1968-69

[श्री बिद्या चरण शुक्ल ] 1951 के प्रधीन बनाए गए विनियमों को संसद के समक्ष रखे जाने तथा तत्संसत्क कतिपय धन्य विषयों का उपबन्ध करने वाले विघेयक को पुर:स्थापित करने की अनुमति दी जाये।

The question was put and motion was adopted.

श्री विद्याचरण शुक्लः मैं विधेयक को पुरःस्थापित करता हं।

THE BUDGET (GENERAL 1968-69—contd

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We go on to the General Budget, Dr. Salig Ram, you get ten minutes to finish your speech.

डा 0 शालिग्राम (हिमाचल प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदय, कल मैं रेलवे कर्म-चारियों के मुताल्लिक बता रहा था कि किस तरह से वह श्रपने काम में ढील करते हैं भौर उनकी ढील का एक कारण यह भी बन गया है कि जहां पहले रेलवे कर्मचारियों को गाड़ियों को ठीक वक्त पर पहुंचाने पर कुछ रिवार्ड दिया जाता था, कुछ इनाम दिया जाता था, कुछ पैसा दिया जाता था कि वह ठीक वक्त पर गाड़ियों को पहुंचा रहे हैं वहां भ्रब उसके बरखिलाफ यह प्रया चल रही है कि उनको भ्रोवर टाइम चार्जेज मिलते हैं भौर श्रोवरटाइम चार्जेज मिलने की वजह से उनकी जो काम करने की सला-हियत है वह कम होती जा रही है और गाड़ियां ज्यादा से ज्यादा लेट होने लगी हैं। मैं भ्रपने यहां की कांगड़ा वैली रेलवे है, जो कि एक बहुत छोटा सा ट्रैक है, उसकी मिसाल देता हूं कि उसको वहां भ्राठ घंटे में ग्रपने मंजिलेमकसूद पर पहुंचना चाहिये लेकिन उसको बीस बीस घंटे लेट कर के मंजिलेमकसूद पर पहुंचाते हैं, इससे जो स्रोवर-ढाइम चार्ज किया जाता है उसका तो नुक्सान

रेलवे को पहुंचता ही है बल्कि साथ जो कोल का ज्यादा कंजम्पशन होता है ग्रीर जो उसका वीयर एंड टीयर भी ज्यादा होता है। श्रीर जो ट्रैक भी रुका रहता है जिससे कि दूसरी बाकी पैसेंजर ट्रेंन्स भी लेट होती हैं, वह सब नुक्सान ही होता है तो इस तरह से जो स्रोवरटाइम की प्रथा है वह दूसरे महकमों में भी चल पड़ी है और इससे भी कर्मचारियों का जो दिन भर काम करने का वक्त होता है वह काफी हाउसेज घौर रेस्ट्रम में बीतता है या दूसरी जगहों पर बातें करने में गुजरता रहता है श्रौर जब काम एरियर्स में पड़ जाता है तो एक दिन भ्राखीर में बैठकर, भ्रोवरटाइम ले कर दो तीन दिन का भ्रोवर-टाइम लेकर उस काम को करते हैं। तो इससे उनके काम की सलाहियत श्रोर भी कम होती जाती है और काम बढ़ता जा रहा है, काम के एरियर्स बढ़ते जा रहे हैं। महोदया, भ्रापके जरिये तो उपसभापति मेरी यह दरस्वास्त है कि इस तरह की प्रथा को हटा कर उनको ग्रच्छा काम करने के लिये प्रोत्साहन दिया जाना चाहिये। जो लोग ग्रच्छे ढंग से काम करें उन्हें कूछ न कूछ रिवार्ड दिया जाय । मैं हैरान हं कि जब उनके रिवार्ड का नम्बर भाता है तो वहां भी एक किस्म का फस्ट्रेशन है सी भ्रार भीर ए भ्रार, कैरेक्टर रोल भौर एनुम्रल रिपोर्ट पर यह मुनहसिर करता है। जब एनुग्रल रिपोर्ट या कैरेक्टर रोल लिखा जाता है तो उस वक्त को कर्मचारी देखते रहते हें, साल भर वह काम नहीं करते ग्रौर जब एनुग्रल रिपोर्ट या कैरेक्टर रोल में एंट्री का नम्बर भ्राता है तो जो उनके बासेज होते हैं उनके बंगलों का चक्कर लगाना वह शुरू करते हैं और जो कोई भ्रच्छा दिन भाता है जैसे कि दीवाली या किसमस तो गिपट्स की शक्ल में बड़े बड़े थाल मिठाइयां श्रौर फलों से भरे हये कुछ पैसे भी रख कर के उन बासेज के हवाले करते हैं ताकि उनको

एक्सेलेट रिमार्कस मिल जाय ग्रौर उनके दूसरे कार्य हो जाय। इसके ग्रलावा ग्रब एक ग्रौर भी ऐसी रीति चल चुकी है कि शिष्टाचार से भी गिरने की बाते मुनने मे ग्रा रही है जिसे कि इंसानियत एलाऊ नहीं करती । तो इन बातो की स्रोर मै स्रापका ध्यान स्राकर्षित करता हूं भ्रौर यह सजेशन देता हू कि इन लोगों के एनुम्रल रिपोर्ट या कैरेक्टर रोल के लिखने के वक्त जहा अच्छे रिमार्क्म दिये जांय वहां उनके साथ साथ एक कालम यह भी बनाया जाय कि क्या काम इनके सुपुद किया गया था, कौन कौन सी ड्यूटी इनके जिम्मे थी ग्रौर किस किस काम को उन्होंने ग्रच्छी तरह से निभाया है श्रौर किस को ठीक नही किया है ताकि बाद में पता लग सके कि जिनको म्राउटस्टेडिंग दिया गया है, जिनको एक्सेलंट दिया गया है, जिनको गुड दिया गया है उनके हक में क्या बात है स्रौर जिनको बिलो एवरेज दिया जाता है उनके बरखिलाफ कौन सी चीज़ है ताकि जब वह अपील करे तो इसका फैसला हो सके, वर्ना डी पी. सी. के सामने जब एक्सेलेट का रिमार्क जाता है तो वह ग्रादमी ग्रागे चला जाता है भ्रौर दूसरे श्रादमी को पीछे रखा जाता है, इस तरह से जो ईमानदार काम करने वाले है, जो परिश्रम कर के सारे के सारे साल मेहनत करके काम करने वाले कर्मचारी है, वे पिछड़ जाते है भौर उनका कैरियर बिगड़ जाता है ग्रीर जो काम नहीं करने वाले हैं, महज खुशामदी है, किसी तरह मे रिश्वत देते हैं या दूसरे भ्रष्टाचार के काम करते हैं उन लोगों को ग्रच्छा रिमार्क दिया जाता है और डी. पी. सी. भी उनको प्रोमोट कर देती है।

ग्रब मै ग्रापके जिरये इस संसद के माननीय सदस्यों का ध्यान इस भ्रोर दिलाता हू कि पहले तो कहा जाता था कि हमारे यहा ड्राट है लेकिन ग्रब तो ड्राट पूरा खत्म हो गया है, इस साल मानमून ग्रच्छी हुई है ग्रौर विटर रेन ग्राज तक हो रही है ग्रौर ज्यादा L/P(D)75RSS—4

फसल हुई है, तो मैं समझता हूं कि बाहर से मंगाने वाला जो ग्रनाज है उस में कमी कर के जो बचन हो उसको कृषि विभाग दे दिया जाय ताकि इससे इरिगेशन की श्रौर दूसरे ढग की कोशिश हो सके स्रौर कोशिशों में ज्यादा मदद दी जा सके श्रीर माइनर इरिगेशन वगैरह का काम किया जा मके । मैं आपको उस इलाके की बाबत बताता ह जिस इलाके में न पहले यह काम हुआ था और न अब यह काम हुआ। हमारा वह इलाका है जहां से पानी वह कर स्राता है श्रौर सारे उत्तर भारत को पानी मिलता है, उस पानीसे वह सिचाई का लाभ उठाता है श्रौर पीने के लिये भी पानी मिलता है लेकिन हमारे यहा न तो पीने का पानी श्रौर न सिचाई के लिये पानी है क्योंकि वह पहाड़ है भ्रौर पहाड़ों में जो बारिश होती है वह बह कर सब कुछ नीचे ले जाती है श्रौर साथ में सब कुछ ले आती है, हमारी जमीन में जो खाद डाली जाती है वह भी बारिशों के साथ बह कर चली आया करती है। पहाड से निकलने वाले तमाम नाले ग्रौर निदया ज्यादा रफ्तार में बहती है श्रौर उनकी बेड्स ज्यादा नीचे को जा रही है ग्रौर ग्राज सैंकड़ो नही हजारो फिट की गहराई पर वे नाले बह रहे हैं ग्रौर हमारी जमीन की मनह ऊपर उठ गई है ग्रौर उस सतह तक वह पानी नही पहुंच सकता है । अब हम उनमे पूछते है, अपने कर्मचारियो से, कि भाई यह क्या बात है, हमारी कब बारी भ्रायेगी, इरिगेशन की, क्योंकि हमारी बहुत सारी भूमि बगैर इरिगेशन के है। तो हमें जबाब देते है कि माइनर इरिगेशन के तैहत 14 लाख रु० के नीचे वाले जो काम होते है वह होते है स्रौर 14 लाख के ऊपर के काम हम माइनर इरिगेशन मे नही लेते । वह मेजर हो जाते है। उसके लिये केन्द्रीय सरकार इजाजन देती है है कि यह जो मेयार मकर्रर किया यह मैदानी

1968-69

## डा० शालिग्राम ]

Budget (General)

इलाकों के लिये लागू हो सकता है, यह मेयार पहाडी रीजन के लिये नही दिया गया जहां मैदानों की निस्बत काम करने के, इरीगेशन वर्गरह के लिये, चार या 6 या दस गुना तक लागत ग्राती है क्योंकि वहा ग्राने जानेके साधन है नही, सामान नही पहुंच सकता है, पक्के पहाड़ों की खुदाई में ज्यादा खर्च होता है। इस तरह से वहा दम गुना ज्यादा खर्चा करना पड़ता है। इसलिये उपसभापति महोदया, मैं ग्रापके जरिये मंत्री जी का ध्यान इस तरफ दिलाना चाहता हूं कि इस मेयार को हमारे लिये बढ़ा दिया जाय, क्योंकि तब तक वह मेयार कायम है उस वक्त तक हमारे यहां कोई माइनर इरिगेशन का काम नही हो सकेगा । सभी नदी नाले वहां से निकलते है, मील मील के बाद नदी नाले निकले है जहां से पानी बहकर मैदानों में भ्राता है श्रौर मैदानी इलाके की भूमि को सीचता है, लेकिन हमारी जमीन वैसी की वैसी सूखी ही रह जाती है, हम ग्रासमान की तरफ देखते है। हमारा किसान बड़ी भेहनत करता है, परिश्रम करता है, बीज डालता है, खाद डालता है, सब कुछ करता है लेकिन एक दिन बारिश होती है तो दूसरे दिन खेत खुरक हो जाता है, क्योंकि पथरीली जमीन है, पक्की चट्टाने है, ज्यादा देर तक पानी नही रहता । तो जब तक माइनर इरिगेशन की स्कीमें न चालू की जाये तब तक हमारे यहां खेती को ग्रच्छी करने का किसी तरह इमकान नही है । यह देश का वह हिस्सा है जिसने डोगरा जवान फौज में दिय है, जिसके बहादुर लोग पिछले दो काँपिलक्ट मे सबसे ज्यादा बिलदान हुए, वह देश की रक्षा के लिए शहीद हुए, लेकिन भ्राज वह दाने दाने के लिये मोहताज है। पिछली दफा जब ये फूड जोन्स बना दिये गये थे उस समय हमारे यहा के लोग दो दो, तीन तीन दिन भूखे रहे लेकिन फिर भी

उन्होंने कोई शोर व शराबा नही किया श्रौर न कोई विध्वंसकारी काम किया । श्रीर किमी जगह ऐसा होता तो वहा विध्वंस-कारी कार्रवाइयां की जाती लेकिन वह समझते है कि देश की रक्षा के लिये मरना हमा**रा** फर्ज है ग्रौर देश के ग्रन्दर शाति बनाए रखना हमारा फर्ज है । इसलिए उन्होंने इस तरफ कोई ध्यान न देते हुए ग्रपने पेट पर पत्थर रखकर भी दिन काट लिये, जुल्म व सितम सह लिए । भ्रव जब कि फसल अच्छी हुई है तो हमारा विश्वास है कि तमाम जोनों को तोड़ दिया जायेगा, फूड पर से कन्ट्रोल हटा दिया जायेगा । मरहम श्री रफी ग्रहमद किदवाई ने यही किया था। तब भी ग्रावाज उठी कि डीकन्ट्रोल नही होना चाहिये, बहुत मुखालिफत हुई उसकी, लेकिन उन्होंने एक नहीं मानी श्रौर कन्ट्रोल उठा दिया । उस वक्त हमने देखा वह चावल जो हमारे वहां 25 रु० मन बिकता था वह 12 रु० मन बिकने लगा, जिस खाड का भाव एक रु० सेर था वह 12 ग्राने सेर मिलने लगी । इस तरीके का कन्ट्रोल उन लोगों के लिये माफिक स्राता है जो "बैरियर्म" पर बैठ हुए ट्रको को पास करते है और सिविल सप्लाई वालो के लिये माफिक हैं यह न तो प्रोड्यूसर के लिये फायदेमंद है **ऋौर न कन्ज्यूमर के लिये फायदेमंद है**। श्रगर इन कन्ट्रोल्स को हटा दिया जाय तो मैं समझता हू कि सबके लिये मुफीद होगा ग्रौर ग्रगर कहीं थोड़ा कमी रहती है तो उस कमी को जो हमारे पास बफर स्टाक मौजूद है उससे पूरा किया जा सकता है, यह नहीं कि बम्बई का गेहूं हमारे कॉगड़े मे बिके ग्रौर पजाब का गेह बम्बई जाकर बिके।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please wind up.

डा॰ शालिग्राम : उपसभापति महोदया, मैं दो मिनट ग्रौर लूंगा । ग्रब मैं उस इलाके की बाबत कह रहा हूं जो भारतवर्ष [21 MAR. 1968]

5721

में सबसे पिछड़ा हुम्रा इलाका है पहाड़ी रीजन का । हमारे इन हिमालय के दामन में वसने वाले लोगों ने इन्डस्ट्रौ की शक्ल तक नहीं देखी, छोटी इंडस्ट्री तक की शक्ल नहीं देखी बड़ी इन्डस्ट्री की तो बात ही नहीं। कई सालों से हमारी केन्द्रीय सरकार ने वायदा कर रखा है सीमेन्ट के कारखाने लगाने का, लाइम स्टोन वहां मौजूद है, सब कुछ है, सरवे होते कई साल हो गये, उसके लिये लैन्ड मार्क कर दी गई है लेकिन ग्राज तक सीमेंट का भी कोई कारखाना नहीं लगा । भ्रब जो पूछा तो जवाब मिलता है कि यहां बड़ी रेलवे लाइनें नही है। बड़ी रेलवे लाइने बनाना सरकार का काम है। छोटी रेलवे लाइनें इसलिए बनाई थी, कि जोगेन्द्र नगर पावर हाउस के लिए सामान ले जाना था । तो हम अपनी उस इन्डस्ट्री मे भी ग्राज तक महरूम रहे। फिर कागज के कारखाने बनाने का एक सवाल उठा, उसका भी जवाब मिला कि जिन लोगों से, पंजाब वालों से हमने यह ठेका कर रखा था, मुझे पता नहीं वह केन्द्रीय सरकार का था, लेकिन उन्होंने जवाब दिया कि थापर कम्पनी से हमने फैसला किया था लेकिन वह कहते है कि हम पहाड़ों में इन्डस्टी नहीं बना सकते गर्ज यह कि हर काम हर माल पंजाब के कारखानों में बने स्रौर हम वहां भुखे तड़पते रहे ।

इसी तरह से हमारे ऊपर एक नया जुल्म हुम्रा है कि 70,000 के करीब पौंग डाम की जद में भ्राने वाले लोग बेवतन हो रहे हैं, ग्रौर हिन्द्स्तान का 1894 का एक बोसीदा लैन्ड एक्विजिशन ऐक्ट बना हम्रा है और उस पर ग्रभी तक ग्रमल दरामद होता ग्रा रहा है। इस लैन्ड के तहन नोटि-फिकेशन हो चुका है भ्रौर नोटिफिकेशन हुआ 1961 में । अब हमे कहते है कि 1961 के हिसाब से ग्रापको मुग्रावजा मिलेगा। जब कि दुनिया ग्राजकल तरक्की L/P(D)75RSS-4a

कर रही है, हर तरफ तरक्की हो रही है, खेती बाड़ी बढ़ी है, लोगों ने मेहनत की है सब कुछ किया है, लेकिन इसके बावजूद 1961 का हमें मुम्रावजा मिलेगा, यह हमारे साथ एक भ्रन्याय है, इस देश के साथ भ्रन्याय है। मेरी आप से प्रार्थना है कि इस एक्ट को खत्म किया जावे श्रौर मार्किट वेल्य दिलाई जावे। मैं चाहता हुं हमारे वित्त मंत्री महोदय जो उप प्रधान मंत्री भी है, वे हमारे यहां **प्रा**एं, उन्होंने वादा कर रखा है कि जुन में उस इलाके में जायेगे, तो वह जाकर देखेंगे श्रीर महसूस करेंगे कि हमारे साथ किस तरह का ग्रन्याय हो रहा है।

इसी तरह से मैं भ्रप्रिकलचर मिनिस्टर साहब को भी कहुंगा क्योंकि हमारे लोगों का मुख्य धंघा ही कृषि है । सबके सब लोग हमारे यहां कृषक हैं, किसान हैं, लेकिन खेती के काम के लिये कोई सुविधाएं नहीं ह। सभी लोग भूमि में खेती बाड़ी करने वाले हैं, उसी में हमारा गुजारा है । तो ऋग्नि-कलचर मिनिस्टर साहब भी वहां जायें, डा० के० एल० राव साहब ने भी वायदा किया हम्रा है, ताकि उन्हें पता चले कि हमारी समस्याएं क्या हैं । हमारे रिसार्सेज तो बहत हैं, वाटर पोटेन्शियल भी है, जगह जगह पानी के स्रोत्र है, उनका उपयोग लेकर हम ग्रपनी खेती बढ़ा सकते हैं।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now you must wind up.

DR. SALIGRAM: Thank you.

THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER MINISTER OF FINANCE ANDMORARJI R. DESAI): I have been hearing with (SHRI Madam. great interest and attention various criticisms offered on the Budget for the last three or four days. And I must thank all the Members who took part in this debate for the interest that they have shown in the Budget proposals for the appreciation that has been offered and also for the criticism, even if I do not

[Shri Molarji R Desai] consider much of it justified because one does learn a lot from criticism that is made, and criticism made from different points of view

The Budget has been prepared and placed before the hon House in difficult circumstances, as is known to everybody I need not, therefore, go into those circumstances. We are suffering from two contradictory conditions in this country for the last two or three years lecession in our industries and inflation of prices These are both very contradictory conditions And when one takes measures to fight one these measures are contrary to the measures which are required to fight the other One has, therefore, to balance both and see that both the conditions are improved so that we can go faster ahead in order to develop programmes in future which have become sluggish for the last two or three years

There has been a criticism from an ideological point of view in some quarters, from practical points of view from some other quarters. I must say that I have not considered ideological grounds alone in making this Budget because that would not be a safe way of dealing with such a difficult problem nor an efficient way of doing it

Ideologically it is possible some may call it reactionary and others may call it radical and yet nobody will be satisfied because it is not possible, under the circumstances that are obtaining in this country, to make an ideological budget by any stretch of imagination from any ideological point of view

It has been described as a status quo Budget also I have no quarrel with it It requires more capacity, more efficiency and even more careful consideration to maintain status quo when everything is sliding down and if at that time young people in a hurry try to deal with it they will only commit suicide and prolong life Therefore should have greater consideration in this matter and not go merely by ideological grounds If a person is ill for a long time and wants to be a wrestler immediately I think he will die He will not become even healthy, let alone be strong enough to wrestle This is what is forgotten by some of my triends I do not say that they are criticising in order to criticise us out of any motive which are not friendly But ultimately when this Budget has got to be criticised or appreciated one has got to do it in an objective manner considering all the circumstances which are prevail-The problem therefore, before me and the Government has been how to fight the recession, that is remove recessionaly conditions, and how to bring down the prices to a proper level so that conditions of life become better and more satisfying and take away the harassing thoughts w hich overcome practically people because high prices affect everybody whether one is rich or poor Of course the poor are harassed far more But the rich feel greater harassment because they have got greater care of money than the poor and therefore they feel even more harassed I do not have much sympathy myself because accumulation of money is not a very happy trait in human nature and yet it is there But for the bulk of the people these are the conditions which are very harassing and we have got to see that these conditions are improved

Prices have got to be brought down to a proper level But it is not easily done as is easily thought or easily said Prices have risen mostly because of food prices rising in this country in the last two or three years, more than at any time in the past In a developing country as I said some years ago prices do rise to some extent as conditions improve But that is a gradual rise which is absorbed in the process of development and does not create any difficult conditions for any sections of the people But these prices have usen abnormally and have created very difficult living conditions for many people in this country This happened because two years have been very bad years for the agricultural conditions of this country such as were not seen in the last hundred years People are also forgetting that it is not only these two years which have been so bad but out of six years, after the second Five Year Plan, five years have been poor agriculturally At the end of the second Five Year Plan we did produce what we had targeted for; we did produce 82 million tonnes of food when we had targeted for 81 million tonnes. Therefore, the production was as we had planned. People forgot these and criticised that our Plans were not fulfilled in this matter. That is not a correct statement of facts if facts are taken into consideration. The critics have seldom, specially critics who criticise in order to criticise from an ideological point of view, any care for

After that, for three years continuously we had just about or less production than in the last year of the second Five Year Plan; it was less by 1.5 to 2 million tonnes in two years. Then in the fourth year we had 89 million tonnes of food which was 7 million tonnes more than in the last year of the Second Five Year Plan. But that was immediately followed by two years of very low agricultural production because of severe famine conditions in Bihar and U.P. and several other States but on a smaller scale than in Bihar and U.P., and we "lost" 30 million tonnes of food in these two years which is forgotten.

Madam, when people say that we have harmed the agricultural economy of this country they are forgetting that the import of P.L 480 foodgrains has not been a bar to agricultural production here because agricultural production has gone on increasing in this country from year to year except in these five years out of the last six years because of weather conditions and not because of any default on the part of agriculturists or the Government. If it is argued that Government could have done more than it had done in the past in the agricultural field, that argument can certainly be made. But even there it should be seen whether in the circumstances in which we have been working it was possible to do more than what we have done. It is not merely investment of funds which will give us production as some agricultural people seem to think. Agriculture is the concern of more than 60 million families in this country and they

are all individual cultivators and agriculturists. They are all free to do what they want, and if they are not free to do then agriculture will not even go ahead. It has been the experience even in the Communist countries where collective farming is there and individual freedom of the agriculturist has gone that agriculture has not improved. In Russia after 50 years they have not become completely self-sufficient even though that country is seven times our size and their population is half of our population.

SHRI C. D. PANDE (Uttar Pradesh): Less than half.

AN HON MEMBER. One-third.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: Not one-third. It is less than half. One may call it 2/5ths. But in Yugoslavia where they have adopted this method, they have gone ahead and they have made agricultural production better. Therefore, in this country we have got to consider how to make the 60 million families work in a manner which will raise our agricultural production twice or thrice or four times of what we are producing to-day. This will be obvious from the fact that our rice production is 800 to 900 lbs. on an average from the whole country. In Japan it is 4,000 lbs. on an average, and we have land of better quality than in Japan and also our agriculturists work harder, and yet we have lapsed into bad forms of cultivation on account of some centuries of foreign domination in this country and neglect and bad treatment of the actual agriculturist population. We have, therefore, during the last 17 or 18 years taken all measures in order to make our land policy more realistic and rational and tried to see that the agriculturists come into their own and are enthused to produce the maximum that the land is capable of It can be said that the measures that we have taken are not enough. But is it realised that in a free country as this is, with demo-cratic methods, it is not possible to go with a steam-roller to make everything smooth? We have got to see that people are enabled to adjust themselves. And that is what is being

[Shri Morarji R. Desai] done. In several areas in this country, in most of the States, land reforms have been introduced and things are proceeding further, and I think within a few years we will be able to have satisfactory land conditions throughout the country. can be made faster, but then it depends upon our capacities also; and the capacities also cannot be increased overnight simply because one asks for it. Yes, one can ask for anything, speak as best as one wants to do and that, too only in invectives, not in philosophy, not on good things of life. That requires a great amount of training for several years before one can learn it. But the other thing-to abuse-one can learn five minutes from anybody. Therefore, these are all areas where some time does elapse before we can have the results that we want to have. Yet, we must not be complacent because of these factors. We must, therefore, constantly go on goading ourselves to faster and faster action and greater and greater dynamism, and from that point of view I value the criticism that is offered, even if it is impatient, because that will not allow the Government to rest on its oars, because there is much more to be done than what has been done. we must realise that we are producing more in agricultural production than what we have done before. Instead of 55 million tonnes of food which we were producing 15 years ago, to-day we will be producing 95 million tonnes of food. It is not all absorbed by the population increase. The population increase has been 25 or 30 per cent. The increase in food production has been 80 per cent. Therefore, it is no use saying that all of it has been absorbed by the population increase. Yet, why are you in the necessity of importing, this is a question that is asked. We must realise that we were not consuming all that a human being has to consume because the conditions were very low. We forget the conditions in which we were in 1946 and 1947 when became free. There were millions of people in this country living inside forest areas and hilly areas who did not get any foodgrains for four to six months in a years. They lived only on herbs and

roots. That was the condition when we became free. All these people are now getting cereals and foodgrains. There is not one man who is not getting it. Yet, I cannot say that all of them are getting all that they require. We have not certainly come to that condition. But to say that in the last 20 years, we have not done anything, that in the last 20 years, things have not changed at all, that we have not made any progress, is only to apply blinkers on one's eyes and to put, I think, acid in one's ears. That is all it means. It does not mean anything else. One has to see the evidence of one's eyes and if you do that, you will see that there has been progress in all directions. Yes, one can say, that the progress is not enough, that it is not satisfactory. That I can understand. But when one says that we have not advanced I am afraid that criticism will not be helpful at all because it will not enable the person who has got to do the work to have a proper sense of proportion. It is, therefore, that I beg of my friends to be more objective and more realistic in this criticism; then it will be more effective. I am quite sure that the purpose of the criticism that is made is to have better conditions, better efforts and better progress. But if people are trying to do their level best and if that only meets with complete condemnation and no appreciation whatsoever, then it will not be possible for those who are working to put greater effort in the task in which they are engaged. This is also a factor which all of us, whatever may be our political views, have got to take into consideration, if all of us have the interests of the country at heart. It is no use trying to make somebody run when he is already running. If you make a horse run faster than it is capable of doing and go on whipping it, the horse will fall down dead, it will not draw the carriage further. This is an experience of life which everybody has. We should not forget it. One applies it to oneself, but one does not want to apply it to others. This is not a realistic way of approaching it.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA (Orissa): We have to change the horses,

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: Nobody objects to the desire of people to go to heaven. But they must go to heaven themselves. I cannot send them to heaven. I cannot oblige my hon. friends to get them yoked to the carriage if they have not the capacity to do so. Therefore, it is for them to go. If I have stood in the way then they can object to this Government's doing so. On the contrary, it is to the credit of that it has always Government afforded the fullest opportunity to my hon. friends opposite to defect us and this is what has been proved in the last elections. Nobody can deny it. But if they are not capable of delivering the goods, why blame us? . . .

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS (Orissa): You are not allowing.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: Who is not allowing?

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS: It is the Congress Party.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: The Congress Party can be abused and finished. If that makes my hon. friends better, I am prepared to get out. But that will not make them better. On the contrary, it will make them more useless. It is only the Congress which has given this sense to my hon. friends. It is the Congress which has given . . .

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS: That was a different Congress.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: . . . training to all these people who are renegades.

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS: That was a different Congress.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI. They are different. The Congress is the same. That is the difficulty. It is to the credit of the vitality of the Congress that it supports all kinds of people and considers them very friendly. It is they who do not consider us friendly. We consider them friendly. I consider them all as my brothers. I consider them all as my brothers brother. I am not bothered, because how can I give up my brothers? They may give me up. That does not mean anything to me. But I am certainly bound to point out to them as a brother

"Please have some more sense." If you do not want to have all right. But if you want to destroy me, destroy me; but do not destroy yourself. That much I am entitled to tell you. I do not want to destroy you at all. On the contrary, he would be a perverse man who wants to destroy his own fellow-beings. The Congress can never do it. Therefore, this is a matter where there should be common cause. Let democratic pro-cesses function. Here also, if democratic functioning is not being taken to who is responsible for it? said that in Bihar or in Bengal or in Punjab, things have broken down. Who is responsible for it? It is my friends in the Opposition who have no regard for the Constitution, who are encouraging all kinds of disruptive tendencies, who are making Speakers do things which they should never do, which no civilised country has ever seen. And they are the people who say that the Constitution is not being considered by this Government!

SHRI SUNDAR SINGH BHAN-DARI (Rajasthan): You check your Governors,

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI: The Governors have functioned far better than my hon, friends. They are working under the most difficult circumstances. They do not want anv Gover-I know that. They want anarchy. But anarchy is not a thing which will do them any good. They require even more Governors than there are at present. That is the difficulty. That is where they ought to have more wisdom in this matter. They should be helped about it. Otherwise, they run amuck. What else is happening? Who destroyed their Ministries? Not the Congress. Why are they falling out amongst themselves? It is because they differ amongst themselves far more than they differ with us. As a matter of fact, we are the cementing force for them. If the cementing force disappears, they will all fall apart and will go into the dustbin. Nothing else will happen. Therefore, this is a matter which ought to be considered. Do not try to hurt yourselves that way. Be strong but

5732

[Shri Morarji R Desai]

enable yourselves to be to ashes and to reduced dust That is all that I want to plead with my hon friends Therefore, Madam, let this Budget be considered from this point of view What have we done? Deficit financing is objected to Well. I am also not at all happy with deficit financing I said that last year, when I said last year that I do not want to indulge in deficit financing, I am told, today 'You made a promise, you have broken that promise" I do not know whether they have any value for words I had said in this very House in August that I could not guarantee that there would not be deficit financing at the end of the year, conditions are difficult, I am trying to see that there will not be deficit financing but I am not able to say that at the end of the year there will not be In spite of saying all that, if people say I had promised I can only say that they do not understand what the meaning of the word 'promise' is or perhaps they are only attributing this to me So, there is no justification for saying that kind of things Today if I have come forward with deficit financing, I had to do so because, if I did not do so, our economy would have gone backwards If you take away Rs 300 crores from this Budget, then so many functions will not be performed and, therefore, the economy will slump further and we cannot have more development But we have to have development to some extent and therefore we have to keep up that tempo and we cannot lessen this expenditure

Then it is argued that there can be savings by having economies in our expenditure Year in and year out this has been repeated But my hon friends refuse to understand what is non-Plan expenditure what is nondevelopmental expenditure, what is Plan expenditure what is contained in it They still go on arguing as if non-Plan expenditure is something redundant, non-developmental expenditure is something redundant and that it can be removed as one likes, the Plan expenditure is only developmental expenditure which is taken in the Plan but the rest of the expenditure which is continuing expenditure becomes non-Plan expenditure How can everything go? And the biggest items in this expenditure are Defence, Police Education and all the running expenditure which is there Now the interest charges are to be paid How can you lessen that? Of course, one hon Member says "Disown debts" Well, it may be their life, it is not our life, it is not the life of this country to disown debts I can understand an argument "Do not make debts" But when they say "Disown debts to America or to England", do they also mean that I should disown debts to Russia? There they will say "Have more debts from Russia" That they will never mind What sort of objectives or standards they have I do not know Therefore, Madam, there is no question of disowning debts by this country Even if the whole world disowns, this country will not disown That I think is a thing of which we can always be proud If my hon friends do not want to be proud of the country, it is their business, but I certainly cannot subscribe to that kind of theory Therefore that cannot be reduced

Now there remains only administrative expenditure which one can reduce Well, there also one cannot reduce in a manner where you will say that two-thirds or one-third or half of the establishment will be put on the streets My hon friends will shout louder than anybody else if I try to do that In the present conditions where unemployment becomes a major problem I cannot increase unemployment That also has to be considered Then again it cannot be done by fits and starts, it has to be done on a scientific basis so that the work is done better after retrenchment than before Therefore it has got to be studied and it is being studied by the ARC and as soon as we receive those proposals, we will certainly set ourselves to that task and economies will come about But how many crores of rupees can be saved that way? One can save at the most Rs 15 or 20 crores How will that resolve my difficulties in the Budget? That is what I want to understand

Then the Defence budget attracts the attention of many of my friends

Well, the Defence budget has increased and everybody has asked for increasing it; everybody wants that Defence should be strengthened. Even if they do not want it. I want that the defence of this country must have first priority above everything else, because unless we exist and exist freely, what is the good of saying that we can improve? A dead man has no use for anything, and that will be the condition of this country. Therefore there cannot be any question of lessening our Defence expenditure. It can be argued that we can economise consistently with our defence capacity remaining intact or making it better. That is constantly being looked into. Last year also we had economised in certain spheres. We had certainly saved a few crores of rupees, but they have again been utilised for strengthening our Defence. That is not seen by my hon, friends. Therefore they do not know that this expenditure has been economised. We have done that also in civil expenditure, civil administration, but the Administration goes on expanding from day to day and there are expansions in every area and we have got to do it, because we are not dealing with a static community, we are dealing with an expanding community, a dynamic community; we are dealing with dynamic problems. Therefore we have got to go on having more and more activity everyday. Yet we say that the Administration should remain static and should remain confined to few numbers. I think that is not a realistic presentation of facts. Therefore whatever economies are made they are absorbed. For example, in my Ministry we have saved several items of expenditure and yet we have had some now items of expenditure where we have utilised them. We have not had new staff. So, we have done that. Therefore no economy will be seen in the Budget itself because we have utilised that. This is how these things are being done. We are going to have surplus staff in Excise because we are making new arrangements for Excise. I am taking away the Excise staff from individual units. That staff will be utilised for something else for the present; the rest will go away as people retire. But I cannot imme-

diately put them on the streets. Therefore it cannot be seen immediately but in course of time, in the process of doing all these things this all will be effective. That is how things are done. Therefore, there is not that much scope for retrenchment or saving any money as is argued by several hon, friends. Then what else is left?

Then it is said that there should be massive mobilisation of resources. It is a very good phrase no doubt. But how is that massive idea to be brought into effect, in what field? How is that mobilisation to be done? That also ought to be pointed out. When I have brought in some measures only for about Rs. 65 crores, one hon. Member argues for one item, another for another item, the third for a third item and like that if you take away all the items, then all should go. So how is this mobilisation to be done? The conditions today are such that we cannot have taxation which will disrupt our economy further. Psychologically or otherwise, therefore, there is no scope. There is no scope this year for having more taxation than what I have already this inflicted on country Therefore if we have to see that our development programme goes on to the minimum extent that is absolutely necessary, that agricultural production should go up, that our education should not suffer, that social services should not go down, then deficit financing was the only course. When prices are going down because of good season—well, it is said that prices are not going down in some quarters. That is also true, because ration prices have not gone down. But the other market prices are going down. They will have an effect also on rationing in course of time as things go by but we have seen that the index has gone down that way, by 10 per cent. and therefore, on the whole, they have come down. Therefore, if they come down precipitously, that also will be a difficult matter. That also cannot be allowed to be done because, then agriculture will suffer instead of going ahead and this also has got to be seen. Therefore, deficit financing, under these conditions, is not going to lead to inflation [Shri Morarii R Desai]

of prices but will be helpful in breaking the fall in prices so that it does not harm us but it helps even the going down of prices This is how deficit financing has been considered advisable this year If I take to defi-cit financing, then I am told that I have gone back on my promise. When I say. I do not want to have deficit financing'; my friend says. 'No no. deficit financing should be indulged in' When it is taken to, then it is said it is not for this or that This will always happen There will be always two or three theories in economic matters and therefore one cannot reconcile all of them but what I am trying to do is to benefit by all of them and take the best of them wherever I can Then it was said that I am trying to satisfy all the parties and therefore, I have satisfied none Please do not think that I am trying to satisfy anybody or flatter anybody I have never tried to do that What I am trying to satisfy is satisfying the needs of the situation to make the economy better Beyond that I am trying to satisfy nobody and I am quite sure that at the end of it, when the results are seen, the people will be satisfied and on the whole therefore, I am happy that the Budget has been received fairly well, as well as it could be received under these conditions and as well as any Budget can be received No budget can be praised by everybody, because then it ceases to be a budget It is bound to be criticised in some items or the other and therefore to say that this Budget is no budget at all would be entirely wrong It is a budget, no-body can deny It may not be satis-factory but it is a budget How can anybody deny it? This is the kind of criticism that one hears Well, it is all right It is also an education for them and it is a good thing they say that because that shows the hollowness of the criticism

Then I would go on to certain measures of taxation which have been criticised The greatest criticism has been offered to the rise in the postal rates I cannot say, and I have not said, though it has been tried to be alleged, that it does not affect the poor at all It does affect the poor

because the poor will have to more for the post cards that they write I only said that the poor are using it less than the others That is all that I said and it will affect therefore mostly the other people but it will affect the poor to some extent which they will have to put up with This is a service which we are getting and the service must pay for itself We cannot go on every year suffering losses for the services that we are giving to the people and if we want to do that, then taxation will have to be from other quarters that will have to be found The P & T Department cannot go on suffering losses from year to year and we have to make it up

1968 69

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Were you losing on the whole on the Department?

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI: We shall be losing Rs 23 crores next year.

SHRIMATI SHAKUNTALA PARANJPYE (Nominated): On post card itself it is making some profit

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI: On post card we are losing more than Rs 7 crores Even now, after raising the rates, we will be losing something I am not going to make up the whole of it and I will take out from telephones and other things but not from post card itself On the whole we have just increased the rates in such a manner that the loss from the P&T Department is made up and no profit is made That is how the rates have been increased and there has to be a relation between post card, inland letter and the full letter and this is how the whole thing has been maintained If one raises from six paise, one has to raise it to ten, otherwise it does not become the metric system Then the metric system goes away It goes wrong How long are we going to go on with the old system? The metric system has got to be brought in fully and at some stage it has to come in

SHRI SUNDER SINGH BHAN-DARI: You could have reduced it to five paise

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI: Even there, the expenditure on post card 5737

is nearly thirteen paise, not ten paise. Therefore it is still within that. The metric system is very relevant. My friend seems to think that figures have no meaning. Then there will be no budget. You will not be able to understand the Budget if the figures are not all right and easily understood. The metric system enables the people to understand it much more and therefore the metric system has got to be made a reality and we cannot go on into a mixture all the while. Therefore six paise had to be taken to ten paise.

SHRI M. N. KAUL (Nominated): A great Chancellor referring to the decimals once said: 'What are these damn dots?'

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: Well, Chancellors have got to say things as suit the occasion. Whatever I say today may not be applicable to what I say next year. That is always possible because the circumstances will be different and considerations will be different. The arguments will be the same. There will be permutations and combinations. That is all that will happen but the facts go on changing and therefore the remedies go on changing but the language cannot go on expanding every time. Therefore the language has a limited use and one has to give the same arguments sometimes suiting one and sometimes suiting the other. I have frankly to admit it.

That the most curious argument that I heard was about chocolates that I am depriving the children of chocolates. How am I depriving the children of their chocolates? I am only putting more burden on the fathers who want to give them chocolates. Let them do so. They can afford it. Which poor people are giving chocolates to their children, I would like to know. I do not think and if these people want to have it, they will have it but let them pay somewhat more for it what is wrong any poor person has ever had himself any chocolates, much less the child with it? On the contrary this will take the burden on to the rich who will pay for the poor. Lemon drops are talked about. Lemon drops produced by the unorganised sector are

not taxed at all. What is taxed is the organised sector that prepares this by power on a larger scale and not the small people who prepare at home. They are not being taxed at all. Therefore there should be no feeling in this matter that I am depriving children of anything. Of course this is a very easy argument to appeal to me that I am annoying children also and there are people who have made children write to me to some extent but there have been only a dozen children and no more who have written to me. They have all come from a class which uses this and not from a class which does not use it. Therefore this also a matter where there is no question of hurting the poor people. Yes, in the matter of post cards I said it does hurt the poor to some extent but I cannot afford to take it away because of the consideration which I have put before hon. Members.

1968-69

Then it was said that the rich have become richer, though the poor have not become poorer. That was said by Shri Shah, Does it mean that development means that all those who are in good condition will remain at a standstill until all other come up? How is that possible? If we want to increase the prosperity of the country which can be then shared by everybody, more money will have to be raised. Who will raise this money? Those who are capable of raising it will raise. We can take it from them but it has to be raised and it can be raised only if they share a little of it and if they are deprived of it all, why should they work and why should they raise it? It is said that it is only the working classes who produce everything. True, the workers are the backbone of the whole thing but the backbone cannot remain in isolation in a body. If the ribs, legs, hands and the mind go away, then the backbone only will be left and will be burnt; nothing else will happen. Therefore the workers also have to be supported by others. And if those others do not support, then what will happen? That is what has to be considered. If, suppose, there are a strong person and a weak person, and they both strive to become

[Shri Morarji R. Desai] stronger, the strong person has to help the weak person to become strong. But if the strong person becomes weaker, how will he help the weak? If both work together, if both take the exercises together, the strong will become stronger and the weak also will become stronger not as But a strong as the other man, time will come when the strong beyond become stronger cannot certain limit, and the weak will go on becoming stronger and stronger till he overtakes the other person. That is how we can equalise, but we cannot chop off the heads of some so that others may have more heads. That is not possible. You cannot weaken somebody in order to Yes. you strengthen somebody the take advantage οť strength of another man, and that is what we are trying to do. If out of an income of Rs. 20 lakhs I take Rs 17 lakhs, does it mean that I am taking less? If you take more than that, then he will not earn more than that—why has he to exert himself?
And is it argued that only labour counts and brains do not count at all, or knowledge does not count at all, or technique does not count at all? All these count, more than even labour. Labour is very vital and essential and it must be cared for; it must be given its full due, even a little more than its due; I agree to that. But to say that alone should remain and others should disappear is something extraordinary. Then even the labour will disappear first of all; it wan't even survive. 1. P.M.

NIREN GHOSH (West SHRI Bengal): Labour can become the owner.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: If my hon, friend thinks that his hands and feet can govern his mind, he is very much mistaken. But that is what is happening here. He uses his hands or his feet mucr more than his mind. He uses his tongue even much more than his mind. That is quite true. But then what a spectacle it makes? It makes a different kind of spectacle. Therefore all have to be used in their proper sense of proportion; they can-not be used in other senses of proportion. Lungs are not the only thing.

Lungs have to be used properly; otherwise they destroy the body. That also has got to be borne in mind. Mind has greater relevance in this matter.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: It is only because of that you have risen from a mere Deputy Collector to the position of the Deputy Prime Minister.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: Well. I was a Deputy Collector, but my hon, friend forgets that before I was a Deputy Collector I was even a pauper That is no shame for me. If my hon friend was something else he is welcome to it. This is how he values labour. This is how he values my position. As the hon Member has become a Member of Parliament. therefore he has not become an angel. That also has got to be realised. Therefore to be a Deputy Collector was no shame for me, and if my hon. friend has only this cheap argument to give against me, it only shows how much he has been impoverished in his arguments. This is all that I can say. Why should one want to enter into an argument over this? There is a Sanskrit saying:

''यदा यदा म्ंचिति वाक्य वाक्य वाणम् तदा तदा प्रयच्छिति कुल प्रमाणम् "

I do not want to say - क्ल प्रमाणम् I say

पक्ष प्रमाणम because it is according

to that that the language is used. Then let it be used. Why have I got to say anything else? He is welcome to be honest in things he believes in. But why should he not grant that I am also honest in what I believe?

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: No body disputes your honesty, that you honestly believe in serving the monopolists, that you are influenced by them.

## (Interruptions)

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: The only difference between the two honesties is this that my definition of truth is one, their definition of truth is another.

SHRI C. D. PANDE: They have no truth at all.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: I believe in truth, in what is fact, in what 5741

exists in what is right, what is correct His definition of truth is whatever suits him is truth, whatever serves his purpose is truth

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal). Whatever Shri Atulya Ghosh tells you is truth

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please allow him to proceed

SHRI CD PANDE. Please do not disturb him

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI. My hon friend, when he shouts, he is justified If I shout, then he says I am rowdy

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. No. no. who says?

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI This all that he will say But this is not the way to judge matters Let there be equal standards applied to everything This is what I believe in, and this is what my hon friend does not believe in What is the definition of people? People are those who are with them Those who are not with them are enemies of the people This is how they argue It is we who are stupid if we are taken in by their honesty This is all that we have got to consider.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH The entire country now knows who are with the people.

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI: Now it is said. Why are we not making progress and why are we coming to this grief? We are making progress Why are we not making quicker progress? Why all these different kinds of unseemly things which are seen in this country and what comes in the way of production also? These are all things which are a result of the violence in the air, which is fostered by my hon friends, some of them Constantly they go on doing it, not only outside, but even in the House That is what is being done

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA Mr. Gill is your man

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI. Yes, yes, I know, he was first your bed mate He was in the Ministry with you.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: And you cannot control his violence

MORARJI R DESAI: Let my friend not forget what he is capable of before telling me anything. He alone has a right to throw a stone. He is completely innocent, and my hon friend cannot consider that he is in the wrong I have never claimed innocence myself, but he is trying to This is my worry claim innocence and this is what he ought not to have This is all that I plead with him. I am not pleading anything else with him I am not considering myself a better man than he, but he considers himself a better man than I Anyway I have no quarrel in the matter

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I consider my philosophy better, the philosophy of communism

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI: My hon friend hides all the philosophy in his ear phones so that nothing like philosophy comes in, and he is not to hear the voice of others He only makes others hear his voice

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Even so I have made no impression on you.

SHRI MORARJI R DESAI: I know what impression he makes on me, and he also knows what impression I make on him He says he runs away when I come to speak I do not know why he came in just now. Perhaps he has forgotten The other day he had said that I come in when he is speaking, and he goes away when I speak.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: On a of personal explanation, Madam I am guilty I have committed a misadventure. Having entered I find Mr Morarii Desai is speaking I am so shocked that I cannot even leave now

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI If my hon friend had not the gift of the gab he would not be where he is. Theretore he can always use words as he likes, but he cannot now get over a sentence with which he tried to produce an effect on that day, namely that I come in when he is speaking I am therefore attracted towards him, which he cannot forget He himself admits that I have a feeling for him. particularly good.

[Shri Morarji R. Desai] and he himself admitted that when I speak he goes away, but now I am happy that I have excited some feeling in him that he has come into hear me, and I think this is a very pleasant thing that this debate has done,

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: If you are provoking me to go away, I shall do so.

SHRI MORARJI R. DESAI: I only hope that the shock from which my hon. friend suffers just now will last for ever.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned till 2 P.M.

The House then adjourned for lunch at ten minutes past one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at two of the clock, The VICE-CHAIR-MAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA) in the Chair.

THE APPROPRIATION (VOTE ON ACCOUNT) BILL, 1968
THE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1968
THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JAGANNATH PAHADIA): Sir, I beg

"That the Bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of a part of the financial year 1968-69, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): You can move the other Bill also and speak on that also.

SHRI JAGANNATH PAHADIA: With your permission, Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1967-68. as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

Sir, this Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1968 arises out of a sum of Rs. 736.85 crores voted by the Lok Sabha on the 14th March, 1968, in accordance with the provisions of article 116 of the Constitution and Rs. 2,203.90 crores in respect of expenditure "Charged" on the Consolidated Fund of India as shown in the 'Vote on Account' Statement which has already been circulated to hon. Members. As the House is aware, it is usual to seek approval of Parliament for two months' supply pending the completion of the procedure for the Voting of the Demands for Grants for the whole year and the passing of the connected Appropriation Bill. provision indicated in the Appropriation Bill broadly represents one-sixth of the whole year's gross requirements as provided for in the Demands for Grants except in a few cases Where the expenditure is not uniformly spread over the year and larger provision is required to meet the likely payments during the months of April and May. The items where larger provision is required have been detailed along with the explanations for the additional requirements in the Introductory Note to the Statement of "Vote on Account". However, I shall mention only the requirement under Repayment of Debt because a larger amount is involved.

Repayment of Debt relates to "Discharge of Treasury Bills". These Bills are issued in favour of State Governments, Banks and other parties as short term borrowings by Government and in the form of ad hocs in favour of the Reserve Bank of India for augmenting Government's cash balance from time to time. These bills have a maturity of 91 days and unless discharged on maturity are notionally shown as repaid and simultaneously re-invested. An "On Account" provision of Rs. 1,750 crores has been sought for discharge of Treasury Bills issued in the months of January-February, 1968. The balance of Rs. 158 crores is for discharge of 33 per cent National Plan Bonds (Fifth Series) 1968, maturing on 12th May, 1968 and for expired rupee loans included Five Year Interest-free Prize Bonds.