987

राजनारायण : बिलकुल सही है। मोहन नगर में हमारा क़ैप हुआ 1965 में. हमारी नेशनल कमेटी का कैम्प हुआ और आप यह इंक्वायरी कराइये कि उसी एन० एन० मोहन को पदम भषण दिया राष्ट्रपति महोदय ने, उसी वी० आर० मोहन के चरण च्ंबन करते हैं श्री चन्द्रभानु गुप्त और इंदिरा गांधी। यह हमारी हिम्मत थी कि नाक रखवा दी मजदूरों की उनसे।

1261

[ RAJYA SABHA ]

STATEMENT RE STARRED QUES-No. TION 298. ANSWERED ON 26-7-1968

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOY-MENT AND REHABILITATION (SHRI D. R. CHAVAN): Sir. in reply to Unstarred Question No. 298 Shri C. Achutha Menon answered by me on the 26th July, 1968, I had stated in part (a) of the reply that 5542 persons falling under the Indo-Ceylon Agreement of 1964 have returned to India from Ceylon of their own accord upto 30th June, 1968. The correct number of such persons is 5452 and not 5542. The error is regretted.

#### CALLING ATTENTION TO A MAT-TER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPOR-TANCE

CLASHES BETWEEN STUDENTS AND THE Police on the occasion of the visit OF THE WORLD BANK PRESIDENT, MR. ROBERT MCNAMARA

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): I have a point to make. Shri Rajnarain may ask his questions but my Calling Attention Notice was a little different. It was about the police excesses in Calcutta. Now I find that it has been lumped together and it is said 'violent clashes between students and the police'. I should have come to you earlier but I was engaged in some other appointment. It is not fair, My case is against the police excesses . . .

CHAIRMAN: You can ask for clarifications.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Let ask the questions after all of them have asked their questions but my name should be taken out of this motion. My Calling Attention was about police excesses in Calcutta on the occasion of the visit of Mr. McNamara. It is entirely different. This looks as if I am worried about the violent clash of the students with the police. The text of my Calling Attention was different. I request you to take my name out of this Calling Attention and as party-wise people are asking, if you like I would ask some questions even on this but I would not be a party to this Calling Attention Notice In fact I congratulate the students of Calcutta.

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE Bengal): I also signed the same notice as Mr. Gupta but it is strange that our names have been clubbed in this Calling Attention Notice. Therefore my name also may be dissociated from this but I shall ask questions party-

श्री राजनारायण (उत्तर प्रदेश) : श्रीमन्, हमारी मसीबत तो बढ़ गयी इसलिये कि अगर मैं भी अपने नाम को इसमें से निकाल लं तो क्या होगा इस समय, इसलिये मैं अपने मित्रों से यह कहना चाहता हूं और हमने पहले भी निवेदन किया कि आप अपने सचिवालय में एक अच्छी हिन्दी जानने वाले को रखें; क्योंकि कभी कभी इनका अनुवाद अर्थ का अनर्थ कर देत। है। मझको भी ऐसा लगता है कि हमारे हाथों द्वारा जो चीज लिखी गयी होगी उसका यह अर्थ नहीं हो सकता कि वहां विद्यार्थियों ने हिंसात्मक कार्यवाही की। हरगिज नहीं। मगर जो इसका अर्थ है उसमें यह आता है कि पूलिस और विद्यार्थियों के बीच हिंसात्मक झड़प हुई। इसमें विद्यार्थियों का भी समावेश किया गया है, यह गलत है। अब मैं इसको पढ़ कैसे।

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Demand a change.

श्री राजनारायण : इसिलये हम यह चाहते हैं कि इसमें आप जरूर चेज कराये। "विश्व बैंक के प्रधान श्री राबर्ट मैंक्नामारा के कलकत्ता आगमन के अवसर पर कलकत्ता में दमदम हवाई अड्डे और कालेज स्ट्रीट के इलाकों में 20 नवम्बर, 1968 को पुलिस ने विद्यार्थियों पर जो हिसात्मक कार्रवाई की उसकी ओर घर मत्री का ध्यान दिलायेगे।" यह हमारा कालिंग अटेशन नोटिस है और जो हमने कह दिया उसी के मुताबिक आप इसमें चेज करने का आदेश करे।

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir. this is a serious point. Mr. Rajnarain s the first name appearing in the Calling Attention. I came to you yesterday with my Calling Attention notice I am prepared to go with him now in respect of what he says and I am now more than convinced that a different text of the Calling Attention appears before us, which is also my contention. This sort of Calling Attention may be given by the Congress Party or somebody else on the Treasury Benches. Now, from what Mr Rajnarain has said, if this is the text of his Calling Attention notice, then my Calling Attention notice does not differ very much from his

#### SHRI RAJNARAIN Yes

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. Our case was police excesses against the students and others. Now therefore, Sir, I would request you as a matter of procedural point of order to revise this Calling Attention. Nobody can impute to us what we do not say.

MR CHAIRMAN. I shall allow you to make the clarification

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. No. Sir it is not a question of clarification

श्री राजनारायण जो हमने कहा है, चेयरमैन माहब ने कहा कि उसके अनुसार हो जायेगा।

एक माननीय सदस्य: उसको पढियेतो।

श्री राजनारायण: हमने तो पढ दिया।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now you read the Statement, Mr. Minister.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA): Chairman, Sir, according to information furnished by the State Government, demonstrations were held on November 20, 1968 by a section of students at the Dum Dum airport and in the city to protest against the visit of Mr. McNamara. At the Dum Dum Airport the students indulged in heavy brickbatting on the police. They were chased away, but thereafter anti-social elements pelted stones at the police on the Jessore Road The police had to use 10 rounds of teargas shells and resort to mild lathi charge to clear the miscreants 14 per~ sons are reported to have been injured as a result of the lathi charge.

In Calcutta a procession of students, about 800 strong, interfered with public transport and attacked the police with bombs and brickbats. They set up road barricades in front of the University and at the crossing of Bankim Chatter-Ji Street and College Street The students also threw bombs and brickbats at the police from inside the Presidency College and from the top of the Ashutosh buildings At about 4 PM 3 tram cars were set on fire. The fire brigade had to turn back due to heavy stone throwing As it was apprehended that the fire would spread to other areas and endanger shops and houses, a police party headed by the Deputy Commissioner of Police went inside the University buildings and arrested five persons brickbatting and bomb Thereafter throwing stopped, and the fire brigade was able to put out the fire.

After some time the police force was withdrawn from inside the University buildings. While the police were removing the barricades on College Street they were subjected to further bomb throwing and brickbatting. The police had to use several rounds of teargas to control the situation. 31 persons were arrested. Several police-

[Shri Vidya Charan Shukla] men and some members of the public received injuries due to bomb throwing and brickbatting.

श्री राजनारायनः श्रीमम्, क्या सरकार को इस बात की जानकारी नहीं थी कि जब से अमरीका के पैटन टैक टर्की के द्वारा पाकिस्ताम को जाने की खबर अखबारों में आई तब से हमारे देश का नौजवान तबका अमरीका के प्रति बहत ही क्ष्वध और कोध से भरा हुआ था। मैक नामारा साहब को अमरीका का प्रतिनिधि यहां के नौजवान तबका ने माना। सरकार को जब इसकी जानकारी थी, संसद में भी जब इसकी चर्चा हो चकी थी और जिस ढंग से अमरीका के टैंक पाकिस्तान को दिये जा रहे हैं और जिसके सम्बन्ध में भारत सरकार ने अपना कंसर्न भी जाहिर किया है और अमरीका और टर्की दोनों को लिखा है कि इससे भारत की सुरक्षा को खतराहै, इससे हमारी पुरक्षा के लिये विषम परिस्थिति पैदा हो सकती है, तो जब ये बातें साफ थीं तो मरकार के दिमाग में यह बात क्यों न आई कि मैकनामारा साहब को भारत का नौजवान तबका अमरीका की उस ताकत का प्रतिनिधि समझेगा जो ताकत भारत और पाकिस्तान का टकराव कराने में आज पाकिस्तान को हथियारों की मदद बड़ी तादाद में दे रही रही है।

मुझे खुशी है कि मैं आज अपने कलकत्ता के विद्यार्थियों को बधाई भेजना चाहाता हूं कि इस सदन की कार्रवाई के जरिये कि कलकत्ता के विद्यार्थियों ने मैंक्नामारा साहब का स्वागत जिस ढंग से किया वह बहुत ही अच्छा था, वह इस देश की राष्ट्रीय भावना का द्योतक है और इस देश की राष्ट्रीय भावना का द्योतक अगर यहां का नौजवान न होगा तो कौन होगा।

SHRI M. M. DHARIA (Maharashtra): You seem to be congratulating the students who burnt tramcars and buses and threw bombs. You cannot associate the whole House with your compliments to such students.

(Interruptions)

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: I think the hon. Member should not obstruct the proceedings of the House in this fashion.

to a matter of urgent

public importance

श्री राजनार (यन: श्रीमन्, मुझे अफसोस के साथ कहना पड़ता है . . .

श्री अवधेश्वर प्रसाद सिंह (बिहार): राज— नारायण जी, उनके पास उस माओ त्से तुंग की तस्वीरें थीं जिसने हमारी लाखों एकड़ जमीन पर दखल कर लिया है। बंगाल चीन का हिस्सा नहीं है, हिन्दुस्तान का हिस्सा है। बंगाल के विद्यार्थी.

#### (Interruption)

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: This Calling Attention is in respect of a very serious matter. Students were brutally assaulted by the police on the streets of Calcutta. When such a serious matter is going on, we would not allow Congress people to obstruct the proceedings of the House in this way.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please sit down, Mr. Chatterjee.

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: Sir, the hon Member, Mr. Rajnarain, is speaking, and he is being obstructed by interruptions.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I do not want anybody to interrupt. The speaker is there who is speaking. I would request Mr. Rajnarain to put specific questions only for clarification.

श्री राजनारायण श्रीमन्, वही तो पूछ रहा हूं।

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: When the hon Member, Mr. Rajnarain, is speaking and is framing the questions he is putting, Congress Members get agitated.

SHRI AWADHESHWAR PRASAD SINHA: With that hon. Member this has become an every day affair. Sir, the Home Minister has not cared to say anything about the photographs

of Mao tse Tung carried by the students who demonstrated in Calcutta with shouts of "Mao tse Tung ki jui"—a shameless thing to do

#### (Interruptions)

MR CHAIRMAN You will have your chance to say

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA Will you kindly direct, Sir, that a glass of water be supplied to that hon Member? He seems to be needlessly excited

MR CHAIRMAN: I do not want anybody to stand without my permission and let Mr Rajnarain quietly seek the clarifications he wants to

# श्री राजनारायण : श्रीमन् .

SHRI M M DHARIA Sir, I did not obstruct the proceedings and I do not do, but when Mr Rajnarain paid compliments to the students on behalf of the House, at that time I got up and said that he cannot pay compliments on behalf of the House

# श्रो राजनारायण : मैंने यह नहीं कहा।

SHRI M M DHARIA I have no objection if Mr Rajnarain does so on his behalf or on behalf of his party, but when he says that on behalf of the House, it is my duty to say that it was unpatriotic, it was anti-social and it was also not at all in the interests of the country—what the students did in Calcutta.

MR CHAIRMAN. Yes, it was not relevant.

SHRI M M DHARIA I do not otherwise intervene, I am not the person to intervene just for the sake of making an interruption I did so in this case because there should not be any feeling that the whole House paid compliments to such students who demonstrated in Calcutta

श्री राजनारायण: श्रीमन्, मै एक अर्ज आपसे करूगा कि आप हमारी बात सुना करे और आप हमारी जरा मदद कर दीजिये। हमने यह कभी नहीं कहा कि "मैं हाउस की ओर से" मैंने कहा कि मैं अपना काग्रे चुलेशन अपना धन्यवाद अपना मुबारकबात हाउस की कार्रवाई के द्वारा भेज रहा हूँ. . .

श्रो एम० एम० धारिया हाउस की कार्रवाइ है, हाउस की टेप रिकार्डिंग है . .

MR. CHAIRMAN. I would like to make it quite clear that Mr Rajnarain should understand, and everybody should understand, that he cannot speak on behalf of the whole House Mr Rajnarain can give his views about it

## श्रीराजन(रायण: हमने वही कहा।

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, when I go abroad, or when we go abroad, we say we speak for India but it does not mean that everybody should agree with it I speak for the House, you may not agree

श्री राजनारायण मैं आपका वडा शुक्रिया अदा करता हू आपने बहुत ही बजा फरमाया कि हम अपने मुबारकबाद को इस हाउस की कार्यवाही के द्वारा आज बगाल के उन नौजवानो को देना च।हते हैं, जिन्होने, मेक - नामारा का इस ढग से स्वागत किया।

SHRI M K MOHTA (Rajasthan): Sir, what is this? Is this a question?

DR. B N ANTANI (Gujarat): Sir, he says, I think you, Mr Chairman, for helping me He is not giving his own personal congratulations; he is doing it through the proceedings of the House What difference does it make?

MR CHAIRMAN As a Member of the House he can speak in the House but not on behalf of the House He can express his view

# श्री राजनारायण . बिलकुल ठीक है।

MR. CHAIRMAN You can only speak here as a Member of the House

आपस करूगा कि आप हमारा बात सुना कर | SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: If that is और आप हमारी जरा मदद कर दीजिये। the position, I say we think that the [Shri Bhupesh Gupta]

995

House should congratulate those students of Calcutta for their just demonstrations against imperialism.

## (Interruptions)

SOME HON. MEMBERS: For burning buses and trams?

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have made the position quite clear. Mr. Rajnarain cannot speak on behalf of the House or pay compliments through the House but on his own behalf he can certainly express his view. Nobody would contest that.

श्री राजन।रायण : श्रीमन्, मैं अपने घन्यवाद को हाउस की कार्यवाही के जरिए . . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, I would ask you as Chairman of the House to convey our congratulations to the students of Calcutta for their magnificant anti-imperialist demonstration.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No, no.

(Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, no.

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: Sir, I rise on a point of order with great respect may I say that what you have said is not quite according to the rules of procedure? With great respect I say so. Whenever we say something in the House, whenever we move a motion or make a proposal in the House, we make the proposal not in our individual capacity but as a Member of the House and we have every right to say that we do it on behalf of the House.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No. no.

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: When we say we do it on behalf of the House what we mean is that the House has to say yes or no to our proposal which we are placing before the House. It is for the House to accept it or reject it. That is how we say that we speak on behalf of the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I can understand Mr. Chatterjee saying on behalf of his own constituency but don't say on behalf of the House.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I can say on behalf of you if you allow me, Sir.

(Interruptions)

SHRI AWADHESHWAR PRASAD SINHA: Our Chairman cannot do such an unpatriotic act.

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND REHABILITATION (SHRI JAISUKHLAL HATHI): May I say all this trouble could be avoided? If they ask only clarifications without giving their views this would not have arisen. If you ask a simple question there will be no difficulty.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: We are not simpletons to put things like that. We would put the things as we like.

श्री राजनारायण : श्रीमन, आप हमें मुनेंगे . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes; you put the question.

श्री राजतारायण : आप सुने, मैं सवाल पूछ रहा हूं। हम सब लोग अपने को कहत हैं कि हम इन्टेलीजेंट हैं, मगर इसमें इन्टलीजस कहां है ? जो कुछ हम अपने धन्यवाद को भेज रह है तो इस सदन की कार्यवाही के जरिए ही तो भेजेंगे। तो मैंने यही कहा कि मैं इस सदन की कार्यवाही के जरिए अपने ख्यालात का इजहार कर रहा हूं, अपना मुबारकबाद, अपना धन्यवाद . . .

#### (Interruptions)

[THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA) in the Chair]

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: You have come here and you are . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): May I appeal to the hon. Members to stand up one at a time, and not three or four at a time? Now, Mr. Rajnarain you continue.

997

SHRI G. RAMACHANDRAN (Nominated): Sir, on a point of order.

[ 22 **NOV** 1968 ]

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Rajnarainji, please take your seat. What is the point of order?

SHRI G. RAMACHANDRAN: For the first time on the floor of the House I am rising on a point of order and I hope it would be the last so far as I am concerned. I heard Mr. Bhupesh Gupta saying to the Leader of the House 'we are not simpletons like you'. I think this should be expunged from the proceedings.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M P. BHARGAVA): No. no. He did not say that.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir. 1 never said . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M P. BHARGAVA): Mr. Bhupesh Gupta, please sit down. I have disposed it of. You have not said that.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I said we are not simpletons to put things in that fashion.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Please don't explain. Let us continue.

श्री राजनारायण: मेरा सीधा सा सवाल था और अब भी है। क्या सरकार को इस बात की जानकारी नहीं थी कि अमरीका ने जिस तरीके से पाकिस्तान को पैटन टैको की सह।यता टर्की के द्वारा करवाई है उस पर हमारे देश का नवयवक वर्ग बहुत ही कोधित है और बहत ही क्षभित है और मैक्नामारा साहब को वह आज अमरीका का प्रतिनिधि मान रहा है ? ऐसे वातावरण मे मेक्नामारा साहब को इस देश में चत्रिक घुमाने की व्यवस्था क्यों की गई? मरकार को अमानी से समझ लेना चाहिए था कि इस हालत मे पाकिस्तान को फौजी जबकि अमरीका देकर भारत की हथियारों को सहायता

सुरक्षाको खतरा पँदा कर रहा है तो मेक नामारा साहब जब यहां पर आयोंगे तो उनका ऐसे ढग से ही स्वागत होगा। मैं यह फिर कहना चाहता हू कि सरकार को इस 21 साल की आजादी के बाद भी यह फर्क करना क्यों नहीं सम्भव हो पा रहा है कि बल्व का ट्रट जाना, वस का जल जाना इनसान की जिन्दगी के बराबर नहीं है। जो बल्ब टुट जाता है वह लग सकता है जो बस बिगड़ी वह बन सकती है।

श्री अकबर अली खान (आंध्र प्रदेश) : किसका नुकसान है?

**श्री राजनारायणः** वह अलग बात है। लेकिन अगर इनसान की जिन्दगी गोली चला कर ले ली जाय तो वह वापस नही लाई जा सकती। ये हमारे मित्र अक्सर कहा करते हैं कि यहां जो सामान जला दिया जायगा वह अराप्ट्रीय काम है। मै कहना चाहता ह और बहुत ही अदब के साथ कहना चाहता हू कि जिस ढंग की कार्य-वाहियां आज सरकार की ओर से हमारे मुल्क में हो रही है उनका विरोध होगा और जमकर विरोध होगा और फलस्वरुप हो सकता है कि कही पर एक-दो ईट पड़ जाय, हो सकता है कि किसी कांस्टेबिल को चोट लग जाय, हो सकता है कि कही कुछ और गडबड हो जाय, मगर सरकार को गोली नही चलानी चाहिए, अगर सरकार जनतत्रो है तो, तब तक जब तक कि सशस्त्र विद्रोह के दवारा सरकार को हटाने का आन्दोलन या ऋान्तिकारी विद्रोह न हो। तब तक सरकार को गोली जनतत्र मे नही चलानी चाहिए। एक नही अनेक मल्कों के उदाहरण में दे सकता ह जहाँ पर पूलिस ने गोली नही चलाई। 1919 में आस्ट्रिया में तीन पुलिस कास्टेबिलो को मार डाला गया, केबिनेट बैठती है लेकिन केबिनेट ने कहा कि जनता के पैसे से इनको तनस्वाह ो मिलती है, इनको अपनी जिन्दगी को खतरे

# श्री राजनारायण]

Calling Attention

में डालने के लिए ज्यादा तैयार रहना चाहिए, लिहाजा गोली नही चली, बियतनाम के प्रश्न को लेकर अभी अभी हाल में इंगलैंड मे क्या हुआ, मगर तब भी गोली नही चली। तो यह सरकार विश्वविद्यालय में पुलिस क्यों भेजती है। वहा राष्ट्रपति शासन है, मै जानना च।हता हुं सरकार से . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Mr. Rajnarain, will you please take your seat? I want to make one thing clear. In the call attention notice the Minister makes statement and it is open for the Members to seek any clarification arising out of the statement made by the Minister. The call attention cannot be used as an occasion for making long and big speeches. I hope Members will keep this in mind.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Only I hope you will remember that we are entitled to ask what is missed in the statement. We can certainly ask about such things.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Yes; arising out of the statement.

श्री राजनारायण : मै यह चाहता हूं कि हमारे आदरणीय श्री भागव जी भी इस बात को अपने दिमाग में रखें कि यहां पर जब डा० जाकिर हुसेन इस पद पर आसीन थे तो उनके और हमारे बीच एक अंडरस्टेंडिंग हुई थी। जब हमने नियमों में संशोधन के लिए प्रस्ताव पेश किया क्योंकि हमारे यहा एडजर्नमेंट मोशन नहीं है, कार्यस्थगन प्रस्ताव नहीं, हमारे यहां नो-कानफीडेन्स मोशन नही है, अविश्वास का प्रस्ताव नही है, हमने उदाहरण दिया उत्तर प्रदेश की विधान परिषद का जहां नो-कानफीडेन्स के मोशन का हक है और एडजर्नमेंट मोशन का हक़ है तो उस समय डा॰ जाकिर हुसैन ने बहुत ही ठीक कहा था कि देखो जब तक नियम का परिवर्तन नहीं | हो जाता यही कालिंग अटेशन है, इसी को | पता नहीं यह मामला कब तक आगे बढता

हम इस ढंग से मर्यादित करते हैं कि आपका सारा मकसद इसमें आ जाय । इसलिए मैं

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Mr. Rajnarain, you have raised another point. So long as the rules which are there in the book remain in force they are to be accepted by each and every hon. Member. It is however open for any Member to suggest any amendments to the rule and they can be duly considered by the Rules Committee. With that provision we proceed.

श्री राजनारायण : ठीक है वही रूल्स को थोड़ा व्यापक किया जाय।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI P. BHARGAVA): No, no That not arise.

श्री राजनारायण: तो मेरा सवाल स्पष्टीकरण है। क्या धर्मवीर साहब जब विश्वविद्यालय के अन्दर पुलिस प्रवेश कराया तो सरकार से कोई बात की थी। हमने अखबारों में बयान पढ़ा है कि यहां के डिप्टी होम सेकेटरी को पूरी जानकारी कराई गई है। तो वहां पुलिस के प्रवश, पुलिस के बल-प्रयोग के बारे में वहा के अधिकारियों ने केन्द्र के अधिकारियों से सलाह मशिवरा किया था तो क्या यह। की इस सरकार ने विश्वविद्यालय के अन्दर पुलिस के प्रवश, की बान कही थी ? दूसरी बात यह कि क्या सरकार ने इसको देखा है, अखबारों में यह है कि वहां के शिक्षकों की संस्था ने, वहां के विद्यार्थियों की संस्थाओं ने. वहां और जितनो जनप्रिय संस्थाये है सभी ने पुलिस की एट्रामिटी ज, पुलिस के जुल्म और ज्यादती का विरोध किया है। तो इन तमाम चीजो का रोजनी में क्या यह सरकार इसको उचित समझेगी कि वहां से शोघातिशीघ्र श्री धर्मवीर को इस समय वापस बुलाये जिसने इस समय चुनाव के मौके पर इतनो बड़ी विपदा खड़ी कर दी.

चला जाय, कल हुआ, आज हुआ। विश्व-विद्यालय मे पुलिस, स्कूलों मे पुलिस घुसी, दूकानो मे पुलिस घुसी है, सडको ने लडको को मारा, बच्चो को मारा और इसको राष्ट्रभक्त कहते हैं कि विद्यार्थियो पर गोली चलाई गई तो क्या हर्ज, डडा चलाया गया तो क्या हर्ज विश्वविद्यालय मे पुलिस का प्रवेश हो गया, गोली चल गई तो क्या हर्ज है क्योंकि एक बस जलाई गई' एक बल्ब टुट गया। वाह रे जनतत्र। वाह रे हमारे जनतत्र के समझदार। इसलिये मैं निवेदन करूगा कि सरकार इन तमाम बानो का उत्तर दे और यह बताये कि सरकार क्या कदम तत्काल उठाने जा रही है और जो लोग इसमे चोटिल है, जो लोग इसमे घायल है, जिनकी जिन्दगी का न्कसान हुआ है उनको क्या मआविजा देगी और जितने विद्यार्थी और दूसरे लोग गिरफ्तार है उनको तत्काल छोड देने की कोई व्यवस्था कर रही है या नही कर रही है। सारी की सारी बात हो। और आग भी श्री मैक्न मारा साहब को किसी दूसरे गहर मे इस समय घुमाने का प्रवन्ध न हो, मेरा यह सरकार को मुझाव है।

Calling Attention

श्री विद्याचरण शुक्ल सभापति जी पहले तो यह है कि श्री मैंक्न मारा साहब चाहे अमरीकी नागरिक हो किन्तु वह यहा अमरीकी नागरिक की हेमियत से नही आये वे यहा वर्ल्ड बैक के अध्यक्ष के नाते आये है और सरकार की तरफ से वर्ल्ड वैक के अध्यक्ष के नाते ही उनका आतिथ्य-सत्कार किया गया और यह बात बिल्क्स ालत है कि उनको अमरीकी प्रतिनिधि के रूप मे लेना और इस तरह से उनके साथ करना। जहा तक कि राजन।र।यण जी ने अपनी राय दी कि किस तरह से कानन-व्यवस्था देश मे रखनी चाहिये और क्या करना चाहिये, तो यह उनकी राय हो सकती है और जो भी जिम्मेदार और सम्य सरकार भारतवर्ष मे या देश मे चलती है

सार्वजनिक सम्पत्ति के वह कभी किसी नुकसान होने, नष्ट होने को नही देख सकती, कोई भी व्यक्ति जब सार्वजनिक सम्पत्ति को नष्ट करता है तो उसको रोकना उसका प्रथम कर्तव्य है, जो कि जनता की सम्पन्ति है, चाहे वह एक बल्व हो, ट्रामकार हो या बस हो, वह नष्ट करने की कोई कोशिंग करता है तो वह एक राष्ट्रद्रोह है, वह राष्ट्रप्रेम की कार्यवाही नही है, इसलिये उसको रोकना हर एक जिम्मेदार सरकार का कर्तव्य है और जब तक हम यहा है राष्ट्र की सम्पत्ति जनता की सम्पन्ति को कोई भी नष्ट करने की कोशिश करेगा तो उसको रोकने का हर सम्भव प्रयत्न करेगे । उसके साथ साथ विश्वविद्यालय मे पुलिस ने प्रवेश किया इसके बारे मे आपने पुछ। तो उसके बारे मे यहा से कुछ पूछताछ नहीं की गई थो, जैसी वहा आवश्यकता पड़ी उसके अनुसार वहा के स्थानीय अधिकारियों ने काम किया।

to a matter of urgent public importance

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA) · Shri Barbora

आपने अखबार मे श्री राजनारायण पढ़ा होगा कि वहा के सेक्रेटरी ने कहा

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN. called him

श्रो जो० बरबोरा (अ साम) : क्या सरकार को यह जानकारो नही है कि यह वर्ल्ड बैंक जो है यह अस्ल में अमरीकन पूजीवाद का ही एक हथियार है और सारी दुनिया मे अमरीकी पुजीवाद को मजब्त करने के लिये ही गरीब मुल्को मे अपना जान बिटारहा है और इसीलिये अमरीकन प्जीवाद क जो प्रतीकश्री मैक्न मारा हिन्दुस्तान मे श्री मैंक्न मारा साम्याच्यवादी हिन्दुस्तान मे आये, तो इसके विरोध मे प्रदर्शन करने के लिये तमाम हिन्दुस्तान का नौजवान जगह जगह तैयार है और इसलिये अगर यह जनतंत्री सरकार थी तो उस सरकार ओर से श्री मैंकन मारा साहब का यह दौरा

करवाने का पूरा प्रबन्ध वन्द करना चाहिये था और अभी भी च।हिये कि उनका दौरा बिल्कुल बन्द कर दिया जाय।

श्री विद्याचरण शुक्ल: माननीय सदस्य यदि अपने दिल को प्रमन्न करने के लिये श्री मैक्नमारा को अभरीकी प्रतिनिधि कहना चाहते हैं तो मुझे कोई आपिन नहीं है लेकिन मैने इसको साफ किया है कि वह अमरोको प्रतिनिधि के रूप मे नहीं आये हैं विश्व बैंक के अध्यक्ष के रूप मे नहीं आये हैं विश्व बैंक के अध्यक्ष के रूप मे आये हैं। शान्तिपूर्वक प्रदर्शन करना एक अलग बात होती है और सार्वजनिक सम्पन्ति को लूटना और गुडागर्दी करना एक अलग बात होती है। शान्तिपूर्ण प्रदर्शन कहीं हो तो उसे रोकने का प्रयस्त नहीं करते हैं किन्तु जब कि सार्वजनिक सुरक्षा और जन-सम्पन्ति का नुकसान होता है तभी हमको उसके ऊपर कार्यवाही करनी पड़ती है।

SHRI CHITTA BASU (West Bengal): Sir, before I begin I want to make my position clear with regard to the notice. I gave notice to draw the attention of the Government to the entry of the police into the campus of the Calcutta University and the situation arising therefrom. You may have seen it.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Now your clarification

SHRI CHITTA BASU: I come to my clarification.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA (Orissa). Unless he makes it clear he cannot be closer to the student community.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: With regard to his comments I can use stronger words against him. May I know from the hon. Minister whether the Gevernment of West Bengal displayed over-enthusiasm and over-zeal in making arrangements for according reception to a person like Mr. McNamara, who evokes no inspiration or admiration in any patriot of any country

for the dirty deeds he did in Viet Nam, but only evokes condemnation and resentment from the citizens who are left with . . .

to a matter of urgent

public importance

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P BHARGAVA): Mr. Chitta Basu, you can avoid all these adjectives.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: No. Why should the adjectives be avoided? It is very relevant. It is the character of the person which provokes it. (*Interruptions*). You use adjectives against us . . .

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Mr. Gupta, I do not require your assistance. Is it the reason that has provoked the patriots of Calcutta to make a counterdemonstration against the visit of Mr. McNamara? In his connection, it has been reported that the arrangements made by the Government of West Bengal in their over-zeal and over-enthusiasm were much more pompous and gorgeous than the arrangements made to receive our Prime Minister and also the Home Minister. That is No. 1. No. 2, may I know whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to a statement issued by the Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University:-

"We, the Vice-Chancellor, the Pro-Vice-Chancellor and the Teachers, present in the University notice that some policemen and officers entered the University premises this evening without the permission of the Vice-Chancellor and assaulted students and employees in front of the Vice-Chancellor's room inside the Dharbhanga hall of the canteen. We have been informed that they have also arrested a few students inside the University premises. We deeply regret this action."

May I know if his attention has been drawn to this very clear statement, as I have read out from the "Statesman" of yesterday—the statement of the Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University—and whether it is not improper for the police to enter the University

premises without the prior permission of the Vice-Chancellor which, I am told, is the basic policy of the Government of India with regard to the entry of police into any academic institution? Thirdly, may I know whether it is a fact that the police also entered the school in the airport of Dum Dum, where the students where taking their examination? They severely beat up the students and teachers and entered forcibly into the residential quarters of the aerodrome employees and manhandled them. If these facts are correct, will the hon. Minister institute an enquiry . . .

SFRI M. N. KAUL (Nominated): Judicial.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Not judicial Will he institute an enquiry to find out whether there were any excesses committed by the police to meet the situation? If not, I want to know the reasons therefor. In this connection, I also associate myself with the sentiments expressed by Mr Rajnarain with regard to the action taken by the students in resenting the visit of Mr. McNamara.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Those who destroy the public property are not patriots and we do not look upon them as patriots. Secondly, I have already stated in my main statement that when it was apprehended that the fire that was put on the tramcars was likely to spread to the adjoining shops and houses because of the heavy brickbatting coming from within the university area, they had to enter the university area After their entering the university area and arresting five ring leaders the brickbatting stopped and then the Fire Brigade could come and put out the fire. So, to protect the life and property of the citizens of Calcutta, this action had to be taken at that time If anybody could have waited for taking permission, by that time much damage would have been done, and there would have been no remedy to undo the damage that would have been done already in the

meantime. These are the circumstances in which the police perforce and much against their wishes had to go there. Policemen do not feel very happy in entering the university campus and taking action there.

As far as the question of entering the school is concerned, in the Dum Dum area, when the miscreants were creating trouble and when the police party tried to apprehend them, they ran and entered the school, and the police had to go behind them and take them from there. It is not as if the police went into the school all by themselves; there was no overzealousness or overenthusiasm on their part. They were doing a very difficult duty in protecting the life and property of the citizens of Calcutta, and for their self-restraint the police should be congratulated.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI (Maharashtra): I am personally always here to uphold the right of the population to demonstrate peacefully. But here what I am finding is very violent demonstrations are taking place, and particularly in an atmosphere surcharged with violence in Calcutta area it is absolutely necessary to take steps and in this respect I am one with the Government But I do not understand what was the reason for which, at this moment when the political parties are going to exploit the situation in Calcutta for election purposes, the Government thought it proper to send Mr McNamara to Cal cutta I do not understand it because the political parties are going to take advantage of this situation, and at least the Government should have thought it fit to keep the peace in that area till the elections so that this would not have taken place, because the political parties are in the habit of exploiting the situation, particularly the Communists.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN SHRI M. P BHARGAVA): Will you come to your clarification?

SHRI A. G KULKARNI: Why I say this...

[RAJYA SABHA]

VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): You need not reply.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: I want to know whether the Government at least apprehended the maturity of the Calcutta population when such a person as the President of the World Bank-he may be an American; tomorrow he may be a Russian; but a mature country such as ours must welcome the high dignitary on a standard befitting him. So I do not side with my friends on that side though I side with them on economic matters.

VICE-CHAIRMAN THE (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): I will have to remind you again that you have expressed quite a lot of opinion. Come to your clarification.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: I am coming.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN M. P. BHARGAVA): Unless each hon. Member remains within his limits this cannot be conducted.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: What I wanted to say is the maturity of the people, and the Government should have judged this thing. It have been better if we had not shown this disrespect to a high dignitary of the World Bank. I only want a last clarification whether the Government will take a lesson from these incidents-incidents occurred in the United Kingdom when there was a demonstration Vietnam against the policy of the Government of the United States-whether the Government will now introduce certain measures in the Police Department so that there should be no necessity of any law being passed . . .

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: The hon. Member has compared instances here in our country and in the United Kingdom. I think we would do much better to compare the situation at all levels, compare at governmental level compare the opposition parties,

compare the responsibility of the opposition leaders here and there; everything should be compared; only then we can come to a conclusion; and only them lessons can be drawn. As for the maturity of the population of Calcutta and other places, I would say that the people of Calcutta are very mature, very responsible. They are only a handful of hooligans who create all this trouble.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: strongly protest. No, Sir. Ten political parties gave the call. You are calling the political parties hooligans?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: As far as the reason for Mr. McNamara's visit to Calcutta is concerned, for obvious reasons I am not going into that.

SHRI M. PURKAYASTHA (Assam): Mr. Vice-Chairman, the Minister has stated that bombs were thrown at the police. Will the Minister please clarify what were the types of bombs that were thrown at the police? these bombs like those thrown by the Americans in Vietnam or like those crackers that are generally used by children during Diwali and other festivals? It has been stated that 800 students demonstrated in Street. Will the hon. Minister please state how many policemen were deployed on the streets of Calcutta on Is it not a fact that that day? because of the deployment of a huge number of police force unnecessarily students were provoked and there were incidents of stone-throwing as alleged by the police? Is it not a fact that the Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University was present in the university premises but without his permission the police entered the university premises and belaboured the students and the employees? Minister please also state the hon. how many policemen were injured as a result of stone-throwing and bombthrowing? How many of them were admitted into the hospital? How many students and policemen were injured?

Will the Minister please also state how many arrests took place on that day so that we may know the actual situation?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: In my main statement I have stated that 31 persons were arrested, and I have information about the Dum Dum area where a Deputy Superintendent of Police was seriously injured in brickbatting and ten policemen also received injuries in brickbatting. far as the police 'bandobust' is concerned, it was not the police arrangements that caused any provocation arrangements were nor-The police mal. When we apprehended demonstrations and violent troubles, precautionary measures had to be taken, but those precautionary measures were as a result of apprehension of violent troubles, and violent troubles were not as a result of those arrangements It is just vice versa. As far as the nature of bombs is concerned, the hon. Member has asked whether these are like bombs which are used in Vietnam or whether these are crackers which are used in our houses during Diwali I would say that the bombs that were used in Calcutta were in between the two, neither heavy bombs nor simple crackers. We may take them as oversized and very strong crackers. Some of those oversized and very strong crackers which did not explode have now been sent to laboratories for analysis.

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS (Orissa): I am astonished why the hon. Minister is differentiating so much between the President of the World Bank and an American citizen if he is a guest of the Government of India, because to him he might be conducting the tour as the Bank chief, but those who do know might see in him an American citizen who was responsible for Vietnam But here the most important aspect of the matter is whether those who had a right to demonstrate, whether he is Mr McNamara or Mr Brezhnev, committed violence to such an extent that the police had to commit excesses This is the limited issue which we

have to judge. Today it might be the case of Mr. McNamara. Tomorrow it may be the case of Mr. Kosygin or Mr. Brezhnev. I want to know from the Minister a specific answer.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Mr. Kosygin will never get brickbats in Calcutta It is absolutely clear that Mr. Kosygin will never get brickbats in Calcutta. This clearly shows . . .

## (Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M P BHARGAVA) Order, order, Please, Mr. Banka Behary Das is in possession of the floor.

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS: I am not prepared to tolerate or concede anything which clearly shows a slant in mind Some of the Naxelerites who owe allegiance to Mao Tse-Tung were also participants in this demonstra-tion Tomorrow, it may happen that some of the pro-American spies in this country may take the leadership in demonstrating in front of Mr. Brezhnev also. So, it is immaterial who does it because in this democracy we concede this right to anybody who is here as a citizen, he has a right to demonstrate if he feels that some injustice has been perpetrated and if his feeling is that it is going to impair the relations between this and that country So, I am not going into this matter. I am only concerned here with. . .

SHRI A D MANI: (Madhya Pradesh). Put a question.

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS: This point arose and I think it is necessary that I should reply to that point.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, I want to know from the Home Minister—all those people who went to Dum Dum Airport have a right to demonstrate—whether they used violence to such an extent and whether there was a necessity for the police excess, because I have seen

#### [Shri Banka Behary Das.]

from the reports of newspapers—not only the statement of the Head Master—that the police entered the school where the students were sitting. And I have also seen it published in papers that the Aerodrome officers—they have also represented before the Government of India—that their life was not secure because the police behaved like this, went inside and beat some of the Aerodrome officers also

I want to know from the Minister about this Calcutta University inci-There is the statement of dent also the Vice-Chancellor. Of course, I am not one with those students who demonstrated before the house of the Vice-Chancellor in the campus would rather plead here that the students also should behave properly inside the campus; they should not take advantage of that But may I know from the hon. Minister whether he is going to believe the version of the police officer who has given this report to him or whether he is going to believe the version of the Vice-Pro-Vice-Chancellor. Chancellor, the the members of the staff, who nave decried this intrusion of the police into the campus If there is a difference of opinion between the Police Chief of Calcutta and the Vice-Chancellor and the Pro-Vice-Chancellor, I would accept the version of the Vice-Chancellor and the Pro-Vice-Chancellor. in view of this, may I know from the Minister whether he is prepared to constitute a judicial Inquiry Committee so that the real truth may be found out Otherwise, the Vice-Chancellor and the Pro-Vice-Chancellor of Calcutta University much more responsible people than the police officials of Calcutta City will have a feeling that this Government is not prepared to honour the sanctity of the Calcutta University At the end of the statement, they have mentioned, we do not want from any quarter that the sanctity of the Calcutta University should be in any way impaired, whether it is by the police or by political parties or by the This is the statement that students has come.

In view of this, may I request the hon Minister that he will institute a high-level Inquiry Committee to find out whether the students committed violence or the police went into the campus and committed all those excesses which resulted in such a statement from such prominent people of Calcutta?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Nobody denies the right of peaceful demonstration to any section of our society. But here in Calcutta they were not peaceful demonstrations These demonstrations always turned violent and, as I have stated in my main statement, there was a good deal of destruction of public property duiing these disturbances Police have to act in difficult circumstances and they have to act taking into account all the circumstances as they arise. And as far as the question of inquiry is concerned, I do not think there is any need for an inquiry because the facts are clear prima facie. Where is the need for an inquiry into such things?

#### (Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M P BHARGAVA) Mr. Bhupesh Gupta.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: We have heard a long explanation. First of all, I should like to know from the Minister and his Government whether they considered the implications of the visit of Mr McNamara to this country at this particular moment, political implications The hon Members have said that he came as a World Bank man, not as an American citizen. But the man who came is Mr. Robert McNamara who was responsible for the escalation of war in Vietnam, who has been rejected by the American people So much so. . .

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN: May I know . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Please. Let me finish, Mr. Akbar Ali Khan. You always get up to disturb me.

1014

No, feather—why at this moment the to Government thought—that Mr Mc-Namara's visit should be timed? This you should explain.

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN. No, feather—why no But I think I have a right to Government disturb you.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. Do

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN I say that Mr McNamara was called as the President of the World Bank to help us in our difficult situation.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Mr. Akbar Alı Khan, do you think that I did not know that?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M P. BHARGAVA). Mr Gupta, please proceed.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: You see He is a very beloved friend. I do not know whether out of affection he makes this interruption Then (Interruptions.) He says-I have just taken down-that Mr. McNamara came as the World Bank Chief But he is American citizen, not only an American citizen but the former American Defence Minister responsible for the escalation of war in Vzetnam He was rejected by the American people, having faced demonstrations his own country so much so, even President Johnson found it difficult to keep him as a member of his Cabinet and he had to be shoved on to the World Bank Have they taken that When such a factor into account? despised and discredited man, a tanding provocation to world public opinion, was being brought, no matter under what pretext, at this moment an implication does arise. This is the first thing.

Secondly, in this connection, in 1965 the invitation to Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, our late Prime Minister, was cancelled unilaterally by Washington on some ground. They thought that he should not be invited. We rejected that kind of thing, we condemned that attitude. Now, when feelings are running high, when the American Government is discredited, when Fresident Johnson, Mr. McNamara's boss, has lost the election and a worse man has come—they are birds of the same

Thirdly, he was brought here. Look at the way the Government benaves The Deputy Prime Minister went to the airport to receive him Please understand-I am not using the words 'the Finance Minister' Mr. Eugene Black and Mr. Wood also came They were both Presidents of the World bank See how they were received But see how this despised and discredited man has been received in this country. We have our Deputy Prime Minister going to the airport to receive Well, I am not going into the question of protocol here. This is something which disgraces country. When our Prime Minister lands in London, the British Prime Minister never comes to receive her. The American President, head of the Government, never comes to receive our Prime Minister at Washington or wherever it is in the States the Deputy Prime Minister rushes to the airport to receive Mr McNamara.

Then, Sir, from the Calcutta papers I am surprised to see that all the officers of the Government are dancing attendance at the Rashtrapati Bhawan to have a darshan of Mr McNamaca. This is a disgraceful affair. You cent your Deputy Prime Minister to Washington. I should like to know

SHRI A G. KULKARNI On a point of order. Is Mr Chatterjee allowed to read that statement?

SHRI A P. CHATTERJEE: I am reading something pertaining to this matter.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Does he want him to read Lady Chatterley's Lover?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Please.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: These are the matters. You consider I know Mr. Shukla . . .

[Interruptions by Shrimati Lalitha (Rajagopalan)]

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Please take your seat.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Do I understand that my reference to a lady has provoked that lady?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M P BHARGAVA): Please continue with your clarification.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA · I have no intention of provoking any lady even when I mention the name of Lady Chatterley's Lover. . . (Interruptions). Then to Washington Mr Morarji Desai went. You saw how many officers came. In Calcutta the Raj Bhavan was crowded by all the officials of the Bengal Government This is a disgrace. This point I should like to be explained.

Sir, he said, the Calcutta public is mature but this was done by hooligans. First of all, the hon'ble Minister was making a misstatement having been wrongly briefed, I believe. The call was given by ten political parties of Calcutta apart from the students' organisations. Surely they are not parties of hooligans.

Then, Sir, he missed the fact that Mr McNamara had to be taken from the airport by a helicopter to the Maidan and a car could not travel this ten-mile distance from the Dum Dum airport to the Raj Bhavan.

1 P.M.

SHRI KRISHAN KANT (Haryana). By a Russian helicopter.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: All right Mr McNamara knows what a helicopter is You may not know that But he had to be lifted by a helicopter, and the hon'ble Minister thinks

that the Calcutta public was in sympathy and it was a trouble created by hooligans. What else could be a grosser misstatement of facts by the Home Minister?

Coming to the other aspect, his statement was wrong. Sir, I have studied all the papers. One paper is with me It gives photographs of police using violence, police taking hold of the people and dragging them. Is he aware that in the Motijheel College Women were beaten up by the police that the police entered the Dum Dum area, the airport, and the High School and beat up the teachers and the students alike, when there were examinations in progress Civil Aviation employees were beaten up. Their representatives made public statements which appeared in more than one Bengali daily papers. Their quarters were raided by the police and they were beaten up inside their Among them were Shri quarters B N Sanyal and Shri A. N Lal. They were both assaulted within their quarters in the Dum Dum area.

He referred to the Jessore Road. Here police atrocities continued in the evening when shops were entered into, houses were entered in the people were beaten up there

Coming to the Calcutta University, the police entered the campus at 5-15 p.m. without any reference Vice-Chancellor. The Vice-Chancellor had to condemn or disapprove of it Boys and girls were assaulted by the police and a large number of them were arrested Sir, arrangements were made as if the Calcutta people had to be taught a lesson, as if Mr. McNamara had come to stage another kind of police Vietnam in our Calcutta I should like to know why this kind of thing was done. Why did the Government not realise that the people of Calcutta with their great anti-imperialist tradition, with their great tradition of left movement. would roar like a lion against Mr McNamara, that they would be there to register their protest in the manner in which they did? In view of

these things why did they decide to send this discredited, despised man to a city which is known for its tradition?

Sir, did they take into account the fact that in 1945 in support of the Vietnamese people liberation struggle there were scenes in the streets of Calcutta? The people of Calcutta laid down their lives; some people died in the streets of Calcutta fighting the British because the British were helping the French at that time in order to keep the Vietnamese people down. Is it proper for the Government of India at this very moment to send this man to a city of this nature? I would have welcomed if this thing had happened in Delhi. But unfortunately here we are not strong enough; democracy and left movement is not strong enough. But it is a proud day for me that it happened in Calcutta. I tell you that I was proud yesterday for once very much that after a long time my city of Calcutta stood up to the monstricity of the presence of such a filthy warmonger as Mr. Robert McNamara.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): What is your next clarification?

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: What is this you are allowing? Now he is espeusing his own point of view, his own philosophy. What I intend to say is that justice must be done to everybody. If you have given him ten minutes, there is no reason why my party should not be given ten minutes? You cannot do away with my party.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): This will be expunged.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Nothing should be expunged.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Then why are you going far away from your point? What is your next clarification?

6-43 R. S./60

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: He wants some more time. You can take two minutes from mine and give it to him. Only his name is not there. What is happening in Calcutta? Does he know that Mr. McNamara was taken to meet Mr. G. D. Birla, Mr. B. M. Birla and the big business there? A picture has appeared in the papers showing Mr. McNamara meeting the Mayor of Calcutta. But the Mayor is not even looking at him; he is looking at somebody else. This is the position. Such an action has been taken in order to build up some kind of connections between the Birlas and Mr. McNamara. That is their idea. Therefore, for the entire provocation the Government is responsible. I would request you to ask the Government to hold a public enquiry into the manner in which the Government has behaved over this matter.

There was no need, in the first instance, to send Mr. McNamara to Calcufta. Having sent him, the entire police force was mobilised against the people of Calcutta . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): That will do.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: ... against all political parties of Calcutta and the students' organisations.

Before I sit down, once again I pay my wholesome tribute to the students and the people of Calcutta because what they have done will be remembered as an act of glory, will inspire the people of Vietnam and other freedom loving people, will go a long way to cement our abiding friendship and solidarity with the brave, unconquerable Vietnamese people.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Our views on the Vietnam question are well known and I need not go into all that. Sir, out of this long speech only one point I would answer and the rest of the points, I think, I have either answered or they do not relate to the presence of the matter before the House.

to a matter of urgent

public import ance

[Shri Vidya Charan Shukla]

Sir, hon'ble Mr. Bhupesh Gupta said that ten political parties gave a call demonstration. kind of presume the call given by the political parties was for a peaceful demonstration to which I do not object. What I said was that it was hooligans and not the political parties which created this trouble...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Who provoked them?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: ... and these hooligans are to be blamed. The political parties were probably doing it and would have probably done it in a peaceful way. But the hooligans created this complicated and unsavoury situation in which this action had to be taken.

SHRI M. M. DHARIA: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, has the Government having regard to the realised that, severe resentment against the arms aid by America to Pakistan and also the resentment against the foreign aid being received by this country and loans being received by this country foreign countries without mobilising the resources here, and having regard to the fact that Mr. McNamara is not only the President of the World Bank, but he is also one of the statesmen responsible for the conflagration in Vietnam and against whom there is lot of resentment in this country, arranging a tour of Mr. McNamara to Calcutta is nothing but a symptom of our political immaturity?

Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, is it also not a fact that this problem of students has indeed become a very serious problem in the whole country? Whether it is Allahabad, or Lucknow or Banaras or Calcutta, one thing is very clear that these students are being victims of political parties who are trying to utilise this force for their political game. If we desire that these students should not be the victims this way or that way, may I know what is the positive approach of the Government to see that these students are not led

know from the Government whether it is not necessary to give training to our own police who have been committing while performing atrocities duties? And from that point of view, when we look to these various statements issued by the Vice-Chancellor and the Pro-Vice-Chancellor in Calcutta, I have a reason to believe that the police have committed excesses in Calcutta and due note of it shall have to be taken. The Government should also take note of the fact that in Calcutta it is not that the posters of Mao are hung, it is not that anti-national slogans are given, but there are elements who are interested in carrying out all these anti-national and antisocial activities in Calcutta and it is by such elements that the trams and buses are being burnt. What action is being taken by the Government against such people? May I know from the Home Minister whether it is the students or whether it is such miscreants who are responsible for such things, and what action is being taken against those responsible? To-day it seems as if in Calcutta, it is not the rule either of the Central Government or of the State Government; the rule seems to be of those people who have carried on those activities in Naxalbari, of such people who have their loyalties to China, Peking and Mao. May I also know from the hon. Minister whether, in order to avoid such things, the Government will take care...

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: What about Morarji?

SHRI M. M. DHARIA: . . . at least to see that from the Government's end no such instigations are made where the people get provoked?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Sir, we know the sentiments of our population regarding burning questions like Vietnam, the arms supply Pakistan through Turkey and so on. Here, as I explained earlier, when the World Bank President came on a work which was important for our plannthat vray? At the same time may I ing and in several other respects, he

had to be taken round to places where his visit was necessary. It was not that it was done to provoke any people or we did not know that such a visit would provoke some people. But if it was found necessary to arrange such visits, that had to be done, and to prevent any unsavoury incidents, we did try to make arrangements here and there. I completely agree with the hon. Member that the problems of students get mixed up with such things and the students are exploited by political parties for their own ends. Here actually the visit of the World Bank President and the work that he was supposed to do in Calcutta had nothing to do with the students and their problems, but still they came there. As far as a peaceful demonstration is concerned, we do not mind it But as soon as the miscreants and hooligans take control of the situation, the students are relegated to the background. So it is not that every time it was the students who were creating all the trouble. As I have stated, here it was the hooligans and miscreants who created all this trouble. As far as the question about the training of police is concerned, I agree with the hon. Member that our law and order controlling techniques, the techniques of crowd control and mob control, need some improvement, and we are looking

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: Sir, the hon. Minister has said that the police entered the university premises because if they had not entered the premises they would not have been able to control the fire which was already taking place on the tram cars and which was threatening to engulf nearby shops. Now, the report goes-I am quoting the report from the Statesman-that the fire brigade arrived at the place at 4-50 in the afternoon. They could not, according to the report, proceed because of brickbats. It is also reported in the press that at that time, i.e. at 4-50 when the fire brigade arrived, the Police Commissioner telephoned the Vice-Chancellor and asked him whether he could control the students.

into that.

"The Vice-Chancellor said"—I quoting the Statesman-"I am trying to control the students." That must be after 4-50 because the fire engine came at about 4-50. But the police entered the university premises at 5 O'Clock without further waiting for the reply of the Vice-Chancellor on whether he had been able to control the students or not. In view of this report, will the hon. Minister insist that the police had a right or had a reason to enter the university premises? That is the first clarification I want.

to a matter of urgent

public importance

The second clarification which want is this. The Vice-Chancellor and the Pro-Vice-Chancellor have issued a statement saying that the police entered the university premises without their consent. Now they could have again telephoned the Vice-Chancellor asking him whether he was able to control the students. Could not the Police Commissioner before he entered the university premises at 5 O'Clock, ten minutes after he had phoned the Vice-Chancellor, phone him again to say that they were entering the university premises? Could not the police at least inform the Vice-Chancellor that they were entering the university premises, not to speak of asking for the permission of the Vice-Chancellor? That is the second clarification I want.

The third clarification that seeking is this. The Police Commissioner after seeing the statement of Vice-Chancellor. the Pro-Vice-Chancellor and the teachers, has said that the Vice-Chancellor was under These are the words which duress. the Police Commissioner has used-"The Vice-Chancellor was duress." Now, what is the source of this information to the Police Commissioner? I am asking this because it is an aspersion cast both on the students as well as on the Vice-Chancellor. It is an aspersion on the students because it is said that the students were putting the Vice-Chancellor under duress. And it is aspersion upon the Vice-Chancellor because the Vice-Chancellor's statement is being belied, for his statement is

### [Shri A. P. Chatterjee]

Calling Attention

there that the police had no business to enter the university premises. what is the source of information on the basis of which the Police Commissioner made this statement that the Vice-Chancellor was under duress? If the Police Commissioner has said this on his own brainwave without any source of information, will the hon. Minister take action against the Police Commissioner for such an irresponsible statement? That is the third clarification that I want.

The fourth clarification that I want is this. The hon. Minister has tried to justify the entry of the police into the university premises on College Street by referring to the incident of fire. But what is the justification for the police to enter the Higher Secondary School at the Dum Dum airport? What is the justification for the police to enter into the quarters of the employees of the airport? Is it the contention of the hon. Minister that at that place also certain vehicles of Government were on fire and the fire brigade was not able to proceed there? And according to him-as he is quite profuse in the use of the term "hooligan"-was there any hooligan hiding in the quarters of the airport or in the Higher Secondary School where an examination was going on? That is the fourth clarification that I am seeking.

The fifth clarification that I would like to have is this. Why, for what reason, did the police enter the school where an examination was going on, if they did not find any person pelling stones from that place? And there is no report that any pelting of stones was taking place from that school. Why did they tear up, as has been said in the statement of the Headmaster of the school, the answer papers students? That is the fifth clarification that I want.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, Mr. McNamara is the President of the World Bank, And as President of the World Bank, he is concerned only with giving aids or grants to certain developing countries. Is it necessary for the President

of the World Bank to go on tour to different places of India? Is it necessary? Secondly, I am asking for this clarification also. Did Mr. McNamara want to visit the different places of the country in order to see whether the people, the officers or the bigwigs of the country would appear before him on bended knees? Is that the reason why the Governor, the Mayor and the President of the Congress were all paraded in beggars' dress on bended knees before Mr. McNamara? What was the reason why Mr. McNamara was taken to Calcutta? Now, the last clarification that I want to ask is this. I am always asking for only clarifications.

to a matter of urgent

public importance

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): They cannot endless.

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: Lastly, therefore, Sir, the clarification which The want is this. honourable Minister has called the students of Calcutta 'hooligans'. . .

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: I have not.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): He has not.

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: Very well, if you have not. Good sense has dawned on you. You have a rethinking of your position. Now, Ι am asking for this clarification. As far as the Dum Dum airport is concerned, we all pass through it. You also pass through the Dum Dum airport. Only now a days a rule has come into being that if you want to go into the lounge you have to pay one rupee-to go inside. But, beyond that at least for hundred yards from there, there is no restriction, not even an order under Section 144. Then, why did the police barricade the student procession when it was going to the Dum Dum airport? In this connection, will the honourable Minister tell us at what distance from the airport were the students barricad-Again in this context, will the honourable Minister also tell us why the police lathi charge began after Mr. McNamara was whisked away copter? Could not the police go away at that time without disturbing the students' procession because Mr. Mc-Namara has already gone away? I hope he has noted all these points.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Sir. I only gave the reasons as to why the police had to enter the University campus. I did not say that they had the right to enter the campus and all that. I may tell the honourable House that the State Government have reported to us that the Police Commissioner did contact the Vice-Chancellor and the Registrar of the University and the Principal of the Presidency College at Calcutta ... (Interruption) When there was a danger to the property and life of the citizens, they could not wait for the formal permission...

### (Interruptions)

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: But, Sir.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Please let him speak. Please please . . .

(Interruptions).

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: It is well known . . .

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: Is the Vice-Chancellor less responsible than the police?

#### (Interruptions)

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: It is well known that we are ourselves anxious to maintain this convention that the police should never enter a campus unless University absolutely essential in various extraordinary circumstances.

Sir, then, there was a question regarding the entry into the school building near Dum Dum. I have in reply already explained earlier question that the police had to enter the school building to chase the hooligans who entered the school building and behind them the police entered.

\*

from the Dum Dum airport on a heli- It was not as if the police entered the building first and the hooligans entered after the police. It was not like that,

> As far as the question of justification or otherwise of Mr. McNamara's visit is concerned, I do not think that falls within the ambit of the present Calling Attention.

Sir, the honourable Member has enquired about the barricade at the airport and all that. Sir, I am not aware whether there was Section 144 near the airport or not at that time. But I can say that in the discharge of their duties to maintain proper order and proper traffic, the police have the authority to regulate the entry and the points from where the people should go and all that.

श्री गणेशी लाल चौधरी (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, हमारे देश की एक परम्परा रही है, एक संस्कृति रही है बाहर से आए मेहमान की खातिरदारी और मेहमानवाजी करने की। यह जो घटना हई यह बड़ी घृणित है। मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से जानना चाहता हूं कि जब बाहर से मेहमान आएं भविष्य में इस तरह की घटनाएं न हों इसके लिए उन्होंने कौन सी कार्यवाही की है ताकि अपने देश की संस्कृति और अपने देश की परम्परा को बनाए रखा जा सके?

दूसरी बात यह है कि यह घटना पहली नहीं है समय समय पर हम अपने देश में देखते आए हैं कि इस तरह से विद्यार्थियों में और नौजवानों में अनुशासनहीनता बढ़ रही है। साथ ही साथ शिक्षित बेरोजगारी भी बढ़ रही है। मैं मंत्री जी से जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या वेइस पर विचार करेंगे कि कुछ समय के लिए देश की उच्च शिक्षा को **बन्द** कर दिया जाय?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: have a submission to make.

to a matter of urgent public importance

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Let me finish the Calling Attention Notice.

Calling Attention

श्री विद्या चरण शक्ल : मैं माननीय सदस्य से सहमत हूं कि हमें अपने मेहमानो की खातिरदारी अच्छी तरह से करनी चाहिए और किसी तरह से ऐसा कोई कार्य नहीं होना चाहिए जिससे उनकी मेहमानदारी या उनके स्वागत-सत्कार में कोई फर्क आए। भविष्य में ऐसी घटनाएं न घटें इसके लिए हम प्रयत्न कर रहे हैं परन्तु समाज-विरोधी तत्व जिनका कभी-कभी राजनीतिक फायदा उठाया जाता है, ऐसे तत्वों पर हमें नियंत्रण पाना है और वही असली समस्या हमारे सामने है।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): All the honourable Members who had given notice, their names have been exhausted. But, I find some sections of the House have not been represented. Therefore, I propose to call three more Members. Now, Mrs. Lalitha Rajagopalan. Please be brief. 1177 167

LALITHA (RAJA-SHRIMATI GOPALAN) (Madras): I am straight going to the question. I have only one question. May I know, Sir, knowing that Bengal is a very sensitive spot and that the students wanted to observe "Anti-Imperialist Day" on the day Mr. McNamara was to visit Calcutta, why was not his programme modified? He could have been asked to visit Madras first and then later on Calcutta. Then he could have visited Bombay. Why could not the Government suggest that? What was the urgency in Mr. McNamara visiting Calcutta on that particular day?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: I have already replied to this question.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: She has asked about Mr. McNamara's visit on that particular day. He should reply. He should tell, "Birlas are there."

SARDAR RAM SINGH (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, in view of the anti-social activities indulged in by the Communist Party of India, in view of its encouraging and instigating the students and the youth of this country, may I know whether the Government of India feels it desirable to ban the Communist Party of India?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Sir, this demand is wide in the country from time to time to ban the Communist Party of India. We have clarified our position from time to time that howsoever unsavoury the situation . . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: What did you say? Who demanded it? The Swatantra Party, Mr. McNamara's Party. . . (Interruptions) Except the Swatantra Party . . (Interruption) . . . no other party demanded the banning of the Communist Party of India.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: From time to time, Sir, . . .

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: You don't refer to the demands of the cranks. You refer to the demands of reasonable persons.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Order please.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: I was saying that from time to time we have clarified our position that howsoever unsavoury the situation may be, we are not in favour of banning any political party.

श्री निरंजन वर्मा (मध्य प्रदेश) : क्या श्रीमान यह बतलाने का कष्ट करेंगे कि दमदम हवाई अडडे में लेकर कलकत्ते के पुरे शहर में युनीवर्सिटी और स्कूल के क्षेत्रों में जहां-जहां पर ये उपद्रव हए इन उपद्रवो में विद्यार्थियों के बहाने से गन्डे तत्व कितने ज्यादा घुस आए और इन गुन्डों ने भीतर घुस कर जनता के माल और जान पर जो आक्रमण किए उनके ऊपर सरकारने क्या चेक

किया ! दूमरे क्या सरकार को यह पता है । कि माओ के चित्र को लेकर जो देशद्रोही वहां पर घूम रहे थे उन माओ के | चित्रों को कौन-कौन पार्टियों के नेता अथवा | व्यक्ति लिए हुए थे ?

SHRI A. P. CHATTERJEE: It is an irrelevant question, Sir.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Every honourable Member has had his full say. Now he has put a question. Let him reply.

श्री विद्या चरण शुक्ल : उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, माननीय द्वस्य जानना चाहते हैं कि इस तरह के जो उपद्रव करने वाले लोग थे उनके ऊपर क्या नियंत्रण किया तो इसके बारे में पूरा विवरण किया गया है कि हमने इम-इम क्षेत्र में और दूसरे क्षेत्रों में क्या किया। इसका पूरा विवरण दे चुका हूं। जहा तक कि माओं की तस्वीर का मवाल है उसके सम्बन्ध में प्रेस नै कुछ रिपोर्ट पढ़ी है और हम इसका पता लगा रहे हैं कि वस्तुस्थित क्या थी।

#### PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

COAL MINES (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS, 1968

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND REHABILITATION (SHRI JAISUKH-LAL HATHI): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) Notification G.S.R. No. 1554, dated the 2nd August, 1968 (in English), publishing the Coal Mines (Amendment) Regulations, 1968, under sub-section (7) of section 59 of the Mines Act, 1952. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-2221/68.]

NOTIFICATION ISSUED UNDER THE EMP-LOYEES' PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952

SHRI JAISUKHLAL HATHI: Sir, I also beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Ministry of Labour, Employment

and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) Notification G.S.R. No. 1560, dated the 7th August, 1968 (in English) under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-2223/68.]

NOTIFICATION ISSUED BY THE GOVERN-MENT OF BIHAR

SHRI JAISUKHLAL HATHI: Sir, I also beg to lay on the Table—a copy of Notification S. O. No. 581, dated the 17th August, 1968 (in English and Hindi), under sub-section (3) of section 40 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, issued by the Government of Bihar. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-2227/68.]

THE PERSONAL INJURIES (COMPENSA-TION/INSURANCE) AMENDMENT SCHEME 1968

SHRI JAISUKHLAL HATHI: Sir, I also beg to lay on the Table—a copy of the Ministry of Labour. Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) Notification S. O. No. 3087, dated the 21st August, 1968 (in English), publishing the Personal Injuries (Compensation Insurance) Amendment Scheme, 1968, under section 24 of the Personal Injuries (Compensation Insurance) Act, 1963. [Placed in Library. See No. LT—2222/68.]

- 1. THE EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUNDS (FOURTH AMENDMENT) SCHEME, 1968.
- 2. The Employees' Provident Funds (Fifth Amendment) Scheme, 1968.
- 3. THE EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUNDS (SIXTH AMENDMENT) SCHEME, 1968.
- 4. THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS (SEVENTH AMENDMENT) SCHEME, 1968.

SHRI JAISUKHLAL HATHI: Sir, I also beg to lay on the Table—a copy each of the following Notifications (in English) of the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment),