RAJYA SABHA

Saturday, the 23rd May, 1970/the 2nd Jyais, ha, 1892 (Saka)

The House met at eleven of the clock, Mr. Chairman 11 the Chair.

OBITUARY REFERENCE

MR. CHAIRMAN : Before we take ip the regular business in the agenda. I lave to refer with regret to the passing ıway of Shri N.ku ja Behari Maiti, an ex-Member of our House on the 10th May, 1970. Shri Maiti was born in the ear 1892 and atter education at Gop'nathpur, Berhampur and Calcutta, took o the teaching profession. He was greatly nterested in the promotion of women's ducation. He pa ticipated in the freedom truggle and uncle went privations several He helped in the abolition of intouchability and cast distinctions and ssisted in the regabilitation of refugees irst elected to the Lok Sabha in 1957 and ater to the Rajy i Sabha in 1962 from which he retired n April 1966. He was respected Mem er of this House. We leeply mourn his passing away.

I would request Members to stand n their seats and observe one minute's ilence as a mark of respect to the memory of Shri Maiti.

(Hon. Members then stood in silence for one minute)

Secretary will convey to the members ! of the bereaved firmly our sense of grief ind profound sompathy.

CALLING APTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMP)RTANCE

REPORTED PROPOSAL REGARDING INTRO-DUCTION OF REGULAR VISA SYSTEM TO REGULATE TRAVEL BETWEEN INDIA AND U. K

SHRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY Mysore): Sir, I leg to call the attention f the Minister of External Affairs to the eport that the Government of India vould request the British Government o introduce regular visa system to egulate travel from either country.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH) Mr. Chairman, Sir, as the House is aware we have been concerned about the British policy of discrimination against people of Asian origin who are holders of British Passports. Recently, we have also noted with regret that the British Government are trying to differntiate between Asian holders of British passports born in India and those born in African countries. Escentially, the British Government are shirking their responsibility of providing protect on and allowing their citizens to return to the United Kingdom.

Since the passage of the Commonwealth Imm grants Act in July the British Government have taken gressive steps to restrict the flow of Commonwe I'h citizens, including Indians, into the UK. In effect, today all categories of Indians including purely short-term visiters proceeding to the U.K have or are rom East Pakislan in the Addamans advised in their interest to obtain an entry and elsewhere. He was a Minister in permit or endorsement for the U.K. Des-West Bengal from 1947 to 1952. He was pite this unilateral introduction of what amounts to a visa system cases have come o our notice of harasting and humuliating treatment being me'ed out to Indians at entry points in the U. K.

> As against this, the Government of India have not imposed any restriction on Breigh passport holders coming to India. Government, nevertheless, are seriously concerned at the treatment meted out to Indians and appreciate the feelings of the House in this matter. They are in touch with the British Government on this question with a view to reaching a satisfactory solution of these problems. Government will, if necessary, consider other measures to ensure that this discrimination against British passport holders of Indian origin is eliminated and also to ensure that Indian visitors and entrants into the U.K. are assured of proper treatment.

SHRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY: With your permission I would like to ask from the Minister how many persons of Indian origin living in the different African countries are holding British passports, how many of them have been asked to quit the African countries and how many have been allowed to settle in the United Kingdom and how many have refused entry into the U.K. I would

Calling Attention

also like to know how many British passport nolders or British cuizens are living in India at present

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: Sir, about the number of persons in Africa holding British passports, I have not got the figure at the moment but I can say that the number of UK citizens in India is approximately 3,000.

SHRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY · In view of the fact that Indians are not treated properly in the African countries and they are being kicked out of those African countries and in view of the fact that they hold British passports and since the British Government is refusing to honour their responsibility, since the British Government is refusing entry into their country of those persons holding their posports, may I know whether the Government of India has nego lated this question with the concerned authorities in the U.K and fruitful result has so far been achieved will the Government of India take very fi m seps in seeing that Asians of Indian origin holding British passports are allowed entry into Britain for settling in the UK?

If the Government of Great Britain fails to accede to this reasonable request, may I know whether the Government of India will think of taking printe measures including expropriaring the properties of British citizens now ın India?

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: This is a question which has been discussed here on a number of occasions. We have informed the House that we feel very strongly and are greatly concerned about the attitude of Britain in regard to this whole matter We also feel that it i the legal and moral responsibility of Britain to give pr tection to these people who are their or izens and to allow them fee entry into their coun-This is a fact that a number of impediments are placed in their way number of restrictions are there with the result that all of them are not able to get easy entry into the United Kingdom. As the House is already awire, regarding the people from Eist Africa, they have fixed a ceiling of 1500 heads of families each year for entry and we have

quate to meet the situation and they should increase it. In addition, we also suggested a number of other measures which they could have adopted to alleviate the hardship suffered by these people but the response of the British Government in this regard has not been very encouraging. We are doing our very best to tackle this matter with them time and again and persuade them to change their policy, but so far we have not acnieved any great success

SHRI MULKA GOVINDA RE-DDY In view of the unhelpful attitude of the British Government, will the Government of India think of the quitting the Commonwealth as a protest against this ill treatment meted out to Indians?

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: This is a much wider and more important question. This question has also been discussed here in the past and we have given the reason why we are continuing our mbership All I can say in that till now we have been feeling that it is to our advantage to remain in the Commonwealth

BALKRISHNA **GUPTA** SHRI (Bihar). Tell us the advantage.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINCH We should realise that the Commonwealth

is no longer adminated by the British, it has no longer a British character It comprises a large number of countries of Asia and Africa and we feel that it is a very good forum where we can ciscuss matters of mutual interest. We also have certain economic advantages, and I may as ure the House that it the time comes when we feel that it is not to our advantage, that it not in our national interests to continue membership of the Commonwealth, we shall cortainly qui', but that time has not come yet

SHRI BANKÁ BEHARY DAS Sir, I have he rd the Minister's (OH551) reply in regard to this question of quitting the Commonwealth and I think he is still suffering from some misapprehension, because in spite of our relations with the Commonwealth there is not the same freedom as regards Indians going to England and people from England coming to this country. Again the decision of the British Government to enter into the European Common market is also not been telling them that this quota is inade- going to help India so I think the time 5

has come when there is no justification I cannot make a commitment on behalf for India to remain in the Commonwealtn. It is high time that the Government of India should clear y tell them that we are not interested in the Commonwealth any longer. I w ni the Minister categorically to tell is that the Commonwealth has outlived its utility. Before this Imnigration oct was passed did the British Government put this question before the Comm inwealth Prime Minister's Conference pelore they took the decision? $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{id}}$ thev take up the question of Rhod sia in the Commonwealth Prime Mini ter's Conference before they came to a y conclusion? At no time have they taken up any matter at Ministers' the Commonwealth Prime Conference whenever they took any ther countries in the action about hey have always de-Commonwealth. cided these things un laterally. In view of this I do not think there is any reason to vacillate in this matter, and I want to know categorically ne Minister's opinion in regard to this.

Secondly I want to know whether the Minister does not think that as far as racial discrim nation is concerned the situation has become aggravated in the last two to three years because now there discriminatio i between born in Africa ho ding British passports and also Indians be rn in India and holding British passports. So in these two or three years they have g ne a step further in discriminating between Indians and Indians holding British pas ports. This has absolutely aggravated he situation. May I whether know from the Minister they will tell the Bitish Government here and now that if they do not retrace their steps and honour the commitment that they had made to the passport holders India will serious y have to think of quitting the Comn onwealth because that is the only reciprocal measure that the Government of I dia can take in the present circumsta ces.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH · I agree with the hon. Member that the U K. Government's policy is not only discriminatory in this regard but it also does not take into account the realities of the situation. We have said this before on a number of eccisions. As regards what we should co in this connection, whether we should quit the Commonwealth or take some other action to bring it home to them that this kind of a policy is not fair and just, is something on which this question and I agree with him that

of the Government at this stage. It is a much wider and bigger issue. All that I can say is that this is a matter about which we are deeply concerned and action will taken by the Government of India if and when it becomes necessary.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH (West Bengal): When Indians...

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS : Sir can I ask a small question?

MR CHAIRMAN: No. no. We have some very important business and...

SHRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY: This is also important.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Therefore I allowed you one more question.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: When Indians born in Africa or in India and holding British passport are denied entry into Britain why should we not depar the entry of Englishmen holding British passports into India as a retal atory measure to put the question on an equal footing? Sir, this question of Commonwealth association has become a disgrace to India. It is a shameful thing and I want to know whether the Government is aware that the large number of democratic masses in India-I can say almost the overwhelming majority in India-18 in favour of quitting the Commonwealth. If a referendum is taken on the point whether India should quit the Commonwealth the verdict would be in tayour of quitting the Commonwealth because we are suffering from imperialist tutelage. That is the position Is Burma at any disadvantage because she has become a Republic? Nothing of the ort is there. On the contrary its prestige s high whereas being in the Commonwealth we have become a second grade nation, not on par with other nations. What are those appropriate measures that you are going to ake? Define them; we demand that you should debar the entry of Bilish citizens into India to put the issue on an equal footing and sever connections with the British Commonwealth so that India can take its own decisions in all matters without being influenced by the Br tish which is an imperialist power. Everybody knows that.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: I share the hon. Member's feelings on

[Sh 1 Surendra Pal Singh]

Calling Attention

Britain is not acting in a fair and just manner in this regard. This racial discriminations and their policy of not allowing their own citizen to enter their country is something which is really reprehensible but what action we should take from our side I am not in a position to say at the moment but I can say this that if this kind of a situation continues for some time we will have to take some action from our side on a reciprocal basis and we may have to impose restriction on the entry of U.K. citizen into our country. That is a matter which we can consider.

BHUPESH **GUPTA** (West SHRI Bengal): This matter has been pending for a long time before this Parl ament and every time we are told by the Government that the Government is thinking but everybody knows that the thinking apparatus of the Ministry External Affairs has become broken also. long back and now it is Therefore we are not satisfied with this kind of stock answer being given for years now. I should like to know whether the Gove nment considers that it is morally respon ible to take some effective steps with a view to either forcing the Bri ish Government to change this decision or alternatively the Government itself should take certain measures-You may call them retaliatory or something else; I am not concerned with the expression—and I should also like to know why the Government of India, for example does not make it known to the British Government that the British passport holders would be treated for purposes of entry in to this country as passport holders of say Italy or any other coun'ry and they would not enjoy any kind of advantage or pavilege. I think this can be done.

Secondly, I would also like to say that there should be a concerted move by the Government along with other likeminded members of the so-called Commonwealth to force the issue on the Commonwealth ageada if they at all go I should also like to such conferences know whether the Government is aware that this is not merely a question of discr mination against Asian passport holder of Indian origin but this flows from the racial policy of the Un ted Kingdom because there is great pressure

by the racialists in England and the present Government is also in certain respects following racial policies.

to a matter of

urgent public importance

Is he aware that Indians are being discriminated on account of their colour and race in many parts of England today? I should like to know how many protests b**ee**n launched by the Indian High Commission to the Biltish Government. Therefore nothing is satisfactory at all. This Government is a weak-kneed Government, is a timid Government. Once in Moscow a question was put to Mr. Satya Narayan Sinha when he was leading a Parliamentary Delegation as to why we should not quit the Commonwealth and do you know what Mr. Satya Narayan Sinha, then Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, I think, said? He said why should we quit the Commonwealth; we shall make the British quit the Commonwealth" It was a wonderful answer, a heroic answer. I told him; Why are you saying such things? After all, the Russian people are intelligent people". If that is so why don't you serve a notice on them? I say this is all bluff and bluster. This Government run by the Britishinfluenced officials would never take any such step. Suddenly Jawaharlal Nehru took the decision in one of his unguarded moments that India should continue in the Commonwealth and we are continuing. Therefore ...

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI (Maharashtra): Sir, may I know if Mr. Bhupesh Gupta is allowed to make a speech or is he only to put a question?

MR. CHAIRMAN: He is not allowed to make a speech.

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI: We have found in this House invariably Mr. Bhupesh Gupta has got the monopoly of making a speech on any occasion he likes.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Monopoly he knows better. With 12 votes he buys 43 votes

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI 11 understand it thoroughly. You need not teach me and I do not require any lesson from you.

GUPTA: 1 have SHRI BHUPESH no doubt about it.

10

MR. CHAIRMAN : We have got very important items later on and I have got a number of persons here on my list.

SHRI BHUPLSH GUPTA: Now Sir, since my friend, Mr. Chinai, has in-SHRI BHUPLSH terrupted me, the is the men ality. These are the people who are behind the move not to quit the B i i h Commonwealth ...

SHRI BABUBHAI M CHINAI : Mr. Chairman, Sr. on a point of order...

SHRU BHUPISH GUPTA : are the people who are behind the Briti h.

SHRI BABUBHAI M. CHINAI: On a point of order. You have been very kind enough to ay that he is required to ask a question He is making a speech in spite of your drawing his attention. Your honour has not been able to see that he puts a question. Either you should exercise your power or you should ask him to sit down. This will not do. There cannot be discrimination between Member and Member.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, Mr. Minis-

SHRI A. P. JAIN (Utter Pradesh): There is no question.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is for him to 887.

SHRI BHUPE H GUPTA 1 There is a question.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH The hon. Mem er jut said that the British Government are exercising discrimination and heir policy has also an element of racia cm. I agree with him ontirely. It is true that they are trying to distinguish between one category of citizens and anothe category of citizens. Bri'ish citizens who are of European stock or of Briti h stock have free entry into the UK. There is no difficulty at all. Citizens of Asian origin have all those difficult as, and in that sence there is an element of racialism in their attitude. We have pointed out to them on a number o occasions and we have told them that this should be removed. These people suffer hardships unnecessarily. As regards the Commonwealth I have aiready replied.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kalyan Roy, a short question

SHRI KALYAN ROY (West Bengal): Who is the Minister of External Affairs in the United Kingdom? Is it Mr. Er.och Powell who is deciding the policy in the United Kingdom? Is the Minister aware that hundreds of Indian; are today stranded in the Rome airport, in the Pa is airport and the Frankfurt ai port spending morths in the aerodrome lounge? What is he going to do about it? Last avertion. as a self-respecting measure, wou dhe take over the Bitish plantations, the British mines and the Bri ish factorie? Lastly. is it not true that because of this cowardly, submissive attitude of this Mai ry, the United Kingdom can treat Indians holding UK, passports as pariahs and untouchables?

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH The Foreign Secretary is Mr. Michael Stewart and not Mr. Enoch Powell. Mr. Enoch Powell may have a different attitude and we are aware of it, but all I can say is that the Bri ish Government do not follow nim. They do not subscribe to his views. I am aware of the difficulties suffered by people of Indian origin who are holding Brit sh passports and are not able to get entry into UK. You are quite correct that they are stranded in Frankfurt and other places. They have difficulties. Sometimes they have to go back to the places where they came from. This kind of thing has been going on for That is why we are some time now. greatly concerned about it and we are thinking whether we can take certain measures from our side to prevent it.

SHRI N. R. MUNISWAMY (Tamil Nadu): I do not like to inflict questions on the hon. Minister and I only put this question. Recently it has been brought to my notice that many of the Incians who go to the UK are subjected to a lot of harassment, inconvenience and detention at the customs point. We, on the other hand, do not do such things at our customs point here. I do not want that we should take any severe measures but at least we should have an attitude of a tooth for a tooth and a nail for a nail. As long as one member of the Commonwealth is behaving like this towards another member of the Commonwealth, in this country we must be very sharp in bringing them to their senses and show them that we can also do the same thing. I want an assurance that we will also do the same thing in case they do not behave well.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: I have already given an assurance that if our efforts fail, it is quite likely that we will have to take similar measures, impose certain restrictions on British citizens.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA (Uttar Pradesh): The position is very amazing. There are various restrictions against Indians entering the UK, but citizens of the UK have free access to any part of India. I am sorry the calling attention notice has not been properly worded

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please put the question.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA : I will be There is no briefer than all the others. question of our requesting the British to introduce the visa system. They have introduced the visa system and many worse things already for Indians. simple question is whether we, as a self respecting country, will introduce the vi a system for the Britishers who want to come to India. May I know if the Government realize the gravity of the situation and will it now introduce a visa system for the Britishers trying to come to India? Secondly, the Minister said that the Commonwealth is a very good club because we can go to London and discuss questions of mutual interest

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: We can go and get photographed with Queen Elizabech

SHRI ARJUN ARORA: That may be of importance to you, not to you. There you and I will not go. May I know what que tions of Indian interest have ever been discussed at the meeting of the Commonweal h Prime Munisters? And what has been the outcome of the Brit sh hos that to India is well known when Pakistan attacked India . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, please close.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA I I am just When Pakistan attacked India, Mr. Wilson, like a schoolmaster, tried to rebuke the school boy that was India. In the United Nations the British representative never, never voted for anything which India wanted even for the smaller post which India contests in the United Nations, Britain's vote is again t us. What Indian interest is served through this wellknown forum where problems of mutual

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: Subservience to their interest.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH As regards making a request to the U. K. Government to introduce the visa system I may inform the hon. Member that the que tion does not arise. It lies within our sovereign right, and it is for us to decide what action we should take in this connection. We do not have to make a request. We have to assess the situation and analyse it from our point of view and we will take whatever action is considered necessary from the point of view of our own national interect. Now, Sir, as regards the question of the Commonwealth, it is open to the hon. Member to say that no useful purpose is being served by our continued membership of the Commonwealth, but 'o far as the decision of the Government is concerned, we feel it is in our interests to continue our membership. This is a forum where a large number of Afro-Asian countries are represented. Britain is no longer dominating it and there is no kind of imperial st policy which is being imposed on us through the Commonwealth. It a forum or a club of nations with equal status and where important subjects are discussed. It has served a useful purpore up till now and we feel that.

SHRI M. M. DHARIA (Maharashtra): Where is the useful purpose?

MR. CHAIRMAM: I have not called you.

SHRI M. M. DHARIA I Mr. Chairman, Sir, it is not a question of calling me. It is a question of getting a proper reply.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am getting a reply Let him finish He has not yet finished.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: Sir may I pose a question to you?

MR. CHAIRMAM: How can you? I am not a Minister.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: It is not for me to stand up here on behalf of the Government and say we are quitting the Commonwealth. I am merely tating a fact of policy of the Government of India as it is at the present moment. interest and admiration are discussed? It is open to hon. Members to raise this

question in this forum at some other time and try to charge the policy of the Government in this regard. We will certainly welcome a discussion of this.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA 1 Sir, none of the two question which I put has been replied to. I asked him what are the subjects of Indian interest which have been discussed in the Commonwealth Prime Ministers' meetings during the the last twenty years. Secondly, I asked him . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN I Do you expect a ready answer to a question as to what are the subjects which have been on the Agenda of the Commonwealth Conference? Please let us be reasonable.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA 1 He should know where our interests 1 e.

MR. CHAIRMAN 1 Let us see. We have got a ver/ important item later. Can you give the subjects on the Agenda of the Commonwealth Conferences?

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: I cannot say preciely.

SHRI PITAMBER DAS (Uttar Pradesh): Certainly, a Minister cannot be expected to be loady with an answer to every questions. Instead of giving an evasive reply he can frankly say he cannot give a reply.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have put this question to him

SHRI ANAN' PRASAD SHARMA (Bihar): Is it necessary that every answer should be satisfictory?

SHRI BHUPI SH GUPTA: I could not catch this point. You said that the Minister is not expected to know what happened in the Commonwealth Conference.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members will know that loday there are a large number of Members who want to mention matters. Then we have got a very important tem. We should think of that item a so.

SHRI SURENDRA PAL SINGH: I have not tried to give any evasive reply at all. The only reason why I have not been able to give him proper reply is

that this calling attention does not relate to the Commonwealth at all. But I can say this much that the normal practice is that any issues or matters which concern two countries in the Commonwealth, such matters are not taken up in the Commonwealth mee'ing itself. They are taken up on a bilateral besis.

श्री राजनारायण (उत्तर प्रदेश) : श्रीमन् आपने मेरा नाम लिया और उसके बाद छ: आदमी बोलने के लिए खड़े हो गये । हम तो केवल इशारे में इस संबंध में आपका ध्यान आक जित कर सकते हैं।

श्री सभापति : आप बैठ कर बात कहें।

श्री राजनारायण : श्रीमन्, हम थोड़ा थोड़ा करके खड़ा होना सीख रहे हैं। श्रीमन्, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि ऐसे कुल कितने लोग हमारे पड़े हुए हैं, जिनके पास पासपोर्ट मौजूद हैं, मगर वे ब्रिटेन में प्रवेश नही पा सक रहे हैं। ऐसे कितने लोग हैं जो बन्दरगाहों में पड़े हुए हैं, क्या सरकार के पास इस सम्बन्ध में कोई आंकड़े हैं। इसके साथ ही साथ मैं यह भी जानना चाहता हूं कि ऐसे कितने अफीकन और इंडियन ओरीजिन के लोग हैं, जिनके पाम पासपोर्ट हैं, लेकिन वे अभी तक प्रवेश नही पा सके हैं और उनके लिए भारत सरकार ने क्या व्यवस्था की है। यह तो एक ही मसले का सवाल है।

इसके बाद दूसरा सवाल उठ खड़ा होता है कि इस सरकार के पास यह जानकारी कब से हुई कि इंग्लैंड की सरकार रंगभेद की नीति अख्तियार कर रही है और इंग्लैंड की सरकार जो यह कदम उठा रही है रोकयाम की वह न्यायपूर्ण नही है, अनुजित है और भारतीय सम्मान के विरुद्ध हं। अब मैं इस सदन के सम्मानित सदस्यों से कहना चाहता हूं कि यह सरकार कह रही है कि ब्रिटिश सरकार हमारे साथ अन्याय कर रही है, यह सरकार कह रही है कि ब्रिटिश सरकार कह रही है कि ब्रिटिश सरकार कह रही है कि ब्रिटिश सरकार हमारे साथ उन्याहार कर रही है, उसके विरुद्ध हम आवाज उठा रहे हैं और सूटे-

[श्री राजन र यण] बल ऐक्शन ले रहे हैं। लेकिन मैं यह जानना। चाहता हं कि इस सदन में यह प्रश्न पहली बार नहीं आ रहा है और पासनोर्ट सिस्टम का प्रश्न पहली बार सदन में नहीं उठ रहा है। इस प्रश्न को उठे हुए कई महीने व्यतीत हो चुके है और इस संबंध में सदन मे कई बार चर्चा हो चकी है और फिर भी सरकार उत्तर दे रही है कि गवर्नमेंट मुटेबल ऐक्शन ले रही है। तो मैं यह जानना चाहना हूं कि वह सूटेबल ऐक्शन क्या है और वह क्या ऐक्शन लेगी। क्या मान-नीय विदेश मंत्री को इस बात की जानकारी है कि जो उग्र और प्रथम श्रेणी के आजादी के स्वतंत्र संग्राम के सेनानी हैं, उनमें से अधिकांश लोगों ने जब मुल्क आजाद हुआ था, उसी दिन से ब्रिटिश **कामनवै**ल्थ से अपना नाता रिश्ता तोडने की सलाह दी थी और तब से लेकर अब तक एक न एक बहाना लेकर यह सरकार कामनवैल्थ में पड़ी हुई है। यह कहती है कि कामनवैल्थ हमारे लिए बहुत ही उपयुक्त स्थान है । हमारे साथ रंगभेद की नीति अख्तियार हो, हमारे साथ अन्याय की नीति अख्तियार हो, फिर भी यह सरकार कहे कि कामनवैल्थ का प्लेट-फार्म हमारे लिए बहुत उपयुक्त है । सरकार ने कामनवैल्थ में रहने की जो नीति अख्तियार की हुई है, उसके बारे में पून: विचार करेगी और हम लोगों की राय जानकर अविलम्ब, फौरन और अगर हो सके तो अभी यहां पर बैठ कर उससे अपना संबंध विच्छेद कर दें, तो क्या इस संबंध में सरकार विचार करेगी। यह तो एक बात हुई।

Calling Attention

श्रीमन्, दूसरी बात मैं यह जानना चाहना हूं कि यह लिखा पढ़ी तो हो रही है, मगर जो सरकार नित्य प्रति साम्प्रदायिकता के विरुद्ध अभियान चलाने की कसम खाती है, वह सरकार रंगभेद की नीति के सामने घुटना टेकू बन जाय, यह सरकार के लिए कितनी निन्दा और शर्म की बात है। यह राष्ट्रीय शर्म की बात है कि ^दन्निटिश सरकार इस ढंग का घृणित व्यवहार |

। करे और भारत सरकार हिजडी वन कर चुपचाप देखनी रह जाय । तो इसके आगे हम कहते है कि इस सरकार को क्या दिक्कत है कि वह क्यों न अंग्रेजों के यहां आने पर रोक लगा दे। जो ब्रिटिशर्स यहां आ रहे ह, उनसे कहें कि जब तक हमारे साथ अच्छा व्यवहार नहीं किया जाता है, सामान्य स्थिति पैदा नहीं होती, तब तक हम किसी को अपने मुल्क में नही आने देंगे। जो उनके कंसर्न हैं, जो उनकी फैस्टरीज हैं, जैसा कि अभी हमारे मिल्लों ने बतलाया, उन फैक्टरियों को ले लिया जाना चाहिये और इस संबंध में यह सरकार कोई पौजिटिव स्टैप ले. जिससे भारत का जो क्षोभ है, भारत का जो गुस्सा है, भारत का जो क्रोध है और भारत की जनता अंग्रेजों की इस नीति को बर्दाश्त नीं कर सकती है, वह प्रकट हो सके । मै यह जानना चाहता हूं कि इसके लिए भारत सरकार कौन सा कदम उठाने जा रही है।

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह : संगापति महोदय, माननीय सदस्य ने पूछा कि कितने ऐसे आदमी हैं, जो ब्रिटिश पासपोर्ट होल्ड किये हुए बन्दर-गाहों और पोर्ट्स मे पड़े हुए है और उन्हें दाखिला नही मिल सका है । मेरे पास इस संबंध आंकड़े नहीं है और यह कहना मुश्किल होगा कि कितने लोग ऐसे होंगे । वक्तन फवक्तन लोग आते रहते हैं, कभी नहीं पहंचते हैं और इसके बारे में कहना मुश्किल है कि इस तरह के कितने लोग हैं।

यह बात सही है कि उन्हें वहां जाने में दिक्कत होती है और बावजुद इसके कि हमने इस बारे में उनसे कहा है, ब्रिटिश सरकार से कहा है कि इस तरह के लोगों के लिए दिक्कत पैदा न की जाय, लेकिन फिर भी इस तरह की दिक्कत मौजद है । जितने आदमी ईस्ट अफीका से वहां जाना चाहते हैं, वे वहां नही जा पा रहे हैं; क्योंकि उन्होंने एक कोटा, सीलिंग रखा है कि एक साल में सिर्फ 1,500 आदमी जा सकेंगे। लेकिन मै यह जानता हूं कि जैसी स्थिति ईस्ट

काफी आदमी, इंस्पिन ओरीजन के हैं, जो वह जाना चाहते हैं, लेकिन नहीं जा पा रहे हैं।

अब रहा सवार कि भारत सरकार को कब मालूम हुआ कि िटिश सरकार ने रंगभेद की नीति को अख्तियर कर लिया है। यह तो अध्यक्ष महोदय, 1962 के बाद बहुत से ऐसे कानून बने, खासतौर पर 1968 के बाद जिसमें उन्होंने ले डाउन कर दिया है कि कामनवैल्थ या ब्रिटिश सिटिज स जो हैं, उसमें युरोपीयन और ब्रिटिशर्स जो हैं, वे तो आसानी के साथ आ सकते हैं, लेकि। जो एशियन ओरीजन के हैं वे नहीं आ सकते हैं आसानी के साथ और यह बात जाहिर करती है कि यह रंगभेद की नीति है। हम इस नी जिसी निन्दा करते हैं और हम यह पालिसी अतई पसन्द नहीं करते हैं। इसके बारे में हमने अपनी राय उन्हें बतला दी है ।

आखिरी सवाल कामनवैल्थ का रहा और अध्यक्ष महोदय, इस ह बारे में मैं जवाब दे चुका हूं। मैं इस संबंध में इतना ही कहना चाहता हूं कि अगर हम का ।नवैल्थ छोड़ दें तो माननीय सदस्य बतलायें कि हमें किस तरह से इमदाद मिलेगी और किस तरह से ब्रिटेन वालों की पालिसी बदलेगी।

श्री राजनारायणः कामनवैल्य तो छोडना ही पड़ेगा और इसंक लिए सरकार की जितनी निन्दा की जाय, उतर्ना कम है ।

(Interruptions)

श्री सभापति : गप बैठ जाइये ।

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिन्त : यह बात में मानता हं कि अगर इस तन्ह की पालिसी चलती रही तो यह ठीक बात नतो होगी। इसके बारे में हमें **बड़ी** फिक्क है कि इस के बारे में कुछ किया जाय। क्या किया जाय, या कहना मेरे लिए मुश्किल है।

श्री राजनारायण: सरकार ने जो जवाब वियम है, उसमें कहा ' कि हम सुटेबल ऐक्शन ले पड़ता है, तो उसके बाद भी यहां से जो लोग

अफीका की बनती जा रही है, वहां पर बहुत | रहे हैं, तो उस सुटेबल ऐक्शन के क्या-क्या प्रकार हो सकते हैं।

to a matter of

urgent public importance

श्री सरेन्द्र पाल सिंह : इसी बात को कहने ी तो मैं कोशिश कर रहा हूं ौर इसको डिफा-इन करना मुश्किल है कि सूटेबल ऐक्शन क्या होगा। हो सकता है कि कि रेसिप्रोकल बेसिस पर इस तरह के रेसट्रिवशन पेश कर दें या इससे कुछ ज्यादा कर पायें, लोकन यह इतना डेलीकेट मामला है, जिसके बारे में कहना बड़ा मुश्किल है। इसमें बहुत सी बातों का खयाल रखना पड़ता है; क्योंकि यू० के० के साथ ही हमारे संबंधों की बात नहीं है, बल्कि यह भी खयाल करना पड़ता है कि तीन लाख भार य जो वहां पर रह रहे हैं, उनका इन्ट्रेस्ट भी हमें देखना पड़ता है । इसके साथ ही साथ इसमें बहुत सी गवर्नमेंट आफ इंडिया की मिनिस्ट्रियों का संबंध शामिल है और उनकी राय भी इस मामले में लेनी पड़ती है ौर जब तक सबसे ^इस बारे में मशविरान कर लिया जाय तब तक मेरे लिए कुछ कहना मुश्किल है कि हम क्या करेंगे।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Alva.

SHRI JOACHIM ALVA (Nominated): I find that most of the questions have been put, Sir.

डा० भाई महाविर (दिल्ली) : श्रीमन्। मैं मंत्री महोदय से जानना चाहता हूं कि आज जो ध्यानाकर्षण पस्ताव है वह वीजा की रोक-थाम या उसके अपर लगे हुए बन्धनों से संबं-धित है। तो क्या सरकार इस बारे में भी कोई अनुमान लगाती है कि इंग्लैंड में जो भार-तीय बसे हुए हैं, उनके साथ यु० के० की सर-कार का, वहां के लोगों का किस प्रकार का व्यवहार है ? क्या उनको यह लगता है कि उनके साथ सम्मान का और बराबरी का बर्ताव किया जाता है ? या कि उनको वहां पर अप-मान के घंट पीने पड़ते हैं।

दूसरी बात यह है कि अगर यह सच है कि वहां के लोगों को कई बार अपमानित होना [डा॰ भाई महावीर]

वहां जाते हैं; उनको केवल इसलिये ब्रिटेन में प्रवेश मिलता है, क्योंकि उनको कुछ मीनियल, कुछ ऐसे क्लास के लोगों का काम करने के वास्ते सस्ते मजदूर इंग्लैंड में भारत से जाने वाले उप-लब्ध हो जाते हैं। तो इस तरह के लोगों के जाने के उपर सरकार कोई नियंत्रण रखेगी और कैसे लोगों का वहां जाना उचित है और कैसे लोगों का नहीं, इसके बारे में कोई विचार करती है कि नहीं?

और क्या इसी सिलसिले में यह सब है कि नहीं कि हमारे लोगों का वहां पर अपमान होने का एक बड़ा कारण यह है कि हम यहां पर बोगस पासपोर्ट वगैरह के रोकने की रोकथाम ठीक नही कर पाये और ऐसे लोग यहां है जो पैसे ले कर और किसी भी मर्त को पूरा न करने वाले लोगों को वहा पहुंचा देते है फिर वहां उन का जो कुछ भी होता रहे । तो इन तीनों बातो के बारे में सरकार ने क्या कार्यवाही की है तथा इस प्रकार जो पैसे ले कर गलत पासपोर्ट दियं जाते हैं, उस की रोकथाम का सरकार ने क्या प्रबन्ध किया है ?

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह : यह सही है कि यहां से बहुत से भारतीय बोगस पासपोर्ट पर जाते हैं और क्लैंडेस्टाइन मीन्स से दाखिल होने की कोशिश करते हैं । यह सब बातें वहां की सरकार को मालूम हैं, इसीलिये उन्होंने स्त्रीनिंग के चैक करने के तरीके सख्त कर दिये हैं, जिस से कि लोगों को परेशानी होता है ।

रहा सवाल यह कि जो वहां हिन्दुस्तान के नागरिक यू० के० में पहुंच गये हैं, उन के साथ कैसा बर्ताव होता है ?

डा॰ माई महावीर : जो जाते हैं उनकी महां स्क्रीनिंग करके चैंक कर सकें इस की कोई व्यवस्था नहीं है ?

श्री सुरेन्द्र पाल सिंह : जो यहां से जाते हैं हैं परिमट्स लेने के बाद भी उनकी वहां स्त्रीनिंग होती है। ब्रिटिश हाई कमीशन से परिमट लेने से पहले भी स्त्रीनिंग होती है।

इसके पहले भी हमने शिकायत की है कि खब स्क्रीनिंग यहां हो चुकी है, तो वहां जाने के बाद उनके सामने कोई दिक्कत नहीं आनी चाहिये। लेकिन होता यह है कि परिमट लेने के बावजूद कुछ केसेज ऐसे हैं कि वहां पर पहुंचने पर इमी-ग्रेशन अथोरिटीज फिर भी उनको शुबहे की निगाह में देखती हैं, उनको क्वेश्चन करती है, इंटरोगेट करती हैं, स्क्रीनिंग करती हैं जिस से लोगो को तकलीफ होती है। हम इसी चीज को रोकने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं कि जब परिमट दे दिया तो उसको औनर कर के दाखिला देना चाहिये। लेकिन ऐसा नहीं होता है।

रहा सवाल यह कि उन लोगों के साथ बर्ताव कैसा है, तो मैं यह कह सकता हूं कि यह सही है कि वहां कुछ ऐलीमेंट पोपुलेशन का ऐसा है, जिसका ऐटीट्यूड रेशियल है । लेकिन जहां तक गवर्नमेंट की नीति का सवाल है, वहां कोई भेदभाव नहीं है, उनको बराबरी का दर्जा मिलता है और वहां एक रेस रिलेशन्स वोर्ड भी कायम हो गया है, जो इन सब मामलो को देखता है और जो शिकायतें आती है उनको दूर करने की कोशिश करते हैं।

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

- I. Annual Report and Accounts (1968-69) of the National Small Industries Corporation Limited and Related Papers
- II. Notification Under the Forward Contracts (Regulations) Act, 1952

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DE-VELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI K. V. RAGHUNATHA REDDY): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table, under subsection (1) of section 619 A of the Companies Act, 1956, a copy each of the following papers (in English and Hindi):—

- (i) Fourteenth Annual Report and Accounts of the National Small Industries Corporation Limited for the year 1968-69, together with the Auditors' Report on the Accounts.
- (ii) Review by Government on the working of the Corporation.