- (1) 1969-70 के वर्ष के लिए नेश-नल स्माल इडस्ट्रीज कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड नयी दिल्ली का 15 वा वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन तथा लेखे, लेखो पर लेखापरीक्षको के प्रति-वेदन और उस पर भारत के नियन्त्रक महालेखापरीक्षक की टिप्पणियो सहित।
- (2) कारपोरेशन के कार्यकरण के सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा। [Placed in Library See No LT-1184/71 for (1) and (2)]

REPORTS OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE (1971-72)

SHRI B K KAUL (Rajasthan) Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Reports of the Public Accounts Committee (1971-72) —

- (a) Fourteenth Report on action taken by Government on the recommendations of the Public Accounts Committee contained in their 112th Report (1969-70) on Appropriation Accounts (P & T), 1967-68 and Audit Report (P & T), 1969
- (b) Twenty-first Report on action taken by Government on the recommendations of the Public Accounts Committee contained in their 101st Report (1969-70), relating to National Malaria Eradication Programme (Department of Health)

MESSAGE FROM THE LOK SABHA

SECRETARY Sir, I have to report to the House the following message received from the Lok Sabha signed by the Secretary of the Lok Sabha

"I am directed to inform Rajya Sabha that Lok Sabha, at its sitting held on the 17th November, 1971, has adopted the following motion further extending the time for presentation of the Report of the Joint Committee on amendments to election law —

MOTION

That this House do further extend the time for the presentation of the Report of the Joint Committee on amendments to election law upto the 15th December, 1971".

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN The House stands adjourned till 2 00 p M

The House then adjourned for lunch at eight minutes past one of the clock

The House reassembled after lunch at two of the clock, Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair

THE PREVENTION OF FOOD ADULTERATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1971—contd

DR K NAGAPPA ALVA (Mysore). Sir, I support the amendment in the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act of 1954. I would like to say at the outset that this was overdue. I also suggest to the Government in all seriousness that all the Acts which have not been extended to the State of Jammu and Kashmir should be extended at the earliest possible time.

Sir, it is, I think, very necessary that I should make a few remarks because this Act is a very important one considering the health, strength and even the morale of the people of this country This Act has got a Central Committee for Food Standards and I am sure the purpose of the Committee, the duties and functions of the Committee were mainly to advise the Government on the working of this Act, the administration of the Act and also to note from time to time difficulties that are being the lapses and experienced But today we are seeing that the enforcement of this Act is simply a farce because from the sample survey conducted and the analysis of the adulterated food it has been made clear that not less than 75 per cent of the food articles in this country are adulterated

Likewise I want to make a reference about the drug adulteration because these two things go together. These are the vital needs for the health and strength of an individual. Of course, something has been done but it is also necessary that there must be in all the States Food Control Departments, naturally, attached to the Medical and Health Directorates. But as it is, it is unfortunate that many States have not started separate Food Control Departments with separate drug testing laboratories, of course attached to the Health Directorates. Because then only it will be possible to implement

Prevention of Food

the Act This is a vast country and each one of our States can be compared to any When such is the country in the world magnitude of the problem we have, the way it is being enforced is very funny. There are no analysits, no laboratories and no Inspectors worth the name in many States It is only the Health Inspector or the sanitary Inspector who is doing this job and it is a well-known fact that these anti-social merchants and dealers pay them much more than their pay We know how these people can be corrupted, can be influenced. It is only one per cent of the cases that are detected and even out of that in most cases the accuguilty because the sed are not found arrangements that are necessary are not there at all Here I must also make a reference to the health condition of the people As a medical man having practised medicine for over 15 years I know 25 per cent of the gastro-intestinal disorders are due to this food adulteration Particularly adulterated food-stuffs affect the poorer sections of the people more In food we know there is first class variety, there is second class variety and all sorts of things and the lower the class the greater the adulteration So it is our primary duty to see that these Acts are implemented Unfortunately in this country while we have these Acts, in the matter of implementation nothing is being Particularly in this case I would with all seriousness request the Government 1 make an earnest appeal Government that each State should be compelled to have a separate Department tor this and also have a laboratory, otherwise one central laboratory here or there would not do For the information of the I must say that in the year Government 1966 a sum of Rs 12 croies was set apart for implementing this measure effectively After all, twelve crores is nothing much and when the question of ten per cent cut came this was the first to be cut I say prevention of food adulteration must be given a very high priority and the punishment must be made very very severe Crores and crores of rupees we are spending on so many things sometimes wasting Here there is already a scheme for Rs 12 crores, besides of course the recurring expenses will be there I say this is a very important matter and with these words I support the amending Bill.

SHRI CHITTA BASU (West Bengal) Mr Deputy Chairman, Sir, I agree with the hon Minister that the scope of the Bill is very limited It seeks to extend the Act to Jammu and Kashmir As a matter of fact, there is no reason why all the legislations passed by Parliament should not be extended to Jammu and Kashmir, which is much a part of India This should have been done earlier Before passing this Bill for the extension of the Act to Jammu and Kashmir I think the House should have the benefit of getting certain information from the Government My experience shows that the provisions of this Act have not been properly implemented in any State Of course, it is admitted by all that the offence committed by the food gealers of adulteration constitutes an offence not against an individual, but against the society and the nation as a whole There has been no elaborate machinery for the implementation of the provisions of this Act May I know from the hon Minister at this stage, as to the number of cases in which the person or the man who has committed the offence has been tried and sent to prison? What is the amount so far realised by way of fine in different States? I do not know whether the hon Minister is in possession of this vital information. My feeling is that this Act has not been implemented at all in any Where it has been implemented, it has been implemented not against the big company men, not against those who indulge in adulteration of foodstuffs, not those who mat er in the society but against humble persons I know in Calcutta and in many other cities the ordinary food-sellers are punished under this Act I do not support them It constitutes an which can be tried under the provisions of this Act, but ordinary fruit seilers, ordinary hawkers in the streets of Calcutta are being punished under the provisions of this Act There are big companies which are not at all punished under this Act, because they have a certain pull with the Government machinery, with the inspectorate They can get things done and get iway with whatever they do Therefore, I would urge upon the Government that there should be enough attempt on the part of the Government to see that it is properly implemented and implemented in a way that it has a detrerrent effect on those anti-social elements who practise it or indulge in food adulteration. Whatever be the earlier experience, I want to draw the attention of the Government to the fact

that they should take adequate steps to bring forward necessary amendments

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND IN THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT/ संसदीय कार्य विभाग तथा नीवहन और परिवहन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (SHRI OM MEHTA). Is he going to do something about political adulteration also? Suggest something.

SHRI CHITTA BASU I think you are very much politically adulterated I think at least the Minister has become very much politically adulterated. His political conviction was something earlier and I think after the process of adulteration he has chosen to sit with you. That is the biggest example of political adulteration.

SHRI OM MEHTA No, no.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: I do not know who has adulterated whom

SHRI OM MEHTA You had adulterated him earlier, but now he is on the right path.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: He has adulterated Gandhism with Marxism.

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN . Please continue

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Let us not continue the dialogue Marxism is Marxism and it is unadulterated. Anyway, the Government had sought to amend this Act in 1965, but that amendment was not of any consequence The penal provision of In section 16 (f) the Act is not adequate the punishment which has been provided for is merely imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than six months but which may extend tosix years and fine which should not be less than Rs. 1,000. This nunishment is not adequate to punish the anti-social elements who have committed a grave offence not against an individual, but against the whole of the society, against the a whole. Without discussing much on this point, I merely want to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to the of the Law Comrecent recommendation mission in this respect The Law Commission has been pleased to observe"The Commission is of the view that the punishmen prescribed for such anti-social offences."

as I have mentioned

' are generally low".

and the Commission recon mended that-

' the offences should be made punishable with regorous imprisonment up to fourteen years and with fine."

Sir, the Law Commission in its recent Report has really gone into the basic problem that in the case of anti-social practices if the punishment is not of an exemplary nature. if it is not of a deterrent nature, then the punishment is of no consequence. It does not preclude adulteration, the way the Act is being implemented encourages adulteration Therefore, may I ask the hon. Minister to examine the proposal of the in this regard—a specific Commission recommendation—that the punishment should extend to 14 years and with fine, so that it may have some deterrent effect and would really be of some check on those anti-social elements?

With these words, I hope that the Minister will give proper thought and consideration to the recommendation of the Law Commission itself.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING/स्वास्थ्य और परिवार नियोजन मन्त्रालय में राज्य मन्त्री (DR DEBIPRASAD CHATTOPADHYAYA): Sir, the remarks of the hon Members who participated in the debate show that this Bill is not controversial one and that they strongly recommend it However, two hon. Members, Dr. Alva and Shri Chitta Basu, have observed something very important, I should say. Before I refer to the specific points made by them, I would like to reiterate the point that the proposed amendment is a very small one, the purpose being just to enable the Governthe Prevention ment to apply Adulteration Act of 1954 to the whole of India, from which Jammu and Kashmir had been excluded previously But even then, taking advantage of the observations made by the hon Members. I would like to make two or three points. Firstly, Dr Alva has

200

Dr. Debiprasad Chattopadhyaya

spoken of the necessity of strengthening the Food Inspectorate and also of improving the laboratory facilities now available to the Central Government and the State Governments Now, I would like to inform Dr through you, Sir, that already Alva Government is thinking of setting up three organisations to strengthen zonal laboratory facilities and improve the quality and the desirability of of food analysis having separate Directorates of Food Analysis and Drug Analysis has also been accepted in In fact, the Maharashtra Governprinciple already set up a separate Directorate for checking food adulteration and controlling the quality of drugs, etc., and I hope and trust that other Governments will be following the model set by the Government. The Central Maharashtra crovernment on their part is also setting up a Central Food Standardisation Laboratory In the budget of this year we have made financial provision for this purpose points raised by Dr Alva are valid and are being looked into, in fact they are being implemented.

DR K NAGAPPA ALVA The case 1 made out was that in every State the Food Directorate is necessary, a Food Control Department is necessary Also a Food Analysst laboratory is necessary You know the magnitude of the question is so much and every State is so big As I said, once again I ask Is the hon'ble Minister not convinced that the machinery has to be extended all over? At the same time the responsibility of the Central Government is so much in this matter. They have to start this thing and we have to help them Only when the Central Government set apart money and give assurance to the States the States will be able to do something. Now what happens? The States are not realising the importance of this subject I appeal to you it is not a question of simply appreciating it. It will serve no purpose if only one or two States do it There must be a separate wing attached to the Medical Health Directorate which should be encouraged and supported everywhere

DR DEBIPRASAD CHATTOPADH-YAYA: I am thankful to Dr Alva for pressing the point Personally speaking, I am convinced and I can assure him, through you, that the Central Government is very | year?

much aware of the importance of the problem But, Sir, you know, primarily it 18 for the State Governments to take the initiative. So far as we are concerned, I have already told you that we are proposed to set up three zonal organisations under the auspices of which the State Governments will be pursued and helped in every way possible But the actual quantum of help that might be made available to them depends upon our resources So I can tell Dr Alva that we are quite aware of this problem and the desirability of having a Food Directorate for controlling the quality of food and other things in every State But question of having a separate State Directorate, equipped with necessary facilities, is a question of time and money. In this matter we take note of his views and I think we can do something about it in the near future

About Shii Chitta Basu's reference to the inadequacy of the penal measures referred to ın law, that also is a very important point ındeed But I think a close reading of the penal measures for adulterating food articles referred to in sections 272 to 276 of the I P what has been provided in this Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 under section 16 will show that the Government is quite aware of the necessity of providing more rigorous imprisonment or other sort of punishments Previously it was thought that those who are indulging in this sort of impermissible activities they may be imprisoned for, say, up to six months. But now we have made the provision for less than six months and it may be extended up to six years So, Sir, lot of change has been envisaged However, I do not think that this is enough thing more exemplary is called for I am sure the Law Commission' recommendations in the matter will be looked into With the Law Minister sitting just beside me, how can I say the recommendations of the Law Commission will not be seriously taken into account? I hope when some such law or amendment of the law in this respect is taken up in future, this question will be gone into But as the scope of this particular legislation is very limited, I can only say that we may take note of his views But just at the moment we cannot do anything about it.

SHRI CHITTA BASU Will it take one DR. DEBIPRASAD CHATTOPADH-YAYA: I do not think we will take that much of time We will do in the near future but exact date I cannot give, nor is it the time for giving any date

Another thing in which Mr Basu evinced interest is how many people have been really prosecuted or the amount of fine that has been realised in the course of the last few years For his information, I may state that in 1965, the number of prosecutions launched was 46,246 and the number of people convicted was 30,250 In 1969 and this is the latest figure that I have with me there were convictions, and the number of perwas 6,122. The total imprisoned sons amount of fines realised in the year 1969 is Rs. 34,08,259. It is a good figure, may be not impressive enough for Mr. Chitta Basu there is a lot to be done in this matter

SHRI CHITTA BASU: How many of those who have been convicted are big businessmen and how many are ordinary food sellers or hawkers?

DR. DEBIPRASAD CHATTO-PADHYAYA I have not got the figures category-wise. But I believe some big guys are also there; how many, I cannot tell you at the moment.

With these words, Sir, I commend the Bill for acceptance by the House.

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. The question is.

"That the Bill further to amend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration.".

The motion was adopted

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 to 4 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill

DR. DEBIPRASAD CHATTO-PADHYAYA. Sir, I move:

'That the Bill be passed."

The question was proposed.

SHRI K CHANDRASEKHARAN (Kerala): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sii, this is a legislation which has seen a large number of amendments in the past, and particularly there have been many amendments to the Schedule to the Act The legislation has provided basis for litigation to a very large extent. And in spite of the fact that a scheme of deterrent punishment is now embodied in the penal provisions of the Act, violations of this enactment, as has been pointed out during the course of the discussion on this amending Bill, are quite large. I have taken this opportunity only to remind the hon Minister of one aspect in regard to the implementation of this Act particularly in the future. In the past, large numbers of small traders were victims, so to say, of this legislation They take the food articles from the wholesalers and bring them to the viliages And these small traders are caught, brought to the court and punished. But a large number of wholesalers who constitutute the bulk of the offenders of this enactment go scot-free. I would, therefore, request the hon Minister to take it up with the implementing agencies, viz., the State Governments, that greater care and attention should be shown hereafter at least in the matter of catching hold of the large-scale traders and wholesalers of food articles who really constitute the bulk of the offenders of this Act.

श्री देवदत्त कुमार कीकाभाई पटेल (गुजरात) श्रीमन्, जहा तक इस खाद्य अपिमश्रण निवारण (सशोधन) अधिनियम का प्रकृत है, मै समझता हूं कि उसका अमल ठीक ढग से नही होता है, क्यों कि जो वास्तव मे गुनहगार है, जो मिलावट करते है, वे छूट जाते है और जो छोटे-छोटे रीटेलर्स और दुकानदार है वे पकडे जाते है। बहुत से केसेज मे इन्सपेक्टर्स वगैरह जो होते है, वे रिश्वत लेते है और ग्नह-गारो को छोड़ने का प्रयास करते है और इस प्रकार कई एक को छोड़ देते है।

श्रीमन्, जहां तक आम जनता के स्वास्थ्य का प्रश्न है, इस कानून का बहुत कडे ढग से इम्पलीमेन्टेशन और एप्लीकेशन होना चाहिए और जो इन्सपेक्टर्स है उनके ऊपर भी निगरानी रखनी चाहिए और अगर वे रिश्वन ले, तो उनके खिलाफ सख्त कदम उटाए जाए ताकि

204

[श्री देवदत्त कुमार कीकाभाई पटेल]

Contempt of Courts

इसमे जो स्वास्थ्य के साथ सीधा सम्बन्ध है, इस अधिनियम का जो उददेश्य हे, उससे उसकी पूर्ति हो सके।

जहा तक इस विधेयक को पारित करने का प्रश्न है, मै समझता हूं, इसमे कोई आपत्ति नहीं है और मैं इस अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत जो मशोधन है, उसका अनुमोदन करता हू ।

DR. (MRS) MANGLADEVI TALWAR (Rajasthan). I just wanted to say that food adulteration, as you know, is a very important subject from the health point of view and nowadays even atta and condiments which used to be prepared at home are sold in the market There are big merchants who are dealing in these and these powdered articles are adulterated with very things. I would suggest to the Minister that the classification of food articles may be done properly and greater vigilance should be exercised especially in regard to powdered articles because adulteration is not otherwise easily detected.

CHATTOPADH-DR. DEBIPRASAD Sit, I have nothing to add except to point out that in section 14 A of the original Act there is provision for saving small sellers from the sins committed by the big manufacturers, etc. On the necessity of there are no two greater vigilance etc opinions.

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The MR question is

"That the Bill be passed"

The motion was adopted.

THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS BILL, 1968

CHAIRMAN . Shri DEPUTY MR Gokhale.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): Sir, I have a suggestion to make Government have brought forward a number of amendments and some of them are of subs-The measure was discussed

initially in this House and then went to the Select Committee. The Select Committee had long deliberations I was a member along with some other colleagues. It is agreed that it should be passed in the form in which it has come We did not press very many amendments there Now Government is sponsoring its own amendments them were rejected by the Select Committee. For instance amendment No. 3 in the list of amendments had been discussed in the Select Committee. And, Sir, it was rejected by the Select Committee and now the Government wants the majority--perhaps they have it hereto be used for pushing this thing Sir, is it the proper way of functioning by a Select Committee? I can understand a Private Member doing this when they have failed But the Government should not do it. I can tell you, Sir, Mr Chavan presided Mr. Bhargava was the Chairman and Mr. Chavan was there and he pressed for something which he lost. When he lost, he said, "I accept the defeat". I thought he had very strong views. For example, he did not like the definition in the Bill But he lost it and he was in a minority. Then, the next day, he gracefully said, "I have lost. But I stand by the majority decision of the Committee and that is my decision also." Sir, that spirit is sought to be broken here and violated and defiled by an amendment which has been brought in with a view to negating some of the good work which was done after the long deliberations in the Select Committee. I would, therefore, ask Mr. Gokhale to consider this matter and not to press us for this kind of thing and I hope he will have this much of generosity in this matter, after what he has done, like Mr. Chavan.

CHAIRMAN . MR. DEPUTY right, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta Yes, Gokhale.

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE/विधि और न्याय मत्री (SHRI H. R. GOKHALE). Sir, I beg to move.

"That the Bill to define and limit the powers of certain courts in punishing contempts of courts and to regulate the procedure in relation thereto, as reported by the Joint Committee of the Houses, be taken into consideration."