याइलैंड में लाखों-लाखों भारतीय रहते हैं और उन के माध्यम से यदि भारत स**र**कार अपनी नीति श्रौर भारतीय संस्कृति का प्रचार करना चाहे, उसका ग्रसर डालना चाहे, तो यह चीज ग्रासानी से ग्रीर जोरदार ढंग से हो सकती है। लेकिन श्रीमन्, हम देखते हैं कि भारत सरकार ने यह नीति प्रश्तियार कर ली हैं कि वह ब्यूरोकैटों को ही अपना दूत बनाकर भेजेगी। जो ग्रपने देश में यहां की जनता से नहीं मिलते हैं, वे विदेशों में जाकर वहां के लोगों से क्या मिलेंगे ?

श्री सभापति: ग्राप प्रक्न पछिये।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: प्रक्न ही तो पूछ रहा हूं। क्या भारत सरकार इस तरह की बात सोचेगी कि देश के समाज-सेवियों को इन देशों में जहां पर की भारतीयों की संख्या बहुत है, वहांपर ग्रपना दूत बना कर भेजेगी भीर वहां के भारतीयों का इस्तेमाल ग्रपनी नीति को प्रभावकारी बनाने के लिए करेगी?

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह: सभापति महोदय, जहां तक दूतों के भेजने का सवाल है, यह तो सरकार भ्रपने ग्राप निर्णय करती है कि कौन साब्यक्ति कहां के लिए सबसे श्रच्छा साबित होगा ।

श्री नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: श्रीमन, यही मेरा मुलभूत प्रश्न है कि सरकार अपनी नीति में तब्दीली करेगी, या नहीं करेगी?

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह: क्या तब्दीली श्राप चाहते हैं?

थी नागेश्वर प्रसाद शाही: ब्यूरो-केट्स को न भेज कर ग्रपने देश के समाजसेवियों को भेजिए ।

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह: यह हमारा अपना जजमेंट है कि कौन आरादमी कहां के लायक है। जो हमारे एम्बेसेडर वहां जाते हैं वे आफीसर भी होते हैं, पबलिक लाइफ के आदमी भी होते हैं। कौन सा व्यक्ति कहां के लिए मोर सुटेबिल होगा वह हम तय करते हैं स्रीर उसके ग्राधार पर ग्रागे चलते हैं। क्या तब्दीली ग्राप चाहते हैं, यह मेरी समझ में नहीं ग्राता ।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Next question.

## **New Steel Units**

•622. DR. Z. A. AHMAD:

f SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: SHRI KALI

MUKHERJEE: SHRI KOTA

PUNNAIAH: SHRI KRISHAN KANT:

SHRI CHANDRA SHEKHAR:

Will the Minister of STEEL AND MINES be pleased to state:

- (a) the time by when the four new steel plants will start production; and
- (b) what will be the production cost of steel in each of the steel units, separately?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI SUKH DEO PRASAD): (a) and (b) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

## Statement

(a) Apart from Bokaro Steel Plant, which is continuing scheme, three new Steel Plants are proposed to be set up at Salem, Vijayanagar and Visakhapatnam.

The first phase of the Salem Steel Plant which envisages installation of a Cold Rolling Mill complex for cold reduction of

fThe question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Shri A. G. Kulkarni.

30,000 to 35,000 tonnes of hot rolled stainless steel sheets, is likely to be commissioned by the end of the Fifth Plan. The other units of the Salem Steel Plant and the two New Steel Plants at Visakhapatnam and Vijayanagar are expected to be commissioned in a phased manner in the Sixth

Oral Answers

(b) The cost of steel production in each of the Steel Plants at Salem, Vijayanagar and Visakhapatnam would be available only after the Detailed Project Reports are prepared.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: The Minister says that Bokaro is a continuing scheme. I want to know specifically what was the targeted capacity and to what extent it is achieving the capacity at present. About the other three steel plants they have mentioned that initial action has been taken and they have been targeted for completion in the Sixth Plan. May I know whether there is any foreign collaboration envisaged. What is the capital cost of investment in all these three projects which are to be commissioned in the Fifth and Sixth Plans?

SHRI T. A. PAI: So far as Bokaro is concerned, during the current year the plant is expected to produce 35,000 tonnes of steel ingots and finished steel is yet to be produced. During the current year it has been producing 73,200 tonnes oT pig iron per month. So far as the cost of the three plants is concerned, only estimates have been made. For the Salem plant the cost is estimated to be around Rs. 340 crores and for Vijayanagar it would be around Rs. 900 crores each. The detailed project reports are still awaited. I can say that among the expansion programmes so far as Bhilai is concerned, it is going to be cheaper because of the infra-structure already available there. It will be about Rs. 2,000 as against Rs. 3,500 per tonne of capacity in respect of the new steel plants. I may also inform the House that the ECAFE session, which is going on in Delhi, estimated that at present the cost of a new plant will not be less than \$. 350 per tonne. In this country it costs a little more because of the time taken for the completion of a project.

2-9 RSS/ND/73

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: What abou foreign collaboration?

to Questions

SHRI T. A. PAI: We have not though of any foreign collaboration for the present

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: May know, Sir, when the Minister says that he cost roughly comes to Rs. 3,500 fo these three plants, whether he is awan that the ultimate cost to the consume is oppressive and prohibitive in this coun try compared to international prices? Hov does the Minister expect that the engi neering industry can competitively an( aggressively export its products against th competition of foreign countries whei our investment costs are prohibitive? So may I ask a specific question? It wa reported by HSL as regards Bokaro tha the other services like housing, bungalow for officers, guest houses required by offi cers, were included in the project cost Would you please avoid that pitfall am treat it exactly as a commercial busines as regards the capital cost invested, si that we can have a competitive edge ove the other countries? May I also kno^ whether you have received any suggestio: from the Government of Maharashtra V have a new steel plant at Chandrapur am whether you have cleared it?

SHRI T. A. PAI: Sir, so far as th capital costs are concerned, I entirely agre with the Member. Apart from the end product being costly, if the capital cosl are high, even the resources that ar available for investment will be severe! restricted. One of the reasons, as I pointe out, is the enormous delay that tak( place in this country to put up a ste< plant. As against 24 monLhs in Japar we take at least seven to eight years. An this is one way by which possibly the cosi could reduced.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: Indecision 1: you.

SHRI T. A. PAI: I agree; indecisio or lack of decision, all go into this, am not justifying it. But I am afrai that all these factors have to be looke into to see how the capital costs could 1 brought

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: No questic of looking into it, do it.

SHRI T. A. PAI: I shall do it after loking into it.

Therefore, while it might have been istified in the past, these delays and lese expenses, all these, have had a very ad effect on the total economy, and it necessary that the capital costs of these rojects should be kept as low as possi-le. So far as the past is concerned, I in just give this information-

> Cost per ton of capacity

			Rs.
Bhilai	 	21	36
Durgapur			2185
Rourkela			3287
TISCO			2207
IISCO	 	17	763

o far as the infra-structure costs are Dncerned, we shall certainly sec that they re kept to the minimum necessary and lore emphasis will be laid facalities of the product on the pro-uction itself.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI : What about handrapur? Chandiapur is a new steel lant. What do you want to say about .?

SHRI T. A. PAI: There is a question n Chandrapur which I am to reply subs-quently. But this has also been suggested s one of the possible sites for a new steel lant, and there have been many sugges-ons that each State must have a steel lant. I wish the dream of all of us to ave a steel plant in each State comes ue. But in the meanwhile what is im-ortant is, if we are interested in the lanufacture of steel and at the cheapest jst as indicated by the Member, the site lust be considered purly on economic insiderations and not on other conside-itions, and if he agrees with that . . .

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: I agree with )u.

SHRI T. A. PAI: . . . the emphasis tould not be on a full fledged steel lant but even to begin with, something se also, and in the particular case we »ve cleared the licence to go ahead with ig iron billet production.

SHRI KOTA PUNNAIAH: In the statement it is stated that besides the Bokaro Steel Plant, three new steel plants are proposed to be set up, at Salem, Vijayanagar and Visakhapatnam. Are these steel under the proposal stage or has a final decision been taken? The Prime Minister announced some time back that all these three steel plants will be taken up simultaneously. But now the hon. Minister says that the Salem Plant will be commissioned in the Fifth Plan and the other two at Vijayanagar and Visakhapatnam will be commissioned in a phased manner in the Sixth Plan. Why this discrimination, even though the Prime Minister of this country has laid the foundation-stone for the steel plant at Visakhapatnam two years ago? Will the hon. Minister say in categorical terms that all these three steel plants will be taken up simultaneously and the connected works will be started immediately?

SHRI T. A. PAI: It all depends upon seeing that the work is being taken up. The work has been taken up at the various stages. Land has been acquired. General Managers have been appointed and so many steps have been taken. In the case of the Salem Plant, the company has been registered and we shall go ahead also with the registration of Companies in respect of the other two. But so far as the investment decision is concerned in the light of what my hon, friend has suggested, I also feel that we will have to go into the entire aspect whether we should go into production only after Rs. 900 crores have been invested for each project or whether there is any other intermediate stage at which we can try to have the project and earn our bread. Therefore. what steps will be taken during the Fifth Plan are being carefully looked into, and we do not just want to make a commitment that the project will be completed in the Fifth Plan. I am very earnest that whatever we take up must be completed in time, and should not be held up for want of resources.

SHRI KRISHAN KANT: May I know from the hon'ble Minister whether there is a change in policy after 1970 about steel plants? The Prime Minister announced in the Lok Sabha on the 17th April 1970 that the plants will be ready in

7-8 years. May I know whether it is a fact or not that Mr. Mohan Kumara-mangalam said that the Salem plant's first stainless steel will be ready within 4J years? Is it also not a fact that out of a budget of Rs. 4.75 crores of Salem for this year only Rs. 2 crores is being spent on that and the rest will be diverted for the expansion of TISCO and, may be, Bhilai? Why this whole change in policy? That is why instead of spending about Rs. 40 crores in 0-7 years only Rs. 2 crores is being spent this year over the Salem plant. In reply to Mr. Kota Punnaiah the hon'ble Minister said that only 10 per cent of the land for Vijayanagar has been acquired and that 90 per cent will be acquired later on. Both the General Managers are sitting here. May I know whether with this policy he is not laving the foundation of shortage of steel for the next full decade? If it is so, what are the reasons for doing so? Is it also not a fact that after the establishment of the Steel Authority of India the Steel Ministry is completely non-cooperating and a war of nerves is going on between the two and that is why the steel project programme is not going ahead? May I know whether he knows these facts or not? If he knows, what steps he is taking to solve the problem?

Oral Answers

SHRI T. A. PAI: It is true that the Prime Minister has indicated that these projects will be completed in seven or eight years and has expressed her anxiety also that they should be taken up and immediately completed. But it all depends upon the resources that are made available to us by the Planning Commission for this particular item. I shall see that we get all that we require . . .

SHRI KRISHAN KANT: You have had four plans and . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have said that twice. Now let him complete.

SHRI T. A. PAI: So far as the Salem plant is concerned, the first stage of the plant can be easily accomplished because from the first stage itself it is possible to make stainless steel and make it profitably. But both the Vizag and Vijayanagar are complex projects which require heavy investment before they start yielding resulted. Therefore, we will have to redraw

the whole manufacturing programme I see how they can be made viable withi the shortest possible time. I share tl anxiety of the hon'ble Member to pri duce steel as quickly as possible. But also assure him that if we invest in tl war) that we did we may not go far. Tf easiest way now, therefore, is to expari Bhilai and go ahead with the expansion i Eokaro, and the TISCO programme is al: going through. We are giving simult; neous attention to all these project There is no escape for this country exce; to see that the speediest action is take for producing steel.

to Questions

As far as the differences between tl Steel Authority of India and the Ste Ministry are concerned, I am not awa: of it at all, I do not know. I have bee getting ihe best of co-operation and have been going into all the steps th: have been taken.

So far as the acquisition of land is co ceraed, acquisition of land has to be doi by the State Government. We are al going into the question whether we ha got to acquire as much land as we did the past because to acquire 5,000 or 6,0 or 7,000 acres of land without any ii mediate use would add to the cost. V may have to look into it.

SHRI KRISHAN KANT: I asked wh ther the Budget of Rs. 4.75 crores h been slashed to Rs. 2.75 crores . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have put yo question. You cannot take too mu time of the House.

SHRI KRISHAN KANT: Sir, I h asked that out of Rs. 4.75 crores allocat for the Salem steel plant only Rs. 2 cro is being given to Salem while the rest being diverted to Bhilai and Bokaro. this policy not responsible for shortage steel during the next decade?

SHRI T. A. PAI: I am not sure wl ther am amount has been diverted fr< Salem to Bokaro or Bhilai. I can 01 assure him that Salem will not be p mitted to suffer for want of money. 1 shall find resources for it.

SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL: Th three projects, that is, Salem, Vizag a Vijayanagar were announced by the Pri

Minister in the year 1970 on the eve of he midterm election and the founda-ion stone for these three projects was aid by the Prime Minister in 1971 on he eve of the General Election.

Now the Minister, after a lapse of two ir two-and-ahalf years, has come out with i statement that the detailed project report s yet to be completed. That means that hough they did not have the detailed pro-:ct report, they requested the Prime Minis-er to lay the foundation-stone because the lections were nearing. What I want to mow is when the detailed project report s going to be completed and when the vork is going to be taken up. It is all ight saying that land acquisition cases nd other cases are going on. We know- have got some experience of the ad-ninistration-that these will go on. If he Government wants that land acqui-ition should be expedited, there is no diffi-ulty in expediting land acquisition cases Iso. As Mr. Kota Punnaiah has said, a oubt is lurking in our mind that this is still t the proposal stage because in the state-lent the Minister himself has admitted liat all the three steel plants are at the-roposal stage. What we want to know i whether they are still at the proposal [age or the Government of India has iken a final decision. Then, what is the mount that has been spent already, and 'hat is the amount that is going to be sent during the current year? There is n apprehension in this House that what-ver amount is earmaked or is going to be irmarked, will be diverted for expansion E the existing units and all the three nits are going to be given up.

SHRI T. A. PAI: Sir, I also belong to le South and I can be as parochial in iggesting that these should be started im-lediately. I am no less anxious that these eel plants which we propose...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: On a point order, Sir. When we are preaching r national integration, is it proper for e Minister to say that he is as parochial the Member?

SHRI T. A. PAI: I said I can be, >t that I am.

SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL: I am not ing parochial.

SHRI T. A. PAI: I was only telling the hon. Member that my anxiety to have the plants in the South is as keen as anybody else's. The point is, the Prime Minister has laid the foundation-stone. That is perhaps the assurance that the plants will come into existence. By what time we will complete this project, I am unable to say at present. The Planning Commission is considering a provision of Rs. 225 crores for each of these plants in the Fifth Five-Year Plan. But we are anxious that this money should be spent carefully and we must try to see that the production programme goes through as quickly as possible. Whether it is 2 million tonnes or 3 million tonnes as envisaged, what is most important is to go ahead with steel production earlier than what we have possibly done.

SHRI KALYAN ROY: Talking about expansion, Mr. Mohan Kumaramangalam said in this House that the installed capacity of Durgapur was not perhaps 1.6 million tonnes and there was need for a probe, and that the expansion of Durgapur was under consideration. May I know from the hon. Minister what steps have been taken to investigate into the installed capacity of Durgapur and for the expansion of the steel plant?

SHRI T. A. PAI: Sir, we have gone up to 1.1 million tonnes during these three months. I do not know about the dispute whether it is 1.6 or 1.1 million tonnes and for what reasons we have not been able to achieve 1.6 million tonnes. So far as Durgapur is concerned, I have already assured the House that we shall certainly look into the expansion programme along with the other projects.

SHRI JOACHIM ALVA: I want to ask the Minister whether he has compared the production of steel in our country with that of other countries in the last 30 years, so that we could [increase our production. In the "German Tribune", the figures of steel production are given. In the USSR, it is 126 million tonnes. It has beaten the USA. In the USA, it is 121 million tonnes. In Japan, it is 97 million tonnes, in China, 23 million tonnes and in the enlarged Europen community, 139 million tonnes. India does not stand anywhere in this statement. It stands at about 11 million tonnes. Has

ie got a programme, ministerial, manage-ial and production, so that by the end )£ the century, we will reach a target of 50 million tonnes? Unless we do that, ve will be far, far behind the other cour; ries who will go far ahead in these 25 ^ears.

SHRI T. A. PAI: Sir, I agree that even after going ahead with our steel programme, as against an installed capacity of about 8.9 million tonnes, we are producing only 6.5 million tonnes. Last year's production was 6.5 million tonnes, of which at least 20 per cent was accounted for by the so-called ministeel plants. In Italy, 40 per cent of the steel production is ensured by the smaller plants. And I am aware of the great strides that the other countries have made. Personally I would like to see that this country produces 100 million tonnes by 1980 or by the end of this century and not 69 million tonnes as the hon. Member says because the needs of the country will increase and the entire development of the country depends upon this. Therefore, we must have not only an ambitious programme but perhaps the strongest will also to implement it.

SHRI A. P. JAIN: Sir, I have great admiration for the Minister because he walks on earth but does not float in the air like some of his colleagues. Some of the things which he stated in the House are realistic. Now, he has stated that the , cost of the product is high, the investment per tonne is high, and it takes 8 years to complete a plant here whereas in Japan it takes two years. And he has also expressed his desire to improve. Now, all this tan-tamounts to a revision of the steel policy. May I ask the hon. Minister whether he is going to make a comprehensive review of the steel policy and take this House into confidence?

SHRI T. A. PAI: Sir, I have already undertaken a study. I shall certainly keep the House informed of the decision that we take from time to time

## Mining and Allied Machinery Corporation, Durgapur.

- •623. SHRI KALYAN ROY: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRY be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item published in

the Amrita Bazar Patrika on the 21st April, 1973 under the heading "Top Men Feud, Government pays";

- (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto;
- (c) whether a private audit firm has been engaged by the Mining and Allied Machinery Corporation at Durgapur for its audit; if so, the name of the firm, the fee paid and the reasons for such appointment: and
- (d) whether the Audit firm has submitted any report; and if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HEAVY INDUSTRY (SHRI T. A. PAI): (a) Yes Sir. The press report was to the effect that a firm of auditors had been appointed by Government to resolve the difference of opinion between the Financial Adviser and the Managing Director over the annual accounts of MAMC for the year 1971-72.

- (b) The newspaper report is not correct.
- (c) In order to introduce proper accounting procedures, a proper system of job costing, etc. the Board of MAMC on 23rd February, 1973 approved the appointment of Messrs P. K. Mitra & Co., Chartered Accountants, for this purpose. The appointment was for a period of six months on a remuneration of Rs. 3,000 pet month plus certain incidental charges.
- (d) The firm has submitted interim reports relating to introduction of a job costing system in the undertaking. The final report is expected to be submitted by the firm shortly.

SHRI KALYAN ROY: Sir, the mining Machinery industry is in a mess but the mess is not as deep as in the past. The loss is about Rs. 4 crores. Sir, why is it that instead of this being audited by one of the senior officers of the Indian Audit and Accounts Service, the Managing Director went out of the way and appointed a friend of his, a private party, to audit such a huge, big and losing public sector unit?

SHRI T. A. PAI: Sir, the information of my hon. friend that the Financial Member of this Organization is an I. A. & A. S.