SUSHILA ROHATGI) Sir I beg to lay on the Table a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Delhi Administration Notification No. F 4 (30)/70 Fin (G) dated the 31st March, 1972 publishing the Delhi Sales Tax (IV Amendmend) Rules, 1972, together with a statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the notification on the Table under sub-ection (4) of section 26 of the Bengal Finance (Sales Tax) Act, 1941 as in force in the Union Territory of Delhi [Placed in Library See No. Lt. 3052, 72]

MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DLPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND INSURANCE, NOTIFICATIONS

SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHHTGI SIR, I also beg to lay on the Table a copy (in English and Hindi) each of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance), under section 159 of the Customs Act, 1962 -

- (1) Notification G S R No 529, dated the 26th April, 1972, together with an Explanatory Memorandum thereon.
- (II) Notification G S. R No 270 (E), dated the 1st May, 1972, together with an Explanatory Memorandum thereon [Placed in Library See No Lt-2079/72 for (1) and (11)]
- (b) A copy (in English and H ndi) of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) Notification G S R No 530, dated the 29th April, 1972, together with an Explanatory Momorandum thereon [Placed in Library See No Lt-2080 72]

[MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Clair]

THE FINANCE BILL 1972—contd

SHRIT A PAI (Mysore) Mr Deputy Chairman, while I would like to support the Finance Bill, I feel that the Finance Minister in this country is very often the victim of what happens around While he may exercise all possible control over the expenditure, over the revenue, he has not got the absolute control on account of the

events that take place all around in our economy And therefore, very often the Budget, instead of giving a direction to the economy, is being guided by what happens to the economy I would like to talk today briefly on three major points. One is about the public sector which is expected to make positive contribution to the resources of the economy The public sector in this country has to reach the commanding heights and it is inevitable that it must expand There is no question about it. It is not the question whether the private sector is a little more efficient, it is a different point altogether Nobody can say that the efficiency of the public sector should not improve. Those who are working in the public sector have to realise their commitment to the country The free expansion of the public sector the profitability of the public sector, the positive contribution of the public sector, is the only means of removing poverty in this country But the losses that we make on account of inefficiency, lower production not being able to contribute as we envisage as a major source of income for the Government, are also at the expense of the poorest people in this country and it hits them more than anybody else Todav the honourable Minister for Aviation also pointed out that there were some losses in the IAC and whenever the Indian Airlines makes losses. let us remind ourselves that it is the man who walks on the street of Inelia who subsidises the man who flies. Is this the type of socialism that we are attempting? Seventyfive per cent of the people who travel by the Indian Airlines are mostly people who travel at the expense of the Government itself Even after this, if the losses are incurred and we are not ashamed of these losses or those who are working for the Corporation are not ashamed of these losses. what does it mean? The losses are going to be made good by the Finance Minister through other means of taxation, and it is the poorest man in this country, therefore who is called upon to pay a higher excise on kerosene or on matchboxes or on the essentials of life

Only one section of the society is always protected by giving increased Dearness Allowance whenever the cost of living rises. But it is again at the expense of the poorest man in this country who has no compensation whatsoever for this kind of rise Inevi-

tably therefore, it is only one section of our socialistic economy that gets protection Organised sector always gets a greater assistance from the State than the disorganised sector which claims millions of poor people in the country A man drawing a salary of Rs. 1,000 may like to have a higher salary. There should be no objection to it. But if he does not contribute Rs 1,000 in terms of services, he is stealing from the poorest man in this country because ultimately that has got to be made good by the taxes paid So, I feel that those who are working in the public sector ought to have commitment to the country and it is no use justifying our inefficiency in any sector and more so in the public sector Let all steps that we are contemplating to take be taken as quickly as possible rather than we involve ourselves in all kinds of seminars. discussions and reports

Again, what is the difference between public sector and private sector? seen work in both. It is the children of Mother India that work in either sector. One is not superior to the other the private sector decisions have to be taken. whenever problems arise and therefore private sector seems to be going forward a little faster. But in the public sector no decision seems to be necessary at all in fact a psychology is developing that if you take some decision, you are likely to make mistakes But if you do not take decisions, you are quite safe. That psychology of work that operates in the public sector is responsible for considerable inefficiency in the public sector.

The Public Accounts Committee and the Estimates Committees which have gone into the working of most of the public sector units have been satisfied highlighting some mistakes here and there, some misappropriations here and there But we have not evaluted the loss due to lack of decisions, indecisions and postponement of decisions or how exactly some of these things have affected our economy adversely. The other day I was talking to an officer of NEPA **NEPA** is capable of producing a little more of newsprint and their expansion programme is on the way. But their whole building construction is held up because they do not The result of that is we rather have steel prefer to import newsprint from other countries rather than trying to see that this building programme is completed. When you look at any public sector project, you come to realise the effect of lack of decisions. escalates the costs from time to time and ultimately these costsare being financed by all instituttions and the Government itself. Most of you know that if decisions are taken the costs can be reduced and perhaps our efficien cy can also be improved. Here again, I would like the Government to lay down clear objectives and goods for every public sector unit and entrust the responsibility to those who are working in it to achieve them. I believe that people who are drawing highest salaries must have sense of security only on the basis of their performance Otherwise, that sense of security should be removed and it is no use protecting them by law. When there is considerable insecurity for millions of people in the country, why should there be security only for one section of population, whether they work or not?

Secondly, I want to emphasize that this country has not laid enough of importance to the habit of savings by the people taken for granted—some people save when rise of inflation is such—that the returns of savings are always out-stepped by the rise inflation year after year. Saving is not considered patriotic duty in this country and the man who saves is rather punished. Therefore, expenditure becomes more attractive than savings All the organisations that we have like the banking system, the Life Insurance Carporation, the Provident Fund system, etc., do not take into account this one factor because they feel that because of their cost of administration being high, there it is not necessary to make savings attractive

It is the fault of the people of this country that our costs of administration are so high that we are not able to pay him a sufficient compensation? And, in that case, he would not have the incentive to save at all. Many of the functions of the subsidizing that we are entrusting to those financial institutions, in my opinion, can be entrusted to a separate corporation which can be called the Subsidy Corporation which may go into the various fields, various areas, of activities and decide what amount of subsidy should be given at what particular time and the saver in this country should

[Shri T. A. Pai]

127

not be responsible for this. Take the case of the LIC. Today, to mobilise Rs. 100 crores of rupees through life insurance it costs the country Rs. 29 crores per year and I have not seen any possibility of reducing this cost except by trying to devise schemes which would be less expensive than what they are today.

In the case of banks, when they were in the private sector, the Inter-Bank Agreement was agreed upon, because they said that if all the banks agreed not to pay a higher rate of interest where will the depositor go. After the nationalisation, the argument is still more valid. When 85% of the banking system is in the public sector, what will the depositor do? The answer is simple: The depositor will go out of the banking system. While we nationalised the banks, let us remember, we did not nationalise the bank deposits, but we only nationalised the banking apparatus and if the deposits are to grow, it is only by geographical extension of banking to cover arears where banking facilities were not available by covering all sections of the society which did not come under the banking system in the past, by the very improvement in the quality of service in banking, so that more and more people who were not bank-minded would now be attracted to come to the banks. I think this geographical extension has gone in a very fast way. But, Sir, I am afraid, importance has not been given to the other aspects as well. Again, Sir, when some of our schemes introduced to attract, savings of the community are tax-free, let us remember that those who pay taxes in this country are an insignificant number and for the majority of the people, tax-free benefits do not mean anything in practice. So, it is necessary that we should continuously have a look at this problem because the savings rate has gone down to 8% and to say that it has slightly improved has no meaning unless it is stepped upto 15% at least, because, ultimately, if the people of this country are not prepared to reduce their expenditure and save, save for the future, for the building up of the country, deficit financing will have to be resorted to and deficit financing is no answer at all. It is no answer at all because deficit financing eats away all the benefits of saving.

Sir, extravagant expenditure goes on and today, while we talk of austerity, when it comes to practice, austerity seems to have no meaning for the society. Very often I have wondered how black money and socialism can exist together. Now, Sir, what exactly is black money? It is very difficult to say. But, after all, black money is the money which is the result of money remaining untaxed. To me this is the definition, because there is no other clear concept of black money. There is nothing black and white in the sense that if a particular person goes to and spends in a restaurant it becomes 'white' and if that fellow does not take that into account it becomes black. So, the character of the money in each case ur dergoes so much of change every day. To me, a clearer definition would be that it is the money which does not pay taxes, may be because our laws are such. Take the case of agricultural income, for instance. To say that agricultural income differs from other kinds of income it self does not seem to have meaning in the present context. According to the Rural Credit Survey of 1962, the amount of money lent to the farmers was of the order of Rs. 2,000 crores. Out of this, Rs. 300 crores came from the organised sector, Rs. 1,300 crores from the agriculturist money-lenders, a new class which came into existence, Rs. 300 crores from the money lenders, and Rs. 180 crores from the commission agents. Now, on this Rs. 1,300 crores. I am afraid, these people must be earning at least 20% to 30%. nearly Rs. 300 croses would be the earning of the farmers and it is not agricultural income, but what under the present system is masquerading as agricultural income, because one sector of the economy has been completely exempted and thus escapes taxes.

Agriculture requires heavy investment, and no sector of our economy will be in a position to provide the money for this, except agriculture itself. Take, for instance, the marketing operations. Even the marketing operations are still very primitive. The result of it is that today all the money that the Food Corporation pumps into the farming sector is not mobilised into the system. The Food Corporation should itself create an agency for mobilising these resoures, even from the rural side, and the Food Corporation must be encouraged to finance its operation itself rather than

depend upon the banking system. Otherwise there would be only one link between the Food Corporation and the farmers that is of a buyer. One of the objective of the Food Corporation should be to build up farmers also by making them deal with it exclusively. In the absence of it, more money going into the farming sector is used for short-term gains, because today it is much more profitable to finance any commodity for two months or three months in the rural areas and it gives a big return of 20 to 25 per cent. And that avoids tax completely I think it is a question of rationalization of our tax system as quickly as possible. It is not merely those who are in the highest tax bracket that avoid tax. Even a person who carries on business as a small-scale industrialist, if he makes a profit of Rs. 1 lakh, has to pay tax of Rs. 60,000. Our system today only provides for those who are born lucky, who have inherited wealth and who continue to hold it. That wealth comes under the Emance Minis ter's axe from year to year and gets reduced. But you must also cover people from below to rise up to that level. I would rather, therefore, wish very much that instead of only talking of urban ceiling and rural ceiling, we have a total ceiling on both urban and rural, without making a distinction, and those who are below this also are given incentives to build up to a particular level.

It is not only the high taxation. But we must also examine the causes why black money has to be created. It is not enough if black morey is removed. It is more the creation of black money that we should be concerned with. It again means that the high price economy will have to be seriously tackled and prices will have to be brought down.

The majority of the people with small incomes in this country would like to be honest. It is only because they are not able to make both ends meet that they tend to be corrupt. You cannot corrupt a man if the whisky bottle is sold at Rs. 5. It is possible that he may be corrupted if it is Rs. 180.

Thank you very much.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Yadav.

श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव (विहार): श्रीमन्, उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मत्री जी हमेशा कुछ न कुछ परिवर्तन की बात करते है श्रीर कुछ शाश्वासन भी देते है। मै एक कविता पढ रहा या और वह बड़ी सटीक बैठ रही थी। उस को कवि ने आजादी की 13वी, 14वी वर्ष गाठ पर लिखा था, लेकिन आज भी वह सत्य लगती है।

'क्या बदला जब मानवता की पीर वही, तसवीर वही है, मेरी गीतो की सीता का दर्द वही, तकदीर वही है, अभी गगन मे ही मंडराते आशाओं के छितया बादल, मरते हुए प्यीहें से तो, अभी दूर है स्वानि

वदल गया है कुछ लोगो का जीवन, लेकिन आसू' पीने वालो का परिवार वही है।'

श्रीमन्, जहाँ तक बदल हुई होगी, कुछ काँग्रेमी बन्धुओ मे बदल हुई होगी, इसलिए कि जो आजादी के पहले फटे चीथडे मे रहते थे, उम हालत मे थे, आज वे लखपित श्रीर करोड-पित होने की स्थिति में ग्रा गये हैं। श्रगर सचमुच मे जाच कराई जाए इन कांग्रेसी मंत्रियों की जैसा कि हमने बिहार में आधे दर्जन मित्यों की जाच करा कर देखा कि कितने रूपये उन्होंने कहाँ-कहाँ और कितनी-कितनी चीजे बनायी है। तो मैं समभता हू कि बदलने की बात जहाँ तक है शायद सत्ता में बैठे कुछ मठाधीशों का तो बदल हुआ हो लेकिन गरीबों की स्थित जहां की तहा बनी हई है।

श्रीमन्, कुछ प्रश्न सामने खडे है कि श्ररबो, खरबो रुपया क्वं हुआ है, लेक्नि देश की आर्थिक स्थिति क्या बनी है, आन्तरिक अवस्था कैसी है, विदेशी प्रभाव और शिकजे से हम निकल सके है या नही, देश से विषमता और शोषण का अन्त हुआ है या नही, छुआछूत, पिछडापन, वेकारी मिटी कि नही, देश के

[श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव]

131

प्रशासन मे सुधार हुआ या नही, न्याय और आगे बढने के लिए जो समानता की बात कही गई थी, वह समानता और समान अवसर लोगो को मिला या नहीं। श्रीमन्, कुछ प्रकन चौकाने वाले भी है। आज भारतवर्ष का सचमूच मे आधार स्तम्भ कोई अगर है तो वह विसान है और आज किसानों के मन में भयकर द्विधा उत्पन्न की जा रही है। हरित-क्रान्ति की बात कही गई। किसानो ने परिश्रम किया और समय ने साथ दिया और जहा-जहा सिचाई के साधन उपलब्ध हो सके, वहा-वहा हरित-क्रान्ति कुछ आई लेकिन जैसे ही किसान ने जमीन मे पजी लगाना प्रारम्भ निया उसी समय से जमीत पर से उसकी मिल्वियत समाप्त करने की बात चली। जहाँ तक कि भूमि सीमा हदबन्दी की बात है मै उसका समर्थन करता हु और चाहता हँ कि भूमि सीमा हदवन्दी जहाँ-जहा राज्यो मे की गई है वहा-वहा उसका कार्यान्वन हो लेकिन भूमि सीमा हदबन्दी करते हुए हम किसानो की आय ना भी हिसाब नरे, कम से कम एक परि-वार की, पाँच, छः लोगों के परिवार के जीविको-पार्जन करने के लिए, सही सलामत रहने के लिए नम से कम एक हजार रुपये की उनकी आय हो। किसान सचम्च मे जो देहातो मे रहते है जनकी स्थिति, अन्न उत्पादन की वृद्धि के कारण, कुछ सुधरने के आसार नजर आए थे, किन्तू, श्रीमन्, आज देश में जो एक नई हवा बहती जा रही है भूमि सीमा हदबन्दी की और कम से कम हदबन्दी करने की उससे द्विधा उत्पन्न होती जा रही है; क्योक्ति कहा तक वह आर्थिक हदबन्दी होगी इस पर विचार नही किया गया है। श्रीमन्, इस सम्बन्ध मे अभी तक सरकार की स्थिति साफ नही है, लेकिन किसानों में द्विधा डालने की स्थिति साफ नजर आने लगी है। मुझे ऐसा लगता है कि सरकार जैसे कि कम्युनिस्टों के प्रभाव मे जारी है। जनकी स्थिति तो साफ हो सकती है कि वह चाहते है कि विसानो जी जमीन धीरे-धीरे कम करते जाएं और उसे अनइकानामिक बना कर

उसे क्लेक्टिब फार्मिंग की ओर ले जाए और वह सरकारी खेनी की बात कर सकते हैं लेकिन अगर किमान अपनी खेनी से अपनी जीविका को उचित रूप से चलाना चाहे तो उसके लिये व्यवस्था इस रास्ते से नहीं हो सकती हैं, इस-लिए निश्चित सिद्धात के ऊपर और निश्चित तथ्यों के ऊपर ही इस विषय म विचार करना चाहिये।

मै वित्त मत्री महोदय से निवेदन करना चाहता हु और उनका ध्यान इस ओर दिलाना चाहता हूँ कि जहा पर ग्राग भिम सीमा हदबन्दी की बात करते है, वहा पर उनका आधिक व्यवस्था की स्थिति का भी अध्ययन करे। श्रीमन्, इस भूमि सीमा हदबन्दी को न म दिया गया है भूमि-सुधार का, लेकिन भूमि-सूधार का अर्थ घर पर बैठे हुए लोगो न क्या ले लिया है[?] भ्रमि-सुधार का अर्थ है जमीन की मिचित करना, उसक लिए अच्छे बीज को उपलब्ध कराना, अच्छे साधन को उपलब्ध कराना। श्रीमन्, इसी सम्बन्ध मे मै जित्त मत्री का ध्यान दिलऊ गा कि उन्होंने बैंक नेशनलाइजेशन के बाद किसानों को मदद देने की बात कही है और हमने उस समय भी उन्हें याद दिलाया था कि जिस छोटे किमान की बात हम करते है, उस छोटे किमान को अगर ट्रैक्टर चाहिये या पावर-टिलर चारिए या नलकृप चाहिए या अपनी जमीन के विकास के लिए और कोई साधन चाहिए, ता ये बैंक या डेवलपमेट कोआपरेटिव बैक जो ऋण किसानो को देते है, वह ऋण सिवयोरिटी के आधार पर देते है। अगर उन्हे 10,000 स्पये की चीज लेनी है तो 20000 रुपये की सिक्योरिटी देनी होती है और इसिएए जब टैक्टर या टिलर या बोन्गि या पम्पिग सट या फर्टिलाइजर वगैरह लेना पडेगा तो 20---25 हजार रुपये लेने की आवश्य≆ता पडेगी और इसके लिए उसको सिक्योग्टी कम से कम 50,000 रुपये की देनी पड़ेगी। वह सिक्योिन्टी देने मे असमर्थ है। श्रीमन्, मती महोदय ने 3 बार वहा मै इसकी व्यवस्था करता है। दूसरी बार उन्होंने कहा था मैने व्यवस्था का उल्लेख

कर दिया है। तीमरी बार उन्होंने कहा अब मै जमीन पर जा रहा हूं, यानी इस बात पर सोचना पडेगा कि किमान की जमीन को सिक्यो-रिटी बनाने की स्थिति पैदा हो। मै स्वयं किमान भी हूँ और मै सोचता हूं कि मत्री महोदय को बहुत कम देहातों में जाने का मौका होता होगा श्रौर बहे-बड़े कारखानों में या बड़ी-बड़ी सभाश्रों में जाने का भौका ज्यादा होता होगा। छोटे किसानों से मिलने का अवसर शायद उनको कम ही मिलता होगा। आज छोटे किसानो की स्थिति बडी भयावह है। छोटा किमान भी जीवित रहना चाहना है, वह भी प्रगति करना चाहता है, उसके दिल में भी उल्लाम है कि मैं भी प्रगति करूं और जो-जो हमारी सरकार के नारे मुनने को मिलते है उससे और भी उसके मन मे दिलासा उठती है, मनोकामना बढती है। लेकिन बैक में जाकर सिक्योरिटी देने की स्थिति वह नही चाहता। तो आप सिक्पोरिटी देने की व्यवस्था में कितना आगे बढ पाए है ?

श्रीमन, इसी तरह से किसान जो अनाज पैदा करता है उसकी भी ठीक-ठीक कीमत उसको नहीं मिलती है। ऐसे लोग प्राइस को ठीक करने के लिए रखे जाते है, जिनको जमीन की उपज करने में क्या खर्चा पडता है, उससे कोई वास्ता नहीं पड़ता, यहां तक कि वे जो कृषि विद्यालण होने है, उनके भी श्राकलन को देखना नही चाहते। श्री न, मै इस जगह पर कहना चाहँगा कि हिन्दुस्तान मे पचास- पचपन करोड एकड के लगभग जमीन है। अगर मच-मुच मे हिन्दूस्तान का कायाकल्प किया जा सकता है तो काय (वल्प का आधार जमीन वन सकता है और यदि जमीन को सचमूच मे मिचित किया जाए तो माल भर फमल देने की व्यवस्था हो जाएगी। अगर एक एकड जमीन है तो वह दो आदिमयों को काम दे सकती है। आज हमारे एक मित्र हजारीवाग में है जिन्होंने बिना किसी तरह का केमिकल फरिलाइजर युज करके दिखला दिया कि कैसे खेत मे अधिकतम उपज की जा सकती है और किस प्रकार लोगों को काम मिल सकता है। उनका कहना यह है

कि फेमिली प्लानिंग सरकार चलाती है, लेकिन हम कहते हैं अगर पचाम-पचपन करोड एकड जमीन मे सिचाई की व्यवस्था कर दी जाए तो यहा तक हो जाए कि आदमी नही मिलेगे उसमें काम करने के लिए। हमारे वैज्ञानिको के कथनानुसार अगर गंगा और कावेरी तक नहर बन सकती है और गंगा से कावेरी तक सिचित जमीन होगी, तो उतनी सारी जमीन को उप-जाऊ करने के लिए और उस पर काइत करने के लिए जितने लोगों की, मजदूरों की, काइत-कारो की, किसानों की आवश्यकता होगी उसको देखते हुए हमें आदिमयों की बहत कमी मालुम पडेगी। इसलिए वे वहते है कि फेमिली प्लानिग को बदठ कर उसकी बजाए सरकार जमीन को मिनित करने की व्यवस्था कर दे और फिर उसको ऐसे साधन दें दे कि जिसके कारण किसान मचमूच में अपनी स्थिति को स्धार सके और साथ ही साथ इस देश का कायाकलप हो सके। तो अगर सचमूच में मंत्री महोदय ने कोई योजना की कल्पना मन में की है ग्रौर उस कल्पना को साकार करने की बात सोचते है, तो उनको कुछ जरूरी बातो की तरफ ध्यान देना होगा।

श्रीमन्, आजादी के पहले जिसके पास दो बडे उद्योग थे, ग्राज उनके बीस बडे उद्योग हो गए है, पहले जिनके पास 5 करोड की पूजी थी याज 60-70 करोड़ या 100 करोड़ की पूंजी हो गई है। इस एक।धिकार और पूंजी-वाद वढाने के बाद भी सरकार गरीबी हटाने का नारा किस आधार पर करती है, यह समझ मे नही आता। उसी तरह जहां पर सरकार राष्ट्रीयकरण की बात करती है, सरकार यह भी दख चुकी है कि राष्ट्रीयकरण करने के बाद जो राष्ट्रीयकृत औद्योगिक क्षेत्र है वे घाटे में हैं और घाटे में ही नहीं चलते, बल्कि भोपाल का जो बड़ा बिजली का संयत्र है, उसमे जितनी पूजी लगी थी 75 करोड़ की, वह घाटा होते होते पूंजी बरावर हो चुकी है। सरकार और ससद मे लोग बडे ही सिकय होकर सुधार की बात करते है, लेकिन सुधार अभी तक आया

[श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव]

नहीं। तो मैं कहना चाहता हूँ श्रीमन्, कि ये जो सारा घाटा पडता है, इस सारे घाटे की पुर्ति सब प्रकार से गरीबों को टैक्स के रूप में करनी पडती है। यह भी निविवाद तथ्य है कि भारत की तरह हेव्वियेस्ट टैंक्स्ड देण द्तिया मे स्रौर वहीं नहीं है। तो उन गरीबो को टैक्स से उबारने का कौन सा इतजाम सरकार ने किया है ? श्रीमन्, आज अपने देश में भयानक और भीषण स्थिति किसी चीज की है तो वह बेकारी की है। आज अपने देश मे जो सर्वशक्ति नौजवान है, जिसके बल पर देश का उत्पादन हो सकता है, देश की सूरक्षा सूरक्षित रह सकती है, जो देश का गौरव बढ़ा सबते है, आज वे नौजवान हजारो और लाखो की तादाद मे बेकार पडे हए है। सरकार हमेशा आश्वासन देती आई है कि हम बेकारों को काम देगे, लेकिन ज्यो-ज्यो एक के बाद एक पचवर्षीय योजना खत्म होती जा रही है त्यो-त्यो देश मे बेकारो की सख्या बढ़ती ही चली जा रही है। पहली पचवर्षीय योजना के खत्म होने पर देश मे 50 लाख बेकार हो गए थे, दूसरी योजना के खत्म होने के बाद 90 लाख वेकार हो गए थे, तीसरी योजना के बाद 3 करोड़ बेकार हो गये थे, चौथी योजना के बाद 2 करोड बेकार हो गये थे और इस तरह से हमारे देश मे बेकारों की सख्या बढती ही चली जा रही है। योजनाकारों को भी इस बारे मे आश्चर्य होता है कि जिस देश ने अपने को विकसित कर लिया है ग्राज वहाँ पर इजीनियरों की कमी है, लेकिन इस देश मे, जो कि एक विशाल देश है, जहा प्रकृति की बहुत सी चीजे भरी पडी हुई है, उस देश मे 70-80 हजार इंजीनियर बेकार पड़े हए है। इस चीज से बढ़ कर और कोई आश्चर्य की बात नहीं हो सकती है।

श्रीमन्, जिस देश में इंजीनियर बेकार बैठे हुए है, देश प्रगति करने के लिए वचनबद्ध नैयार बैठा हुआ है और सरकार इस सम्बन्ध में कुछ भी नहीं कर सक रही है, यह सचमुच में एक गम्भीर सवाल है। इस चीज का निराकरण केवल नारेवाजी से नहीं हो सकता है। हम वित्त मत्री जी का चिन्ता भरा भाषण स्नते है, लेकिन निराकरण होता नही देखते है। श्रीमन्, मै अपने मित्र महोदय, से जानना चाहुँगा कि जहाँ आप बहुमन चाहते थे, चाहे लोकसभा मे हो, चाहे विधान सभाओं में हो, सारी जगनो में आप हो ग्रपनी आ कांक्षाओं से ज्यादा बहुमत मिल गया है। आपने उस आकाक्षा की पूर्ति के लिए लोगो को क्या चुकाया है ग्रौर उनकी इच्छा की पूर्ति किस तरह से करना चाहत है। आपके भाषणो से मालुम हुआ कि जो राष्ट्रीय-कृत बैंक है वे ठीक ढग से नहीं चल रहे ह और उनके जो अधिकारी और कर्मचारी है वह ठीक ढग से काम नही कर रहे है। ये बैंक ठीक ढग से काम करे, यह जिम्मे-दारी आपनी है और इस जिम्मेदारी से आप हट नहीं सन्ते है।

श्रीमन, आज हमारे देश मे जो गरीब और बेकार लोग है उनके सामने यह सरकार प्रैक्टि-क्ल रूप मे कौन सी चीज सामने रखने जा रही है जिससे आज या कल यह मालूम हो सके कि वेकार नौजवानो की समस्या का निदान हो सकेगा। कम से कम अगर आप अपनी नीति इस सम्बन्ध में घोषित करते, सविधान में सशो-धन करने कि हम काम का अधिकार सबको देने जा रहे है, तो कुछ बात समझ मे आती । जिन प्रकार से आप मतदाताओं को वोट का अधिकार स्पूर्व करते है, उसी तरह से म्राप को प्रत्येक बेकार नौजवान को यह अधिकार देना चाहिए कि जिनके हाथ खाली है, जो बेकार बैठे है, उन्हेहम काम देगे और जब तक काम नही देते है तब तक उनको मदद देने के लिए कुछ परसेटेज के हिसाब से जीने का भत्ता दिया जाना चाहिए। जब इस तरह का कोई साफ चित्र वित्त मत्री जी रखेगे तब ही देश के नौजवानो को विश्वास होगा कि उनके लिए कुछ किया जा रहा है और सचमूच मे देश एक रास्ते पर चलने के लिए तैयारी कर चुका है।

सिर्फ गरीबी हटाओं के नारे देने में गरीबी देश से हटने वाली नही है और न ही बेकारी घटने वाली है। ग्राज तो स्थिति यह हो गई है कि जो यह गरीबी हटाओं का नारा दिया गया है उससे कुछ लोगों की गरीबी तो हट गई है और बहुत से लोगों के घरों में गरीबी पहुँच गई है। इसलिए जब तक आप खेती को मर्वोपिर स्थान देने की बात नहीं करते तब तक हम नहीं समझ सकते हैं कि देश में ग्राज जो लाखों की सस्या में बेकार पड़े हुए है उन्हें काम मिल सकेगा।

श्रीमन्, जो समना की बात समाजवाद के रूण में कही जा च्की है, उसके सम्बन्ध में मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता ह कि जब तक आप आमदनी का रेशियों का हिसाब ठीक तरह से नहीं करते श्रीर इसका हिमाब किमी न किसी कीमत पर ठीक करना चाहिये वरना देश मे जो इस समय गरीबी की ममस्या है, वेकारी की समस्या है, वह हल होने वाली नहीं है। इस समय आमदनी का जो अन्तर है वह एक और 20 से ग्रधिक नहीं होना चाहिये। आज जो आमदनी का अन्तर है और जब हम इसको देखते है, तो हमे बहन दु.ख होना है। हम लोग यहा पर एयर कडिशन्ड कमरो में बँठे हुए है और बिहार में लोग ल से मर रहे है। आज दिल्ली के होटलों में एक आदमी हजारी रुपया खर्च कर रहा है और बिहार में जो मन्याल लोग है वे अन्त और पानी के बिना सैकड़ो की सख्या मे मर रहे है।

25 वर्ष की आजादी के बाद भी आप छोटा नागपुर के वनवासियों में कही चले जाए किसी के पास तीन महीने से अधिक का भोजन नहीं है, फिर भी वह साल भर जीता है, पत्ते खाकर जीता है, आधा-पेट, पौना-पेट खाकर रहता है। क्या हम ऐसी स्थिति में विचार करने चले हैं कि हम सचमुच बेकारी मिटा देगे? अगर बेकारी मिटाएगे तो किस प्रकार मिटाएगे? कुछ तो चित्न आप सामने रखे जिससे हम भी आपक साथ देकर लोगो को बना सके कि आपने जिस सरकार की गद्दी पर आसीन किया है उसके कुछ विचार बदक है, लेकिन लगता सिर्फ यह है कि सिवाय नारे के कुछ नहीं हैं। देश में साढ़े 8 लाख परिवार ऐसे है जिनके रहने के लिए मकान नहीं है, रैन-बसेरा सी जिनकी जिन्दगी है और मकान भी है तो रहने लायक नहीं है। मैंने देहात की जिन्दगी देखी है। एक छोटी सी झोगडी में, जिसमें एक बिछावन मुस्किल से बिछ सकती है उसमें सारा परिवार रहता है। बरसान में क्या हालत रहती है, अधी तूफान म क्या हालन रहती है, इसका वर्गन करें तो पोथा का पोथा भर सकता है।

बिर्टार की बाढ की समस्या, बिहार की सूखे की समस्या किसी से छिपी हुई नही है। स्राज स्पूरनिक एज में लोग चन्द्रमा पर जा रहे है, लेकिन हम इतने भी समर्थ नहीं है कि हम अपनी नदियों को पालतू नदी के रूप में परिवर्तित कर सके। एक नदी का उदाहरण ले लीजिए। गगा नदी 1,300 मील लम्बाई मे बहती है इसमे 21 करोड़ लोग प्रभावित होते है। आप अन्दाज कर सकते है कि कितनी जमीन उससे प्रभावित होती होगी। अगर पाी मही सलामत चला तो गगा नदी वरदान है, लेक्नि पानी मे उत्ताल तरगे उठी, बाढ अई या कटाव हुआ तो हाहाकार मच जाता है। वित्त मत्री बाढ के समय जो रिलीफ देते है, वह 25 वर्षों मे जितना दिया है उसका आकलन करे और अगर वह एक बार खर्च करते और गुगा नदी को नियंत्रित करते तो जैसी हमारी अब योजना है गगा से कावेरी तक जाने की वह पहले ही पूरी हो जाती, दो-तीन प्रदेशों की जमीन, जो करोड़ो एकड होती, सिचित हो जाती और जमीन जब सिचित हो जाती तो 8 10 मन जो उपज होती है वह मैं कड़ो मन में बदल जाती। जहां पर सिचित हे वहा पर हमने लोगो को खेती करते देखा है। आज किसान देखता है कि एक तरफ लहलहाता

[श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव]

139

हआ खेत है और दुमरी तरफ सुखा हुआ खेत है, वह चाहता है कि उसके खेतो में भी पानी जाएं, उसके खेत हरे-भरे हो जाए। लेकिन जब तक आप नदियों को नियन्नित नहीं करते तब तक ऐसा नहीं हो सकता। ग्राज छोटे छोटे देशों ने नदियों को नियंत्रित करके उसकी बाद और कटाव को हं नहीं रोका है, उससे मिचाई ही नही हई, उससे यानायात के साधन भी विकसित विए है, उससे मछली पालन को विकसित किया है, शहरो की शोभा बढ़ाई है जबिक हमारे यहा की अधिकाश नदिया अभी तक अभिशाप के रूप में है। इसलिए मैं अपने वित्त मत्री का ध्यान उस ओर आकर्षित करना चाहता हु। मैने उनका एक भाषण पढ़ा कि मैन की क्वालिटी को डैंवलप करना चाहिए। सचमुच जब तक मानव की क्वालिटी डेवलप नहीं होती तब तक किसी भी चीज की प्रगति नहीं हो सकती। किसी भी काम को करन क लिए, किसी भी जिम्मेदारी को वहन करन के लिए हमे आवश्यवता ह अच्छे मानव वी। लेकिन मै पूछना चाहता हू कि 25 वर्ष अवाध गति से आपका शामन रहा, इस 25 वर्ष मे समाज की कौन सी क्वालिटी डैंबलप आपने की है, कौन सी एफीशिएन्सी, कौन सी स्किल ईंबला की है।

अाभ देखेंगे तो रुगेगा कि हर जगह करण्यान साधारण चीज हो गई है, साधारण मी बात हो गई है जिन्दगा म, अब करण्यान के बारे में विचार प्रगट करना भी लगता है हारयम्पद है। मैन देखा कि पुलिस िभाग और जहा पर राजस्व का लेनदन होता है वहाँ भ्रष्ट चार पहले से है, लेकिन आज जो लिक्षा विभ ग है वह भी इससे बरी नही है। तो मेन की क्वालिटी डैवलप करने के लिए आपने कौन से रास्ते रखे है, और ग्रगर कोई रास्ते नहीं रखे तो फिर कसे मैन का डैवलपमट हागा? आज उस मनुष्य को डेवलप करने के लिए कौन सी चीज आपने रखी है। इसलिए मैं चाहना हू कि

हमारी सरकार जो आजादी की रजतजयंती मनाने जा रही है उसके सम्बन्ध म सरकार यह निश्चित करे कि इस देश में जहां पर गरीबी, वेकारी, महगाई, भ्रष्टाचार और भाई-भतीजा-वाद का ताडव नृत्य हो रहा है, वहाँ पर रजत-जयती मनाने की सचमूच मे जो अभिलाषा है उसका आधार क्या होगा ग्रीर कौन सी आशा का केन्द्र रजतजयती बनेगी ? महात्मा गाँधी के जन्म दिवस पर या उनकी शताब्दी के अवसर पर हम ऐसे सक्लप करते थे कि हम इतने गाँवो में बिजली पहॅचायेगे, इतने गावो में सड़क पहुचायगे ओर इतने स्थानो पर शराब बन्दी लागू करंग। एसे सकल्प लेते लेते हम पुराने ही गय ओर अब सकल्प के नाम से शायद लोगो को लगता है कि किसी सेरिमनी या फंक्शन के अवसर पर ही सकल्प कर छना काफी है और उसक बाद उसकी कोई आवश्यकता नही होती है। चान के ग्राक्रमण के वक्त हमने एक ऐसा ही सकल्प लिया था ओर इसी पालियामेट म हमन शपथ ली थी कि चीन द्वारा दखल की हुइ जभीन का जब तक हम एक-एक इच वापस नहीं ले लेग, छीन नहीं लग तब तक हम चैन नहीं लेगे। लेकिन आज उस सकल्प को स्मरण करने मे हमे कठिनाई महसूस होती है। फिर भी मैं कहुँगा कि यदि हम ने कोई संकल्प इस रजत-जयती के अवसर पर न लेने की कोशिश की ता यह जित जयती वेकार होगी और केवल अपनी झुटी शान और शौकत को दिखलाना होगा। इसलिए मै चाहुगा कि इस रजतजयती पर श्रापने जो मैन की क्वालिटी बढाने की बात की है, उसके साथ साथ आप सकल्प ल कि हम अब अपने देश में किसी को गरीब नहीं रहने देगे। सविधान मे जो हम ने माना है कि ग्रनिवार्य शिक्षा लागू करेगे, तो उस के अनुसार हम आनिवायं शिक्षा लाग करे, उसके साथ ही दवा के बिना कोई न मरे, काम के बिना कोई नहीं रहे और सभी बच्चों को स्राप दुध की नि.शुल्क व्यवस्था करे, जब तक लोगो को आप काम नहीं देते है, नब तक उन को बेकारी का भत्ता मिल, जनको बुढ़ापा अभिशाप है, जिन

को कोई देखने वाला नहीं है उन के लिए ग्राप निश्चित पेशन की व्यवस्था करे। साथ ही हम यह व्यवस्था चाहते है कि आप का प्रशानन शुद्ध, दुरुस्त और सस्ता भी हो। इसील्ए श्रीमन् रजत जयनी के अवसर पर हम चाहेंगे कि हमारे वित्त मंत्री महोदव आज इस सदन म कुछ सकल्प रूपी घोषणा कर दे जिम से सदन में लोगों को यह आणा हो जाए कि रजन जयती में जो विशेष खर्चे होंगे उन खर्चों स हमारे गरीव वर्ग को विशेष कोई काट नहीं होंगा और उन को उस से ऐसा लग कि वह खर्ची उन के लिए हो रहा है और इस प्रकार की आशा गाव गाव में जानी चाहिए।

SHRI M K MOHTA (Rajasthan) Mr Deputy Chairman, Sir, it would appear that the Government has not learnt any lesson from its experience of several years that it has been in power and particularly its experience of following a particular policy which has not, unfortunately resulted in bringing prosperity to the country Once again we find that the process of transferring resources from the hands of the public to the coffers of the State has been continued, completely disregarding the fact that is will be a misnomer to call it mobilisation of resources because mobilisation of resources ought to consist of increasing the total resources at the dis posal of the society and not on y that, but thereafter putting to to good use, putting it to productive use, so that the resources at the disposal of the society would increase at a geometric rate and there will be real abolition of proverty as has been procla med by the Government. Unfortunately, what has been happening is only that the resources which could be productive in the hands of the people are taken away by the Government and put to such a use that they become either unproductive completely or at least semi-productive This, to my mind cannot be called mobilisation of resources, and the great necessity in the country today of husbanding the resources is not being given the attention that it deserves

Sir, it has been the experience that deprivation of resources with the public hamper growth to a much greater extent

than those resources generate growth through the Government So the society is at a net loss. This has been our experience over the past so many years and unleses the Government recognises this and changes its policy for the better I am afraid the real goal before the country would not be reached.

Finance Minister has Sir, the hon proclaimed the necessity of Increasing institutional sayings, public savings as he May I remind the hon Minister that corporate saving in the private sector is also a form of public saving, institutional saving, which could be put to great produc make a tive use? And here I must distinction between sayings in the hands of an individual and savings in the hands of a corporation, due to the simple fact that while most of the savings in the hands of the individual can be used for consumption, most of the savings in the hands of a corporation have to be used for productive purposes Therefore, any relief that the Finance Minister might have given to the corporate sector would have added to te total savings of the country which are, put to productive use Unfortunately, this has not been so.

Sir, there has been no relief in the Bubget that has been presented by the hon Minister of Finance Not only in this particular Budget, but in October and December, 1971 when min-Budgets were presented, the total yield would come to something like Rs 500 crores a full year In addition to that, another Rs 183 crores of taxes have been imposed in the recent Not only that But there is a hint of additional burden, a dark hint about the clubbing of the incomes of the husband and wife and minor children, which would further add to the burden of the tax payer. I would like to point out in this connection that today the ruling party has a massiv majority not only in Parliament but also in most of the State Legislatures Today, the ruling party and the Government do not need any slogans to keep The prestige and themselves in power. stature of the hon Prime Minister is such that any policy that is good for the country, even though it may prove to be unpopular in the short run, can be undertaken by the Government today, because the Prime Minis-

[Shri M K Mohta]

143

ter is the one person, after many, many years, who has attained such a statute that the public has complete canfidence in her, and I wish that this stature, this position, that the Prime Minister has attained would be put to such a use with such courace that some policies which are in reality good for the people but may be unpopular due to ignorance or due to any other reasons, may be undertaken by the Government for the good of the people

Sir. quite a lot has been talked regarding ceilings-ceiling, on agricultural land, ceiling on urban property, ceiling on wealth, ceiling on incomes and so on I do not understand why there is a talk about ceilings only in the country Why is not there any talk about floor or minium income for every one, about the minimum level of wealth for every family? Why can we not talk of and plan and work for a situation wherein per capita ii come of the country would increase from the present level of Rs 500 to Rs 25,000? And this is not a day dieam The per capita income of Rs 25,000 is not something which is unattainable, and even if we were to attain in by the end of the century, I think something would have been done. Even then we would be very much be ow the present level of per capita income of America and we would be only slightly higher than the present per capita incone of Japan What I am trying to say is that this is achievable. But that can be achieved only if we can start planning for prosperity and stop planning for The fund mental question, to ny poverty mind, is whether the Budget and the economic and fiscal policies of the Government encourage economic growth with social As the hon'ble Finance Minister justice has himself said growth, social justice, selfrelince, investment and mobilisation of resources are mutually reinforcing pro-Unfortunately, in the country sometimes it is not fully realised that while economic growth can be possible without social justice, social justice cannot be achieved without conomic growth. What I am trying to say is we must have first economic It is factories and industries and other productive enterprises that can later build schools and hospitals and other social services, not the other any way round

This priority has to be kept very clear before the Government and the people if we are to achieve social justice at all Without fast ecoromic growth and a fast rise in the gross rational product we cannot have more employment opportunites, we cannot have higher distribution of income and we cannot eradicate poverty at the lowest level

A very high powered and expert body was constituted by the Government some time back, namely, the Wanchoo Committee and the recommendations of that Committee have been published recently The hon'ble Finance Minister has said that the recommendations are under the consideration of the Government and a comprehensive proposal would be brought before Parliament very soon giving effect to such of ther ecommendations as are accepted by the Government. I only wish, Sir, that while considering the recommendations of this Committee the Government will not lose sight of the fact that the recommendations are an integrated whole, and simply taking out a few stray recommendations which are to the detriment of the taxpayer and completely ignoring those recommendations which are in the interest of the taxpoyer would not serve the purpose for which the Wanchoo Committee has given so much time and attention.

An extremely important and, to my mind, a non-controversial recommendation of the Wanchoo Committee was in respect of the level of texes. In no uncertain terms the committee has come to the conclusion that too high and actually expropriatory levels of taxation give rise to not only tax evasion at some levels but erode completely the capacity to save and reinvest which have a very adverse effect and a direct bearing on the capacity of the taxpaying people to reinvest and take part in the developmental processes in the country

Sir, in this connection we cannot lose sight of the fact that national savings decreased from a level of 11.1 per cent in 1965-66 to 8.3 per cent, in 1970-71 whereas 18 to 20 per cent of the national income must be saved for self generating growth. The average annual increase in gross investments in the first two years of the Fourth Plan may be no more than 4.8 per cent as against the target of 9.8 per cent in the Plan.

As regards industrial development, it is well known that recently there has been near-stagnation ın the development of industries. The industrial production index which rose by 6.6 per cent in 1968-69 and 6 9 per cent in 1960-70, has come down to 3 5 per cent in 1970-71 and may be no more than 4 per cent in 1971-72. In spite of this near-stagnation in industrial development, the hon Finance Minister has thought it wise to abolish the incentives that were heretofore given to priority indus-The effective tax rate in such industries has been increased from 50 6 percent in 1970 to 57.75 per cent. Not only that, there is also a warning from the Government that the development rebate would be abolished in due course with the announcement regarding the proposed abolition of development rebate, no alternative proposal has yet been announced by the Government regarding incentives to industries, particularly in the priority fields, to encourage their establishment and their growth to the desired extent In 13 years since 1960-61, the effective rate of corporation tax has increased from 40 per cent to 58 per cent, or an increase of as much as This will give us an idea of 45 per cent the extent to which private savings have been eroded And that is why the industrial sector particularly has not been able to fulfil the task assigned to it. Even now, not much has been lost If the magnitude of the problem and the reasons for the stagnation are understood by the Government and a sympathetic attitude is taken to encourage more industrialisation and not only more but speedier industrialisation, then we can definitely achieve the goal that we have set before ourselves and also provide employment to the thousands and millions of unemployed people who are roaming the country to-day.

Sir, a little arithmetic would show that if the tax on priority industries were to be reduced to 40 per cent and the general tax on corporations were to be reduced to 50 per cent, the loss to the exchequere would be no more than Rs 90 crores in a full This has to be seen in the proper perspective. This can easily be made up by reducing the outlay on Central and Centrally sponsored schemes by a like amount of Rs 90 croies Even then, the allocation to the Central and Centrally

sponsored schemes would be at a fiairly high level. The allocations in this respect are Rs. 1, 787 crores this year, which are higher by Rs. 332 crores over last year's figure. So, even if a pruning to the extent of Rs. 90 crores were to be made, they would still be higher by Rs 242 crores over last year's The point that I am trying to make is that this pruning of Rs 90 crores in Central schemes would not affect the economic growth of the country so much, but would be more than counter-balanced by the industrial growth and economic growth in general which would be generated in the free sector, by this incentive of Rs. 90 crores a year As a safeguard against fittering away of resources, the Government can provide for some measures by which this relief would be re-invested in productive enterprise and would not be simply frittered away by way af dividends or other consumption expenditure.

1 PM.

Coming now to some of the specific provisions of the Finance Bill, I would like to comment on a few clauses. Clause 4 (a). it is an amendment to Section 10 (3) of the Income-Tax Act. This is regarding tax on casual or non-recurring income. If the intention of the honourable Finance Minister had been only to tax an income by way of lottery prizes. I do not think there would have been any objection to it. But the way the section has been worded, I find that amounts presented to a public figure by his admirers as a gift in cash or kind—about which we hear so much nowadays—awards and cash prizes given for distinguished service or outstanding achievements in the field of science, technology, literature, art, etc, an amount received by the widow of a deceased employee out of compansionate considerations from a former employer, awards of cash prizes under quiz programme and other activities of a similar nature run by a company for trade promotional pur pose, all these also would be covered and would be taxed in future. I do not think that this is the intention of the honourable Minister. Therefore, the wording should be suitably amended to exclude all these incomes.

Then clause 4 (b) regarding gratuity to be paid to an employee. It appears

[Shri M. K. Mohta]

that the object of the adendment is to exempt from taxation in the hands of an employee, gretuity received only in the event of retirement, incapacitation or termination of employment. This amendment excludes from the scope of the exemption, gratuity paid as a result of voluntary resignation of an employee prior to superannuation. This is an avoidable hardship which con easily be rectified by suitably wording the section.

Then, elause 7 (c) about treatment of charitable trusts. It would appear that a trust forfeits exemption from tax if any income or property of the trust or institution is used or applied during the previous year for the benefit of some persons who have been mentioned in the Clause. The expression "manager" of the institution has not been defined. And it would appear that if a paid manager derives some benefit out of the income of a trust, then the income of the entire trust would be subject to taxation. This is another hardship which perhaps is unintended and could be rectified

Clause 3 (b) and 6 regarding charitable trusts. The provisions npply for the assessment year 1973-74. But, although the honourable Minister has announced on more than one occasion that tax reliefs and tax laws would be prospective in fact in certain case this may become retrospective in effect Therefore, I would urge upon the honourable Minister to make this provision effective from June, 1962 instead of from March, 1972.

Another clause is regarding.. (Time bell rings) Sir, I will take only three or four minutes.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have already taken three minutes more.

SHRI M. K. MOHTA: I am finishing in only two or three minutes.

Clause 18 regarding tax relief for priority industries. The withdrawal of the tax relief for all priority industries without adequate prior notice seems harsh, particularly as many new industries in the priority sector have relied on the tax exemption of 5 per cent of their cash flow. Moreover, the combined effect of the withdrawal of this tax relief from 1973-74 and of the development rebate after March, 1974 would be serious in the case of priority industries. It is, therefore, urged that the proposed withdrawal of the entire schedule of priority industries should be reconsidered by the Government.

Another clause that I would like to draw the attention of the Government to is regarding deduction of tax at soure from payment to contractors. The new provision for deduction of 2 per cent of an amount payable to a contractor is likely to create administrative difficulties because the term "contractor" and the words "carried out any work in pursuance of a contract" have been definied and clarified by the Gove⁴nment. The term "contractor" can be taken to mean such a wide range of people who work in pursauance of a contract with a company that it will lead to a lot of confusions, and the intention of the Government Hould not be properly served.

The last clause to which I want to invite the attentian of the House in Clause 45 regarding amendment of Section 5 of the Wealth-tax Act on exemption for investin Indutrial undertakings amendment of Section 5 of the Wealth-tax Act by which investment, together with other items, upto a limit of Rs 1,50,000 in industrial undertakings by an individual or partner or a firm is exempted from Wealth Tax is welcome. I, however, strongly urge that this exemption should not merely be confined to industrial activities In the context of the unemployment situation prevailing in the country, many other types of activities which are not industrial activities contribute as much, if not more, to the growth of employment and there is no reason why this concession should not be extended to amounts invested in other types of business activities.

I once again urge the Finance Minister to take the situation prevailing in the country in the economic sphere as a whole and not to have water-tight compartments between private sector and public sector and not to be carried away by slogan and not to be after ideology for the sake of ideology. Let us have some concrete action on the

part of the Government so that the economy of the country could be strengthened and poverty removed, instead of following an ideology in pursuit of an obscure goal.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: After lunch the first speaker will be Shrimati Savita Bahen. The House now stands adjourned till 2 P.M.

The House, then, adjourned for lunch at seven minutes past one of the clock,

The House reassembled a lunch after two of the clock, MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN IN THE CHAIR.

श्रीमती सिवता बहिन (दिल्ली): माननीय उपाध्यक्ष जी, यह फाइनेंम बिल जो हमारे सामने आया है इसमें कोणिश तो की गई है कि कुछ चीजें इस तरह की लाई जायें जो समाजनाद को आगे ले जाती है। दरअसल किसी भी प्लानिंग, किसी भी आगे से प्रोग्राम की सीढ़ी उसकी फाइमेंम होती है। एक ले ग्राउट की तरह से फाइनेंस बल है। जिस तरह से फाइनेंस का प्रोग्राम हम बनायेंगे उसी तरह से आने वाला समाज बनेगा, उसी तरह से काम होगा और उसी तरह से देण आगे बढ़ेगा। इसमें कुछ चीजों की तरह सही ध्यान दिया गया है।

मैं भ्राप का ध्यान एक दो चीजों की तरफ दिलाना चाहती हूं। दिल्ली जो सारे देश की राजधानी है उसका एक खास कैरेक्टर है। बिजिनेस के लिहाज से सर्विस के लिहाज से ग्रमेनिटीज के लिहाज से यहां के रहने वालों की दिक्कतें भी बहुत हैं, लेकिन कूछ सुविधाएं बढ़ाने की बात भी हमेशा की जाती है। दिल्ली राजधानी है। इसलिए यहां पर कोई असेम्बली की बात नहीं चल सकती। लेकिन फिर भी एक डेमोक्रेटिक मेट अप है, मेट्रोपोलिटन कौंमिल है ग्रौर कुछ चीजें उसके जरियेसे तय की जा सकती है। इस फाइनेंस बिल में दिल्ली के मुताल्लिक एक अमेडमेट रखा गया है जो सेक्शन 6 को निकालता है। जो पहले था उसमें दिल्ली को सेल्स टैक्स से बरी किया गया था। दिल्ली में श्रपना कोई प्रोडक्शन नहीं हैं। वहां बाहर से रा मैटीरियल आता है। यहां जो इंडस्ट्रीज हैं वे

उस रा मैटीरियल के जरिये से बिजिनैस भी करती हैं और अपनी ग्रपनी इंडस्ट्रीज में उसको युज भी करती हैं। पंजाव से आने के बाद और दिल्ली में इतनी आबादी बढने के बाद जिस तरह से यहां हर एक मामले में बढ़ोतरी हई है उसी तरह से व्यापार में भी हुई है। लगभग 60 हजार यूनिट यहां पर काम करते हैं छोटे वडे सब मिला कर। अब 20, 25 साल के बाद उन्होंने अपने आप को इस्टैब्लिश किया है, दूसरी स्टेटस के साथ जो भी हालात है उनके तहत अपने व्यापार को जमाया है, तो ऐसे ममय में उनके साथ ऐसा व्यापार करना उचित नहीं होगा। जब एक तरह हम समाजवाद को लाने जा रहे है, जब एक तरफ हम यह योजना अपने सामने रखते है कि किसी का कुछ छीनना नहीं है और जो नीचे है उनको ऊपर उठाना है, जिन के पास कुछ नही है उनको कुछ देना दिलाना हैं और उनके लिए सुविधायें जुटानी हैं, तो दूसरी तरफ यह जो इसमें प्राविजन किया गया है इससे उनको फायदा पहुंचने वाला नही है। इससे यहां के व्यापार को बहुत धक्का लगेगा। अगर इस तरह से इस क्लाज को निकाल करके नया अमेंडमेट यहाँ पर लाया जाएगा और मंजर किया जायेगा तो उसमें जिस तंरह से रा मैटी-रियल पर सेल्स टैक्स लगाने की बात है उससे न सिर्फ यहां के व्यापार को धक्का लगेगा बल्कि यहां की आमदनी को, रेवेन्य को भी बहुत बडा धक्का लगेगा। मैं नहीं जानती कि हमारी आधिक व्यवस्था को मोचने वाले आफिशियल्म और स्पेशलाइज किए हुए ग्रुप ने कैंसे यह सोचा है। टरमिनल टैक्स जितना हम दिल्ही में वसूल करते है वह हमारी आमदनी का, हमारे रेवेन्युका एक बहुत बड़ा जरिया है।

अगर सेल्म टैक्स लगा दिया जाता है तो वह व्यापार को एक धक्का लगाने की बात होगी और उससे जो खास रेवेन्यू का जरिया है वह बहुत कम रह जाता है। मैं मिर्फ एक चीज लेती हूँ, आयल को लेती हूं यू तो बहुत सारे रा मैंटिरियल पर टैक्स लगाया गया है, लेकिन इंटर स्टेट सेल्स

[श्रीमती सविता बहिन]

टैक्स का प्रप्रोजल रखा गया है 3 परमेट का. और स्टेट मे वह वैरी करता है 5 ट 7 परसेट। बहुत से रा मैटीरियल यहा आते है और फिर दूसरे स्टेटस मे जाते है। उन की खपत दूसरे स्टेटटस में मोर दैन 60 परसेट होती है उनको जब वह माल अपने स्टेट मे कम सेल्म टैक्स पर मिल सकता है तो वह उस को यहा से क्यो मगावेगे। यह बात यहां के व्यापार करने वालो को एक बड़ा धक्का पहचाने और इस कारण हमारा माल बाहर नही जा सकेगा। अगर 3 परसेट इंटर स्टेट सेल्स टैक्स जमा किया गया है और 5 से 7 परसेट दिल्ली के लिए किया गया है उससे भी दिल्ली के व्यापार को बहुत बडा धक्का लगेगा। दूसरी बात यह है कि अल्टीमेटली यह कर किस पर पड़ेगा। इसका सारा बोझ तो कज्यमर पर पडेगा, खरीदार पर पडेगा। अगर हम किसी जगह भी टैबस को बढाते है. रा मैटीरियल पर या तैयार चीजो पर तो अल्टीमेटली उसका बोझ खरीनने वाले पर ही पडता है। एक तरफ तो हम चीजो को सस्ता वरने की तरफ कदम बढाते है, एक तरफ तरफ हम गरीबी हटाने की बात करते है, एक तरफ हम समाजवाद लाने की बात करते है और उसके लिए कदम उठाने की बात करते है और हमने कुछ कदम उठाये भी है, लेकिन दूसरी तरफ अपना आफिशियल ढाचा कुछ इस तरह की चीजे बना कर हमारे सामने रख देता है कि जिसमें न चाहते हुए भी उलझ जाते है ग्रौर जिसके कारण हमारा समाजवाद आगे की तरफ न जा कर पीछे की तरफ चला जाता है। तो मे आयल की बात कर रही थी। अभी तक हम एक लाख 25 हजार टन आयल मागते है और उनको दूसरे स्टेट्स को भी भेजते है, लेकिन अगर दूसरे स्टेट्स, गुजरात आदि अपने आप आयल लेने लग जाये तो हमारे पास दिल्ली मे केवल 25,30 हजार टन आयल की खपत ही रह जाती है। आप सोचो कि क्तिना टर्मिनल टैक्स कम हुआ। इसमे ही करीब 30 लाख रुपये का नुकसान होता है ग्रीर जो दूसरे स्टेट्स को जाता है, किमी को 11 परसेट, पजाब को 28 परसेट है, हरयाणा को 29 पर सेन्ट है, स्रादि वह बाहर भेजा जाना रुक जाता है और इस प्रकार यहा के ट्रेंड का डाइरेक्ट नुकसान होता है। मेरे ख्याल से इस मामले को तो जो यहा का डेमोक्रेटिक सेट अप है, जो दिल्ली को नयी मेटोपोलिटन कौसिल बनी है उस को रेफर करना चाहिए और उससे सलाह करके, या यहा के चेम्बर आफ कामर्स के प्रति-निधियो से मलाह कर के देखना चाहिए कि क्या निकलता है और किन किन चीजो पर टैक्स लगाया जा सकता है। अगर टोटल सेल्स टैक्स लगाने की जरुरत है यहा तो उस को हम सब लोगो को बैठ कर तय करना चाहिये। जिस समय यह प्रपोजल कसीडर किया गया था उस समय जो डेमोक्रेटिक ढाचा दिल्ली मे था. मै विद इयू रेस्पेक्ट अर्ज करना चाहती हू कि उन्होंने अभी देश की बेहतरी की बान नहीं सोची, उन्होंने कभी देश की मेवा की, देश के भविष्य की बात नहीं सोची। वे फौरी बक्त की बात मोचते थे और उसी के हिसाब से काम करते थे। कभी-कभी ऐसी जमातो को, इस तरह से सोचने वालों को मौना मिलता है ग्रोर उस मे वह गलत वाम भी कर जात है। कभी कभी सही वाम भी कर जाते है। जिस तरह का यह प्रपोजल आया है मै समझती हैं कि इस को फिर वापस जाना चाहिये और इसको फिर से रिकसीडर करना चाहिए। इसमे सिर्फ तेल की ही बात नही है, लोहे की बात है काटन की बात है, हर चीज के व्यापार पर इसका सीधा असर पड़ता है और जो चीजे इससे डाइरेक्ट एफेक्टेड है मै आपकी जानकारी के लिए उन की लिस्ट आपके सामने रखना चाहती हैं। आप देखें कि किस तरह से इस का आम लोगो पर डाइरेक्ट असर पडेगा! एग्री-कलचरल इप्लीमेट्स वह सारे इससे अपेक्टेट है, पैकिंग करके दूसरी चीजे जो बाहर भेजी जाये. कैटिल फीड्स इससे एफेक्टेड है, एलेक्ट्रिकल सामान इस से एफेक्टेड है, फटिलाइ जर इस से अफेक्टेड है, तम्बाक् के प्रेपरेशन्स इससे एफेक्टेड

है, कट़ी मेड शुज इस मे आते है, पाट्स, आचार मुख्बे, चर्बे, तक्ली, बेसिल, कापी, तमाम घर मे जो इस्तेमाल होने वाली चीजे हे, इस से एफेवटेड है। रोटी चपाती तक के ऊपर सल्स टैक्स आ जाता है ग्रीर इस तरह से नोई भी आम चीज घर के इस्तेमाल की बचनी नही है। अगर इस सेल्स टेक्स को दिल्ली के उपर लाग करते है सो यहा के लोग जोकि पहले ही महगाई में पिस रहे है वह और पिस जायेगे। यहा के, कॅपिटल कें, रहने वालों को हम कुछ विशेष स्विधाये न दे भके तो म ममझती ह कि हम से कम उन्ह ज्यादा परेणानी मे डाल्ने की कोशिश नहीं करनी चाहिए और इन सारी चीजा को सामने रखत हये, ध्यान मे रखते हये, मूझे उम्मीद है कि माननीय फाइनस मिनिस्टर महोदय इस पर फिर से गौर करगे। मै आपके जरिये से उनसे दररवास्त करना चाहती हॅ कि दिल्ली के इस प्रोपोजल के ऊपर, जो इन्होने सेल्सटैक्स का अमेडमेट सेक्शन 69 के अन्दर लान की कोशिश की है और जो सेक्सन 6 को ड़ाप करने को वोशिश की है, उस पर फिर स कमी-डर करने की कृपा करे।

एक बात मै श्रीर अर्ज करना चाहती हैं। अभी एक प्रोपोजल और सामने आने वाला है जिसम कि परिवार की इक्स को, पति और पत्नी की इन्कम को इक्ठठा असम किया जाएगा। उसके बारे मे भी, उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरी एक दररवास्त है। हमार यहा हालात से मजबर होकर लोग काम पर जात है और दोनो पति और पत्नी काम करते है क्योकि घर का गुजारा नहीं चलता, घर का मटैडढ नहीं बनता. वच्चो की पढाई लिखाई और परवरिण ठीक से नहीं चलती और हम चाहते है कि देश के जितन रहने वाले लोग है सभी ज्यादा से ज्यादा मेहनत करने वाले हो और यहा डिगनिटी आफ लेबर आये और जितने भी विकिंग मेन और वीमन हे वह देश के प्रोडक्शन को, देश की दौलन को बढाने वाले बने लेकिन दूसरी तरफ हम यह इन्सेटिव छीन लेते है ग्रौर कुछ इस तन्ह के

कानन बना देते ह जिससे कि आम इन्मान पिस जाता है। मझ एसा लगता है कि शायद इस वजह म उम चीज को लाने की वोशिश की गई होगी वयोक्ति जो बड़े-वड़े सरमायदार है, बड़े बड़े मिल्ओनसं ह, बड़ी वड़ी फैंग्ट्रीज वाले है जिन्होने छः-छ , आठ-आठ या दम-दस कमर्नु स बना करके रखी हुई है, वे कुछ इन्कमटैक्स को बचाने के लिए घर में जो उनकी स्त्री होती है, उनकी पत्नी है या बहन है, उनमे से किसी के नाम मे वह दिखा देते है और पे की तरह से या हिस्से की नरह प उसमे दिखाते है, तो उसको वचान में त्रिए, उसको रोकने के लिए शायद यह ित्या है। उसनो जरूर रोक्ना चाहिये, उस तरह की चीज का रोकना वहत जरूरी ह और शायद उस तरह की चीच को रोक्ने क लिये ही यह अमेडमट लान की कोशिश की गई होगी लेक्नि इसमे आम परिवार भी पिस जायेगे इस लिए जरूरी है कि इन्कम की एक रिमिट रखी जाए कि इतनी इन्कम तक के परिवारो की जो इन्क्रम ह उसको ज्वाइन्ट्ली असैस किया जाएगा लिकन इससे बम इन्कम बाल परिवार जो है उनको अलग् अलग् असेस किया जाएगा ता ि इन्कम टैक्स का बोझा उनके उपर उतना न पडे और जिस उत्साह के साथ घर मे परणानी उठा करके, घर के काम काज को छोड़ कर के और घर के बच्चो की परवरिण को छोड कर के बहने जाम पर जाती है सिर्फ इम ख्याल से कि हमारी गुजर सही तरीके से हो सके, रहन सहन का स्टडर्ड मेनटेन कर सके वह काम करने जाती है, तो उनमे वह उत्माह बनारहने दे। यह न मिफ उन परिवारो के लिय जरूरी है बल्कि मेरी राय म दश के लिए भी जरूरी है और मुझे उम्मीद है कि हमारे जो काबिल माथी मिनिस्ट्री में बैठे हुए है वह इस तरफ जरूर गार करगे, ध्यान देगे और दखेगे कि किस नरह स इन्कम टैक्स एक्ट के कलाजेज को घटाया जाए, बढाया जाए, उसमे अमेडमेट लाया जाए जिससे कि आम लोग जो थोडा कमान वाले हे जिनकी गुजर मुश्किल से हो । हे उन । इन्कम ज्वाइटली असेस न की जाए उनकी इन्कम सेपरेट असेस की जाये और जिनकी बहुत ज्यादा इन्कम है और जो

[श्रीमती सविता बहिन] इन्कम टैंक्स बचाने के लिए इस तरह के तरीक निकालते है उनकी इन्कम बेशक ज्याइटली असेस करे।

धन्यवाद ।

SHRI BIPINPAL DAS (Assam) Deputy Chairman, Sir, the spokesnien of the big business have been shouting from the housetops that they are crushed because of the heavy burden of taxation. Of late, Mr Palkhivala seems to have become the chief intellectual guide of the big business He has been writing and lecturing extensively on this subject and the central theme of his talks is that if a concession is given to the big business and if taxation is reduced them the b g business will get incentive and they can save and help in mcbilisation of resources and there will be more industrial production and so on and so fourth According to them the ecocomic growth of this country depends on the ieduction of taxation on big business

Now I am not going to answer this argument in detail I will only quote certain facts. What is the percentage of direct taxes of the total taxation—in 1950-51 and indirect taxes of total taxation?

The ratio between direct tax and total tax in 1950-51 was 47 1% and that of indirect tax and total tax was 52.9%. That was in 1950 51. What is the situation today in this new Budget? In this year the ratio will be in the case of direct tax 26 5% and in the case of indirect tax 73 500 which means the ratio of direct taxation to total tax has come down very much whereas that of indirect tax has gone up. If you consider last year's and this year's the increase is of Rs 294 clores in indirect taxation but in the case of direct tax only Rs 88 crores Now the tax that is expected to be collected in 1972-73 on account of taxes on sugar, tea, cigarettes kerosene, textiles, tobacco, soap and matches would amount to Rs 940 crores but the tax that is expected to be collected from the corporate taxes would to only Rs 480 crores This picture makes it absolutely clear that the grievances of the big business is absolutely unfounded They may say that because their number

is very small, so the total tax also is small. The only answer I can give to that is that 50°_{\circ} of our population to day get only $21^{\circ}_{\circ 0}$ of the national income whereas only 4°_{\circ} of the top rich get 2°_{\circ} of the national income. So what 50°_{\circ} of the entire population get only 4°_{\circ} of the population at the top get, but they are not paving tax on equal terms. Therefore I do not think the case of the big business that they are heavily taxed and that is why the economic growth is not taking place will stand any test.

Now the Wanchoo Committee have made some valuable and far eaching suggestions and recommendations. I am not going into them while discussing the question of black money and evasion of taxes the Committee has also suggested that the marginal taxation should be reduced from 97 75° to 75° o, that this will act as an incentive to the industrialists and they will produce more and will not evade taxes and ultimately this is one of the methods by which black money can be unearthed I am sorry I am not in agreement with this recommendation at all They have compared the case that took place some time back in England where when the taxation was reduced, the evasion of taxes also was reduced and there was more incentive and more savings, I do not think the circumstances in England can be compared with the situation in India India is a very poor country. Let us remember that in 1961, about 52% of our population lived below bread line and in 1968 it increased to 70% 65% of the population today have an earning which is less than Rs 30 per month This is the extent of poverty to which we are reduced and therefore to compare the circumstances of Engl nd with those in India is not quite logical and sound

Besides that I would submit that the rature and history of the development of British capitalism is in my opinion different from the nature and history of the development of Ind an capitalism British capitalism was guided and motivated by a true spirit of entiepreneurship and enterprise and by a spirit of adventure but unfortunately it is not so here. The motivation of the Big Business in India is quick returns, speculation and all those characteristics which guide commercial and trading concerns. Therefore to expect that if we reduce the

taxation the Big Business will have more incentive to produce more, I do not think, is quite correct Dishonest men will remain dishonest whatever the extent of taxation may be I am not prepared to put a premium on dishonesty by reducing the taxation to 75 per cent and I do not think this recommendation will actually help in unearthing black money or eliminating evaluon of taxes.

Sir, in this connection I would also like to point out the case of the auditing firms. Twenty auditing firms, today control 80 per cent of the total auditable transactions One hund ed and one giant public limited companies with an aggregate tuinover of Rs 2615 crores were audited by these 20 big auditing firms. These twenty big auditing firms almost monopolise the whole business, not only that, they have become part and parcel of the Big Business They also encourage the circulation of black money, tax evasion and all that Unless the Government does something about controlling these audit firms or eliminates this monopoly control by a few audit firms I do not think black money can be brought out or evasion of taxes stopped.

Sir. we have given the slogan of selfreliance and we want to mobilise additional resources. In this connection I quite appreciate the efforts made by the Finance Minister towards mobilisation of resources Particularly in this Budget the fact that they have made a very massive allocation for the Plan in the current year and the efforts made by the Finance Minister to mobilise resources to that extent indicate that the Government is taking very earnest step in this respect; there is no doubt about it But I would like to submit that selfreliance and mobilisation of additional resources-both of them go together-can perhaps be better achieved or the cause of self-reliance can be advanced much more by taking a few steps which I consider to be essential in the circumstances prevailing in this country.

Firstly, the performance of the public sector must be improved. The public sector must be able to give uas surplus to augment our own rtsources.

Secondly, I know the financial institutions have expanded their activities A number of branches have been opened and deposit mobilisation is taking place. Their activities are expanding but I think they should expand a little faster.

Then I think it is time to think seriously whether t is not desirable not only in the interest of mobilising resources but also in the interest of progressing towards equality to put a ceiling on income and expenditure. In any case curbing conspicuous consumption has become a must in this country if we want to do anything by way of achieving self-reliance. There should be a ceiling on income and expenditure and particularly conspicuous consumption must be curbed if we want to make any progress towards self-reliance

Then, Sir, I do not approach the question of social sm from any doctrinaire point My approach is not doctrinaire. I am prepared to be practical and pragmatic Fven from the practical and pragmatic point of view is it not desirable now, is it not high time now to think of nationalising the entire monopoly capital and foreign capital in this country? Again I would say this is not a slogan I am raising. This is not simple a doctrinaire approach to socialim. In accordance with the facts of the situation today, in order to mobilise more resources, in order to achieve selfreliance at the earliest possible time, I think it is high time to think of nationalising monopoly capital and foreign capital.

SHRI MAHAVIR TYAGI (Uttar Pradesh) We agree with you.

SHRI BIPINPAL DAS Then, Sir, I would also suggest that in our production programme there should not be any expansion of production of luxury goods. Whatever is there, it is there, but no expansion of production of luxury goods should be permitted, and there should be a complete ban on the import of luxuries and non-essentials. These are a few steps I would suggest to the Ministry as part of the programme for achieving self-reliance

Then I come to the last point which is the regional imbalance. At the very outset I must make it clear that some people in this country are raising the slogan of autonomy. I am totally opposed to this slogan. [Shri Bipinpal Das]

Finance

Now who is most vociferous about it? For example, Tamil Nadu, Government Now, if autonomy as they conceive it is granted to them, certainly a rich State like Tanul Nadu will gain What about the poor States? We have developed in a way that some regions have become very rich and advanced and some have remained very backward is the duty of the entire country to mobilise resources from the advanced areas for the development of the backward areas autonomy is gianted to Tamil Nadu, an advanced States, the resources of Tamil Nadu will not come to the help of a backward State like mine, or Orissa of Rajashtan, and therefore this slogan of autonomy in the way they have conceived it will be dangerous from the point of view of achieving regional balance At the some time we must recognise the fact that today some regions are very back-ward and some have gone forward We are happy that some are But what about those which have forward remained backward? Let me tell vou, let me tell the Government that various causes create conflicts and distension in our country today, and one of them is regional im-Unless we take positive steps blance towards removing the regional imbalances, the cause of conflicts and tensions will remain in those areas, and that will ultimately threaten or may threaten national unity and integrity. Therefore, this question of regional imbalance must be viewed and considered very ser ously by all concerned

Now Sir. it has been said that during the Lust Plan Central assistance to States. was Rs. 386 crores During the Third Plan it was increased to Rs 1,549 crores In the Fourth Plan it will be increased to Rs 4.256 crores Even in this particular Budget the assistance to States comes of Rs 1 218 So, the Government is try to make crores out a case that progressively the Central Government is helping the States to go forward financially But this assistance goes to whom? A major part of this assistance goes to those States which are already advanced If you analyse-I am n t going to take your time for this because you want me to conclude-a major part of Central assistance goes to those States which are already advanced. This is my case Let it be

examined carefully It is simply carrying coal to New Castle Therefore, the whole p licy and the whole principle must be revised and changed so that a major part of Central assistance goes to those areas which are backward (*Time bell rings*) Now, Sir, I am concluding

Take the case of my State We produce oil We get Rs 10 per ton today as royalty which we used to get during the British Can you imagine? What was the value of Rs 10 which used to be given during the British time and what is the value of Rs 10 today? Today also we are getting only Rs 10 per ton as royalty Is it justified? The Government of Assam and the people of Assam have been demanding that we must be given at least Rs 20 per ton as This has not been done up till rovalty Take, for example sales tax on the crude that we produce The crude is taken to Barauni The sale is shown in Barauni and the sales tax on the crude goes to the Bihar Government We produce crude We put it in the pipeline, but because the sale is shown in Barauni in Bihar the scale tax on the crude goes to the Bihar Government Not only that Even the sales tax on the refinery products of Barauni goes to the Bihar Government We get nothing Isit justified? Thirdly, we produce tea and very good tea, but our tea bears the highest duty Why? Because our tea is paying the highest duty the State Government does not find any possibility, cope or avenue for further taxation 1 have given you only three examples.

Now, overdrafts from the Reserve Bank have been stopped I welcome it It is very good Fvery State must learn to be selfre fant. But unless we are allowed to tap our own resources—and you deny the very right of tapping own resources how can you expect the States to be self-reliant? I conclude by sav ng only one thing I request the Finance Minister to consider the advisability and possibility of amending articles 269, 270 and 271 and also the Seventh Schedule, so that the taxation powers of the States, as are given to them, could be increased allow me only one or two minutes, I will Article 269 lays down give you examples that on certain items the Central Government will levy taxes, collect them and the collection will go to the States. If that be

so, why do you not allow the States themselves to levy and collect those taxes? According to article 270 income-tax in the divisible pool, but why not corporate tax also? Corporate tax is also income-tax and, therefore, it should come under the divisible pool. Article 271 speaks of sur-The surcharge on income tax is charges also income-tax If income-tax can come under the divisible pool, why not this also? So, from these points of view I request the Finance Minister to consider the desirability of amending the Constitution, where necessary, so that the States are given more avenues and scope for tapping their own resources, so that they may become more and more self reliant, so that they can do without any overdraft on the Reserve Bank

Finance

SHRIMATI SARASWATI PRADHAN Mr Deputy Chairman, Sir, the new taxation measures proposed in the Finance Bill are really unfortunate Particularly the new taxation on kerosene, readymade garments and fertilisers will haid hit the poor the middle-class and the agricultural It may be recalled that the tax component in the price of kerosene is of the order of 65 per cent and the new taxation on kerosene is a heavy imposition According to the Direct Taxes Administration Enquiry Committee, taxes amounting to about Rs. 1500 crores are being evaded anni ally The Government, instead of taking steps to realise these evaded taxes, are now coming upon the people with the heavy imposition Such fiscal measures will increase poverty rather than remove it The Utkal Congress welcomes the ceiling on land But there must be a ceiling also on urban property. Without urban ceiling, land ceiling in the rural sector will make our socialism lopsided and meaningless To march towards socialism, we must have programmes in actual practice to reduce the social disparity prevailing in our country

The House is well aware of the terrific cyclone that visited the State of Orissa in 1971. The President of India had characterised it as the greatest tragedy of the century. You will appreciate what great burden it means for a State Government like that of Orissa to rehabilitate the affected people. I am sorry to say that some political parties in the State are only criticising the Govern-

ment, instead of that, they should urge upon the Central Government for more Central aid. We are thankful to the Central Government for the little mercies shown during this time but I feel that the Central Government has to do much more in this regard. On the top of this cyclone and flood in some coastal district some other districts tricts like Bolangir, Sambalpur, Sundergarh, Kalahandi, Phulbani, Mayurbhanj Keorjher etc., are now in the grip of a severe drough I urge upon the Government of India to come to the rescue of these suffering people.

Thank you

JAGAN NATH BHARDWAJ SHRI (Himachal Piadesh): Sir, I rise here to support the Finance Bill which no doubt seeks to enchance the quantum of taxation in the country but because it is a determined effort to do away with deficit financing I strongly support this Bill You know that the quantum of taxation in our country has already gone up very high and I think it is almost near the point of saturation. Therefore it is very necessary that some measures should be taken so that we have not to resort to more and more taxation and thus increasing the element of taxation year by year We have to think of alternative means so that there is no frustration among the tax payers and also there is a better understanding between the Government and the people because generally people criticise the Government that they tax them and that those moneys are never properly spent There is the talk of corruption and all these So, while supporting this Bill, I also suggest that the Government must think of other alternatives so that the burden of taxes is no more increasing should at least remain static and not increasing

For that, I think, there can be only two alternatives One is we should earn more and more from other sources than taxation. The second alternative is that we should make more and more savinge so that we can remain within the balance of the income.

As far as savings are concerned, the public sector undertakings, where our country has invested thousands of crores of [Shri Jagan Nath Bhardwaj]

163

rupees, should try to yield more and more profits so that the burden of the taxpayer is reduced For this it is not only for the Govertment but it is for the entire country to see that our public sector works very efficiently and with a sense of dedication I have felt and I have observed that in the public sector, except for a few honourable exceptions, there is not much loyalty and sense of dedication There is urge for more rapid promotions and pleasure mak-This trend shall have to be arrested because this is the greatest cause of unrest among the labour in the public sector. When there is unrest, when labour relations are not good, the result will be that the public sector will not be a successful things, it will be a failure. Therefore, I suggest that for the sake of better industrial relations in the public sector we will have to adopt a very determined clear-cut, positive and very strong method of keeping good industrial relations If we do not bother that in the public scetor the moneys of our poor people are invested then it can not be successful for want of sense of owners and it dustrial peace As a matter of fact, every Indian is a proprietor of the public sector But with popularity hunting we cannot expect labour to behave in a responsible manner There will be no industrial peace in the industry with the result that the public sector will be damaged We should see to it that there is no damage to our own factories and investments

Sir, it is a matter of sorrow for us that the public sector in which we have invest d more than Rs 5, 000 crores is not yielding econsiderable resources for the country to fall back upon The same is the condition with the Railways There too we have invested heavy amounts but the yield from the Railways is also not very satisfactory. So it becomes necessary for every Indian, and at least Members of Parliament and officers in the public sector, to see that the public sector becomes a success. For that I would suggest that we should devote some time to see that the public sector functions properly We should not take the attitude of indifference thinking merely that why should we visit and see the working of the public sector? We should check it because it is our own investment. It should be our

duty to devote some time as propiletors. As a mill-owner cares to see his mill occasionally, so we should also see the working of the public sector occasionally to see it wo ks properly, that the officers there work with a sense of ownership, devotion and dedication After all, some one will have to take some re ponsibility for this. We have to approach the public sector in a very careful way since it is a very delicate business. If we devote some time towards the working of the public sector, I can assure you that there would not be any necessity of further taxation Further investment will be generated and there will be great relief in the country

The other way of reducing the burden of taxation making the savings Savings can be in so many ways Now take, for example the Public Works Department In our country, a huge portion of our national income is spent on public works. And in public works, many of us have observed that there is organised corruption. There you have to please everybody Now it is our duty to break this circle of organised corruption. Of course, there is a sense of gratification in our social life. For example, I go to a man and ask him to bring a glass of water and he brings it, there is a sense of gratification there But if there is corruption in an organised way it is a different thing Somebody says, 'All right, pay 10 per cent here You will get your bill, otherwise you cannot get your bill", as if the entire Public Works Department belongs to those officers who are in charge of it. So we have to break this circle of vested interests of certain employees who are controlling these public works And here there is one thing which is very much injuring our finances and that is the contract system The contract system is fraught with the danger of corruption I think we should do away with the contract system We are working in an organised economy. So that work should also be done in an organised manner There should be some labour co operative socie-Such a scheme was mooted by the Central Government and the State Goveanments but that scheme has dwindled because we make schemes and then do not follow them with the same interest We start schemes without proper planning and without drawing up the proper procedures and the officials who handle those schemes

make them fail because of their anathy So we have to see how we can do away with the contract system and find other agencies for the execution of these works so that a larger and larger number of people take share in this in an organised way and the works are allotted in a scientific and organised way You might have observed that at the close of the year, huge sums of money are squandered away to big contractors and those contractors become richer and richer while the poor labourers do not get due regard So, we have to do away with the contract system and we have to find some agency which can handle these construction work so that this huge wastage is controlled and there is greater relief in the matter of emp'ovment, in the matter of savings and there is relief to the tax-payer

Secondly, in the Community Development Projects also, I have found from experience that considerable amounts of money are boing wasted by the BDOs We have to keep a check over them because much money is wasted by them For this, I suggest that we should not give assistance in the shape of grants. We should give assistance only in the from of loans on a reasonable interest so that generation of wealth, as I have already mentioned, is created even in the matter of giving assistance to people Assistance is necessary. Even subsidies and other things are necessary But we should give them only where it is absolutely necessary Generally we should try to do away with the system of paying doles, subsidies and grants The best thing is, we should give loans wherever assistance is required And the loans should be well educated and assisted properly in technical ways so that the loan does not stand the risk of being wasted

So in this way we can create more funds and those funds can be invested again and I have the experience of one thing in Himachal Pradesh in khadi and village industries they give loans, considerable amount of loan is given. But what happens? The Railway Department does not supply them coal in time, or some such delay occurs in some other thing, with the result that those loans fall in the risk of being put into litigation. The people thus are in trouble. So I would suggest that wherever Government money is given as loan, it should be

seen that from all sides all facilities are available to the loanees so that the loan amount does not fall in any sort of risk and the loan can be easily cellected back. These are the few suggestions I had to make while supporting the Bill. I hope the Government would take proper action on all these matters. Thank you.

SHRIN G GORAY (Maharashtra): Sir while listening to the speech of the Finance Minister I could see no difference between what he said when he talked on the Budget and what he said when he moved the Bill yesterday. The approach is the same and I have no hesitation in bing this approach as a status que approach I was disappointed because his speech did not reflect the great commitment which his party has made to the people during the general elections to the State Assemblies and earlier during the mid-them poll to Parliament. It also does not say anything about the strategy of the Government in dealing with black-money Also we do not get any idea as to how they are going to curb the monopoly houses On all these points the Finance Minister was silent, and his silence speaks volumes because, I think, his own party is suffering from a spell of Some of them are pressing schizonhrenia for the take-over of all the monopoly houses, and, others, who are not as vet decided, are trying to assure the houses that the Government is not going to be very hard on them Just now I have listened to the speech of my friend, Shri Bipinpal Das He was at pains to convince Shii Palkhivala that, after all, the Government was not following a very hard line and the taxation was not very oppressive Shri Palkhivala is too shrewd an advocate and he knows that the hue and cry that the monopolists are raising is not true at all because there is a report of the Reserve Bank of India which has examined 290 b g businees houses and it has come to the conclusion that they never had it so good as they had it during the last two years I am quite sure that Shri Palkhiva'a has read that report whole strate y of the monopoly houses is to try to get more if they could and that is why Shri Palkhivala is going round the country saving that the taxation is very high if more concessions were given, if more latitude were given, the private sector will give you more by way of production.

[Shri N G. Goray]

167

You will be surprised if you examine and find out how the monopoly houses have grown from strength to strength. It seems that with every eaquiry their strength grows Here I have got some of the figures begin with I will mention the names of only two houses whose names are on everybody's In 1951 Birla assets were Rs. 51 14 crores. In 1971-72 their assets were Rs 800 Tata's assets were Rs 95 crores in crores. 1951. Now they are Rs 850 crores quote a number of instances which will show that that with every report—there was the Hazarı report in 1958, there was the Monopoly Inquiry Commission in 1964, the Industrial Licensing Policy Inquiry Committee was there in 1964 and the Dutt report was in 1967-68-these houses have been expanding at a phenomenal rate. Now you take smaller houses At the time of Hazari report Kirloskar's assets were Rs 3.93 ciores; now they are Rs. 61.20 crores Mafatlal had similarly a phenomenal growth At the time of Hazari report it was Rs 11 46 crores and now it is Rs 135 87 crores This is in spite of this Government which is always talking of curbing monopolies, of arresting prices and bringing about an egalitarian society. Therefore, I am saying that this hidden nexus between monopoly houses and the Party which has the monopoly power must be uncovered Otherwise they will contiune to indulge in this game the one hand they are saying that they want to curb monopolies, on the other hand they are expressing their wonder and surprise how the monopolies are growing in spite of all their efforts. It is the double game of running with the haie and hunting with the hounds. This game should not be allowed to continue. Some of the prominent people in the Congress Parts have been going hammer and tongs at the monopoly houses, I have got a number of pamphlets here. This one was recently distributed: Whither Indian Planning by Shri Chandra Sekhar He savs:

"Social parasites who are living by exploiting others, a handful of the vested interests who have stranglehold over the toiling masses will have to be liquidated."

The words are very clear They will have to be liquidated. Shri Chandra Sekhar

ho'ds a very important position and he is a Member of the Working Committee of that Party. But it is only Shri Chandra Sekhar who is known to hold very radical views, but others also say the same thing. Here I have got a paper by Shri Vidya Charan Shukla, Minister of State for Defence, in which he has said this. This also has been circulated and therefore it is not a secret document. He says:

"If the prevention of concentration of economic power is an unalterable social and political policy, why are we afraid of nationalising these conceins?"

This is the question he asks. To top it all, here is my friend, Shri Mohan Dharia, who has almost issued an ultimatum in his statement he has given before us He says that certain things will have to be done forth-with and certain things will have to be done before the 15th of August, 1972. You see, he is the Minister for Planning and he has said, Sir, like this It is Item No 3 He says, "There is no time to be lost. The industries owned by monopoly houses should be either taken over by the Government or the loans advanced to such industries by the public financing institutions should be converted into equity capital and the Government should introduce adequate control over the management of such indus-There are a number of items like this.

3 PM.

Sir, the point that I was trying to make is this: After all these announcements, what is happening? What is happening is that there is nothing in the budget speech of my friends, the Finance Minister and in his speech yesterday Nothing in that. There are only two voices, two minds, you see, the double-think and double-act, if you allow me to use that word Now, this is the atmosphere in which we find ourselves and The monothus we are losing both ways polists are saying, "Well, we don't feel enthusiastic at all" and Shri V. C Shukla has said in his pamphlet that the monopolists are on strike. Sir, I remember a phrase. In 1957-58, it was said that the capital had become shy and now, again the capital has become shy Now, the question is whether you are going to take over the shy capital

once and for all or whether you are going to coax it. That is the question. So far as I can understand the Finance Minister and so far as I can see the Government policy as expressed by him, it is only to coax the capital and it is not to take it over at all. I was a little surprised that the Prime Minister also has started saying, "Let us avoid extremes and let us not go too far, either to the right or to the left", and she is trying to tell the exteremists in her party to keep quiet, not to shout too much. I know, Sir, that Mr. Bhupesh Gupta gets angry with the Ministers nowadays and also with the Prime Minister

Finance

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA(West Bengal)
I am not getting angry

SHRI N G GORAY I said you get angry with the Prime Minister because of what she said at the FICCI Session. And, Sir, he raised very serious objections asking why she should say like that when she is confronted by big monopolists. I entirely agree with Mr. Bhupesh Gupta and I entirely agree with Shri Dharia and Shri V. C. Shukla that the time has now come when you will have to define your attitude.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA, Like Shii Krishan Kant

SHRI N. G. GORAY: Yes, like Mr Krishan Kant. But I did not want to disturb him because he was sleeping.

What I wanted to say is that we have reached a stage where you cannot have two minds. You must define your policy, whether you are going to allow the monopolist to continue or not or, whether you want them to continue so that you will have a sort of mixed economy where all the plums will go to them and we shall be left high and dry. This is something which you will have to define and I am saving that the Government is failing to do it and the Government is failing to give a lead to the country, it is keeping us in the dark and it is also keeping the monopolists in the dark.

Sir, so far as the black money is concerned, yesterday, Mr. Chinai hinted that the Finance Minister was almost ready with the demonetisation scheme. But, some higher-up intervened and, therefore, the scheme

had to be dropped I do not know whether this is true or talse. But, Sir, I can very well believe it, because the Government it self is in two minds. The Finance Minister himself is convinced that so long as black money is there we cannot just put the things straight It is impossible, because whatever you try to do, the black money comes in and it distorts the whole picture. He was not ready to admit this six months ago. But, in his recent speeches he has referred to black money and has said, 'Yes, there is a parallel economy" Now, so long as the parallel economy is there in the country, what is the use of this Finance Bill and the taxation measures and what is the use of saving that if you make it a little less, there will be less of black money? Sir, it is not easy, because even when the taxation was not high, was not 9800, but only about 60° the tendency to amass black money was there and, therefore, Sir, that is not the remedy at all You will have to make up your mind once and for all. Last time, I had suggested that this demonetisation scheme should be really examined that time Shri Chavan had said "No, we do not want to do it " But, Mr. Chinai tells a different story that and, Sir, Mr. Chinai has his own source of information I know it Therefore, I feel, that it might be that the Finance Minister was thinking in that manner but people high up, who knew that it may hit monopoly houses which have been financing the Congress Party so long- it is nothing new, it has been always like that and even where my friend Mr. Tyagi was there he knew that big monopoly houses were financing the Congress party

THE MINISTER OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURF (SHRI K. R. GANESH): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, may I.

SHRI N G. GORAY: I know what you will say You will say that you do not accept that.

SHRI K. R. GANESH: I am not making this statement about morey. I am only making this point that the hon. Member Shri Babubhai Chinai made this point yesterday that the Finance Minister was ready with demonetisation but somebody else high up scutt'ed it. This is not true. I am just contesting that.

SHRI MAHAVIR TYAGI · Which part of it? Was the Finance Minister not willing or was there no interference?

SHRIK R GANESH, What I have said is quite clear

SHRIN G GORAY: I accept what Mr Ganesh has said But then, how are you going to tackle this question of blackmoney? You tell us. The Finance Minister has not shown any outline or anything at all. And if this is so, I say that blackmoney will continue to hold its ground, it will grow and it will always paralyse our economy and frustrate all our efforts to develop our own economy. (Time Bell rings) Only one minute and I will have finished.

What is true of the industry is also true of our agricultural policy. Again, a dispute is going on, a dialogue is going on as to the ceiling: Eighteen acres, ten acres, twelve acres, irrigation by Government sources, irrigation by private sources, and all that sort of thing Sir, what you are doing is that because of this controversy the entire rural community is in doubt. They do not know what is going to happen. What has actually happened is that big chunks of land are being partitioned, are being sold, are being divided and so on. They do not know what is going to be the outcome of it. I am saying that we have just now had the green revolution and the maxium benefit out of agriculture It would not be wise to put the whole agricultural economy in suspence Whatever you want to do, do Don't go on wrangling about it. Don't go on appeasing the Chief Ministers of various States Let there be a Central policy and let it be enforced Let there be no doubt at all as to what is going to be our agricultural policy, in the minds of our agriculturists Sir, I am saying this because I feel that much will depend on the decision that we make Whether in the field of industry or whether in the field of agriculture, if we are firm, if we have taken a decision and if we are going to implement it, then it will be the beginning of an allsided social and economic revolution if you are not going to do it, then, I may be pardoned for saying it, that it will be a big hoax on the people which they may not allow you to play on them. And then that will be really a very bad time for all of us because then all the political parties will have lost their credibility and people will think that the promises made at the time of the poll have no validity at all.

श्री यशपाल कपूर (उत्तर प्रदेश): उपसभा-पित महोदय, वित्त बिधेयक में जो कुछ महत्वपूर्ण बाते सामन आई है उनमें सबसे वडी बात तो यह है कि पहली बार योजना-बद्ध कार्यों के लिये उसमें वोई 27 प्रतिशत अधिक रखा गया है। जिससे कि कुछ 100 वरोड़ रुपये की पूजी योजना के नामों में लगेगी और उससे आधिक कार्यों में गित बढेगी। जिससे योजना के कार्यों में गित आती है उसमें देश के पूरे आधिक क्षेत्र को च हे वह निजी क्षेत्र में हो चाहे दूसरे क्षेत्र में हो और चाहे महकारी क्षेत्र में हो, एक बढावा मिलता है।

श्रीमन, वित्त विधेयक पर बात करते समय जो हमारी आर्थिक नीति है, जो उसकी बुनियाद है, उस पर निगाह दौडाने की बात आती है। ग्रभी पिछले कूछ महीनो मे या पिछले एक वर्ष मे देश के सामने बहत बड़ी समस्या थी, एक नहीं बल्कि कई समस्याये थी। जो कुछ हमारे पडोसी देश बगला देश मे हुआ और एक करोड विस्थापित लोगो के रहने और खाने का प्रबन्ध, उसके बाद पाकिस्तान से युद्ध, इन सब के कारण हमारे देश मे जहां तक कि मुल्यो का, कीमत का, सवाल है कम से कम 40 प्रतिशत तक बढ़नी चाहियेथी, कुछ बढी है लेकिन इतनी नही जो कि इन समस्याओं के होते हये बढती। यह एक हमारा इम्तिहान था हमारी स्राधिक नीति का और उम नीव कर जो कि अबसे 20-22 वर्ष पहले हमारे राष्ट-नायक पंडित जबाहरलाल नेहरू जी न डाली थी, और उस नीति की सफलता , वो प्रमाणित करने का यह एक मौका आया।

श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव : आपने बताया था कि जितना किया गया था वह सब बेकार है।

श्री यशपाल कपूर विनार बाते करने के सिवाय यहा और कोई काय होता नहीं। आपके ओर से वेकार बाते ही होती है।

श्रीमन्, विता विधेयक और वजट सरकार की वित्तीय नीति का एक पहल है। कई कदम उठाये गये है जैसे कि जनरल इश्योरेंम और को किंग कोल का राष्ट्रीयकरण कर लिया गया है, सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र में बहुत कुछ पैमा, करोडों म्पया, जैसा कि और सदस्यों ने कहा है लगा है और निजी क्षेत्र में जो हमारे वित्तीय सन्थान है उनको तरफ से 38 प्रतिशत तक पूजी लगी हई है। स्रभी सरकार ने कंबटिबिलिटी क्लाज का निर्णय कर के एक महत्वपूर्ण कदम उठाया है श्रौर जो बड़े बड़े निजी औद्योगिक सम्थान है उन पर काबू रखने के लिए, उनके चलने पर अ हुश लाने क लिए यह हथियार सरकार अपने हाथ में ले रही है। फिर सवाल उठता है कि हमारे बैको का राष्ट्रीयकरण हुआ। पूरी तसल्ली तो नही उनके कार्य से लेकिन फिर भी हमारे प्रायरिटी सेक्टसं मे जहा राष्ट्रीयकरण से पहले केवल 8 या 9 प्रतिशत पूजी वह लगाया करते थे अब वह बढ़कर 27 प्रतिशत तक हो गई है। इस सम्बन्ध में मैं वित्त मत्नी जी से दो एक बातें कहुँगा कि जो ग्रामीण क्षेत्रों में बैकों को ले जाने का कार्यक्रम है, उनकी शाखाये जो खुळ रही है . . .

श्री रणवीर सिंह (हरियाणा) : पैसा नहीं देते ।

श्री यशपाल कपूर: लेकिन उनकी कार्य-क्षमता इसलिए अभी इतनी नहीं बढ़ी कि एक तो उनमें जिन लोगों को काम पर लगाया जाता है वह लोग शहर से ग्राकर के गांवों में ड्यूटी में लग जाते हैं। पहले तो उनका मन नहीं करता कि वे शहर से गांव जाएं और गांव वाले लोग भी जो होते है, उनकों भी यह ऐतवार नहीं होता कि यह कैसा आदमी है, सोचते है कि यह बाहर से कोई अजनवी ग्राया है, मालूम नहीं यह पैसा लेकर रहेगा या चला जाएगा। दूसरे, जो बैकां की बहुत सी शाखाएं गांवों में खुली है वहां पर एक ही अधिकारी बैंक की तरफ से रखा जाता

है---वर्हा मैनेजर वही एकाउन्टेट, वही क्लर्क उसमें उसको अपने कार्यालय में ही बंधकर बैठना पडता है वह गाँव मे जाकर या खेत में जाकर किसान से वातचीत करने का समय नहीं निकाल पाना और इससे बैक का कार्य नहीं चल पाना । बहत से बैको के बाहर तो चौकी-दार भी नही लगाया जाता है जिसकी बंदूक से च हे बुछ हो या न हो, लेकिन गांवों के लोगों को एक विश्वास रहता है कि हमारा पैसा यहां सुरक्षित रहेगा और चौकीदार के न रहने से वह विश्वास उनमे नहीं आ पाता। तो इसलिए मेरी यह प्रार्थना है कि राष्ट्रीयकृत बैकों की विशेषतः ग्रामीण क्षेत्रों मे जो भाषाएं खोली जा रही है उनकी कार्य क्षमता को बढाने की और जिसमे उनके द्वारा किमानो को स्रामानी से और ग्रधिक पेसा मिल सके इसकी व्यवस्था की जाय।

इसके म्रलावा बजट में चाहे जो कुछ भी हो, योजना में कोई कार्यक्रम हो, उसके अति-रिक्त और भी कई कार्यक्रम उठाए गए, जैसे गावों में लोगों को रोजगार दिलाने के लिए कोश प्रोग्राम चालू किए गए शिक्षित लोगों के लिए अलग में धनराजि रखी गयी और गांवों के विकास के लिए, पीने के पानी के लिए, आवास के लिए, अलग से कुछ पैसा रखा गया। इन सब के अलावा सम्कारी कर्मचारियों को दो, दो वार इन्टेरिम ज्लिफ दिया गया। तो कोई दो-दाई सौ करोड रु० इस मद में ग्रीर फूड सब्मिडी पर जो खर्च किया गया, तो इस प्रकार बजट में योजनावद्ध कार्यों के लिए कोई पांच-छ: करोड़ रु० सीधे जनता के पास पहचा।

केम प्रोग्राम के बारे में एक प्रार्थना करना चाहता हूं कि जब आप हर एक जिले के लिए एक जैमी धनराशि देते हैं - शायद साढ़ें 12 लाख म० देते हैं - लो उममे यह ध्यान नहीं रखा जाता कि जिम जिले की विशेषता क्या है। मैदानी इलाके मे भी साढ़े 12 लाख रु०

[श्री यशपाल क्पूर]

दिया जाता है और पहाडी इलाके मे भी साढे 12 लाख रूपया दिया जाता है। चाहे सडक काम हो, चाहे कृए बनाने का पानी पहचाने का काम हो. का या ज₁एं, काम उठाग पहाडी मे उनकी लागत मैदानी इलाके से तीन-चार गुना अधिक होती है और नतीजा यह होता है कि पहाडी इलाके में लाभ तीसरे हिस्से का ही हो पाता है। तो जब ये चीजे मोची जाएं तो यह भी देखा जाए कि जो भी किसी की अपनी आवश्यकताएं है, उसके अनुसार उनको धन मिले ।

इसके अलावा जो अग्निकलचरल इनकम टैंक्स कमेटी की नियुक्ति की गई है उसकी भी रिपोर्ट शीघ्र लाने का प्रयास होना चाहिए। काले धन के सम्बन्ध में वाचू कमेटी की रिपोर्ट पर जल्द से जल्द निर्णय लेकर कड़ी कार्यवाही करनी चाहिए। दूसरे, विदेशी मुद्रा में जो हेराफेरी होती है, हमारी इन्वाइसिंग में गडबड करके, उसे रोकने के लिए जो कौल कमेटी बिठाई गई है उसको भी यह निदेष होना चाहिए कि वह अपना कार्य शीघ्रता से पूरा करे।

भूमि के परिमीमन के बारे में यहां कुछ कहा गया। यह तो निर्णय हो ही चुका है कि यह पिसीमन केवल ग्रामीण क्षेत्रों में नहीं बित्त शहर में जमीन और मकान पर भी लगे। अब, जहां तक इसको लागू करने का मबाल है, 10 एकड हो, 12 एकड हो, 18 एकड हो, अभी उसके विषय में बातचीत करके विचार करे क्योंकि हर एक प्रदेश की अपनी समस्याण है, अपनी अपनी उनकी—क्लाइमेटिक कहिए, ज्योग्रेफिकल कहिए—कुछ दिक्कतें है। तो उनमब पर विचार करके इसके बारे में निर्णय हो सकते है।

अभी थोडे दिन पहले —यह हमारे दल का मामला था—हमारे चुताव घोषणा पत्न के बारे

मे जो कुछ भी कहा गया है, मैं समझता हूं, चुनाव के बाद घोपणा - पत्र पर तेजी से भ्रमल हो रहा है। ग्रौर निर्णय लिए जा रहे है। एक बात वित्त मत्नी जी से यह कहंगा कि वे पिछडे हए क्षेत्र की तरफ विशेष ध्यान करे जब कि पचवर्षीय योजना के सम्बन्ध मे बात चीत चल रही है। हमे यह ज्ञात हुआ है कि पचवर्षीय योजना में पिछडे हुए लोगो पर, दरिद्र लोगों पर, अधिक खर्च किया जायेगा, तो यह बहत ही प्रसन्तता की बात है। लेकिन अभी तक आप जिस मापदड से साधनों का वितरण प्रदेशों के लिए करते रहे है, वह कुछ ठीक मालुम नहीं देता है। उत्तर प्रदेश की ही बात ले लीजिये। वहाँ से कितनी इन्डस्ट्रीज के लिए लाइसेन्स पत्न आये और क्तिने दिये गये ? जहाँ तक मेरी मुचना है केवल चार ही दिये गये है । वहा पर बिजली की बहत कमी है और पिछले कई महीनों से तो बहत क्षेत्रों में कई लाइनो पर कई कई घटो तक बिजली गायव रही है। एक तो वहाँ पर इन्डस्ट्रीज पहले से ही कम है और गावों मे जहा टयबवेल्स लगाये गये है, सरकार ने सिवाई की मुविधा लिफ्ट इरिगेशन द्वारा या राजकीय टयूबवेल्स द्वारा की है, उन्हें तक बिजली नही मिल रही है। इस सिलसिले मे दो बाते विचाराधीन है। एक तो चार सौ मेगावाट का थर्मल स्टेशन देने की बात है और दूसरा आण्धिक शक्तिका जो प्लान्ट लगना है उसके सम्बन्ध मे शीघ्र ही निर्णय होना चाहिये। कहा और किस जगह पर उत्तर प्रदेश में लगे यह बिवाद का विषय नहीं बनना चाहिये।

एक नीति आपने यह बनाई थी कि केवल उन्हीं मोनोपोलीज हाउसेज को लाइसेन्स दिये क जायेगे जो पिछडे हुए को तो में उद्योग लगायेगे। कहा तक यह नीति सफल हुई और कहा तक मोनोपोलीज हाउसेज पिछडे हुए क्षेत्रों में उद्योग लगाने के लिए तैयार हुए, इन बातों को देखते हुए इसकी सफलता औरअसफलता को देखते हुए, आपको निर्णय लेना होगा और इस मामले में कुछ जबरदस्ती भी करनी होगी। वयोकि बड़े बड़े शहरों के आस पास कारखाने लगाये जाने से जनता को कोई ल'भ होने वाला नहीं है श्रौर इस तरह यह पिछडे हुए इलाके हमेशा अन्धेरे मे ही पडे रहेगे जब की उन्हें आज उठाने की श्रावश्यकता है।

कुछ बाने कल एक माननीय सदस्य ने, श्री नागेस्वर प्रसाद शाही जी ने, यहा पर कही। उनके भाषण के समय मैं यहा पर नहीं था।

श्री नवल किशोर (उत्तर प्रदेश): आप भी नहीं थे और आज वे भी नहीं है।

श्री यशपाल कपूर: मैंने उन्हें बनला दिया था कि अब में उत्तर दूगा। उन्होंन पूरे अपने भाषण में गरीबों के लिए, पिछडे हुए लोगों के लिए एक शब्द भी नहीं कहा, लेकिन दूमरों पर लाछन लगाना और पुरानी बातों को उखाउकर लाना, जैसा कि उनके दल की डिस्ट्रिक्ट ह नीति रही है, कैरैक्टर असेसिनेशन की नीति रहीं है, वहीं उनक भाषण का साराँग था।

उन्होन कहा कि उन्हें अपने गुरु के हारने वी कोई चिन्ता नही है, लेकिन उनके भाषण से ऐसा लगता है कि उनके हारने का ही मर्सिया पढ रहे थे, चाहे वह लोक सभा का चुनाव रहा हो या राज्य सभा का चुनाव। मै समझता ह कि जो उन्होंने कहा कि उन्हे चिन्ता नहीं है वह भी उन्होने कुछ ठीक ही कहा, क्योकि उनके गुरु कोई हार-जीत के लिए चुनाव नहीं लडते। चनाव के रुमय वे कितना पैसा इकट्ठा कर सनते है, उसके लिए चुनाव लडते है, चुनाव मे हार जाने के बाद फिर इलेक्शन पिटीशन इस लिए करते है कि फिर उसमे पैसा उकटठा कर लिया जाय और फिर कोई और चनाव राज्य सभा का आ जाय तो फिर चनाव लड लेने है, जिससे कि कुछ ओर वर्षों के लिए इन्तजाम हो जाय। इसीलिए उन्हें चिन्ता नहीं, इसी से उनको तसल्ली होती है। मैं उन्हे यह नता देना चाहता हू कि उनके अपने दल के साथी--क्या करे उनके दल वे चार टुक्ड हो गए पता नहीं उनके माथी रहे या नहीं . . .

संसदीय कार्य विभाग तथा नौवहन और परिवहन मंत्रालय में राज्य मत्री (श्री ओम् मेहता): सब दल दल में है।

श्री यशपाल कपूर: उनके साथी काशी-नाथ लोक सभा के चुनाव में उनके चुनाव क्षेत्र के कार्यालय के मख्य कार्यकर्ताथ। चुनाव के थोडे दिन बाद उन्होंने अपने दल से त्यागपत दे दिया भ्रोर उसकी वजह यह बताई कि जो कुछ चुनाव के दौरान उन्होंने देखा, इतना रुपया कहा से उनके दल के नेता के पास आया। ग्रीर यह चनाव जो था वह कोई समाजवादी दल के उम्मीद वार बनकर इनके गुरु ने नहीं लडा था, वह एक अजीव खिचडी बन गई थी, तथा कथित समाज वाद का नारा लगाने वाले दल और पूजीवादी दल कहिए उन सबने मिल कर इनको ग्रपना प्रतीक बना कर चारो तरफ से पैसा इकट्ठा कर के चुनाव लडा। और इन्होने उन दलो की सहा-यता से चुनाव लटा जो न वेवल पैमा बल्कि धर्म और जाति रे नाम पर भी लोगो को उभा रते है। तो यह इनके समाजवाद का कार्यक्रम

राज्य सभा ने चुनाव के वारे में नागेश्वर जी ने कहा कि आखिरी दिन डेढ लाख रपया एक बोट की कीमत थी और यह भी कहा कि बनारसी दास जी को उनके दल के 51 सदस्यों ने लिख कर दिया कि हम ग्रापके साथ है। अब यह प्रमाणित करना कि 51 उनके साथ थे या, नहीं यहां कठिन मालूम होता है, लेकिन उनके दल की भी एक बात बता दूं।

श्री बनारसी दास (उत्तर प्रदेश): उपा-ध्यक्ष महोदय, उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा कि 51 सदस्यों ने लिख वर दिया।

श्री रणबीर सिंह : उन्होंने डेंढ लाख की बात कही थी। श्री बनारसी दास: मेरा खयाल ऐसा है कि रणबीर सिंह जी को ज्यादा सर्टिफिकेट की जरूरत नहीं है यशपाल कपूर को प्रसन्न करने के लिए। मैं केवल उनका ध्यान आकर्षित कर रहा था इस गलती की ओर . . .

श्री रणबीर सिंह . वह लिखी कार्यवाही है।

श्री यशपाल कपुर: जो उनका भाषण हम्रा मेरे पास उसकी प्रति है। जिस दिन वोट पड़े उससे एक दिन पहले हालत यह थी कि 51 विधायको ने लिख कर दिया था कि हम आपके साथ है। तो 51 में से 36 केंसे रह गए। अब मै सदन का ज्यादा समय लेना नही चाहता, लेकिन यह भी बना दूं कि जब श्री विभवन नारायण सिह जी मुख्य मन्त्री थे और उनकी मरकार लडखडा रही थी, तब उनके सामने भी लोगों को ले जाकर खड़ा कर दिया जाता था कि ये सब विधायक आपके साथ है, चाहे उनमे बहत से विधायक होते नहीं थे और इसी िए गवर्नर के भाषण पर धन्यवाद के प्रस्ताव के बाद ही उन्हे अपना त्यागपत्न देना पडा था: क्यों कि उनको अन्धेरे मे रखा गया था कि क्तिने उनके साथ है, क्तिने नहीं है। अन्त मे महोदय, मै एक बार फिर क्यों कि मेरा निजी नाम लिया गया था, कहना चाहता हूँ कि जो कुछ नागेश्वर प्रसाद जी ने अपनी आखो से मुझे बाटते देखा, मैं समझता ह कि वह सब उन्होंने स्वप्न मे देखा। उन्होंने जो कुछ बाते मेरे ऊपर थोपी है, वे सरासर गलत है। मै संसद का समय जाया नहीं करना चाहता, वे करतूते बता कर जो उनके गृह ने की थी।

श्री एन० एच० कुम्भारे (महाराष्ट्र) सम्मानीय डिप्टी चेयरमैन जी, मै सिर्फ एक बात कहने के लिए खड़ा हूँ। समृद्धि व साथ सामाजिक न्याय रहेगा, समृद्धि और सामाजिक न्याय दोनो साथ-साथ चलेगे यह हमे कहा गया है। किन्तु मुझे लगता है कि जहा तक सामा-जिक न्याय का सवाल है, एक ग्रह्यन्त महस्व-

पूर्ण पत्लू पर ध्यान नहीं दिया गया है। हमारे देश मे जो समाज की घडी बनी हुई है, समाज छुआछुत और जातिवाद से घिरा हुआ है। घटनाकारो ने जब घटना बनाई तो उस वक्त अछतो के लिये सिर्फ दस माल के लिये अलग से राजकीय अधिकारी प्रदान किया था, जिसके कारण लोक सभा मे और असेम्बिलयो मे विशेष रिजर्वेशन शेडयूल्ड कास्ट्स और शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स के लिए निर्धारित किया गया था। दस साल का समय क्यो दिया गया था? मेरे विचार मे उस वक्त घटनाकारों ने यह सोचा कि आजादी के पहले छुआछून समाप्त करने ती दृष्टि से जो बड़े जोर के साथ प्रयत्न किया जा रहा है, आजादी के बाद वह प्रयत्न और ज्यादा जोर मे विया जायगा और देश में जो छुआछूत और जातिवाद का क्लक है, उसकी दूर करने में दस साल से अधिक समय नहीं लगेगा। मेरे खयाल से इसी अन्दाज से उन्होने पोलिटिकल रिजर्वेशन का समय सिर्फ दस साल तक सीमित रखा था। दुर्भाग्य की बात है कि दस साल का समय गुजर जाने के बाद फिर दूतारा रिजर्वेशन का समय और दस साल के लिए बढाना पडा। इतना ही नहीं, आगे आने वाले दो तीन साल से बाद यह समय फिर गुजरने वाला है। मुझे ऐसा लगता है कि फिर दूसरी मर्तबा यह पोलिटीकल रिजर्वेशन शड्यूल्ड काम्ट्स और शड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स के नाम से किया जायगा । लेकिन जिस रफ्तार से इस दिशा में काम होना आवश्यक था, दुर्भाग्य से वह काम उस रफ्तार से नहीं हो रहो है। यह बात जरूर है कि शेड्यूटड कास्ट और शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स के उत्थान के लिए विचार होता है, मगर मै स्पष्ट तौर से यह कहना चाहँगा कि विचारों में जो तेजी दीखती ह, प्रत्यक्ष कार्य की अगर छानबीन की जाय तो मेरे बयाल से हमारे विचारों में जो तेजी है, काम मे यह तेजी नजर नही आती। मै यह कहने जा रहा ह कि हमारे विधान के प्राविधान के मुताबिक विमिश्नर नियु₁त किया गया है शेड्यूल्ड कास्ट और शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स के हितो रक्षा के लिए, उसके लिए करने

[THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU) in the Chair!

नहीं पल्टे गये है।

अत्यन्त महत्वपूर्ण सुभाव उन्होने दिये, उन मुझादों में से कोई भी मुझाव स्वीकार करके उसके मुताबिक कार्यवाही वरने के लिए शासन की ओर से ग्रभी तक कोई कदम नहीं उठाया गया है।

एक महत्वपूर्ण पुझाव, जिसके लिए मैने वोलने वा प्रवसर लिया है, यह है कि दोड्यूल्ड कास्ट और शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स की विशेष समस्याओ को देखते हुए उनक छिए एक फाइनेस कारपोरेशन की स्थापना की जाय। यह एक मुझाव पेरूमल कमेटी द्वारा दिया गया। यह एक अन्यन्त महत्वपूर्ण सूझाव हे । इस पर णासन को ग्रत्यन्त गभीरता से विचार करना आवश्यक है। देश में छुआछुत समाप्त करने की दिष्ट से महत्वपूर्ण कदम उठाये जा रहे है और अागे भी उठाये जायेगे, मगर आज भी मै कहना चाहूँगा कि एक बात मुझे कही गयी और उसे सुन कर मुझे बडा आश्चर्य हुआ। यह बात मै ठीक मानता ह कि इस मदन मे जो बात कही जाए, जो लोग यहां उपस्थित न हो, उनकी गैरहाजिरी मे नहीं कहीं जानी चाहिए, मगर एक बात मझे कही गयी है कि जिसको सून कर मझे अचम्भा हुआ और वह यह है कि आज भी उतार प्रदेश के जो मुख्य मत्री है उन्हों ने कभी भी किसी अछत के मकान पर भोजन नहीं किया है। यह ऐसी जानकारी है जिसको सुन कर हर भारतीय के मन मे एक प्रकार से दूख पैदा हो सकता है। तो में यह कहने जा रहा था कि आज भी छुआछुत की भावना गहरी है, जब तक कोई खास बुनियादी कार्य नहीं होता है, जब तक उनके आधिक और शैक्षाणिक विकास की दृष्टि से कोई महत्वपूर्ण कदम नहीं उठाये जाते है, यह जानि-पाति और छुआछून का वातावरण स्माप्त होने में हम को कई साल तक इतजार वरना पडेगा और इमलिए मै आप के माध्यम से उपाध्यक्ष जो, चाहगा कि इस पिछडे हए वर्ग के उत्थान के लिए ठोम और सर्वव्यापी और महत्वपूर्ण कदम उठाना आवब्यक है और इन शब्दों के साथ मै अपना वक्तव्य समाप्त करना हु।

Bill, 1972

SANDA NARAYANAPPA (Andhra Pradesh): Mr. Vice-Charman, Sir, I am very glad that the Vice-Chairman has given me permission to participate in the discussion on the Finance Bill. I am happy that our Budget aid the Finance Bill provide for schemes which are labourintensive and labour-oriented and that our finances and plans and programmes are intended to eradicate poverty, It is gratifying to note that they are intended to solve unemployment in the country, to increase industrial production and to implement export-oriented schemes I am very thankful to the hon'ble Finance Minister for his proposals to reach the goal of self-sufficiency by removing under development in the country.

At this stage I propose to speak on the handloom industry which has been completely neglected in the country. Two crores of people are dependent upon the handloom industry. This industry is spread all over the country, especially in the rural areas. There are nearly 75 lakhs of handloom [Shri Sanda Narayanappa]

183

weavers working on 30 lakhs of looms. The total population depending on this irral cottage industry, which is called the natiotional cottage industry. Is about 2 crores But they are now facing crisis because the yarn prices have gone up. The rates of dyes and chemicals are abnormally high The high rates of different varieties of cotton yarn and colours have increased unemployment. The looms have stopped working. The handloom weavers are moving towards towns and other parts of the country in search of employment.

In this connection I may bring to your notice that there were only 27 thousand of powerlooms in the year 1953-54. Now the illegal installations have gone up rapidly Since the excise duty on units consisting of four powerlooms has been removed the big monopolists and rich people have entered this field. Now the number of powerlooms has gone up to 3 lakhs.

Sir, the powerloom is the first and foremost enemy of the handloom industry The Government of India were kind enough to protect this industry, and to give employment to the handloom weavers they constituted a fund called the Cess Fund in the year 1953-54. In the first and the second Plan this Fund was administered by the Centre. It was then working very weil The handloom weavers were getting funds through the Central Government and tley were earning their livelihood. Now this Cess Fund is being administered by the State Governments in the third and the fourth Plans, as such their condition has become depiorable. The hand oom weavers are not getting adequate attention towards tl eir handloom problems They are facing unemployment. The State Governments are concerned with their own priorities are allotting more funds to other schemes The amounts sanctioned by the Government of India for utilisation by the handloom industry have been diverted to other schemes and the handloom industry is completely neglected at the State level I would request that this Cess Fund should again be administered by the Government of India instead of handing it over to the State Governments.

There is another thing. Recently in the 1970-71 Budget there was excise duty on powerlooms. Each powerloom had to pay That has been reduced to Rs 10. Rs 50 And now they are producing coloured saris, though the Government of India order is there since 1966 that coloured saris are to be reserved completely for the handloom sector. That communication has gone to the State Governments, but the State Governments are not strictly implementing this order. I request the Government of India the Finance Minister to take note of this and see that this order reserving coloured saris for the handloom sector is strictly enforced by the State Governments, and give protected market and protected facilities to this industry. In order to arrest the increase in the size and number in the powerloom sector, the Government of India set up a committee under the chairmanship of Mr Asoka Mehta, to enquire into the question of powerlooms increase, and this committee recommended that coloured saris should be strictly reserved for the handloom Now, Sir, three years' grace time sector The Maharashtra Government was given challenged the order in the Bombay Hgh Court and they got a stay order. The time of three years, according to the Government's order, has now gone. The stay order has been vacated now, and there is no problem now. The problem which is there in Maharashtra is that they are levying a sales tax of 11 per cent on silk handloom fabrics. On account of heavy sales tax, the rates of the handloom fabrics have gone up and the silk handloom weavers have been reduced to unemployment. The weavers in centres like Banaras and Mysoie, who send their handloom fabrics to Maharashtra find it difficult to market them So I request that the Maherashtra Government may be instructed by the Government of India not to levy this 11 per cent sales tax on silk fabrics.

Another thing which I would like to bring to your kind notice is that whenever there is some trouble in textile mills, the Government rushes in to give assistance and solve their problems. At the labour level, at the leaders level, they conduct discussions and then they give adequate finances to help the industry in order to see that the workers are provided employment. But in the case of the handloom

Finance

industry which covers the whole of India and on which two crores of people are dependent, they are not given adequate attention and protection The high prices of cotton yarn, as I have mentioned earlier, should be controlled at the floor level and a ceiling on yarn price should be fixed, Then only the price of yarn can be stabilised and the industry will be able to stand And with less financial assistance, we can solve the unemployment problem in this If you install a loom with Rs 500, you can provide employment to two or three persons. All these people are concentrated in the rural areas and they are not united, they have got no associations to start agitations They are not at all educated They are distributed all over the country They are not able to start agitations and thus bring pressure on the Government to solve their problems request that the Government should think over the poverty-stricken conditions of the handloom weavers and give them adequate facilities, house facilities, edicational facilities and so on, as are given to some backward communities These weavers should be given all facilities to continue their handloom industry without any interruption and without any kind of competition from the powerlooms and the textile mills cently All India Handloom Convention was arranged by Shri M Somappa, President All India Handloom Fabrics Marketi g Cooperative Soc etv on the 3rd May, 1972 at Delhi, in which all the hardships that the Handloom industry is facing were dis-The resolutions were sent to the Government for speedy action in order to help the handloom industry without further delay. There is one more thing I want to mention. Some of the handloom institution are exporting nearly Rs 20 crores worth of fabrics every year, but they have not been given adequate financial assistance is one institution at the all-India level called the All-India Handloom Fabrics Cooperative Marketing Society They have been requesting the Finance Ministry to allot them adequate finances as loan to improve their exports and earn foreign exchange and ultimately to provide employment to handloom weavers I hope the Finance Minister will be kind enough to consider this request and give them adequate finances. In this connection I would like to refer to the cash incentives given by the

Government of India to the textile fabrics on their export varieties to the extent of I request that such incentives 30 per cent may be given to such people who are abe to export handloom fabrics to o her count-They should be given 10 per eent more than mill fabrics for export purposes. Ten per cent may be given ex ra for handloom fabrics to be exported outside the Such cash incentives may be given to handloom fabrics so that they can w thstand the mill competition. Then only they will be able to live in the country with peace and security. I hope the Government will consider all these points and try to protect this industry and the people dependent on this industry traditionally. One-third of the requirements of cloth for the entire country is being produced by the handloom sector The Government of India This industry should has all these figures. It should be given adcnot be neg'ecced quate finances The, yarn prices also should The industry may be given be stabilised adequate supply of colours and dyes Crores of people dependent on this industry are there in villages all over the country When the yarn prices went up, they started an agitation in Nagpur and a procession was taken out in the streets and during that agitation when firing took place, six people lost their lives. In UP recently a Parliamentary Committee was appointed to liquidate the stocks, to purchase hand'oom cloth and thus help the handloom weavers Tamil Nadu at Nagarcoil there are 5000 handlooms. Similarly in Andhra Pradesh Tirichirapalli and other Erode, Salem. places there are many thousand handlooms All those handloom weavers are out of employment now on account of the high prices of yarn and dyes I hope the Government will consider all these things and 'es that the handloom sector is protected well Thank you.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI) On a point of order, Sir, with your permission. The speaker who preceded the Member who has just finished, had made a certain allegation that the Chief Minister of UP had probably never eaten food along with a member belonging to the Scheduled Castes. Though this Member is not here, I would like to say that it is not the practice in this House

[Shrimati Sushila Rohatgi]

to quote persons who are not Members of this august House here; even otherwise, I think the Chief Minister of UP happens to be one of the oldest Congressmen that we have in the country and who are committed to the Congress ideology, and it is one of our principles, one of the terets of our philosophy, that we do believe in the cradication of untouchability. And as such to attribute a motive or intention or action to such a person, I think, is not correct, and I feel it should not have gone on record and it was not right. Therefore, I have stood up to contradict the allegation made...

श्री नवल किशोर : अच्छा यह होगा कि मेम्बर साहब मुख्य मंत्री को दावत दे और हम लोग भी णामिल होगे। तब पता चलेगा कि वह उनके यहाँ खाते है या नही।

श्रीमती सुशीला रोहतगी: दावत रे तो हम स्वागत करेगे।

श्री नवल किशोर: उप सभापति जी, मैने फाइनेन्स बिल को देखा और ऐसा लगा जैसे कुनेन की गोली मृह में डालो तो भीठी मीठी लगती है और हलक के नीचे जानी है तो कडवी हो जाती है। चव्हाण साहब बहुत अच्छे और होशियार वकील है, पता नहीं उन्होंने कभी प्रे विटस की या नहीं। उन्होंने काफी कुछ चीजे कही, ग्रौर हम लोगो को भुलावा देने की कोशिश की। उन्होंने फरमाया कि 27 परसेट इसमे नियोजन के ऊपर ज्यादा खर्चा होगा. प्लानिग पर ज्यादा खर्चा होगा, 246 वरोड ऐसी स्कीमो पर खर्चा होगा जिनके कार्यान्विति से सामाजिक न्याय लोगों को ज्यादा मिलेगा। उन्होने बलेक मनी को किस तरीके से ओनिन मे लाया जायना उसकी चर्चा की, टैक्स ईवजन को रोकने की बात भी कही, यह भी लोगों को ढाढस दिया कि वाचु कमेटी की जो मिफारिण सरकार को मजुर होगी उन पर अमल के लिए एक बिल या विधेयक सरकार पालियामेट मे पेश करेगी। श्रीमन्, उन्होने यह भी बताया कि

मिट्टी के तेल पर टैक्स कम कर दिया है। ये सब महरबानियाँ उन्होने बताई। यह भी बताया कि नए नए रिसोर्सेज की आवश्यनता है और इससे ज्यादा टैक्सो में कमी करने से दिक्कत पेश आएगी। मझे इसमे कोई शिकायत नही है। मै इस बात को जानता हूँ कि अगर ममाजवादी व्यवस्था लानी है तो इनवेस्टमेट बढाना पड़ेगा और इनवेस्टमेट बढायेंगे तो नए रिसोर्सेज तलाश करने पड़ेगे और टैक्सेशन होगा। मगर मुझे एक शिकायत है कि चव्हाण साहब ने न लोकसभा मे और न ही यहा आस्टे-रिटी की या इकोनोमी की बात कही। आप जितना चाहे टैक्स लगाइए, मगर इस बात का आश्वामन आपको देना चाहिए और आपको देना होगा कि एक-एक पाई जो आप टेक्सपेयर से लेंगे उसका सही इस्तेमाल होगा. उसका वेस्टेज नहीं होगा। केवल इतना काफी नहीं है कि आपने नियोजन पर, प्लान स्कीरस पर आःटले बढा दिया। चन्हाण माहब मझ से ज्यादा जानते है, कि पिछले साल जितना भ्रापने कैपीटल आउटले बहुन सी स्वीम्स में रखा था उतना इस्तेमाल नहीं हुआ और करोड़ों रुपया अनयूटिलाइज्ड पडा रहा। तो केवल पैसा बढाने से काफी काम नहीं होता है। श्रीमन, मझे याद है, मेरे यहाँ एक काश्तकार थे, उनको खेत बोने का गौक था, उसमे क्या पैदा होता है उसकी फिक नहीं थी। तो केवल पैसा लगाने से काम होने वाला नहीं है। उसका परिणाम क्या होता है यह भी देखने की बात है।

वित्त मंत्री (श्री य० ब० चव्हाण): वह किमान नहीं होगा।

श्री नवल किशोर . वह था तो किसान ही । हो सकता है कि आपकी तरह होशियार न हो, लेकिन था तो किसान ही ।

मै एक बात कहना चाहता हू। समाजवाद की बात होती है, सही बात है, समाजवाद आएगा चाहे वह चव्हाण साहब की मार्फत आए, चाहे किसी और की मार्फत आए। गणेश

मैने अभी पढ़ा कि 100 कारे इम्पोर्ट की जारही है बाहर से और यहा पर बात की जाती है आत्म-निर्भरता और सेल्फ रिलायन्स की, यह मेरी समझ म नही आता। जब अपने देश के अन्दर फियट और एम्बेसेडर बनती है तो क्या जरूरत है कि हमारे राष्ट्रपति साहब के लिए 6 लास की गाडी आए और मिनिस्टर साहवान के लिए 1 लाख की इम्पाला गाडी अ।ए[?] अगर समाजवाद लाना है तो उसकी प्रेक्टिस टाप से शुरू करनी पड़ेगी, आपको राष्ट्रपति भवन जा खर्चा कम करना पड़ेगा, श्रापको वाइस प्रेसि-डेन्ट पर जो खर्चा होता वह कम करना परेगा, जो मित्रमङल के ऊपर खर्चा होता है, मंत्रियों के ऊपर जितना खर्च होता है वह कम करना पड़ेगा। मै उन चीजो मे जाना नही चाहना कि किम पर मिनना खर्चा होता है, 4 लाख खर्चा होता है या 5 लाख खर्चा होता है। और यहा तक कि जो एम० पी० साहेबान है, हमारे खर्चे मे भी आपको कमी करनी पडेगी, तब कोई समाजवाद के मायने होगे, नहीं तो समाजवाद के कोई मायने नहीं होते।

4 P M.

कहा गया है कि सेल्फ रिलायेम हो, लेकिन

उसके बावजूद अभी हाल में ही सारकार द्वारा फारेन कोलाबोरेर्णन के लिए 221 मामले स्वीकार किये गये है, पिछले मालों में फारेन ऐड ग्रापकी बढ़नी जा रही है और उसके बाद आप कहते है कि हम चाहते है कि हिन्दुस्तान के ग्रन्दर मेल्प रिलायेस हो। गोरे साहब नही है, उन्होने कोट किया था...

श्री एन० जी० गोरे . में हैं।

श्री नवल किशोर: तो ग्रच्छा है, उन्होने कहा कि टाटा के 1951 में जो ग्रसेट्स थे वह 95 करोड़से बढ़ कर अब 850 करोड़ हो गर्ने, विरला के 51 करोड़ से बढ़ कर 800 करोड हो गये लेकिन इसके बावजद भी उनको नये-नये लाइमेसेज दिये जा रहे है इस समाज-वादी व्यवस्थ में । तो मै यह वात कहना चाहना ह कि हम समाजवाद के साथ है और चव्हाण साहब जो भी ढग समाजवाद को लाने के लिए अपनायेगे, जो भी कदम उसके लिए उठायेगे. हम 101 परसेट उसमे उन को मोर्ट करेगे. मगर यह जो होत्स और हिनोक सी है उसमे हम आप का साथ नहीं दे पायेंगे। श्रीमन् यह, जो आपका फाटनेसबिल है स्पौर जो टैक्सेणन है जिसमे इ डायरेक्ट टैक्स, जैसा कि कल भी कहा गया है, 65 परसेट हो गया है और डाइ-रेक्ट टैक्स सिर्फ 35 परसेट हो गया है। 204 करोड का इंडायरेक्ट टैक्सेशन है और केवल 88 करोड का डायरेक्ट टैक्सेशन पडता है। इस का गतीजा यह है कि कीमने वढ रही है। चव्हाण साहब कितनी ही कोणिण करे कीमतो की रोकथाम करने के लिए, लेकिन कामयाब नहीं हो पाते हैं। लेकिन किसान के गेहें की वीमत को आप नहीं बढने देगे। उसके गेह को पैदा करने वाशी जो चीजे है फरिलाइजर की कीमत बढ गर्या, खावपासी, विजली खौर जितनी चीजे ह जिनकी उसती आवश्यकता होती है. आप भी एक अच्छे फार्मर है, जानते है कि उनकी कीमते बढ गयी 12 परमेट से 15 पर-सेट तक, 20 परसेट तक लेकिन गेह की कीमत 76 की 76 ही है। तो यह सौतेला बर्ताव हो

19 l

[श्री नवल किशोर] रहा है काश्नकार के साथ यह क्यो है यह मै जानना चाहना है?

ब्लैं क भनी की बात आयी। श्रीमन् मै यह कहना चाहता है कि वॉच् कमीशन ने कहा है कि 1,4000 करोड रुपया (?) ब्लेक मनी मे है। मै जानता नहीं कि यह आकड़े कहा तक सही है, एक बात मे जानता हं कि आप प्लान मे 4,000 करोड रुपया खर्च कर नहे है और श्रीमन् आज जो करेप्यन का मार्डरेट एस्टीमेट है उसके हिसाब से एक सौ रुपया अब खर्च होता है तो उसमें से इजीनियर्स पर, ओवरिमयर्स पर, सरकारी अपनरो पर 25 परसेट करेप्शन मे कहिये या चाय पानी मे कडिये खर्च हो जाता है। तो इस तरह से इसमें से 1,000 करोड रपया नो आपका नया ब्लैक मनी बन जायगा तो इस सबकी रोक थाम के लिए आपके पास क्या स्कीम है ? करेप्पशन की बात मे नही कहता, क्योंकि करेप्यन तो कान्नी तौर से लीगलाइज्ड हो गया है। यू० पी० में मैं जब एम० एल० ए० हुआ 1952 मे और इस्टीमेट्स कमेटी का चेयरमैन बनातो जब देखता था कि 10 हजार या 20 हजार की बगलिंग आती थी तो उससे मझे बडी परेणानी होती थी, लेकिन बाद में इतना सीजन्ड हो गया, एक्लेमेंटाइज्ड हो गया कि 6, 7 ताख की बगलिंग भी मेरे दिमाग पर कोई ग्रसर नहीं करती थी। अब जब यहा आया, तो देखा कि यहातो गराब और पैसे का पतनाला बहता है सरकारी दफ्तरो मे। किसी की आमदनी और एक्सपेटिचर त्रया और है, कितना है इसका कोई हिसाब नहीं ? आमदनी पाच सौ की है तो खर्च 2500 का है और यह वह आमदनी है कि जिस पर कोइ इनकम टैक्स नहीं, चव्हाण साहब के चगूल में वह आते नहीं। तो इस तरफ भी मै उनका ध्यान आकर्पित करना चाहता हं।

मैं कहना चाहता हू कि यह ठीक है कि आप यह बिल लाये, हम को ग्रापके टैक्सेणन से बोई आपत्ति नहीं, लेकिन इसका असर यह बढरहा है कि देश में इफ्लेशन बढ रहा है धगर आपका प्रोडक्शन बढेतो अच्छीबात है। जो पब्लिक सेक्टर है मै श्रीमन, 100 परसेट उसके पक्ष में हूँ, मगर पब्लिक सेक्टर, जैसा कि मै कई बार कह चुका हूँ कि सेटीमेट में चलने वाला नहीं है। उसकी आपको एफीशियेमी बढानी पडेगी, उसका आपको प्रोडवशन बढाना पडेगा और मजा इस बात का है कि आज पब्लिक सेक्टर में साल से 50 परसेट से क्म इंस्टाल्ड कॅंपेसिटी का इस्तेमाल होता है। उससे ज्यादा का इस्तेमाल नही होता है। तो जब तक इन बातों को नहीं करेंगे प्रोड्क्शन आप नहीं बढायेगे, तब तक समाज-बाद नहीं होगा। समाजवाद के माने है कि प्रोडक्शन बढे ओर इक्विटेबिल डिस्टीब्यूशन हो। ऐमा हो तब तो बात समझ मे आती है, लेकिन यह है नहीं।

अब, श्रीमन्, ब्लैक-मनी के बारे में बहुत कुछ कहा गया । मैं जानता हू कि चब्हाण साहब बड़ मज़बूत आदमी है वह किसी कहने में नहीं आने वाले हैं, लेकिन मेरा उनसे पूछना है कि जब इतना ज्यादा ब्लैक-मनी है और यह मांग की जाती है कि आप डिमानेटाइजेशन की जिये तो फिर क्या बात है, आपको आपत्ति क्या है, ग्राप करते नहीं लिहाज श्रीमन्, मैं मांग करना हू कि इसको किया जाय।

श्रीमन्, हमारे दोन्त श्री विषिन पाल दास इस वक्त यहां नहीं है, उन्होंने यह मांग की है कि जितनं कैषिटल है, उसको नेशनलाइज़ किया जाय उन्होंने यह जोरदार मांग की । मैं हंड़ेड परसेट इससे इत्तिफाक करता हूँ, जरूर किया गय, लेकिन दुःख तो यह है कि 14 बैक्स का नेशनलाइजेशन हुआ और फारेन बैक्स नेशनलाइजं मही हुई और न उन्हें नेशनलाइज़ करने का कोई इरादा है। प्रधान मत्री महोदया ने कहा कि हमारी प्रापरटी में तो उनका नेशनलाइजेशन आता नहीं। तो में यह कहना चाहता

हूं कि जहा तक कि नेशनलाइजेशन का सवाल हैं उसको हिम्मत के साथ करे। एक बहुत छोटी मी इडस्ट्री है शुगर की इडस्ट्री है, उत्तर प्रदेश की शुगर इंडस्ट्री के लिए माग आई। बम्बई में अपने एक प्रस्ताव पास किया उत्तर प्रदेश की शुगर इंडस्ट्री के बारे में। केवल उत्तर प्रदेश की शुगर इंडस्ट्री के बारे में। केवल उत्तर प्रदेश की शुगर इंडस्ट्री के बारे में। केवल उत्तर प्रदेश की शुगर इंडस्ट्री के कपर आपकी मेहर-बानी थी। हमारी माग थी कि सारे हिन्दुस्तान में नेशनलाइज की जाय, मगर त हिन्दुस्तान में नेशनलाइज की जाय, मगर त हिन्दुस्तान में हुई और न उत्तर प्रदेश के अन्दर हुई। तो श्रीमन हम समाजवाद की बात तो बहुत करते हैं लेकिन जब कभी ठोस कदम उठाने की बात होती है तो बनी पर चिपक जाते है और कुछ कर नहीं पाते।

श्रीमन्, एक बात मै और कहना चाहता हूं। रीजनल इम्बँलेस की बात की गई। कपूर साहब ने बहुत मेहरबानी की कि उन्होंने कुछ उत्तर प्रदेश की बाते कही। उन्होंने कहा कि क्तिनी एएलीकेशम आई, कितने लाइसेम दिये गये, पावर की वहा शार्टेंज है, आटितिक पावर स्टेशन बनाने की बात थी वह नहीं हुआ वहा ट्रैक्टर फैक्ट्री देने की बात थी वह भी नहीं हुआ। तो इस तरह की बहुत सी स्टेट्स है और जब तक रीजनल इम्बेलेसज को खत्म नहीं करेंगे तब तक सारे हिन्दुस्तान की इकानामी एज एन इटेगरंटेड इकानामी बनने वाली नहीं है, यह मै आपसे कहना चाहता हूं।

श्रीमन्, हालांकि मुझे इस वक्त मौका नहीं है, मगर इस मौके पर अपनं दोस्त श्री सूपेश गुत से एक बात कहना चाहता हूं। इनके एक और दोस्त बिहार से आये है, उन्होंने इसमें अपनी बात कहीं लेकिन श्रीमन्, मझको बडी हैरानी होती है कि मैं-िफैस्टो तो है काग्रेस पार्टी का और इस्प्लीमेटेशन का सारा दर्द और सारी परेशानी हमारे भाई भूपेश गुप्त को है। आज श्री भूपेश गुप्ता को सी० पी० आई० का क्या प्रोग्राम है यह सब याद नहीं है। सी० पी० आई० क्या चाहती है यह भी याद नहीं है, लेकिन इनको परेशानी यह है कि काग्रेस पार्टी

का मैनिफैस्टो इम्प्लीमेट क्यो नहीं होता है?

मै इससे इतिफाक करता हूं कि होना चाहिए
लेकिन हिन्दी मे एक कहावत है, चव्हाण
साहब हिन्दी जानते है, इसलिए मैं वह कहे देता
हूँ कहते है—जीजा के माल पर साली दीवानी।
मोज बन रही है इन्दिरा गाँधी की। उनको
कुछ खूबिया है, सारी प्रेस्टिज बनी है उनकी
हिन्दुम्नान मे, लेकिन मजा उसका लूटना चाहते
है भूपेश गुप्न ग्राँर सी० पी० आई०। यह एक
मजे की बात है कि किसी की चीज़ और मजा
ोई ग्रीर लूटे।

संचार मत्नी (श्री एच० एन० बहुगुणा) : आप तो रहम करते है।

श्री नवल किशोर बहगुणा जी, हम और आप एक है। हम तो एक खन्दान मे है, मुश्तर्का मे है।

श्रीमन्, वहा कपूर साहब नहीं है। उन्होने उत्तर प्रदेश की कुछ चुनाव की बात कही। उभवा मौका नहीं था।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री वी० बी० राजू) : आप समाप्त कीजिये।

श्री नवल किशोर: मैं उसका जबाब भी देना नही चाहता। केवल एक बात कहना चाहता हु। उन्होने यह कहा कि बनारसी दास और राजनारायण का 51 वोट के बजाय 36 कैसे रह गया। यह बात उनकी समझ मे नही आ रही थी। श्रीमन्, मैं कपूर साहब को सब से बड़ा जादूगर मानता है हिन्द्रस्तान का। हिन्द्रस्तान मे आप देखते है कि बहत से जादूगर है, उनकी टोपी मे चार और पाच खरगोण थे लेकिन दिखा दिया कि पाँची खरगोण गायब और टोपी खाली तो अगर वह 51 एम० एल० ए० मे मे 15 गायब हो गये तो कपूर साहब की जादूगरी में । और एक मैजिक बैड होता है, एक डंडा दिखलाते है और वह भी चांदी का डडा हो तो फिर क्या कहना । नो इसमे ताज्जब की क्या बात है ? बेचारे बनारसी दास के पास

[श्री नवल विशोर]

36 तो बाकी रह गये यह भी क्या कम है, 15 ही गायब हुये, यह तो जादू है, इसमे कोई नारा-जगी का सवाल नहीं हैं। हमारे दोस्त बहुगुणा और हम परसो साथ रहे हैं। वह उधर जरूर वैठे हैं; किन्तु यह दिखावे की बात है कि बहुत साथी है आजकल। लेकिन इसकी जादूगरी आज तक हमें भी समझ में नहीं आई। तो इसीलिए में इतनी ही बात कहना चाहूगा कि उत्तर प्रदेश के चुनाव या उत्तर प्रदेश की सियासत, यह आज कल कपूर साहब की जादूगरी का नतीजा है। तो जादूगर क्या जादूगरी करता है, उसके बारे में मैं नहीं कह सकता।

आखिरी बात कह कर खत्म करना चाहता हैं। मेरी एक ही दख्तीस्त है चव्हाण साहब स और मै उनको इम बात का विश्वास दिलाता हूँ कि जहां तक समाजवादी नीतियों का सम्बन्ध है, उसमे आप हमको कभी पीछे नहीं पायेगे. मिर्फ एक बात चाहता ह कि जेनबिन समाजवाद हो, किसी के डाइरेक्शन या डिक्टेशन का समाजवाद न हो । श्रीमान, एक शेर है---"मेरी दुनिया लुट रही है मै खडा खामोश हुँ। आपके साथ कुछ लोग जो ऐसे है जो पौवा लगा कर सोचते है उनसे होशियार रहे। जो जेनइन समाजवादी नीतियाँ होगी, उनका हम समर्थन करेगे। मै चाहता ह, उसकी तरफ भी कदम तजी से जाए लेकिन जैसा कि मैने कहा- स्टार्ट फाम टौप । श्राप सादगी को, आस्टेरिटी को पैदा कीजिए और एक्जाम्पल खद दीजिए. आपके साथी जो और मिनिस्टमं है उनसे शुरू कीजिए वरना अगर एक तरफ यह शान-शौकत श्रौर दूसरी तरफ गरीबी चलती रही तब इस तरह से समाजवाद नहीं आएगा।

SHRIY.B. CHAVAN. Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I have been listening to the debate for the last few hours. Though I missed some important speeches, I had occasion to read parts of those speeches. The most important features of the Budget and the

Finance Bill have been discussed in this House and the other House. On different eccasions in both the Houses all the aspects have been raised and many times I have had occasion to reply to those points I do not propose to repeat the same things over and over again and, therefore, I have decided to take some representative points made by certain Members and deal with them individually

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: First please take up those points which our friend to my right has made.

SHRIY B. CHAVAN: I certainly will start with the first speech that was made in the debate by Mr. T. N. Singh, I think it is one of the serious speeches made in the course of the deba e. Therefore, I would like to deal with some of the points that he has raised.

I am only sorry that he has started with some sort of a sense of pessimism. He has no reason to be pessimistic about the economy of our country I assure him that if he gives up his pessimism and looks at the Budget and the economy as a whole and the performance in the last critical days I am sure nobody else need convince him; he himself will be convinced that there are certain intrinsic strengths in the economy which, if properly looked after and helped from time to time, will make it a bouyant economy; I am not fond of saying that the economy has reached the take-off stage. For example, he has made one or two interesting points and I was rather surprised when he made those points. He gave a very good piece of advice which is an age old thing of wisdom. He said that the people in authority should be cautious of flatterers and s) cophants—a very wise thing that he said. I think it is quite true, but I would also like to tell him another piece of wisdom, That people in the opposition should not oppose merely because they are in the opposition. This is another eternal truth.

He said there is some sort of a ciaze for public enterprise I think he has forgotten the days when he was a member of the Planning Commission in charge of industries. I remember to have had some very interesting and useful discussions with him.

He himself has tried to teach us the phi'osophy of public enterprise. I am only trying to tell him because he is a believer in public enterprise Not only he is a believer but really speaking he had something to do with the development of public enterprises. Not only that, later on he himse f was in charge of the Industries Department He was Industries Minister. He probably thinks that there are certain defects in all these matters possibly. Partly he may be responsible for it, one does not know about it We are trying to improve some of the things Certainly there are some deficiencies and shortcomings of the workirg of these public sector undertakings

SHRIT. N. SINGH (Uttar Pradesh) . The responsibility stretches over 25 years I was the e only for one year.

SHRIY B CHAVAN But in that one year you may have undone possibly what we d d in the other 24 years Certainly be objective and be critical But when people repeatedly start generalising things and criticising things only because they are in the Opposition, I thought of another eternal truth--criticise objective y and give advice but do not me ely try to be negative only because you are in the Opposition. This is one piece of wisdom, I should say.

(Interruptions)

SHRI T. N. SINGH: I assure you that I am not opposing for opposition's sake I lave never done it in my life

SHRIY B CHAVAN. You said there is a craze for public sector in this country When you use these expessions, it seems to show as if there is some craze for establishing public sector undertakings Certainly we are committed to our philosophy and we have no doubt that the scope and place of public undertakings is going to continuously grow It will have to grow if at all we are thinking in terms of socialism. What is socialist programme without public undertakings? If you have to build a socialit economy in this country, the e will have to be a craze and more craze if necessary I am not bothered about the word craze. I will discuss now the positive side. There are certainly some points which need to be looked into in the public sector undertakings and their working. Some public

undertakings are working very well If you see the performance of public undertakings, some of the public undertakings certainly have come out of red and they are giving profits Some of them are carrying on with some difficulty. The steel sector particularly in the last one year or so has been showing signs of improvement. Somet mes back the Minister of Steel has explained in detail what steps he has taken Recently we have appointed an Action committee under the chairmansh p of Mr. Pathak who has been a Member of the Panning Commission now, He along with other members who are very experienced persons so far as the running of industrial undertakings is concerned and they have made many useful suggestions. or recommendations about the management techn que, personnel managemet and many other aspects of public enterprises and I am sure if we continue to take action on the same lines we will ecrtainly show some results. I would certainly welcome from a person of Mr. T. N. Singh's stature any constructive suggestions about these matters. We will certainly welcome them We welcome criticism.

Well, Sir, he also made one general statement that the nationalised banks are running badly. It is rather too positive and rather too severe condemnation I should say. If he had said that they are not rupning up to his expectations possibly I would not have hesitated to agree with him But to say that they are running badly is really speaking not making a proper assessment I have myself many times said what part of the performance of the nationalised banks is better and what their deficiencies are and I would like to repeat them. In the last two or three years two important things have happened in the banking sector. As I have said, they are trying to cover larger areas which were so far unbanked or under Therefore there is substantial increase in the number of branches of different banks in the country Secondly the most important thing-and that was the expectation-is that there is spurt in deposit mobilisation This was one of the objective for which they are going into new areas. Certainly they are trying to mop up savings in the areas which were so far not reached. These are the two impartant features of the functioning of the banks Of course they are making efforts-and that was the main [Shri Y. B. Chavan]

objective-to see that credit facilities are extended to new areas So far credit facilities were being availed of by the monopoly houses, particularly the banks were urban-oriented Our expectation was and is and our efforts will be to see that this credit policy becomes more favourable to neglected sectors of the society, namely, the small artisan, the small farmer, self-employed persons, the small transport owner and such people who really speaking are in need of cre dit facilities and who certainly with the help of the credit facilities can help themselves, can hlep the growth of the country and bring about new sources of employment to themselves and to people at large. As far as that part is concerned I can say, well, things are not as satisfactory as they should be

SHRIT N SINGH. I am g¹ad that you agree with that.

SHRI Y B CHAVAN: Because I am very objective in this matter but even than if you say that things are running badly, I would not say they are running badly.

SHRI T. N. SINGH: If you will excuse my interruption I said badly on the basis of my personal experience. I am a small farmer with an uneconomic holding. I have been running to the bank for the last one year for a small credit of Rs 5,000/- and I have not got it yet. And I am a Member of Parliament. And it is the State Bank of India.

SHRI Y. B CHAVAN. It is quite possible, you may be right. I would look into this.

SHRIT N SINGH That is who I said that I did not say anothing irresponsibly.

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: It is quite possible.

श्री बनारसी दास: अध्यक्ष महोदय, एक प्रश्न मैं पूछना चाहना हू। लखनऊ और दिल्ली के बीच एक चेत्र के निलयरेस में 15 दिन से कम का समय नहीं लगता। दूसरा यह है कि प्रोक्योरमेट में जो चेक दिए जा रहे है उनकों कैश कराने में 10 रोज से कम का समय नहीं लगता। बुलन्द शहर के अन्दर प्रोक्योरमेट में मैने देखा कि एक हफ्ते से कम में कियान को चैक का पैसा नहीं मिलता, बैक्प कहते हैं कि अभी हमारे पास पैसा नहीं है।

श्री य० ब० चव्हाण: यह हो सम्ता है, ऐसे इंस्टासेम हो सकते है, केसेज हो सकते है जिनके बारे से देवना पड़े।

I certainly sav they are cases: I am receiving complaints from individual Members When I go round I hear many complaints Some time back I had gone to some parts in his State

SHRI T N. SINGH. I never make a personal complaint.

SHRIY B CHAVAN . I quite see that, it is quite possible. What I am trying to say is in respect of this matter really speaking they are gradually making some progress. We are thinking of a new system I do not say that there will be no individual grievances, but we will have to see what is the general progress. As I said, now the banks are trying to reach new areas, the banks and the bank employees were till Their training was of now urban-oriented a certain type and now they are going into a different field of economy Our major problem is the right type of recruitment of people who have got the background and understanding of these new areas question is one of reorienting them about the new approach to prob'em because in the beginning there was a feeling in the higher circles that all this is something political. For giving the poor man credit facility we had to reorient the banking structure This is not politics. It is the social outlook in politics which is much more important.

Politics also is getting qualitatively changed now, it is now a different type of politics. Now potitics does not mean merely holding an office or holding an election. It is now a question of a certain social content of the programme for which an office functions to implement, and this is a new concept of politics. So, gradually there is some sort of a change, and we are taking new steps to train these new officers and new people who are going to work in those areas. I think we are also preparing some sort of

a new scheme which we call the lead bank scheme I am not sure if I can claim any success of the scheme as yet. But the main point is we are trying to give the responsibility for specific areas to specific banks so that they can in the first instance identify the arears of growth, also prepare a development programme and try to see in which areas and in what form they can make the investment through their credit policies. It is a question of coordinating with the local cooperative movement. It is also a question of coordinating their credit policy and credit with the local developmental activity that is going on under the State Government's development programme and the Plan programme. It is this work which we are concentrating on now, I think we must give some time to ourselves and to these people also because it is completely changing the outlook, and the system as such which is more important. If the hon Member shows sympathy and a little more pat ence, I am sure the banking system will certainly give the results that we expect of it

Finance

श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद य।दव इतन लोग रखे हुए हैं जैसा कि आप ने कहा स्माल फार्मर के लिए, लेकिन एफीशियेसी बडा कहा रहे है।

SHRI Y B. CHAVAN: The hon Member has said that there should be a Parliamentary Commission to diagnose the ills of the public sector, this was also one of the suggestions. I think there is already a Committee of Parliament on Public Undertakings but, as I said, it is not merely a question of a Parliamentary Commission to go into their working We are rather fond of too many Commissions.

SHRIT N. SINGH: If I may interrupt the hon Minister, when I was speaking I was pressed for time and so I could not dilate on that point, I had in my mind a general policy, an attitude survey as well as recommendations for that purpose. The Committee on Public Undertakings goes into the details of individual undertaking. I want a general policy to be evolved after a very very general survey of some undertakings.

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: I understand your point, the major thrust of your idea,

is taken care of by the Action Committee appointed by Government. It is a high-power action group and I have had occasion to discuss this matter with them. They are very knowledgeable people who, knew the illness of the sick units. They are people who have seen the best of the units in our country and know how well to run the units, and I must say that they are going into the fundamentals of some of the public sector units and they will certainly meet the point the hon. Member has in mind. These are some of the points that the hon. Member had made in his speech and I thought I should make a mention of them.

The other speech which I can take as some sort of a representative speech is the one by Mr Anandan who spoke from this side of my party. He has made certain specific points to which I shall refer a little later There was another speech which came from Mr. Sanyal. I do not know whether he present in the House today. Normally, these people make very violent speeches and quetly remain absent when they are repled He said that when they are defeated in the elections there is fascism in Bengal. When they were elected there was democracy in Bengal. I think it is much better that instead of ourselves making the assessment it is much better to go and ask the people what they think about it.

SHRI SALIL KUMAR GANGULI: Only if there were elections at all, then these questions would arise.

SHRI Y B CHAVAN. If this is also another very interesting thing; when you are not elected, it is not election, when you are elected, it is election.

SHRI SALIL KUMAR GANGULI (West Bengal). These charges were not made by us alone. They have appeared in the vorld press. They are commenting on these. They know better

SHRI Y. B CHAVAN: I do not think so. I know a little about the world press as well. (Interruptions) I do not know if they have got any world press as such. They have deluded themselves into believing what suits them I have seen the world press in the last elections

[Shri Y. B. Chavan]

You cannot say that the 1971 elections were the same type of elections as were held in 1972. In 1971 there were a large number of persons who were elected to Parliament. When, in the course of a year, people saw that things were deteriorating, and saw the results of the administration by their party in the last three or four years, they got wiser. The younger generation asserted itself and took a lead. The result was that his party was completely uprooted from that State. This is the major explanation for it. If you just go on telling that there was no election, possibly your party will have no future, I may tell you.

SHRI SALIL KUMAR GANGULI: If there was no rigging at all, your party would not have lasted there.

SHRIY B CHAVAN: This party has lasted a hundred years in this country. It has seen bad times, good times and possibly difficult times. Ultimately it has continuously led the country and the people of the country and we will continue to lead the people of this country.

SHRI SALIL KUMAR GANGULI: Not your party. but it is the party which is sitting there.

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: Now, they have also realised that the people are with our leader. Just now, Nawal Kishoreji said and he was right that the country now is with the leader of our party. They have also realised it, but unfortunately they have taken a wrong turn and they do not know how to turn back now.

श्री नवल किशोर: श्रीमन्, आपका टर्न अच्छा था ।

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: I know. I am a realist. As long as you accept it, I have no grievance. This is all that I can say about it. But certainly I must repudiate some of the things that he said. He said that the Central Government is influencing the Judges. This is the most ignorant and most vicious allegation that can be made against any Government and I would like to repudiate it with all the emphasis at my command. This is not only insulting the

democracy of the country but also the integrity of the judiciary of the country which is so important for the integrity of the country and democracy.

Well, Sir, when I am mentioning some of the Members and not some others, it does not mean that they are not important speeches. Some of their points were covered by others. Therefore, I am not mentioning Mr. Banarsi Das. The same points were made. I must make a mention of Mr. Babubhai Chinai's speech. He mentioned the raids on the film industry. to begin somewhere. When we do not do anything, you say that we do not do anything. When we start doing something, you ask why we have done it.

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI (Maharashtra): I did not object to that. What I said was that it should be planned and done properly. Ultimately you touch only part of the society and you alert the others. That is what I said in my speech.

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: You cannot be raiding all the 300 million people in the country, the whole country. You have to make a selection.

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI: Is it your contention that 300 million people are involved in this?

SHRIY. B. CHAVAN: Some of the Members did say that evasion is not only at the highest level, but is taking place at the middle level and the lower level as well.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: His objection is that you have not raided his house.

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI: You are welcome. I accept the suggestion of Mr. Bhupesh Gupta. I am sitting here. I will not go out. I would request you to start it and do whatever you like.

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: No, no. The question of raid is always considered and it is necessary, one can do that as well.

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI: What is wrong? Ministers can be raided. The other day there was a charge against a Minister made by Mr. Bhupesh Gupta saying that he purchased a house giving Rs 3 lakhs in white and Rs 2 lakhs in black. This has not been repudiated by the Government. Who is that Minister? Shri Bhupesh Gupta, who is always bold enough, did not name the Minister. He has not the courage. He is a coward. He has not named the Minister in the House. He cannot get away like that

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA On a personal explanation I am glad that he has mentioned it The amount is Rs 5 87,000

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI Whatever it is, name the Minister

(Interruption)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B RAJU) Older, order

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI You cannot go on making vague charges. If he has got the courage or guts, let him name the Minister and the Government will make necessery enquiries

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA I do not confuse courage

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B RAJU) You both are talking when the Minister is on his legs

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA I do not confuse courage with foolhardiness

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B RAJU) Unrecessarily they have made personal points on this matter Minister

SHRI BABUBHAI CHINAI It is only a personal point You will excuse me This arose because when the Minister was spee-king, he interiup ed therefore I replied I did not want to intrrupt the Minister's speech

SHRIY B CHAVAN Sir, the point that I was making was that there was nothing like any hostility about a particular class of industry as such But certainly certain points were raised in Parliament, certain suggestion and criticisms were made in Parliament and certain questions were asked in Parliament Naturally, when certain

information reached them, the Government had to act upon it And if hon Members give us also information, we can certainly look into it, independently also we get information and certainly, it becomes our duty to act The other day I was making the point that said is one of the measures that one can think of Certainly, we can raid as many places as are necessary but it will have to be done on certain specific information One cannot merely go and try to do that But even as a result of the raids what we have found is that the so called back money is not fourd in such a large quantity because we have found it in the course of the last six or seven years. Certain statistics were given And in the course of more than 500 raids-if I am right about that figure—not more than Rs 7 crores were recovered But certainly it helps us in one way-it gives us certainly very invaluable information through the documents and gives us an idea about this interlocking of business and other things that lead us to the working of the firms and their other operations which normally would never have been uncovered That is one thing And secondly it demonstrates another very important point that the so-called black money is not statically held money This is one point which all of us have to take note of explained the point in the other House I am trying to explain it here This black money is a dynamic black money That is why it is very dangerous. It is an operation It is in circulation It is invested and it is earning more black money and more black money and more black money That is why field it is a skilful (Interruptions) Therefore, what is important is this Shri Goray has also made this point "What steps are you tak ng about black money?" This is one of the major points that he raised, as to what we are doing about it, Certainly, Government will have to find out-hon Members also will have to help us n this matter-because I do not think it is a simple quest on. It is not that I have got some siniple remedy for this mat er Some hon Members say, demonetisation is Some Members say one simple remedy I would like to make this Point clear it was said that I was about to do that and somebody's pressure has been brought not to do that It is not true About demonetisation it was said as if on the eve of the Budget, I was about to

SHRI BABUBHAI M CIIINAI But let me once accept what you say again repeat that in the financial world and the industrial world, it was open'y and very w dely said that the hon Finance M nister was ready with ten-rupee notes but that he was stopped from doing it. I accept that you say

F nance

SHRI BEUPESH GUPTA 1 would hove been very happy and congratulated you if you had done it.

SHRI Y B CHAVAN Why I am trying to say so is because this was the feeling that one in the Government was willing to do something and the others were Government never takes decisions not I have explained that ... (Interruptions) Whatever Government decides is as one policy and it acts on that I wanted to make that explanation because it is a very tricky game of playing one against the other

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI No

SHRIY B CHAVAN It is not that you did it But the result of it will be like It may not be your intention this also again demonstrates how the industrial world works on wrong premises and on wrong information, I thought they will speculate on general economic merely matters But the speculative matters, really speaking, start with this sort of thing

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI This is one of the factors of economy demone-Therefore you appreciate it

SHRI BHUPESH GDPTA Mr Birla got scent of the coming devaluation few days before and the transaction took p'ace

SHRIY B CHAVAN Well, you have said it, and that matter is under investigation Why unnecessarily repeat it?

I would like to make reference to some of the points made by hon'ble Shii Goray I just made one reference to the point that te made about black money I entirely agree with him that there will have to be manifold measures that will have to be thought of Normally this money, which I said is dynamic money, is being invested in

certain operations, one of them being investnent in estates, properties, housing and other things Secondly, it is invested in jewellery, ornaments and spent by way of ostentatious consumption.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA I am glad to say that black money becomes savings from election fund.

SHRIY B CHAVAN You have fought You know it better

SOME HON'BLE MEMBERS He never fought election

SHRI Y B CHAVAN When I say 'you", I mean his party. I do not mean Mr Bhupesh Gupta personally

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA That we have seen in the needne tax returns, "Savings from election fund' Therefore, this black money does become savings from election fund

SHRIY B CHAVAN These are some of the channels into which the black money So we have to take certain steps As the hon'ble Member is aware, I have already moved a Bill in Parliament A Joint Select Committee sat for it and they gave their report. I propose to see that this Bill is moved in the remaining days of the session

SHRIN G GORAY Which Bill you are referring to?

SHRIY B CHAVAN I am referring to the Bill which will deal with properties wihich are sold on under estimated value. They will be taken possession of at the value ındıcated ın the document Sometimes properties are sold and they are shown as sold at a under estimated value. You might recollect that in the last 1971 Budget I made a reference to it. The Bill was moved last . year It went to the Select Committee And this was one of the recommendations of the Wanchoo Committee too They have made certain otler recommendations which possibly we will have to go into and consi der because Mr. Wanchoo has made some recommendations. . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Some recommendations made are retrograde.

SHRIY B. CHAVAN . That is to be considered That point of view also is there. Their basic approach is that most of the black money is because of higher taxation This is one of the things which Mr Mohta I will come to his speech a little They have made these recommenda later. They also made certain other important recommendations I do not want to express any opinion on these matters because the Government is considering them I not only propose to consult you Membe s, but also those who are Members of the Consultative Committee, this month or the next month for a thorough examination of the Wanchoo Committee report, Sir we have decided to call some leading economists also, and to have a seminar with them to understand their point of view also. The seminar would be held on 3rd and 4th of June.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: You obviously cannot invite all the economists. How will you settle the names of econom sts? Will you consult us?

SHRIY B CHAVAN: If you have got one or two good names, certainly, I will invite them. When we consult you, you must be having the support of the economists of your party.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: That you will hold a seminar is a good suggestion. The only thing is that the selection of economists should be carefully done.

SHRIY B CHAVAN Give me some names If they are not already included, I will try to include them

श्री बनारसी दास मैं मती जी से मालम करना चाहता हूं कि मेल्फ रिलायन्स के बारे में • तो ग्रापने उत्तर नहीं दिया और फिर भी बाहर से कारे इम्पोर्ट की जा रही है और मंत्री फारेन कारों का इस्तेमाल क्यों करते है रजब आप सैल्फ रिलायन्स चाहते हैं, तो क्या स्वदेशी कारों का इतेस्माल करने पर शर्म आती हैं ?

> श्री य० ब० चव्हाण भाई साहब, ये बाते बहुत दफे कही गई है, मुझे फाटनेस बिल पर बात करने दीजिए।

श्री बनारसी दास: सेल्फ रियायन्स आप के बजट का खास हिस्सा है। जब आप यहा पर कार और लक्जरी गुड्स मगाएगे तब यहाँ पर मेल्फ रिलायन्स होगा?

श्री **य० ब० चव्हाण**ः कोई नहीं मगा रहा है।

So, I was referring to Mr. Goray's point and I was trying to explain what steps we want to take in the direction in which we want to move. This will require a very major effort. And it cannot be just one step which is going to remove this black money disease. We will have to take manifold steps and it will have to be a sustained effort.

Then he spoke about agricultural hold-I think he believes in ceilings on holdings, but he says this matter should be quickly decided and it should not be kept in suspense I think he is right we have practically reached our conclusions about it. Our party is very keen to implement the commitments that we have made to the country and to the electorate in the last two elec-That is why our party have called all our Chief Ministers, all the important members and all our state party chiefs and discussed these matters. It shows the anxiety and the desire of the Government to implement these programmes as early as possible There is no question of keeping the whole matter in suspense But this matter will have to be gone into very carefully because if it is to be implemented, merely passing a legislation will not be enough but we will have to see that it is implemented ultimately up to the level of last person. So, this is what I can say about Mr Goray's important points. He has made other points, but I do not think I need go into those.

Lastly, I would like to say something about Mr Mohta's speech. Well I nust say that his entire outlook is different from ours.

DR Z. A AHMAD (Uttar Pradesh) : Naturally.

SHRI Y B CHAVAN: He makes very plausible arguments and makes reasoned speeches. I must say it is always interest-

[Shri Y. B. Chavan.]

ing to listen to him. But the basic thing is, he looks at the entire question from a completely different point of view. What we call resource mobilisation he is not prepared to call as resource mobilisation, because he says that merely money going from the pockets of the people to the coffers of the Government is not resource mobilisation. Then, according to him, money going from the pockets of the poor people to the capitalists' pockets is resource mobilisation. Is this what he means by resource mobilisation?

SHRI M K. MOTHA: First of all, wealth must be created and put to productive use Merly taking away money from the public is not resource mobilisation.

SHRI Y. B CHAVAN: That is why I said that you have not, seen the Budget properly, and you have not seen the Finance Bill properly.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA But you must appreciate that he is a boy on the burning deck.

SHRIY. B CHAVAN: You yourself quoted me, Mr. Mohta, saying that in this year's Budget, we have made provision for an extra investment of more than Rs 300 croies for developmental activities. Investment in developmental activities is investment for production, Will it be called, investment for production only if it is made by a private individual? Investment for giving employment to the people, investment for the education of the poorer people in the villages, for giving house-sites for the poor man, roads, rural electrification investment for the expansion of the public sector undertakings—this is really the most important sector where we are at present trying to expand, petro-chemicals, fertilisers, etc tlese are the most important things necesfor production in the country Strengthening the base of the agr cultural sector is one of the dazeling achievements of the country of which everyone of us should be proud.

He forgets these things conveniently and says this is no resource mobilisation...

SHRI M. K. MOHTA: Because,

most of the expenditure does not bear any fruit.

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: There again is a difference between you and me looking at the same problem from a completely different angle. Mr Mohta, though your speeches are interesting, they remain unconvincing as far as I am concerned. Sir. Mr Mohta has said another very interesting thing. He said there can be economic growth without social just ce This is This is what has happened. This is not something new that you are telling us. We have failed as a country there There is growth, as pointed out by Mr Gorav, what was the size of the Birla House in 1950-51 and what is its size now in 1971 72 9 Mr. Goray mentioned the same about the Tatas. Other names can be added

SHRI N G. GORAY: I added three or four names more But the only difference is you say "we failed as a country" while I say "we failed as a Government".

SHRIY, B CHAVAN . We failed as a country in the sense that we were trying to diversify the base of the industry in the economy. That has helped the country. So let there not be a wholesale cordemnation of it Economic growth alone does not help. He was thinking in terms of per capita income This per capita income is also one of the very dangerous theories We cannot merely think in terms of per capita income. What I would like you to think is in terms of per capita welfare, welfare of every individual, the total quantum of a welfare expenditure that we are spending on an average Indian, the smallest man in the That is more important What country is the use of merely talking of the per capita income of Japan and America and comparing those figures which lead nowhere? So he very rightly said that soc al justice without economic growth also is not possible. That is why we were saying that economic growth and social justice Economic growth must go hand in hand which is completely divorced from the idea of social justice is neither economic growth nor any other growth. It is no growth at all. I would say it is a disease because it unnecessarily creates more power, more constraint, concentrated in a few hands which is not good far a democracy.

SHRI BANARSI DAS: If there is a genuine difference between you and Mr Mohta about your concept of social justice, then will you bring forward a Bill to amend Article 41 of the Constitution so that there will be distribution of money?

SHRIY B CHAVAN: I have heard your speech. You do not see what we have done. You do not take cognizance of wl at we do. Everything that we have tried to do is in order to see that there is no concentration of wealth and there should be a sort of equality among the different sections of the people. We have amended the Constitution. You must have seen it. Probably you were not here in this House then

(Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU): Let the Finance Minister complete his reply.

SHRI Y B CHAVAN: He again said—and this is where he is a lonely soldier—he could not understand why there should be a talk about ceilings. The whole of India is now thinking in terms of a ceiling on property. I do not know how he cannot understand it. If he does not understand it, I can only say that 'I would pray for you' That is all I can say.

SHRI M K MOHTA: What I said was that floor is more important than ceiling We should have a floor, a minimum floor, for everyone.

SHRI Y. B CHAVAN: Certainly, the idea is ultimately we should have a minimum, we can certainly come to reach a minimum. But we have to fix the maximum Without fixing the maximum first, we first cannot think of a minimum at all you this is the most important change in the social and political outlook in this country, and a man like Mr Mohta, with all his intelligence, has misesd the most important thing in the country today they have come to accept one thing, that there shall have to be a ceiling on urban property, rural property Possibly we will have to think in terms of a ceiling on income at some stage.

But here is a person who says that he does not understand it. What can I do

about it? I can only say that he does not understand it not because he does not have that capacity, but he does not want to understand it. This is why I would request him to reorient himself to understand the social implications of the Finance Bill.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: He is sitting at the top of the sky-scraper,

SHRI Y. B CHAVAN: May be that is true There are certain specific points made about certain tax proposals. I think I would better deal with them at the time when we take up clause-by clause consideration of the Bill I think, with this explanation, the House will support the Finance Bill.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: So, you have not accepted any concession here?

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN: No.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: That is why the Government is dogmatic,

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V. B RAJU): Now I will put the motion.

The question is:

"That the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial year 1972-73, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU): We shall now take up clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill. -

Clause 3 (Amendment of section 2)

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI While making my speech, I have covered all the amendments I do not want to move my amendment and I have already spoken on it.

SHRI M. K MOHTA · Sir, I move :

"That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely .-

"That at page 4, line 4, for the words 'voluntary contributions received by a trust' the words 'voluntary contributions received after 30th day of June, 1972 by a trust' be substituted".

SHRI M K. MOHTA . While moving the amendment, I have a short point to make. It is the declared intention of the Government that all such matters and changes in tax laws should be prospective in effect and not retrospective whereas this particular caluse makes it retrospective in the case of trusts and institutions whose accounting year ends after 31st March, 1972. If the date is changed into 30th June, 1972 then it becomes prospective for all kinds of institutions.

The question was proposed.

SHRIY B CHAVAN: I would explain this some what in detail The broad effect of the provisions in the Bill is that income by way of voluntary contributions received by a trust created wholly for charitable or religious purposes or by institutions established wholly for such proposes will qualify for exemption from income tax only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The income of the trust or institution is applied to charitable or religious purposes during the same year or within 3 months immediately following, or is accumulated for such purposes for a maximum period of 10 years subject to fulfilment of certain procedural requirements.
- (ii) The income or property of the trust or institution is not used directly or indirectly for the benefit of the author of the trust or the founder of the institution, substantial contributors thereto, trustees and there relatives.

The provisions will take effect from 1st April, 1973 and as such will apply in rela- | Col. 235 supra.

tion to the income of the trust or institution for the financial year 1972-73 or any other corresponding account year re evant to the assessment year 1973-74. There is no jusification why voluntary contributions received before 1st July, 1972 should be exempted from tax even though they are not applied or accumulated for charitable or religious purpo es I do not understand why it should be done. In order to minigate hardship in cases where income by way of voluntary contribut ons may be used for the benefit of the author, founder, etc. Before the Finance Bill is enacted into law, it is being specifically provided that exemption from income-tax will not be forfeited merely on the ground that such income was used for the benefit of the specified persons during any period before 1st July, 1972 think with this explanation, the hon Member will be convinced that it is not possible for me to accept his amendment

SHRI M. K. MOHTA. In the light of the Minister's statement, I would like to withdraw my amendment.

*The amendment (No I) was, byleave, withdrawn.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU): The question is.

"That clause 3 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill

Clauses 3 to 27 were added to the Bill

5 P M

Clause 28 Insertion of new sections 194B and 194C

SHRI M. K. MOHTA: Sir, I move:

"That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely :--

^{*} For text of the amendment,

'That at page 13, lines 12-14' for the words and brackets 'for carrying out any work (including supply of labour for carrying out any work)' the words 'for the construction of a building or for the supply of labour in connection therewith' be substituted.'

SHRI M K. MOHTA: Sir, I would like to say that the words "contractor" and "contract" have not been defined in the clause at all and the way the clause has been worded can give a very wide meaning, because the contract may be for the supply of goods or for raw materials or for plant and machinery including the erection and installation of the plant and machinery In such cases, there will be avo dable hardships and quite a lot of confusion, because, Sir, after all, the law may be enac ed by Parlia ment, but it will be interpreted by the courts and the courts will go by the letter of the law and not by the intention behind it if it has not been made clear Therefore, it the intention of the Government is that the deduction should take place in the case of construction of buildings only, then it should be so clarified in the clause itself. Otherw se. all kinds of work would come within the meaning of this.

The question was proposed.

SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN · Sir, I understand that he is talking about Section 194C. The provision for the deduction of tax at source on payments made by the Central Government or the State Governments or the local authorities or the statutory corpoations or companies will apply in cases where the contractor is ergaged 'for carrying out any work including supply of labour for carrying out any work" The provision would thus cover all works contracts and labour contracts The question was for providing a definition of the expression 'works contracts' and this queson was very careful y considered, but it was found that it was very difficult to define the word

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI That would create complications.

SHRIY B CHAVAN · Certainly The Ministry of Law has given the opinion that the provision in the Bill as drafted will not cover contracts for mere supply of goods or

for rendering professional services. I think this explanation would be sufficient for Mr. Mohta

*Amendment (No 10) was, by leave, withdrawn

THE VICE CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU) The question is:

"That Clause 28 stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted.

Clause 28 was added to the Bill

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU) Clauses 29 to 69. . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA · I would like to speak on Clause 44 and clauses regarding wealth-tax...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V. B. RAJU) Without amendments?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPIA . No amendment. I just want to speak on there clauses Clause 44 and all these clauses relating to wealth tax up to 51

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B RAJU) Please wait a minute.

Clauses 29 to 43 were added to the Bill.

Clauses 44 to 51.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: These amendments relate to wealth-tax and my criticism against these provisions is that these are far too inadequate. In the light of the past experience we expected the Government would change the existing provisiors under the Wealth tax.

Sir, as you know, when prof Kaldor nade the suggestion first, the expectation was much higher Now, of course, the incomes are higher than what were at that time. But we find that a very small number of assessees are there under Wealth-tax in the country—a very small number. Yet you know that if you have wealth of one

^{*}For text of the amendment, vide col 217-17 supra.

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta]

lakh or two lakhs or so, you are supposed to pay wealth-tax. How is it that the number is so small? Not even two lakhs in the country-much less In Calcutta the number is very small. Similar is the position in the case of Bembay and other cities, as everybody knows In Calcutta there are sor e streets wherein if you enter ary house you will find they possess wealth worth more than one lakh of rurees. Now. Sir, we expected the Government would go into it in the light of the experience of the past few years when the realisation under this Act had been very neg'igible and there has been a colossal evasion. In this very House it was pointed out-why pointed out? It was admitted-by the Prime Minister and the Finance Minister at that time that Mr G. D Birla was paying only Rs 8 as wealth-tax-only Rs. 8. You will be shocked, Mr. Chinai . .

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI. Not very much shocked. Shock is reserved for you, because there are many others like Mr G. D. Birla who do not pay the tax.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA · Of course. There you are right. For one thing you have stated correctly. . .

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI But when you were asked to disclose the name you did not do that ...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA 'You are one of them. . .

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI. I am not one of them

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Under no amount of provocation slall I give the nan enll I am satisfied with something...

SHRI BABUBHAI M, CHINAI: This is nothing rew Eierybody knows it...

THE VICE CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B RAJU) Please don't interrupt.

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI I cannot allow him to go on like that. If he goes on accusing arybody, I can't allow him to go scot-free. . .

SHR! BHUPESH GUPTA: You can shout. A capitalist's shouting is an amusement, it is entertainment. There I am prepared to yelld.

Now, Sir. I was pointing out that Mr. G D Birla wrs paying Rs. 8 only as wealth tax, and nowadays a little over Rs. 400. Then a list of the entire family assets was made, and it was found that the wealth of the Birlas concerns has increased, between the Monopoly Commission's time and now from Rs. 251 crores to more than Rs. 500 crores. But the tax is very little. This is one of the instances I give. The Birla instance should be an eye-opener to those who talk about wealth tax and about the tax being evaded.

The other day in the other House a list of people whose wealth is supposed to be Rs. 25 lakhs and above had been placed on the Now I have not got Table of the House that list with me, it is in the Library. will find that in this list most of the big people who are known to be very rich people in the country, who are supposed to have a lot of wealth do not figure. Some people in Goa are there or some widow in Nagpur. But everybody knows the Birla family, the Tata family, Dalmia-Jain, Karamchand Thapar family, the Chettiars of Madias and many others like that. Really they are the people with substantial wealth running into crores but you do not come across their names in any of the lists which have been submitted Where they are people with a wealth of Rs 25 lakhs they are not shown. Now, how to so ve this mystory ?-- I cannot I am not talking about the Ministers and others. Even some of them...

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI · Now those days are gone.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA I do not know This is a matter for inquity, serious investigation by the Government.

I will now give just one example and nothing the Pere I have got it—I always substantiate niy things by instances to make them lively. You know how it is done. Here in this book you will find how wealth tax is avoided. It is not wealth tax evasion as such but how funds are cornered resulting in the concealment of wealth. No about

about it; Here are examples like that. The Birla family, of course, is the master. past-master, master craftsman in this country of evasion of wealth tax. Why can't you do anything about it? How about properly assessing their properties?

Finance

Another thing is under-involving and over-involving. Moneys are shifted, secret deals are made and you do not keep track of that wealth. It is said here that black money circulates, black money is constituting a parallel currency in our country so to say, yet we cannot catch it. And, of course, it goes without being accounted for when it comes to assessment of wealth tax or other taxes.

For example, Mr Somani of the Shreeniwas Mills took over G. Claridge and Company formerly established by Brady's, etc. Some payment was made in cash. The rest, it was said, would be paid in dollars, somewhere, in some other country. This is how things are done. Obviously this could not have been bought for a small p ice. The fact that payment has been made in dollars does not mean the wealth is less. Of course, he will go and show it and say that was the price he had paid. The land and building have been put up for sale on the basis of about Rs. 75 by cheque and the rest in black money, preferably in a foreign country This is the way how they self things. Then what do they do? A part of the money is paid here in cash by cheque-Rs 75 out of Rs. 100. Then the 25% arrangement is there. It should be paid abread because some of these people have accounts ab-oad or business abroad and they utilise this money in order to acquire property here. And that is not only a lors as far as foreign exchange is concerned but also a loss to the country otherwise- n terms of wealth tax and so on. This is going on.

But here in this Bill there is still room for improvement. I think som improvement has taken place compared to the past but it is very very marginal. I think the Government should reconsider the matter.

As far as the Wanchoo Committee is concerned, well, the Wanchoo Committee has the Wanchoo approach. For Mr. Wanchoo the whole thing is happening because the taxes are supposed to be high.

First of all, taxes in certain cases are low; secondly, taxes are not being collected, even though the taxes are assessed, they are not realised which is why you have this Rs. t00 crores or Rs. 700 crores of tax arrears. That is to say, you have not collected this assessed amount.

Bill, 1972

What about the law? The present law is not changed because they can go the court. So many cases are pending some before the Board of Revenue Tribunals and others before the High Courts and nearly 50% of the cases are pending and the Government is simply paralysed from doing anything because such writ petitions and other things come. You done nothing. Therefore this Wealth Tax thing is very serious. You talk about ceiling. What is happening you know. Already they are making arrangements in order to evade ceiling on urban property which means land and buildings. Why do you not do something to stop it? It is for the Government to consider. When the Parliament is not in session surely an Ordinance could be issued to stop and prevent it with retrospective effect. that we have been discussing these, surely a ceiling has to be established not perspectively but retrospectively. This is important. As far as Wealth Tax is concerned, it is a collossal fraud going on. If Wealth Tax is enforced and properly handled, we can get crores and crores and it would not be necessary for Mr. Chavan to impose tax on kerosene and hit the poor. It is a huge joke that big business houses are not in the category of peop'e paying Wealth Tax above Rs. 100,000. It is a shame and a condemnation of the entire Assessment Department and of course it is a condemnation of the anti-social financial sharks, and others who are evading the taxes in this manner. Certain other categories af articles are exempted here from Wealth Tax. Why? Wealth Tax should include practically everything in the case of the rich people-those who pay Wealth Tax-who are in the higher income bracket. That is not done. Even this simple thing is not done You talk about removal of economic disparities. You talk about justice and yet we find people who are sitting on a pyramid of wealth having accumulated it by means more foul than fair, through blackmarket operations, by expleiting the workers, by fleecing the consumers and by sharp practices in business and

(Shri Bhupesh Gunta)

commerce and industry These are the people who are enjoying a premium on account of the failure on the part of the Government and what else cou'd be more objectionable and deplorable than this I cannot ur derstand. So mv suggestion wou'd be appoint a Special Cell in the Finance Ministry with reople of great probity who shall go into this question and the Wealth Tax Administration should be separated from the general thing Let it be there so that Government can keep proper track of it and the Intelligence machinery is very essential I know the Revenue has its own Intelligence machinery but you should not go after everybody. Mr Chavan knows very well who are supposed to be rich in the country Take the cooperation of the people in various States, draw up a list of what you consider to be prima-facie rich category the richest people in West Bengal and other States and on that basis narrow your attack and try other sources also. I am not saying do not go after these but concentrate your fire on them where the result will be the ontimum and then ask your Department to find out how manipulations are done, and then proceed to impose the Wealth Tax. In this manner we can get much better yield if we are serious about this Accumulation of welath is most objectionble. It is very neces-You have raided the houses sary to raid of film stars Alright, some people like to raid the houses of film stars but go to the houses of others also Mr Chinai is right I am not question ng his personal integrity Carry out raids but where is the list? who are responsible for it and why certain people are left out? According to the papers there are people whose houses are not raided is not a question of random raid It is a question of acting on the basis of Revenue Intelligence which you must have at the disposal of the Government.

Therefore I implore that Government should really take serious steps. It is a constructive suggestion that I have made, it is not a partisan suggestion when I say that the wealth-tax should be assessed in a better way and realised so that it bears some relation to the accumulated wealth in the hands of the very rich at the top of our society.

SHRI Y. B CHAVAN: Sir, some of the points that he made do require consideration.

I think we find ourselves in a very controlicatory situation when we find that richest pop'e are being the least taxed. How does it happen? Most probably it is because the functioning of the corporate sector is responsible for it to some extent. Secondly this racket of trusts, if I may use that word, is also another thing which is partly responsible for this. We are going into these matters. He has made a suggestion for a cell in the Finance Ministry, I can calitatily think of that suggestion.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V. B. RAJU) The guest on is --

"That clauses 44 to 5, stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 44 to 51 were added to the Bill.

Clauses 52 to 69 were added to the Bill.

The First Schedule

SHRI M K MOHTA: Sir. I move-

15 "That the Rajva Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely --

'That at page 36, in Paragraph A of Part III under the heading 'The Rates of Income-tax' for the existing entrics 3 to 11, the following be substituted namely .--

- '(3) where the total income exceeds Rs 10 000 but does not exceed 15,000—Rs 500 plus 15 per cent of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs 10,000.
- (4) where the total income exceeds Rs 15,000 but does not exceed Rs. 20 000--Rs. 1,250 plus 20 per cent of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs. 15,000
- (5) where the total income exceeds Rs 2,000 but does not exceed Rs. 25,000—Rs 2,250 plus 25 per cent of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs. 20,000.

- (7) where the total income exceeds Rs. 30,000 but does not exceed Rs 40,000—Rs. 5,250 plus 45 per cent of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs. 30,000
- (8) where the total income exceeds Rs 40,000 but does not exceed Rs. 50, 000—Rs 9,750 plus 50 per cent of the amount by which the total is come exceeds Rs 40,000.
- (9) where the total income exceeds Rs 50,000 but does not exceed Rs 60,000—Rs 14,750 plus 55 per cent of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs. 50,000.
- (16) where the total income exceeds Rs 60,000 but does not exceed Rs 70,00—Rs 20,250 1 lus 60 per cent of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs 60,000
- (11) over Rs. 70,000—Rs. 26,250 plus (5 per cent of the amount by which he total income exceeds Rs 70,000."

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, I

25 "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely --

'That at page 30, line 10, for the f gures "85" the figures "96" be substituted "

26. "That the Rajva Sabha recomnerds to the Lok Sabl a that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely:—

'That at page 31, line 30, for the figures "20" the figures "40" be substituted."

27. "That the Rajya Schha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely:—

'That at page 33, line 11, for the figures "85" the figures "80" be substituted ""

The questions were proposed

SHRI M. K. MOHTA Sir, as far as this amendment is concerned I do not have much to say becau e it is framed after the recommendation of the Wanchoo Committee itself. A very expert Committee headed by a very eminent person has made this recomnendation that unless taxes are reduced, there is no capacity to save and ie i vest. If that capacity is eroded it only means a setback in the industrial development of the country. Since this suggestion has come from a very eminent Committee the Government should lose no time in implementing it so that there is industrial spurt in the country without any further delay.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. Sir, my amendment relates to this particular provision in the First Schedule. The Schedule savs where the total income exceeds Rs. 2 lakhs the tax liability will be Rs. 1, 32,000 plus 85 per cent of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs, 2 lakhs, My amendment says instead of 85 per cent it should be 96 per cent.

SHRI HAMID ALI SCHAMNAD: Why are you so liberal with that 4 per cent?

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI: Why do you leave out that 4 per cent?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Why I leave out that is if I had said 100 per cent everything would have been taken away. Now, Sir, this is a question of principle. I am not dealing with people whose income is less than Rs 2 lakhs, I am dealing with people whose income is more than Rs 2 lakhs. It may be 10 lakhs, it may be 15 lakhs but surely it i not less than Rs 2 lakhs. Now, how much do you want to give to a person? You are talking about ceiling on land, ceiling on urban property.

SHRI M K MOHTA Mr Bhupesh Gupta, you are saying 96 per cent but it is already 97 75 per cent

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA Very well, then you accept my amendment

SHRI M K. MOHTA . Why this ignorance 9

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA SIR, he is prepared to accept my amendment. You can understand how much resources he has mobilised. He is not atraid of even 96 per cent. People have been making it out as though the entire quantum of the income is taxed at 85 per cent. It is only above the slab of Rs. 2 lakhs this 85 per cent comes into operation.

Therefore I think This is what I say that here they should pay more After all you must have some idea as to who can get that much money today An in ome of Rs. 2 lakhs means nearly Rs 15,000 or Rs 17,000 per month. Who can get this income? I should like to know Nobody who lives by Fonest work can make such an income of Rs 17000 per month Nobody Mr. Chavan is a very honest man and lives by very honest and hard work Sometimes he is a misguided one, all the same honest, but he never think of having Rs 17,000 Our protessors, our technicians, our teachers, our scientists, nobody Even the pilots who get so much money would not get Rs 17,000 Now, Sir, this income is from those who live by clipping coupons, or otherwise in the big business there, or the big landlords with big stakes there, spread all over the country, otherwise in black money or black income. Why should we allow them to have such income? They are a very small number taking the country as a whole If you take the total number of income-tax assessees in the country as 30 lakhs, they may constitute a very small number among the total assessees in our country But why should we be so solicitous towards them that we allow them a certain portion? Now the amount becomes very big. It may sound that the percentage is very high Therefore, my suggestion is that after freeze the income if necessary Nobody should have such a huge income in a country like oirs, where 82% of the population are into in a position even tos pend one rupee a day, where three-fourts of the population live below subsistence and sub human levels of existence. In such a country, to parade that there are people here with income of Rs two takhs and above is an affiont to our culture, our professions, and our commitments. Therefore, Sir, why should it not be done?

In England it was done more or less Do you know what happened like that when Rothschild died and left some estate there? And I think even in England, in a classical capitalist country, where money is worsh pped more than Birla's worship in Laksminarayan Temple, there too, what happens when they die? I think £ eleven million Rothschild left, and about £ nine That happened million was taken as tax even in a capitalist country But here we Therefore, Mi are not doing such things Chavan, I think it is a question of policy Would you allow pet ple to earn such income? Because that money cannot be normally earned if a person pays incometax regularly, without evasion, without avoidance. If he does so, he cannot possibly amass such a fortune as to have an assured income every month of Rs 15,000 or Rs 17,000 In our country it is not possib'e Therefore, some shady deal, some shady transaction and corruption take place somewhere as a result of which it is possible for a person to have this much income Therefore, he should be hard hit by taxat on

Then my next amendment is "That at page 31, line 30, for the figures "20", the figures "40" be substituted I am very glad that Mr Chinai is appreciating this amend ment of mine On page 31 of the Bill the provision is that "where the total income exceeds R, 100,000", the tax is Rs 8,100 plus 20 per cent, of the amount by which the total income exceeds Rs 1,00 000" We a e to make it 40 per cent That is all The same principle follows in another place and ny amendment is "That at page 33, line 11, for the figures "65", the figures "80" be substituted Where it is 65 per cent of the total income, I want to make it 80 per cent of the total income 33 of the Bill it is said "in any other case-65 per cent of the total income ' It should be more This is my suggestion say, Sir, is on a matter of principle. Mr Chavan will not I know

it. But these are the things to be discussed. You have social objectives. Relate your income-tax law to your social objectives. Relate your rate of taxation to your social objectives.

Then judge whether it is righ or wrong. Obviously you cannot go by the needs of the capitalist class or their logic in this matter. I think, therefore, here in India there is a case for increasing taxes at the highest bracket and it is valid and in that respect I reject the approach of the Wanchoo Committee who want to bring down the percentage of tax on the plea that it will not lead to the growth of black money or that kind of thing. In our country we see that there is no limit to poverty, abysmal poverty of the reople and on the other hand we see the colosal wealth and economic power Attack it at the top Dynamite it. Shool it down, it possible See that the man who living in abysmal poverty and suffering is lifted to the light of the day treated as a human being and given the d gnity of life. As least let him live a bearable life under the sun. That should be the approach, And yet we are concerned about protecting the man at the top, at the summit of wealth and prosperity like Mr Mohta, Mr. Mohta does not understand ceiling. How can he? He is hung to the ceiling and that ceiling is very high. Soaring in high altitude ceiling he will never understand it. He will not understand it till the ceiling comes down with the edifice of wealth degradation of the caste to which le belongs, and it crashes to the ground and other men subjugated by these peop'e come on top. That is why he is opposed to it.

SHRI M K MOHTA: I have already become g ddy by your speech.

SHRI Y B CHAVAN: I know the criticism of Mr Bhupesh Gupta, but I am not in a position to accept his amendments, not because it is very difficult to accept his amendments, not because I do not like the amendments but because it is very difficult to accept them I would give an illustration. For example, in the case of an income of over Rs. 2 lakhs, his suggestion is that it should be raised from 85 per cent to 96 per cent. Unfortunately he has not seen the arithmetic of it. Eighty-five plus 15 per cent surcharge makes it nearly 97 per cent.

If you make it 96 per cent, then with surcharge it comes to 100 per cent.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: All right, very good. What is wrong? I do not mind it. Surcharge is another matter.

SHRI Y. B CHAVAN: Let us not forget that he is taxed very high. You cannot ask for some sort of which is expropriatory in nature. It is likely to be challenged in a court of law also.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: What about the other thing?

SHRIY. B CHAVAN: I can give you the explanation. It refers to a reg stered firm. In the case of the share of profit of a partner of a registered firm, it is charged at the source. The profit of the individual partner is also subject to income-tax. So, there is no reason to fear that they are tryirg to escape it as far as the law is concerned. In practice what happens is a different matter. Then, again about the other firms, under the existing law no part of the income-tax paid by the company is treated as payment on behalf of the share-When the dividend comes to the hands of the shareholders, it is also subject to income-tax. So, the operation of the Act on different classes must be taken into consideration. For these reasons I cannot accept his amendments.

SHRI M. K. MOHTA: Sir, I beg leave to withoraw my amendment.

*Amendment (No. 15) was, by leave, withdrawn.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V. B. RAJU): The questian is:

25. "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the I ok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely:—

'That at page 30, line 10, for the figures "85" the figures "96" be substituted "

The motion was negatived.

^{*} For text of the amendment vide col. 224-25 infra.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B RAJU). The question is:

26. "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha. namely:—

"That at page 31, line 30, for the figures "20" the figures "40" be substituted "

The motion was negatived.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU): The question is:

27. "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lak Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance
Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely.—

'That at page 33, line 11, for the figures "65" the figures "80" be substituted."

The motion was negatived.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V. B. RAJU): The question is:

"That the First Schedule stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

The First Schedule was added to the Bill.

The Second Schedule was added to the Bill.

The Third Schedule.

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR (Rajasthan): Sir, I move:

18. "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely:—

'That at page 42, lines 25 to 27 be deleted.' "

"That the Ray a Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely.—

'That at page 43, lines 26 and 27 be deleted.'

20 "That the Rajva Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, nemely .—

'That at page page 43, lines 28 to 31 be deleted'."

21 "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely.—

'That at page 45, lines 19 and 20 be deleted.' "

22 "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely:—

'That at page 45, lines 29 to 41 be deleted.'

23 "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the following amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1971, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely:—

'That at page 46, lines 20 to 4, be deleted.' "

24. "That the Rajya Sabha recommends to the Lok Sabha that the fellowing amendment be made in the Finance Bill, 1972, as passed by the Lok Sabha, namely:—

'That at page 46, lines 36 to 43 be deleted.'

The questions were proposed.

श्री जगदीश प्रमाद माथर : उप मनाध्यक्ष महोदय, अभी तक जो अमेडमेट आए है वे श्री भपेश गूप्त ने इन्कम टैक्स और बैल्य टैक्स के सम्बन्ध मे पेश किये थे, लेकिन मेरा जो सणोधन है वह उन आम जरूरत की चीजो के सम्बन्ध मे है जिन पर मत्नी महोदय ने टैक्स लगाया है। उनके मन मे भी इन चीजो पर टैक्स लगाते वक्त शका रही थी कि इस तरह का टैक्स लगाना उचित है या नहीं। इसका उदाहरण यह है कि केरोसिन तेल पर पहले 6 पेसे का टैक्स लगाया गयाथा, लेकिन बाद मे इसको हटा कर चार पैसा कर दिया गया। इस चीज मे नोई तुक नहीं है कि छह पैसे से चार पैसा क्यों कर दिया गया और छह पैसे से तीन पैसा क्यो नही किया गया या विल्कुल समाप्त नगो नही किया गया ।

Finance

जहां तक केरोसिन का सवाल है, यह कोई बडे प्नीपतियो की उपयोग के चीज नहीं है। यह तो आम जनता की उपयोग की चीज है। सरकार ने कहा है कि हमने बिजली की खपत बढ़ादी है, विजली कावड़ाविस्तार कर दिया है, लेकिन उसने मर्करी वल्ब पर किसी तरह का कोई टैक्स नहीं लगाया क्यों कि वे स्वय उस चीज का उपयोग करते है। जब बिजली देश के अन्दर नहीं आई थी तो आम जनता लालटेन का प्रयोग करती थी और जब आजादी की लडाई हई थी तो मबी जी नेस्वयं लालटेन के अन्दर काम किया होगा। उन्होंने मर्करी बल्ब पर तो किसी प्रकार का टैक्स नहीं लगाया मगर केरोसिन आयल पर, जो आम जनता के उप गेग की वस्तू है, उस पर टैक्स लगा दिया है। उन्होंने इस पर जो टैक्स 6 पैसे ने 4 पैसा कर दिया है, उसके बारे मे कोई तर्क नहीं दिया कि 6 से 4 क्यो किया और इसको बिल्कुल समाप्त नयो नही किया।

मै उनसे निवेदन यह करना चाहना हू कि आज हिन्दुस्तान मे जो अधिकाश जनता है वह बिजली का इस्तेमाल नहीं करती है। आप यह कह सकते हैं कि जो लोग स्टोब से रोटी बनाते है वे गैमे वाले होते हैं। यह बात ठीक है कि जो आदमी रोटी बनाने के लिए लक्ब के बजाय स्टोव का इस्तेमाल करता है वह गरीब नहीं हो सकता है और उमकी स्थिति अच्छी हो मरती है। लेकिन विजली के बजाय जो आदमी लाल-टेन का उपयोग करता है, वह गरीब आदमी है और मबी जी न जो टैक्स केरोसिन तेल पर लगाया है वह गरीब आदमी के उपर लगाया है ओर इस टेम्स को लगाने के सम्बन्ध में उन्होंने कोई भी उचित तकं नहीं दिया है कि इसको क्यों लगाया गया। श्री भूपेश गुप्त ने बड़े-बड़े लोगो पर श्रीर टैक्स लगाने की जो बात कहीं आयद मबी जी को वह अच्छी नहीं लगी और उन्होंने गरीब आदमी के उपयोग की चीज पर टैक्स लगा दिया।

इसी तरह से मंत्री जी ने पटिलाइजर के ऊपर टैक्स लगाया । आज किमानो से जितनी मम्बन्धित चीजे है, उन तमाम चीजो के ऊपर माननीय मत्नी जी ने टैक्स लगा दिया है। किसान जो गेह्र पैदा करता है उसके दाम घटाने के बारे म इस सदन मे कई बार चर्ची हुई और अग्रिकलचर प्राडम कमीणन ने भी इस बारे मे मुझाव दिया । लकिन किसान जो बिजली खरी--दने वाला है, विजली के द्वारा अपना उत्पादन बढ़।ता है, उन सब चीजो के ऊपर टैक्स बढा दिया गया है। शायद माननीय मत्री जी यह बात मानकर चले है कि हमने तो जितना ग्रीन रिवोल्यणन करना था वह **क**र दिया है, जितना हमको अग्निकलचर प्रोडेक्गन करनाथा वह कर दिया है और अब किसान को ज्यादा इन्सेन्टिव देने की आवश्यकता नहीं है। आज सरकार ने फर्टिलाइजर के ऊपर टैक्स लगा दिया है जब कि इसकी वजह से हमारे देश मे क,फी उत्पादन वडा है। कुछ स्टेटों में वर्तमान स्थिति में ग्रीन रिवोत्यूणन आया है, लेकिन हिन्दुस्तान के म्रधि-काश भागों में इस नरह की स्थिति नहीं है। इसी बजह से फर्टिलाइजर के सम्बन्ध मे जो टैक्स लगाया गया है, उसका कोई कारण दिखलाई नही देता है और इसको वापस लिया जाना चाहिये।

[श्री जगदीश प्रसाद पाथुर]

इसी प्रकार मीमेन्ट के ऊगर टैक्स लगा दिया गया है, इलैंक्ट्रिक मोटर्स के ऊपर टैक्स बढ़ा दिया गया है जो किसान के काम आता है तथा उसका हर जगह उपयोग करता है। श्राज आपने हर जगह पर नहर नहीं बनाई है इसलिए विसान को मोटर के जरिये पानी निकालना पडता है जिसके उत्पर आपने टैक्स लगा दिया है। इसी तरह से मोटर पाटस के ऊपर भी आपने टैक्स बढा दिया है, स्कूटर्स और रिक्शा जो गरीब जनता के वाहन है मोटर पार्टम पर टैक्स लगाने से उनके ऊपर भार बढेगा। स्कृटर भ्रीर रिक्णा पूजीपति लोग इस्तेमाल नहीं करत है, यह तो गरीब आदिमया का वाहन है और उन्ही के ऊपर इस मोटर पार्टस के टैक्स बढान का असर पडंगा। इसलिए हमने जो सणोधन पेश किये है, चाहे वह नरोसिन आयल के सम्बन्ध मे हो फटिलाइजर के सम्बन्ध मे हो, या इलेक्ट्रिक मोटर के सम्बन्ध मे हा, मोटर पार्टस के सम्बन्ध मे हो, यह जो चीजे है वे गरीब आदिमियों की रोज की इस्तेमाल की चीजे है। मन्नी जी स्वय हृदय से नहीं चाहते होगे क इन चीजो के ऊपर टैक्स लगाया जाय, लेकिन इनके बजाय उन्हें दूसरी चीजो पर टैक्स लगाने के साधन मिल जात तो शायद व इन चीजो पर टैक्स नहीं लगाते। मैं अन्त म भी यह ∗ह गा कि अब भी वे इस बारे में विचार कर ल और इन र्चाजो पर से टैक्स हटा ले। आखिर मे फाइनेस बिल पास हो जायगा तो ये टैक्सेज आयद हो जायेगे। केरासिन पर टैक्स के सम्बन्ध मे यह आखिरी लडाई है और मती महादय ने यह मणोधन स्वीकार नहीं विया तो करोसिन पर टैबस उसी तरह रह जायगा। चिमनी का लाल्टेन का जो गरीब आदमी उप-योग करता है उसकी ओर से मै माननीय मत्री महोदय से आखिर म निवेदन करा हू कि उम से कम इस सशोधन को वे स्वीकार वरें।

SHR1 BHUPESH GUPTA Sir, my amendment relates to fertiliser and kero-

I know Mr Chavan will not agree, But here you will not find arithmetical scope of dealing with the problem As you know, after the tax proposals were made known to the country in his Budget Speech, there wes general disconte t against these taxes, par icularly against these two taxes. We are not going into the other things at the fag end of the day Mr Chavan could have easily yielded to the popular wish in this After all, he would not have lost much morey in terms of revenue if he had accepted the popular criticism of that part cular aspect of his Budget and done away with these taxes He would not have lest much I think he has stood on prestige, not personal prestige, but the prestige of his Government It is always done my experience is this. When they introduce the Budget they choose such items knowing fully well that they will make certain conce-That is a part of the tactics of the Finai ce Minis ry, the stragety being all the time to fleece the people as far as possible and help the rich as far as possible subject to popular pressure That is what has been Otherwise, what is the difficulty?

New, Sii, why do we say that these taxes are very retrograde and unjust because they hit at a time when the prices are rising and when they are already overbuildened with high pices and high taxes not only Central but also local 1953 or 1654 the Union excise duties yielded a total sum of Rs 60 crores, today it is already Rs 2 300 crores You can say our ecotomy has also grown, that the people have got morey I am not going into it But in two d cades, from Rs 56 crores to Rs 2,300 cro es is a big jump. The people have suffered These taxes help inflationary precess in our country They add to the They influence the inflationally pressure pinces of other commodities to rise hit the concumer in a very direct sense as will be done in this particular case, as my friend has already pointed out. Why slould

Again, I think that in this Budget, after all the speeches of election manifesto and the Government should have otl erwise, given serious thought to the problem of indirect taxation, union excise duties in particular and the policy should have been one of lessening the burden rather than adding to

the buiden That should have been the approach Unfortunately, it is not so in this Budget These taxes will hit many sections of the people, not only those who are supposed to pay, but the incidence of these taxes will be shifted to others. Therefore, they will be shared by a very large section of the working people in our country

Now, Sir, as far as these taxes are concerned, we are naturally opposed to I think time has come for the Government to close this chapter of the Budget, for having a serious radical re hinking in the Ministry of Finance as to how to budget for this country The Budget does not reflect either our declarations or our social objective or even our desire to go forward. These are not reflected in a proper way. That is the tragedy of it Therefore, I think you will agree that the Budget needs re-structuring That you cannot do unless you find sources of revenue elsewhere, in the countryside by taxing the rural rich and the land'ord and everybody s ys that several hundreds of crores of run es could be got by this taxation In the urban areas, the corporate sector should be raxed in a better way, people who have a high income should be taxed. Nothing of the kind is being done

I think you will agree that we cannot improve our revenue much by taxation alone in a developing economy We want a nationalised sector, a bg nationalised sector, a revenue vielding sector, which will give control of the economy as a whole and bing in revenue If Mr Chavan nationalises all 75 monopoly houses, he will at once have at his command hundreds of crores of rupees as revenue for the country money that goes to the coffers of the capt taists will be with the public excequer That is why he should now shift from the retrograde and regressive taxation measures to progressive ones. (Time bell rings) And this cannot be dore unless we nationalis' those industries Before I sit down, I would point out to the House that you cannot improve upon the Budget radically unless you nationalise the monopoly concerns-ugar, oil, textile and other concerns, and foreign concerns should certainly be taken over Then you would be in a positition to find resources for the developmental activities

and also for meeting other budgetary requirements Hitting the common man is a thing of the past I think these tactics should be given up Fleecing the common man is the capitalist me hod of development. It is an indirect way of making the poor sections pay for the capitalist development, building up Bi las and Tatas Sir. these moneys are taken to the exchequer and then diverted to valous other items of expenditure, some of which directly go to benefit the explo ting classes and the monopoly class Therefore, it is socially unjust, politically it does not measure up to the standards of democracy and economically it is preposterous in the sense that it strengthens the negative forces in our eco omic life, with all its exploitation, with all its plunder, corruption and malpractices all along the line

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI Mr Vice Chairman, Sir, I want to oppose this amendment It has become a fashion in this House to speak in the name of the poor man without understanding what exactly the position is So far as kerosene is conceined, the inferior kerosene is not touched at all in the Budget Therefore, the poor man is not touched by this levy It is the better quality of kerosene which is being mixed by the public transport people who are running desel engine trucks, which is Therefore, on the contrary, I now taxed am really surprised that the Finance Minister succumed to the pressure of his party people to reduce the levy from 6 paise to It is a duty which he has imposed very rightly, first to stop the m xing of the better quality of kerosene with die el, and secondly to get more revenues for the Gover ment to fulfil the socio-economic Object ves to which they are wedded afraid, Sii, I cannot be a party to this If it were possible for me, I amendment would have moved an amendment to restore the levy to 6 paise so that the Government can get more revenue. He has fal en a prey to that pessure I know he had got a little elbow room and in order to please the members of his party, he had come up with I hope he will in future the amendment take c re of this

श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव श्रीमन्, उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, माननीय सदस्य ने सशोधन

[श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव]

का विरोध किया है, लेकिन मैं जमीन पर रहने वाला है और देहान का रहने वाला हू जहां कि किरासिन तेल व्यवहार किया जाता है। जिस दिन से सरकार ने तेल पर टैक्स बढाया है उस दिन से नार पैसे हर बोतल पर कीमत बढ गयी है। बबई में बाब् भाई को पता नहीं लगता होगा. लेकिन विहार के हर गात्र में किसानों को वेशी पैमा देना पड रहा है। आपके टेनिनकल टर्म मे भले ही दूसरे किस्म के तेल पर टैंक्स बढा हो लेकिन जो लोग किर।सिन तेल जलाने वाले है उनको आज वेशी पैसा देला पड रहा है। इसलिए मै तर्कमे न पड रर माननीय मती महोदय से वहुगा कि किरामिन तेल पर जो टैक्स कम करने की माग है वह सचमुच मे गरीबो के लिए है। आप ग्रीन रेवोल्युशा की बात करते है तो आप को फर्टिलाइजर पर भी टैक्स कम करना चाहिए। और किमान की एलेक्ट्-सिटी उसके पिनपा सेट पर भी टैक्स कम करना चाहिए।

SHR1 Y B CHAVAN · 1 have considered this aspect in great detail And I cannot agree to this amendment, firstly because, as Mr Babubhai Chinai has said, it is meant for the variety of kerosene which is used for adulteration with high speed diesel and among other things.

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR You ban it

SHRI Y B CHAVAN How do you ban it? This is the difficulty. We have raised the tax by 4 paise per litre. The honourable Member says that in some villages in Bihar it is charged on a bottle Possibly, some unhealthy social elements would have done that even without taxing here. Therefore, you cannot say that his is because of the tax.

श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव किरास्मित तेल दो तरह का वहाँ भी चलता है। एक सफेद होता है और एक गन्दा होता है। आप बाब् भाई चिनाई के नाम परही इस को क्यो रेते है ?

(Interruption)

SHRIY B CHAVAN: If people are exploiting poor people in the villages, such exploitation will have to be removed by the local administration by the political parties, and try political workers like vou and me, o ganising consumers' movement in the country But then, as Babubhai Chinai said, as a gesture to the criticism in Parliament I agreed.

श्री रणवीर सिंह (हरियाणा) : यह दीपक का सवाल है [?]

श्री जगदोश प्रसाद माथुर : यह गरीब का सवाल है, दीपक का सवाल नहीं है।

SHRIYB CHAVAN As I said, I also argued with myself I know that kerosene oil is utilised by the common people I do not deny that To a certain extent it is true. Therefore, as a gesture to the criticism and the desire of Men beis of Parliament of my party as well as other parties, I decided to reduce it. But I cannot further reduce it. Therefore, I cannot accept the amendment.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU) The question is—

"That Amendment Nos 18 to 24 stand part of the Bill"

The House divided

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V B. RAJU) Ayes—10, Noes—50

AYES-10

Alva, Dr K Nagappa
Gupta, Shri Bhupesh
Mandal, Shri B N
Menon, Shri Balachandra
Mol animad, Chai dhary A
Murthy, Shri B P. Nagaiaja
Patel, Shri D K
Tyagi, Shri Mahavir
Yadav, Shri J P
Yadav, Shri Shyam Lal

NOES-50

Finance

Alva, Shri Joachim Anandam, Shri M. Arıf, Shrı Mohammed Usman Bhagwati, Shri B C Bhardwaj, Shri Jagan Nath Bhatt, Shri Nand Kishoie Chattopadhyava, Dr. Debiprasad China, Shri Babubhai M. Choudhury, Shri N. R. Das, Shri Bipinpal Dass, Shri Mahabir Deshmukh Shri T. G. Gadgil, Shri Vithal Hath, Shri Jaisukhlal Himmat Sinh, Shri Joseph, Shri N. Kalania, Shri I. K. Kapur, Shri Yashpal Kemparaj Shri B. T. Krishan Kant, Shri Lakshmi Kumari Chundawat, Shrimati Mahida, Shri U N Malaviya, Shri Harsh Deo Melita, Shri Om Mukherjee, Shri Kali Mukherjee Shri Pranab Kumar Narayanappa, Shri Sanda Narayanı Devi Manaklal Varma, Shrimati Panda, Shri Brahmananda Patil. Shri G R. Patil, Shri P S Pratibha Singh, Shiimati Puri, Shri Dev Datt Reddy, Shri K V Raghunatha Reddy, Shii M Srinivasa Reddy, Shri Mulka Govinda Refaye. Shri A. K Roshan Lal, Shri Sangma Shri E M Satyavatı Dang Shrimatı Savi'a Behan, Shrimati Singh, Shri Bindeshwari Pd Singh, Shri Ranbr Singh, Shri Sultan Singh, Dr V B Sinha, Shii Awadheshwar Prasad Sisodia, Shri Swaisingh Tanvir, Shri Habib Tlwaiy, Pt. Bhawaniprasad Vero. Shri M.

The motion was negatived.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN. B RAJU) The question is .

"That the Third Schedule stand part of the Bill "

The motion was adopted

The Third Schedule was added to the Bill.

The Fourth Schedule and the Fifth Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill

SHRIY B. CHAVAN : Sir, I move :

'That the Bill be returned."

6 P.M

श्री बी० एन० मंडल (विहार): उपसभा-पति जी, जो यह फाइनेस बिल इस सदन के सामने मे है मै उसका विरोध करता हं। मेरे विरोध करने 🗃 कारण है कि इस फाइनेस बिल के जिरये नया कर उगाहा जायगा जिस कर को खर्च किया जायगा उस सरकार को चलाने के लिए जिस सरकार वी प्रधान मनी श्रीमती इन्दिरा गाधी है।

मुझे डर है, मै अपने डर को व्यक्त करना चाहता हूं और उस कारण से मै नही चाहता हं कि इस सरकार को और भी रुपया दिया जाय खर्च करने के लिए। मेरा डर है कि आज जो ढरी इस देश में चल रहा है, उसका यह नतीजा हआ ह कि आज श्रीमती इन्दिरा गाधी के नेतृत्व मे, जो हमारा सविधान है, उस संबिधान की उस ढग से मरम्मत की गई है जिस मरम्मत का यह भी नतीजा निकल सकता है कि श्रीमती इन्दिरा गाधी सविधान को खत्म करके डिक्टेटर बन जायं, अगर इस तरह का भी सशोधन लाने की उनको जरूरत पड़े तो जो जो मौजूदा सविधान है उसमे सशोधन करने के लिए कानुन पाम हो सकता है। उसी तरह से चुनाव का जो कानून है उस कानून का भी इसी ढग से मरम्मत किया है। अगर इन्दिरा

[श्री बौ० एन० मंडल]

243

गांधी की सरकार की ओर से ऐसा मैनिपुलेशन किया जाय कि जो गलत तरीके से बोट पड़े उनकी सही काउन्टिंग तो हो सके, तो ऐसा भी हो जायगा। (Interruptions) यहा तक कि चनाव के टाइम में जिन को कांग्रेम की तरफ में टिकट दिया जाता है उनसे पहले कहलाया जाता है.....

SHRI D. D. PURI (Haryana): Sir, on a point of order...After all, you are permitting the hon. Member to speak like this.

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR: No point of order.

श्री बी० एन० मंडल: कि तुम इन्दिरा गांधी को लीडर मानते हो। उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, इसके साथ ही जो चुनाव हाल में बिहार मे दरभंगा में पालियामेन्ट के लिए हुआ है, उसके बाद...

(Interuptions)

श्री मुलतान सिंह (हरियाणा) लोक सभा में जनता के द्वारा चुने हुए सदस्य है और इस सदन के अन्दर बैठकर माननीय सदस्य उस सदन की प्रतिष्ठा को घटाने के लिए प्रचार कर रहे है। यह प्रजातन की बे-इज्जती है और इसको अलाऊ नहीं किया जाना चाहिए।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री वी० बी० राजू) : आप समाप्त कीजिए।

श्री बी० एन० मंडल: तो जिस ढंग से अभी दरभग में पालियामेन्ट के लिए चुनाव हुआ है और अभी हाल में राज्य सभा के लिए यू० पी० में जो कुछ श्री राजनारायण के साथ हुआ है, उससे मालूम पड़ना है किस ढंग से प्राइम मिनिस्टर की ओर से मैनिपुलेशन किया जाना है। उसकों भी हम लोगों ने देखा है।

श्रीमती सविता बहिन : जो माननीय सदस्य बोल रहे है वह पालियामेन्टरी शब्द है क्या ? यह तो सबके टाप की पालियामेन्ट है। श्री बी॰ एन॰ मंडल: प्राइम मिनिस्टर के दिमाग में अगर यह ख्वाहिश हो, जिसकी बजह से चाहे संविधान की मरम्मत हो, चाहे कानून की मरम्मत हो, चाहे अपनी पार्टी की मरम्मत हो, चाहे सरकार की भी मरम्मत हो, उससे मुक्ते लगता है कि उनकी सरकार में जितने पुराने मंत्री थे जो जो उनको जबाब दे सकते थे, उन सब को हटा दिया गया अब सिर्फ 2 आदमी पुरानों में वाकी हैं—एक श्री चव्हाण साहब हैं...

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर : यह भी जाने वाले है।

श्री बी० एन० मंडल: दूसरे हरिजन मंती है, तो 2 ही आदमी पुरानों में बाकी बचे है, जिनके बारे में समझा जा सकता है कि इन्दिरा गांधी अगर कोई गलत काम करे तो रोक सकते हैं लेकिन इन्दिरा गांधी ने होम मिनिस्ट्री को अपने कब्जे में रखकर उनको दवा रखा है, उनके जिरये से वे डिमोरेलाइज करती रहती है। मेरा कहने का मतलब यह है कि सारी डिक्टेटरिसप की कार्यवाही हो रही है ग्रौर इसलिए खतरा है कि इस देश के अन्दर जनतंव रह सकेगा या नहीं रह सकेगा। इसलिए मैं इस बिल का विरोध करता हूं कि वर्तमान प्राइम मिनिस्टर के नेतृत्व में जो सरकार चल रही है उसके लिए इस सदन की स्वीकृति नहीं मिलनी चाहिए।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI V. B. RAJU): The question is :--

"That the Bill be returned."

The motion was adopted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN SHRI V. B. RAJU): The House stands adjourned till 11 00 A. M. tomorrow.

The House then adjourned at five minutes past six of the clock till eleven of the clock on Wednesday, the 24th May, 1972