## New Delhi Super Bazar

\*657. SHRI LOKANATH MISRA:

41

SHRI K. P. SINGH DEO:

SHRI K. C. PANDA:

SHRI DEBANANDA AMAT:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the New Delhi Super Bazar restricted the sale of sugar and pulses to only those who by grocery or toilet goods worth Rs. 50 and Rs. 100 respectively;
- (b) whether this system violates certain provisions of the Monopolies and Restricted Trade Practices Act
- (c) whether the Monopolies and the **Trade** Practices Commission issued a show-cause notice on the management of the Super Bazar; and
- (d) if so, the action taken by Government in the matter?
- THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI ANNASAHEB SHINDE): (a) to (d) A statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.
- (a) On the 29th August, 1973, the Super Bazar, Delhi, issued a circular to the effect that no customer should be allowed more than two Kg, of pulses and two Kg, of sugar at a time, except that regular customers, bnying other grocery and toilet articles worth not less than Rs. 50 and Rs. 100, could be allowed 5 Kg, and 10 Kg, respectively, of pulses and sugar each.
- (b) & (c) The Manapolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Commission issued a letter to the General Manager of the Super Bazar on the 5th September, 1973, drawing his attention to various provisions of the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1969, and suggesting review of the relevant instructions, keeping in view those provisions of the Act. The Super Bazar withdrew the circular in question, and also explained to the Commission the reasons for the issue of the circular, namely, ensuring equitable distribution to genuine consumers and prevention of hoarding, when the stocks were limited and the prices of the articles in the Super Bazar were much lower than the prevailing market rates. Thereupon, the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Commission decided not to pursue the matter further.
- (d) In view of the position stated above, no action is required by the Government.

## Shipping targets for Fifth Plan Period

SHRI K. CHOUDHURY: SHRI K. B. CHETTRI: SHRI SARDAR AM J AD ALI: SHRI HARSH DEO MALAVIYA:

Will the Ministe of SHIPPING AND IRAWPOKT be pleased io state:

- ia. whetiier am -hippinir lyigets ha\e been fi\*ed (or ihe HHh Plan; fb) if so, the eypected outlay; and
- ii i tht l)ii-:(k-u|) ni IHIIIJV Ban \arious type "I freightemS

THE MINISTER OI" SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT (SHRI KAMLAPATI TRIPATHI.: ;.i. to (c) I In- draft Sfll Five Vc.it Pian presented to the National Development Council provides Im .1 shipping target of 8.6 million grt. nith another I million grl ais advance' action for the 6th Plan. The plan also provides Cor a sum of Rs. 10 crores for granl of subsidy to the Shipping Development fund Cotumittet for meeting ibe crah involved in charging concessional rate ol intern) on loans to shipping companie-.

No detailed break-up of outlay for various types "t ship- bas been worked out as yet.

Pulpwnm] Projects in North India

i.v. slIRl C. R. PAI'II:

SHRJ M. S. ABDUL K.HADER':

Will the Minister of AfiRIC.L'LTURE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any talk- wtere held recently between Government and the Food an^ Agricultural Organisation for financing three pulpwood projects in Nut th India by the Wot 1(1 Hank:
- du il so, the details of the aistussioas held; anil
- (c) the particulars of the forest areas where piciiivestmeiu survey are proposed to be undertaken?

Illf. MINISTER OI STATE IN THE MINISTRV OI AGRICULTURE (PROF. SHER SINGH): (a) and (b) No talks were held with IAO about financing 3 Pulp wood Project- in North India by the World Bank However, preliminaiv discussions were held with a high level World Bank Team which visited India in June, [gti, about viable Forestry fiujects for World Bank financing. As the Team showed inteiest in sach projects. State Govts, arid Union Territories Administrations were advised to prepare projects for Uoild Bank financing. 12 States/ Union Tei ritories indicated their interest in