THE WEST BENGAL STATE LEGISLATURE (DELEGATION OF POWERS) BILL. 1968—continued

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Niren Ghosh.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH (West Bengal): Madam Deputy Chairman, we are told that the Bill is a consequential piece of legislation on the formation of the Presidential rule. But I beg to differ from that standpoint because what this Bill proposes to do is to confer power on the President to legislate on his own without the consent of Parliament, Now, the powers of the Assembly have been taken over by this Parliament and Parliament is not to legislate but on behalf of Parliament, the President is to legislate. So, in fact, Parliament is being shorn of the powers that accrue to it as a result of the Presidential Proclamation. And what does it amount to? In fact, it means Congress rule by decree in the State so long as the election does not take place. Now, this is a procedure, this is a method, to which I cannot agree. I strongly object to it.

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN (Andhra Pradesh): What is your solution?

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: Parliament should initiate legislation, Parliament should not be confronted with a fait accompli—President making decrees, making legislation, then some time or the other placing it before Parliament to concur with him or to make some modification if he wants, Now, that never happens, Parliament should never be confronted with a fait accompli. So, this proess, this very thing that all the powers of Parliament are now to go to the President and for him to legislate, this procedure is autocratic, and I do object to this. And moreover, it is Congress rule by decree. That is the worst part of it. When the Union Government at the Centre is that of the Congress, we know that it is Congress rule, since they have a majority in Parliament, But even by-passing of the Parliament by the Union Cabinet, to legislate for the State, this is the very purpose of the Bill. To this I object. It is a autocratic Congress rule which we can never l

accept. On what right, on what basis, can the Government expect us to accept this procedure? The overwhelming majority of people of West Bengal are impeccably opposed to Congress rule in any shape or form. That being the position we cannot take it, we cannot accede to such a piece of legislation, since you have brought about this particular pattern of things to come into existence.

Now, Parliament should be completely seized of the matter; whatever piece of legislation, it is Parliament which should legislate and not the President by decree, that is the Union Cabinet by decree. This is the first thing.

Secondly, I would like to say, what is that Consultative Committee that is going to be nominated by on the advice of the Union Cabinet: "Forty Members of the House of the People and twenty Members of this House. Now, there are forty Members from West Bengal in the House of the People. Out of that number, at least 26 to 27 belong to the Opposition, Can I take it that in the so-called Consultative Committee, out of those 40 Members, at least 26 or 27 or 30 would belong to the Opposition? On what basis is this nomination business going to take place? I have every doubt, every apprehension because it is never honest; the Congress Government at the Centre is not honest. With an overwhelming majority of the Members of Congress persuasion, they will pack that Consultative body and try to see that it is giving advice to the President on all matters. If this body is to be constituted at all, out of those 40 Members, at least 30 to 33 should belong to the Opposition, Otherwise, this body will not be acceptable to the people of West Bengal, Madam, let me make it clear—they will look upon this body with suspicion, with quite reasonable suspicion, with justified suspicion, that any piece of advice that would be tendered by this body is bound to be a partisan advice and would militate against the interests of the State. So, unless a clear assurance is given that this body will be formed in consultation with the Opposition, in consultation with the United Front in West Bengal and the

Members of the Opposition in Parliament, in both Houses of Parliament, we cannot accept such a consultative body and we cannot give a clean chit. On behalf of the people of West Bengal, we want to put the record straight that we will not accept such a body, we look upon it with suspicion, as a partisan body, not favourable to the interests of the State.

Madam, I would like to stress one point, since the Home Ministry is concerned with this. For all the bunglings that are happening in India in the different States after the Fourth General Elections, Madam, the Cabinet is collectively responsible. There is no doubt about it. But the Home Ministry comes directly into the picture Shri Y. B. Chavan is the Minister-in-charge. He is one of the most important members of the Cabinet. In fact, in the olden days, when Pandit Pant was there, he used to be considered as the No. 2 in command, next to Nehru The Home portfolio portfolio. is such an important The Home Minister comes directly into the picture And may I put it before the House that Mr. Chavan has made a mess of it though the Cabinet is collectively responsible. I indict the entire Government and the Centre for the process of disintegration, for the process of political instability, for the process of bankrup-tcy that they have led India to divisive tendencies. It is only by these tactics that they are prospering. It is why, Madam, that by their policy of suppression of the States they are making the people of the States one after the other against the Centre. It is happening and it is bound to happen and in this whole picture Mr. Chavan is directly responsible. That is the point that we must remember.

Now, Madam Deputy Chairman, Mr. Y B. Chayan, coming from Maharashtra, made a bid for becoming an all India leader, a leader of importance, perhaps aspiring to the post of Prime Minister even now. And of course that is their quarrel. That is Mrs. Indira Gandhi, Mr. Morarji Desar and Mr. Chavan settle their business amongst themselves in their kitchen Cabinet, One tries

to put down the other. But that is their affair though we are also interested in a way as a political party. Since they are part and parcel of this Parliament and are running this Government we cannot close our eyes to all these facts.

SHRI BRAHMANANDA PANDA (Orissa): Would you like to be the umpire as suggested by Mr. Chitta Basu?

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA (Orissa): You may not be interested in the Cabinet kitchen but you have to be careful about the kitchen fire.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: Yes, licking you up or licking up this Parliament. Anyway, in this process Mr. Chavan wanted to project his image across the entire country so that his shadow spreads across the length and breadth of, what is called, India, Unfortunately it is now a black shadow a dark shadow. He has tarnished his image for ever because during the last year wherever democratic forces have tried to assert themselves Mr. Chayan, and his Home Ministry, on behalf of the Government of India, have tried to come down with a heavy hand upon them. At the same time he has not put down the divi-sive forces, the black forces, the communal forces and all that. They have been given a free hand. That is how his rule of law operates. He believes in striking down the democratic forces and, in the process, making the Centre more authoritarian. scuttle whatever democratic rights the States enjoy, make them toe the line of the Centre; otherwise the States' people are not to enjoy any rights. But when the communalists run riot, when the Shiv Senas run riot, when anti-national forces run riot, they are not curbed This is the image that Mr. Chavan has succeeded in projecting across the country. Whatever possibility there was of there was of Mr Chavan projecting a good image of an able administrator, of an honest administrator, he has finished all that possibility. The policy of looking to the interest of the people and cultivating friendship with them pursued by others has gone once and for all Let me put it clearly. So the Home

[Shri Niien Ghosh] Ministry and Mr. Chavan personally, are directly responsible for all the dark spots in the country though, I repeat, I cannot absolve the other members of the Cabinet, neither Mrs Indira Gandhi nor others, because they are collectively responsible. Their policies have generated reactionary. anti Indian, anti-national, pro-imperialist and anti-rights atmosphere in the people of the States. These people are anti all these things, and Mr. Chavan is directly responsible for all this. To all his policies there may be applause from the bourgeois papers and monopolists but he has succeeded in plunging the whole country into darkness he has managed to do.

Now, Madam, I would like to say that there can be no free and fair elections in Bengal unless Governor Dharma Vira is removed forthwith, without the least possible delay along with the coteric of officers who conspired to dabble in politics with the green signal from the Centre They are Shri Upananda Mookheriee, Inspector-General of Police, Shri M. M. Basu, Chief Secretary of the Government of West Bengal, Shri S. B. Roy, Home Secretary, Shri P. K. Sen, Police Commissioner of Calcutta, All these top echelons today are directly responsible. They conspired to sabotage the policies of the United Front Government

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFA-IRS (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHU-KLA): Is it proper, Madam, to make allegations against Officers like that?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN You cannot name them.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: He can say generally but not name them.

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN: Mr. Niren Ghosh, you can say officers in the Government It is not proper to name them.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): On a point of procedure, when was it decided not to name them? They are directly under them?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: There are rulings on that.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: I would reford one fact. Let them explain it He said that the police was alerted. It was under the signature of Mr. M M Basa when Mr Ajoy Mukherjee was still the Chief Minister. It was done without his knowledge. These officers were responsible. They did it under what provision of law? Is it not a conspiracy? What right has he to issue such orders? He is bound to obey and carry out the policy of the Cabinet He went beyond his scope, beyond the constitutional bounds and entered into a conspiracy along with the Governor, Can they explain it?

Then the Ministers slowly went out of the Writers' Building because they knew that their dismissal was coming They knew that Dr. P.C. Ghosh, that Umichand, was going to be installed. Therefore, they slowly sneaked away from the Writers' Building and found themselves in a few minutes at the Raj Bhawan Gates This is as a result of their direct part in the conspiracy And may I tell you. Madam, that Mr. Aloy Mookherjee and their group, the B.K.D. group, understood their tole This Mr. Upananda Mookherjee used to supply them with fabricated statements. Behind their back these officers used to manufacture fabricated things.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You not do not include a morall the e things.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH He used to labricate things so that he could arould his opinion. He conspired igainst these Ministers trampling uncer loot all canons of decent behaviour of an officer Mi Jyoti Basu, the then Deputy Chief Minister, once told Mr Upananda Mookheriee that though he might not agree with their views or the policies of the Cabinet, he should obey the Chair He told him that so long as they were sitting in the Chan that is they were in office, he should oncy What does it mean? It means he was not obeying, he was trying to scuttle the policies of the United Front Government, Thereforce, unless they are removed there can be no free and fair election.

Madam, I would like to tell Mi Shukla He said something But he concealed certain information charge him with the fact of conccalment of certain information from the House What did he say? He said that there were arrests under the Preventive Detention Act, the PDA during the United Front regime and also during Di P C Ghosh's and Di Chunder's regime And he gave certain figures. But when we asked him Was a single political person arrestd under the Preventive Detention Act during the United Front Regime?" he kept silent Why? Does he not know? He knows He should have given the information that during the regime of the United Front. there was no political vendetta there was no political persecution and Congress was allowed to function unhinde-

SHRI C D PANDE (Uttal Pradesh) They let loose forces of anarchy

SHRI NIREN GHOSH and not a single political person was arrested and put under preventive detention But even now more than 400 political persons are held in detention under the Preventive Detention Act They are all political persons persons belonging to trade unions, Kisan Sabhas and different political Governor parties Now as long as Dharma V ra is there and as long as this coterie of officers is there, they will be under their dictates, they are dictating in collusion with them and they are pursuing a policy of vendetta against the constituents of the United Front How can you expect iny free and fair election? You cannot expect

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN You must finish now

SHRI NIREN GHOSH Except Mr Ananthasayanam Iyengar I speak with full responsibility all the Govcinois in the States have been tools in the hinds of the Government at the Central They have behaved in a line in way and have flouted the principles of democracy. They are Congress tools They stand indicted Nobody wants them. It is a bad omen

for our country that you are utilising all those Governors as your henchmen That is the position these persons as Shri Bhupesh Gupta said are related to Charat Ram and Bharat Ram and his sister has been married to one of them and is holding shares. Is it not a fact. Madam, that Mr Biren Mukherjec, Charat Ram and Bharat Ram frequented the corridors of Parliament, met Mr Morarji Desar and Mr Chavan and tried to topple the Government' Mr. Dhaima Vira was a part and parcel of that conspiracy directly This is another fact Madam, that I want to put across Such being the position let me make it clear that unless this coterie of officers is removed there can be no tree and fair elections in West Bengal and there can be no good administration running there They are pursuing a policy of vendetta. There is violence and lathicharge daily on the workers peasants and the common people How long shall we tolerate this rule of anaithy unleashed by Mr Chavan and the Home Ministry and their stooges and henchmen? So I say that the enthe coterie of officers who are res ponsible should be removed if there is to be any honesty and integrity if there should be free and fair elections So Madam, with these words, I totally oppose this soit of procedure this anti-democratic procedure, this procedure of Congress rule by the backdoor for six months which te will never tolerate in Bengal or in any State where there is a non-Congress Government

श्री दत्ती पन्त ठेंगडी (उत्तर प्रदेश) :
उपसभापित महादया में उन बातो का
दाहराना नही चाहना हू जो कल इस सबध
म कही गर्ड थी कि जब इम तरह का कास्टीट्यशनल काइमेस वडा हो जाता है तो उस
परिस्थित म प्रेमीडट रूल लागू करना पडता
है। लेकिन में यह निवंदन करना चाहता ह
कि किन परिस्थितिया में प्रसीडट रूल लागू
किया जाय इस विषय म एक सर्वेविदित
नियम बनाना आवश्यक है और इस दृष्टि
स कास्टीट्यूशन में सुधार लान को आवश्यकता
है।

श्री दत्तो पन ठंगडी

हमारे सामन जो बिल लाया गया है उसके द्वारा पश्चिमी बगाल म राष्ट्रपति कारूल लागुहो गयाहैयाहो जायेगा। किन्तू इस समय हमे यह ग्राञ्वासन मिलना चाहिय कि ७ महीन की भविध से ज्यादा देर तक इसको लागु करने का प्रयास शासन दल की ग्रार से नहीं होगा। क्यों कि केरल के बारे में यह देखा गया था कि हर 6 महीने के बाद वहा पर राष्ट्रपति के शासन को एक्सटड किया गया और इस तरह की बात वहा के सबध में हमारे सामने ग्राई। इसिनए में निवंदन करना चाहता हू कि 6 महीने की भ्रवधि के पञ्चात पश्चिमी बगात में राष्ट्रपति का शासन लाग नही किया जाना चाहिये। यह बात हम शासन से चाहते है।

दूसरी बात में यह कहना चाहता ह कि ग्राज इलैक्शन कमिश्नर का एक बयान प्रस्तबार में निकला है, वैसे तो बगाल में भी इस तरह की बात की अफवाह फेली हुई है कि वहा पर इलैक्शन देर से हागे। इस दृष्टि से एक बहाने का उपयोग किया जा सकता है कि जो मतदाताग्रो की गूर्ची है खासकर भ्रीद्योगिक केन्द्र के मतदाताओं की जा सूची है, वह गलत हो गई है, इस नात उस सूची को रिवाइज्ड करने के बहाने से वहा पर इलैक्शन बाद को करवान की बात कही जा रही है। इस तरह की बका लोगा के मन में है। इसलिए मैं सरकार की स्रोर स स्राव्वासन चाहता ह कि इस नरह में मतदानाम्रो की भूची का बहाना नहीं । या जायगा ग्रोर वहा पर जल्द मे जल्द इलैक्शन करवाय आयेगे।

साथ ही साथ इस बिल में जो कमलटेटिव कमेटी की बात कहीं गई है उसके सबध में में यह चाहगा कि उसमें जो मेम्बरों की 40 ग्रीर 20 की संख्या दी गई है यह बहुत बड़ी मालूम देती है। दूसरी बात इसमे यह कही गई है कि इस कमेटी में जो मेम्बर रखे जायेगे उनको स्पीकर भ्रौर चेयरमैन भ्रपने डिसकेशन के

मुताबिक रखेगे। तो मेरा निवेदन यह है कि इस तरह के मेम्बरो को नियुक्त करने स पहले किसी गाइडिंग प्रिन्सिपल्स का स्थाल रखा जाएगा। ग्रगर यह बान तय हो जाती तो बहुत ग्रच्छा होता क्योंकि यह जो प्रेमीडेट रूल की अवधि है, उस कालावधि का दूरपयोग काग्रम के फवर पर किया जा सकता है। इस समय केन्द्र में काग्रेस की सरकार चल रही है और वह ग्रपना प्रभाव बढाने के लिए तथा विरोधी दलो को बदनाम करने के लिए कालावधि को ग्रपने उपयोग के लिए इस्तेमाल कर सकती है। इमलिए हम चाहते है कि इस तरह चीज न हो स्रौर उसका किसी तरह से दुरुपयोग न किया जाय। इस दृष्टि से मै समझता हू कि यह जो कसलटेटिव कमेटी बनाने की बात कही गई है उसमे पश्चिमी बगाल की असम्बली मे विभिन्न पार्टियों की जो स्थिति है उसके ग्रनुपात से, प्रपोर्शनल रिप्रेजेटेशन के ग्राधार पर कमेटी में मेम्बरों की नियुक्ति की जानी चाहिय। यह एक सुझाव मै यहा पर देना चाहता हु।

माथ ही माथ मैं यह भी कहना चाहता ह कि इस समय वहा पर जो राजनैतिक ग्रस्थिरता है भ्रौर पी० डी० ए० के भ्रन्तर्गत जिन लोगों को रखा गया है। राष्ट्रपति के शासन लागू होने पर उन लोगो को ग्रेसफ्ली छोड़ देना चाहिये। या फिर हरएक म्रादमी के केस को कोर्ट के सामने लाया जाना चाहिये। राष्ट्रपति शासन के भ्रन्तगंत किसी भादमी को बिना ट्राइल नही रखा जाना चाहिय। मै जानता ह कि सरकार यह बात कह सकती है कि कुछ दल के संबंध में उसके पास कुछ एविडेन्स हं जिसके ग्राधार पर इस तरह लोगों को पी० डी० ए० के ग्रन्तर्गत रखा जाता है। मै सरकार से यह प्रार्थना करूगा कि पी०डी० ए० के अन्तर्गत रखने के बजाय यह अच्छा होगा कि अगर किसी दल के खिलाफ इस तरह की एविडेन्स है तो उसे व्हाइट पेपर

के रूप में पालियामेंट के सामने रखा जाना चाहिये। ग्रगर सरकार इस नरह की बात करेगी ता जनता के मन में जो ग्राशका है वह भी दूर हो जायेगी। य मारे सुझाव मैं सरकार के सामने रखना चाहता हू ग्रौर ग्रगर सरकार इन मुझावों को स्वीकार करेगी तो इससे राष्ट्रपति की प्रतिप्ठा बढेगी ग्रौर ग्रगर वह ग्रस्वीकार करती है तो राष्ट्रपति की प्रतिष्ठा घटेगी। इस बात का सरकार ख्याल रखं, यही मेरी प्रार्थना है।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister

श्री राजनारायण (उत्तर प्रदेश) : माननीया, मै भी बोलना चाहता हू ।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You will have to be very brief. We have still to go through the amendments and the third reading You can have ten minutes.

श्री राजनारायण माननीया, ग्रापको पता नहीं कि मैं कितना ब्रीफ होऊगा।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yesterday. I am told—you were not in the House, Mr. Rajnarain—the House had agreed, on both sides, that this should be finished within one hour,

मै इस विधेयक का श्री राजनारायण घोर विरोध करता हु । विरोध ही नही बल्कि विरोध करता ह, करता रहगा ग्रौर हमेशा करूगा। हम किसी भी तरीके से प्रेसीडेन्ट साहब के ऊपर यह भार देने के लिए तैयार नही है कि वं पश्चिमी वगाल के सबध में कानुन बनाये। यदि इस तरह की व्यवस्था चाल कर दी गई तो फिर ससद को दफना दग। हमारे बुजर्ग मित्र श्री ग्रकबर ग्रली खा साहव मै समझता हू कि मुझ से इत्तिफाक करेगे कि यह कोई डेमोकेटिक तरीका नही है। यह जनतत्रीय तरीका नही है। इस बिल के उद्देश्य श्रीर कारणा को दखा जायता इसके उद्देश्य और कारणों में यह लिखा है कि चूकि वहा पश्चिमी वगाल मे राप्ट्रपति

शासन लागू है इस लिये राष्ट्रपति का शासन लाग होने पर वहा के कायदे कानून और कारोबार को करने के लिये पालियामेट को भ्रतिरिक्त समय देना होगा भ्रीर पालिया-मेट के किसी काम को रोक करके उसको करना होगा। मैं बहत ही ग्रवब के साथ सरकार से जानना चाहता ह कि मरकार को यह समझ-वारी पहले क्यों नहीं आई। प्रेमीडेट रूल ग्राज से वहा कायम नहीं है, प्रेमीडेट रूल कायम हये कुछ समय हो गया है। उसको महेनजर रखते हुये पालियामेंट की श्रवधि उतनी बढ़ा देनी चाहियेथी। हर बात पर भ्राज सरकार हावी होती जा रही है। प्रेसीडेट रूल के माने हैं केन्द्रीय शासन का रूल ग्रीर इसके ग्रतिरिक्त ग्रौर कोई दूसरा रूल है नही। तो जिन राज्यों में इस तरह की व्यवस्था हो रही है उन सभी राज्यों में प्रेसीडेट के नाम पर केन्द्र की काग्रेस सरकार ग्रपने हाथ मे सारी सत्ता ग्रस्तियार करती चली जा रही है, जो भी जनतन्त्र का हिमायती होगा, जिस को जनतन्त्र के घ्र, ब, स का ज्ञान होगा, मै समझता ह कि वह ऐसे घृणित प्रयास की सस्त मुखालिफत करेगा। इस लिये मै एस घृणित प्रयास, ऐसे अजनतत्रीय प्रयास और एसे सविधानघाती प्रयास का घोर विरोध करूगा। मैममझ नही पारहाह कि जो कुछ भी वहा के लिय कायदा कानून बनाना है उसके लिये यदि इस सदन की बैठक एक हफ्ना बढ जाय तो उसमे क्या दिक्कत हो जायगी। कोई कारण नहीं है कि हम प्रेमीडेट को सारे का सारा अधिकार दे दे, वे अपने लिये एक सलाहकार समिति बना ले श्रोर उस सलाहकार समिति के द्वारा पश्चिम बगाल का शासन करे। यह बिल्कुल शुद्ध रूप मे अनडेमोकेटिक है, गेरजनतत्रीय है।

इसी के साथ साथ में यह निवेदन करूगा कि जो हरियाणा के बारे में इस तरह का विधेयक आया था तो जहा तक में समझ रहा हूं कि इस सदन में एक आव्वासन दिया |श्री राजनारायण|

गया था कि भविष्य मे इस प्रकार की गलती नहीं होगी ग्रौर घर विभाग की ग्रोर से इस प्रकार का ग्राइवासन दिया गया था। हमारे मित्र घर मत्री कह सकते है जो इस समय यहा विद्यमान है कि कोई ऐसा ग्राच्वासन मही दिया गया था। मे जानता ह कि वे च्वत्री इस बात को कह सकते है, मगर जहा नक में स्मरण करता हू श्री चह्नाण साहब के मुखारविन्द से निकले हुय शब्द इस रूप मे मझे लगे थे कि यह एक मर्तवा हो रहा है ग्रौर ग्रागे इस तरह की बात नहीं की जायगी। श्राज वही जो हरियाणा के बारे मे चीज हई फिर पश्चिमी बगाल के बारे में दोहराई जा रही है, वही कुछ दिनो के बाद उत्तर प्रदेश के बारे में दोहराई जायगी ग्रौर वही कुछ दिनों के बाद दूसरे राज्यों के बारे मे दोहराई जायगी । स्नाम्बिर यह समद क्या है। चते हये लोग यहा पर आय हये ह। अगर पश्चिमी बगाल के बारे म हमको कुछ कायदे कान्त बनाने है, वहा की स्रावश्यकतास्रो की पूर्ति के लिए कुछ विधेयको की रचना करनी है तो उतन लोग बैठ करके उसको करने मे क्यों सक्षम न हो. यह प्रधिकार उनको क्यों न दिया जाय । यह अवसर वही दिमाग नही दे सकता जो जनतन्त्र छोड चुका हे, जो दिमाग तानाशाही व्यवस्था को इस देश मे प्रतिष्ठित करना चाहना है।

माननीया, एक बान में श्रीर कहना बाहता हूं, 10 श्रीर 30 का प्रतिनिधित्व यहा है, 10 लोक सभा है ते 30 राज्य सभा के। पश्चिमी बगाल में विधान सभा का जो गठन था यह सब लोग जानते हैं कि सभी विरोधी दल मिल कर के वहा काग्रेम पार्टी रे ज्यादा हान थे। यदि हमारी बात में दम है तों में नाहगा कि सरकार इस दम को एकड़े और अपनी तानाशाही मनावृत्ति को कम करने के लिय इस श्रीर प्रयत्नशील हो तो मै समझ्गा कि इस सरकार के प्रन्दर तानाशाही जो सी फीमदी हे वह 95 फीसदी या 90

फीसदी रह जायगी, यदि जितने विरोधी दल राज्य सभा श्रोर लोक सभा मे हैं उन विरोधी दलों के सदस्यों की जो सलाहकार मिर्मित वनती है राष्ट्रपति के लिय उसमे बहमत दिया जाय क्योंकि पश्चिमी बगाल में बिरोधी दलो का बहमत था स्रौर उनकी मरकार को हटा कर के वहा पर एक गड़ी सरकार बनाई गई थी, उस गुडी सरकार का भविष्य खत्म हो गया भौर भ्रब वहा पर राष्ट्रपति का शासन लाग् है। यदि सरकार इस विधेयक को पास करा कर इसको कानुन की शक्त दे दे तो भी मै चाहुगा कि सरकार इस का च्याल रखे कि किसी भी हालत मे काग्रेस पार्टी का बहुमत सलाहकार समिति मे न हो ग्रौर प**श्चिमी** बगाल के विरोधी दलो के लोगो को लेकर सलाहकार समिति बनाई जाय, यही हमारा कहना है।

माननीया, मं ग्रपने समय के <mark>ग्रन्दर बै</mark>ठ गया।

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Madam Deputy Chairman, as was said yesterday, this is more or less a consequential measure which follows the approval by this hon. House of the Presidential Proclamation.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: How is it consequential?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Because this makes certain arrangements which arise out of the Proclamation that the President issued and which this hon House approved yesterday unanimously

Madam, Mr Bhupesh Gupta while speaking repeated his earlier speech in the day and he was mostly attacking the West Bengal Governor and he forgot everything else But he made one point which I think deserves a reply. That was about the powers of the Consultative Committee Now if hon Members go through the Bill, they will see that the name is 'Consultative Committee'. It is a Committee which is to give advice to the President on the legislative business to be undertaken. There is no

question of any powers for such a Committee which is constituted only for consultations.

Now some other points have been raised by Mr. Niren Ghosh, I quite understand the ferocity of his speech because probably we will be missing him in this House for many many months together. So we should be indulgent to him and we should not mind if he even goes beyond certain limits which he normally puts on himself. (Interruption) Madam, he suggested that the Consultative Committee which is being made-the non, Member, Mr. Rajnarain, also gave this suggestion-should reflect the composition of the West Bengal Legislature, With all respect I would like to submit that this Consultative Committee is going to represent Parliament, not the West Bengal Legislature. And we are taking as much care as we can to see that the party composition in the Union Parliament is properly reflected in this Committee. We do not want to be partisan in this matter. We have laid down the standards which we have followed in earlier instances and we are following them here also.

Another suggestion that was made here was that the legislative measures should come before Parliament rather than the President enacting them for the States which are under the President's Rule, particularly West Bengal Now this is clearly inconceivable because at present this which sits for about Parliament seven months in a year cannot also undertake the legislative and financial business of the three State Legislatures and still complete its own business. Even if we sit uninterrupted for the whole year. I do not know whether we will be able to finish our work if we undertake to bring everything before Parliament. Obviously it is for physical reasons that we have to take this opportunity to make a provision of this kind so that the President's Rule can go through with the least possible delay and the hon. Members who represent the country and particularly that particular State should have an opportunity to express their views which are always taken into account.

Shri Thengari said that this Committee is unwieldy. As you might be aware, previously we had been forming committees which never exceeded 45 Members. The strength of the committees were always kept at 45, 30 from the Lok Sabha and 15 from this House but this time the party composition is such and also since West Bengal particularly—which has come unfortunately under the President's Rule and it is very unfortunate for Bengal that it has come under the President's Rule and it has happened for the first time—is a very populous State and there are a large number of representatives from Bengal in both the Houses, we thought it would be only proper to have a little bigger committee.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: If population is to be the consideration, then you would have to have double the number for U.P.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: The Member is quite right. We will have to have a bigger committee for U.P. also, there is no doubt about it but I am explaining the reason why we have exceeded the usual number of 45 and increased it by 15 Members so that all the groups in the Parliament may be properly represented there, and we can accommodate more Members from Bengal

I have touched upon all the relevant points that were made in this debate. I do not want to go into the irrelevant points which many Members, particularly Mr. Niren Ghosh, made. Here I would only request that this is not a political matter. have tried to be as objective, as nonpolitical as possible and I would request Members to give their support to this Bill which is only a temporary arrangement which has been made with all good intentions and honest intentions to serve the people of Bengal in the interim period till they have an opportunity of electing their own representatives.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Yesterday in the A.I.R. we heard that there were some meetings in Calcutta between the Chief Election Commissioner and the representatives of the parties and certain tentative decisions had

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta] been taken on the basis of near-unanimity about the time of the elections—3rd or 10th November. I would like to know whether from the Governor or the executive there the Central Government has any information and, if so, what is the position?

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: I have also seen the Press reports about it. I have not had any official information from the Election Commission but it appears that the Election Commissioner has been consulting the major political parties in Bengal and as soon as we hear from him, the date of the elections, we shall announce it.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill to confer on the President the power of the Legislature of the State of West Bengal to make laws be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3—Conferment on the President of the power of the State Legislature to make laws

SHRI CHITTA BASU (West Bengal): I beg to move:

- 1. "That at page 2, line 6, the words 'whether Parliament is or is not in session' be deleted."
- 2. "That at page 2, line 10, the words 'whenever he considers it practicable to do so' be deleted."
- 3. "That at page 2, line 11, for the words 'forty Members' the words 'forty-five members' be substituted."
- 4. "That at page 2, line 12, after the word 'Speaker' the words 'among whom shall be included all members who for the time being fill the seats allotted to the State of West Bengal in that House' be inserted."

5 "That at page 2, at the end of line 13, after the word 'Chairman' the words 'among whom shall be included all members who for the time being fill the seats allotted to the State of West Bengal in that House' be inserted."

Of course, when this Bill was introduced in the course of the inaugural remarks made by the Minister, it was said that it is merely a consequential Bill but it is not to be viewed in that literary sense of the term because, during this intervening period this Bill will have effect on the administration as well as the legislative functions of the West Bengal State as a whole. Of course, I do not like to enter into the first reading of the Bill and in this case, as a matter of principle, we are opposed to this Bill which delegates the entire legislative power to the President while the Parliament is there. I move for the deletion of the words 'whether Parliament is or is not in session'. I do not know what is the reason for the insertion of these words. Even in the body of the Bill it is stated that while any Act may be enacted by the President, that will be placed before the Parliament and we will be in a position to give our opinions. I think the Government has something in its view when they say that some Bills can be enacted even when the Parliament be in session or not. What is the extra purpose of putting in these words? Either it is bad drafting or something else. It is redundant. There is no necessity of having these few words. Therefore, I have sought the deletion of these words.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Give them the benefit of doubt.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: You can have an enactment whether we are here or not and after that that will come to us and under certain procedures, we can move amendments also. Therefore there is no necessity for these words.

Then I want the deletion of the words "whenever he considers it practicable to do so" in line 10 on page 2. I have the strongest possible objection here. The legislative function has been taken over by the President.

However big or respected he may be, he is a single individual. In this Bill there is provision for a Consultative Committee This Bill suggests that the President can enact any legislation even without consulting that Committee which the Bill proposes to set up By the dissolution of the West Bengal Assembly the people of Bengal have been deprived of an elected Government and in enacting any Bill the representatives of the people of that State have no say Even in the shape of the Consultative Committee there is some scope for discussion and giving suggestions as well as exchange of opinion among the members of the Committee but this Bill suggests that certain Bills can be made into an Act without consulting even this Committee which enjoys very limited powers, as the Minister was saying I am opposed to this very principle that you are restricting the representatives of the State in the exercise of their rights You are also preventing or limiting the rights of the Committee-if not rights at least some opportunity to give suggestions to the President in the matter of certain legislations which he proposes to enact in regard to Bengal You are giving that power to the President that he may not also consult the Committee He may consult if it is found practicable by him Under the Constitution the President acts on the advice of the Council of Ministers and as a matter of fact the Council of Ministers in this particular case wil be acting on the advice of the Home Ministry Naturally the Home Ministry, if it feels to make such a law through the President a law which may be anti-democratic which may be undemocratic, we will have to swallow it Therefore I have suggested in my amendment that, if any legislative measure has to be taken by the President ultimately on the advice of the Council of Ministers, which boils down to the advice of the Home Ministry, it has to be only after consulting the Consultative Committee which as I have stated carlier has very limited opportunity has very limited scope to tender advice Therefore it is undemocratic, even if it be for a limited period of time It to the basic principles of the Constitution to have such legislative measures even without consulting the Consultative Committee which you are going to propose by this Bill Therefore my amendment is this that the particular words whenever he considers it practicable to do so', must be deleted, because it restricts the very limited right of the Consultative Committee which has got limited opportunity to tender advice to the President in the matter of legislation Therefore it is undemocratic and the words have to be deleted

Then my third amendment seeks substitute forty five members' to torty members' and my fourth tor amendment seeks the addition of among whom shall be included all members who for the time being fill the seats allotted to the State of West Bengal in that House" after the the word 'Speaker" Madam this is a very important Clause because I want to remind you of similar legislations passed in this House, particularly the one in relation to Haiyana, which we had the occasion to pass about a month or so ago Now in this case the Government has markedly departed from the traditional method has markedly deviated from the traditional method, has abandoned even the accepted principle in the case of Haryana-at least I have not seen another Bill of this nature In that Haryana Bill it was said that the Speaker will nominate to that Consultative Committee all the Members of that House who were such members for the time being you have simply given the number I have got nothing to quarrel over the number It may be forty-five forty or even sixty I have nothing to quarrel, but what is the basic principle? What are the underlying brinciples which have prompted the Government for not having a pro-Vision of a similar nature, similar to the one that was there is still there in that Haryana Bill enacted? What is the basic principle which made Government to depart from that principle? I have got my own Madam If you permit me to say, I must say that I have got this doubt Don't take it that I am passing any insinuation Of course, I belong to a bolitical party as you do, and politics

must have something to do here I have my apprehensions and I apprehend that there is something up the sleeves of Government in this particular case Madam, I think you know that in the Houses, as the Pailiament of India is constituted today, from West Bengal there are forty Members in the Lok Sabha, and in this House there are sixteen Members from the State of West Bengal And what is the political composition of these forty Members of Lok Sabha' You will know that Congress has got only tour teen Members in the Lok Sabha while twenty-six come from the Opposition, we have twenty-six Members in the Lok Sabha representing the Opposition parties in the State The Congress has been reduced to a minority-

has only tourteen Members in the ok Sabha And even in the case of ns House, out of the total sixteen lembers the Congress has got ten, ad the Opposition six Now, after ie Members retire from this House le position will become such that om the side of the Congress four will ot be returned thereby reducing the ongress Members to six, and from ie side of the Opposition two will ot be returned thereby reducing the pposition Members to four and if ou add up these figures for this House the figures in the Lok Sabha, the osition will be that the Congress ets twenty Members, both Lok abha and Rajya Sabha, and the Oposition gets 30 Members, Madam, iy apprehension is there Had the overnment retained the previous osition, that which has been reflected in the case of the Haryana Bill, in this Consultative Committee the composition would have been thirty of the Opposition and twenty of the Congress, and the Consultative Committee would have a majority of the Opposition And only with this particular thing in view the Government has chosen not to lay down the paron the basis of ticulai principle which previous legislations of this nature in relation to other States have been enacted Therefore, I have this grave apprehension, that there are political designs behind it, that there is an attempt to have their majority in this Consultative Com-

mittee I know the limitations of the Consultative Committee, whether a majority or a minority it makes very little difference but the very approach of the Congress Party in power leads me to make this comment that they are still trying to cling to power even if they have been thrown overboard by popular verdict (Interruptions) It is a very inconvenient truth therefore you do not like to hear me Now I want to know, and I think the House has got the right to hear from the Minister what has been the basic consideration in the matter of bringing about some basic changes in the matter of principles for the formation of this Consultative Committee Why has it been done so?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN That will do now

SHRI CHITTA BASU I am not taking much time I have not taken the time to speak in the first reading stage So I am speaking now and that on the whole of my amendments This is a new thing

SHRI G H VALIMOHMED MOMIN (Gujarat) Sav something new

SHRI CHITTA BASU Therefore I teel that this piece of legislation is defective that even the limited democratic rights after the proclamation of President's rule are going to be reduced are going to be curtailed Therefore I think that these amendments ought to be given proper consideration and the House will do well if these amendments are accepted Madam, as a matter of principle I would have been glad had the Government accepted the proposal made by some hon Members in the matter of formation of this Consultative Committee based on the proportional strength of the political parties reflected in the State Legislature But I know that it will be much more in envenient to them Also I have unrested that method only because this Congress Government, on earlier occasions, had accepted that principle in the matter of the formation of that Consultative Committee

The questions were proposed

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Madam, Deputy Chairman, if the hon. Members see sub-clause (4) of clause 3 they would see in it a provision whereby the Acts which are enacted by the President would be laid on the Table of both Houses. And then the Members will have the opportunity to have their say on them. In case they are not satisfied with what the President of India has done. they have a mode through which they can have the Acts amended. So, so far as this particular thing is concerned, I think the Member, I think the hon. Members should not have any grouse that they will not have an opportunity, or that the Members who are not in the Consultative Committee will not have any opportunity of saying what they want to say about those Acts which the President enacts.

## 3 P.M.

Another point that the hon. Member raised was about the provision according to which he says laws could be enacted without even consulting the Consultative Committee. I would say that this provision has been kept only for the purpose of any emergency. We generally do not use it. We always bring measures, as far as possible, before the Consultative Committee taking advice their advise the President and he then makes the law accordingly after taking all the views expressed the Consultative Committee. This provision is kept here only in case there is an emergency and some work has got to be done immediately and there is no Legislature and Parliament also is not in session and Members cannot be collected at short notice. At such a time this provision could be used. But I would request hon. Members not to view it with any apprehension because the earlier record will show that we have not used that provision like that. Even at short notice we have been trying to get Members together get their advice and then get the President to enact the law. Of course, I cannot satisfy Members who doubt perpetually and who think that we have something up our sleeves and we want to deceive them and all that. without reference to any specific instance. I cannot really satisfy them. As I have already said, the composition of this Committee should reflect the composition of the Union Parliament and not the State Legislature because there are several parties represented in the State Legislature which are not represented here. If we take the composition of the State Legislature into account and then try to constitute the Committee here we cannot give any representation to all those parties which are there in the West Bengal Legislature but not in the Union Parliament. Apart from this argument, this Committee is one which should reflect the opinion here. It is really meant to reflect the opinion of the Union Parliament and that is why we give it a representative character as we find it in the Union Parliament. But we have no political motives in making the composition like this and so I submit that the House should reject all the amendments moved by my hon. friend.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Madam, the Minister has not told us why the Haryana principle has been abandoned in the present case.

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Madam, I do not think we have abandoned any principle.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

1. "That at page 2, line 6, the words 'whether Parliament is or is not in session' be deleted."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

2. "That at page 2. line 10, the words 'whenever he considers it practicable to do so be deleted."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

3. "That at page 2, line 11. for the words 'forty members' the words 'forty-five members' be substituted."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

Legislature

4. "That at page 2, line 12, after the word 'Speaker' the words among whom shall be included all members who for the time being fill the seats allotted to the State of West Bengal in that House' be inserted."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

5. "That at page 2. at the end of line 13, after the word 'Chairman' the words 'among whom shall be included all members who for the time being fill the seats allotted to the State of West Bengal in that House' be inserted."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That clause 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

## SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA:

Madam, I move :

"That the Bill be passed." The question was proposed.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I have only a request to make. I do not want to make a speech. One point I want to make now because I may not get a chance later. The Indian Statistical Institute at Calcutta-a very unexciting subject-is under the Central Government and I understand from the representations made here to the Central Government and to the Prime Minister in particular who is in charge of this portfolio, that the Government is thinking removing the Technical Wing of the N.S.S. to Delhi and is also disbanding that organisation there which would mean the removal of those workers from about 25 centres all over the country and the whole scheme is based on computerization of the work which they want to start now in Delhi. Madam, this has created a very great feeling among the

scientific workers. They feel that they are going to be retrenched. Indeed, many will be. In any case they will have to leave Calcutta now and go to various centres. Apart from that the Technical Wing will be brought here. Madam Deputy Chairman, in this connection I would also like to say that if this is done then the Indian Statistical Institute also will have to be changed when the Technical Wing of the N.S.S. is removed from Calcutta and brought to Delhi, for the purpose of organising the N.S.S. But I would say in this way it would be disorganised. So I would request the Government to look into this matter. This is Centrally managed and it is a Central Government institute in the sense that the Central Government helps it and the Central Government will be responsible for the other consequences of the step which they now propose to take. Therefore, I would request the Government not to proceed with the plan and not to disorganise the N.S.S. Let the N.S.S. remain where it is and let them not introduce computerization at this stage. Quite apart from other things, scientific workers are concerned and this is not the way of workers. The treating scientific N.S.S. has done a good job and it is connected with one of the wellknown institutes in the country, namely, the Indian Statistical Institute.

SHRI CHITTA BASU : Madam, regarding the N.S.S. and the point raised by my hon, friend Shri Bhupesh Gupta, I would say that in the matter of the Indian Statistical Institute the Government had set up a committee and that committee has submitted its report suggesting that the National Sample Survey should be reorganised on the basis of the introduction of computerization. That, I submit, Madam, will result in the unemployment of some 700 persons and the displacement of a large number of employees now working in Calcutta in the Indian Statistical Institute. So it would be the duty of the Government of India to see that in the name of bringing in efficiency, in the administration of the Indian Statistical Institute and in the name of increasing the efficiency of the N.S.S. about a thousand employees are not thrown out of employment and disbursed throughout the country in these hard days, and the Government should not proceed with this matter

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA: Madam, we will look into this

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed" The motion was adopted.

RESOLUTION RE PROCLAMATION UNDER ARTICLE 356 OF THE CONSTITUTION IN RELATION TO THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now we pass on to the next item—Resolution

SHRI OM MEHTA (Jammu and Kashmir) Madam, before the hon Minister moves the motion may I request that the Resolution and the Bill relating to Uttar Pradesh may be taken up together?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal) : No, no

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. That will depend on the consensus and desire of the House

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. It is quite possible that I may want to support the Proclamation and oppose the Bill of this kind. One is different from the other. One is an arrangement and the other is a big political question. It does not matter if they are taken up separately. We will finish the work in the same time.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: May I remind hon Members that they should not repeat the same arguments in their speeches

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA You see me every day. Madam, we do repeat in life. What else is life without repetition Madam.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN Yesterday we took them separately and today I am willing to take them up L/S78RSS—6(a)

separately provided we finish them by five o'clock. Now let us start.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA) Madam, I beg to move:

That this House approves the Proclamation issued by the President on the 25th February, 1968, under article 356 of the Constitution in relation to the State of Uttar Pradesh."

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Say Proclamation on the advice of Mi C B Gupta

SHRI CHANDRA SHEKHAR (Uttal Pladesh) A Ploclamation caused by the work of all the Opposition parties, because of the sabotage brought about by Comiade Gupta and his party and not by Mr. C B Gupta

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA. Madam though it may be futile and useless but I would appeal to hon Members not to bring in politics into this matter

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Whv' SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA. I mean party politics I say this because this is a matter which is not liked by any of us I do not think hon Members on that side like it any better than we on this side. Here the situation that was brought about in Uttar Pradesh makes very painful leading. It is a painful story in Uttar Pradesh and I do not want to repeat that story here in detail Still I think I will have to trace the events which led to this tragic state of affairs in the biggest. State of the country, namely. U.P.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I hope it will not be politics

SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA. Well, it will not be politics I will only say what you have been saying about your other friends and what those friends have been saying about you I shall only give the opinion that you have about each other.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA · Then I will want to say what opinion we have about you