमने इस काम को हाथ में ले लिया है और इस काम को शुरू कर दिया है तो अब आप कंधे से कंधा मिला कर हमारी मदद कोजिए, हमारा साथ दीजिए ताकि हम सब मिल कर आगे बढ़ और इस काम को पूरा करें। अगर हम छोटी बातों में उलझ कर रह गए या हमने ऐसा किया कि इलेक्शन की वजह से तरककी के कामों को रोक दिया तो वह मैं समझता हूं न तो कौम के हित में होगा न मुल्क के फायदे के लिए होगा न हमारे आपके सबके फायदे के लिए होगा।

यह भी कहना गलत है कि इलेक्शन मल्तवी करने का फैसला किसी एक ग्रस्स का फैसला था या किसी एक ग्रंडस का ऐलान था। यह तो हमारी पार्टी का फैसला है, यह तो जनता का फैसला है, यह तो सब ने मिल कर फैसला किया है और जब यह फैसला किया गया है तो धाप देखिए जनता ने भी उसको अपनाया है, अनता ने भी उसको पसंद किया है इसलिए कि बोह अपनी अच्छाइयां और बराइयां समझने लगी हैं और जब बह अच्छाइय और बुरा यां समझाने लगी है तो उनको यह अच्छी तरह से मालुम है कि इक्षेपणन में पड़ने के बाद जो तरककी के काम हो रहे हैं, जो बोह दीम बढ़ रहे हैं बोह न कभी बढ़ सकेंगे और न कभी आगे चल सकोंगे ब्रीर इसलिए यह जरूरी है कि इस वक्त इलेक्शन की बात छोड़ कर हम ग्रपनी तरक्की के कामों में लगे रहें।

मैं पूछता चाहता हूं कि इलेक्शन को अगर एक साल के लिए मुल्तवी किया गया तो क्या आसमान टूट पड़ा ? कीन सा नुकसान हो गया ? कीन सी ऐसी बात है जो ऐसा होने से एक गई ? हमारी तरक्की एक गई क्या ? हमारे लोग उसमें इन्वाल्व नहीं रहें ?
क्या हमारे लोगों को कोई खास नुकसान
पहुंचेगा या हमारे देण को कोई खास नुकसान
पहुंचेगा ? कोई भी ऐसी बात नहीं । इलेक्णन
का मुल्तवी होना कोई ऐसी बड़ी बात नहीं ।
इलेक्णन तो होते ही रहते हैं, होते ही रहेंगे
खीर होंगे ही । फर्क इतना है कि हम में और
आप में सिर्फ थोड़ा सा राय का मत्स्वेद हैं ।
हम यह चाहते हैं कि जो हाथ में काम लिये
हुए हैं पहले उनको निवटा लें, बाद में इलेक्णन
करें । आप यह चाहते हैं कि जो हाथ में लिए
हुए हैं उनको छोड़ दें और इलेक्णन में लग
जायें।

इस वक्त मुल्क में जितनी सनाद्यती तरक्की हुई है, जिलनी पैदावार बढ़ी हैं, जितनी हमारीस्रामदनी बढ़ी हैं। जितना हमारे मजदरों को काम मिला है वह हमारी इस इसरजेन्सी का एक ऐसा फल है जिसके लिए हर मुद्दत से इंतजार कर रहे थे और लोगों में एक खास अमंग पैदा हो गई है, एक खास फिजा मुल्क के ब्रदर है ब्रीर वह फिजा ऐसी संजावार है कि हम हर काम में तरक्की ही पाते चल जाएंगे और मुझे यकीन हैं यह वह रहरीक होगी जिसमें सब का जेयर होगा । जो सब को मल्क में खास तौर पर ७२ लोगों को इसका फायदा पर्दुचायेगा कि जो गरीब तबके के लोग हैं, जो कमजोर तबके के लोग हैं या जो अक्षालाती के लोग हैं। आज आप के सामने यह कितना बड़ा फायदा हैं। उन लोगों की नजर में कि जो सांप्रवादिक दंगों से तंग ग्रा चके थे, न क्रांज वह दंगे हैं क्रीर न सांप्र-दायिकता फैलानेवाले लोग हैं या वह लोग हैं वि: जो हमपरइल्जाम लगारेथे, ऋकल्लियतो पर इल्जाम लगाते थे। श्राज वह दुनिया के सामने ख्ल चुके हैं, आज दुनिया उन को देख चकी है कि किस तरह से वह बाहर के इशारे पर काम करते थे और वह कैसे-कैसे काम करते थे। यकीन रखिये कि आज भी अगर एलेक्शन हो जाय तो में आप को बता देना चाहता हूं कि उस से धर्म कोई खतरा.

नहीं है। असर एलेक्शन होंगे तो हम फिर वापस आयोगे। पता नहीं वे कितने हैं और कहां वॉपस ग्रायेंगे। उन के बारे में मुझे बहुत शुबहा है। इस लिये ग्राप जल्दीन की जिए। क्राप इंतजार की जिए। हम एलेक्शन से डरते नहीं। हम एलेक्शन को एक जरूरी बात समझते हैं और हम एलेक्शन जरूर लड़ेंगे और आरप को यकीन दिला देंगे कि हम ने जो पालिसी अख्तियार की है, जिसमें एलेक्शन को मुल्तवी करनः भी एक पालिसी है, उस को भी जनताने पसंदिकयाथा, उस को भी जनता ने अपनाया था आरि जनता हमारी इस राय में शामिल थी। गरीब शहरी को थ्राज कपड़ा, मकान श्रीर रोटो चाहिए । श्राज उस को एलेक्शन की ज्यादाफिक नहीं है। श्राज उस को इन चीजों को फिक हैं श्रीर यह चीजें उस को मिल रही हैं। इस लिये ग्राज यही वजह है कि जनता इस फैसले पर ग्रपती रजामन्दी और मुहर लगा चुको **है औ**र इस पर उसे कोई आपत्ति नहीं हैं। उसे इस बारे में कोई एतराज नहीं है।

में यह नहीं समझ सका कि इतना शोर क्यों किया जा रहा है एलेक्शन मुल्तवी करने पर। इतना एतराज क्यों किया ज। रहा है कि इस पालियामेंट को यह ग्रस्तियार नहीं रहा कि वह एलेक्शन मुल्तवी करे। यह जीज अब खुल कर सामने आ चुकी है, इस में कोई शको शुबहाबाकी नहीं कि इस कान सिर्फ भ्रष्टितथार है बल्कि हम को पूरा ग्रष्टितथ।र है क्रौर हम ने इस में क्रपने हक को इस्तेमाल किया है और हम ने इस हक को जनता के लिये इस्तेमाल किया है, जनता के फायदे के लिये इस्तेमाल किया है।

में यकीन के साथ कह सकता हूं कि कुछ धरमे बाद वहीं लोग जो इस बात की मृखालिफ़त कर रहे हैं, वह हमारे साथ इत्तफ़ाक करेंगे भ्रौ र यह कहेंगे कि हम ने जो फ़ैसला लिया था वह एक मही फ़ैसला लिया था। इस ैसले से सभीको फ़(यदाहम्राम्रोर उनको ग्रपनी इस गलती का उस वक्त एतराफ़ होगा जिस वक्त कि उनको यह यकीन स्ना जायगा कि यह जनता का फ़ैंसला था, यह जनता के लिये फ़ैसला था ग्रीर यह जनता की बेहतरी के लिये फ़ासला था।

हमारे देश में ग्रजीब हालत थी। ग्रगर कोई बाहर का दुश्यन देश पर हमला करता है तो ग्रादमी चौकस रह कर उससे निपट सकता है, उनसे लड़ सकता है, लेकिन जब घरका भेदी ही दुश्मन बन जाय तो उसके साथ निपटना बहुत दुश्वार हो जाता है। हमारे यहां यह हालत कर दी गयी थी कि जो प्रतिनिधि चुने गये थे उनसे कहा जाता था कि वह इस्तीफ़ा दें। टीटल रेवोल्यू शन के नाम पर तरक्की भीर बेहतरी लाने का एक नया ढकोसला वह सामने लाये थे। स्नाज वह सब चीजें प्रापके सामने खुल कर ग्रा गयीं कि उनमें सिवाय प्रोपैगेन्डा के ग्रीर सिवाय बहकाने के स्रीर कोई चीज नहीं थी। खास तीर पर मैं यह कहना चाहूंगा कि हमारे विद्यार्थियों के साथ हमारे तालिवइल्मों के साथ जो सलूक किया गया था वह निहायत गलत था। उनको पढ़ने से हटा दिया गया था। जो उनका मकसद था उससे उनको दूर कर दिया गया या ग्रीर एक वक्त तो इ.स देश की तारीख में ऐसा ग्राया था कि जब यह क्षालूम होने लगा था कि ह्यारे जिलने भी पढ़ाई-लिखाई के इंदारे हैं, हशारी जितनी भी यूनिविसिटीज भीर कालेजेज हैं, वह सब बंद हो जायेंगे भ्रौर वे सब नालंदा के खडहरों में तब्दील हो जायेंगे। वह हपारे पढ़ाई-लिखाई के केन्द्र हैं। वहां इल्म की दौलत एहती है आरोर इल्स पढ़ाया जाता है स्रीर वहां झगर हमने वह काम होने दिया होता, ग्रगर हम उस की इजाजत दे देते कि वह तबाह स्रीर बर्बाद हो जायें तो हमारे तमाम इल्प के सोते सूख जाते ग्रौर ग्राने वाली नस्लें कहती कि कोई जमाना बीसवीं सदी के श्राखिप में ऐसा भाया थाकि जब पूरी नस्ल ही बे<sup>पद्री</sup> लिखी हो कर रह गयी।

72

## [शोख्रशीद श्रालम खाः]

द्याज जिस सुरतेहाल का मुकाबला कर रहे हैं जिस तरह से कर रहे हैं उसने मावित कर्दिया है कि न सिर्फ़ इस मुल्क भी बल्कि इस मुल्क से बाहर लोगों ने हर जगह पर, हर मुल्क में इस बात को याना है कि हमारी ह न्मत ने हमारी सरकार ने, हमारी जनता ने मिलकर अपने सहयोग से जो वदम उठाये हैं उनसे मुल्क के अन्दर बेहतरी आई है, मल्क की पैदावार बढ़ी है, मुल्क के ग्रन्दर हर किस्म की तरक्की हुई है। हमारी रेलें ठीक से चल रही हैं, हमारे कारखाने ठीक काय कर रहे हैं, हमारे मजदूरों को कास मिल रहा है, हमारे यहा पैदावार बढ़ पही है। हमारे यहां खेतीबाड़ी का काभ अच्छा चल रहा है। जब ये सब चीजें अच्छी चल रही हैं तो यह किसकी देन है। यह उस इक्तसादी प्रोग्राम की देन है जिसको 20 नुकाती प्रोग्राम कहा जाता है। इस 20 नुकाती प्रोग्राम की देन हैं कि हम ग्राज इस हालत में, इस तरककी पर पहुंच गये हैं ग्रीर हम बराबर तरक्की की मंजिलें तथ करते जा रहे हैं। तो ग्राइये हम यह तय करें कि हम इस काम को पहले पूरा करेंगे। जब यह काभ पूरा हो जाएगा तो हम खद-ब-खद इबलेशन लडेंगे, इलेक्श्वाप करेंगे और इलेक्शन को मुल्तवी करने का या इलेक्गन को बढ़ाने का हम् कोई बहाना नहीं दुढते। इम तो सिर्फ़ यह चाहते हैं कि हम जो क्छ कर रहे हैं पहले उन कार्मों को पूराकर लें, अच्छीतरह से अपने लोगों की खुशहाली के इंतजाम पहले कर लें। इसके बाद जो कुछ होता है इलेक्शन में भी हथ जायेंगे वहां भी दिखायेंगे और भाषको वकीन हो जाएगा कि हमारे साथ जनता है ग्रोर जनता की नियत हमारे साथ है और चूंकि जनता की हक्मत है ग्रीर जो जनता की हकूमत होती है वह जनता के लिए होती है, जनता के जरिये से होति है, उसको कोई नुकसान नहीं होता ।

में इन अल्फ़ाज के साथ एक मर्तवाफ़िर इस बिल की ताईद करता हूं।

श्री**नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही** (उत्तर प्रदेश) लोक सभा 7 साल कर दीजिए और राज्य सभा को 9 साल कर दीजिए यह संसद् सदस्यों की राय है। इसलिए ला पिनिस्टर इसमें कुछ संजोधन करें।

श्री प्र**काशवीर शास्त्री** (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभाष्यक्ष जी, मैं उन् सदस्यों में हुं जिनकी ग्रपनी राय है कि यदि चुनाव समय पर हो जाते तो सताधारी दल के पक्ष में होते ग्रीय देश में तरहतरड़ की भ्रान्तियां भी उत्तक्र न हुई होतीं। मैं श्री खुरशीद ग्रालक खान की बात से भी सहसत हं कि ग्राज यदि चुनाव होता तो इस चुनाव का निर्णय सत्ताधारी दल के पक्ष में ही जाता । लेकिन फ़िर भी मैं नहीं कह सकता कि किन मिलों की राय से यह निर्णय लिया गया कि चुनाव की अवधि एक वर्ष के लिए बढ़ादी जाए । इस एक वर्ष की स्रवधि को बढ़ाने के लिए जैसे स्राज ही प्रात:ब काल विधि मंत्री श्री गोखले ने विकासां दी थीं भीर ग्रभी यहां बैठे हुए मेरे कुछ भीर मित्रों ने दोहराई भी थीं कि हमने जो विकास ग्रीर प्रगति को कार्यपा रम्भ किये हैं उनमें किसी तरह की रुकावटना ग्राये । इसके लिए हम चाहते हैं कि चुताव एक वर्ष के लिए ग्रीर बढ़ा दिये जायें। लेकिन मैं ग्रथने सित्रों से हृदय पर हाथ रखकर यह पूछता चाहता हं कि जो लक्ष्य ग्रापने विकास का तय किया **है, जो ग**ति **है और** जो सीमा तय की है क्या एक वर्ष उसके लिए पर्याप्त है ? चताव का एक वर्षबढादियाजाएगातो सारेविकास के लक्ष्यों को द्याप पूर्णकर लेंगे? या एक वर्ष भ्रौर बढ़ा दिया जाएगा तो प्रगति की ग्रंतिम सीमा तक पहुंच जायेंगे ? मेरा कहना यह है कि उसके लिए तो पांच वर्ष भी पर्यापन नहीं है। ज़िस गति से देश चल 👣 है श्रीर हमारी सरकारी मशीनरी चल रही है। वास्तविकता विह है कि पिछले एक वर्ष में तो हमने कुछ दाग बेल लगाई हैं, कुछ रेखायें श्रीची हैं, कुछ लक्ष्य निर्धारित किये हैं कि जिनकी स्रोर हम चलना चाहते हैं। उत्तमें कुछ अंभों में सफलतायें भी प्राप्त की हैं। **ले**किन हमको इस **सत्य** को भी स्वीकार करता, चाहिए कि उनमें सबसे बड़ी सफ़नता आर्थि ह क्षेत्र के अन्दर प्राप्त हुई है। हमने जो मुद्रा-स्फ़ीति पर नियंत्रण किया है या जो विदेशी पुंजी को भारत में केन्द्रित करने के लिए कुछ व्यावहारिक पग उठाये हैं वह सराहनीय हैं। हभा रे देण में छपि के क्षेत्र में जो प्रगति हुई है या दूसरी जो शिक्षण संस्याग्री में अनुषा-सन्हीनता क्रा गई थी उस पर जिस प्रकार का नियंत्रण हुआ है निश्चित रूप से इसकी सराहता करती चाहिए । इसको जितना बढाया जा सके, जितनी गति दो जा सके उसमें किसी की दो राय नहीं हो सकतों। परन्तु मेरा कहना यह है कि एक वर्ष इन सारे कार्यक्रमों ग्रौर दूसरे कार्यक्रमों के लिए पर्याप्त होगा, इसमें मुझे कुछ सन्देह प्रतीत होता है। पर साथ ही साथ में एक बात अवश्य कहना चाहता हुं कि यह सरकार के सामने भी और देश के सामने भी एक बढ़ा बड़ी चुनौतो आ गई है। चुनोती यह आई है कि हमने जो विकास की सोभा यहां रखो हैं, विकास के लक्ष्य निर्धारित किये हैं, अगर 44वें संविधान संशोधन विधेयक पारित होने के बाद, लोक सभा की ग्रविघ एक साल नियमित रूपसे ग्रीर एक साल वैद्यानिक रूप हे, कुल 7 वर्ष हो जाने के पश्चात् श्रीर चुनावों को स्थगित करने के उक्ष्वात् भा अक् हमने अक्ष प्राप्त नहीं विया तो देश की दृष्टि में भी यह बात अच्छा नहीं होगा स्रोर दुनियाकी दृष्टि में भी ग्रच्छी नहीं होगी। धगर विकास की दिष्टि से कदम उठाये जा रहे हैं तो मेरा कहना यह है कि युद्ध स्तर पर विकास कार्यक्रमो को ग्राने हाथों में लेना चाहिये। इतमें किसी प्रकार की कोई ढील नहीं अने देनी चाहिये, कोई शियातता नहीं श्राने देती चाहिये। इसके लिये दो काम सबसे पहले करने होंगे। पहना काम यह करना ोगो कि अवात तालीन स्थित लग् होने के बाद, जैसा मैंने आपसं कहा कि छाष क क्षत में कुछ विकास हुआ है, उत्पादन के क्षेत्र में गति आई है, अनुणासन आया है हमारी शिक्षण संस्थाक्रों में, लेकिन एक क्षेत्र जिस पर इस भाषातकालीन स्थिति का पिछले एक वर्ष में प्रमाव नहीं हुन्ना ग्रोर जिसके विना हमारी गति जितनी बढ़नी च हिए थी, वह नहीं बढ़ सको, वह है हमारा प्रशासनिक तंत्र, सरकारी मशीनरी। जब तक इस सरकारी मशीनरी को ग्राप पूरी तरह से नहीं कसेंगे ग्रीर इसको रास्ते पर नहीं लगायेंगे तब तक आपातकालीन स्थिति का जो लाभ देश को मिलना चाहिये. विकास की जो गति होनी चाहिये वह नहीं बढ़ पायेगी। भारत की 15 प्रतिशत जनता शहरों में रहती है। क्या हुआ अगर शहर के सरकारी कार्यालयों में आपने अनुशासन ला दिया और लोग समय पर कार्यालयों में पहुंचने लगे, हालांकि उसमें भी ढील आने लगी है। लेकिन 85 प्रतिशत भारत की जनता गांवों में रहती है जिनका वास्ता पड़ता है सरकारी मशीनरीसे, पुलिस वालों से, तहसील-दत्रों से, पटवःरियों से ग्रौर दूसरे लोगों से, उन पर आपातकालीन स्थिति का क्या प्रभाव है, सरकार को इस बात का भी जायजा लेना चाहिये। अगर 85 प्रतिशत जनता का जिस सरकारी तंत्र से काम पड़ता है उस सरकारी तंत्र पर ग्रापातकालीन स्थिति का कोई प्रमाव नहीं पड़ा तो मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि यह निश्चय ही प्रमावहीन हो जाएगा । जिस लक्ष्य को लेकर हम चले हैं उस लक्ष्य का निर्धारण हम नहीं कर सकेंगे। एक सबसे बड़ी बात, मैं समझता हूं शायद ग्राप न कह सकेंगे लेकिन मुझें इसकी कहने में किसी प्रकार की कोई भापत्ति नहीं है। वह सबसे बड़ी समस्या हमारे देश के सामने है परिवार नियोजन की । जितना विकास की गति को हम आगे बढ़ाते हैं, चाहे कपड़े का उत्पादन बढ़े, चीनी का उत्पादन बढ़े, चाहे लीहे का, सीमेंट का या और किसी चीज का उत्पादन बढ़े वह सारी का सारा उत्पादन वहां जा कर ठप्प हो जाता है।

## [श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री]

में अब परिवार नियोजन की समस्या पर ही स्राता हं। यह जो परिवार नियोजन है वह इस प्रकार की समस्या है जिस को यद्ध स्तर पर प्रमुखता देनी चाहिये। मैं यह समझता हूं कि चुनावों को आगे बढ़ाने में, सरकारी पक्ष के लोग भले ही यह न कहें, लेकिन एक कारण यह भी है कि परिवार नियोजन की समस्या से गांवों के खंदर या दूसरे छोटे-छोटे नगरों में जो वातावरण पैदा हम्रा है उसको देख कर भी सरकार के सामने यह प्रश्न उठा कि इस समय चुनाव कराना उचित है या नहीं। इसी लिये उन्होंने सोचा जो कार्य हमने प्रारम्भ किया है उसको किसी एक रास्ते पर लगा दें तब चुनाव कराये जायें। मेरा कहना इस संबंध में यह भी हैं कि ग्रीर भी कारण चुनाव को ग्रामे बढ़ाने के हो सकते हैं। मैं स्वयं इस पक्ष का ब्यक्ति हं कि इपार सरकार इस काम को स्वतः सावधानी के साथ ग्रीर मजबूती के साथ नहीं करेगी तो सारी विकास योजनाएं एक अगेर रखी रह जायेंगी। मैं इस पक्ष में तो नहीं ह कि इसके लिये इस प्रकार के साधन इस्तेमाल किये जाएं जैसे कि साजकल कई गांवो में चल रहे हैं, शहरों में चल रहे हैं। लेकिन मैं इस पक्ष में जरूर हूं कि इसके लिये कोई दूसरा मार्ग भी तैयार किया जा सकता है। उदाहरण के लिये में कहना चाहता हं कि ग्रगर किसी ब्यक्ति के दो बच्चे हैं या तीन बच्चे हैं ती उनसे इस प्रकार का भाष्य पत्र केन्द्रीय सरकार या राज्य सरकारें तैयार करवायें कि तीन से अर्धिक मेरे बच्चे हों तो मेरे ऊपर जर्माना किया जा सकता है या ग्रीर कोई सजा दी जा सकती है। अगर कोई व्यक्ति स्वयं किन्हीं साधनो से परिवार नियोजन करता है तो उसके लिये जो फैमिली प्लानिम की नसबंदी योजना है वह लागु नहीं होनी चाहिए। कांग्रेस ग्रध्यक्ष यहां पर बैठे हुए हैं इसलिये मैं विशेष रूप से इस बात की चर्चा करना चाहता हूं कि केवल मसलमानों में ही

नहीं हिन्दुओं में भी प्रतिकिया हो रही है। श्राप इसका कोई विकल्प जरूर सोचिए श्रीर इस विकल्प को जो आप सोचेंगे व्यापक दिहट से उसका प्रचार की जिये। यह ठीक है कि प्रचार ग्रापने पहले भी बहुत किया है। लेकिन दुर्भाष्य यह हमा कि जब इस काम को तेजी से प्रारम्भ किया तो उस वक्त वर्षाऋतू थी। वर्षा ऋतु में जो आपरेशन हए तो उसमें कुछ असफल हो गये। जब एक गांव में भी किसी एक-दो का आपरेशन असफल हो गया तो लोगों में यह भावता फैली कि इस प्रकार की चीज खतरनाक है। मैं एक निवेदन यह करना चाहता हं कि इस को अनिवार्य रूप में लाग करने के लिये लोगों के मस्तिब्क में यह बात भरनी पड़ेगी कि परिवार नियोजन क्यो ग्रावण्यक है। इसको समझाने के लिये देश में खासकर ग्रामीण क्षेत्रों में जैसा वाता-वरण बनना चाहिये था वैसा वातावरण पहले नहीं बनाया गया श्रीर इसका ही परिजाम यह हम्रा कि उसकी उलटी प्रतिकिया हुई। दसरा कहना मेरा यह है कि अगर स्वत: ही कोई इस बात के लिये तैयार हो--चाहे वह क्रुंत्रिम साधनो का उपयोग करे या संयम के द्वाराइसका पालन करेया वह इस बात के

लिए तैयार है कि अप्रार सीमा का <sup>3 p. м.</sup> उल्लंघन करेतो आरप जो भी सजा मुक्ररर करें वह उस सजा को लेने के लिए तैयार है, जमीना देने के लिए तैयार है तो मैं समझता हूं कि परिवार नियोजन की ऋतिवार्यता या बाध्यता को लागु बहीं किया जाना चाहिए।लेकिन अगर एक ही उपाय को लागू किया जाएगा तो उसका परिणाम यह होगा--उसकी प्रतिकिया होगी । मैं यह चाहता हूं कि अगर हमें श्रनने देश को विकास की सही गति पर ले जाना है तो परिवार नियोजन एक बहुत ही **ग्राव**श्यक कार्यक्रम है। लेकिन परिवार नियोजन कार्यक्रम को भ्रांख मूंद करके लागू नहीं किया जाना चाहिए। इसको अपनी बुद्धि को नियंत्रित करके चलाया जाना चाहिए।

मैं चाहता हूं कि साप श्रयने मस्तिष्क में इस बात का ध्यान श्रवश्य रखें।

एक बात साथ-साथ मैं यह कहना चाहता है कि जहां तक हमारी विकास की गति का संबंध है और जिस तरह से हमारे विकास के कार्यक्रम चल रहे हैं उसके संबंध में हमारे मित्र थो भूपेश गुप्ताजी ने ग्राज प्रातःकाल इस विधेयक पर ऋपना भाषण करते हुए रक्षामंत्री श्रीवंसीलाल जीका उद्धरण दिया। अगर वे रक्षा मंत्रो श्रो बंसोलाल का जिक न करके थो बंसो लाल का जिक करते तो मुझे इसमें कोई आपत्ति नहीं होती। लेकिन रक्षा मंत्री के रूप में उनको सम्बोधित करके जब उन्होंने कुछ कहा तो मझे थोड़ा आश्चर्य हुमा । उन्होंने कहा कि श्री बंसीलाल सारे हिन्द्स्तान को हरियाणा मत बनायें। इस पर मुझे थोड़ासा ऋाश्चर्य हुन्ना है। मैं तो चाहता हं कि सारे हिन्दुस्तान को हरियाणा बनाया जाना चाहिए। जिस तेज गति के साथ हरियाणा में विकास कार्यक्रम चले हैं उसी तेज गति के साथ दूसरे राज्यों में भी चलने चाहिएं ।

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I did not say that. I said do not think India is Haryana.

श्री प्रकाशकोर शास्त्रो : मेरा कहना यह है कि विकास की जैसो तेंग गति हरियाणा में चली है वह ही तेंग गति विहार, पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश और बंगाल आदि पिछड़े हुए प्रदेशों में चलनी चाहिए । मैं समझता हूं कि अगर विकास की गति अच्छे देग से चल रही है तो इसके लिए जो भी साधन प्रयोग में लाये जा सकते हैं, वे लाये जाने चाहिएं।

दूसरी विशेष बात जो मैं कहता चाहता हूं वह यह है कि ब्रापने लोक सभाका कार्यकाल एक वर्ष के लिए बढ़ा दिया है। उपसमाध्यक्ष जी, संसद् जिसकी अंग्रेजी में पायि गामेन्ट कहते हैं, वह तीन भागों में विभक्त है। संबद्

केवल लोक सभा का नाम नहीं है । संसद् केवल राज्य सभा का नाम नहीं है । संसद् लोक सभा, राज्य सभा और राष्ट्रपति, इन तीनों को मिलाकर बनती है। लोक सभा जब किसी विद्येयक को पारित करती है तो उस पर राज्य सभाकी सहमति लेनी पड़तो है ग्रौर राष्ट्रपति जब उस पर श्रपनी स्वीकृति की मोहर लगाते हैं तब वह विघेयक कानून की शक्ल धारण करता है। मेरा कहना यह है कि अगर लोक समा के लिए कोई निर्णय लिया जाता है और राज्य सभा ग्रार राष्ट्रपतिको छोड़ दिया जाता है तो ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि उस कानून में कहीं वटि रह गई है। आपने लोक सभा की अविधि 6 वर्षके लिए कर दी है। आर्प जानते हैं कि राष्ट्रपति ग्रौर उप-राष्ट्रपति का कार्यकाल 5 वर्षके लिए होता है । ऐसी स्थिति में क्या एक लोक सभादी राष्ट्रपतियों का चुनाव करेगी ? पांच वर्ष के बाद ग्रगर दूसर। राष्ट्रपति चुना जाना होगा तो वही लोक सभा मतदान करेगी। में इसको कानन की न्यूनता समझता हूं इस पर गम्भीरता से विचार किया जाना चाहिए। इसी दिन्ह से मैं राज्य सभा के लिए यह कहना चाहता है कि जब संविधान सभा ने संविधान बनाया था तो वे ऐसे बुद्धिहीन व्यक्ति नहीं थे कि किसी प्रकार की कोई विसंगति रखते । उन्होंने लोक समा का कार्यकाल 5 वर्ष का रखा और राज्य सभा का कार्यकाल 6 वर्ष का रखा। में समझता हूं कि उसके पीछे कुछ कारण रहे होंगे। उन कारणो में से सबसे बड़ा कारण शायद यह रहा होगा कि लोक सभा और राज्य सभा का जो काम है या उनका जो काम करने का तरीका है उसमें डेढ़ मास की अवधि का अन्तर तो प्रति वर्ष रहता ही है क्योंकि लाक समा वर्ष में लगभग 6 महीने बैठती है और राज्य सभा वर्ष में लगभग साढ़े 4 महीने बैठती है । यह जो डेंड माह का ग्रन्तर है, इसको देखते हुए संविधान तिनीताम्रा ने यह निर्णय किया

79

जिस प्रकार से लोक सभा का कार्यकाल 5 वर्ष का है उसको देखते हुए राज्य सभा का कार्यकाल 6 वर्षका होना चाहिए ताकि उतनी ही अवधि राज्य | सभा के सदस्यों को भी मिले जितनी लोक सभा के सदस्यों को मिलती है । लेकिन यह कहना कि चंकि राज्य सभा का कार्यकाल 6 वर्ष का है इसलिए लोक सभा का कार्यकाल भी 6 वर्ष का किया जा रहा है, मैं समझता हं कि इस यक्ति में विसंगति है । राज्य सभा तो प्रति वर्ष डेढ महीना लोक सभा से पीछे है । पांच वर्ष में ग्रगर हर वर्ष का डेढ महीना जोड़ा जाय तो लगभग साढ़े सात मास हो जाते हैं। इसीलिए राज्य सभा का कार्यकाल 6 वर्ष का रखा गया है ग्रीर लोक सभा का 5 वर्ष रखा गया है।

दूसरी सबसे बड़ी बात में यह कहना चाहता हु कि जब ग्राप इस प्रकार का कोई निर्णय लें तो केवल लोक अभा के लिए निर्णय न लें। लोक सभा ग्रौर राज्य सभा ग्रौर राष्ट्रपति-उपराष्ट्रपति का पद, इन को सम्मिलित करके निर्णय लिया जाए, जो भी निर्णय लिया जाए । इसलिए में चाहंगा कि धाप इस तरह का निर्णय करते समय यहां पर अपने गृह मंत्री स्रोम मेहता साहब भी बठे हैं ग्रीर हमारे हाउस के नेता पं० कमलापति विपाठी भी बैठे हैं--जो लोक सभा के बारे में है, भगर एक के लिये ही करेंगे, तो उसमें ग्रघ्रापन रह जायगा। यह ग्रघ्रा-**१न संविधान की बहुत बड़ी न्यूनता मानी** जाएगी । हमारे संविधान में किसी प्रकार की न्युनता नहीं रहनी चाहिए इस दौष्ट से भी विचार करें।

दूसरी एक बात जो मैं विशेष रूप से कहना चाहता हूं, मुझेपता नहीं कि लोक सभा का कार्यकाल बढ़ा समय भ्रापके मस्तिष्क में यह बात रही है या नहीं। लेकिन

मेरे जैसे व्यक्ति के मस्तिष्क में यह वात अवश्य है। 1967 के पहले हमारे देश में संसद ग्रीर विधान मण्डलों के चुनाव साथ साथ होते थे । उसका परिणाम यह होता था कि दोनों बार पथक ब्यय की सीमा बढ़ गई है--एक बार संसद के चुनाव पर व्यय किया जाए और दो साल बाद विधान सभाग्रों के चुनाव में व्यय किया जाए वह नहीं थीं। अब स्थिति यह हो गई है कि संसद् के चुनाव और विधान सभाग्रों के चुनाव एक बार नहीं कई बार होंगे; अब यू० पी० का हो रहा है, कल केरल का हो रहा है, परसो तिमलनाडु का हो रहा है, उसके बाद डिस्टिक्ट बोर्ड के चनाव हो रहे हैं, ग्राम पंचायतों के चनाव हो रहे हैं, म्युनिसिप लिटी के चुनाव हो रहे हैं, यानी चुनावों का ज्वर देश पर सवार रहता हैं उसका श्रभिप्राय यह है कि जो चनावों में भाग लेने वाले नेता हैं, जो जनता का मानस तैयार करते हैं वे सीमित होते हैं। नतीजा यह है कि वे व्यक्ति हर समय चुनावों में दौड़ते रहते हैं। ग्राज विधान सभा के चुनावों में जा रहे हैं, कल संसद के चनावो में जा रहे हैं। मेरा कहना है कि देश में जो चनाव का ज्वर चढ़ा रहता है, इससे विकास की गति रुकती हैं और ये हमारे जो कार्यक्रम है उनमें भी व्यवधान पैदा होता है। जो दफ्तर में बैठ कर निर्णय लेते हैं। वे जनता म जाकर भाषण करेंगे कैसे काम होगा। हमको इस दाष्टि से भी सोचना चाहिए।

Amdt. Bill, 1976

मेरा यह कहना है कि यह विधेयक जो स्राया है उसमें न्यूनता रह गई है। इस न्यूनता को पूर्ण करना चाहिए। उसका प्रकार यह है कि लोक सभा, राज्य सभा सौर राष्ट्रपति-उपराष्ट्रपति का पद, जो तीनों मिल कर संसद होते हैं, विधान जब बनाया जाय, तीनों के लिए सम्मिलित बनाया जाए। दूसरे यह कि इस प्रकार का निर्णय लेते समय विधान मण्डलों को भुला न दें,

82

अभी अमरीका के राष्ट्रपति का चुनाव हुआ था तो उसके साथ साथ सीनेटर्स का भी चुनाव हुआ, सुप्रीम कोर्ट के जज भी चुने जा रहे हैं, सिटी कारपोरेशनों के चेयरमैन भी चुने जा रहे हैं। एक लम्बासाबैलट पेपर है, एक साथ जो होना है, सारे चुनाव हो गए। होने के बाद, अब ढाई साल-तीन साल जो रह गए उसमें तेजी से मिल कर काम करेंगे। लेकिन यह क्या हुआ कि हर समय चुनावों का ही दौर दौरा चलता रहे। इससे देश की गति रुकती है । मैं समझता हुं इस पर विधि मंत्री और सत्ताधारी दल को भी गंभीरता के साथ विचार करना चाहिए ।

SHRI H. S. NABASIAH (Karna-taka): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I support this Bill and my task of supporting it has been considerably lightened by the able advocacy put in by my Party President. Shri D. K. Borooah, who spoke in support of this Bill. I shall, ttherefore, be very short in my submissions.

Sir, the crucial question that has to be taken into consideration in either supporting opposing this Bill is not the interests of political party in |this country but the in-< terest of the nation at large. The question to be considered is whether at tihis stage in the history of development of the nation and in the particular situation in the country is placed, when we are just on the brink of having achieved some thing very substantial out of the emergency that was declared and are in the process of consolidating the gains that have emerged out of it and striving to reap further benefits out of it, the existing situation should be allowed to be disturbed by plunging the nation into a general election. The answer to this question from any sensible student of Indian politics and understanding would be in the negative only. Sir. the hon. Prime Minister speaking the other day on the Constitution (Forty-fourth Amendmennt) Bill said this about the emergency and I am quoting:

"Emergency itself had not brought gains but it had awakened the people to their responsibilities and that awakening had brought gains."

Sir, a greater effort is necessary not only from one political party of this country but from progressive parties of this land to jointly put in their strength to attain the objectives of the great socioeconomic revolution that has been set in motion not only by the 20-point programme of the Prime Minister but also. I will add, the 5-point programme of the rising youtth leader, Shri Sanjay Gandhi. The need for elections not being liable to be judged from a party stand point of view but from the larger perspective of the national interest, we see that emergencies-external and the internal-declared, still need to be continued in The danger to the country from external hostilities and also the menace to country from internal subversive forces, appears to be not totally eliminated. The Prime Minister warned the other day and. I quote:

"One found that as soon as people are being released and other measures are relaxed, the old tendency to laxity was creeping back."

In all her public utterances, she has not failed to emphasise the need for the people to keep up constant vigilance against external and internal dangers. Fascist forces are still strong and will raise their heads ii an opportunity is given.

Sir, regarding elections, it has beer pointed out by our beloved Prime Minister, and I quote her again:

[Shri H. S- Narasiah.]

"There were times when we must all rise above controversy and anything that could create chaotic conditions."

The learned friends in the opposition. Sir, have a proven record of raising trivial controversies and obscuring the main issues and often even arousing communal feelings. Today, these have to be avoided at all costs. Far more important decisions have to be taken in a number of fields so that we could maintain the precious foothold we had acquired on the steep path of our onward journey. With many pressures and challenges with which India was confronted, we could not afford any siding back. At this moment, consolidation of economic gains was essential and our progress did depend on our ability to become more selfreliant. No nation could play with its stability. These are the very valuable observations, the learned Prime Minister made the other day on the floor of this very House when she spoke in defence of the Forty-fourth Amendment to the Constitution.

If in such circumstances, elections are postponed just for a year or two, would it mean that elections are given a go by permanently and the democracy of our country is scuttled? On the contrary, Sir, the people of India who have gained a degree of maturity during the past five General Elections and several by-elections, will surely appreciate the national needs and not react adversely to any postponement.

Sir, the political sagacity of the Indian voter, illiterate and impecunious though he may be, has always demonstrated that he has acted correctly and wisely in all our General Elections.

Elections, n0 doubt, constitute one of the basic features of the functioning of any democracy. The hon. Prime Minister pointed out once again that: "Elections were important and we have had them regularly until the Emergency.

The hon. Minister of Law has also pointed out on the floor of the other House

"We may have elections sooner than expected."

Parliament also can be dissolved earlier if the conditions turn out to be better or give indication that the successful implementation of the twenty point programme would not be disturbed. As he has rightly said, Sir. postponing of the elections does not mean that until the ruling party has achieved all that it wants to achieve in the economic field, there will be elections land. This in this country, it can be categorically asserted, will not deviate from democracy. postponement of elections is not something alien to the democracies of the world. Britain postponed it during emergencies like war and so on. As the learned President of the Congress pointed out this morning, Holland did it though there was no war. Besides, just now, Sir, we have turned a golden chapter in the history of Parliamentary democracy by reasserting and re-affirming the supremacy Parliament and the supremacy of the Directive **Principles** over the Fundamental Rights. Several follow-up measures have to be taken to implement the socioeconomic objectives in our amended adumberated Some time may also be Constitution. needed for taking such consequential measures as may be necessary. Sir, only fear. I notice, of the Opposition, from their speeches is that, if time is allowed for the achievement of the aforesaid objectives and the betterment of the economic conditions of the masses of this country and for the successful implementation of the economic programme, which has now become programme of the nation and which is also the sole aim with which the Constitution (Forty-fourth Amendmerit) Bill was passed, what little chance the Opposition may still have at the polls will be completely obliterated. If that is their stand, Sir, God save them.

SHRI PATTiAM RAJAN (Kerala): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I rise to strongly oppose this Bill because this is a total violation of the principle of democracy. Nobody with the minimum democratic norms can support the proposal for the extension of the life of the Lok Sabha for two years more, when the House was elected only for five years. When I take part in the discussion, I remember the fate of the Panchayat elections in Kerala, which are due for more than a decade. More than ten times, they, have been postponed. I fear what has happened to the Kerala Panchayat election is going to happen to the Lok Sabha elections also.

Sir, when the Constitution (Amend, ment) Bill was being discussed in the other House, some Members demanded a term of six years for the Lok Sabha. Before that, some Members, including some leading Congressmen, as well as Ministers, demanded that the present Parliament should be converted into a Constituent Assembly. Their intention was very clear to evade elections. While their demands were not conceded, the very purpose of their demands has been served by this Bill. Sir, I would like to ask the Minister whether he can say, when, and in what circumstances, the elections will be held again. I am sure he cannot say. Nor the Prime Minister can say because it depends upon the decision of somebody else. You would have understood it from the press statement made by such a person from Bombay against the holding of the elections or the postponement of the elections. After his statement the next day, the very next day, the Law Minister came to the other House and made the announcement about the extension of the life of the Lok Sabha. That is going on in our country. That is why I am sure that the Minister or the Prime

Minister or any other person cannot say under what circumstances the elections will be held.

From the Statement of Objects and Reasons, it is very clear that you are not prepared to revoke the emergency for a long long time and that you are trying to stabilise the emergency. You have tied the hands and legs of common masses and let loose the vested interest to plunder the workers and peasants. People in all walks of life, excepting some vested interest, are dissatisned. They are hating the ruling Party and the Government. Those who had lent their support to you in the last election are now against you, are now hating you. It is a fact, Sir, during this emergency period thousands of small and big companies have been closed. No action has been taken against any of such employers. At the same time, whenever the workers have gone into agitation for their working conditions, the next morning you have used the DIR and the MISA against them. MISA and DIR are being used against workers all over the country. Two weeks back, from my own State ten persons have been arrested under MISA. They are not active in politics. Some of them are lawyers and some of them are teachers. Some of them are not the members of any political party. Yet they have been arrested by the Government, because they sympathised with the problems of workers and defended their cases. About the repercussions of the unrest among workers, our Labour Minister himself has stated in a Conference that there have been more lockouts and more attacks on the working class. The peasants are not getting adequate price for their produce and the consumers are paying more. The economic crisis is deepening day by day because of the uncivilized policy of the Government and as a part of the crisis of world capitalist countries.

Sir, I feel that this Bill is a death bell of our democracy, parliamentary

[Shri Pattiam Raj'an.]

87

system and the free and fair elections will be a mere dream to the people of India. If you have any faith in parliamentary democracy, I request the Minister to withdraw the Bill and go and face the masses and seek their verdict through a free and fair election after lifting the emergency and releasing all political prisoners.

Lastly, I am very glad to hear that my CPI friends are with us in opposing this Bill, but I humbly request Mr. Bhupesh Guptta to advise his colleague, Shri Achutha Menon, the Chief Minister of Kerala, to hold Panchayat and Municipal elections which are due for more than a decade.

With these words, I oppose the Bill.

श्री मीहम्मद उस्मान श्रारिफ (राज-स्थान) : मोहतरिस वाइस चेयरमैन साहब में इस बिल की ताईद करना हं जिसके जरिये लोक सभाकी मियाद एक साल के लिये बढ़ाई गई है । जनावेवाला हस जानते हैं कि हमारे मुल्क की हकुसत कुछ उसूलों पर चलतो है वे उसूल पायाभेटरी जयहरी सोशल्स्ट भीर सेक्य लर के निजास के हैं। इन उसुलों पर हल गुरू से मजब ती से पाबन्द रहे हैं। पिछले इस्में तक हम बराबर नेकनीरती के साथ इलेक्शत करात चले आए हैं और डैभोकेटिक तरीके से हक्मत बन्ती चली आई है लेकिन उस्त बाजदफा ढीले करने पड़ते हैं वन को देखते हए हालत को देखते हए। सबसे बडी बान यह है कि मुल्क के लियं उसल हैं उसलों **के लि**थे **मु**ल्क न**हीं** है। ग्रगर हक्**म**त उन वसूलों को मुल्ह के फायदे के लिये -ढोला करके इलेकान कराना मु**ना**सवि नहीं सभझती हैं तो यह चीज मुल्क के लिए अच्छी है। जैसाकि दूसरे सब्स्यों ने बोलते हुए यह भागा है कि इस वक्त हभारे मल्क में हंगामी हालत हैं, इसरजेन्सी की हालत है। श्राप जानते हैं कि इन्रजेन्सी लागू होने के

बाद हमारे देश की हाला में काफी सुधार हुआ है।हर तरफ तरक्कों हुई है। एक नया वावतारण पैदा हुआ है । श्री भपेश गप्ता साहब ने जो तकरीए फरमाई उसका जवाब उसूली भीर किताबी इस्तिलाहीं के मताबिक हमारे कांग्रेस प्रेजीडेन्ट साहब ने बहुत अच्छी तरह से दिया है। उसके साथ-साथ उन्होंने यह भी फरमाया कि वह एक बहुत अच्छे डेमोक्रेट हैं एक अच्छे पायािमेन्टेरियन हैं लेकिन यह सादा है इसमें कुछ भ्रत्फायज का इजाफा करना चाहता हं। वह सादा भी हैं और उनको किताबी इल्म भी है लेकिन श्रमली जिन्दगी का तज्बी उनको नहीं मालूम होता है। वे उसूलों के बारे में नेक नियत हैं लेकिन हमारे मल्क में जो लोग उसूलों की छ।ड़ में मुल्क को तबाह क**्ना चाह**ते हैं जो फ़ासि**स्ट** लोग है इपीर जो इस मुल्क में फ़क्षाद पैदा करना चाठते हैं मैं समझता हं कि श्री भूपेश गुप्ता जी उनकी कूटनीति से शायद वाकिफ नहीं हैं। इसमें कोई संदेह नहीं है कि इमर-जेन्सी से हमारे मुल्क में बहुत फ़ायदे हुए हैं। हमारा मुल्क तरवर्काकी राह पर चल पड़ा है । हमारे मुल्क में उतिमादी ग्रीर समाजी तरक्की हो रही है स्रोर उसके साथ-साथ तमाम मल्क में सबसे बड़ी बात जो हुई है वह यह है कि मुल्क के अन्दर एक नई चेतना पैदा हुई है, एक नया एहसास पैदा हुन्ना है। आज लोग इस बात को समझने लगे है कि हमें इस मुल्क को धागे बढ़ाना है और अपने मुल्क को तरक्की की राहु पर चलाना है और जो फ़ास्स्टि ताकतें हैं जो तोड़फ़ोड़ में यकीन करती हैं उनको खत्म करना है । इन्हीं उभूलों पर हमारे मुल्क की भलाई बरकरार रह सकती है।

इस सिल्सिले ये में श्री शास्त्री जी के बयान को बड़े गौर से मुन रहा था । उन्होंने यह तो कहा कि मैं इस विधेयक का विरोध करता हूं। लेकिन उन्होंने चन्द बातें ऐसी कही हैं जिनसे इस बात की ताईद होती है कि मुल्क में इन हालात के ग्रन्दर इलेक्शन नहीं होने चाहिए। सिसाल के तौर पर उन्होंने फ़रवाया कि जो कास मुल्क की तरक्की के लिए, मुल्क की बहबूदी के लिए ग्रीर जो काम लोगों की इक्तिसादी और सभाजी तरक्की के लिए किये जा रहे हैं उनको पूराकिया जाना चाहिए। उन्होंने यह भी कहा कि यह जो 20 पाइन्ट का प्रोग्राम है इसको पूरा करने के लिए एक साल नहीं बल्कि कई सालों की जरूरत है। इसका सतलब यह हुआ। कि अभी तो एक साल ही हुआ है बाकी ग्रायन्दा सालों मे देखा जाएगा। हम कोई प्रडिक्शन नहीं कर सकते हैं। कौस की जिन्द**गी में** ग्रौर सियासी जि**न्द**गी मैं कोई पेशगोई नहीं हो सकती है। शास्त्री जीने जो कुछ कहा उस से तो इसी ख्याल की ताईद होती है कि जो प्रोग्राय हमारे सायते **है ग्रीर** जिस तरीके से ह**र्मम्**टक को द्याग बढ़ाना है उसमें वक्त लगेगा। ऐसी हालत में जब हेन कस से कम एक साल के लिए सुस्ता एहे हैं और मुल्क में इलेक्शन नहीं करा रहे हैं तो यह ठोक ही फ़ौसला किया गया है। शास्त्रीजीकी साफ़गोई की मैं दाद देता हूं, उन्होंने जो बातें ईसानदारी से कही हैं, मैं उनकी पुरजोर ताईद करता हूं। मैं इस बात को यान आहं कि फ़ो थिली **ब्लानिंग** का प्रोग्राल हमारी कीमी जिन्दगी का एक बहुत अहल प्रोग्रास है । साथ-साथ इसके बारे में न सिर्फ़ मुसलमानों में कुछ आतियां पदाहर्द है बल्कि दूसरी कौमों के अन्दर भी इस तरह की भ्रातियां पैदाहुई है । मैं समझता हूं कि ये भ्रांतियां कुछ पंडितों; कुछ मौलवियों और कुछ कट्टरपंथी लोगों ने फ़ैलाई हैं। हव यह चाउते हैं कि इव प्रोग्रास को ग्रन्छे तरीके से लागू किया जाय भ्रीर जो लोग गलत तीर पर बातें फ़ैजाने की कोशिश करते हैं उनको ऐका करने का मौका न दिया

जाय। लेकिन शास्त्री जी से मैं अदब के माथ अर्ज करना चाहता है कि हमारे मुल्क मैं कुछ ऐसी बाकतें भी हैं जो गलव तरीकों से हुक्सत में घाने की कोशिश करती रहती हैं ग्रौर सियासी तौर पर ऐसे काम करने की कोशिश करती हैं जिनसे मुल्क कसजोर होता है। उनकाभी इसमै बहुत बड़ा हाथ है; वोह डबल रोल झदा करते हैं; वोह शोर झीर तूमान का वावतावरण-जी खुल्लमखुल्ला था-त्रोह नंगा नाच, हिंसा को जो जाहिया था वोह पंसेपदा हो रहा है, दब कर हो रहा है, छुप कर हो पड़ा है और इस सिल सिले मैं भी दोनों तरफ बह तथा जा रहा है। एक फ़िरके को कर दिया जाता है तुम्हारे मजहव मैं दखल दिया जा रहा है, दूसरे फ़िरके को समझा दिया जाता है के बोह क्षोग फ़ेथिली प्तानिग प्रोग्राम प**र ग्रमल** नहीं कर **र**हे हैं, वह तादाद मैं बढ़ जायेंगे तुम पोछे रह जाम्रोगे। मुझे ऐसे हालात भी मालूम हैं जब बड़े बड़े धार्मिक लोगों ने आय जल्सों में पब्लिक को भड़काया है, उनको उकसाया है और उन को गलत रास्तो पर डाला है। उती के साथ साथ आप यह वयों तमलीम नहीं करते कि उन्हीं के अभर के लोग गवम नट मणीनरी में भी वैसे हैं। बहुत से लोग गवर्मेस्ट के मुलाजिय होते हुए भी, उपकी अपनी आइंडियालाजीज हैं, म्तकिदात उनके खुद के ग्राने असूल हैं और उनके **ध**पने एक तरफ़ा झुकाव हैं। इसलिए **वो**ह लोग भी जात कर इस प्रोग्राम को फ़ोल करते हैं। जब इतनाबड़ानेशनल प्रोग्राम जिसके बारे में आमतीर पर सही फ़िजा पैदा हो गई है, उसको फ़ेल करने के लिए अंदरूनी साजियों की जा सकती हैं तो आप अंदाज लगाइए कि इलेक्शन के दौरान में जब हर शक्स को खुल्ल**म**बुल्ला पब्लिक मीटिंग्ड एड्रेस करने का मीका होगा और वावतावरः जो जहरे साफ कर दिया गया था, वो फ़िला जो शांत कर दी गई थी, उतको खरान करने में क्या हकावट झा सकती है 🚺 इसिल

# [श्री मोहम्मद उस्मान ग्रारिफ]

मेरी रस्तबस्ता अर्ज है कि इस मसले पर हमको गहरागौर करना चाहिए। जहाँ मुल्क का सवाल है, हमें अपने तमाम पर्सनल इन्टरेस्ट तज देने चाहिए। वाइस चेयरमैन साहब, आपने भी फ़र्माया, भूषेण जी ने भी फ़मीया कि इसमें भक नहीं कि कांग्रेस पार्टी इलेक्झन से हीं डस्ती है और में भी दावे के साथ अर्ज कर सकता है कि अगर डलेशकन होगें हम काक्षियाकी हासिल करेंगे ग्रीर बहुत जानदार कामयाबी हासिल करेंगे । ग्रुव सवाल यह पैदा होता है कि ग्रा**ख**र हम क्यों वह कामयावी हासिल करने के लिए ग्राज ही इलेक्शन नहीं करा देते ? स्यों नही इसका ऐलान कर देते? बात यह है कि कांग्रेस ग्रौरकांग्रेस के बुजर्गों के नक्जो क़दम पर चलने वाले जी लोग हैं उनके लिए मुल्क का फायदा हमेशा सब से ऊपर रहा है। उन्होंने श्रपने हर्वकाम या अपने इक्तदार का उतना खुयाल नहीं रखा है जितना मुल्क की तरवकी, साल-मियत और मजबूती का खुयाल रखा है। जब हम आपके ही कहने के मुताबिक इलेक्शन में जीत सकते हैं तो हमारे इलेक्शन करने में क्या हानि है ? वही चीज स्कावट की मानी है कि हम जानते हैं कि इलेक्शन इस वक्त सकून की फिजाको खराब कर सकते हैं ग्रीर जो लोग छुपे बठे हैं, जो मुल्क को ख़राब भीर खस्ता करते पर तुले बैठे हैं, फिर अपने गलते प्रचार पर आ जाएंगे; फिर एक दफा श्रीर एक झगड़े का वातावरण पैदा होगा ।

इसके साथ साथ,शास्त्रीजी, ग्राप बड़े-बड़े मुल्कों में धुमे हुए ब्रादमी हैं, ब्रापका बड़ा तजुर्वा है सियासी जिंदगी का, आपसे यह बात भी पोशीदा नहीं होगी कि गैर मुमालिक भी हमारे मल्क की तरककी पे नजर रखे हुए हैं, उनको एक ग्रांख यह तरककी नहीं भाती। भ्रव भी हमारे लोग उनसे मिले हुए हैं। ब्राप लोगो ने बहैसियत मेम्बर पालियामेन्ट दो खत ऐसे पाये होगे जो अमरीका से भेजे

गये हैं। उन ख़तूत में क्या जहर उगला गया है। वहां से जो खंत भेजे गए हैं बोह भी हमारे हिन्दुस्तानी भाइयों के भेजे हुए हैं और मैं कहूंगा हिन्दुस्तान की सब से बड़ी दुखद बात यह है कि हिन्दोस्तान जब जब पिछड़ा है या जब जब हिन्दोस्तान ना काम रहा है तो हमारे अपने लोगों की भूल--जिसको मैं गहारी कहूंगा, उससे रहा है। उसी ग्रहारी से हमारा मुलक पिछड़ा है और हम ने शिकस्तें खाई हैं। श्राज भी हमारे लोग बदकिस्मती से दूसरो के पैसे पर और दूसरों की मदद पर बैठे हैं और वहां से ऐसे ख़तूत लिख रहे हैं जो मेम्बर पालियामेन्ट को जो हमारी जिन्दगी को, हमारे मुल्क को और हमारे मुल्क की बाजादी को खतरे में डाल सकते हैं। हमारे हजारों बरसों की पिछली तारीख़ उठा कर देखिए, मुख्तिकिक मूकामात में जब कि करू जल टाइम ब्राया, हमारी जिन्दगी में कामधाबी हासिल करने का वक्त आया, तो कितने मीर जाफर पैंदा हो गए, कितने सार्दिक पैदा हो गए और इसी तरीके से गहारो की भी तारीख़ है। गौर करें तो उमूलो तीर पर इसमें पालिया-मेन्टरी डेमोकेसी का असूल जो हमने अपनाया है और उसके मृताबिक इलेक्शन होना चाहिए। लेकिन वक्त का तकाजा और ही है।

श्रव जब किं हम ने इस मुल्क की तरक्की का एक प्रोग्राम बना लिया है उस में हम को वरावर सागे चलना चाहिए और शास्त्री जी श्राप तो अब इंडिपेंडेंट मेम्बर की हैसियत से हैं। आप को यह सोचना चाहिए और गौर करना चाहिए कि स्नाप हुकुमत को इस सिलसिले में जितनी मदद कर सकते हैं वह करें इस लिये कि वह मदद हक्मत को नहीं होगी बल्कि वह मदद इस मुल्क को आगे बढ़ाने के लिए होगी। वह मुल्क की तरककी के लिये होगी क्रीर हम क्रीर क्राप सब का लक्य तो यह मुल्क ही है। अगर मुल्क रहेगा तो पालियामेंटरी डेमोकेसी रहेगी और अगर मल्क रहेगा तो यह धालिकामेंट क्लेकी कार

मेम्बर पालियामेंट रहेंगे। ग्रगर खुदा न खास्ता इस मुल्क के लिये कोई खतरा प्राता है, इस मुल्क की प्राजादी खतरे में रहती है तो हन कहीं नहीं रहते। ग्राखिर में मैं एक बात कह कर प्रथमी बात खत्म करता हूं। एक फारसी के गायर ने कहा है:

"रम्जे मसलहते खम खुमखां दानस्द।"

फारसी का यह मशहर मकता है कि जो हक्मत चलाने बाला है उत्तको ग्राना राज खुद ही मालुम होता है। हमारे कांग्रेस प्रेमीडेंट बरूमा साहब ने एक जुमला कहा था कि मझे तो बहत से ऐसे हालात मालुम हैं कि जो औरों को पना नहीं हैं। तो यह जरूरी नहीं होता कि जो हालात हुजूमत की बहैसियत हक्म रांहोने के मालून होते हैं वह सब को मालम हो। वह ग्राम ग्रादमी को मालूम नहीं होते। किस को मालूम था कि इस इमरलेंसी के पहले क्या क्या पडयंत्र रचे गये थे। जब हमारे सामने सारे बक्रियात आये तो पता चला कि वे कितने भयानक, हीलनाक श्रीर खतरनाक इरादे थे। इसी तरह से आप समझ सीजिए कि अन्दरूती खाना, दबे हुए लोग श्रमी तक साजिश में लगे हुए हैं श्रीर इस लिये हमें हुक्मत को किसी तरह मजबूर नहीं करना चाहिए। हुक्मत खूब जानती है कि हालात कैसे हैं। इस लिये हम की इस में मदद करना चाहिए। इतना ही कहकर ब्राखिर में मैं इस विधेयन की पुरजोर ताईंद करता है। जय हिन्द ।

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN : ME. Vice-Chairman, I stand to oppose this Bill purely on democratic lines. Sir, people in this country have begun to feel that Parliamentary system itself has become tyranny. If I go to Madras, the taxi driver asks em: When will be the election? I tell him: Elections are going to be postponed till 1978. Then, immediately he says: This is tyranny <sub>0</sub>f Parliamentary Democracy. I am only reflecting the views of the common people in this

Amdt. Bill, 1976 country. Why is it so? (Interruptions). Sir, you must give me protection. They are in large numbers. 1 am the sole person here.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI' LOKANATH MISRA): You will not. be prevented from speaking.

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: Thank you, Sir. Why are the ordinary people in this country calling Parliamentary Democracy a tyranny? do--so for the reasons that in democracy thoughts of the people are being defeated by numbers. What is the-thought of the people today? The thought of the people is that election-should be held at least once in five years. And what is happening today? We are extending the life of Parliament by one more year, that is, to-six And you have given it years. Constitutional sanction; and today we are extending it by one more year. Therefore, ordinary people in this country feel Democracy has Parliamentary As I said, become a tyranny. thoughts are being defeated by numbers.

Sir, I will give you one instance. In Tamil Nadu when the great Kam-raj was the Chief Minister, the Tamil Nadu people wanted the then Madras State to he named as Tamil Nadu. Shri Sankaralinga Nadar, a great patriot, sacrifi"ed his own life by undertaking an indefinite fast. He wanted the name of Madra<sub>s</sub> State to be changed into Tamil Nadu. But Shri Kamraj did not agree- He might have had his own reasons for that. Any way, he did not agree. A resolution was moved in the Assembly by the opposition. Mr. Kamaraj defeated the resolution with riumbers because he had a huge majority and that resolution was, therefore, defeated. But what happened in the year 1967? The people defeated Mr. Kamaraj. It is not that h'e has not served the people. It is because of the fact that he did not respect the thought of the people and with sheer numbers hedefeated their thought. That is what is happening now in this country, Sir, We may be in a minority. But we

95

would say that definitely the Congress Party may come back if the elections are held and that is what is "being told by the Congress Party leaders. But why are you not ordering elections? Last time, Sir, when the postponement was asked for, it was not then said that it would be postponed for one more year.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: How could it be said then?

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN; Even today, this year, Sir, they are asking for postponement and a general talk is there, as my leader in the other House said the other day, that we should call ourselves as M.Ps., that is, "Members Permanent". You see, this is a mockery of democracy. We should set good examples and we should establish good traditions. Why, Sir, for the past 25 years, this postponement was not for either by Shri Jawaharlal Nehru or by Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri or by Shri Gulzarilal Nanda. But the postponement is being asked for only now. Those people say that there are some forces and they are working against the interests of country. If there are bad force's, definitely action should be taken against them. Our learned President of the Congress, the honourable Mr. Borooah, was mentioning the achievements of the emergency. Now, Sir, what are the achievements Of the emergency? You know that. The trains are running on time. This is the achievement of the emergency. The office staff are going to their offices in time. Thia is also an achievement of the emergency. I would like to ask the ruling party and the Government one question. If the trains were not running on time earlier, why was no action taken against those people who had committed that mistake? Why were those people who were going late to their offices not given strictures and warning and punished according to the rules? What is the achievement? What is the achievement of the emergency, you know?

Amdt. Bill, 1976 Sir, today, ten thousand of my colleagues, my party friends, are in prison. is the achievement of the emergency. Sir, twenty-five thousand of the Government employees, at the age of 50, both State and Central Government employees, have been retired or have been asked to retire and they are called "dead wood" and they are asked to go away and they are on the street now with their ten children or five children or six children. Last year, the Confidential Report of an 'employee was written in such a manner as to say that his conduct and character were goodand that his performance was very good and that he had performed his duty very well. But, within two months, Sir, as soon as the emergency was declared, immediately he was found to be a dead wood and his work was poor and this way they have retired all these employees whom they did not like and all these people have petitioned to' the Central and the State Governments, I mean the Central and State Government employees. action has been taken and that is the achievement of the emergency. As far as my party is concerned, it is the most democratic political party. If an individual is appointed or if a judicial commission is appointed and if he or that commission goes into the question and into the nature of the working and functioning of all the political parties in this country, I can say with pride that he or that commission will find my party to be the most democratic political party in this country. And, Sir, that party is being treated by the Government and the ruling party with the policy Of apartheid of the South African Government and that attitude is being shown to the people of Tamil Nadu by the ruling party and the Government.

(Extension of Duration)

Sir, you will be surprised to know how the Government machinery is being used against my party, that is, the radio, television, etc. I will cite one instance. Before the birth day celebrations of the former Chief Minister of Tamil

Nadu, Dr. Kalaignar Karunanidhithere is a charge that his "Mura-soli" building which has been existence for so many years been built on corruption money; that is the charge and that is before Commission of Inquiry now—that building was shown on the television. The entire machinery is being used to make propaganda against my leader and against my party. That is not correct method. Sir. My party's organ 'Murasoli' precensored. No other paper is asked to have pre-censorship. But my party's paper is being pre-censored and given at 4 o'clock in the morning only and even advertisements have to be shown to the Censor. But here the Minister savs that there is pre-censorship. Another paper is also called 'Tamil Mani', that another paper propagating the views of my party is also pre-censored. It has only four pages. Then it will be allowed to be printed. Sir, a person who was the host of the ex-Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu. Shri Karunanidhi at Seer-kazhi the Tanj ore district—he was not a party worker or a party sympathizer was arrested the next day under the MISA. On another occasion the ex-Chief Minister went to Tindivanam in South Arcot. He addressed meeting. The person who presided over the meeting was arrested the next day. Sir, another person N. V. N. Selvan son of an ex-Minister, who is get no more. was asked to permission fteom the Assistant Commissioner to hold a meeting celebrate Anna's birthday. He was allowed to come the next day. But he was arrested in the night under the MISA. He has not yet been narrating these released. I am things because these may happen to you tomorrow as Opposition party. Supposing we come into power or some other party comes into power, it may happen to you. That is why I am narrating all these to you Sir., to celebrate the birthday of the ex-Chief Minister, people came in very large numbers. But they were not 9&1 RS-4

allowed by the police. Buses coming from the 'Mofussil' were not allowed by the police. Students were not allowed. Licences were cancelled. These are the achievements of the emergency. That is why I say, Sir, that the policy of apartheid is being pursued by the Central Government and the ruling party, as far as my party and my leader is concerned. Sir, these are their achievement after the emergency. The honourable Shri Brahmananda iReddi says when he visits Tamil Nadu that the law and order situation in Tamil Nadu is very good. Whatever might be the provocation,, we are the most cultured people. The Indian people got a democratic system, before America was discovered or Russia was discovered. We have got a tradition of '5000 years of civilization. Therefore, we are not taking any retaliatory action.

I am placing these things for consideration of all Members. You forget political parties. Is it proper? I ask That is why I am using these vou. words that a policy of apartheid is being followed by the Central Government so far as my party is concerned. But it is not the because of that, that I am opposing this measure. Elections should be held. It is a stock-taking dav. Our respected! Prime Minister Indira Gandhi has said that people are supreme and that Parliament is creature of the people. What we say when we contest the elections, we go and ask the people. We tell them: "There have been good achievements during our five years' rule. You can review them. We have got a policy for the next five years. We have an election manifesto for the next five years. If you approve of them. give us another chance so that we may continue to serve^you." That opportunity is not being given to the people. Supposing Shrimati Indira Gandhi was not the Prime Minister and some other person was the Prime Minister., and he had decided to postpone the election because of the

[Shri G, Lakshmanan.]

constitutional provision, many of you would not be here. Mr. Gokhale would not be the Law Minister here. Supposing the British rulers had decided that the time was not ripe to give independence to this great country, because this was a vast country, millions of people were there, what would have happened? Therefore, this decision of the Government to postpone the elections is not proper. This is bad history that is being written in the Constitution of this country. And,, therefore, this decision of the Government to postpone the election is not proper. I would appeal to the Prime Minister and the ruling party to leave asi:'e our party affiliations and not to set a bad tradition in this country. We belong to Chana-kaya. Was there any Chanakava in the whole world? Was there any Agasthya in the whole world? Was there Thiruvalluvar who had written 1330 slokas in 'Rural' about 5000 years back which are applicable even today. We belong to a very ancient civilisation. Therefore, I would appeal to the Prime Minister and the Government to forget party affiliations. You may come back in 'elections. You may come at the all India level. You take the verdict of the people. What have you done during emergency? The entire working class is against you. You have strengthened the hands of the capitalists because bonus is not given to the worker,, but the bonus and profits are kept by the capitalists and the landlords respectively in this country. Therefore, it is better that the people are approached. We wil] accept whatever be the verdict. Party considerations should not be there. You subordinate the thought to the numbers. Many of you among the Congress people come and whisper to us: What is this? Will these people continue for ever?

AN HON. MEMBER; Please mention names.

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: I do not want any split in your party.

If you don't issue a whip and ask them to vote according to their con-i science, you will see that the post-1 ponement of elections is defeated by the Congress Party itself. They say that they are democrats. They have come to power for five years. They are asked to continue for five years. Now, they are continuing for 7 years.

Sir, as far as my party is concerned, we oppose this Bill because we are creating bad traditions in our democratic way of life. Many Asian countries got independence with us. But it is India that is independent even today. Democracy is there even

today. All the other Asian countries which got independence along with us have become either this or that. It is because of our traditions and our way of life. Democracy has not been imposed on us. Democracy is a way of life. We havt got 5000 years of civilisation. Democracy lives in our hearts. As far as my party is concerned,, whatever misunderstanding is there, we are prepared to cooperate with the ruling party and the Government. There are still 500 of my party colleagues in prison for the

last one year. Even then, the rank and file workers of my party have

not retaliated. They are very calm and quiet. It has been certified by the hon. Home Minister, Shri Brah-mananda Reddi. We extend all cooperation. But please remember that the Congress Party does not fall a prey to C.I.A. What are their activities? (Time bell rings) C.I.A. wants that our nation should not march forward. Today, our nation as a whole is not marching forward. The Prime Minister can do it. The nation as a whole must march forward and we should not fall a Prev to 'the C.I.A. Therefore, I would appeal to the Prime Minister to have a Round Table Conference of all th'e political parties. It can be done only by the Prime Minister, Indira Gandhi. Indians are pure and simple nationalists. At the time of Chinese aggression and at the time of Bangladesh crisis, we have stood as one

man. We have never exposed country to other people.

House of the People

101

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): Please don't raise pther points.

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: We have stood as a nation. A conference of all such national leaders belonging to different ideologies and political parties must be convened and a decision should be taken on the code of conduct and functioning of all political parties. Sir, normalcy must be restored, emergency must be lifted and all those Government employees who have 'been dismissed must be reinstated—if they are very bad,, I do not want them to be reinstated-and bonus should be granted to the employees. Normalcy should be restored in the country and then only, as far as this work is concerned, as far as my Party is concerned, I extend full co-operation to the efforts of our Prime Minister and the ruling party. But I oppose the postponement of elections. •

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GO-SWAMI (Assam): Mr. Vice-Chair -man, Sir, on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): There is no point of

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA GO-SWAMI: Sir., the hon. Member has said that in the AICC, the whip is given. I am a member of the AICC since 1943. Never in the AICC whip is given. I do not know about the DMK. But on the AICC platform, never whip is given. We are very independent to speak, and then a majority decision is taken.

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: I never said about the AICC. I said some people come and whisper to me from the Congress.

SHRI SRIMAN PRAFULLA SWAMI: No Congressman whispers. It is only the CBI. Therefore, Sir, it I

should be recorded. And this is vulgarisation.

Amdt. Bill, 1976

(SHRI THE VICE-CHAIRMAN LOKANATH MISRA): Whatever you have said is recorded. Now the Minister.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I am indeed very happy that the Bill has received very large support in this House. Sir, it is not very long time ago that we witnessed in this country a situation which was unprecedented so far as this country is concerned. At that time, for quite some months before the declaration of emergency, we heard the slogan of total revolution coming from the topmost leaders of the Opposition. That total revolution was soon realised as nothing else but a call for total anarchy and it did not merely stop at cries and attempts to disturb democratic institutions but it went so far as to make open appeals to the army and police to revolt. This was followed up at least in two States where duly elected representatives of the people were blackmailed, were forced and houses of some of the Members were burnt, women were molested on the demand that the Houses of the Legislatures in those States should be dissolved. It had come to a stage, wherein one State the Government, in view of the situation, had to dissolve the Legislative Assembly—the Legislative Assembly of Gujarat. Now, we are hearing loud talks about democracy. But I would like to ask these friends; Did they consider all this as democracy? None of these people who are talking about democracy ever came out condemning these actions. I did not want them to support us. I do not want them to support us now. But I should have expected, if they are really believers in democracy, at least in this situation where the Army . . .

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: As far as my Party is concerned, I am making it clear that we do not support any of these activities of any of these leaders . . .

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: We do not support all these activities of any leader however big he may be. I am making a statement.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: Mr. Laksh-manan is speaking here now after 18 \* months. But he did not open his mouth nor his colleagues in the party nor the members of the other parties who are so loud about democracy when the agitation was being instigated, when the army was asked to revolt and the police was 4 P.M. asked to revolt. None of them did it. Where \vas their faith ar-d devotion to democracy at that time? Sir, I welcome their decision.

SHRI ABU ABRAHAM; Sir, is he only referring to party Members or to nominated Members also? I would like to make it clear that I was opposed to the J. P. movement right from the start.

### (Interruptions)

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: I say the nominated Members because somehow or the other the nominated Members have been the loudest in demanding elections. You have a right to say so. It is not even necessary to be a Member to demand elections. Therefore, you are entitled to demand elections. I am not saying that but I did not want to make any distinction between nominated o- other Members.

SHRI ABU ABRAHAM: I wish to say that I was against the JP movement from the very start.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: It is go'd that you have done it but obviously I am speaking about those people who are talking in the name of their parties and they have been the loudest. Some of them are not here just now and I am referring to them also and I have been seeing them being loudest with regard to democracy after the emergency was declared. But their mouths

were shut when a real support for democracy was necessary and when the very existence of democracy in this country was threatened. Why did not they say this then? They did not obviously say this then because most of these parties who are talking now in the name of democracy were, in fact, themselves participants in this agitation for the creation of chaos. How could they have said?

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: Sir, my 'party is not a participant. I want to make it very clear.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: Let those people to whom the cap fits, wear it.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): The Minister has not mentioned your party.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE; Sir, my grievance is this that all this talk about democracy is now coming before us when after the emergency things have been done and steps have been taken and when before the emergency, the very reasons which led to the proclamation of emergency^ were such as at least required all these people to say that these things are anti-democratic and were not

only anti-democratic but were subversive of democracy. None of them said anything then. I am speaking about everybody who is here and who has been protesting about democracy and about many people who are out • side and who are not here. All thi. clearly shows that this talk about democracy now is because it is a fact that emergency has shown that democracy and democratic institutions in this country now being sought to be put in their proper shape and suddenly a new crop of enthusiasts, particularly, operating from some other countries, has come up. They are called Citizens for Democracy. They are here and elsewhere. They are now trying to protect our democracy sitting in Washington or New York. I described them as fugitives from the law when I spoke in the other House. They ran away from this country when, according to them.

their presence in this country was most required lor the protection of that democracy about which they are loudly talking. But they won't be here. They will be in Washington, they will be in New York, they v/ill be in London and they will be in other places and from there advise us as to how we should function to protect our democracy. Do we not know how these organisations are functioning and with whose support? Some of them had even the temerity to send letters individually to all Members of Parliament. I am quite sure you must have received it.

HON. MEMBERS: Yes, Yes.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: It is an insult to the Members of Parliament that these renegades from this country should write to the representatives of the people as to how democracy should function in this country. Sir, I do not want to mention any names. Some of them claim to be Presidents and Chairmen of associations and organisations and they have run away and some of them have even sought asylum in a foreign country. Do we not know this? There-fore what I am driving at is that the \*" threat was there at that time and is there even today and behind this threat are not only the elements which are functioning in India tut there are also elements which are operating from outside. It is very clear and you need not have evidence for this purpose.

Sir, it is quite obvious, the purpose for which this step had to be taken last year when we came to this House demanding the extension of the duration of the Lok Sabha by one year and we had given specific and clear reasons as to why it was nen»s-sary to do so. And we think that the \* same reasons continue today. We know that all these elements, the subversive elements are still active in this country. They may not be on the surface because of the emergency, because of the stern action taken— cowards as they are—they are not in

position to face the situation openly but they are clearly in a position to create conditions which will restore us back to the situation which existed in this country before the proclamation of emergency. Are we going to sell all the gains of emergency in the field of economics, in the field of discipline and in other fields for which the emergency has functioned, for a song because these people responsibility is not to demand it? Our these people; our responsibility is to the people of India. And some of them have been telling us: "I went in a rickshaw and I learnt from tha+ man", some said: "I went in a taxi" and my friend Mr. Lakshmanan says that he travelled in a rickshaw and that person asked him when elections would held. Well, I have met people and every one of us in this House has been in close touch with the people, in closer touch than most of my friends on that side oc the House, and we ask people what is their What is the reaction of the reaction. common man? And the reaction of the common man is—I am repeating it here because it bears repetition—that their complaint against the Prime Minister was not because she proclaimed emergency but because she did not do it earlier; it should have been done much earlier. That is the reaction of the people. In Bombay—I belong to Bombay—there was not a day, before the proclamation of emergency, when without a violent morcha or a bvvcl, people work in any field of activity. They were not strikes. I am not against the tradeunion activities; but whether it is this organisation or that organisation, the situation under the banner of these anarchists was exploited to create disorder, particularly in the bigger cities like Bombay and Calcutta. Do we not know it? But we do not see it now and that is due to the stern action taken in emergency.

Now, there has been a group of people who say: You must be demo-cratic; democracy requires elections;

[Shri H. R. Gokhale] five years have gone; you had one year and now you are asking for another year. But, Sir, nobody is telling us even here as to whether they can be sure that those disruptive elements do not exist now. How can they? They know that these elements still exist. I am not talking about all; but there are some of them who are in close harmony and close liaison with these people. Therefore, they would not say it.

•

It is true, Sir, that normally it is not a matter of great pleasure to postpone elections in a democratic country. In fact, it is not a matter 'of pleasure at all. Nobody doeg it merely because you do not want to hold elections. That is why, even in 1951, when the Constitution was signed, even as Mr. Borooah has very ably put it, Sir, at that time they were passing through a very serious condition. They had passed through a very serious condition, as a result of which independence came. And when they had seen a bloodbath of an order unheard of anywhere in the world, they knew a situation in this country could come in the future which would have to be dealt with not in the usual way but in an unusual manner. That is why, even at that time, they foresaw—it is really to the credit of the founding fathersand they provided in the Constitution that in case of emergency, while, no doubt, it is true that elections will be held every five years, you will have the power to extend the period and the duration of Parliament for one year at a time. And they provided for one year at a time and not more because they also knew that it is not desirable in a democracy to have an indefinite extension of Parliament and, therefore, it should not be longer than a year so that when the situation arises, an objective assessment of the situation could be made and then Government should be able to decide whether the elections should be held or not.

We know what the people are talking about. made the announcement, for the first time, in the House last month. I think on the 30th or 31st of October. Ever since, it has been known: people have been talking to me and people have been talking to my friends who have been saying: Go on with this; we do not grudge your one "more year; but please, consolidate the gains of 'emergency and see that we do not return to those days of disorder. That is the reaction of the people and not the reaction which is mentioned here. It is not to say that vwe have not had gains. mentioned in my opening speech, we have had, particularly in the economic field, considerable gains. Our foreign exchange position has very well benefited by the actions taken during the Emergency. Our gross national product has gone up, as I mentioned. Production is on the higher side. It is going up. I must say here, labour also has very splendidly co-operated in this. But is it the time to be complacent or is it the time to be more vigilant? The rational answer is, this is the time to be vigilant and not to be complacent. Posterity will not pardon us if we

allow the very same people to come

up to the surface again and do the same thing which will enable these people to bring the country back to .vhere we were before the declaration if the Emergency. We will be answerable to our people and we T'ill >e answerable to posterity for \*m-langering democracy if we do not ake the step which are taking oday. My friend, Mr. Lakshmanan, aid that if a commission of inquiry 3 appointed to decide which is the est Government functioning, the Dmmission would declare that the >MK Government was Well, you have the the best Gov-rnment. oppor-mity. The inquiry is going on and le commission will say whether it "~~^ as the best Government or it was ie worst Government. But then,, hen a commission is appointed, you ant to run away from it. When a mmission is appointed, you do not

want to go before the commission and say "We were the best Government and all these allegations and charges against us are false'. But when the evidence gets built up and when it becomes abundantly clear that it is almost indefensible, you give an excuse for one reason or the other and back out from the enquiry saying 'We will not defend ourselves'. Is this the type of\* commission which my friend wants? Is this commission not going to establish whether the DMK Government was the best Government or it was the worst Government? If the charges are proved, the result also will be known. Therefore, it is no use demanding commissions. When you have commissions,, the tendency is to run away from the inquiry and when you do not have commissions, then, of course, you shout from the housetops 'Let there be a commission and we will prove it'. At least, it was very very ironical that this demand came from a DMK Member.

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: I said, a commission of inquiry should be appointed to go into the functioning of all political parties. I have not gone into that commission because it is sub judice. I do not talk about it. I said,, a commission should be appointed to go into the functioning of all political parties. It will prove that my party is the most democratic political party. You are confusing both the issues.

SHRI H. R- GOKHALE: Let us not quarrel over words. After all, when we talk about the commission, we know which political party was in power, the DMK. What is going to be proved is what this political party was. Let us not run away from the fact.

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: If you know it earlier, it is good. I can understand it. You know it earlier. You know it earlier, what will be the decision.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: The real thing is that when you talk about democracy,, you know that there is democracy. But you know that '.he people are not with you. You talk about commission. When the commission is appointed, you are not able to defend yourselves and, therefore, you ask for some other commission. This is the way the Opposition has been functioning in this country, unfortunately. My friend, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta,, spoke. I must say, his party has been taking a very constructive line from the beginning. At the time of the Emergency. his party supported it. Even today, I do not think he spoke against the Emergency as such. He supported the extension of the duration of the Lok Sabha last year. But he did not say as to how the situation has changed this year and why he is not supporting it. He is obsessed with two things, as his whole speech would stoow. Firstly, he is obsessed with the name of Kamal Nath or somebody who is in West Bengal. I have not heard his name. Every time, he mentions his name. Last week, in one or two speeches, he mentioned his name. Today, he mentioned the name of this gentleman. I do not know this gentleman. I have not seen his face. I am really not concerned with whether he is good or bad. How this Kamal Nath is going to decide whether it is good for the country to go for the elections or not? Has it any relevance? Secondly, he is obsessed with the Constituent Assembly demand. Has this Bill anything to do with the demand for a Constituent Assembly? As I have repeatedly said, in our party, there are various trends of thought and we like that; let people give their opinion. Ultimately, when the party decides the thing, as the Congress President mentioned this morning, they go with the party. What is wrong if somebody had said that it was necessary to have a Constituent Assembly? If he had said so, what is wrong? Mr. Bhupesh Gupta only said what happened for a short while in the Congress, in our

[Shri H. R. Gokhale]

the present Bill as to whether at present moment election should be held or it should not be held. Has it any relevance to the question at all? Then. Sir, he was talking about horse-trading. Again, Mr. Borooah has dealt with it very effectively in his speech. When Mr. Borooah was speaking, he said that the demand for six years was already there and when ultimately the Bill was introduced in the Lok Sabha on the 1st of September there was a proposal for making the period six years for Lok Sabha at that time; and surely there was no Constituent Assembly in which to do this horse-trading which he thinks existed. He would not answer that. He would ignore completely whenever it suits him. In fact, noticed when Mr. Borooah gave him a retort, he conveniently showed as if he did not hear. (Interruptions') whole trouble is that when we are talking about a serious matter-it is no doubt a matter,, not going to the elections and postponing the elections for one year and matters on which for serious and good reasons we are saying that elections should not be held—they talk about Kamalnath, about Constituent Assembly, about horse-trading and all that.

Then, my hon, friend there talks about a Commission of Inquiry, as if there had been no Commission of Inquiry. I was just mentioning to Mr. Borooah about the Commission of Inquiry which is there to look into the charges against the DMK Government and the hon, friend wants another Commission of Inquiry to be appointed. I say that when already there is a Commission of Inquiry which will prove ultimately whether their party and Government is bad or good. They conveniently walked out of the Commission. What logic is there? The whole trouble with our Opposition friends isalthough they are good friends personally,, all of

tnem, so for as I am concerned, they are good friends-that they are neither here nor there so far as dealing with the situation is concerned. The tendency is to run away from facts, the tendency is to run away from the issues and this has been the hajl-mark of their politics for the last seven years in this country. When in 1971, much before the normal period of five years was over, the Prime Minister dissolved the House,, don't they remember that some of them said that to dissolve the House is undemocratic? Some of them even went to the court, challenging the position of the Prime Minister and they were saying that it was undemocratic to dissolve the House.

(Extension of Duration)

Amdt. Bill, 1976

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: The hon. Minister has said that we ran away from the Commission. I want to bring it to your notice that they have prepared a list of 300 witnesses. We pleaded before Commission' and said that all the 300 witnesses should be examined. But the Commission said that they cannot examine all the 300. The Commission would select a few and examine them. That is why we walked out of the Commission.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: So, it is not only that the Congress Party should act according to their dictates but the independent judicial Commission should also accept their dictates. Otherwise, that Commission is not an independent Commission. A list of 300 witnesses is there. I do not know who they are. They may be Tom, Dick and Harry. Is the Commission not entitled to decide whether they are relevant, or they are going to hear a few of them?

SHRI G. LAKSHMANAN: A list of 300 witnesses is there on the record.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): Mr. Lakshma-nan, you said that you want protection Of the Chair when you were on your feet and I assured you of tha protection. (Interruptions)

h

SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: Sir, may 1 have your indulgence? I think Mr. Gokhale slightly made a mistake. They did not walk out, they ran away.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: Although I did not say 'ran away'. I thank you for correcting me. I should have used the words 'ran away'. Actually, when he is saying that according to him all the 300 witnesses should be examined, this shows that they want to be the judges of the Commission themselves and not the independent Judge of the Inquiry Commission. That is exactly what it comes to that they will decide what is relevant in their own case,, that they will be the judges in their own case and not the independent Judge who is going to decide this. This is the thing. This is completely an illogical approach to everything, whether it is a judicial matter or something relating to the executive or Parliament. That is what the DMK has established in the last few months. I do not want to comment on anything, nor do I know anything about the merits of this Inquiry. I know this much that there are several charges and they are being investigated. I do not know, and even if I knew, I would not have spoken about it because it is a matter which is sitb judice. Even these 300 witnesses I do not know. I am referring to it because he made a reference to it.

Therefore, Sir,, my request for the consideration of the House is: Let us not be guided or carried away by those tall talks about democracy. Most of them have been people who have shirked the responsibility which was laid upon them to condemn antidemocratic actions, which they never did. But when the time came, at that time they were in the band wagon and these were the people who asked for total anarchy, "total revolution". That is what they wanted. In fact, I must concede this to the Opposition for their honesty. Both Mr. Bhupesh Gupta and Mr. Lakshmana—although

hesitatingly-said that we will come back again elected. They said that if elections are held, the Congress Party will be elected again. Obviously, it was a concession made out of sheer necessity. You make a virtue out of necessity, as the saying goes. But the fact is that there is no doubt that the party to which I belong will come back to the Lok Sabha, if elections were held, in an overwhelming majority, perhaps with a majority larger than the majority which it has in the Lok Sabha today. That is a fact. But as Mr. Borooah pointed out in the course of his speech, the main thing is not winning or losing the election because we will win the election. We have won five elections till now. Some people were saying to me: you have fought only'one election. But they did not know that before I won this election I had lost two before, first in 1952 and then in 1967, and eventually I have succeeded in this democratic country-I genuinely call it a democratic country for people thought that I should come to the Lok Sabha in 1971. But, Sir, my coming or my going is not important; similarly whether the party wins or not is not important. What is important here is that if an election is going to be at this stage not in the larger interests of the country for the reasons which I have mentioned, then the mere reason that our party will come back to power cannot be the guiding criterion for deciding whether an election should be held or not. And much is made of this that the election can be held even before. In the other House,. Mr. Bhupesh Gupta's colleague, Mr. Indrajit Gupta, pointedly asked me, "Do you mean to say that the election cannot be held before?" obviously the answer was that in law it can be held at any time because the power of dissolution is with the Prime Minister. As I said there and as Mr. Borooah today said here—I repeat—if in the history of this country there has been a single leader who has shown such a gigat understanding of the correct time at which a correct decision should be

(44th Amdt.) Amdt. Bill. 1976

[Shri H. R. Gokhale] taken, it is the Prime Minister. And if the Prime Minister feels that the circumstances require a review of the situation, she will do it. Who am I today to say if elections will be held earlier or not. I did not say whether they would 'be held earlier or not. I said that I am asking for the extension for The Constitutional provision is quite clear and. if the circumstances are such that the election should be held,, it is ultimately and entirely for the Prime Minister to decide. And as Mr. Borooah rightly pointed out, it is not the Cabinet's, but it is the sole prerogative of the Prime Minister to decide about an election, whether the people should go to the polls, whether the House should be dissolved or not. This is well established all world where over the parliamentary democracy exists. But surely, our position is not, again, as pointed out-I am repeating for is worth emphasising—as in England where, for example, there is a majority of one now. It is doubtful if even that majority of one is there j or not. The Government there is saying that they are going to hold on to power. It is not my business to ' criticise not doing so. But sometimes people are of pointing out what is fond happening in England. But at this moment they will not do so. The whole thing is that whenever something is bad here, they will compare it with England,, and something is good here, then, of course, they do not look to England for anything happens there.

I would very strongly recommend to the House: Let us not only go by slogans. Let us take the reality into consideration, take the basic idea with which this provision was made into the Constitution by our founding fathers with great foresight and understanding of the problems of this country as to what could happen in the future and what required a specific provision to be made. And if

that provision is made under the Constitution, it is by Parliament, by law passed that the House is going to be extended. Nobody can, even in the name of democracy, object to such a thing.

Sir, I would recommend that the Bill be taken into consideration.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA); The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for the further extension oc the duration of the present House of the People, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): We shall now take up clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill. Clause 2: there is one amendment by Mr. Raha.

Clause 2—Further extending of duration of the present House of the People

SHRI SANAT KUMAR RAHA (West Bengal): Sir, I move:

"That at page 1, line 10, for the words 'two years' the words 'not more than one year' be substituted."

The question was put and the motion was negatived.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): I shall now put clause 2 to vote.

The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill".

The question was put and the mo tion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI H. R. GOKHALE: Sir, I move-

"That the Bill be passed."

The question was put and the motion wast adopted.

ANNOUNCEMENT RE. SITTING OF THE HOUSE ON 15TH NOVEMBER, 1976, INSTEAD OF ON 13TH NOV- EMBER, 1976

श्री रणबीर सिंह (हॅरियाणा) : उप-सभाष्ट्रयक्ष जो, कल दुसरा शनिवार है स्रौर ग्रापकी मार्फत में श्रपने संसदीय कार्य-मंत्री जी से यह जानना चाहता हं कि द्याया कल सदन बेठेगायानहीं?

(Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): Order, please. The Parliamentary Affairs Minister is here. Let him say that he wants to say.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI OM MEHTA): Sir, as you know there is nobody more democratic than myself, and as there is a persistent demand from the Members, tomorrow being second Saturday and so a Government holiday, we may not sit tomorrow and instead of tomorrow, we sit on Monday and complete all the business on that day.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): I appreciate Mr. Om Mehta's gesture. He is more democratic when the sense of democracy is on leave.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI LOKANATH MISRA): We shall now take up the Appropriation (No. 7) Bill, 1976. Mr. Pranab Mukherjee.

#### THE APPROPRIATION (NO. 7)BILL, 1976

THE MINISTER OF REVENUE AND BANKING (SHRI **PRANAB** MUKHERJEE): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1976-77, as passed by the Lok Sabha be taken into consideration."

This Bill arises out of the Supplementary Demands for Grants of Rs. 107.74 crores voted by the Lok Sabha on the 3rd November, 1976 and an expenditure o? Rs. 36.25 crores charged on the Consolidated Fund of India. Briefly, Rs. 48 crores are required for grants to States and Union Territories for Family Planning in keeping with the momentum of the programme. A sum of Rs. 35 crores is required for short-term loans to State Governments for distribution of fertilisers and Rs. 5 crores for financial assistance to assignees of surplus land. Additional outlays on the Oil and Natural Gas Commission account for Rs. 52.30 crores, and Rs. 2.86 crores are required for the Hindusthan Petroleum Corporation Limited for the takeover

of ESSO.

I would not burden the House with further details which are given in the Explanation below the statement of Supplementary Demands, but would answer any d points that may be raised by hon. Members during the discussion. Sir, I move.

The question was proposed.

SHRI SANAT KUMAR RAHA (West Bengal): Sir, I first congratulate the Ministry for taking over of ESSO. By taking this over we are today completely independent in oil matters. For this reason our congratulations go in favour of the Government and the Ministry.