(b) the Government of India have been in ronstani touch with the Government of Ceylon to safeguard the interests of Indian nationals affected by these measures.

ROOF COLLAPSE AT STEEL MELTING SHOP AT Rot'RKri.A

*129. SHRI M. K. MOHTA:

.SHRI K. CHANDRASEKHARAN: SHRI I.OKA NATH MtSRA: SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: SHRISUNDAR SINGH BHAN-

DARI:

SHRI SUNDAR MAM PATEL: SHRI **JAGDISH**

PRASAD

MATHUR: SHRI NIREN **GHOSH** SHRI MONORANJAN ROY: SHRI N. G. GORAY: SHRIK. C.

PANDA:

Will the Minister of STEEL AND MIMP.S/ igequa और खान मंत्री be pleased to State:

lo Ojieslions

- (a) whether the Committee appointed lo go into the cases of roof collapse at the Steel Melting Shop :n Rourkela lias submitted its report to Government; and
- (b) if so, the findings of the Committee and the action taken/proposid to he taken by Government thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES/ **इस्पात और खान मंत्री** (:SHR1 MOHAN KUMARAMANGAIAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) \Statement is [aid or, the Table Hous-.

STATEMENT

35

Findings of the Committee

R.ecomm?ndatio.ii ol ill.-Committer

A tio i tak.-n by the Government

The findings and recom-me ndattons of the Technical

The Committee has come to the following important concllisions:

(a) There was a similar accident but on a much smaller scale in 1963; although following the report of the Enquiry Committee set up on that occasion some instructions for the maintenance of the buildings were issued, no definite, and detailed follow-up action was taken.

strengthened, wherever (bl The procedure laid down required for cleaning of roofs and removing the iron dust deposited on the S. M. S. roof was not en-tirely satisfactory.

(c) The cleaning of the Steel Melting Shops roof was not adequately supervised. The Contractor did not employ a of the contractor du not employ a sufficient number of labourers nor did he perform his task in accordance with the contract. This was mainly responsible for the collapse of the roof.

(^d) There was no set procedi\reor agency for inspection of buildings and structures.

The miior recommendalions are:

Enquiry Committee have (a) Α regular inspection been accepted system must be established ment. Chairman, HSE has for periodical inspection of been asked to take necessary all buildings, galleries etc.in action against all those held all Steel Plants. The peri-responsible by the Committee odicity and responsibilities for the conditions leading to for maintenance must be the accident. He has also bⁿen fixed and specified. All requested lo take necessary

standing structures (in parti- steps to ensure that the tech-cular the SMS building) nological and managerial demust be thoroughly checked Committee are removed and ficiencies pointed out by the

necessary Safety checks are undertaken not only in Rourkela but also in the ge other SteH Plants of HSL, (b) Performance reports of important items where large capita] investments are made

should be submitted within

a period of one year after commissioning. I liese should indicate the performance in terms of capacity and fin an cial justification,

(c) The cleaning of the roof is best done deparlmentally, as it would be easier

lo exercise control and lo

ensure that there is no negligence in this respect,

Findings of the Committee

Recommendations of the Committee

Action taken by the Government

- (c) A gas cleaning plant for the L. D. Converters was installed in 1967/69 at a cost of Rs. 1.2 crores to prevent pollution of the atmosphere. Although the guarantee tests were preformed and found satisfactory, the plant was not kept running for alleged lack of manpower and some minor mechanical difficulties. Had this Plant been in peratron the dust deposited on the roof would have been practically nil. As this was not a production unit sufficient attention was not paid to this matter.
- (t) The collapse of the roof was brought about by overloading. This was caused because of heavy accumulation of dust on the roof nearest to the LD converters particularly on the monitor. The density of this dust increased by about 25% during the heavy rainfall on the night of 11/12 July. There was also choking of drains and downtake pipes as a result of the Commission to clean the roof properly. This also countributed to the overloading of the structure. All this resulted in the collapse of the monitor roof, which, in turn damaged a roof column and caused the disaster in the manner in which it occurred.
- (g) The LD Plant and buildings were designed and erected by Messrs VOEST of AUSTRIA on a turn-key basis. The building was designed according to German Specification DIN 1050. Although the toof was designed to take a live load of 39 Kg/squeetre and a point load of 100 Kg, at the worst location, it could take a much higher load of about 120 Kg/squeeter without the point load.
- (h) The metallurgical tests conducted on the samples from collapsed roof structure showed that rinning quality steel had been used in the toof structure which is premitted in German Specification DIN 17400 (St. 37). The collapse of the structure was due however, to overloading due to the dust on the toof and not to the quality of steel.

- (d) A survey should be made of the pi mt and equipment lying idle in all Steel Plants and steps taken to recommission these.
- (e) The managementlabour relationship should be improved.
- (f) To enable the General Manager to de ote more time to his primary responsibility of getting the maximum output of steel, he should be relieved of certain direct responsibilities by reorganising and introducing one or two smior officers of appropriate grades under him.

q.

;