MESSAGES FROM THE LOK **SABHA**

Messages from

- I. THE PERSONAL INJURIES (EMERGENCY PROVISIONS) AMENDMENT BILL, 1971
- II. THE PERSONAL INJURIES (COMPENSA-TION INSURANCE) AMENDMENT BILL, 1971
- III. THI: COMPANIES (SURCHARGE ONINCOME-TAX) BILL, 1971

SECRETARY: Sir, I have to report to the House the following messages received from the Lok Sabha, signed by the Secretary of the Lok Sabha:

7

"In accordance with the provisions of Rule 96 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I am directed to enclose herewith the Personal Provisions) Injuries (Emergency Amendment Bill, 1971, as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th December, 1971."

II

"In accordance with the provisions of Rule 96 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I am directed to enclose herewith the Personal Injuries (Compensation Insurance) Amendment Bill, 1971, as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th December, 1971."

III

"In accordance with the provisions of Rule 96 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I am directed to enclose herewith the Companies (Surcharge on Income-tax) Bill, 1971, as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th December, 1971

The Speaker has certified that this Bill

is a Money Bill within the meaning of article 110 of the Constitution of India."

Lok

Sir, I lay a copy of each of the Bills on the Table.

RESOLUTION RE MEASURES FOR RETURN OF REFUGEES TO BANGLA DESH WITHIN A PERIOD OF THREE MONTHS—contd.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. D. D. Puri.

श्री राजनारायण (उत्तर प्रदेश): श्रीमन्, अब इस प्रस्ताव की कोई आवश्यता नहीं है। वह काम पुरा हो गया। अब भ्राज सदन उठ जाना चाहिए। क्या रिप्लाई करना है।

SHRI DEV DATT PURI (Haryana): Sir, this House discussed my Resolution on the 3rd of December last, which turned out to be a fateful day in the history of this nation. Barely had the External Affairs Minister finished his intervention on this Resolution, within an hour the Yahya Khan heards resorted to a perfidious and cunning attack on 8 of our airports. Sir, it was particularly perfidious because at that time the Prime Minister, the Defence Minister and the Finance Minister happened to be out of Delhi. There was an attempt appa-rently on the pattern of Israel.

Sir, the House knows and the whole world knows that our Air Force gave them back more than what we received. They demonstrated to the world that in spite of this surprise and perfidious attack, no neck and corner of Pakistan was safe, was outside our reach. Sir, today more than a quarter of the Air Force of Pakistan shall fly no more .

(Interruptions)

SOME HON. MEMBERS: This Resolution is out of date . . .

SHRI CHITTA BASU (West Bengal): You should distribute rasgullus rather than make this speech. It is out of date . . .

SHRI DEV DATT PURI: I am coming to that. Please give me 5 minutes.

9

Our naval forces have made two courtesy calls at Karachi. Our naval forces also gave a floral reception io the "Ghazi". They also threw into the bargain another submarine and a couple of destroyers. The net results of the courtesy visits and of this floral reception is that we rule the seas and the Pakistan Navy is no more. The Army has redeemed the promise given by the Defence Minister that any Iand operation will be held on the soil of Pakistan. We have quite a few hundred kilometres of the Pakistan soil behind our lines of operation. The entire House joins in paying a glorious tribute to our Armed Forces in all the three services and to the Mukti Bahini who have covered themselves with glory in this short operation in the course of a fortnight. The crowning glory to what has happened in the last fortnight is the establishment of Bangla Desh. Bangla Desh is to-day a reality for the whole wide world to recognise. It is no use turning a Wind eye or even turning both blind eyes to the fact that Bangla Desh is in existence for all times to come. There are none so Wind as those will not see. The fact that this achievement has come under the shadow of the American Seventh Fleet adds poignancy to it. We have heard about the mighty Armadas of the US. We heard of them in the Bay of Pigs. They tried to cow down little Cuba and they had failed. We have heard about this mighty formidable Armada of the US in the Bay of Tonkin where with the support of 500,000 ground troops they were unable to suppress the freedom of the North Vietnamese and of the Vict Cong. Those troops have evacuated Vietnam and the Seventh Fleet is back here. Now this time it is in the Bay of Bengal. The question arises as to what is our attitude towards the Seventh Fleet coming into the Bay of Bengal. The answer was given in clear unequivocal terms by the Prime Minister in the Ramlila grounds. The position of the Government and of this country and of the political parties that go to make the Indian nation was made perfectly clear was regarding the Seventh Fleet. I might make a brief mention of a tragic fact which is that we

have been unable to convince the Islamic nations of the truth of our cause. That is particularly so because we expected a greater understanding from the Islamic nations. What was attempted in Israel is exactly the same as the one attempted in what was formerly East Pakistan. What was done in Israel was, by employment of terror and force as instrument of State policy the pattern of the population was sought to be changed. The Arabs were in a majority in Palestine. By the employment of force they were driven out as refugees into the neighbouring Arab states. The same thing was attempted here in Bangla Desh. By the employment of terror as the instrument of State Policy the majority of the people of Bangla Desh were sought to be converted into a majority by physical decimation and driving out the refugees. Under these circumstances we expected a greater amount of understanding from the Arab countries than from the rest of the world. However it is hoped that they will be able to appreciate the cause for which so many valient lives have been lost. Sir, the other day Bhutto stated that the Ganga and the Indus will flow with blood if India dared to challenge the armed might of Pakistan. I have heard the plaintive cries of Bhutto at the United Nations which was fortunately recorded and broadcast by the BBC. You can actually hear him almost crying there—from the mighty thunder'of the Ganga and the Indus flowing with bloo to the pitiful tears that Bhutto shed when he admitted military defeat. Sir. I heard Yahya Khan also last night. I had the good fortune or the misfortune of hearing his broadcast last night. It is said that Yahya Khan was power drunk but last night he was just plain drunk, loaded up with alcohol. If the leadership of the nation which is our immediate neighbour is in such hands as Yahya Khan and Bhutto, then in spite of the magnificent offer made by the Prime Minister last night, the very generous offer, an offer that every victor should make to the vanguished, we may be in for a long struggle if the military machine, of Yahya Khan is determined to break its head against a stone wall.

[Shri Dev Datt Puri]

Sir, the Prime Minister has drawn- the sword not with a view to conquering territory but in defence of liberty, in defence of freedom, in defence of human rights, in defence of secularism, in defence of democracy, in defence of all values that we hold dear, even more dear than dear life.

श्री पीताम्बर दास (उत्तर प्रदेश): श्रीमन्, मैं यह नियेदन करना चाहता हूं कि जो नीन-प्राफीणियल प्रस्ताव है पुरी साहब का उसके सम्बन्ध
में इस पर प्रापत्ति नहीं करता कि वे ये बातें क्यों
कह रहे हैं, मैं केवल यह कहना चाहता हूं,
कि जब इन्होंने इतनी सारी नई बातों का जिक
किया है तो इन सब के सम्बन्ध में भौर लोगों
को भी जिक करने का मौका दिया जाये।

श्री समापति : यह तो उनका रिप्लाई है । श्री पीतास्वर दास : ग्राप सुन लें मेरी पूरी बात, को, मैं ग्रपनी बान साफ कर दूं।

भी शीलमद्र याजी (बिहार): वे ग्रपने प्रस्ताव पर बोल रहे हु।

श्री पीताम्बर दास : याजी जी, समझने की कोशिश करें, न समझ में ग्राना हो तो शान्त बठ रहें, जिनकी समझ में ग्राता है वह समझ लेंगे।

Mr. Puri is quite strong to reply to my point. Mr. Yajee, you need not worry. Mr. Puri is stronger than him.

SHRI DEV DATT PURI : It is ray right of reply.

श्री पीताम्बर बास े मैं केवल इतना कह रहा हूं कि राइट ग्राफ रिप्लाइ का ग्रंथ यह होता है कि जो बीजें डियेट में उठाई गई हैं उनका उत्तर दिया जाय । इस समय जो पुरी जी ने बात करनी गुरू की है सीज-कायर की, वह डिबेट में उठी नहीं है । मैं यह नहीं कहता कि वे यह बात न कहें । कहें, लेकिन जो बात इन्होंने नई कहनी गुरू की है, उसके संबंध में ग्रीरों के रिएक्शन्स भी ग्रापको एलाउ करने चाहिएं।

भी समापति : भव भ्राप खत्म कीजिए, नहीं रे स्रोर बात शुरू होगी ।

श्री पोताम्बर बास : या तो इस बात को यहीं रोक दीजिए । ग्रौर मगर ग्राप इजाजत देते हैं —मैं मना गहीं करता, वे बोलें—तो फिर औरों के लिए भी इस पर बोलने की बात रिलेवेंग्ट होगी।

भी शीलभद्र याजी : इस पर ग्राप नहीं बोलेंगे, यह नान-ग्राफीशियल रिजोल्युणन है ।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Will you stop, Mr. Yajee?

श्री राजनारायएा : श्रीमन, पोइन्ट ग्राफ आईर । ग्रगर पुरी साहब यह कहते हैं कि सीज फायर, तो मैं यह कह सकता हूं कि सीजफायर का होना गलत हुआ । देखिए इस प्रस्ताव में केवल तीन बातें हैं ।

श्रीसभपति: मने सुनली उनकी बास, एलाउ कर दी, ग्रव क्यां सवाल है।

भी राजनारायरा: मेरी यह राय है कि सीज-फायर का एसान भारत सरकार को नहीं करना चाहिए या, माजाद काश्मीर को लिए बिना सीज-फायर करना बेवकुफी है।

श्री शीलभद्र याजी: वैठो ।

SHRI DEV DATT PURI: As a mailer of fact I was just finishing. I value very greatly the remarks made by the hon. Member but the very nature of the Resolution is thai it deals with the refugees. As the si! nation is now changed I have to deal with the situation as it has developed.

MR. CHAIRMAN : What you have said is quite sufficient.

SHRI CHANDRA SHEKHAR (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, yon are restricting the hon. Member from making his speech.

MR. CHAIRMAN: He has already said what he wanted to say.

SHRT CHANDRA SHEKHAR: No, Sir. This is a very vital issue. Are you going to follow this practice in future with all Members or not because, Mr. Chairman, Sir, if a Member is within his rights to reply and make a speech can he not digress even by a point here or there? Is it going to be the ruling for ever to come? I am surprised that the hon. Member, Pitamber Das, raises objection over small matters. If they want they can get ano-

13

ther opportunity, but the hon. Mover of j the Resolution cannot be restricted in making his speech.

MR. CHAIRMAN: He has already agreed to wind up his speech and I am merely asking him to stop now. lie has agreed.

SHRI PITAMBER DAS: One minute, Mr. Chairman. Probably my friend, Mr. Chandra Shekhar, has not been able to make a distinction between a 'speech' and a 'right of reply.' I do not object to his making a speech, but when he says 'right of reply', he has only to reply to the points that have been raised. That is the only thing 1 say.

SHRI CHANDRA SHEKHAR: It is not necessary that you should controvert his speech, whatever he may be saying, everybody has got the right to say what he has to say.

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN (Andhra Pradesh): It is left to the discretion of the Member.

SHRI DEV DATT PURI: The purpose of my Resolution was to draw the attention of the Government to a very urgent matter and to the situation created by the influx of refugees. Another purpose of my resolution was to give an opportunity to the Members of all sections of the House to demonstrate that all parties in the country and every Member of the House stand like a rock united behind the Prime Minister. That purpose having been fulfilled by the inexorable course of events, I seek leave of the House to withdraw my Resolution.

SHRI PITAMBER DAS: Sir, when you ask the House to grant leave to the mover to withdraw his Resolution, naturally, we have got a right to say whether we allow it to be withdrawn or not. And of course if ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: There cannot be any debate on this question.

SHRI PITAMBER DAS: I have got a right to say that it may not be allowed to be withdrawn

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have got the right to vote accordingly.

SHRI PITAMBER DAS: One thing before you my own arguments and 1 would like these arguments to be met with arguments, not will noise. I want to put one thing particularly to Mr. Chandra Shekhar because he tries very intelligently to follow the argument. My argument is that, when the Chair asks the House for its-leave for the withdrawal of the Resolution, then in that case all Members of the House are perfectly entitled to say, "yes, allowed", or to say, "no, not allowed." The moment I have the right to say, "no, not allowed," I must have also the opportunity to convince the House as to why 1 want the House to say "no." How can you stop me from explaining my reasons for asking the House to say "no."

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN: You are a very senior Member. This is not the proceduie.

SHRI CHANDRA SHEKHAR: The hon. Member, Mr. Pitamber Das, may ask for a division during voting. The procedure is just like that.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Then I will have the right to counteract his arguments also.

SHRI PITAMBFR DAS: The moment I am required to express my opinion, the moment I am required to express myself in favour of 'yes' or 'no", I have to convince those whom I want to take with me.

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN: If Mr. Pitamber Das were to try to explain to the mover Mr. Dev Datt Puri, and if Mr. PUTI were to explain to Mr. Das in return, this will be an unending process. You can vote in favour of or against withdrawal of the Resolution.

श्री राजनारायएा : श्रीमन्, हमारा एक व्यवस्था का सवाल है । मैं भ्राप से जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या भ्राप संसदीय नियमों के श्रंतर्गत हम को यह बताने जा रहे हैं कि भ्रगर कोई व्यक्ति एक सम्बी चौड़ी स्पीच दे कर श्रपने किसी प्रस्ताव को वापस 15

to Bangla Desk

return of refugees

[श्री राजनारायण] लेको बाहता है भीर सदन का कोई सदस्य बाहता है कि हम ग्रपने तकों को दे कर बतायें कि सदन इस को वापस करने की भनुमति न दे या सदन इस पर कोई दूमरी राय ने तो क्या उसको बोलने की इजाजत नहीं है । मैं यह जानना चाहता हं धौर में भाष से निवेदन करूंगा कि भाष कृपा कर धपनी साध् सम्मति व्यवस्था के रूप में स समय न दें। प्राप इसका जरा भध्यमन करें भीर में बताता हं कि घगर घाप मेरी धष्ययन करके राष के बिरुद्ध सम्मति देगे तो वह साधु सम्मति नहीं हो पायेगी। मैं बाप को बता दूं कि हम को पुरा प्रक्षिकार है और मैं भाग से यह निवेदन कर सकता हं घीर घाप के द्वारा सदन से कह सकता हूं कि हमारे यह तक है और मदन इन तकों को मद्देनजर रखते हुए श्री पुरी को इजाजत न दे कि वह इस को वापस लें। मैं इस भवसर **पर भाप से** कहना चाहता है कि भारत की सरकार ने कल जो सीज-फायर का प्रस्ताव किया वह देश हित के विरुद्ध किया है। इसलिए में कहना बाहता है कि उन्होंने इस व्वाइंट को न उठाया होता तो मैं इसे नही कहता।

श्री महाबीर त्यामी (उत्तर प्रदेश): मैं प्रापसे पर्व करना चाहता हूं कि राजन। रायण जी ने जो कहा है कि प्रगर कोई प्रस्ताव वापम करने की बात प्राप्ते तो प्रपीजीशन को भी प्रधिकार है उस पर कुछ बात कहने का, यह बात जाने में ठीक हो सकती है, लेकिन मैं राजनारायण जी से यह कहूंगा कि इन 20,30 वर्षों में जो कंवेंशन हाउस में बने हैं उनके मृताबिक चेयर ने ठीक ही कहा है कि विदद्राल पर बांट ही लिया जाता है, उस पर बहुस नहीं होनी। चेयर ने मृतासिब तरीका प्रस्तियार किया है।

भी राजनरायम् ः तो चेयर पुरी माहब को रोक देती ।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, please sit down, Mr. Chitta Basu, what do you say to your amendment.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: I think the original Resolution which has been moved by Mr. Puri has become out of date because the Government of India has already recognised the Democratic Republic of Bangla Desh. Therefore, there is no point in continuing the discussion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No speech. Are you withdrawing it or not?

SHRI CHITTA BASU: The original Resolution has become out of date. The object or the intention of my amendment has already been fulfilled by according recognition to Bangla Desh by our Government. Therefore, I withdraw my amendment

I beg leave to withdraw my amendment.

*Amendn-ent (,V.-\ 1) was, by leave, wiifi-driwn,

MR. CHAIRMAN : Shri Jagdish Prasad Mathur's amendment.

SHRI MAHAVIR TYAGI: When the Resolution is permitted to be withdrawn, the amendment need not be put.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Are yoti withdrawing your amendment ?

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद मायुर: श्रीमन्, मेरा जो संशोधन . . .

SHRI PITAMBER DAS: According to the rules, if you put the original Resolution and the Heise allows it to be withdrawn, then the amendments need not be put at alt.

श्री जगवीश प्रसाद मायुर ःश्रीमन्, जो संशोधन मेराया . . .

SHRI MAHAVIR TYAGI : Suppose we carry one amendment, what will happen to the Resolution which is withdrawn $\, I \,$

श्री राजनारायरा: जरा व्यवस्था को ठीक चलाइये। प्राप की धमेंडमेंट पर पहले बोट लेना चाहिए था। धगर धमेंडमेंट पर पहले बोट नहीं लिया धौर मूल प्रस्ताव विदड़ा हो गया तो धमेंडमेंट रह ही नहीं गया। धमेंडमेंट कि सी प्रस्ताव पर होता है न । तो त्यांगी जी यहां ठीक है।

श्री सभापति : मैने ग्राप को मुन लिया श्रीर त्यागी जी को भी मुनलिया है।

भी राजनारायरा : जब रेजोन्यूमन यालरेडी विदड़ा हो गया तो प्रमेंडमेंट का क्या होगा ? * For text of Amendment, vide Debate dated the 19th November, 1971.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal) : In my opinion, you have been following the correct procedure. The amendments always come first. It applies to Bills and Resolutions. Amendments always come first. Therefore, every thing has to be withdrawn. The amendment is also in the nature of a motion. Therefore, you are quite right in asking whether the amendment is withdrawn or not withdrawn.

Statement

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bhupesh Gupta, please sit down. Mr. Mathur.

भी जनवीश प्रसाद मानुर (राजस्थान) श्रीमन्, मेरा जो संशोधन या वह इस प्रकार का या कि भारत मरकार पूर्वी बंगाल के लोगों को इस प्रकार से सहायता दे कि वह भपनी सार्वभोग सरकार बना सकें लेकिन धान सरकार की जो उनको मदद देने का प्रक्त धाता है तो उनके नेता मुजीब्रंहमान गिरएतार हैं और पाकि-म्तान की पुरी सैनिक णक्ति ग्रभी समाप्त नहीं हुई है, पाकिस्तान मजीवर्रहमान साहब को छोड़ने वाला है या नी यह मालुम नहीं है, इस नाते से बंगाल के **पन्दर सार्वभी**म सरकार बनाने में · · ·

भी समापति : ग्राप ग्रपना ग्रमैंडमैट विदड़ा कर रहे हैं या प्रेस कर रहे हैं !

भी जगदीश प्रसाद माण्ड: प्रेस नहीं कर रहा है लेकिन मेरा यह कहना है कि जब तक मृजीवर्रहमान की रिहाई नहीं करवा देते और उसके पहले ग्राज सीज फायर की बात करना श्रोर जब तक पाकिस्तान की पूरी मैनिक शक्ति को समाप्त नहीं कर देते उसके पूर्व यह जो सीख फायर करते हैं उसके कारण जो मेरा धर्मेंडमैंट था कि बंगला देण के भन्दर इस प्रकार की सार्वभौम सरकार बने जो कि अपनी सार्वभोम सत्ता कायम कर सके वह पूरा नहीं होता है इसलिए मेरा सरकार से निवेदन है कि वह कोई इस प्रकार की बात बतावे कि म जीवर्रहमान को रिहा कराने की दृष्टि से सरकार क्या कदम उठा रही है ।

श्री सभापति : ग्राप ग्रमैडमैट को विदड़ा कर रहे हैं या नहीं !

श्री अगबीश प्रसाद माधुर: मैं विदड़ा तो करता हुं लेकिन इस प्रकार का सरकार से बाध्वासन चाहता हुं कि मुजीबुर्रहमान को रिहा कराने की दृष्टि से सरकार कौन सा कदम उठा रही है । इस बात को वह बताये ।

by Minister

श्री समापति: ठीक है, सुन लिया । He is withdrawing.

'Amendment No. 2 was, by leave, withdrawn

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kempara . Where is he? He is not here. The question is:

- 3. "That in the Resolution-
- (i) in line 2 (Paragraph 1) for the word 'diabolical' the word 'heinous' be substituted
- (ii) in lines 6-7 (Paragraph 2) for the words 'aggression against this country' the words 'civilian invasion of India' be substituted.
- (iii) in lines 10-11 (Paragraph 3) for the words 'within a period of three months', the words 'at the earliest possible opportunity' be substituted."

The motion was negatived.

SHRI DEV DATT PURI: Sir, I beg leave to withdraw my Resolution.

The Resolution was, by leave, withdrawn.

STATEMENT BY MINISTER RE RE-FERENCE OF THE CONSTITUTION (TWENTY-FIFTH AMENDMENT) BILL, 1971 TO THE STATE **LEGISLATURES**

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND JUS-TICE/विधि ग्रीर न्याय मंत्री (SHRI H. R. GOKHALE): Mr. Chairman, Sir, The Constitution (Twenty-fifth Amendment) Bill, 1971 was passed by both Houses of Parliament by majority of the total membership of each House and by majority of not less than two thirds of the members of each

*For text of Amendment, vide Debate dated the 19vh November, 1971.