[Secretary-General]

(Special Courts) Bill, 1976 as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 20th May, 1976."

Sir, I lay a copy each of the Bills on the Table.

DISCUSSION ON THE WORKING OFTHE MINISTRY OF EXTERNALAFFAIRS

BHUPESH **GUPTA** SHRI (West Bengal): Sir, we are happy that this debate gives us an opportunity the present international situa discuss tion and also to consider the tasks that face us. A very significant im provement in the international situa tion has, undoubtedly, taken place in the recent period. Big steps have been the direction of mankind's cheris in hed desire for peaceful co-existence, the principles for which we in India have always been striving. The suc cessful conclusion of the all European Conference on security and co-opera tion held in Helsinki last year, has produced the final act of that confere nce which embodies the principles of peaceful co-existence more or 1ess on the lines on which we had operated some years ago and our great unforgettable Panchsheel. This de velopment itself is landmark the growing climate of detente. Improvement in the international situation and detente have vastly and greatly limited the possibilities of aggressive action by imperialism and, at the same time, given a tremendous fillip to the forces of national liberation, the forces fighting imperialism and neo-colonialism including those in the third w^orld countries like ours. Therefore, trie detente or the climate of detente has a very direct bearing on the developments in the third world countries as, indeed, on all other forces striving for peaceful co-existence and for international co-operation for the happiness and well-being of mankind.

The steady victory of the Vietnamese people T is been a major contribution

to bring.ng about this change in the situation. Today, Sir, we are all happy that the great Vietnamese people are on the threshold of the unification of their country and there will emerge on unification, in a matter of weeks, the Socialist State of more than forty-three million people On the Asian soil which had displayed such J courage and heroism that mankind will always be grateful to ithem. Vietnam's independence and the triumph of the Vietnamese people has been at the same time, a great factor in reshaping the world in the direction in which we would all like it to be. We are happy, only last week Madam Binh came to this city to be received by this Government as not only an Ambassador or a representative of the Republic of South Vietnam but she came as a victorious envoy of national liberation. And we received her as such. I mustj express my satisfaction at the sentiments which Prime Minister Indira Gandhi and the Minister for External Affairs, Mr. Chavan, expressed to her. They symobolize the spirit in which the relations between our country and Viet Nam should, in the coming days, develop. Sir, we have every confidence that the fine and excellent sentiments of friendship and co-operation that were exchanged last week here in our Capital would be translated into tangible action, political, economic and otherwise for cementing the traditional friendship 75etween the Indian and Vietnamese people. Sir, in Asia, it is of utmost importance for the Asian people and for people all over the world striving for peace that these two countries, India and Viet Nam, should develop, to the utmost possible extent, their friendship and co-operation for the cause of humanity. Sir, here again, another very important development has taken place. This is the triumph or victory of the Angolan people under the MPLA. This victory is significant not merely due to the fact that another African country has own its independence, but it has also dealt a blow to the interventionist forces of the United States of Ameri-

ca, the racist regime of South Africa, as well as, I say, to my eternal shame, the forces that were sent by Peking to help the counter-revolutionary and treacherous forces in Angola. In this connection we cannot but pay a very high tribute to the manner in which ^ the Soviet and other socialist countries-notably, I should mention in this connection, Cuba had rendered assistance to the oppressed Angolan people, despite constant threats by Mr. Kissinger and his allies. Mozambique has also won its freedom.

If we leave this region and come to Europe, we find that there have been other developments also. The recent elections in Portugal have shown that the Portuguese people have not only "housied fascism, but they also want to shift to the left and the conditions have been created. If only the Socialist Party behaves properly and gives up its anti-communist stance, it would enable the establishment of an alternative Government in which the socialists and the .corr.munmts could play an important rcle despite the threats of Mr. Kissinger and other interventionist forces.

Here again, we find that in Europe, 'although detente is very dominant, certain other factors are operating. There are certain other forces which are trying to interfere with detente. This is reflected, to some extent, in the postures of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, namely, the Bonn regime.

We know very well that another factor which is causing us worry is the Cyprus problem. This problem should have been solved long ago. But the imperialists would not like the solution of this problem. On the contrary, they would like to create tension there and retain it as a tension spot so that they could operate

from there against detente and peace in the

Imperialism is in a crisis today, economically, politically and morally-in every way, imperialism ig in a crisis. It has suffered military reverses, it has suffered political defeats and it has been in a gripping crisis, economic and otherwise. We see the cultural degradation of the forces that imperialism represents today. It has given confidence to the people who are fighting imperialism. All the more so, when the anti-imperialist forces are getting united and, above all, when the countries of the socialist community, with the Soviet Union at the head, the making tremendous progress, as has been revealed at the 25th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union and the Party Congresses of Bulgaria, the German Democratic Republic and Czechoslovakia. Therefore, Sir, this strengthening of the position of the socialist community directly helps the national liberation forces undermines the forces of imperialism and neocolonialism, the forces that stand for aggression and the forces with coloni-alistic and neo-colonialistic trends.

Sir, in bringing about this favourable situation India has played an important part. Whereas we should not exaggerate what we have done, yet it is to be admitted that the part that our country has played has indeed been a notable one. No wonder. Sir, Comrade Brezhnev recognised tlhe role India played at the 25th Congress of the Soviet Union which I attended as a member of the delegation of our party. There, Sir, special words of praise were reserved for our great country from the leader of a friendly country. He said in the Report of ^e Central Committee to the Congressi:-

"Tb begin witih, a few words about our many-sided co-operation

[SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA.] with India. We attach special importance to friendship with that great country. In the past ^{nv}e years Soviet-Indian relation_s have risen to a new level. Our countries have concluded a treaty of peace, friendship and co-operation. And even this short period has clearly shown its tremendous significance for our bilateral ties and its role as a stabilising factor in South Asia and the continent a_s a whole.

Close political and economic cooperation with the Republic of India is our constant policy. Soviet people appreciate and, more, are in solidarity with India's peace-loving foreign policy and the courageous efforts of her progressive forces to solv_e the country's difficult socio-economic problems. We wish the people and government of India complete success in these efforts."

Such are the words of friendship and sincerity coming from Comrade Brezhnev, the leader of the great country, Soviet Union. Sir, I mention this because Indo-Soviet friendship and cooperation is no longer a bilateral proposition; it has a wider international significance in strengthening the forces of peace all over the •world—in all continents—ar.d creating the climate of still greater struggle against the forces of imprialism. Therefore, we are legitimately proud to say that we in ,/ndia too have played an important part in bringing about the change in the international situation by our policy of peace and non-alignment which has been assailed from these benches year after year.

Sir, we cannot but mention, in this connection the historic contribution •made by the Peace Programme of the 24th Congress of the Soviet Union which, at its recent 25tin> Congress has proclaimed will be carried forward into a «till more gigantic peace initiative and efforts for the prosperity and peace of mankind. Sir, our task

is to march in step with the Soviet Union and the countries as good and loyal friends—indsed we are so. —so that we can reach the common goal of durable peace on our planet and, if possible, n our life time; and that is a possibility today.

Sir.Europe has been hot bed war all the time but today the domin ant trend there is not cold war detente. Realities of the last World War oad been recognised by the Wes tern powers and conditions had been peaceful created for co-operation bet and the ween the East West and the conditions of detente in some steps are being taken. Αt the be vigilant be same time. we must aggresive cause there forces are interested destroying which are in Hostile detejnte. propaganda is be-J conducted from West Germany ing Western and other countries against the Soviet Union. They want to drown the spirit of detente in a shameful anti-Soviet and vicious campaign which is sometimes echoed in the Press countrymonopoly in our They censor or no censor. are main taining points of tension also. What is note-worthy and somewhat distur bing in this connection that Dr. directly threatening Kissinger is interfere in the internal to affairs of France and Italay. He had made it known by public statements that he would not like the Italian people to accept the participation of the Communist Part of Italy in the government of Italy. The Italian Government itself—and the Government leader—says that nothing in Italy can be solved by this discounting of the Communist Party of Italy because it 'has not only obtained 33 to 34 per cent of the votes of the Italian people, but it is also a mighty, powerful, influential force in the Italian life, especially among the Italian working class. Mr. Kissinger is similarly intervening in Portugal and in France with similar threats to them saying that changes of Governments or formation of Governments in European countries must be determined by the gentlemen in Washington. Sir, we should come our in forthright condemnation of such blatant interference in the internal affairs of other countries because such a development will strengthen the forces of peace and undermine the position of the dying imperialism in the region.

Sir, the Arab liberation forces are making great advances today. but the same time Mr. Kissinger is at pains, by his so-called policy of step by step settlement of the disputes between Israel and Arab nations, to divide the Arab people. And, Sir at the same time, in Cairo we find unfortunately Nasser's spirit undermined. anti-Soviet Unionism being revived and concessions are being given to Americans, and new agreements are to be signed with U.S. imperialism with friendship to West German Imperialism to arm the Egypt from their point of view. These negative developments in Egypt are most tragic. We all feel sorry at heart. The Egyptian people are our traditional friends, and such negative developments cannot but cause us pain and despair. We do extreme hope that sooner or later Egypt will be back to the rails of Nasser and Nasserism shall be the unconquerable spirit guiding the people of that land of the Nile into their great destiny, playing their heroic and leading role in shaping not only the destinies of West Asia, but also of tihe entire region. Sir there, in that region, the USA is supplying arms to Israel Arms worth 4 million dollars, i.e. 4,000 million dollars, already been supplied to them. They are also arming Saudi Arabia which up to this year tip to now has received aims worth 3 3 billion dolars i.e 3,300 million dollars, compared to about 3 billion dollars only 7 years ago. Sir. the continued occupation of the Arab territory is another source of danger, and equally the denial of national liberation rights to the Palestinian Arabs who must have a right to form their own State. Therefore, I say that the so-called step by step diplomacy, or shut-

tle diplomacy, or step by .step solution is no solution at all the problems in West Asia where 50 per cent of the arms supplied to the developing countries are being dumped mostly by the United States America, with a view to keeping tension there and preventing the Arab people from gaining their rights and also re-occupying the territories which have been occupied by Israel through wars of aggression. Sir, about 90 per cent cf the territory in Sinai still remains under the occupation of the Israeli aggressive forces, in addition to the Golan Heights, and Qha?a Strip on the West Bank These are all facts. I hope the Indian Government will take still bolder steps to support the Arab people to gain their rights and also get back the territories which had been taken away from them.

Coming to our own region, Sir, we are very happy that only last week a settlement had been arrived at at Islamabad between India and Pakistan at the official level. May I, Sir, take this opportunity to congratulate our officials who have shown excellent wisdom in arriving at the settlement in this manner? Equally, Sir, I must congratulate the Prime Minister of India and also Mr. Bhutto, in spite of all my criticism against him in other matters, for the plain realism due to which this settlement could be arrived at. Still there are some 1 P.M. people who say that the Simla spirit is dead. The Simla spirit can never die so long as the spirit of friendship and cooperation, urges and aspirations lives in the hearts and minds of millions of people on this side of the border and on the other side— the Indian people and the people of Pakistan. The Simla spirit must be made a way of life. And this agreement shows that within tne framework of this spirit and according to the principle of pursuing the course of bilater alism we can solve all our pro-bilateralism we can solve all our problems I follow-up actions

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta.]

will be taken one after another with generosity and magnanimity, with realism and wit ha sense of confidence, so that we start writing on a clear slate, and a new leaf must be turned in Indo-Pak relations. Let us completely forget the past—We cannot forget the past perhaps historically—tout in the making of the future well, let bygones be bygones And here is an occasion for us to take confidence from what we have achieved at Islamabad.

Unfortunately, I cannot say so about the Bangladesh developments. There again, certain negative developments are taking place following the tragedy of last year. There, the anti-Indian forces are gaining the upper hand. Unfortunately, it seems that the regime led by Ziaur Rehman is trying to consolidate itself by rallying on the communal forces like the Muslim League and the Jamait and also Peking-ites of all varieties and hues have been used in order to build up his position. The result is, of course, instability. But what concerns us, the neighbouring country, is the most vicious virio-lic anti-Indian campaign. Lately, Farakka has been utilised and exploited whereas we stand for a realistic solution. And I welcome Mr. Chavan's statement in the Lok Sabha some time back, and that provides the basis for the solution of the Farakka and Ganga water problem. Unfortunately, this is exploited by the anti-Indian forces and behind them there is the backing of the USA. I do hope that we shall pursue our course of good neighbourliness towards Bangladesh. We shall extend our hand of friendship and cooperation, and we shall not be readily provoked by them. We wish them well. And we, as private Members from this side of the House, never hide our sympathies for the progressive, democratic and secular forces in Bangladesh who are our friends And today we remember those friends who are persecuted, who are being killed, who are being harassed and hunted out by those hooligans who have been let loose in Bangladesh today, and this is something which not only disturbs

Ihe future of Bangladesh, spells ruin to them but also threatens the peace and security of this region. Yes, we must have our composure and courage and stand------

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Will you take more time?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Another half an hour.

Sir, we should make a start. By and large I am happly that the Government of India is pursuing a very correct course. I would urge upon them to maintain that position. And Mr. Chavan deserves a word of congratulation in this connection also.

Sir, here again, I must say with reference to Bangladesh that the removal of that Minister-he is .exiled or he has left the country—i_s a good step. But frevn that, let u_s not conclude that the character of the Government has changed. He was aggressive, he"~was ami-India, he was the rallying point for the worst reactionary forces there. And he even was instrumental in bringing back the Muieeb killers. But now they have left the country along with him. But even with this the basic situation has not changed basic. Therefore, with all our friendliness towards Bangladesh, we haVe to remain vigilant. We wish them well. But it is a matter for the people of Bangladesh to settle. It is for them to settle. We seek no interference or intervention at all. It is lying propaganda against us by the enemies of our country that India, wants tension, that India wants to intefere in the internal affairs of Bangladesh The internal affairs of Bangladesh are such that they cannot but arotffie the interest of the whole world and at the same time, they cannot but lead the people of Bangladesh to-day or tomorrow or the day after to rise against what is wrong, that is to say, the conspiratorial bid, the continuous bid to destroy the last traces of the Mujib tradition.

Now I come to our relations with I think a few observations are called for. As far as we are concern ed^ Sir, we stand for normalisation of relations with neighbours. Indeed, part the international Commu of as nist movement, stand for relaxation of international tension. international ferj-opjration and hence normalisation of relations between countries the basis of the principles of peaceful co existence, despite their adherence to different systems. We would like it to happen but the question is: Would be possible? Because normalisation of relations something which can i_s not readily achieved by merely ex changing Ambassadors. They send Ambassador here or you may send an Ambassador there. But that does not mean that relations are normali That must be based on certain sed. policy changes. But there is no indi-. ^cation in China, for all that is hap pening in that S ate, that there policy change. Their going to be such big power ambitions are the way of normalisation coming in of relations between India and China. We have not departed from the principles of It is China who assassi Panch Sheet nated the principles of Panch Sheel Our State makes no territorial claims. is China who has made territorial claims running into tens of thousands of square miles against most of the neighbours. It is China who is suppor ting the NATO. It is China who sup-1 ted the Chilean Fascist Junta and even sent its experts to Angola side with the Americans and others and help the counter-revolutionaries. How can we normalise relations with such countries? China wants the pre sence of the US forces in the Pacific Ocean. In fact, China is supporting the new doc'rine of Gerald Ford, the new Pacific Doctrine which wants to perpetuate tension in Korea by main taining the US troops in aggressive posture in South Korea. Anti-Sovietism is the sheen-anchor of the Chinese foreign policy. iK'o matter what Rappens, no matter how things ~~ got their main policy is anti-Sovietism. They seek international relations on of anti-Sovietism. basis

why is find That We that our Rightist friends in the country seemed to be quite happy when the announcement was made that normal diplomatic relations at ihe ambassadorial level would be tored. Sir, we hope China will return to the path of Panch Sheel. As a me-ber of the Communist movement, we have always appealed to China to w turn to the policy of peaceful co-exis tence, and at other levels, to the positions of Marxism and Leninism. But I am not now the ideological part of it. concerned with I am concerned with the aspect of it which relates to' international relations. It is possible for China, if she so desires, to establish relations with all the countries, in-cuding India, on the basis of peaceful co-existence. The road is open to them. We have kept the road open always. But unfortunately so long as the present Chinese policy of big power chauvinism, of big power ambition, of anti-Sovietism and of support to the reactionary regime_s in Chile and Angola and other places and of support to American imperialism continues, how can you develop relations with China? I cannot understand. Sir, the Indian Ocean is a major problem today with the establishment of a military base in Diego Garcia. Sir, here again China supports the establishment of a military base in Diego Garcia. Sir I do not want to say many things. Only in conclusion would like to make a few suggestions for the hon. Minister to consider. The first thing for us to do is to extend and deepen detente and make it irreversible. And, Sir, that should be our policy and I am sure that the Government is doing it and I am not saying that the Government is not doing it. I am mentioning it now because we are participating in a debate of this kind. Sir, we are seeing how the imperialists are trying to destrov principle of detente. Of course, that is going to be a superficial and a temporary thing. We know that Dr. Kissinger had put out a threat, the threat of using nuclear weapons on a regional basis. Twice he has repeated the threat against the African people and he has threatened us also and every-

[Shri Rhupesh Gupta]

body knows it. We also know that there is the threat of destabilisation which has been in operation for some years and he has added a new threap the threat of regional use of nuclear weapons. How then, Sir, can we think pf having any decent human relations with the United States of America particularly when, at the UNCTAD Conference recently, they came out with their sinister proposal in support of neo-colonialism and exploitation and plunder and rejected the Manila Declaration to which Mr. Chavan had been a very powerful party? How can it happen? Now, that chapter is there. Therefore, the principle of detente, in order to be made into an irreversible one, must be matched and backed by military detente. Sir, I am very happy that the Government has done something for the cause of peace and for ending the arms race and there should be a dialogue with the people all over the world at public level who are ready to strive for ending the arms race and I am very happy that the Government of India has given support to the Stockholm talks and I congratulate the Government for its support to

Then, Sir, as for the spending of about three hundred billion dollars on armaments today, it is more than the total combined income of the dev veloping countries of Asia and Africa and the United States has now, in its latest budget, allocated another 112 billion dollars, an alltime peak figure, for military preparations whereas, in the Soviet Union, the military expenditure is being reduced. Further, the Soviet Union has also proposed to the United Nations that there should be a ten per cent cut by the permanent members there on their military budget so that the funds could be diverted for developmental purposes. Sir, I think this proposal deserves the support of the Government of India.

Now. Sir, I am coming to the las: point which is about the third world coun ries. The third world countries play an important role and Comrade

Brezhnev has commended the great role played by the third world countries in this world and, in this connection. I would like to say that there is a special word of praise for our own coun.ry, India, and we are no doubt, very happy to be praised by all the peace-loving forces. But this puts on us a very great responsibility also. We must strive for establishing that economic order which was declared, which was proclaimed three years ago in the summit conference. Now, another summit conference is going to take place in Colombo in August this year, Sir, and about this Fifth Non-Aligned Nations' Summit, I would like to make one or two observations here. This is going to be a very great event. There are forces in our country today and there are forces in the world which are trying to dis-* tort the non-aligned movement ancT there are elements which are by no means interested in .non-alignment and which do not understand it or do not like to see it succeed, and these forces and elements are trying to penetrate into the ranks of the non-aligned forces arid -I think Peking is trying to interfere in this matter and wants to see that this summit does noi materialise. As far as the United States is concerned, everybody knows that it would be interested in this. Sir, we are disturbed to learn that some countries-I would not like to name them here—are now coming together somehow or other only to distort the Colombo nonaligned summit which is to take place in August this year. But we must make it a success. For that two things are very important. First of all, the co-operation between the nonaligned nations themselves, on the basis of antiimperialism and anti-neo-colonialism, must be there and :here should not be any vacillation in this. We do not like the manner in which the Commerce Minister made his initial speech at the UNCTAD Conference recently in Nairobi where he forgot the Manila Declaration and prepared the integrated commodity programme and acted as if he was the wise man from ihe East. That is not necessary. Mr. Chavan and others have been a party to the Manila Agreement, Manila Daclaration, and the UNCTAD Secretariat proposal of an integrated commodi'.y programme was a common commitment by all of us, Our task is to implement it. Therefore, I say, at the Non-Aligned Conference it is very very necessary that India, Sri Lanka and others should co-operate to strengthen the cooperation among the non-aligned countries.

Another important factory, and that is by Kissinger and others, being attacked and Peking in particular, is the cooperation between non-aligned nations and the Soviet Union and other countries the socialist community. Sir, can we imagine ^ the great advance of the nonalignment movement apart from our strength, our anti-imperialism, anti-neocolonialism, our mutual cooperation, bilateral or multi-national co-operation in the third world, on the one hand, and on the other the co-operation of the third countries with the Soviet Union and other countries of the socialist community? No wonder, Sir, in our country the anti-Soviet is being carried on by some campaign sections of the Press just on the eve of this Conference. Sir. I mention this nonaligned summit at length, because India expected to play a great part. Nonalignment must remain a movement. There must not be an attempt to institutionalise it in a particular manner by setting up this or that kind of organisational arrangement. Sir, __ it is not perhaps wise. What we are insisting on to strengthen non-alignment a movement, is to strengthen it as anti-imperialist and anti-neo-colonial position.

There remains pending the implementation of the Algliers Economic Programme. I am sure that will be •discussed there, and that programme

will be Laken up. Our job, Sir, is to take counsel with one another anj go ahead.

In this connection, Sir, the collective efforts for Asian security remain. I am not saying that everything can be done at the non-aligned summit. It can create a climate where similar efforts are called for. Viet Nam is our loyal friend today. More and more countries are taking anti-imperialist positions. Sir, we should create a situation, together with the peace forces all over the world, for making non-alignment a dynamic force, much more powerful, and also for making serious efforts for establishing and creating a situation when we can say that we have also found our way to detente, in the form of an effort for the security of the Asian region. Sir, that is very, very important.

As far as this Ministry is concerned, I have not discussed it. I have some criticism to make about this Ministry. But I am not doing so today. By and large, I must say, before I sit down that India has gone far ahead in the field of struggle against imperialism and neocolonialism. Our contributions for the cause of world peace today, for international detente for security and international cooperation for the cause of the Asian people, and for the cause of national liberation, are more and brighter than ever before. This ig a tribute not to any individuals or to the Government alone. This is a tribute to our great nation, to our great tradition of anti-imperialism and anticolonialism, to our great culture and civilization. And I do hope, today, Sir, that the Gavernnyant will carry forward with still greater confidence and courage the highest traditions of the Indian people and their civilization, for the cause of peace, for the cause of mankind, and for national liberation.

Sir, we are on the high road to prosperity in the world and durable peace. But our path ig one which

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta]

summons us to great and glorious unity and struggle.

I do hope that in all peace efforts, India will rise to the occasion, play a still greater part and reach a situation in which we can say that we are on the threshold of a durable peace when war shall be banished from this planet and mankind shall be in the condition of an ever-lasting peace. Thank you.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned till 2.15 P.M.

The House then adjourned for lunch at twenty minutes past one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at twenty-one minutes past two of the clock, Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, with apologies to you I want to mention, that when I was speaking before lunch you were looking at me frequently and just out of nervousness I forgot to mention one point and it is this that people all over the world are looking forward with great confidence and hope to the Prime Minister's forthcoming visit to the Soviet Union. It will be a landmark in the history of Indo-Soviet relationship as Mr. Brezhnev's was about three years ago.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; Yes, Shri V. B. Raju.

SHRI V. B. RAJU (Andhra Pradesh): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir. this House has witnessed in the past few years lengthy debates on our foreign policy, on our external relations and on the world situation obtaining from time to time.

Sir, the world has moved very far in tho Iqs' three decades, from the position in which we used to explain the world as being a by-polar world. From that position We have come to a situation where we find many

centres of power and what the experts multi-polar world. What it might mean might not mean is a different thing but the world being parcelled between two big powers, that situation is not there. This is very heartening and in fact this shows that humanity has made from progress confrontation to conciliation. Sir. the policies pursued by the Government of India ' from the very inception of our inde-. pendence reveal a measure of consistency and also a great measure of I independence. Whatever might have been our internal situation, our economic situation or our dependence on rich countries for our development and whatever compulsions had been there because of the intransigence pursued by our neighbours. of near some neighbours, our Government has been able face boldly and has established this fact that the policy pursued by India in her external affairs has injected a moral tone in the country's politics This is not a small We cannot measure it in achievement. physical terms but the impact that our policy has left On the so-called mature minds of the mature nations ha.s Ibeen very considerable and significant.

Sir, to understand our policy we have to know our countrymen, we have to know very well that this policy is not a party policy. It goes to the credit of Pandit Nehru that he has built up a tradition in his times with the result that our foreign policy could be a national policy and I am happy to say that this attitude is being maintained and I am also thankful to the Opposition parties for having responded to this approach. Now, there are many features of our policy, there are many ingredients of our policy. It is not one single item but broadly it could be said to be our policy of non-alignment. In fact, this first seed that was planted by Pandit Nehru-I should put it -in September 1946 has not only blo-sommed into a sturdy tree, in the words of a writer, bearing the fruit

of just and equitable relationship between nations but over the years it has evolved into a way of life among the civilised nations. Non-alignment is not neutrality, it has been repeatedly said. Non-alignment does not mean watching the situation standing on the side lines. Now, non-alignment has actually evolved itself into a way of life with certain nations for a civilised activity.

Sir. Nehru's concept of combining economic growth with social justice on the national stage for the domestic requirements was, at the beginning, to be accepted as a basis for restructuring of economic relations between tha developing and the developed world. Sir, our foreign policy, particularly our emphasis on non-alignment, has shaped today, or has contributed, I should say, to the foreign approaches or the foreign relations in economic content. It is nol merely for power relations. It i_s not for the purpose of balance of power and it is not purely for the purpose of security but it has gone beyond that and it is to provide an economic content to the political activity. Now, this again, is no small achievement. Whatever may be our internal difficulties, to repeat, we shall not actually lose ground on this matter particularly.

Sir, the second aspect or the second feature of our foreign policy is the desire to follow the Indian tradition that right means should be adopted to achieve the desired ends. It is not the opportunistic approach that we have been making hitherto for this so-called enlightened self-interest but we have always been employing the right means to achieve the desired ends. This is another feature of our policy.

Sir, again, the whole strategy—or you might call it a policy—is that we rely more upon the negotiating factor rather than a threatening approach. Now we have brought in a certain measure of sophistication into the settlements of the disputes through negotiations and we have been patiently doing it not only with our immediate neighbours but actually at the world

level or the international level. We have been advocating this method of negotiation and this reveals, as I said earlier, a level of maturity. Now, this nation which had a tremendous potential as a State-with all pride I would say-has become a power. I congratulate the Government of India and particularly the Prime Minister of the country, Shrimati Indira Gandhi, our Foreign Minister, Shri Chavanj and the officials of the Foreign Ministry and also other Ministries which are associated with trade, with commerce, with culture. All of them deserve congratulations of this House for having brought in this sort of approach accepted by the world's civilised nations.

Sir, I have heard very patiently and with interest the speech of Shri Bhu-pssh Gupta. There is a lot of agreement on the approach. But I would also say that we shall not, even for the slightest reason, or even for a moment, give this impression that we are moving or we are catching hold of the apron strings of any particular power. It actually contributes to the personality of this nation and to our independence if both in our thinking and in our action we time and again demonstrate our independence, judging the things on their merit and with a sense of objectivity. Now, Sir, here when we talk about our foreign policy, it is always judged by the achievements in our relations with the immediate neighbours. The most important thing is actually the relationship with the neighbours, for a big country like ours. It is a common feature that small neighbours do entertain certain suspicions. The success of this great nation depends upon the confidence it could create in the immediate neighbours which axe small countries. That we have achieved. Now the relations that we maintain with our immediate neighbours are very much improved. It will be very significant to recall what we have achieved in the past one decade. Take Sri Lanka and how peacefully and satisfactorily we have been able to resolve the Kachativu affiair.

[Shri V. B. Raja]

Then, there is the agreement on the question of continental shelf, demarcation of the boundary with Indonesia, and Burma and the improvement in our relations with Bhutan. The relations between India and Nepal have also improved tremendously, though they v/ere somewhat strained in the past. The latest agreement is with Pakistan.

I am happy to make this observation that with Mr. Chavan at the helm of affairs, in the External Affairs Ministry, we have been able to achieve a big breakthrough and we have also been able to bring about a thaw in our relations with Pakistan. This has also helped remove certain apprehensions in our minds.

I was trying to follow what Mr. Bhu-pesh Gupta was saying about our relations with China. We need not go into their vagaries. Whatever be the type of Government they have, whatever be the type of State they have, as Mr. Bhupesh Gupta says, we do not go by the ideological approach. Our relations are on a State-to-SJtate basis. Mr. Chou-En-lai was there. Today, somebody else has come. Mr. Mao is also there. We take note of it. We have got affinity for the people of China and all avenues must be explored to improve our relations with them. Mr. Bhupesh Gupta really cautioned us that we should not lose patience in regard to Bangladesh. I would advise the same thing in regard to China also. Whatever be the type pf State and whatever be the type of Government, we are friendly to everyone. We have made no secret of it. We do not adopt an ideological approach in our relations with other countries. We do not apply the same measuring rod to all the countries. But it does not mean that we dn not have an attachment to our principles. The there. We stand by our principles are principles. Mention has been made about colonialism and neo-colonialism.

In fact, it is the greatness of this country that it has identified itself with all the freedom movements of the world. We recognised Anglola at the appropriate time and helped in bringing about stability. The manner in which we conducted ourselves in regard to South Vietnam is a matter of pride to us. Therefore, let us advise our Government that in our attitude towards other. Governments, we should maintain the same consistent policy, watch developments patiently and take advantage of them for improving our relations with other countries. I am sure with the passage of time. the other Governments, whoever might be at the helm of affairs, would see the reason in our approach and would come much closer to us. Even in regard to the big powers, we are not very much worried. We as also want them to be friendly towards us- We never took objection when the United States of America looked friendly towards the Chinese Government. They might have objected to our soft-pedalling the situation in 1954-55. But we did not take any objection when the United States of America improved its relations with China. We hope Russia would also improve its relations with China. We would be too happy to help them in this regard. Therefore, this policy has got to be emphasised upon.

We have been able to achieve great success through our approach. It has been a good strategy to settle our differences through bilateral negotiations. This is good. But it is not the end of it. Let u_s look at the world. We cannot sit in isolation. The trend in the world today is towards regional economic cooperation. In fact, many of the petty and small problems could be easily eclipsed by widening the area of co-operation. Today, the other developing countries look to us, not only for their security, but also for succour and economic assistance. We shall certainly help them. Similarly we need assistance from other countries too. Therefore, the idea which the Shah of Iran put forth some time ago is actually of regional economic co-operation. Now there is the EEC which has emerged as an economic colossus and we are dealing with it now. Now there is the other group, ASEAN, in the East though it

has not developed in the same manner. Again there are other groupings also. The Arab League i_s there for political purposes; we have been dealing with them in a friendly way. The Organisation of African Unity i_s there. Therefore, for political purposes *and* eco-JTiomic requirements there is regional grouping. The configuration we find in the world today is not in the nature of the world being divided into two compartments but emerging as groups in the regions for economic co-operation.

The future wiH open many avenues of prosperity. Now science and technology have really brought the nations of the world nearer and made the world very small and, therefore, from bilateral approach it has come to multilateral approach. In fact, I can quote an instance. Bangladesh, Pakistan and \we sat together and tried to solve the problems in the sub-continent. Therefore, I am not suggesting this particularly to politics. For economic requirements also I might suggest a bold and forward approach.

Lastly, Sir, I would like to request the House and the Government of India to ta.ke note of the larger matter that we have to emphasise in the world to see that our aspirations are fulfilled. We are all for world peace. But what is happening today? When we talk about disarmament, we mean that the v big powers should not arm themselves beyond a point. But it has gone beyond that. They are arming not only themselves but are also arming others. Supply of weapons has been one of the biggest commercial propositions. Even countries-which I do not want to name-are collecting weapons beyond their means and beyond their requirements. And the manufacture of weapons has been a menace to this world. Row to face the situation now? Even in the neighbourhood—though not the immediate neighbourhood— weapons are being dumped by exchanging oil. This situation, if allowed to continue, may jolt all our high-level thinking at a particular point of time. Therefore, we have to highlight this point in the region. Particularly the recipients of the weapons must be

made conscious of the fact that this is a menace so that we shail not dump this region with weapons.

Sir, I have taken much time of the House and in the end I would only say that it gives us great pleasure to congratulate the Government of India and thank the Government of India, particularly the Prime Minister and the Minister of External Affairs.

SHRI MAQSOOD ALI KHAN (Karnataka): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, trie way our foreign relations are being dealt with is a matter of pride for all of us. If emergency has brought about a sense of solidarity and a healthy economic order within the country, it has, in the outer world, given a new dimension to our diplomacy with those countries who maintained an unfriendly attitude towards us. Sir, our recent achievement is normalisation of relations with Pakistan and China.

Sir, we remember, in the year 1972 we concluded an agreement with Pakistan known as the Simla Agreement. It is in consonance with the spirit of that Agreement that an agreement of greater significance has been reached between the two countries. Sir, we think at this juncture that Pakistan, having realised the logic of the situation and having realised that it is not good maintaining unfriendly relations with India, will also take care to see that this Agreement is implemented in its spirit. So with China we have concluded talks for the restoration of embassies. Certainly, after 1962 when the Ambassadorial relations between these countries got severed, this is a big achievement. And the person who is going there, Mr. R. K. Narayan, who was till recently heading the Policy Planning Division in the Ministry of External Affairs, has the necessary knowledge and vast experience; and being an erudite scholar, he should be able to shape well ag Ambassador of this country in China. But, Sir, there is one thing. As is usually emphasised, restoration of Embassies does not mean that relation between the two countries will always be as they are desired to be. It is unfortunate that in the outer world these two countries, Pakistan and China, had carried on a

[Shri Maqsood Ali Khan] propaganda which was very much unfriendly towards u_s and on very many occasions we had to face the odds in the international situation on account of this propaganda. Even today, China goes on propagating in her own way in the African countries and in the Latin American countries. Sir, while normalising our relations with China, we have to be careful to see that in relation to these countries we project our image in such a manner that no enemy of ours, if I may use the word, is able to distort our image.

Sir, one thing that" We have made clear by these two agreements with China and Pakistan is that we stand for co-operation, we stand for good will, we stand for good relations with 'third world. As has been em phasised by my predecessor, Mr. Raju, right from the days when Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru took up External Affairs in his hands up to this date, there has been found a sort of consistency in our relations with other Governments. He has very rightly said that to our foreign policy we always lent a moral tone and we wanted that this moral tone should have an impact upon other countries also. Sir, if it can be said that Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru was the architect of the policy of non-alignment, then it will be rightly said that Prime Minister Indira Gandhi is the consolidator of this vital policy. Sir, •we have seen that within this decade our relations with the outer world, and especially after the proclamation of the emergency, our relations with other countries are growing up in the friendliest fashion.

Sir, I now come to our relations with the Arab world. Thi_s is a matter on which I want to attract the attention of the honble Minister who is present here. Sir, looking into the Annual Reports of the External Affairs Ministry of the last 10 years, we find that the Arab world was somehow much neglected by them. Take up the Reports right from 1965 up to this date. You will hardly find one or two pages

being devoted to West Asia and North African countries. If there was any mention, this was only that of Iran, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and the UAR. The UAR had a special affiliation with India. It was because of the two personalities who always thought on the same lines-in India, Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru, and in the UAR, President Nasser—that the relations between the two countries were so friendly; They could come together and achieve consensus between themselves on any matter concerning the world. But, apart from that, the Annual Reports never gave a picture that India, was trying hard to see that other Arab countries would also come nearer. Sir, in 1962, in the background of the hostilities which Pakistan had with us, our image suffered in the Arab world. And the propaganda thaw was carried on by Pakistan was that India is not a secular India, that India is a Hindu India which would not like to safeguard either the interests of the Muslims mside the country or the interests of the Muslims who are living outside India. And they said that the Indian support to the Arabs was a hoax.

But, Sir, I should say that it is a matter of pride for this country and specially for the Muslims of this country that our Foreign Ministry during the last three or four years has worked in such a way that this propaganda of Pakistan—this big balloon— has been pricked, and today we find that all the Arab countries, whether they are in North Africa or in West Asia, are close, to us. It is because of two or three reasons. One reason is that the British protection which was there in support of these countries was withdrawn especially after the United Arab Emirates became independent in 1971, and later on, when the oil crisis came, these oil-producing countries came to realise that the impact of imperialism or colonialism was too much upon thorn. Actually, they wen blind with this faith and they could not distinguish between their friends and enemies with the glasses of imperialism. For the first time in 1S71 and later on in 1972-73 when the

©il crisis was there, they came to realise that there were countries in the world which looked upon them with friendliness. And the another thing that had an impact upon them was our support in favour of the Arab countries in the matter of the Palestinians, as against Israel. Sir, we lent our support in 1967 to the Security Council's Resolution No. 242; up to this day, we have been reiterating that the Middle East crisis cannot be solved unless the Palestinians are restored their legitimate rights and unless Israel withdraws from the territories of the Arab world that it is occuping. This is a stand which has been consistently advocated by us. And now the Arab world knows that it is not a hoax, that it is not for somebody's favour that we did it. Actually, this policy comes out of Indian ethos, as I would put it, and they know that when we mean this policy, we mean business.

As I said, in the first Annual Report, there was not much mention about these Arab countries. But the Annual Report for 1974-75

"During the year imder review, considerable importance was given to development of close ties with the West Asian States and the Gulf, particularly Iran, Iraq and the United Arab Emirates. A large number of high level visits were exchanged resulting in the affirmation of the traditional friendship between them and India. These exchanges also revealed a close identity of views on major international and bilateral issues, thus creating a sound framework for greater cooperation in various fields of endeavour '

This is a very important note in the sense that in 1974-75 we came to realise for the first time that not only our political interest but also our economic and cultural interests lay in the fact that we should develop these ties. Sir, to-day it is a matter of pride for India to say that we have joint ventures with all of these countries. As we know, Iran has come with a big help of about Rs. 447 crores or round 368 RS-5.

about Rs. 500 crores to develop the Kudremukh Project which is in my State, that is, Karnataka. Sir, We have succeeded in securing a global tender from Iraq for a huge railway line project with a cost of about Rs. 1,000 crores, if I am correct. These are the areas where I find that our economic prosperity would lie. Look at the balance of trade even. Barring one or two countries, all our trade with these Arab countries show, that the balance of trade is favourable to us and it can be even strengthened. It can be further improved. It can be further improved provided we make all the efforts.

Lastly coming to the working of our Ministry, Sir, while reading some of the papers which say something about these Arab countries, I have gathered that there is a lack of information on our part which could have been sup. plied to them to enable them to know what we are doing in India, what we mean to do and what relations we want to keep with the outside world. Sir, it is not only a matter of pride but of gratification also that All India Radio allows a greater amount of time for our propaganda, but so far as the written literature is concerned, I feel that some of the institutions in the country, who are taking up advanced studies, could have been made use of. My last point is about the appointment of a special envoy. Our Prime Minister thought it fit-and circumstances have proved that she thought very rightly—to appoint a special anvoy to tour these countries. Sir, Shri Mohammad Yunis, who toured these countries, reports to us that he is heard with patience, that he ia heard with respect in these countries. It was he who played a great part in bringing these countries closer to us. It does not depend only upon one man going to the outer world and projecting the image of India. Frequent contacts will have to be maintained not only through cultural delegations but through goodwill missions also. I think if we do that, we will be able to have greater prosperity in India and closer tie, with the Arab world-Thank you.

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसमापित जी, पिछले एक वर्ष में हमारे देश की आधिक स्थिति में और अन्तरिक स्थिति में जो सधार हक्षा है उसका प्रभाव हमारी विदेश नीति पर होता स्वाभाविक था। चीन से जो बहुत वर्जी से टटे हुए राजनैतिक सर्वध ये उनकी फिर से स्थापना हुई है और पाविस्तान से भी 1971 के बाद जो राष्ट्रनैतिक संबंध ट्ट गये थे उनकी फ़िर से राजनीयक स्तर पर स्थापना हुई है। मैं समझता हं कि इसमें हवारे देश का वातावरण भी बहुत सहायक हुद्दा है। आज देश के अन्दर जो अनुशासन आया है ब्रीर प्रशासनिक स्तर पर जो सुधार हहा है, मेरा भ्रतना अनुयान है और इसी आधार पर इत दोनों देशों के साथ हजारे राजनीतिक संबद्धों भी सुधार हुइ है। भारत ने राहरू पूर्वक इस दिशा में अपना हाथ आगे बढाया है। जैसा अपना वक्तव्य देते हुए दिदेश मंत्री ने उस दिन कहा था कि हम इन देशों के साथ राजनैतिक संबंधों में सुधार का यह अभित्राय नहीं मानते हैं कि हम अपनी शखडंसा या स्वदेशाभिमान भावता की बलि दे दें। हमें दिश्वास करता चाहिए कि इन देशों की पिछतो जैसी पृष्डभूमि रही है भविष्य में उसमें स्वार होता ग्रीर ये देश जाने-इ:न ाने ऐसा कोई काम नहीं करेंगे जिससे संबंधों में किसी प्रकार की बाधा उत्पन्न हो। मैं यह भी कहना च हुंगा कि हम ने जिल्ली पविवता के साथ सहस्तपूर्वक हाथ बढ़ाया है ग्रीर राजनैतिक संबंधों में सुधार लाने के लिए जो कदम उठाये हैं, उनको इन दोनों देशों में भदस्य ध्वान में रखा जायेगा।

मुझे अच्छी तरह से याद है कि चीनी आक्रमण के बाद एक बार मुझे रिजापुर जाने का अवसर दिला और वहां एक भारतंत्रंशी सज्जन के साथ जो वहां के विदेश मत्री थे, बातवीत करने का मौका दिला। उनसे मैंने प्रश्न पृष्ठा कि यह सपझ में नहीं आता कि आक्रे देश के भौगे लिक संत्रंघ भारत से अधिक निकट के हैं, लेकिन फिर भी आपका

झवाव चीन की ओर अधिक क्यों है ? तो उन्होंने बड़ी स्पष्ट सी भाषा में एक उत्तर दिया और कहने लगे कि देखो भाई, हम तो मिनित के पुजारी हैं; ग्रागर मिनित का टेक उधर श्रधिक है तो हमारा झुकाव उधर है और फिस दिन शक्ति का पलड़ा आपका भारी हो जाएगा, हमारा झकाव इधर हो जाएरा। तो मैं समझता हं, यत एक वर्ष में हमने जो संग्रह किया है, विशेष रूप से धार्थिक और प्रशासनिक क्षेत्र में, इसका प्रमाव तिविवत रूप से--जैसा प्रारंभ में मैंने वहा-ह्यारे वैदेशिक संबंधों पर भी हुआ है श्रीर आगे भी होगा, ऐसा हमें विश्वास करना चाहिए। लेकिन जहां मैं इसके ऊपर एक संतोष व्यक्त करता हूं, साथ ही साथ मैं ग्रपनी ग्रोर से एक कच्ट की ग्रभिव्यक्ति करना चाहता हं। अभी हजारे पड़ोसी जिल देश के श्रंदर एक ऐसे भारत के सित की बेरहमी के साथ हत्या की गई-मेरा संवेत शेख मुजी-वर्रहमान की स्रोर है, उनकी हत्या से यह देश ही नहीं बल्कि विश्व की मानवता एक बार तड़प उठी। इस प्रकार का भयानक काण्ड भी कभी किया जा सकता है, जो व्यक्ति राप्ट्र का मक्ति-दाता है, उसकी भी हत्या की जा सकती है। पर मेरा अपना विचार यह है कि इसके पीछे जो एक षडयंत उस देश के ग्रंदर काम कर रहा था, उनकी हत्या के बाद वे श्रीर भी श्रधिक रितर्शल हो चुके हैं। जैसा धापने रिपोर्ट में लिखा है, संदेह और अविश्वास का जो वाहाबरण वहां नहीं बनना चाहिए, उस देश में भारत के प्रति धीरे-धीरे बढता चला जा रहा है, मैं चाहंगा यह कि उसके साथ संबंधों को इदनी धोर से सामान्य बनाने के लिए जितना प्रयास कर रहे हैं, उसमें झापको थोडा सा और भी धामे बढ़ने की धादायवता है। हसारा दुर्भाग्य है ग्रीर यह पिछले इतिहास को देखने से भी लगता है-कि जिस देश के दुख में भी हम काम आये, न जाने क्यों आगे चल कर उस देश के साथ हमारे संबंध उतने मध्र नहीं रह पाने। पड़ोस में ही

हमारे नेपाल है, नेपाल में महाराजा तिभुवन को जिस समय विपत्ति के वातावरण से भारत ने उभारा था, ऐसा लगता था कि हमारी मैंबी और घनिष्ठ होती चली जाएगी। ऐसी ही स्थिति एक बार इंडोनेशिया के साथ भी हुई जब डा० सुकर्ण को निकालने में भारत ने योगदान दिया था। और भी कई देशों के साथ इसी प्रकार की स्थिति हुई। परंतु इसमें क्या कारण मृल रूप से बनते है कि जिन देशों के लिए इतनी बड़ी धन की हानि हमको उठानी पड़ती है, जन की हानि भी उठानी पड़ती है, फिर क्यों हमारे देश के संबंध उतने मधुर नहीं बन पाते? उन समस्याओं की तह में बैठ कर हमें जांच अवश्य करनी चाहिए।

ए हजोडो सो बात जिस के लिए "छोटी सी" शब्दों का प्रयोग मैंने जान-बुझ कर किया है-बात तो बड़ी छोटी है ने किन हवा का इख किधर है इसका संकेत देने वाली है ; उसकी भी चर्चा मैं इस समय करना चाहुंगा। वह बात है सुरक्षा परिषद् की सदस्यता की। जब सुरक्षा परिषद् की सदस्यता के लिए हमने एक प्रतिनिधि को खड़ा किया और दूसरी भ्रोर से भी एक प्रतिनिधि खड़ा हुआ।। तीन बार मतदान हुन्ना ग्रीरतीनों बार मतदान के बाद हमें ग्रपने प्रतिनिधि का नाम वापस लेना पडा। मैं जहां इसमें एक कारण मानता हुं कि हमने स्थिति का पहले से उतनी गहराई में जाकर विश्लेषण नहीं किया जितना कि हमको करना चाहिए था, वहां यह भी कि सुरक्षा,परिषद् की सदस्यता से जो हमारे प्रतिनिधि का नाम वापस केना पड़ा उससे हमें थोड़ा सा भ्रपने मिलों के संबंधों पर भी फ़िर से विचार करना चाहिए । वह इसलिए नहीं कि सुरक्षा परिषद की सदस्यता में उन्होंने हमारा साथ नहीं दिया बल्कि इसलिए भी हम उनके सम्बंधों का विश्लेषण करेकि कई बार जब हम विपत्ति के क्षणों में ग्राए, जैसे चीन के साय हमारा संघर्ष हुन्ना, पाकिस्तान के साथ 1965

में हमारा संघषं हुआ, तो ऐसे मित्र हैं जिनकीं मित्रता के लिए हम दुनिया के बहुत से देशों को नाराज कर रेते हैं, जब हमारी परीक्षा की कसीटी आती है तो उस समय हम खरे नहीं उत्तरते हैं। तो मैं चाहता हूं, हम निगंय लेते समय इस व्यवहारिक नीति को भी अपने मारेत क के बोझल न करें, यह भी में साफबसाफ वहना चाहता हूं।

एक बात को देख कर मुझे थोड़ा संतोष भी है और प्रसन्नता भी है ; संतीय इपलिए है कि पिछे रूस के साथ जो हमारी एक दीर्घकालीन मैत्री हुई थी, उसकी विश्व के ग्रन्दर गलत-गलत व्याख्याएं हुईं ग्र**ीर हमको** कहने लगे कि हम उनकी जेब में पड़ गये हैं; कोई कहने लगा कि हम उनका संरक्षित प्रदेश होने लग गये हैं ; कोई यह कहने लगा कि रूस हमारे द्यांतरिक मामलों भें देने लगा । लेकिन ग्रभी जो निर्णय हुए हैं उससे लगा है मैत्री होने क बाद भी हम अपने निर्णय स्वाभाविक रूप से श्रीर स्वतंत्र रूप से हैते हैं, यह स्पष्ट रूप से प्रवट हो चुका है। इसमें सबसे बड़ी बात यह है कि जिनके साथ आज हमने राजनैतिक संबंध स्थापित करने के निर्णय लिए हैं, उससे यह प्रतीत होता है कि हम किसी की जेब में जाकर गिर नहीं गए, किसी ने हमारी नीतियों को ग्रधिक प्रभावित नहीं किया है बल्कि हम राष्ट्रीय निर्णय लेने पर स्वतंत्र हैं।

इसी तरह से एक और घटना पीछे हुई है। मैं समझता हूं, उसका समाधान अभी पूरी तरह से नहीं हो पाया है। पीछे रुपए और रूबल के विनिमय दर पर भी कुछ प्रश्न उपस्थित हुआ था। रुस चाहता था कि रूबल का मूल्य भारतवर्ष में इतने रुपए के बराबर कर दिया जाए, भारत को और से कहा गया कि रुपये की स्थिति पहरें की अपेक्षा पुष्ट है, कैसे उसका मूल्य घटाया जाये। में समझता हूं, अगर हम किसी की जेब में जाकर पड़ गए होते तो शायद इस प्रश्न पर भी तत्काल निर्णय लै लिया होता । पर ग्राज तक हमने उस प्रश्नको विचारकी कोटि में रखा हुआ है। इससे भी यह प्रतीत होता है कि हमारा निर्णय लैने का ढ़ंग स्वतंत्र है। वैसे भी उपतमापति महोदय, सबसे बड़ी बात यह है कि आखिर में यही तो नहीं कि हमको उसकी ऋवश्यकता है, उसको भी तो हमारी आवश्यकता है। हम भी तो 60 करोड़ के मुल्क हैं एणिया की राजवीति में हमारा भी ग्रपना एक संतुलित स्थान है। तो इसलिए मैं यह चाहता हूं कि इन तमाम बातों का निर्णय लेते समय विक्षीकी मैत्री ---ग्रथवा वह यह नहीं चाहते इपिलए---उस मैत्री की रेखा में या परिधि में ब्राकर निर्घारित करेंगे; यह वहां होना चाहिए । हम स्वतंत्र रूप से निर्णय ले सकते हैं । हम यह देख कर चलें कि देश के 60 करोड़ लोगों का भविष्य किसमें सुरक्षित है ? श्रीर इसी दिष्टि से हमको अपना निर्णय **लेन**। चाहिए ।

3 P. M.

हम स्वतंत्र का में दूसरे निर्णय के सकते. हैं इसने तो यही प्रतीत होता है। ग्रीर यही देखकर हम उहना' चाहते हैं । वैजे मेरा अनुमान है कि राजनीति में अर्थ तो होता है, इति कभी नहीं होती है। चीन भ्रीर अमरीका के बीच कई वर्षों से ग्रापसी सम्बन्ध विगड़े हुए थे, लेकिन जब उन्होंने ग्रावश्यकता देखी, परिस्थिति इस प्रकार की देखी तो दोनों ने निकट धाकर ग्रीर मिलकर ग्रपने सम्बन्ध सुधारे । भारत और श्रमरीका के सम्बन्धीं में भी काफी कट्ता द्या गई थी। लेकिन व्याप।रिक दुष्टि से ग्रभी दोनों देशों के प्रतिनिधि मंडलों के बीच छादान प्रदान हुआ है। हम ग्रांर वे ग्रापस में सम्बन्ध सुधारना चाहते हैं, लेकिन मेरी इच्छा यह है कि जहां हम व्यापारिक सम्बन्ध सुधारना चाहते हैं वहां राजनीतिक स्तर पर भी इसका विश्लपण फिर से करना चाहिए क्योंकि हमारी स्वतंत्र नीति है भ्रोर हम यह देखकर चल रहे हैं कि

60 करोड़ लोगों के राष्ट्र का मिवष्य किसमें सुरक्षित है ? उसी दृष्टि से हमें निर्णय लेना चाहिए । केवल चीन के साथ मैत्री है. रूस के साथ हमारी मैत्री है, जमंती के साथ हमारी मैत्री है, यह बात दुनिया के दूसरे देशों के साथ प्रमोवित नहीं करती है ।

एक बात मैं विशेष रूप से कहना चाहता हं ग्रीर वह यह है कि कुछ देश इस प्रकार के हैं जिनकी समस्या विल्कुल हमारी जैसी ही है, वे हमारी तरह ही गुलाम रहे हैं ग्रीर जो हमारी तरह रंग भेद के शिकार रहे हैं। इस तरह के जो देण हैं वे खास तौर पर श्रफीका श्रीर सहारा के देश हैं। उनकी स्वतंत्रता का ग्रान्दोलन ग्रीर भारत की स्वतंत्रता का ग्रान्दोलन साथ-साथ चला । सौभाग्य की बात यह है कि हमारे देश की स्वतंत्रता के नेता महातमा गांधी जी ने ग्रपना राजनीतिक जीवन श्रफ्रीका देश से ही प्रारम्भ किया। लेकिन कुछ अफीकी देश इन प्रकार के हैं जो स्वतंत्रता के कगार तक पहुंच चुके हैं, लेकिन श्रभी तक पूर्णतया स्वतंत्र नही हो पाये हैं। मैंने वहां जाकर देखा कि अफीकी देशों में ग्रीर भारत में कई मामलों में समता है। एक समता तो यह है कि विकास की दृष्टि से दोनों ही देशों की एक जॅसी स्थिति है । सांस्कृतिक ग्रादान प्रदान की दृष्टि से भी दोनों देशों की एक जैसी स्थिति है। यह हमारे लिए सीभाग्य की बात है कि ग्रफीका देशों को जब कभी टैक्रीकल विशेषतों की ग्रावण्यक-ता होती है, चाहे इंजिनियरी की होती हो, डाक्टरों की होती हो, वे भारत से ही प्रायः मंगाते हैं । जब कभी उन्ह अध्यापकों की आवश्यकता होती है, तो वे भारत से क्र**घ**रापकों को मंगाते हैं। वहां पर ये शिक्षक शिक्षा के क्षेत्र में कार्य कर रहे हैं चाहे वे पूर्वी भ्रफिका के देश हो या दूसरे देश हों।

इन देशों में युगेन्डा में जो भारतवंशी ले.य रहते थे, उनके साथ घटी घटना के कारण अनि: विचरता की रिथरि: पैदा हो रहे है। **उ**नके मस्तिष्क में सःदेह का वाशावरण पैदा हो गया है। सफीका का जो आधिक दिकास हुआ है रसमें भारतीयों का प्रमुख ये घदान था। ५र इ.व जो काओं लेग दहां ५र हैं, रनका भदिष्य इतिष्ठितः हो गया है। हमारी इ.एनी रिथरि, रहा है विः हम रहा करपना करते हैं कि जो दहां पहले से रहते का रहे हैं, स्थापार वर रहे हैं, रुग्ते: हर:-दुःख के साथ इकारा कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं होना चाहिए । मैं रामकारा हु कि इस प्रकार की जो इकारी निधि है, जे इकारा से बना है, इस्मी हमी परिवर्तन करना चाहिए।

इसके साथ ही साथ मेरा रह भी वहना है वि अर्फावा के देशों में जो हरा सब्दुत भेषते हैं, वहां पर इस प्रवार के राज्द्रत न भेजें किन्हें यह पद पुरस्कार के स्टब्स् दिया बाता हो या विन्होंने गुछ इतनी स्वाएं बी हों। इमें खास तौर पर ऐसे देशों में जो समरयाओं में रक्ष्मी हुए हैं, पर जो ह्यारे निवट द्वारा चाहते हैं, यहां पर सोच रणक्रव र राष्ट्रतः भेषने चाहिए। मैं यहां ५ए साथ रहीं सेना चाहता हू । ५ता रहीं मैं इ.५नी परम्परा से बाहर तो रही पा रहा हूं। एक एसे व्यक्ति विसनी एवल तक मैंने भाषा तक नहीं देखी पर उनके कायों और गुणों से मैं प्रभादित हुआ हू । मैं चाहरा हू वि इस सरह के व्यक्ति इन देशों में आयें तो हमें बड़ी सहायसा होगी क्योंकि इस तग्ह के व्यक्ति दोनों देशों की र्बचकी जो शृंखलाई स्थको स्वयुक्त कर सवते हैं। उनका नाम है श्रं इ.प्रापंत । मैने एनको झाल सब देखा नहीं है विन्त, मैं वहां भी यया, दिस देश में भी यया, इस ध्यदित के बारे में प्रशंसा के पटद ही सुनने की स्लि, जो भी बहुता था यही बहुता था विः द्वाप इस प्रकार के व्यक्तियों को भेजें। 🐔 लहीं

चाहता कि रहां किसी का नाम लूं--मैंने **भा**ज तक उनको देखा नहीं--लेकिन उनके गुणों से परिष्ठित हूं और इसकिये मैंने उनका नाम लिया। मैं चाहुंगा कि जिन देशों के साथ इस प्रवार की उरुझी हुई समस्यायें हैं दहां ऐसे व्यक्ति को भेजना चाहिए।

इ.फ्रीकी देशों के साथ एक दूसरी चीज भी है। उनसे हमारे व्यापार संबंध भी इद्ध स्वते हैं। वे 1961 के बाद स्वतंत्र हए। इ.भी तक वे इ.५नी समस्याओं से ६० झे ९ है हैं। इन देशों के साथ व्यापार की संभादनाये प्यप्ति हो स्वती हैं। इसियो ध्यापारिक संभावनाओं के संबंध में हमको संस्ता साहिए । इस काम को दिदेश म्बरुध वरेगा या विदेश य्यापार मंत्रालय व्याधा इत्छ। वर सवता है यह झाप जानें। है, दिन केरी इच्छा इस प्रकार की है कि भारत यहां दूसरे देशों में ऋपने लिये मंहियां खंद पहा है दहां अर्फ की देशों भे इस बात के विये विशेष प्रयास करे। क्योंकि उन देशों के लाग यह समझते हैं कि भारत के लंग आयेगे या भारत से व्यापारिक संबंध दहेग तो अंग्रेजों की तरह या दूसरे लोगों की हर्ष्ट हरको चुरने वाले नहीं होंगे दिल्का मैं हैं है, भाई भारे के रहर पर उनसे व्यापार संबंध स्थ ५ तः व देगे । इगर यह दढ सवती है तो इंड.ने का प्रयास करना चाहिए।

ण्हां तक भारतवंशी लोगों की बात है मैं घाहंगा कि भारतवंशी लोगों को तीन हिरसों में रखकर उनकी समस्या पर विचार वरें। एक तो वे हैं जिनके पास भारत का पारपोर्ट है, एक भारतवंशी वे हैं जो उन देशों के नागरिक हो गये हैं श्रीर तीसरे भारतीय हैं जिनके पास ब्रिटिश पासपोर्ट है। कुछ ऐसे भी हैं जिनके पास किसी का भी पारपोर्ट नहीं है । लेकिन उनकी संख्या उनिक्यों परही यिनने सायक होगी।

[श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री]

ऐसे व्यक्तियों की चर्चा मैं नहों कर रहा हूं। जिनके पास इन तीनां तरह के पातमार्ट हैं पहले में उनकी चर्चा करना चाहता हूं। उनमें जो भारतवंगो लोग हैं जिन्होंने उन देगों की नागरिकता ले लो या जिनके पास बिटिस पातमोर्ट नहों है, भारतीय पासमोर्ट नहों है कई ऐने व्यक्तियों से मितने का मोका मिता। मैंने उनसे कहा आपको अधिक स्थिति बड़ो अब्छो है, आपका ब्यासर अब तीनरोच्चों में चन रहा है जब से आप इत देश में आये, क्या आपको भारत आने को इच्छा होती है । वे कहने लगे, जो सब्द उन्होंने कहे वही मैं आपको सुनाता हूं, उन्होंने कहा—

'द्राति स्वर्णमयी लंका, न में लक्ष्मण रोचते' नती जन्म भूमिश्चः स्वर्गादपि गरीयसो । इन शब्दों को सुनहर मुत्रे बहुत प्रतन्तता हुई । तीन-चार पीढ़ियां निकलने के बाद भी भारत के प्रति जो उनके हुइन में स्तेह है, अनुराग है मैं समजा हूं कि उस हा प्रत्युत र हमारी स्रोर से वैज्ञानहो दिना जा रहा है। हन यह कल्पना करते हैं कि वे वहां के नागरिक हो गये हैं, वहां व्यापार करते हैं उनके सुब-दुख से हनारा संबंध नहीं है। उगांडा से भारततंत्री लोग हटे थे, करोड़ों को संतित छोड़ हर हरे थे। एह-एह भादमी की 50-50 करोड़ राये की समिति से हाथ धोना पड़ा था। जो सम्पत्ति वे छोड़ हर ग्राये थे उतके लिये ग्राप कम्पनते गन के लिये चर्चों कर रहे हैं। मैं चाहता हूं कि भव यह स्थिति भक्तीका के दूसरे देशों में न भाये । इतके लिये कोई सोहर्द का बातावरण श्रमी से तैरार होना चाहिए श्रीर उनके मस्तिष्क में जो धनिश्चितता की स्थिति है वह समाप्त होतो चाहिए। इत बात को मैं विशेषका से कहता चाहता हुं इतिये भी कि मुझे वहां जाकर यह पता लगा कि जब भी भारत के कार कष्ट ग्राया चाहे वह 1962 में हो, चाहे वह 1965 में प्राया,

चाहे वह 1971 में आया उन लोगों के उती तरह से हमारे कच्छ को अनुमन किया जैसे देश में रहने वाला कोई नागरिक अनुमन करता है और अन्ती परिधि में रहते हुर दूर से जो सहसोग बन सकता था वह सहसाग उन्होंने देने का प्रमत्न किया। यह भी मैं आपके ह्यान में लाना चाहुंगा।

एक और बात सुनकर मुझे बड़ा कष्ट हुप्रा। अपनी थोड़े दिन पहले मैं अफोका के एक छोड़े से देश में था। वहां भारत स्वो लोगां ने पत्ने बताया कि उत्तराष्ट्राति जत्तो जब यहां श्राये तब यह कहा गना कि जिनके पास भारतीय पासनोर्ट है के बल वही जत्ती साहब से मितने के लिये आयें। मैं जब वड़ां या उन्हों दिनों अभरोका का विदेश मंत्री वहां भ्राया था भीर सभावार पत्नों में मोटे-मोटे ग्रक्षरों में छ ।। या कि यहां जो भी ग्रमरीकी नागरिक रहते हैं वे अमरीकी विदेश मंत्री को मिलने ऋषें । यह रेखा क्यों खोंची गई कि जिनके पास भारतीय पासपोर्ट नहीं है, भारत-वंगी लोग जो वहां ब्या गर करते हैं सोर जिनके हुदय में भारत के प्रति उतनी हो ब्रात्मीयता है वे वहां न अपर्ये। मैं सभज्ञता हूं कि जिख व्यक्ति ने यह किया अच्छा नहीं किया और इसके उन लोगों के हृदय पर एक चोड लगो। उनको समस्यार्थे ग्राप-उत स्व**र** पर इन न करें जिस स्तर पर आप भारतीय पास्त्रोर्टबारियों की समस्यायें हल करते हैं। लेकिन धगर वे लोग उपराष्ट्राति के साथ भेंट करते भीर उनके साथ एक प्याला चाय पी लेते तो उतने किसी को क्या दिक्कत होती। जिसने भी यह किया धक्छा नहीं किया। इस पर निश्चित रूप से ज्यान देना चाहिए ।

एक बात भीर जो मैंने भारी अस मैं भी देवी। वह यह यो कि जहां-त्रहां भारत-वंशों लोग रहते हैं—चाहे वे बहुसंब्या मैं हों या अल्प संब्या मैं—उन लोगों की इच्छा है भीर जिसको मैं भाप तक पहुंचाना चाहता

हूं कि जो उनको नई संतति है उसको वे पढ़ते के लिये इंग्तैंड, कनाडा या अनरोका नहीं भे बना चाहते वह इत निये नही भे बना चाहते कि हमारा जो भारतकों के प्रति अनुराग है वह अनुराग हमारो संजान के मन में भी रहता चाहिए यह उन की भावना है । इन लिये वह अपने बच्चों की शिक्षा दोक्षा के लिये, उन को हाक्टर, इंजोनियर या साइंत का ग्रेज्एट बनाने के लिये भारत भेजना चाहते हैं। लेकिन ऐते विद्यार्थियों के लिये हम ने बहुत थोड़ो संहिं ग्रपने यहां रखी हुई हैं। मैं चाहता हूं कि उन के लिये सोटों को संबत बढ़ायी जानी चाहिए ताकि राजनेति से इट कर हमारा जो भावतात्मक संतर्क है वह कायम रहे। यदि वे चाहते हैं कि उन के बच्चे दूसरे देशों में न जा कर यहां हो शिक्षा प्राप्त करें तो उस के लिये निश्चित रूप से हम को कुछ सोचना चाहिए ।

दूसरी बात मैं कहना चाहता हूं और मैंने विदेश मंत्री जी से मिल कर भी इस बात को कहा है। पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू जी के समय में इस प्रकार की चर्चा चली थी कि जितने भी प्रवासी भारतीय हैं--वह भारतवर्षं से पहले कमी गये थे, उन के दादा, परदादा गए थे, वह आज भी चाहते हैं कि चन के और भारतवर्ष के बीच में कोई ऐसा संगठन होना चाहिए कि जो श्रृंखला का काम करे। वह संगठन हमारी समस्याओं को चन तक पहुंचाये ग्रीर उन के मन की बात ग्रीर भावना को हमारे तक पहुंचाये। पंडित जी ने अपनी मृत्यु के दो दिन पहले देहरादून के सर्किट हाउस से पत्र लिखा था मुझ को, जिस में उन्होंने लिखा था कि मुझे तुम्हारा मुझाव बर्त पसंद भ्राया है । उन्होंने यह भी लिखा था कि मैं मेहरचन्द खन्ना से कहुंगा कि वे प्रवासी भवन बनाने की व्यवस्था करें। इस संबंध में मैंने प्रधान मंत्रो जो से बात को है ग्रीर ग्राप से भो बात को है ग्रीर मैं चाहता इं कि पंडित जी की उस इच्छा की शोझ

पूरा किया जाय । उस को ज्यादा लम्ब समय तक टाला न जाय क्योंकि प्रवासी भारतीय भारत के निकट संपर्क में रहना चाहते हैं। भले ही वह बाहर किसी देश में व्यापार कर रहे हों या वहां किसो प्रकार की सर्विस में हों, ले केन भारत के प्रति जो उन की ग्रात्मीयता है उस ग्रत्मीयता का उत्तर हम उस प्रकार दे सकें इस के लिये भी इस दृष्टि से हम को कुछ ग्रवश्य सोचना चाहिए ।

में दो एक बातें और कहना चाहता है। उन में एक बात तो यह है कि इंडियन काँसिल ग्राफ कल्चरल रिलगन्स जिस को हम भारतीन सांस्कृतिक संबंध परिषद् भी कहते हैं उस को जो काम सींपा गया है, यह खुशी की बात है कि वह ग्रन्छा कार्य कर रही है। जो दायित्व उस को सींपा गया है वह इस प्रकार का है कि जो लोगों के हृदय, मस्तिष्क श्रीर मन से संबंध रखने वाला है। राजनीतिक संबंध इतने लम्बे और स्थायी नहीं होते जितने कि सांस्कृतिक संबंध होते हैं। पहल तो यह परिषद एक ऐसे व्यक्ति के हाथ में रही, इस पर एक ऐसा व्यक्ति बैठा रहा कि जिस वै इस परिषद के करेक्टर को ही खत्म कर दिया भीर जिन की धरनी व्यक्तिगत धनियमित-तार्थे इस प्रकार की थीं कि जिन के बारे में एक रिगोर्ड भी शायद ग्राप के पास है। उस पर भ्रमी तक भ्राप कोई निर्गय नहीं ले पारहे हैं। ग्रब उन को यहां से हटा कर शिक्षा विमाग में भेज दिया गया है। लेकिन गलत ग्रादमी तो हर जगह गलत है। वह शिक्षा मंत्रालय में बैडे या वहां बैडे, वह गलत ही होगा। या तो उस को आप ने कोई इंक्वायरीं नहीं करानी थी और अगर कोई इंक्वायरी करायी है तो उस की रिपोर्ट क्या है और उस पर धाप क्या निर्मय क्षे रहे हैं इस का हम को पता होना चाहिए। लेकिन मैं चाहता हूं कि यह जो भारतीय सांस्कृतिक संबंध परिषद् है उस का अपना महत्वपूर्ण काम है । भारता

[श्रो प्रफाशवीर शास्त्री]

सरकार में ऐसा है कि थोड़े थोड़े काम बटे हुए हैं। विदेशों में सांस्कृतिक संबंध के कुछ काम विदेश मंत्रालय को दिये हुए हैं और कुछ शिक्षा मंत्रालय को दिये हुए हैं। मैं चाहता हूं कि विदेशों में सांस्कृतिक प्रचार का जो काम है वह एक संगठन के पास रहना चाहिए और इस के लिये विदेश मंत्रालय ही कांपिटेंट भ्रथारिटी होगा। इस लिये विदेशों में सांस्कृतिक प्रचार का काम विदेश मंत्रालय को सींपा जाये और भारतवर्ष में यह काम शिक्षा मंत्रालय के पास रहे। इस में मुझे कोई भ्रापत्ति नहीं लेकिन विदेशों के लिये एक संगठन होना चाहिए। दो जगह ये बंटे हुए नहीं रहना चाहिए।

दूसरे विदेशों में जो सांस्कृतिक प्रचारक भेजे जार्ये उस संबंध में में चाहता हं कि वहां केवल नत्य और संगीत की मंडलियां ही नहीं जानी चाहिए। ग्रभी रामकृष्ण मिशन के स्वामी रंगनाथन जी भेजे गये थे। वैसे ही दूसरे धच्छे व्यक्ति वहां भेजे जायें उच्च स्तर के। जो वहां जा कर वहां की सांस्कृतिक समस्याओं को देखें और हमारे सांस्तिक संबंध आपस में बढावें भार सांस्कृतिक भ्रदान प्रदान करें। बहुत से देशों में सनातन धर्म, रामकृष्ण मिशन और आर्य समाज के संगठन हैं। वहां गुरुद्वारे हैं और हिन्दू मंडल बहुत स्थानों पर बने हुए हैं। मुस्लिम भाइयों के संगठन भी हैं। तो मेरा वहना है कि उन में जो भ्रच्छे स्तर के विद्वान हैं, मौलवी हैं, पंडित हैं, जानी हैं, उन को वहां भेजा जाय। केवल नत्य अ.र संगीत ही हमारी संस्कृति नहीं है। इन विश्वानों के जो प्रवचन होंगे उन के हारा भी हमारा सांस्वृतिक प्रचार होगा ऐसा मेरा विश्वास है।

में अपनी बात को समाप्ति की ओर को जाता हुं इन्त में यह वह कर समाप्त

करूंगा कि भ्राप ने इस रिपोर्ट के पष्ठ 105 पर लिखा है कि हिन्दी के विदेशों में प्रचार के लिये श्राप ने पासपोर्ट कार्यालय को भी कुछ सुविधायें दी हैं। श्रंतर्राष्ट्रीय संधियां श्रीर करार श्रीर संयक्त विज्ञाप्तियों में भी हिन्दी का प्रयोग होने लगा है । हिन्दी की पुस्तकों ग्रीर चार्ट ग्रादि भी विदेश भेजे जाने लगे हैं। मारिशस और फीजी में आप ने हिदी अधिकारी रखे हए हैं। अन्तर-राष्ट्रीय स्तर पर हिन्दी को आगे बढ़ाने के लये योजनाबध प्रयास हो रहा है । लेकिन जैसा मैंने एक प्रश्न के रूप में उस दिन वहा था और मेरी बात समय न रहने से बीच में समाप्त हो गयी थी, मैं उस को फिर से दोहराना चाहता हं। ग्राप ही उस गोच्ठी के झध्यक्ष थे नागपूर में जिस में सुरिनाम, दिनिडाड, गयाना और मारिशस के प्रति-निधियों ने वहा, ग्रीर यही नहीं, रूस ग्रीर जर्मनी के प्रतिनिधियों ने भी वहा, चैकोस्लोबा-किया के प्रतिनिधि ने भी वहा हंगरी ने वहा, पोलैंड ने वहा, सब ने यह कहा । जब 9 करोड लोगों की धरबी भाषा को वहां मान्यता मिल सकती है तो 60 करोड़ के देश ने जो अपनी राजभाषा मानी है उसको मान्यता वयों नहीं मिल सकती है ? मैं समझता हं कि इस प्रश्न पर जो गति रही है, वह कच्छप गति है, इसमें तेजी आनी चाहिए। यह हमारे राष्ट्रिय स्वाभिमान के अनुकृत है । जिस दिन हिन्दी को संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ में स्थान फिरेगा उस दिन हमारा राष्ट्रीय स्वाभिमान भी बढेगा। में श्राशा करता हं कि आप निर्णय लेते समय इस बात का ध्यान रखेंगे और इसको राष्ट्रीय स्वाभिमान के अनुकुल ही गति देने का प्रयास करेंगे।

इन शब्दों के स थही मैं विदेश मंत्रालय की मांगों का समर्थन करता हुं और आशा करता हु कि अगला वर्ष इस से भी ज्यादा श्रच्छा वर्ष होगा।

SHRI SARDAR AMJAD ALI (West Bengal): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir. I congratulate the Foreign Affairs Minister here for giving this House an opportunity to discus, the working of his Ministry. Sir, the Department of External Affairs in any Government works as the connecting " link between that country 8nd the rest of the world in accordance with the policy formulated by the Government as such, the tradition that the country and the people follow, the ideology which the people of that country believe in Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir. I should say that the working of the External Affairs Ministry here, in our country, during the last few years, has been, by and large, quite in turn with the policy which has been formulated by our great leaders. It has advocated the cause of the country abroad and has taken the stand in accordance with and in pursuance of the policy non-alignment. It has implemented and rather pursued the policy of non-alignment with regard to other countries which believe in this policy. It has taken able steps, quite satisfactory steps towards the promotion of goodwill and co-opera-tion of understanding in matters of mutual interest. I have no hesitation in saying that matters having serious international political impact and having direct impact on our national interest have been very ably sorted out by the Department of External Affairs. Along with this, on very complicated issues like thost of Cyprus, the Middle-East situation and the Indo-China problem, the Department of External Affai-s has taken an abie stand in accordance with the policy formulated by our great leaders.

Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, excepting certain pitfalls here and there, I believe that the work that our External Affairs Ministry is doing is quite satisfactory so far as the question of making the stand of this country understandable to those countries which have a different outlook, so far as the question of political approach to the solution of complicated issues and so far as the question

of making a country self-sufficient and selfrespected, is concerned. Coming to our close neighbours, I believe it will not be out of context if I ay that the issues which were of very great importance, have been very ably sorted out with our close neighbours. I would like to mention the most cordial and good relations which we have with ou neighbour Afghanistan. Perhaps, amongst all our close neighbours, the best of relations we have with Afghanistan Th'e Ministry of External Affaris could pursue with the Government of Afghanistan to take a political stand along with India at a time when the United States of America lifted the arms embargo on Pakistan. It was not a mean achievement that the Government of Afghanistan in tune with the Government of India resented the decision of the United States of America for lifting the arms embargo.

As regards our relations with Bhutan,, it is worth mentioning here that in the developmental programme of Bhutan we have contributed about Rs. 34 crores in their fourth Five Year Plan out of the total expenditure of Rs. 40 crores. So is our relation with Maldive, Nepal and as some of my colleagues here stated, our relations with Sri Lanka. We have sorted out our problem_s with Sri Lanka on Kachativu and about the persons of Indian origin.

With regard to our close neighbours, it is of course, a great achievement that our diplomatic relation which had to be severed after a long confrontation with Pakistan, i_s going to be restored. But, as far as our close neighbour are concerned, I believe two main challenges are there before the Ministry of External Affairs. The first is about Bangladesh. Although we have very good relations with Bangladesh, I believe, Sir it will not be out of context if I say that the Farakka problem is an important one as far as our relations with Bangladesh are concerned. This remains to be solved. Although we have taken an open stand In accordance with our tradition

[Shri Sardar Amiad Alil solving the problems by bilateral negotiations ar.d peaceful talks, it is unfortunate that the stand taken hv Bangladesh Government the is some With re times not very congenial. gard to Pakistan, the problem of Hunz and the setting up of a new Council the so-called Azad Kashmir for are the problems yet to be considered, while restore our diplomatic relations with Pakistan. We all believe that the Impact of Simla agreement will be carried on by the Pakistan Government and they will try to realise the situation and the stand taken by the Government of India to sort out problems which we still have with them.

Now, Mr. Deputy Chairman, coming to our relations with the countries m South East Asia, I find that it is the best of the relations that we have with the countries in the South East Asia region. As I try to analyse the situation from the report, perhaps, on the economic cooperation aspect, I find that we are having the best of relations with the countries in that region. Take for instance Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Australia, Philippines, Fiji and other countries. It is worth mentioning here that with Indonesia, in the economic field, we are having about 17 joint ventures. With Malaysia, we are having about 33 joint ventures. We have joint ventures in all the very important sectors of industrial activity of both the countries.

In regard to our relations with the East Asian countries, the major development is our decision to normalise our relations with China. The decision has been cordially welcomed by the Chinese Government. Ag the hon. External Affairs Minister disclosed in this House the other day, the news media in the Western countries have also expressed their opinion. While we take into account the observations made by the Western countries, we tshould also take into account the feelings and the sentiments of the people of this coiintry in regard to the territories which axe «till under the Chinese

occupation. While we normalise our relations with China, the External Affairs Ministry should also bear ia mind that this does not mean parting with one's own territory. Although the negotiations are in a very fluid state, this has got to be expressed with deep concern.

Some of my colleagues here were emphasising much about our relations with the West Asian countries. It is a fact that we did not pay much attention to the Arab world earlier. But I do not agree with them that at. present, we are not paying much attention to that part of the world. It has been the policy of the Government of India to support the cause of the Arab* and the PLO, not only inside the country, but aiso in all world forumsu We have been extending support to the cause which they were advocating ia the United Nations and in other world seminars and conferences. We hope that our relations with the West Asiaa countries would be pursued and strengthened for mutual benefit so that the co-operation in the field of economit and industrial activity is strengthened much more.

Mr. Depty Chairman, there has beem an unfortunate development as far as the West is concerned. There has been some misunderstanding in the European countries and the United States of America in regard to our nuclear explosion. Most of the countries in Western Europe, as well as the United States of America, have takea such an attitude as though Indian has done something wrong. Although the Ministry of External Affairs is taking steps to remove that impression, I think it is my duty to mention here that the performance of our external publicity division and om missions abroad has not been very satisfactory. Last December, I had been to Canada and I toured the whole country for about 15 days. People had a wrong idea about ou_r nuclear explosion—and they were general, common people. They were given to understand by the Canadian Press—and it happened in some parts of America also-that India is going in for nuclear weapons.

It was not made clear to them that India believes in non-proliferation of nuclear arms and that India has always taken the stand to develop nuclear technology for its own developmental purposes. The Missions did not take the least trouble to remove this misconception from the minds of the people in those areas.

Mr. Deputy Chairman, I believe the External Affairs Ministry needs to do soma stock-taking as to how the External Publicity Division is working. Even in some of the West European countries I was rather very much surprised to note that people do not know that here in our country we have certain nationalised industries, that some major bank_s have been nationalised, that lands are being made available to the poorer sections. This only re-fllects that our diplomats and our Missions could not controvert the misconception which the common people in that part of the world have. (Time-bell rings).

Mr. Deputy Chairman, it is fortun-nate for us-and I am very happy to mention, it-that We are having the best of relations with the East European countries. Not only in the field of economic co-operation but also in matters of political development of international importance, we have the best of understanding with the East European countries. Hungary, Czechoslovakia, Rumania, Poland and other countries not only have high esteem and reverence for our leadership but also have good appreciation for the strides the people of our country are making.

Before I finish, Mr. Deputy Chairman I submit that India has taken, with all sincerity, noble strides in tha liberation struggle that is going on in Africa. It has always supported the cause of the liberation struggle not only in that part of the world but in certain other parts also. That liberation struggle has got momentous support, tremendous support. Now when Angola has come into being, Dr. Au-gustino Neto ha« taken over thje leadership of that liberation struggle, and in that part of Africa it is becoming much more momentous. We have to make a review of the whole situation to see that Rhodesia and South Africa become free and we have to make a reassessment of our policy of support—reassessment in the sense of examining whether we can give our all-out support.

With these words, Sir, I conclude.

श्री जगदीश जीशी (मध्य प्रदेश) : उपसभापति जी, जो आज की स्थिति है उसको देखते हुए यह समझना जरूरी है कि पिछले दिनों संगुक्त राष्ट्र संघ की बैठक में असरीका के प्रतिनिधि मोयनोहान का तोसरी दुनिया के देशों के सिल्धिने में नगान चीर जनक नान [The Vice Chairman (Shri Ranbir Singh) in the Chair]

. . . किसिजर साहव के धमकी भरे बयान है धर्गर विकासशील देश हर मानले पर विरोध करेंगे तो हमारी सहायता भी उसी के धनसार हुआ करेगी, यह किसी एक दूसरे काण्ड की तरफ़ इशारा कर रहा है। वैसे दुनिया की धुरियां चाहे कितनी भी बनें या न बनें, केन्द्र बने यान बनें, ध्रुव बने यान बनें, लेकिन एक सत्य भ्राज स्वापित होता चला जा रहा हैं कि पिछल महीने जिस प्रकार 200, 300 साल का इतिहास गोरी दुनिया का इतिहास था, उसके प्रतिकल भाज दुनिया का रंगीन हिस्सा ग्रपता इतिहास बनाने की मंजिल पर चल रहा है। ग्रीर उसका एक परिणाम हम लोगों को धनी मिला। धनी बोड़े दिन पहने कनाडा ने अपनी ओर से आण्विक सहयोग सन्धि को तोडकर सहयोग को बन्द कर दिया। उनके बन्द करने से हमारे प्रयोगों में किसी तरह का कोई ग्रन्तर नहीं पड़ा है, बेकिन उनकी यह मनोवृत्ति इस बात की परिचायक है कि वे समझ रहे हैं कि बाकी रंगीन दुनिया भै भारत भी एक शक्ति के रूप मैं उदर द

जाये। वे यह सोच रहे हैं कि हमारा जो प्रभाव दुनिया में छत्या हुआ या सारी दुनिया में गोरे लंग रंग न लोगों को गलाम की टरह रखे हुए थे, वे लोग अब आगे बढने की कोशिश न करें। इस तरह की भावना आज सारे विकासर्शल देशों में षा ग्रत हो गई है। परमाणु बम के विस्फ्रोट के रिलिशिले में परिवर्मा यूरोप और इवर्रका सवा दुन्यां के हर गोरे मुल्क में इस बात पर बद्दस हो रही है कि आखिर हिन्दुस्तान ने परमाणु दिस्फ्रोट क्यों किया ? उन्हें इस बात की (रेशानी है कि जो देश इतने सालों तक ह्यारे नीचे गुलाम रहा हो, वह परमाणु बम बना कर पांच-छ: राष्ट्रों की श्रेणी में कैसे आ एया है ? उनके ि.ये यह एक बहुत ही धारचर्य-बनक बात बनी हुई है। जिस प्रकार से दुनिया दो हिरसों भे बंदी हुई है, उसी तरह से अफीका का जो हिररा है वह यूरोप की गुलामी में था भ्रीर एशिया का तीन चौथाई हिस्सा युरोप की गुलामी में और जिसनी रंग न दुनिया है बे गोरे लोगों के गुल म बने हुए थे। प्राज द्यपर का, कताडा, लैटिन अमरीका और धारटेलिया के जो धादिवासी लोग हैं, जिनके परिवारों की संख्या लाखों में थीं, वे सब स्मात हो चुके हैं और उनकी केवल हम कल्पना ही कर सकते हैं। भाज उनका कोई दिवरण देखने को नहीं स्लिता है। ये लेग वब आये, कब बसे, कब इन्होंने सम्यता बनाई, यह तो दो सी, तीन सी राज का विवरण है, इस पर जाना नहीं चहुता हं। लेकिन मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि जब कर्भा दुनिया के रंगीन लोग तावतवर होंगे, तो किर याद करेंगे कि हमारे किसी पुरखों ने अभरीवा, बनाहा, आरदेलिया और नैटिन धर्मर का को सम्पन्न देश बनाया और भाज दहां पर गेरे लोगों का शासन चल रहा है। उत्का जो वैभव है वह हमारी पुरखों के वैभव से ही नियला हुआ है। इन लोगों ने मैक्सिको की सभ्यता को नेस्तनाबुद करके

इस्ती सभ्यता बनाई । इस तरह का जो प्रसारवाद हुआ है, उसका इतिहास दो सौ और तीन सौ वर्ष पुराना है। ये लोग समझ रहे हैं कि जिन इलाकों को हम गुलाम नहीं कर सके, जिन इलाकों का हम गोषण नहीं कर सके, उन्मूलन नहीं कर सके, वहां पर ये अब इसनी आयिक आतंक की वृष्टि से इन देशों की प्रगति को दवाने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं।

मैं इसके साथ ही साथ इस बात का भी सझाव देना चाहरा ह कि विकासर्थ ल देशों में इपनी कुछ सपानताएं हैं, कुछ सांस्कृतिक समानताए हैं और कुछ वैचारिक समानताएं हैं। जितने भी रंगीन देश हैं उनमें वहीं वहीं जो रस्मो-रिवाध हैं, रीहि: रिवाध हैं, उपाचार पद्धति हैं, सामाजिक व्यवस्थाएं हैं, इनेक सामाणिक व्यवस्थाएं हैं वे हमारी तरह ही बनी हुई हैं। िस प्रकार से शर्फ का के शादि-वासी पेड़ की पूजा करते हैं, वृक्ष के पूजक हैं, वक्ष उनके लिए सबसे बड़ी सावस है, उसी हरह से हिन्दुस्तान जैसे देश में भी वृक्ष एक पूजनीय वरते होती है। हमारा वाफ़ी सामी पा है इस पूरे परिवार से । हमारे सांस्कृतिक परिवार की श्रांखला बहुत दूर तक फैली हैं। हमारी विदेश नीति की पी वि हमारे इस सारकृतिक परिवार को वितना अधिक वस सवेगी उतना अधिक संशवत रूप से हमारी बिदेश नीति दुनिया को प्रभावित कर सकेगी। मेरा तिवेदन यह है कि भ्राखिर दिदेश नीति की जो सफ़लता है उसने मूल नारण को देखना अरूरी है। हमारी विदेश ने ति राष्ट्रीय मुल्यों पर द्वाधारित है। उन मृत्यों को हमारे देश में एक बड़े संग्राम के डारिये हासिल विया। एस संग्राम में जो मत्य हारिल विशे थे उन पर आधारित दिदेश नीति दीर्घवालीन ही हो स्वती है, जो इत्स्वार्ल न सोपानों को पार करते हुए एक बड़े लक्ष्य को सामने रखा करती है। दूसरे देशों की विदेश नीति जो राध्य राध्य पर प्रालीचना प्रत्यालीचना या धरफलता का फिकार होती है, मैं समझता हुं वे प्रवावताद का शिकार हुआ करती है। श्रमी अमरोका के राजनिका बहुत कर रहे हैं कि हवारी विरेश नोति दक्षिम पूर्व एशिया मैं अरहत नहीं हुई, उउहा कारण यह नहा हैं ? लब्बे नुबाब यह तिकला है कि अनरीका की जो दक्षिण पूर्व एशिया की नीति थी वह प्रमाननाद की तरफ़ थीं, एक अस्याई सनस्या को लेकर थी, एक निषेत्रवाद की नीति थी, तारकालिक उन्मूलन की । हंशारी विदेश नीति चसकती हुई न मालूम पड़े लिकन दूरगामी है, दीर्वहालीन है और कुछ बड़े मानव मूल्यों को लेहर चलतो है और मैं सनज्ञा हं वह सफला। के ग्रांखिरी चरण तक पहुंचेगी जहां वह दुविया को एक करने में सफल हो सकेगी।

ग्रव यह ताकत की जो धुरियां आज बन रही हैं एक छोर रूती शक्तिकी घुरी, इसरी ग्रोर श्रमरीका या पश्चिमी देशों की शक्तिकी धुरी। इन दोनों धुरियों में कमो कभी गल-मिलीवल हुआ। करता है। यह गल-मिलौवल की प्रकिश देतांके जरिये दुई है लेकिन यह गल-मिलीवल कितना कारगर हुन्ना है यह देखने की बात है। इस सबके बावजुद यह देश अपने अपने प्रभाव के क्षेत्र को बढ़ाने में निरन्तर प्रयत्तशील है। जहां तक गल-मिलीवल का मामला है मैं उससे सहमत हं। मैं समझता हूं कि इससे दुनियां में तनाव कम हुन्रा है। रूस की ग्राप्तो जो विदेशो महत्वाकांक्षाएं हैं वे उतनी प्रसारवादी नहीं हैं. प्रभाववादी हैं। ग्रमरीका की विदेशी महत्वा-कांक्षार्थे प्रमाववादी तो हैं ही, प्रसारवादी भी हैं। दोनों को टकराहट होती रहती है स्रोर दोनों अपने प्रभाव के क्षेत्र को बड़ाने के लिये अपना मोहरा दुनियां के रंगीन मुक्कों **में लग**ोते रहते हैं। अलग अलग जगहों पर उनकी अनग अलग कूटनीति काम करती हैं। मैं समझता है कि अगर इसगल-मिलील के साथ दुनियां के भ्रत्दर जो गरीबों और भूब है उ⊣को मिलकर दूर करने का प्रयास हो सके तो श्रेयस्कर होगा। में समझता हूं कि

श्रागामी किसी भी देतां में या जो बड़ी शक्ति । के गल-मिलीवत हो वहां भारत के विदेश मंत्री इस बात का प्रयास करें कि जिस प्रकार केंसर के उन्मूजन के लिये रूप ग्रीर ग्रमरीका दोनों मिलकर प्रयोग कर रहे हैं, विज्ञान के, शोध के, स्वास्थ्य के कई क्षेत्रों में दो परसार विरोधो राष्ट्र मिल कर काम कर रहे हैं उन्नी प्रकार दो तिहाई दुनियां से गरीकी, श्रवनानता श्रीर भूब को खत्म करने के लिये दोनों राष्ट्र, दोनों शनितशाली धुरियां कहीं मिल जायें ताकि एक नई दुनियां बन सके।

रंगीन और गैर-रंगीन के फई की एक ग्रीर बुनियादी चीज मैं ग्रापके द्वारा कहना चाहता-हुं - -चीन ग्रीर रूस का विवाद। रूस और श्रमरीका का गल-मिलीवल कुष्ठ गलत लगता है।

चीन और रूप को सैंद्रांतिक अधार भूमि एक है। उनकी बुनियाद एक है, सोचने की द्घ्टि अलग अलग है, उनके मार्ग अलग अलग हैं, एक दूसरे के भविष्य की शैती अलग अलग है, लेकिन मैं उस विवाद में पड़ता नहीं चाहता कि अनुक सिद्धांत के भाष्य में कीन सही है भ्रीर गलत ? लेकिन एक बुनियाद से निकलने पर भी रूस और चीन अलग अलग हो रहे हैं, पड़ौती होने पर भी अलग हो रहे हैं **ग्र**ौर ग्रापस में दूरी होने पर **मो, रंग भेद** होने पर भी रूप ग्रोर ग्रमरीका भ्राज नजदीक घ्राते जा रहे हैं। (Time bill rings) यह दुनियां के लिये एक ग्रच्छी घटना है। इससे आशा है लड़ाई स्रोर बड़ा युद्ध कुछ दूर हो रहेगा। मैं जल्दी ही समाप्त कर रहा हं⊦

इसके बाद में जिदेश मंत्रालय के सम्बन्ध में कुछ सुझाव मातीय मंत्रो जो को देता चाहता हूं। भ्रमी वैदेशिक प्रचार को बाबत कहा गया है। में उस राय से सहमत हूं। **भ्रापात कालीन स्थिति के लागु होने के बाद** श्रीर उसके पहले भी हमारा विदेशी **प्रवार** विमाग शिथिल रहा है बीर हम सही ढंग से

[श्री जगदीश जोशी]

इपनी बातों को विदेशों में प्रभावकारी डंग से बता नहीं सके हैं। श्रपने देश में भी विदेशी सम्बन्धी को ग्रीर विदेशों में भी विदेशी सम्बन्धों को पूरी तरह से हम परि-चित नहीं करा सके, वरतुस्थिति से लोगों को पुरी तरह परिचित नहीं करा सके ग्रीर केवल यह कह कर कि सारे लोग हमारे खिलाफ हैं हम भ्रपनी जिम्मेदारी से बरी नहीं हो सकते। उन सारे लोगों में कुछ लोग हो सकते थे कि जो हम।रे साथ भ्राकर हमारी बात की सत्यता को परखने को तैयार हो सकते थे। तो इस विभाग के इस हिस्से को माननीय मंत्री जी को और गतिशील बनाने की चेष्टा करनी चाहिये।

इसके साथ साथ मैं एक सुझाव और देना चाहता हूं। राजदूतों के चयन में एक प्रक्रिया रही है ग्रीर श्रधिकांश में विभागीय सेवार्क्यों के लोग ही राजदूत पदीं पर भेजे जाते है। जो प्रक्रिता है वह ग्रच्छी है या खराब, मैं इस बहस में नहीं जाना चाहता, है किन द्वितयां के बद्दा से बड़े देश ऐसे हैं कि जो अपने यहां के विश्वविद्यालयों से, उनके अनेक अन्य विभागों से ऐसे मुर्ध्यन्य लोगों को लाने का प्रयास करते हैं विदेश सेवा में कि जिनके जाने से उनका वजन बढ़ सके । ग्रमरीका जैसे देश में हार्वर्ड ग्रीर दूसरे विश्वविद्यालयों के बड़े बड़े प्रोफोतर्स इस बात के लिये तैयार रहते हैं भ्रीर उनकी भ्रावश्यकता पड़ने पर विदेश सेवा में प्रयक्त किया जा सकता है। हमारे देश में भी विद्वानों की कमी नहीं है। ऐसाही है कि केवल भारतीय विदेश सेवा या सचिवालय में कार्य करने वाले ही तमाम कार्यों में प्रवीत हैं। मेरा सूझाव है है कि जो लोग इंडियन फारेन सर्विस में हैं उनको कुछ वर्षों तक भारतवर्ष में भी सेवा करनी चाहिये ताकि वह इस देश को समझ कर इस देश की संस्ति और इस देश की पद्धति और इसकी प्रतिया को हृदयंगम कर लें ताकि बाहर जाने पर वे यहां का सच्चा प्रतिनिधित्व

कर सकें जैसे श्राज चीन कर रहा है श्रीर उसके ग्रधिकारी हर देश में काफी गहराई तक पहुंचे हये हैं। भ्राप देखें कि उनका एक्यपंचर क्यों पापूलर हुआ। हिन्दुस्तान के हर कोने में हर गांव में बात श्रीर गठिया का इलाज करने वाहे लोग हैं। यह हमारी बहत परानी प्रक्रिया है, लेकिन चीन के एक्यूपंचर का इतना प्रचार हुआ। है कि हम पागल हो रहे हैं कि हर जगह उसका ग्रस्पताल वन जाय। लेकिन ग्रायुर्वेद पद्धति जो क्रानिक बीमारियों के लिये बहुत उपयोगी है उसकी शिक्षा का भी यहां पूरा प्रबन्ध नहीं है। हम यह नहीं बता सकते कि हमारे पास भी कोई ग्रौषधि विज्ञान है जो काफी प्रभावशाली है। तो ऐसी बहुत चीजें जो प्रभावी हो सकती हैं उनको देने की क्षमता हमारे दूतावास के कर्मचारियों में नहीं है जिनकी अलग अलग 🥕 जिम्मेदारियां हैं। तो इस प्रकार के विशेषज्ञी को भी अगर आप कौंसिलर की जगह के लिये सोचें तो वह उपयोगी हो सकते हैं ग्रीर इस देश का परिचय दुनियां को देने में वह सहायक सिद्ध होंगे।

में भ्रन्त करते समय इतना भ्रीर निवेदन करूंगा कि पश्चिम एशिया में जो डेलीगेशन श्राप भेजते हैं. जिन विद्वानों को श्राप भेजते हैं उनमें ग्रगर पारसी ग्रंह ग्ररबी के विद्वानों की भ्राप इस देश से भेज सके तो बड़ा काम हो सकता है। हमारे देश में फारसी भीर भरबी के विद्वानों की कमी नहीं है भ्रीर उनका उपयोग हम इस कार्य के लिये कर सकते हैं वह काफी कारगर श्रीर प्रभावी होंगे बशर्ते कि हम उन लोगों को वैदेशिक नीति की प्रक्रिया के बारे में थोड़ा प्रशिक्षित कर सके।

इन शब्दों के साथ मैं समझता हूं कि विदेश मंत्री जी ने जो इस बीच ग्रपने पड़ोस से तनाव खत्म किया है, जो पाकिस्तान ग्रीर चीन के साथ सम्बन्ध धच्छे किये हैं, ये सबन्ध धच्छे परिणाम लाने में सफल हो सकोंगे मैं खास तौर पर पाकिस्तान से भ्रच्छे सम्बन्धों

का अत्यन्त स्वागत करता हूं। वह दिन भी मैं चाहता हु जब हिन्दुस्तान, पाकिस्तान, बंगलादेश **प्रादि** मिलप्**र** व्यापार, आवागमन इन सारी चाजो पर बैठकर बात कर सकेंने बार हम लोग जो हिन्द महा ...। यर के परिवार के लोग हैं, एक मक्तिशाला एका के तौर पर दुनिया के सामने चल सकेंगे।

SHRI PATTIAM RAJAN (Kerala): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, when we dis cuss foreign affairs, the recent develop ments in India's relations with her -neighbouring countries should be re viewed. The decision taken by the Government

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: Please speak a little louder.

SHRI PATTIAM RAJAN: The decision taken by the Government of India to send an Ambassadoor to China is indeed a very welcome start.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI RANBIR SINGH): Please speak into the

SHRI PATTIAM RAJAN: This is a demand which has been made by our party for the last several years. But this demand was denounced not only by the ruling party, but also by the Opposition parties including the Right Communist Party 5 our CPI friends. I hope that further measures will be taken to bring India-China relations back to complete normalcy and to resolve all the outstanding problems and disputes betwen the two countries. Then, Sir the agreement arrived at between India and Pakistan, which has resolved many issues of dispute pending between these two countries, i_s equally important. In the opinion of Pakistan, a dispute formally exists in relation to Kashmir. That too should not pose any difficulty i.*i finding a solution for it because the internal situation in Kashmir has changed with the settlement between the Central Government and Sheikh Abdullah. I "would request the Government that it should make every effort to further

improve the relations betwen India and Pakistan and put the Kashmir problem out of the agenda.

Sir, I also support the stand taken by the Government in Angola although it has as usual, taken much time to take such a decision. The recent visit of the Foreign Minister of the PRG of Vietnam, Mis. Binh, is of great signi-Vietnam and to all other ficance to India, countries of South-East Asia and the purpose of her visit is also very important. visit to India took place at a particular time, that is, at the time of the joyous unification of North Vietnam and South Vietnam. Their fight against the American imperialism is memorable. It is high time that we rendered all the material help for the reconstruction of their country. When We are discussing the foreign policy of the Government, I would like to point out some issues stressed by Mrs. Binh in her public speech in New Delhi. One is about the nuclear base of the American imperialist in Diego Garcia and it is really a threat to the whole of Asia. Diego Garcia is being developed into a full-scale nuclear base, military base by the Amercans who are defying the international opinion and the protest of the people in the littoral States in the Indian Ocean area. The US imperialists have 'even defied the UN resolution which calls for turning the Indian Ocean into a zone of peace. India must take the initiative in organising an international conference on. this issue. The should Government of India take into account the view of Mrs. Binh that we are not living in an age when imperialists could command and the world would obey.

Sir, what is our stand towards Am-erician imperialism? I feel it is really on obeying mentality of this Government. I would like to point two or three example*

What we did when a motion sponsored by the non-aligned countries in UN General Assembly to ask Indonesia to withdraw its military interventions in East Timor, is that we voted against

[Shri Pattian Rajan]

that motion? We also know that Indonesia wanted to grab East Timor with the help of American imperialism and Western countries. Again, in the case of UN Resolution sponsored by the socialist and non-aligned countries demanding the immediate withdrawal of American forces form South Korea, we abstained from voting to please the American imperialism.

Lastly, the Government has set up a joint economic commission with th'e United States and have signed Indo-American agreement. The multi-nationals and the clA have been acting together to subvert democracy, topple Governments which do not give much concessions, bribe political figures and parties to change policy in their favour. These are no secrets now. Therefore, the Government must take appropriate lessons from the activities of the multi-national corporations throughout the world. The Lockheed corruption has brought this truth to the world. There are reports that some top Indian officials were also involved in this scandal. I would like to know whether the Government has taken any steps to enquire into this matter. I would urge upon the Government that they should adopt antiimperialist policy and strengthen the nonalignment movement. Thank you.

DR. V. P. DUTT (Nominated): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir: the External Affairs Minister is finding the discussion a little tame. It might also, in a way, be a compliment to the consensus that has been achieved on the foreign policy of this country.

Sir, I would like to distinguish between the foreign policy and the working of the External Affairs Ministry. There is some distinction betwen the two. Before I say anything about the working of the External Affairs Ministry, I should like to say something about policies.

I should like to begin by congratulating the Prime Minister, the hon. Foreign Minister and the External

Affairs for initiative Ministry tne taken by them in bringing about nor malcy the relations between India in China and India and and Pakistan. Sir, India and China are neighbours, and they have to live in peace and friendship. One hopes that the Chi- 3 nese authorities would realise also this elementary and fundemental recall, Sir, that in truth. You will this oHuse some of us had the occasadvocating continual probing of through quiet diplomacy on a gobal basis, for normal relations between India and China. Somehow, the rela between India and China tions and between India and Pakistan have got tied fault. up. It is not our But it was the belief of some of us that when re lations improve thev wiH improve with both time. TM them the same And that has been borne out by facts. Ali the same, I suggest that it should be the endeavour now of our diplomacy, again through quiet diplomacy, to do further probing and enlarge the trade and cultural relations with China, which we should begin by having economic relations and cultural exchanges, so that the problems between India and China can be resolved step by step. These two neighbours can resume their friendship once again. At the 4 P.M. same time, I should like to sound a note of warning, not to the hon. Foreign Minister who is far more knowledgeable about these matters but to many of us in the House and perhaps to many in the External Affairs Ministry. I should like to warn that some people think that foreign policy is a game of using one country against another, to set one country against another, to put China country against another, to put China against Russia. Russia against China, so on and so forth. I would submit that this attitude belies the whole experience of the last 25 years of the international structure that such a policy never succeeds in the end. A policy must be based on certain principles and one of the principles is not to try to play one country against

another. There is one more important principle that I think we have been advocating and putting forward. It is that our relations with one country should not and need not affect our relations with another country. is what we have been saying. Our re lations with China do not affect our relations with the Soviet Union and our relations with the Soviet Union need not be an impediment in the way normalising with our relations China. I think this principle must be held fast. Foreign policy is not a game of nations trying to balance one against another. There is a certain balancing. I am not saying that there is no balancing. But it should not be thought in terms of playing a game of China versus Russia, Russia versus China and China and Pakistan versus Russia. We k must hold fast to optening up of our options of relations with all countries of the world irrespective of our relations with other countries. I submit to the hon. Foreign Minister that consultations, clarity, candour and cooperation should remain the hallmark of our foreign policy.

Sir, we were talking about China. China is in a state of ferment and in a state of flux. There is one thing that we must be cautious about. It is that appearances should not be taken for reality and propaganda should not be confused for actual developments. We need not uncritically accept the facile explanations being heard in the corridors of Western academic circles about China. We must look towards the possible interest of China and the way those interests are perceived in Peking in order to understand the possible course of events and the policies of China. There are certain fundamental thin,gs which I should like to make clear.. There is the vastness of China. There is the unevenness of developments within China. There is the long history and tradition of China. All these things cannot be wished away. There are the deep divisions within the ruling Chinese Communist Party. We must not also forget that the

368 R.S.—6

party is no longer monolithic. It is in a period of transition from the Mao era to the post-Mao era and as we know, the history of such transitions takes a long way. That wiH be true of China also. It is also somewhat unique that the period of transition is taking place even while Chairman Mao continues to tower the party It is because of his frail health and because

of the deep divisions at the very top that there is a struggle for successions and there is a struggle for power There is also a struggle for policy. There are groups within the bureaucracy and the army, supporters of the late Chou En-lai and the disgraced Teng Hsiaoping and the group of Mrs. Mao Madam Ching Ching, Obviously, Chairman Mao hopes that the last group of Mrs. Mao would succeed them into power. But we must be aware of the complexity of the struggle. There is no single explanation. It is not just a question of who was offering how much money to the army. It is not a question of mechanisation of agriculture and who was offering how much to agriculture. It is a question of the whole gamut industrialisation and modernisation and the policies that should fit into this framework of modernisation. It is a question of technology that China has already achieved and the most feasible policies for advancing China towards the higher technological and modern development These

are the questions and problems around which the struggle is going on. Then there is also a question of attitude towards the Soviet Union, attitude towards Africa, attitude towards the United States, titude towards other parts of world. All thesei ar@ parts of the

[Dr. VP. Dutt] struggle and the struggle will go on for a long time.

Sir, today, no one denies, not even the most obstinate and diehard observers in the West that we have been gradually entering a new phase in world affairs. There are, of course, the people who prefer familar—and comfortable for them-situation of a . world divided between two powers, all supporters and allies and adversaries, a system in which the lines of conflict are sharply drawn. But, Sir, they also know that this is a thing of the past, a will-o-the wisp of the future. We are heading towards a mixed internationl system. And I say our foreign policy must have a certain world framework in which to operate-nonalignment anti colonialism, anti-imperialism and peace. All 'these are the principles which were laid down by Jawaharlal Nehru. But at the same time, we must have an evolving world situation, framework of an evolving world situation in which to operate, and say that we are heading towards a mixed international system in which both the allies and the adversaries will be held in a situation of growing fundamental co-operation. We are in the midst of a transformation, certainly a drastic modification of the structure of international relations. To begin with, I will say, although there is plenty of violence at the local and regional levels, at the world level, the utility of violence is neither the same nor is the rationality of violence the same as it was a few years ago, so that violence and the threat of violence are not necessarily now as feasible as they were ten years or fifteen years ago. In other words, violence and the threat of violence have to be virtually ruled out at the world level Secondly, I would say that the logic of world economy and technological development makes for the logic of integration, for the countries coming closer together whereas the logic of traditional international state policies is the logic of separateness. The balance of

power is now, even in the West, generally acknowledged to ibe as dead as a dodo. And what many in the West now fear is not the breakdown of the balance but the breakdown of the rules of co-operation. It is that what they are afraid of. And those in the United States, who were fed on the virtues of a bipolar world, began to examine the merits of a tri-polar world. They regarded the internatinal system in terms of the Soviet Union and China revolving round the United States in a new triangular relationship in which, of course, the United States was the apex balancer. Sir, this too was a chimera. The merging international structure was not tripolar any more than it was bi-polar But, then, their hopes and expectations were centred round a five-power balance; balance there must be of two powers, three powers of five powers, a five-power balance as it was put and I quote:

"A strong healthy United States, Europe, Soviet Union, .China and Japan, each balancing the other in an even balance."

[This reflected of course, the views of Dr. Kissinger, who is known for his admiration and penchant for the classical European balance of power. They were hoping and their policies Were aimed at having three powers, then five major competing powers established to give a framework within which each would operate. This was patently an attempt to restore the Bismarckian system of the 19th century, the century of secret diplomacy. But Sir, if history affords any lesson then even Germany had to choose finally between the Russian and the Austrian connection that ended the Bismarckian attempt at being both master and part of the balance. This was the evil, the master and part of the balance at the same time. And if I might quote the most estlablisment-mind, Western authority of the establishment, the late Mr. Alastair Buchan, what he had to say about this five-power balance. He said and I quote:

"It also involved a somewhat naive conception of the international system in assuming that if these five-power centres could keep their relations and balance, the rest of the world would be at peace, which ignored the influence of India, the Arab States, Indonesia and many other significant international actors. The only capital where it was welcomed was Peking."

Sir, this is the problem that I have mentioned and the problem precisely is that they want to be the master of the balance as well as a part of it. Old habits persist even when the old order heaves its death pangs and there are important people proclaim ing that they were still the first in the world and that they still wanted to » be the leader and teacher of the world ſj

Sir today the most important, the most urgent, the most crucial and the most complex problem before the international community is the creation of a new world economic order. The rules of trade and finance that prevailed earlier Were prescribed at Bret-tonwoods and at GATT and they were those as wanted hy the United States. They established a donorexchange standard and tolerated only those exceptions like the Common Market, which were expected to be beneficial to the Atlantic alliance. But this system, as we know, collapsed under the weight of the monetary crisis cf 1971 and 1972. Now it is said that we should establish a more moderate international system but the irony of it is that still the current policy remains the current policy of the big powers, remains that of preserving and increasing their advantages in the new economic world order and, this, I believe, is the heart of the problem— eating your cake and still having it. The building of the economic world order, as suggested by them, smacks too much of new colonial thrust which would perpetuate the hold of the rich and the strong over the poor and the

weak in the world and that obviously is not a balance in the order in a situation that can be accepted 'by the developing countries, by the non-aligned world, by the Poor and the weak. Sir, what is happening in the Indian Ocean and the Gulf region? They are trying to preserve and increase influence while we want establish a new balance which is more moderate. Today, tensions are being created in the Indian Ocean, particularly in the Gulf in the name of stability, security, region, securing of oil supplies and securing of channels and lines of communications. In fact, today we see in the Gulf particularly, policy of indiscriminate sale of arms, a policy of cold war, a policy of revival of spheres of influence. Sir, last year, the U.S. sold arms amounting to about 9 dollars. Of these arms worth 4.4 billion dollars handful of Gulf countries. were sold to a Fifty-six per cent of the total arms sale was made to a few countries in the Gulf region. In fact, Sir, virtually the whole of this military aid went to two countries, Iran and Saudi Arabia. In fact, this means that half of the sale virtually-not exactly, but virtually- of the world is going to the two countries of the world. Iran and Saudi Arabia, Then, Sir, last year, the Soviet Union and the East European countries agreed to supply 360 million dollars worth of arms to Iraq; France sold one billion dollors worth of arms to the Gulf States and Britain followed with 500 million dollars worth of arms; Germany with 120mil-lion dollars worth of Kennedy once said that this sale, this arms indiscriminate sale of arms far beyond of these countries, will not promote needs region. Whether they in the promote the independence of the countries of this region or not, in fact what we are buying is not a future but an immediate instability and conflict in the region.

Sir, in this situation, we have said before and we would like to emphasise now that not only the developing

[Dr. V.P, Dutt]

countries but particularly the non-aligned countries have a major and a substantial and important and vital role to play because, as I said, the old balance is dead and gone and in the creation of a more just new. world political and economic order, the non-aligned countries, through their principled stand, can promote not only the interests of all the developing countries but the interests of peace and justice in the world.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI RANBIR SINGH): Wind up now.

DR. V. P. DUTT: Just two or three minutes, Sir, as you know, I will not speak in the rest of the Session. I assure you. Therefore, the most vital task before us, before the non-aligned countries is the strengthening of the unity of nations—unity of all non-aligned countries. That, I believe, is urgent because without that unity it will not be possible for the non-aligned countries to play their role in substantially altering fthe previous world political and economic order.

Sir, now, I come to the final point about the working of the External Affairs Ministry. Sir, I am not saying that the External Affairs Ministry is not working well, or is not function ing well. Obviously, when policies are good, certainly, the External Affairs Ministry also should be given credit for it. But I do believe that there are lacunae, there are gaps and there are many fields which need to be imme diately looked into and re-examined. In the first instance, I am not sure if offi the External Affairs Ministry cials^-I am not talking of one or two three few here-there or or a are some very brilliant people here, I have no doubt about it, and the people at the top parti cularly have vision and ideas

But certainly, I would say that the Ministry of External Affairs should activate itself in the light of the vision which Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru held before us. We must be sure of the

priorities. Apart from the principles that I have mentioned, namely nonalignment, anti-colonialism, antiracism and peace and justice in the world, our priorities, which Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru mentioned, are: the South-East Asian countries, the West Asian countries, the African countries, powers and the European the big countries. Our neighbours also include China and the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union! is also a neighbour of us. These are our priorities. In light of these priorities, the function the Ministry of of External Af ing fairs must be activated. Now that these changes are taking place would suggest that there should be a reorganisation of the working of the Ministry of External Affairs with on efficiency, on division stress labour and on this perspective vision and thinking. This ig what has been lacking. There has been too much of adhocism in the functioning of the Ministry of External Affairs. would suggest that we should now be more methodical, more efficient and more logical in our functioning. I do not have enough time to elaborate this idea. hope I will have another opportunity to do so.

There is one final point. While there are officers abroad who are doing a good job, who are alert and who know the policies of the country, there are also quite a few of them who are absolutely apathetic, who are inactive and who are not in sympathy with the basio policies and points of view of this country. I think the time has come to take a look at this issue. There must be some accountability. Our officers abroad must also feel that they are accountable for the good work that they do and also for the lack of initiative and for the lack of sympathy for the country's policies that many of them show. I think some accountability must be brought into the picture now. I know there are many who have been privately sniping at the recent developments in the country and whereas the diplomats of

other countries ar_e active, some of our people sit at home, I will not say doing what. We all know what some of them do. But at the same time, this should not be taken to mean that there are not good and active officers; there are many. I am making a distinction between the two. At the same time, they must be made accountable for the kind of work they are doing and for the kind of work they are not doing and which they are expected to do. Sir, in conclusion, I would say that the hon. External Affairs Minister knows too well that yesterday's situation was one of central balance between a handful of powers and imperialism which pushed back the limits of the diplomatic world. Tomorrow's situation must be one of a complex balance of forces, not balance of power, of an emerging "^ community, in which competition would still persist, of course, but in which the world community would decide that it would substitute the war against nature for the war against mankind ag it is carrying on today. All our efforts should be bent towards fighting the first,

SHRIMATI LEELA DAMODARA MENON (Keraia): Sir, I am very thankful to the hon. External Affairs Minister for this opportunity to dis-SI cuss the working of his Ministry. As has been pointed out, two recent very significant developments stand out in bold relief, highlighting our policy of peace, friendship and cooperation and the fact that we have no permanent estrangement. These are in regard to the improvement of our relations with China and Pakistan. The proposed resumption of diplomatic ties with China and Pakistan and that of outbroken communication and other links with Pakistan, has strengthened the links of understanding and goodwill. These are welcome changes. Sir, the Prime Minister and the External Affairs Minister deserve a special tribute from the House for the vision and tact with which such rapport has been established. I am not exaggerat-

namely, the war against nature and injustice.

ing the importance of these two events and to read into them that all our problems with these countries are over. All the same, a start has been made and let us hope that the overtures now being made will be the beginning of a fresh understanding and an era of more, friendly relationship with these two countries.

This House has been persistently asking for such steps and we are deeply grateful that our hopes have borne some fruit at last. There is no doubt that India's stock abroad has gone up. There is a mor_e sincere effort to understand our policy. The Commonwealth Conference held here in Delhi, I feel, had been Very useful to show leaders of public opinion from outside as to what is happening in India. To quote Madame Jenny Lee, the British M.P., "Crocodile tears need not be shed for India". If anyone thinks our foreign policy is just a few ideologies, then he is very much mistaken. We have certainly held fast to our basic values. But while adhering to them, our policy has not remained a passive or a quiet one. It has been a very live policy, dynamic and quick to respond to changed situations. It is also closely linked with our defence and our economy. It projects our concern to prevent any erosion of our independence while stabilising our social, political and economic developments. Sir, as the Prime Minister stated, "We are neither blind to reality nor acquiesce to any challenge from whatever quarter it may come. Yet we are not senseless enough to give way to a feeling of littleness or irritation since nothing serious can come out of it."

The hon. Foreign Minister has often very correctly stressed also the fact that in all these developments the whole nation has backed the Government time and again, which shows the national strength and the clarity of our policy.

the present-day world, based on scientific capabilities, new platforms are being made of political and economic Pressurisation. I am not going into this matter further because it

has been raised in this House more than once.

[Shrimati Leeia Damodara Menon] One feels happy that our early concept of non-alignment has become more mature and practical. Whereas then there were hardly 27 countries, today there are more than IOO out of the 144 members of the UN. Tried on the anvils of experience and events, the non-aligned countries have now expanded the content and scope of cooperation among themselves. Sir, the coming non-aligned meet in Colombo will be very crucial, coming in the wake of the UNCTAD in Nairobi. I feel that there must be some clear-cut programme of combined economic defence which will have to be chalked out before planning the economic infra-structure. The Special UN session had chalked out certain basic norms of economic co-operation. The UN Charter of Economic Right_s and Duties of States has laid down that economic relations based on equity, sovereign equality and inter-depen-dence of developed and developing nations contribute to a balance expansion of world economy. But the developed nations with 80 per cent of world trade, 95 per cent of the private investments and 70 per cent of global resources have spread their nets far and wide, and may be reluctant to show an understanding spirit towards the third world. Even the Helsinki spirit is now becoming dimmer. As our Prime Minister pointed out at Algiers in 1973, "There is an unconscious desire in small affluent sections of humanity to continue as an oasis of prosperity in the midst of vast wastelands of want. Threats and subtle persuasions are being used to prevent the developing nations from consolidating their strategy for development. India has certainly given a lead in contributing to the Special Fund at Nairobi. Let u_s hope there will be a more realistic appraisal of the problems of the poorer nations. International co-operation should enable us to do away with unfavourable trade practices and monopolies. We hope the Colombo Conference will take this point as one of the very important topics for discussion. Sir, in

We feel that the agreements arrived at with Sri Lanka regarding maritime boundaries, settlement of the border disputes with Burma, agreements with Iran, Iraq, Indonesia, Nepal, Afghanistan and other countries mean a very impressive achievement, especially on account of the smooth and friendly way in which these agreements have been arrived at.

Many Members have already spoken on our support to the Angolan popular Government and our active sympathy with the coloured people of Rhodesian South Africa who are still suffering from inhuman policies of a rascist white minority regime. Sir, that is in keeping with the fine and just spirit of anticolonialism and anti-apartheid that we have been advocating for half a century. I agree with Shri Prakash Veer Shastri when he said that we should have more close contacts with African countries—that more than just political contacts, cultural and other contacts could be made with them.

The World Bank's tribute to India for having successfully contained inflation and increased food production, is not only a certificate to our economic restructuring after the declaration of the emergency, but it Ig also a fitting answer to those people who say that all is not well with India. We are a struggling nation with more than 200 million people below the poverty line. For the nation still not brought out of the evil effects of foreign domination, to have achieved this stupendous task of curtailing spiralling prices and containing inflation, increasing production, really shows the presence of national strength and solidarity. I am sorry to find that the Indians abroad do not realise this. Some of our politically frustrated leaders have gone abroad and are carrying on an anti-Indian Government propaganda,

It is a pity that Indians have gone abroad and used foreign platforms to carry on a tirade against India. Many of our Indians abroad have very little contact with the mainland for their individual reasons, and they do not often know what exactly is happening, here from time to time. I think, it would be good if our Embassies called the Indians in their areas and gave them the necessary details, so that on their own they could carry on the propaganda that would really be an answer to the anti-Indian propaganda carried on by some of the Indians themselves and others.

We are shocked to hear of the new anti-Indian wave in the U.K. It may be a reflection of the U.K.'s own economic problems and the new awakened \^ colour consciousness. But I feel that sufficient precautions should be taken to safeguard the lives of the Indians abroad where such prejudices make them vulnerable to physical attacks. We have been reading in the newspapers about the Indian students and others being attacked without their being aware of such happenings. Therefore, the lives of the people abroad should be protected and I think the Government of India should take necessary steps to discuss the matter with the U.K. Government .The Canadian decision to repudiate unilaterally an agreement which Had been arrived at after a long discussion and detailed consideration has been an unpleasant surprise. I do not want to speak more about it because it has already been discussed in detail; I know that it would be receiving the consideration of the Government. But I do feel that the only answer to this is to gear up our own scientists to reach nuclear capability on our own. Our achievements in this respect have been very significant. And I think Dr. Sethna and his colleagues should be on this big task of giving an answer to the Canadian withdrawal by getting our own nuclear capability and seeing to it that no project of our is halted because of this sudden decision of the Canadian Government.

I hope that our problems with Bangladesh would be discussed and that the Government of Bangladesh will also take up an attitude not of confrontation but of friendliness and thus, they would come to peaceful decisions. I am speaking particularly with reference to the Farakka problem. Then we would really have a feeling that our attempt to extend the hand of peaceful co-operation and of friendliness with our neighbour could be successful.

I take this opportunity to congratulate the External Affairs Minister and his colleague for the very good way in which they have conducted tlie affairs during these last few months

I agree with Dr. Dutt with some of the things that he said. I read a report about curtailing the funds which we are now allotting to our Embassies abroad. But I hope that no funds will be curtailed which would be going into propaganda purposes. Every time we go to any foreign embassy, the main problem that we find is that there is not sufficient material with regard to the latest developments in our own country, which could be handed out to the people who want to know about them. I think this House will readily allot funds for increasing the propaganda medium of our embassies abroad.

I also agree with Dr. Dutt that some of the officials could be given an orientation with regard to what is happening i_n India. Some of them are more concerned with the problems iⁿ those countries where they are posted than with what is happening here. They might be a few in number but they could create trouble for us. Therefore I say that some sort of reorganisation in this regard should be there. At the same time I pay a tribute to many of our officers abroad who have done a very good job.

Thank you.

श्री विश्वम्भर नाथ पांडे (नाम-निर्देशित) : माननीय वाइस चेयरमैन साइब, सबसे पहले

[श्री विश्वम्भर नाथ पांडे] तो मैं जो प्रगति की रिपोर्ट वैदेशिक नीति के सम्बंध में विदेश वंत्रालय की ग्रोर से प्रस्तुत की गई है, उसके संबंध में भारत सरकार को, विदेश मंत्रालय को, अपने प्रधान मंत्री को और ग्रपने विदेश मंत्री को साध्यवाद देना चाहता हं। प्रश्न यह है कि स्नाज जिस वैदेशिक नीति पर हम ग्रमल कर रहे हैं, क्या कांग्रेस के लिए यह कोई नई वैदेशिक नोति है ? मैं सिर्फ यह बतलाना चाहंगा कि सन् 1935, 1936 ग्रीर 1937 के बाद तमाम कांग्रेस के ग्रधि-वेशनों में जिस वैदेशिक नीति की उदघोषणा की गई थी. हम आज भी उसका पालन कर रहे हैं। हम कोई नई नीति नहीं बना रहे हैं। हमारी यह नीति तब निर्धारित हुई थी जब कि घाल इंडिया कांग्रेस कमेटी ने अपने यहां एक फारन डिपार्टमेंट खोला था और मेरे भाई डा० जेड० ए० ब्रहमद जो यहां बैठे हुए हैं, उनको इसका चार्ज दिया गया था। हम उसी नीति का पालन कर रहे क्या ग्राज कोई नयी दोस्ती सोवियत रूस के साथ हमारी हो रही है ? पहले जब रवीन्द्र नाथ ठाकूर सोवियत रूस गए थे तो उन्होंने वहां की मोवियत संस्कृति को देखा, नई मानवता के निर्माण को देखा और प्रसन्नता से भर कर अपने जो पत्न यहां भेजे उसमें सोवियत नीति का समर्थन किया, सोवियत रूस में नयी मानवता जिस तरह से बन रही है उसकी प्रशंसा की, तो सारे देश ने उसको स्वोकार किया । मुझे याद है. 1940-41 में ग्रांर उसके बाद 1942 के बाद जब हिटलर की सेनाओं ने सोवियत रूस पर हमला किया था और सोवियत की सेनाएं पीछे हटती गई तो गांघीजी बहुत चितित हुए, म्रागा को महल की डायरी में उल्लेख म्राता है कि सोवियत रूस हार नहीं सकता, सोवियत रूस अजेय है ; अगर सोवियत रूस हार जाएगा तो दुनिया में गरीबों का कौन बेली रह जाएगा। ये उनके वाक्य थे। शुरू में पं • मोती लाल नेहरू रूस गए, जबाहरलाल जी रूस गए और उसके बाद निरंतर हमारे

देश में सोवियत देश में जो नये मानववाद का गठन हो रहा था उस पर रोशनी पडती गई। लेकिन सोवियत रूस भी, मुझको जानकर ग्राश्चर्य हन्ना, 1962 मे जब मैंने वहां के ग्रारियंटल डिपार्टमेंट के डाइरेक्टर से सुना मास्को में , कि उन्होंने गांधी जी की ग्रात्मकथा प्रकाशित की है रूसी भाषा में । मैंने पूछा कि क्या ग्रापने 1962 में पहला संस्करण गांधी जी की खातम-कथा का प्रकाशित किया, तो उन्होंने बड़े अफ्रमोस से कहा कि कुछ हिन्द्स्तानी कम्युनिस्टों में जिनमें रजनी पाम दत्त और एम० एन० राय प्रमख थे, गांघी जी की जो तस्वीर हमें दी वह गलत थी ग्रीर जब हमने नए सिरे से इबैल्यएशन किया तो इस परिणाम पर पहुंचे कि गांधी जी के बराबर एक डाएनेमिक पर्सेनेलिटी, जनता को ऊंचा उठाने वाली पर्सेनेलिटी, भारत में कोई दूसरी नहीं है जिन्होंने कि भारत में किरोड़ों करोड़ जनता को फीयरलेसनेस का पहला पाठ पढाया और उनको तैयार किया इस हद तक कि दुनिया की सबसे बडी इम्पी-रियलिस्ट पावर का वे सामना कर सके, निहत्थे होकर । तो माननीय उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, इसलिए हमने यह देखा कि सोवियत देश में, जब भी ब्राडे मीके पर हमारी कोई समस्या ग्राई, चाहे वह काश्मीर की समस्या हो चाहे पाकिस्तान की समस्या हो चाहे वह गोवा की समस्या हो, चाहे वह बंगलादेश की समस्या हो, सोवियत देश ने अपने वीटो को भारत के हक में इस्तेमाल किया। अगर वे भारत के हक में वीटो इस्तेमाल नहीं करते तो मालम नहीं परिस्थित क्या होती, कैसी विषम परिस्थिति बन जाती।

माननीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, जिस समय पण्डित जवाहरलाल नेहरू से पूछा गया कि भारत की वैदेशिक नीति क्या हो तो उन्होंने ध्यान दिलाया कि, भारत की वैदेशिक नीति पर रोशनी डालते हुए, कि भगवान बृद्ध ने कहा था कि युद्ध से युद्ध का शमन नहीं होता, हिंसा से हिंसा का शमन नहीं होता, नफरत से नफरत का शमन नहीं होता विस्क प्रेम से ग्रांर शान्ति से शमन होता है।

जब उनसे पूछा गया कि स्नापने स्नपने राष्ट्रीय झण्डे में स्रशोक का धामिक चक क्यों स्थापित किया तो उन्होंने यही उत्तर दिया था कि यह धमंचक शांति का प्रतीक है, यह धमंचक युद्ध के विरोध का प्रतीक है, यह धमंचक ऐसी तीतियों का प्रतीक है जिन नीतियों पर चल कर विश्व में शांति कायम हो सकती है।

ग्राप देखें उसके बाद जब देश आजाद हम्रा, एक के बाद एक, हर एक पड़ौसी देशों से हमने दोस्ती का हाथ बढ़ाया । बांडंग सम्मेलन हमारा एक नया कदम था ; उसके बाद बराबर सम्मेलन होते गए। हमारी जो नीति थी। नान् एलाइन्मेंट की, पहले तो उसका मजाक उड़ाया गया ; जब नान् एलाइन्मेंट की बात हमारे लोगों ने यु० एन० ग्रो० में की तो जोजफ स्टालिन ने उसका मजाक उडाया । लेकिन बाद में सोवियत रूप के दूतरे लोगों ने, दूसरे नेताओं ने--खूश्चोव ने, ब्रेझनेव ने, श्रार दूसरे नेताश्रों ने---उसकी कद्र की । उन्होंने उसको एक ऐसा ग्रमुल्य राजनैतिक सिद्धान्त स्त्रीकार किया श्रार खुद घोषणा की कि बिना किसी देश की जीवन नीति में. जीवन दर्शन में, दखल दिए वहां की राजनीति में दखल हुए, हम उसके साथ मैती संबंध कायम रख सकते हैं और इसमे कोई बाधा. नहीं पड़ सकती है।

दूसरी तरफ चीन के साथ जो सब से बड़ी
मुक्तिल आई वह यह थी। कि चीन एणियाई
देशों को अपनी परिधि के अन्दर लाना चाहता
था। चीन यह समझता था कि जब तक
एक भी देश कम्मुनिस्ट परिधि से बाहर है
तब तक कम्यूनिज्म की लड़ाई जारी रहेगी
यह लड़ाई इक नहीं सकती है। आज हम ने
पहले दोस्ती का हाथ बढ़ाया है चीन के साथ।
कम के साथ भी हमने दोस्ती का हाथ बढ़ाया

था ग्रीर वह दिनों दिन पक्की होती गई। लेकिन चोन के साथ ⊸जो हभारे सम्बन्ध होने चाहिए थे उनमें ब्याघात स्नाया सौर यह अस्न उस समय ग्राया जब हमारे देश के ऊपर हमला हुआ। किन्तु जब बर्टेन्ड रसैल ने ग्रंपना पैगाम भेजा । उस समय जवाहरलाल नेहर जीवित थे। उनका सुझाव यह है कि बार्डर डिस्प्यूट को हल करने के लिए ईवन नम्बर आफ चैक पोस्ट कायम किये जायें तो ग्रासानी के साथ डेडलाक का मसला हल हो सकता है । पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने जवाब दिशा था कि आप इर्रेन नम्बर ग्राफ चैक पोस्ट की बात कर रहे हैं, मैं तो जीरो नम्बर ग्राफ चैंक पोस्ट पर भी राजी है। लेकिन प्रश्न यह है कि इस बारे में कोलम्बो पार्वस से सलाह मशवरा कर लिया जाए स्रोर स्रगर वे लोग राजी है तो मुझे इस बारे में कोई एतराज नहीं है। लेकिन जब तक रसल के एमिसरोज सीलोन पहुंचे, जब तक उनके एमिसेरीजयू० ए० ग्रार० पहुंचे, उसीं बीच जवाहर लाल नेहरू की मृत्यू हो गई ग्रीर वह समस्या अपनी जगह पर ज्यों की त्यों कायम रही।

उप-सभाध्यक्ष महोदय, क्या लोग वह दिन भल गये जब कि पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू चाहते थे कि सारी समस्याम्रों का हल शान्ति के साथ किया जाए। लेकिन हमारे देश के राजनीतिक दलों ने, दलों ने तरह तरह की बाधाएं खड़ी की । वे लोग नहीं चांहते थे कि किसी तरह से कोई शांति काथम हो । उन्होंने शान्ति के सस्ते में ६कावट डालो स्रोर सुलाह के मार्ग में बाधाएं डालीं। उन्होंने देश के भ्रन्दर इस तरह का वातावरण पैदा किया जिसकी बजह से देंग जो शान्ति का कदम ग्राज उठा रहे हैं वे ग्राज से वर्षो पहले ही उठ गया होता । उन देशों के साज हमारे सम्बन्ध सामान्य हो गए होते आज हर्ने जिस परेशानी का सामना करना पड़ रहा है वहन करना पड़ता है ।

उप-सभाष्यक महोदय, प्रश्न यह है कि हमारी विदेशी नीति की धुरी क्या है ? हमारी

[श्री विश्व+भरनाय पांडे]

धरी यह है, जैसे कि महाभारत के समय--भीब्म पितामह जब शर शैब्या पर पड़े थे भ्रौर जब सूर्य उत्तरायण में प्रवेश करने वाला था उस समय श्री कृष्ण ने युद्धिष्ठर से कहा कि भीष्म प्राण त्यागकर रहे हैं, उन्हें चलकर प्रणाम कर लो ग्रीर उनका परामर्श ले लो। भीष्म-पितामह ने यद्धिष्ठर को जी उपदेश विदेश नीति के बारे में दिया वह शान्ति पर्व में दर्ज हैं। उन्होंने कहा कि विदश नीति की घरी यह होनी चाहिए कि सब से पहले अपना देश शक्ति सम्पन्नं हो । यह पहली गर्त है। दूसरी गर्त यह है कि पड़ीसी देशों के साथ मिलता के सम्बन्ध हों। तीसरी बात यह है कि अपने ऐसे राजदूत बाहर के देशों से मेजो, जो भारत की सभ्यता, संस्कृति, भारत का प्रेम, भारत का ब्यापार ग्रीर भारत के साथ दूसरे देशों के लोगों के साथ मित्रता को कायम रख सके। वहीं नीति हमारी बराबर चलती आ रही है। वहीं नीति चन्द्र गुप्त ने अपनाई ग्रीर वही नीति श्रशोक ने अपनाई जिसने दुनिया के काने-काने में भारत की मित्रता के सम्बन्ध काथम किये । उस समय भारत के लोग किसी देश की जीतने के लिए नहीं गये, किसी से लड़ने के लिए नहीं गये। उस समय चीन के साथ दो पड़ीसी देशों की तरह हमारे मैवी सम्बन्ध थे। दो हजार बर्जों से इस तरह के सम्बन्ध बने हए थे। इमारी सेना ने कभी चीन पर आक्रमण नहीं किया ग्रीर न ही हमारे लोग चीन की सीमा के भीतर शस्त्र लेकर गये । हमारे देशवासी तो इस देश की कला, संस्∌ृति श्रीर सम्यका को लेकर वहां गये । इसी वजह से भारत श्रजात शवाबना। भारत ने किसी के मन **में** यह भावना नहीं पनपने द**िकि वह किसी**। का अब है बल्कि भारत तो सभी का सिंब है । भीर आज वही नीति हम बराबर दौहरा रहे हैं कि भारत सबके साथ मैत्री सम्बन्ध रखना चाहता है। लेकिन क्या परिस्थति थी 1965 में ? लोग यह इल्जाम लगा रहे थे कि भारत का कोई मित्र नहीं है, भारत आज अकेला

है, भारत का कोई साथ देने वाला नहीं है। दस वर्ष के बाद जब कि भारत भ्रपनी विदेश नीति पर दढ़ता से डटा रहा आज कैफियत यह है हम दिल्ली में देखते हैं कि रोज नये नत झण्डे बदलते हैं, किसी न किसी प्रधानमंत्री का हम स्वागत करते हैं। भ्राज यह मालम पडता है कि भारत के आंगन में विभिन्न मित्र देशों के प्रधानमंत्रियों का मेला लगा हन्ना है। मान्यवर, ऋध्यक्ष महोदय हमारी विदेश नीति अवसरवादिता की नीति नहीं है । हमारे कुछ सिद्धान्त है। जिस समय इजरायल का मामला श्राया, फिलिस्तीन का मामला श्राया दुनिया भर के यहदियां को इस्त्राइल बसाने का मामला ग्राया, गांघी जी पहले व्यक्ति थे जिन्होने इसका घोर विरीध किया । उन्होंने कहा कि दुनिया भर के लोगों को वहां बसाया जाए ग्रीर बहां के निवासियों को बहार निकाला जाए यह सिद्धान्त गलत है, यह ठीक नहीं है । गांघीजी ने यह बात उस जमाने में कही थी। उसी नीति का बराबर भारत पालन करता रहा है और उस पर डटा हआ है । भारत हर एक के साथ मैत्री चाहता है।

इस सदन में कहा गया कि भ्ररव देशों के साथ हमारी मैत्री बढ़नी चाहिए । भारत की मैत्री श्ररब देशों के साथ कितनी पुरानी है इसका लेखा-जोखा नहीं किया जा सकता। हमारे यहां से कितने ही लोग वहां पहुंचे, साधु, संत ग्रीर विद्वान वहां गये । मुझको हैरत हुई जब मैंने बहुत बड़ी संख्या में विदेशों के म्यजियम में भारत के वे ग्रंथ रखे हए देखे जिनका अनुवाद अरबी में किया गया था। ग्ररब से ही भारतीय ग्रंथों का ग्रनवाद युरोपीय भाषात्रो में हुन्ना । उन्होंने भारतीय सभ्यता को दूसरे देशों में फैलाया । उदाहरण के लिए अरेबिक न्युमिरल्स स्वयं अरब कहते हैं वे ग्ररेबिक न्युमिरल्स नहीं है। धरव उनको 'हिन्दसा' कहते हैं। यानी वे उसको हिन्दस्तान की चीज मानते हैं।

हमारी विदेश नीति की धुरी क्या हैं। हमारे विदेश नीति की धुरी यह है कि हम विश्व शान्ति चांहते हैं। दूसरा मुद्दा यह है कि हम निशस्त्रीकरण चाहते हैं। तीसरा महायह है कि हम ग्रपने पडीसी देशों के साथ प्रेम का सम्बन्ध चाहते हैं, चाहे उसमें हमें थोड़ा बहत त्याग करना पड़े। चौथी चीज यह है कि हम बाहर के देशों के साथ, दूर फैले हुए देशों के साथ मैत्री सम्बन्ध कायम करना चाहते हैं ग्रौर उसके साथ हर तरह का ग्रादान-प्रदान करना चाहते हैं जिससे एक दूसरे को सहायता मिले । लेकिन भारत जहां दूसरे से ग्रादान-प्रदान की बात कहता है दूसरे देशों से कुछ लेन-देन की बात करता है वहां पहली गर्त यह है कि हम बिना किसी स्टिंग के सहायता लेंगे, हम सहायता नहीं लेंगे जो हमको बांघे, हमको मजबर करें, हम किसी खास काम करने को बाधित हों । भारत की विदेश नीति का पांचवा महा यह है कि वह किसी भी कैम्प में किसी गट में शामिल नहीं होना चाहता। छठी चीज यह है कि जो डेवलिंग नेशन हैं उनको भारत सहायता देना चाहता है भ्रोर जो भी टेक्नीकल नो-हाऊ उसके पास है वह उनके साथ शेथर करना चाहता है । अपनी दिक्कतें होते हुए भी वह इस तरह के देशों को हर तरह की सहायता देने में तत्पर रहता है। मान्यवर ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह भारतीय वैदेशिक नीति है जिसका पालन हमारी प्रधानमंत्री, विदेश मंत्री ग्रीर विदेश मंत्रालय कर रहा है।

एक बात की श्रोर मैं विदेश मंत्री का ध्यान दिलाना चाहूंगा। 1962 में मुझको याद है जब मैं सोवियत रूम में था तब पहली चीज जो वहां प्रकाणित हुई इंडिया चाइना कनफिल्कट के बाद वह चीन के पक्ष की रिपोर्ट थी जो उन्होंने मास्को में वितरित की थी। हमारे राजदूत के पास वह रिपोर्ट नहीं श्रायी। मुझे मास्को यूनिविस्टी के एक भारतीय विद्यार्थी ने वह रिपोर्ट दी तो मैंने उस को ले जा कर कील महोदय को दिथा। वह बहुत खुश हुए, श्रीर कहने लगे कि हम

को इस की प्रति अभी तक नहीं मिली। लोग जानना चाहते थे कि भारत का पक्ष क्या है वह इम को मालुम होना चाहिए, लेकिन भारत के पक्ष की कोई चीज उन के हाथ में उस वक्त तक नहीं भ्रायी थी। चार हफ्ते बाद भारत के पक्ष में एक सुस्तक निकली ग्रीर वह उन के पास भायी। तो भ्रगर ऐसी ढील होगी हमारे प्रचार में, हमारे पक्ष के समर्थन में. तो हमारा केस डिफाल्ट में चला जाएगा। लोग क्या जानेंगे कि भारत का पक्ष क्या है ? बहुत से ऐसे मामले होते हैं कि जिन पर ग्रयर वक्त से रोशनी नहीं डाली जाती तो लोग एक राय बना लेते हैं हमारे पास पत्र आते हैं वैस्ट जर्मनी से, ग्रमरीका से ग्राँर दूसरे देशों से ग्रीर उनमें भारत के सम्बन्ध में जिज्ञासायें होती हैं कि यहां तो टेलीबिजन के जरिए, रेडियो के जरिए भारत विरोधी प्रचार किया जा रहा है। इसमें सच्चाई क्या है। तो इस का ग्रर्थ यह है कि सच्चाई का पता उन को नहीं होता । मास्को युनिवर्सिटी के विद्यार्थियो की सभामें, लेनिनग्रांड की सभामें, ताशकन्द में विद्यार्थियों की सभा में, मैंने जब भारत का पक्ष बंताया तो टी० एन० कील साहब ने कहा था कि इस तरह की बात तुम बोल सकते हो। नान आफिशियल इस तरह का प्रचार कर सकते हैं। हमारे दूताबास के लोगो के ऊपर कुछ पाबंदियां हैं। हम इस तरह से लोगो को भ्रत्रोच नहीं कर सकते। लिहाजा विदेश मंत्री से मेरी प्रार्थना है कि ऐसे लोग ज्यादा से ज्यादा नान म्राफिणियल तरीके से भेजे जाएं कि जो भारत की बात. सही बात, विदेशों में लोगों को फह सकें, समझासकें ग्रीर भारत के पक्ष का जोरदार समर्थन कर सकें भ्रीर भारत के पक्ष में उन देशों में एक वाताबरण बना सकें। ऐसा न हो कि भारत का पक्ष जानकारी के अभाव में अछूता रह जाए और लोगों की गलतफहमियां बढ़ती जाएं।

भ्राज स्थिति यह है कि मालूम नहीं कुछ लोग कुछ देश भारत के क्यों बेहंद खिलाफ हैं। वे हमसे नाराज चले हा रहे हैं, हार उनकी नाराजगी इस वजह से है कि हम उनके खेमे में दाखिल नहीं हुए। हम उनके अलंबरदार नहीं बने । लेकिन यह कोई वजह नहीं है कि हम इस वजह से अपनी नीतियां बदलें और उनको बदल कर जैसे वह चाहते हैं वैसे हम अमल करें। हमारी प्रधान मंत्री ने साफ-साफ कह दिया है स्वर्गीय लाल बहादुर शास्त्री ने जिस समय हमारे पास गेहूं का बेहद श्रभाव था और हमारे ऊपर यह प्रतिबंध लगाया गया कि भारत को केवल एक महीने की सप्लाई अमरीका से मिलेगी तो लाल बहादर शास्त्री ने गेहं लेने से इन्कार कर दिया। उन्होंने कहा कि हम आधा पेट खावेंगे, भुखे रहेंगे लेकिन श्रमरीका का गेहूं किसी शर्त के साथ कब्ल नहीं करेंगे तो कुछ सिद्धान्त हमने अपनी वैदेशिक नीति में बनावे हैं और उन पर हमारा देश. हमारी सरकार और हमारे प्रधान मंत्री और हमारे विदेश मंत्री अमल कर रहे हैं। यह कितनी खगी की बात है कि हम ने सभी पड़ोसी देशों के साथ अपने मैत्री संबंध कायम कर लिये हैं। बेशक उस के लिये हम को कहीं लोगों के एतराज का सामना करना पड़ा, एतराज का मुकाबला करना पड़ा, हम कहीं झुके, लेकिन

उस झुकने में भी हम को ऊंचा उठाया है। लिहाजा हमको देखना है कि हम उसी वैदेशिक नीति पर दृढ़ता के साथ कायम रहें ग्रीर उससे हम विचलित नहीं।

एक बात और मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि बहुत से देश जिन्होंने अपनी वैदेशिक नीति बदली, आप देखें कि आज उन की कैंफियत क्या है। उन की कैंफियत है, जैसा कि एक उर्देशायर ने कहा है:

बस एक कदम उठा था गलत राहे गाँक में, मंजिल तमाम उम्र उन्हें ढूंढती रही ।

हमारे देश में भी एक लावी है कि जो लोगों को गुमराह करने की कोशिश करती रही है। वह चाहते थे कि हम गलत कदम उठायें, लेकिन मैं अपनी प्रधान मंत्री और अपने विदेश मंत्री को धन्यवाद देता हूं, वधाई देता हूं कि उन्होंने कोई गलत कदम नहीं उठाया और कह दिया कि हम उसी वैदेशिक नीति पर कायम रहेंगे जोकि हमेशा से, सनातन से हमारे देश में मंचली आ रही है और उसी पर वह अमल कर रहे हैं।

स्राप ने मुझे इतना समय दिया इस के लिये स्राप को बहुत-बहुत धन्यवाद।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI RANBIR SINGH): The House stand adjourned till 11a.m. tommorrow.

The House then adjourned at five of the clock till eleven of the clock on Tuesday, the 25th May, 1976.

GMGIPMRND-RS I ... 368 RS ... 6-7-76 ... 595