

बाद अब यू० एन० का मेम्बर बनने के लिए उसने एप्लीकेशन दी है। तो क्या इसका मतलब यह समझा जाय कि वह आपसे असंतुष्ट है? एक बात। दूसरी बात यह कि अगर उसका मतलब यह समझा जाय, तो अब यू० एन० का मेम्बर हो जाने के बाद उसके और आपके रिलेशनस जैसे भूतकाल में परराष्ट्र नीति के बारे में थे जब उस प्रकार के परराष्ट्र नीति के बारे में रहेंगे या नहीं या वह स्वयं अभी अलग परराष्ट्र नीति का संचालन करेगा और आपके साथ में केवल मित्रता ही रहेगी ?

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह : सभापति महोदय, मैं पहले भी कह चुका हूँ कि हमारे सम्बन्ध भूटान के साथ बड़े घनिष्ट और बड़े अच्छे हैं और इस लिये उनके डिस्सेटिम्फेक्शन का सवाल ही नहीं उठता है। अब रहा सवाल उनकी इस स्वाहिन का कि व यू एन को जोड़ना चाहते हैं। सदस्यों को मालूम है कि किंगने 1966 में यह प्रश्न उठाया था। फिर मौके पर हमने कहा कि प्रिंसिपल में हम एग्री करते हैं, जब वे मेम्बरशिप चाहेंगे हम स्पॉसर करेंगे। इसमें किसी किस्म की देरी या रुकावट हमारी तरफ से नहीं आई, देरी सिर्फ भूटान की तरफ से थी क्योंकि वे इसके लिए तैयार नहीं थे। मेम्बरशिप के माने मेम्बर हो जाना ही नहीं है, उनके पास ट्रेन्ड परसोनेल होने चाहिए वहाँ भेजने के लिए। वहाँ के जो फंड्स हैं उनमें रुपया देने के लिए फंड्स भी होने चाहिए। बहुत सी बातें थी जो भूटान गवर्न-मेंट को सोचनी थीं। अब जब उन्होंने यू एन की मेम्बरशिप सीक करनी चाही, तो उन्होंने हमसे कहा, हमने कहा कि बड़ी खुशी से आईए 1971 में हम उनकी मेम्बरशिप स्पॉसर करेंगे।

श्री निरंजन वर्मा : श्रीमन्, यह बड़ा महत्वपूर्ण प्रश्न है। अगर आप लोकहित में इसका उत्तर देना उचित समझें, तो बता दीजिए कि परराष्ट्र नीति के बारे में अभी हमारे सम्बन्ध कैसे रहेंगे।

श्री सभापति : इस सवाल का जवाब दे दीजिए।

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं कह चुका हूँ कि हमारे रिलेशनस, हमारे सम्बन्ध ऐसे हैं कि कोई इस किस्म का खतरा नहीं है। हमारे सम्बन्ध पहले की दृष्टियों के मुताबिक चलते रहेंगे।

श्री निरंजन वर्मा : परराष्ट्र नीति के बारे में बताइए।

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह : 1940 की ट्रीटी फारेन एफेयर्स को भी गवर्न करती है।

*720. [The questioner (Shri Babubhai M. Chinai) was absent. For answer. vide cols. 29 infra.]

TARGETS OF FAMILY PLANNING

721. SHRI RAJENDRA PRATAP SINHA

SHRI ARJUN ARORA : t

DR. SALIG RAM :

SHRI KRISHAN KANT :

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING AND WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) what are the year-wise targets of Family Planning to be achieved in the Fourth Five Year Plan period;

(b) what have been the year-wise achievements; and

(c) what are the reasons for the short-fall?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING AND WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI B. S. MURTHY) : (a) to (c) A statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

fThe question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Shri Arjun Arora.

STATEMENT

(a) The following year-wise targets for acceptors of various family planning methods have been proposed :

Year	Total number of acceptors in millions
1969—70	5.3
1970—71	8.3
1971—72	11.2
1972—73	14.0
1973—74	16.4

On the recommendations of the Central Family Planning Council a Committee has been set up to review these targets and suggest suitable targets for the 4th and 5th plan periods.

(b) The achievements in 1969-70 and 1970-71 till October, 1970 are as follows :

Year	Total number of acceptors in millions
1969—70	3.4*
1970—71	2.27*

(upto October)

♦Provisonal figures.

(c) Amongst the reasons for the short fall in achievements are inadequate infrastructure, inadequately trained staff, disturbed conditions in some parts of the country and natural calamities like floods and drought. The year-wise achievements for last 5 years are as under :

Year	Total number of acceptors in millions
1965—66	2.00
1966—67	2.26
1967—68	2.96
1968—69	3.1
1969—70	3.4*

*Provisonal.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA : Sir, this statement points out certain shortfalls in the achievement of family planning targets. For the year 1969-70, for example, the target was 5.3 millions, whereas the achievement was a little more than 50 per cent or 3.4 millions. May I know what were the reasons for this shortfall, because the reasons given in the statement are not at all convincing? The reasons given are disturbed conditions in some parts of the country and natural calamities like floods and drought. I don't understand what these conditions have to do with this programme. If some of the loops were washed away in floods. . . . (Interruptions)

SHRI B. S. MURTHY : I would re-quest the hon. Member to see page 2 of the statement laid on the Table of the House. The achievement for the year 1969-70 is 3.4 millions, and here it is stated that it is provisional. We have yet to get figures. All the States have not yet furnished these figures.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA : He has not replied to my question. The Ministers cannot say whatever they like and get away with it. The figures of targets and achievements are the figures given by me from pages 1 and 2 of the statement. And yet he drew my attention to the same. Why doesn't he state the reasons for the shortfalls?

MR. CHAIRMAN : Your second question?

SHRI ARJUN ARORA : My second question will come after he has answered my first question.

SHRI B. S. MURTHY : There is no attempt to hide anything from the hon Members. We have already stated the figures for 1969-70 provisional, as we have yet to get some more figures from the States.

SHRI K. K. SHAH : My hon. friend has only read the second part. In the first part we have admitted that there was inadequacy of infra-structure— whatever machinery we wanted to set up we could not set up—then, inadequacy of trained staff, etc.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA : My second question : How and why were such high targets fixed when, according to the Minister, there was neither the infrastructure nor the trained staff?

SHRI K. K. SHAH : This is a very debatable question. We have ourselves been debating—whether to fix high targets is desirable or not. Sometimes fixation of high targets also helps. But now we have decided that we will not set up high targets . . . (Interruptions).

SHRI CHITTA BASU : Is it applicable to all the targets?

SHRI KRISHAN KANT: Is it not a fact that the *per capita* income has increased up to 3 per cent and the population has increased up to 5 per cent? It means the population has completely overtaken the national income. May I know what the Government is doing in this direction? Secondly, how far foreign collaboration has helped in the sense that it came at the right time? Thirdly, what ICUD research was done in the country and what achievements have been made in this respect?

SHRI K. K. SHAH : So far as his last question is concerned, research is going on, and you must have read already in the Papers that Dr. Shiralkar has invented a new method.

Regarding the rise in the population, I have given the target that by the end of the Fifth Plan we want to reach 2.5 which means 25 per thousand. Then it will be possible with the death rate at 12 or 13 and the total rise will be 12 per thousand. So far as collaboration is concerned, we have been receiving assistance and even this time we have received assistance and that has been useful.

श्री ज्ञान सिंह वर्मा : क्या माननीय मंत्री जी का ध्यान एक ओर नये नारे की ओर गया है जो परिवार नियोजन के खिलाफ लगाया जा रहा है और वह नारा यह है कि : अगला बच्चा अभी अभी, आट के बाद कभी कभी। तो क्या आप का ध्यान इधर गया है? यदि आप की जानकारी में ऐसा नारा लगाया जा रहा है, तो यह नारा कहाँ से शुरू हुआ है ?

श्री के० के० शाह : हिन्दुस्तान में तरह तरह के, किस्म किस्म के आदमी हैं, तो उन किस्म किस्म के आदमियों के जो प्रचार होते हैं उन के खिलाफ हमें लड़ना पड़ता है। अच्छा हुआ जो आपने इस नारे की बात हमें

बतायी। यह भी एक कारण है कि हम जितना टारगेट चाहते थे वह पूरा नहीं हुआ। लेकिन हम बहुत कुछ कर पाये हैं और उस से ही आप को पता होगा कि हमारी जो कांफिग है वह कामयाब होती जा रही है।

SHRI M. M. DHARIA : There is a feeling that the Government has not seriously taken up this challenge. If it is said that we should not have targets, I feel that in this country more attention shall have to be paid to this very explosive situation and more funds shall have to be made available. It is not a party issue, it is a social issue. It is because of the light treatment that I have pass these remarks. Will the Minister assure the House that the Government will not treat this in a light vein and it shall take it as a challenge and try to tackle this on a war footing; otherwise, no plan in this country can ameliorate the grievances in this country?

SHRI K. K. SHAH : I am extremely sorry if my friend has looked upon my remarks as lighthearted. We give the utmost importance. I am glad that my friend has also said that enough funds should be provided. I did not want to say so. The cost per eligible couple is Rs. 135 and there are 19 million eligible couples. I have been given Rs. 315 crores during the Fourth Plan. The total cost will be Rs. 1350 crores. It depends upon the resources available. Therefore I said I will not have targets for which I will not be able to set up the infra-structure expected.

SHRI THILLAI VILLALAN : My supplementary is regarding the cases in which already the target is reached over and above; because of the successful implementation of family planning, there is a considerable fall in the population from 1951 to 1961 and also from 1961 to 1970 in Tamilnadu. We got 41 Members of Parliament in 1957 and 1962. Now in 1967 two Members have been reduced. We got only 39. I would like to know whether this Government is giving award or punishment for the faithful and successful implementation of family planning. Further, I would like to know whether this Government is going to have it based on population in 1971 for the purpose of delimiting the constituencies and fixing up constituencies for the elections.

SHRI K. K. SHAH : This is a very important question. My hon. friend is quite correct. Our attention has been drawn by many Governments which have achieved near-targets. And this question is being considered very seriously as to what should be done, whether some incentive should be given or some year should be fixed as the year of production for Plan allocation.

SHRI K. CHANDRASEKHARAN : Is the hon. Minister aware that certain political parties in this country are complaining against the Family Planning Programme on the ground that members of the Hindi community are being subjected more to the Family Planning Programme and that members of non-Hindu communities are not being so subjected? May I know, Sir, from the hon. Minister what steps are being taken at the political level and the public level to counteract any such propaganda? What steps are further being taken to see that all sections of the community are being subjected to the Family Planning Programme? May I also know from the hon. Minister whether he is aware of the fact that there have been Press reports that large quantities of 'Nirodh', manufactured at Tri-vandrum, are being converted into and used as balloons at the factories in Bangalore and that all these have contributed to the shortfall in the achievements of the Family Planning Programme during recent years?

SHRI K. K. SHAH : I won't say 'any political party', but there are bigots all over, in all communities, who have been carrying on such a campaign, but I am very glad to say that we have been able successfully to meet the campaign. Even though, I think, it may be dangerous to pass on this information, now we have examined even community-wise, Religion-wise, and am very glad to say that except for a margin of 0.1% they are all running parallel. Therefore, it has been accepted by all communities in spite of the propaganda carried on by a number of bigots in all communities. So far as the condoms are concerned, it is true that in the beginning we had the complaint that some of the Nirodhs were used by the children as balloons. We have now tightened up the control and of late, during the last six months, there has been no complaint.

*722. [The questioner (Shri Lai K. Advani) was absent For answer vide cols. 29-30 infra.]

ALLEGATIONS AGAINST INDIAN TOURISTS BY THE RUSSIAN AUTHORITIES

723. SHRI LOKANATH MISRA :

SHRI M. K. MOHTA :

SHRI S. S. MARISWAMY :

Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that Indian tourists are being accused by the Russian authorities for being responsible for the current outbreak of cholera in the Soviet Union;

(b) whether the attention of the Government of India has recently been invited in this regard by several Members of Parliament; and

(c) if so, the reaction of the Government of India in this regard?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH) : (a) No, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

SHRI M. K. MOHTA : Sir, the hon. Minister has answered to part (a) of my question as "No, Sir." May I ask the hon. Minister what kind of enquiries the Government made in this regard, because the group of M.Ps., who wrote to the hon. Minister in this regard, had definite information that Indian tourists were being accused by the Soviet authorities, and whether the Government had made any communication to the Soviet authorities and, if so, what kind of reply has the Government received for this?

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH : Sir, as soon as this matter came to our notice, we asked our Embassy in Moscow to find out if there was any complaint or any allegation of this nature, and the report received from there was that no instance had come to their notice of any Indian visitor being accused by the Soviet officials of bringing cholera into the Soviet Union, nor have any complaints come to us of Indian visitors being accused of this, nor has it come to our notice that any-

The question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Shri M. K. Mohta.