Shri S. S. been received from Vasan: -

"Under article 101(4) of Constitution, I humbly request I may be granted leave of absence * * becaue of my illness."

Is it the pleasure of the House that permission be granted to Shri S. S. Vasan for remaining absent from all meetings of the House during the current session?

No Hon. Member dissented.

Permission to remain absent is granted.

RE AWARD OF KUTCH TRIBUNAL

श्रो राजनारावर्ग (उत्तर प्रदेश) : श्रीमान्, मझे एक निवेदन करना है । आज यहां प्रधान मंत्री जी थीं । ग्रखबार में श्री एस०एन०डिवेदी जी का बयान ग्राया है कि प्रधान मत्री जी ने गलतवयानी की है यह कह कर के कि प्रसोपा कच्छ के सबंध में जो पंचनिर्णय विगैरह है उस पर उनके साथ है। श्री एप ०एन० द्विवेटी ने प्रधान मंत्री के बयान का खंडन करते हए यह कहा है कि जब यह मामला पंचितिर्णय के लिये जा रहा था तब भी हम इसके विरोध में थे ग्रौर इस समय भी हम पंचनिर्णय मानने के विरुद्ध हैं। तो मैं चाहंगा कि प्रधान मंत्री जी की गलतवयानी पर उनके विरूद विशेषाधिकार अवहेलना का प्रश्न उटाने की अनमति दी जाय।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Her attention will be drawn to it. Now we take up the Motion of Thanks on the President's Address. Kaul.

MOTION OF THANKS ON THE PRESIDENT'S ADDRESS—continued

SHRI M. N. KAUL (Nominated): Mr. Chairman, Sir. I address myself this morning to one aspect of our

232 RSD.—4

foreign policy, namely, Vietnam. The President in his Address has stated that the most dangerous of the sources of conflict and tension lie in Vietnam and West Asia. He said: "Government are convinced that the tragic conflict in Vietnam can only be resolved by political and not by military means. Government have, therefore, consistently appealed for an unconditional stoppage of the bombing of North Vietnam as the first step towards a peaceful settlement." Now, my submission, Sir, is that this phrase "stoppage of bombing of North

[THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair.]

Vietnam" has created a great deal of confusion. In the past when Prime I Minister Nehru addressed this House I and the other House on international questions, the phrase that he u?ed and the policy that he advocated in areas where tension was prevailing and where war-like steps were being taken, was that there should be a "cease-fire." The correct aproach to the problem would have been for the Government of India to have stated that there should be an immediate cease-fire and that it should be followed up by a conference of all the parties concerned in this matter.

Having made my position clear on that point, I wish to draw your attention to pertain remarks made by U.S. Defence Secretary, Mr. McNamara before the Senate Committee in Washington, a report of which appeared in "The Times", of London recently. He stated:

"The facts that there are few really important targets left un-bombed in North Vietnam and that the country's economy is largely agrarian make is unlikely that any increase^ bombing could cEuse North Vietnam to collapse.'

Then, he goes on to say,

"The American bombing of the North has so far put out of action

[Shri M. N. Kaul]

about 70 per cent of the country's electricity generating capacity; most of the large oil depots have been destroyed, but imported diesel generators are providing all essen tial electrical services and the Vietnamese have been able meet minimum fuel needs.....

Most, if not all, of the industrial output lost through the American bombing has been replaced by imports from Russia and China"

Those who have watched the trends of developing opinion in America are now quite clear that there is almost a universal feeling in that country that it was a mistake on the part of the American Government to have landed troops in Vietnam and fought land battles with Asian peoples. That mistake is admitted. But the tragedy is that no steps are taken to correct that first mistake. Instead, the old policy of bombing North Vietnam out of existence is being pursued more vigorously than ever before. In this connection, 1 would like to point out that the United States has not calculated the historical traditions of the Vietnamese people. They have been an unbeatable race, as it were. Neither China nor America can suppress them. By their recent offensive, they have shown that they could overnight, as it were, attack as many as 39 provincial capitals and more than 70 district capitals in the entire region of South Vietnam. Now it is clear that there is no question of defeating the Vietnamese. I would like to use the word "Vietnamese", and not North Vietnamese", because I consider that it is an issue between the people of Vietnam as a whole and the United States. The Americans are now clearly admitting that it is impossible to defeat them in the conventional sense. You may resort to unlimited use of American resources and defeat them in the long run in those areas which they have now occupied. But they will revert

to the jungles and from there they will fight to the last man. That point has now been driven home completely in America but still they are going on with their old policy. I read in the papers this morning that President Johnson was in consultation with the ex-President, Mr. Eisenhower, for over six hours but they saw no solution. If you analyse the American opinion, they are almost unanimous in their own minds, whether clearly expressed or not, that somehow they should get out of this trouble spot. The only thing that is holding up immediate action is the question of prestige, that the American prestige will fall, that it will be said all over the world "Here is a small country like Vietnam that could withstand the entire might of America." I suggest that our Government should take up this matter and pursue it more vigorously than has been done hitherto, if not on military grounds, at least on humanitarian grounds, because it is a tragic phenomenon. Whom are they defending? Are they defending Asians or are they containing China? If they are containing China, that will not prove a good proposition, if they succeed in destroying a bulk of the Vietnamese people by prolonging this war, because I feel that the Vietnamese people themselves are a bulwark against the expansion of China in this region. The time has now come when you should unite Vietnam, come to the conference table and settle the issue and give up all false notions of prestige. I recall here the words which Mr. Churchill used when he was the Prime Minister in peacetime at the time of the Egyptian crisis. There was a great feeling in the Conservative Party that Churchill was taking a soft line in regard to the then situation in Egypt. Churchill came to the House and defended his action and in that statement he used a famous expression when he said "You cannot support prestige by folly". I think that clearly applies to the American approach today. It is clear that they

are lost, as it were, in the jungles of Vietnam and they see their folly but are unable to correct the tragic situation because their prestige comes in the way. Surely the American prestige is not such a brittle phenomenon that it will vanish into thin air if they take a correct line on Vietnam. I recall that I was in England as a student at time when the Irish the negotiations were going on. De Valera at that time said that he will not negotiate on any basis except that Ireland should be a Republic. George replied that he was not prepared to negotiate on any basis except that Ireland should be a Dominion. There was no settlement on the basis of negotiations. Ultimately De Valera used a phrase which has become famous in diplomatic history. He "Our respective positions have been said stated and understood. We are coming to London." The respective positions of America, of Vietnam and of all the parties are on record. There is no question of laying down any preconditions. The issue is that there should be immediately a conference without conditions of any kind whatsoever and the entire issue should be hammered out at that conference. The issues can be hammered out provided the Americans do convey their decision to Vietnam that they will by arrangement withdraw their troops from Vietnam. That assurance should be given. I for a moment suggest that not Americans should march out of Vietnam one fine morning. What I suggest is that they should meet at a conference table and a phased programme of the withdrawal Of American troops should be drawn up and the entire Vietnam as was envisaged by the Geneva Agreement of 1954 should be reunited under a common leader.

I feel this is perhaps the last experiment that a European or an American power will land troops in Asia. Mr. Eden tried that in 1956 when he landed in conjunction with France troops in Egypt and that was as it were the last adventure of the

British Empire. Similarly, I feel that having regard to their experience, as we watch the American public opinion, at no time in future will they evei: land American troops and go into battle with an Asian country. That policy, whoever thought of it, was a tragic policy, it has vielded no dividends and America is in deep waters over the whole matter. Therefore we should use our best efforts in whatever way it is possible to impress upon the American Government the sheer necessity because of the situation that has now arisen to come to terms with the Vietnamese people. Now the Americans themselves admit that such a defeat as they have suffered in various areas in South Vietnam would not have been at all possible if the sympathy of the people of South Vietnam had been with the American point of view. It is now apparent that the police, the civilian population and other elements help in various ways the forces from North Vietnam, Vietcong or whatever you may call them. We have got to look at it from that point of view that this mess has to be cleared up and the is; iues should not be confused by various arguments which have no relevance, for instance, by remaining in Vietnam the Americans are containing China. That I think has now been proved to be a fallacious argument. I am not suggesting for a moment that they should discard their theory quickly of their presence in the waters of the Pacific and other positions that they occupy. All that I say is that presence in certain strategic areas is one thing and direct intervention by foreign troops and American troops in an Asjjan country is something which has miserably failed. Except troops from South Korea and some Australian troops and some token forces from New Zealand, there are no other troops from any country who are fighting with the Americans. Madam, I repeat that the sole issue now after all these military conflicts and all kinds of conflicts has boiled

down to the issue of prestige. In order to buttress prestige all kinds of arguments are being advanced. A policy has failed. They should clearly confess that it has failed and it is no use pouring limitless resources into Vietnam. Look at it. What is the result? All kinds of complications have arisen for America in the economic field because large resources are being blown up. They are not, therefore, able to aid the impoverished regions of the earth. This Vietnam war has not only bad consequences for Vietnam. (It is having consequence;, all over the world, for Asian, African and other developing countries, because the American resources are being consumed in warlike endeavours when these resources could have been utilised for giving constructive .lid to Asian, African and other countries. I feel that whatever little prestige, if at all, Amercia will lose in coming to terms with Vietnam, they will gain greater prestige and glory in the years to come, if they decide to stop this war and the resources thus saved are utilised for developing the other regions of the world. (Thank you.

श्री महाबीर बास (बिहार): माननीया मैं सगौरव श्री राम निवास मिर्घा के धन्यवाद प्रस्ताव का समर्थन करता हं । राष्ट्रपति महोदय ने दिनांक 12 फरवरी 1968 को संसद के दोनों सदनों की संयक्त बैटक में जो भाषण दिया वह सारगणित और सराहनीय तथा लाभकारी है। साथ साथ मैं इस अवसर पर भक्ष्प ग्रादि तथा बिहार की रेल दुईंटना में पीडित, व्यक्तियों के प्रति संवेदना प्रगट करते हुए दूख अनुभव भरता हं इस अवसर पर मैं मध्य प्रदेश में हुई उस दुर्घटना को नहीं भूल सकता जिसमें हरिजन और सवर्णों के बीच दंगों में हरिजनों की क्षति हुई है ग्रीर हरिजन मारे गये हैं । मध्य प्रदेश में संश्वत सरकार के आने के तुरन्त बाद नी जो दुर्घटना हई है, जो समान्धिक सत्याचार हरिजनों

पर किया गया है, उनको जिस तरह से भारा गया है उसके उपचार के लिए यह किया गया है कि हरिजन-स्वर्ण दंगों के लिए न्यायाधीश नियक्त कर दिया गया है। ग्ररे, न्यायाधोश के पास जाने के लिए हरिजन के पास पैसे कहां ? वह तो थाने तक नहीं पहुंच सकता । न्यायाधीं में समक्ष उतनी चीजों को जमा करना, उतने रूपये को खर्च करना उनके लिए संभव कहां ?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You can continue after lunch. The House stands adjourned till 2 P.M.

> The House then adjourned for lunch at one of the clocl.

The House reassembled after lunch at two of the clock. The DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair.

श्री भहाबीर द स : भाननीया उपसभापति महोदया, मैं कह रहा था कि प्रदेश में जो हरिजन-सवर्ण दंगा हन्ना है, उसके तथ्यों में मैं नहीं जाना चाहता वयोंकि न्यायाधीश की नियक्ति हो गई है, विन्तु मैं सिर्फ यह बताना चाहता हूं कि सभी ग्रभी जो संयक्त दल की सरकार बनी उससे वहां की माइनारिटीज को, ग्रल्पसंख्यको को, एकदम ग्रसहायता मालुम पड़ती है, उन्हें मालम पहता है कि वे असुरक्षित हो गये हैं। तभी इस प्रकार का दंगा हुआ है श्रीर हरिजनों को तबाही हुई है। न्यायाधीश की नियक्ति का अवसर जो हरिजनों को मिला है उसका कारण यह है कि उ हें डर हो गया है, भय हो गया है कि सरकार का जो वर्तमान एडमिनिस्ट्टिव सेट्यप है, थाना वगैरह है, उसमें उनकी सुनवाई नहीं होगी ग्रीर इस लिए उन्होंने िमांड किया कि न्यायाधीक नियक्त हो ।

श्री कें लीं बघेल (मध्य प्रदेश) : क्या आपको यह मालुम है कि न्यायाधीश की जांच की भांग हरिजन भाइयों की ग्रोर से की गई थी जिसे मध्य प्रदेश सरकार ने तत-परतापूर्वक स्वीकार किया है ?

श्री महाबोर दास : वस्तर में ग्रादि-वासी हैं, मैं तो हरिजनों की बात कह रहा हं। वह तो राजनोतिक बात थी, उसको ले कर हम कुछ नहीं कह रहे हैं, हम तो सोशल बात कह रहे हैं। मैं शिफं इतना ही अनरोब करना चाहता हं कि न्यायाधीश की नियक्ति की हरिजनों ने किन परिस्थितियों में मांग की। वह ग्रसहाय थे। उन गरीबों के पास पैसा नहीं, सहारा नहीं, बद्धि नहीं । तो उनके लिये सरकार क्या भदद कर रही है। क्या उनको वकील दे रही है क्या उनको धन की मदद दे रहो है। क्या हर तरह की चीजों की मदद पहुंचा रही है। ताकि हरिजनों ने जो मांग को है उसको पूरी तरह से सावित कर सके ग्रीर कोर्ट के समक्ष ग्रपनी सारी बातें रख सकें। वस में इस सम्बन्ध में इतना ही कहना चाहता हं । साथ ही साथ यह अवश्य कह देना चाहता हूं कि वहां के हरिजन असहाय अवस्था में भा गये हैं। आप आदिवासियों की बात कहते हैं मैं तो यह कहना चाहता हूं कि हरजिनों को जितनी डिसएबि-लिटीज है उतनी ग्रादिवासियों को नहीं हैं, ग्रादिवासि अञ्च नहीं समझे जाते हैं, ग्रादिवासी से छग्राछ्त नहीं मानते, ग्रादि-वासी से मंदिर-प्रवेश को नहीं रोकते । यह फर्क आप अच्छी तरह से समझ लें। वास्तव में हरिजनों के प्रति ग्रापका ध्यान क्यों आकर्षित कर रहा हुं । इसलिये कि

त ही ग्रसहाय ग्रवस्था में हैं। तो मैं निवेदन करूगा कि इस ब्रोर ध्वान दें कि वह धन के ग्रमाव में, बुद्धिमान ग्रीर श्रच्छे वकील के श्रभाव में, कहीं श्रपना केस न रख सकें ग्रीर उनका केस कहीं हार में न बदल जाय । उनका नुकसान जान-माल का हुआ और कहीं फिर उनको हार का मुंह

न देखना पड़े । तो, उपसभापति महोदया, इस असहाय अवस्था में पड़े हरिजनों के लिये क्या कुछ ग्रायिक मदद की व्यवस्था होगी।

यह तो एक मध्य प्रदेश का उदाहरण है। मेरे बिहार की ग्रोर चलिये। बिहार में यनाइटेड फंट की गवर्नमेंट हुई तो क्या हुआ । पहले कांग्रेस अवर्नमेंट में दो मंत्री हरिजनों के रहते थे और एक कैबिनेट मंत्रो ग्रवण्य ही हरिजन होता था लेकिन जब यह सर्वदलीय सरकार, संयक्त-दल की सरकार, बनी तो बिहार से हरिजन कैबिनेट मिनिस्टर गायब । कोई हरिजन कैबिनेट मिनिस्टर के योग्य नहीं रह गया। या तो वहां ग्रयोग्यों को टोली थी या हरिजनों को उन्होंने दतकार कर नकाल दिया, उन्हें यह मौका नहीं दिया कि सर्वेदलीय सरकार बना रहे हैं तो जब कांग्रेस रिजीम में कैबिनेट में एक स्थान हरिजन को मिलता रहा है तो कम से कम एक स्थान लो उसे कैबिनेट में दें। वह भी नहीं दे सकते हैं। तो ये दो उदाहरण ग्रापके सामने बताना चाहता हं जिससे कि यह पता चल जाये कि ऐती सरकार में हरिजन अपने को असहाय समझते हैं।

माननीया उपसभापति महोदया, अब में इस ग्रोर ग्रापका ध्यान ग्राकवित करना चाहता हं कि इन दिनों भारत के नागरिक तेजी से वदलते हये दीर से मजर रहे हैं और विश्व की परिस्थिति भी नाजक दौर में है। मैं राष्ट्रपति महोदय की इस भावना का पुणे ग्रादर करता हं कि महत्वपूर्ण राष्ट्रीय सम-स्याग्रों को दलगत राजनीति से ऊनर रखा जाना चाहिये । मेरी आशा है कि सरकार राष्ट्रीय हित और महत्व के प्रबंध मसलों पर सभी दलों के नेताओं के साथ बैठ कर विचार करने और उनकी सलाह लेने के लिये तैयार रहेगी और सभी दल सहयोग दे कर भारत को सबल बनायेंगे।

भारत की तरक्की के लिये हमें रचनात्मक परिश्रम करना ही होगा तभी हम सत्य रूप

श्री महाबीर दास

से जनता की सेवा करने म्रोर राष्ट्र को शक्तिशाली बनाने में सफल हो सकते हैं।

राष्ट्रपति महोदय ने ठीक ही उल्लेख किया है कि भारत की मित्रता का सम्मा। बहत से देश करते हैं। मैं जनवरी में राउंड दि वर्ल्ड ट्र पर जब गया था तो ग्रमेरिका ग्रीर युरोप भ्रादि देशों का दौरा किया, वहां मैंने जनता में पाया कि भारत की मिवता के प्रति उनका ग्रादर-भाव है ग्रीर वे भारत के विकास को चाहते हैं। साथ साथ हमें चच्छी तरह से यह भी मालुम है कि सोवियत समाजवादी गणतंत्र संघ के नागरिक सदा हमारी वद्धि चाहते हैं । यह सब सद्भावना हमारी राष्ट्रीय नीति एवं सिद्धान्त के कारण ही है कि हम "शान्तिपूर्ण सह-ग्रस्तित्व" को पक्के विश्वास के साथ मानते हैं और तदनसार चलते हैं।

हमारी सीमाग्रों पर बराबर खतरा बना हम्रा है। ऐसी परिस्थिति में कोई भी रचना-रमक कार्यकर्ता और राष्ट्रीय हितचिन्तक कभी नहीं चाहेगा कि राष्ट्र की कोई भी चीज, राष्ट्रीय सम्पत्ति, किसी ग्रान्दोलन द्वारा नष्ट की जाय ग्रौर उत्पादन में रुकावट पैदा हो तथा बच्चों की पढ़ाई में ढील पड़े। राष्ट्रीय सम्पत्तियों को बड़े पैमाने पर नकसान पहंचाया गया है । मैं तो उभी संस्थाग्रों से अनरोध करना चाहता हं कि वे इस ग्रोर सतर्क रहें ग्रौर ऐसे कार्यों ग्रौर तत्वों को सहयोग न दें जो राष्ट्रीय सम्पत्तियों को नष्ट करने की भावना को शक्ति प्रदान करे।

ग्राए दिन राज्यों में राजनीतिक ग्रस्थिरता चिन्ताजनक है। हम उसकी श्रोर से मूह मोड कर बैठ नहीं सकते और मेरा विशस है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार मौन दर्शक के रूप में देखती नहीं रहेगी । हम यह नहीं समझ पाते हैं कि पश्चिमी बंगाल में दिनांक 14 फरवरी को जो विधायकों ने हंगामा पैदा किया और

राज्यपाल पर गद्दी फेंकी क्या वह वैधानिक ग्रधिकारों का दुरुपयोग नहीं कहा जायगा ! विघायकों को मान्य ग्रधिकार है कि वे सदन में बैठ कर वैधानिक रीति से किसी सरकार को अपदस्थ कर दें या किसी कानून को रह कर दें । परन्तु ग्राये दिन विद्यायक जो प्रदर्शन कर रहे हैं उससे राष्ट्र के ब्रहितकर तत्वों को ग्रौर राष्ट्र के शत्रुग्नों को ही प्रोत्साहन मिलता है । विधायकों द्वारा नियम कानन श्रौर परम्परागत प्रतिष्ठा का हनन होना चिन्ता का विषय है ग्रीर भारत सरकार को इस ग्रोर गम्भीरता से विचार करना चाहिए । मैं विहार के विधायकों की सराहना करता हं जिन्होंने उतावलापन ग्रौर नियम के विरुद्ध कोई हंगामा नहीं किया और सरकार के । परिवर्तन में वैधानिक उपचारों को अपनाया विहार सरकार के परिवर्तन का राजनीतिक कारण ही नहीं है बल्कि राज्य की ग्राथिक परिस्थिति बहुत दूर तक बिगड़ गई थी जितना कर्ज कांग्रेंसी सरकार ने 18 वर्षों में नहीं किया उतना ग्रधिक कर्ज यु० एफ़ सरकार ने दस ग्यारह महीनों में लाद दिया है ग्रौर केन्द्रीय सरकार से जो रुपये ग्रकाल पीडितों की सहायता के लिये भेजे थे उन्हें पूरा खर्च न कर कई एक करोड़ रुपयों को दूसरे मदों में खर्च कर दिया गया है जिससे प्लेनिंग को धक्का लग सकता है । मैं ब्यौरे में नहीं जाना चाहता क्योंकि ये सारे तथ्य बिहार विधान सभा के बजट अधिवेशन में आयेंगे ही और जनता को जानकारी मिल ही जाएगी।

पश्चिस बंगान में जो यु० एफ० सरकार थी उसके राज्यकाल में लाखों मजदूर बेकार हो गये उत्पादन बन्द होने लगे, नक्सलबाडी कांड से यह मालुम होने लगा कि भारत के एक एक नागरिक बन्धत्व की सम्भावना को त्याग कर एक दूसरे को लुटने और हत्या करने में वीरता का अनुभव करते हैं। वहां इस प्रकार की दु:खद भावना पैदा की गई जिससे सम्पूर्ण भारत के निवासियों के साथ अमानुषिक व्यव इार हए । इनमें बिहार के उत्तर प्रदेश के अतिरिक्त अन्य राज्यों के भी लोग थे जिनको

उपसभापति: ग्रापका वक्त समाप्त हो गया । समाप्त कीजिये

भी महाबीर दास : तीन चार मिनट श्रौर । राष्ट्र को मजबत बनाने में राष्टीय चरित्र की नितान्त आवश्यकता है। एक एक व्यक्ति को अपने आप नैतिकता और अन-शासन के बन्धन में बंध कर कानन का पूर्ण ग्रादर करना चाहिये।

ग्राये दिन भाषा विवाद को लेकर जो बातावरण राजनैतिक दल वाले बना रहे हैं वे न तो संविधान का आदर करते हैं और न राष्ट्रीय चरित्र के निर्माण में युवकों को श्रौर जनता को सहायता पहुंचाते हैं। भारतीय संविधान ऐसा लचीला है कि किसी प्रकार के म्रान्दोलन की ग्जायश ही नहीं है। कोई भी कानन जो बन जाता है उससे लाभ न होने पर संशोधन करने में कोई कठिनाई नहीं है। अतः भाषा को लेकर जो कानन बने हैं उसका पालन किया जाना चाहिये और कठिनाइयों के उत्पन्न होने पर संशोधन किया जाना चाहिए न कि ग्राशंकाग्रों के ग्राधार पर संविधान श्रीर कानन का श्रनादर करना चाहिए । मेरा डी एक यह भी सुझाव है कि देश ग्रीर विदेश के लोगों को भारत के किसी स्थान पर जाने अर्रीर किसी चीज को समझने में कोई कठिनाई

नहीं हो इसके लिये दुकानों पर, सड़कों ग्रादि पर जो साइन बोर्ड हैं वे तीन भाषात्रों में लिखें जायें-हिन्दी ग्रंग्रेजी ग्रीर स्थानीय राजभाषा ताकि अंग्रेजी के द्वारा अंग्रेजी समझने वाले. हिन्दी के द्वारा हिन्दी समझने वाले और स्थानीय भाषा के द्वारा स्थानीय भाषा समझने वाले पढ लें।

भारत की प्रगति की ग्रोर जब हम देखते हैं तो पाते हैं कि 1950-51 में कुछ टैक्स रेवेन्यू जहां 6,267 मिलियन थे वे वढ कर 1967-68 में 36,058 मिलियन हो गये और नौन-दैक्स रेवेन्य जहां 1950-51 में 1,541 मिलियन थे वे बढ़ कर 8,342 मिलियन हो गये । ये आंकड़े समुचे भारत के हैं जिसमें केन्द्रीय सरकार, राज्य सरकार श्रादि हैं। ग्रीर इसी प्रकार सम्पूर्ण भारत में 1950-51 में जहां Combined Revenue expenditure of the Centre, States and the Union Territories के अन्दर non-developmental interest में 5,087 मिलियन थे वे बढ़ कर 1967-68 में 25,023 मिलियन ही गए तथा डेवलपमेंटल साइड में 2,175 मिलियन से बढ़ कर 17,341 मिणियन हो गए । ग्रतः भारत सदा प्रगति पथ पर बढ रहा है।

भारत कवि प्रधान देश है। कृषि और इरिगेशन के लायक जमीन 480 मिलियन एकड है जिसमें 390 मिलयिन एकड़ भूमि पर ही उपज होती है। शेव 90 मिलियन एकड़ भिम को उपजाऊ बनाया जाए तो खाद्य के ग्रभाव की समस्याग्रों का हल निकालने में बहुत अधिक सहायक सिद्ध हो सकता है।

खनिज पदार्थी का महत्वपूर्ण क्षेत्र दक्षिणी बिहार दक्षिणी पश्चिमी बंगाल और उत्तरी उडीसा है। ग्राज तक जो विकास हए हैं उनमें और ग्रधिक विकास करने की ग्रावश्नकता है। मैं यह देख रहा हूं कि तृतीय योजना काल में जो इंडस्ट्रिमत ग्रेथ हुई है वह 6.8 प्रतिशत है और इस ओर वृद्धि लाने की

श्री सहाबीर दास]

बहत ग्रधिक ग्रावश्यकता है। इससे हम बेरोजगारी की समस्याधीं को हल करने में वहत आगे बढ सकेंगे और हमारे जो बेकार इंजीनियर हैं उन्हें भी हम काम पर लगा सकेंगे।

(Time bell rings)

मैं एक मिनट में एक सुझाव देना चाहता हुं। पहले तो मैं पुन: राष्ट्रपति महोदय के प्रति ग्राभार प्रकट करता हुआ उनके प्रति धन्यवाद के प्रस्ताव का अनुमोदन करता हं, साथ ही साथ सरकार का ध्यान आकर्षित करना चाहता हं कि बढ़ती हुई अनुशासनहीनता और राष्ट्र विरोधी तत्वों की ग्रोर ध्यान दें तथा पढ़ें लिखें हरिजन, ग्रादिवासी लोगों की वेकारी को खास तौर पर और सभी पढ़े लिखे यवकों की बेकारी को आम तौर पर दुर करने की योजनाएं बनाएं जिससे राष्ट्र की बेकारी की समस्या को हल करने के साथ साथ पंदे लिखे यवकों के ज्ञान का लाभ देश में उठाया जा सके । धन्यवाद ।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Banka Behary Das. Fifteen minutes please.

SHRI BANKA **BEHARY** DAS (Orissa): Madam Deputy Chairman, in the Address of the President we find no by him to see positive steps advocated that all those areas that are either with Pakistan with Peking Chin,* are or reoccupied by India. There are two methods for regaining those areas, number by peaceful negotiations, and number two by ;forci!. As far as peaceful negotiations are concerned, we know that Pakistan or China is not in a mood to carry on)a dialogue with us, I mean a purposeful dialogue, so that the territorial integrity of this country is preserved. As far as the second aspect of the question is concerned, the President of India, in his Address, has not spelt out at all the positive steps that Government

of India wants to take in the coming months years to see that all those areas, are still under the occupation of Pakistan or China, are recovered. In this connection I want to mention about the Kutch dispute and the Award that has been given now. Now the Award has created a stir not only in Gujarat but throughout the country, and it seems from the Prime Minister in the statements of Congress Parliamentary Party meeting and also in the other House that she is thinking in terms of accepting that Award. Now this Government within these twenty years has failed to preserve the territorial integrity of this I am not going into all aspects regarding Pakistan or Kashmir or China, but here I am more interested about this Kutch award. I am astonished to the Prime Minister who in a statement has said that perhaps the Praja Socialist Party is a little bit soft as regards acceptance of this Award. I may say from the floor of this House that the P.SP. is not prepared to accept the decision of the International Tribunal. In this connection I want to refer to certain books which will help us in clarifying the position, and to make up our mind. And it seems arguments are being advanced in this country from the Treasury Benches and also from certain persons, who are very important and have their place in this country, that the Award of the Tribunal must be accepted as we were committed to setting up of the tribunal. But I want to plead here that the Award of the Tribunal is not of a mandatory character. India is free either to accept it or to reject it, and in this connection I want to quote from a famous: 'book "International Arbitration— Law and Practice" by J. L. Simpson and Fox—it is on page 250 of the book—where they have said

on President's Address

"The general rule that judgment or award of an International tribunal is final and binding upon parties is, subject to qualification, universally accepted, but it may on certain specific grounds be treated as null and void."

It further goes on to say that a judgment gr an award is void, "if the tribunal has clearly exceeded the bounds of jurisdiction conferred upon it expressly or by implication." Leaving aside other political leaders, the Prime Minister in the course of her statement has clearly stated that the Tribunal set up to go into the dispute had exceeded the bounds of jurisdiction and that political aspects, to a certain extent, had influenced its decision. And here Mr. Chagla vesterday in the course of his speech also said that certain enclaves which were under India had been granted to Pakistan according to this Award because of geographical considerations. Here I want to plead with the Government that the Tribunal set up on the basis of common consent of Pakistan and India, of course under pressure from the British Government, had clearly exceeded its limits, it had also gone beyond the reference made to it. The • Tribunal had no jurisdiction to consider political aspects. It is not a political body. It was not a neutral body brought into look to the preservation of peace in this sub-continent. It was a body brought in just T*) demarcate the boundary according to the old maps. They were only to demarcate the boundary between India and Pakistan as far as Sind and Kutch areas are concerned. So beyond that scope this International Arbitration Tribunal had no power. It had no power to go into other aspects. I am told that in the Award it has been categorically mentioned that in the interest jf preservation of peace, they were going to say that this part of the territory should go to Pakistan. But there is no question of the Tribunal being concerned with the question of the preservation of peace in this area because they are only concerned

with the very limited question of demarcation of the boundary.

Two arguments have been given. One is that they base their decision on the old maps with India and Pakistan about the territories called Kutcb and Sind. The other argument or basis that is mentioned in the Award is that there was some intensive activity on the part of Sindhis in this area of 300 square miles-We did not refer that matter to the Tribunal or ask whether the* Sindhi people of Pakistan had intensive activity in those areas- That was not the concern of the Arbitration. The Tribunal was clearly going beyond its scope when they referred to the enclaves, and to the question of preserving peace in this area-Their only limited function was to judge according to the old maps that were there either in the possession of Pakistan or in the possession of India and to demarcate the boundary and to categorically state whether these 300 sq. miles should be with India or Pakistan. Madam Deputy Chairman, here I see that the Government of India has expressed its resentment to a certain extent because it has stated that the Tribunal had gone beyond its scope. I bank on the authority—that I referred to- 1 can bank on other books on the subject of international tribunals and I can say that this Award has gone beyond the references given to the Tribunal and so it is absolutely null and void and India is free to reject the Award. I want to plead with the Government to reject this Award. In this connection I want, to refer to another book It is by L. Oppenheim on "Disputes, war and Neutrality" volume II. On page 28 and 29 of that volume it has been stated:

"There seems to exist no provision of a general nature for solution of controversies arising out of allegation of a party that an arbitral award has been rendered in excess of the powers conferred upon the arbitrator and is therefore null and [Shri Banka Behary DasJ void.... There is nothing inherent in the nature of arbitral awards to render them final, beyond possibilities of appeal."

I am basing my arguments upon these authorities to show that this Award of the International Tribunal is absolutely null and void and is not binding on India. Madam Deputy Chairman, beyond that I am not going to say anything just now about Kutch.

Next I would like to say that in the President's Address nothing specific has been mentioned about the foreign policy of Government. The Address only states that they are having good relations with the different countries of the world, whether they be the Soviet bloc or America or Germany or France or England. But I want to plead here that it is high time that India thought of developing its Asian policy. There was a time, a glorius era, when India got some stature and soon after her attaining that was independence. That etature was there when India convened the Asian Conference which was held in Delhi. To a certain extent that stature was there when we had Bandung Conference also. But after the Conference and the Bandung Asian Conference this stature of India had gone away, it had gone down throughout the world. Whether we admit it or not, that is the position. We have to admit that position. We are not equal to the Soviet Union or England or America in any way. Our image has gone down and to a certain extent it has been tarnished not only because of our failure to give a foreign policy either in the U. N. or in the Security Council but also because of the fact that we have not been able to handle the internal affairs of the country in a proper manner The moment a railway wagon is burnt, the moment a railway station burnt, the moment a name plate is demolished. the moment a car which carries number of plate of interna-

tional numerals is destroyed, the image of India is also to a certain extent destroyed. The moment we got with a begging bowl to other countries to bring food for the millions in this country, and that too after twenty years of independence, the image of India is tarnished. The moment we cannot take a proper attitude of neutrality and non-involvement either in the affairs or Vietnam or in the affairs of West Asia, our image to a certain extent is tarnished. I am not going into all these aspects here and now. But one thing I want to say. India should be satisfied with this position. Her old image cannot be recreated in the world. India's image cannot be properly created in the world unless militarily strong and India is economically strong. But till we reach that position we must be satisfied with having very friendly relations with our neighbours and with developing an Asian policy of our

Madam Deputy Chairman, as you know, after these 20 years a vacuum is being created in the Asian continent. The Britishers are gradually winding up their whole show from Asia because of their economic difficulties. They are also going to quit Singapore and Malaya. Of course, the Americans are trying to fill this vacuum that is being created. But I know they will not be able to fill up this vacuum that is now being created in this continent. I say this because the nationalism that has grown up in these countries of Southeast Asia and other regions will not tolerate any outside power, whether it be the imperialists or the Communists, to come and fill this vacuum. So, the attempt of India should be to develop friendly relations with the other Asian countries so that all these powers, whether of the Communists or the imperialists, may not come and fill up this vacuum. Is India prepared to play that role? If India is not prepared to play that role, then I again warn the Government that China which is the greatest contender in this area will fill up this vacuum.

She is trying to fill up this vacuum. Of course. during the last one or two years she has got a set-back. China got a set back in the East China has already got African countries. a set-back in West Asia and in Cambodia and also. But China is countries always trying to create its own sphere of influence so that it can become an alternative force and fill the vacuum that is created now because of the withdrawal of the British and because of the failure of the Americans who will have to beat a hasty retreat from these regions. So the only question that arises now is this. When psychologically the Asian nations are prepared, when psychologically the South East Asian countries have developed an anti-Chinese feeling for the last one year, when the situation in Indonesia has changed, when the situation in Cambodia has changed, when the situation in Siam is going to be changed, it is now for India to take up the leadership; not leadership as a big brother or an elderly brother which other countries always dislike because we know in this world even small nations with a few millions also think themselves to be as great as either India or USSR or America. When I say that we will have to fill up this vacuum I do not say that we should fill up this vacuum as a big brother or as an elderly brother but along with other countries who are trying to be non-aligned, who are not going to play a subservient role to either of these powers, we should sit together both at governmental level and also at the people's level and we should try to see that we all become friends and fill up this vacuum so that either the Americans or the Communists whether of the Russian brand or of the Peking brand, or the Britishers do not stage come-back and fill up the vacuum. And this can only be done if India develops an Asian policy of its own and tries to have friendly relations with all these countries. I would again say here that the President's Address ought to have spelt out all these aspects of our foreign policy so that India which is already in an inferior

position in this world can at least play its role in the Asian continent, so that all these countries in the Asian continent can be friended &' <d we along with them live in peace in this-continent. Thank you.

डा० धर्न प्रकाश (उत्तर प्रदेश) : माननीया उपसभापति महोदया, राष्ट्रपति जी के अभिभाषण को बड़े गौर से पढ़ने के बाद मैं इस परिणाम पर पहुंचा हूं कि बहुत सी समस्यायें ऐसी हैं जिनका इस अभिभाषण में कोई जिक्र नहीं है। लेकिन कुछ समस्यायें हमारे सामने हैं उनका विशेषकर ग्रमिभाषण में जिक्र है। हमारी दोनों सीमाग्रों पर दो शतुग्रों का खतरा बना हुआ है, इस खतरे का जिक तो बराबर चलता ग्रारहा है। लेकिन हमारे देश में जो ग्रान्तरिक खतरा है उसका इस ग्रमिभाषण में कहीं कोई जिक नहीं है। मेरा श्रपना विश्वास यह है कि ग्राज इन दो शत्यों के खतरे को छोड़ कर भी यदि हम गम्भीरता से विचार करें तो देश के अन्दर जो खतरा है वह बहुत ज्यादा भयानक है और इतना भगानक है कि अगर समय रहते हमने उसका इलाज नहीं किया तो न लोकतन्त्र कायम रहेगा, न स्वाधीनता ही कायम रह जायगी और न मानवता की हम रक्षा कर सर्केगें।

श्रभी श्रनेक सदस्यों ने प्रकाश डाला है देश की परिस्थिति पर । ग्रासाम में 26 जनवरी को जो कुछ हुआ अगर हम गम्भीरता से विचार करें तो उसकी तह में हम पायेंगे कि चाइना और पाकिस्तान का हाथ है। जो लोग पाकिस्तान से ग्राकर वहां ग्राबाद हो गये हैं इस बात की कोशिश कर रहे हैं कि किसी तरह से ग्रासाम ग्रासामवालों का रह जाय ग्रौर बाकी प्रान्तों के जो लोग हैं वे विदेशी समझ कर वहां से निकाल दिये जायें। यह घटना मामली घटना नहीं है । उसमें क्या हुन्ना, कितनी हानि हुई है यह सब ग्राप जानती हैं ग्रीर इसलिये मैं उसका जिक नहीं करूंगा। मगर उस घटना का जिक इसलिये कर दिया है

डिं। धर्म प्रकाश

कि उसकी तह में एक बहुत बड़ा षडयंत्र है श्रीर श्रगर उसका तूरन्त उपाय नहीं किया गया तो निकट भविष्य में उसका दृष्परिणाम हमारे सामने श्राने वाला है।

इसके अतिरिक्त बंगाल में जो कुछ हो चुका है और अभी भी जो हुआ है 14 फरवरी को वह इतना शर्मनाक है कि हम दनिया में ग्रपना सिर ऊंचा नहीं कर सकते । विधान मंडल के अन्दर हाथापाई होना, राज्यपाल के ऊपर आक्रमण करना, उनको चोट पहुंचाना, यह सब हमारी इस रीति नीति का बहुत बड़ा सूचक है कि हम कहां जा रहे हैं। जो लोग ऐसा करते हैं वे यह कहते हैं कि हम राष्ट्रीय हैं श्रीर व राष्ट्रोयता का दम भरें तो मैं समझता हं कि हमें धोखे में हैं और वेतो हमें धोखा दे ही रहे हैं। मैं एसे लोगों को अराष्ट्रीय ही नहीं बल्कि देशद्रोही कहता हं जो हमारे जनतन्त्र के साथ खिलवाड़ कर रहे हैं।

इसके ग्रलावा ग्रमी ग्रापने देखा कि नेपाल से मिली हुई विहार की सीमा पर, उत्तर प्रदेश और बंगाल की सीमा पर इन तीनों प्रान्तों में क्या कारनामे हुये हैं, कितने लोग पकड़ गये हैं । वहां माम्रो-त्से-तंग के फोटो और उनका लिटरेचर काफी तादाद में पकड़ा गया है । तो मैं आपसे यह पूछना चाहता हं कि यह जो बाकायदा एक पडयंत्र काम कर रहा है इसका लक्ष्य क्या है। अगर हम दृष्टिपात करें तो हमको सब मालुम हो जायेगा । ग्राज हम विएटनाम का नाम लेते हैं, दूसरे देशों का नाम लेते हैं। यह तो खुती हुई चीज है और यह अभी पेपसं में निकल भी चुका है कि विष्टनाम में जो कुछ हो रहा है उसकी योजना पीकिंग में बनाई गई और माओ-त्से-त्ंग के महारिययों ने बनाई है जो कभी फोल होने वाली नहीं है। यह उनके दिमाग में है। वे इससे पहले भी जापान में ऐसा कर चके हैं और दूसरे देशों में भी ऐसा कर चुके हैं। मेरे कहने का अभिप्राय यह है कि

यह खतरा मामुली खतरा नहीं है । यह ठीक है कि इस खतरे का सामना करने के लिये हमारी सेनाएं सीमाग्रों पर हैं, मगर ग्रापने देखा कि रक्षा मंत्री ने राज्य सभा में ग्रीर लोक सभा में हमें जो जानकारी दी उससे यह पता चलता है कि पाकिस्तान की स्थल ग्रौर हवाई सैनिक मानित द्रानी हो चुकी है। ऐसी स्थिति में कभी भी कोई घटना घट सकती है। राष्ट्रपति जी ने ग्रपने ग्रभिनाषण में कहा है कि हम मित्रता चाहते हैं। हम सदा से मित्रता चाहते आये हैं। हम कब चाहते हैं शवता। ! लेकिन ताशकन्द समझौते के बाद ग्राज तक कोई ऐसी भिसाल है जब पाकिस्तान ने मिन्नता के लिए कदम उठाया हो ! मैं ग्रापसे सिर्फ यह निवेदन कर दं कि अगर समय रहते हम इस तरफ ध्यान नहीं देंगे तो शायद भयंकर परिणाम हमारे सामने बहुत जल्दी ग्राने वाले हैं। बाहर के खतरे के मुकाबले में यह खतरा सब से बड़ा है और इसलिये मेरी तो यह राय है कि अगर ये हरकतें बढ़ती हैं तो कोई कारण समझ में नहीं ग्राता कि जहां लोकतन्त्र से खिलवाड होता है, संविधान का श्रपमान होता है राष्ट्र ध्वज और राष्ट्रगान का ग्रपमान होता है वहां ऐसे लोगों को क्यों न जेल की हवा खिलाई जाये और क्यों न उन पर प्रतिबन्ध लगा दिया जाय जिससे ऐसो हरकतें वे न कर सकें।

दूसरी बात मैं राष्ट्रपति जी के अभिमायण पर सामाजिक क्षेत्र की थोड़ो सी कहना चाहता है। पिछले वर्ष के अभिभावण में जो करोड़ों प्राणी हैं अपने देश के जिनकी हरिजन और यादिवासी आप कहते हैं उनका जिक्र तक नहीं था। इस बार उन्होंने जिक्र किया है और जिक किन भव्दों में किया है :

धव तक "हमारे समाज के अविकसित वर्गों अनुसूचित जातियों, ग्रीर पिछड़ें ग्रनस्चित ग्रादिमजातियों जातियों की सामाजिक ग्राधिक उ सरकार के लिए अत्यंत रुचि और चित

का विषय रहा है। हालांकि उनकी उन्नति के लिए बहुत कुछ किया गया है, तो भी सरकार यह जानती है कि बहत कुछ करना बाकी है। इस लिहाज से भी, हमारी इस समस्या का आखिरी उत्तर हमारी धर्य व्यवस्था के जल्दी समुन्नत होने में ही निहित है।"

अब जरा मब्दों पर ग्राप गौर कीजिये । इसमें सिर्फ ये शब्द हैं कि "सामाजिक म्राधिक उन्नति सरकार के लिये ग्रत्यंत रुचि ग्रीर चिंता का विषय रहा है", ग्रौर साथ में यह भी कह दिया कि "बहुत कुछ किया गया है।" अगर मैं यह पूछन लगं कि क्या किया गया है भीर चिटठा यहां रख दिया जाय सदन के सामने तो पता लग जाय कि यह किया है। मुझे जानकारी है इस बात की कि समाज कल्याण विभाग प्रयत्नशील है इस बात के लिये कि एक नेशनल कौंसिल बना दी जाय जो ऐडवाइस दे गवर्नमेंट को कि यह करना चाहिये, 🚟 📑 🦪 ना चाहिये । सदन में या गवर्नमेंट म कॉर्ड सज्जन ऐसा है जो अपने हृदय पर हाथ रख कर यह कहे कि इस समस्या को हम नहीं जानते। ग्रापके सामने बडे-बडे उदाहरण आते हैं भयंकर परिणाम देखने में ब्राते हैं, लोगों को कत्ल किया जा रहा है, लोगों को सताया जा रहा है, पढ़ने नहीं दिया जा रहा है, पानी नहीं पीने दिया जा रहा है। ये सब घटनाएं ग्रापसे सम्बन्धित हैं। तो मैं श्रापसे एक बात यह कहना चाहता हं--विस्तार में इसलिए नहीं जाता कि शायद घंटी वज जाय-- कि जिस समय भारत का पार्टीशन हुन्ना उस समय श्रापने देखा कि पश्चिम से और पूर्व से कुछ लोग हमारे यहां आए और हमने अलहदा एक पुनर्वास मंत्रालय कायम करके करोड़ों नहीं ग्ररबों रुपया खर्च करके उस समस्या का समाधान किया और आज भी वह

पुनर्वास मंत्रालय कायम है जबकि गिने-चुने लोग विदेशों से इधर स्राते हैं, उन्हें बसाने की समस्या ग्राती है लेकिन ये जरणार्थी जो सदियों से आपके कारण शरणार्थी बने हए हैं, जिनके ऊपर आपने अत्याचार करके पददलित बनाया हुआ है उनके ग्रधिकारों का ग्रपहरण किया हुआ है. यह समझ में नहीं ग्राता कि उनकी समस्या को ग्राप राष्ट्रीय समस्या क्यों नहीं मानते। मैंने समाज कल्याण विभाग की मीटिंग्स में अवसर यह कहा कि कम से कम पहले आप इसे नेशनल प्रावलम तो मानें, राष्ट्रीय समस्या तो मान लें, तब इसके हल का उपाय सोचें। श्राप सोचते हैं कि कहीं क्वां बनवा दिया, कहीं स्कल खोल लिया, दो-चार नौकरियां दे दीं, इससे भला हो जायगा । यह सदियों की समस्या है और इसको ही विचारपूर्वक किसी योजना के ग्रधीन हल करना चाहिए । हमारा नियोजन चल रहा है, तीन पंचवर्षीय योजनाएं समाप्त हो गई, मैं पूछता हं कि क्या पंचवर्षीय योजनाएं बनाने बाली अपने सीने पर हाथ रख कर यह कह सकते हैं कि हमने उनके कल्याण के लिए कोई योजना बनाई ? कहीं कोई जिक्र नहीं है, योजना में कोई जिक्र नहीं है, योजना में कोई रुपता उनके लिए नहीं। ग्रव चौथी योजना बनने जा रही है इसमें भी सफाया होगा। कहा यह जाता है कि हमारे पास रुपया नहीं है। ग्रापने खुद इसमें जिन्न किया है रूस का, अमरीका, और दूसरे देशों का राष्ट्रपति जी ने अपने अभिभाषण में जिक्र किया है कि इनसे हमको अरबीं खरबों रुपए की मदद मिली है, ग्रनाज की मदद मिली है ग्रीर ग्रगर नहीं मिलती तो हमारी धर्यव्यवस्था को खतरा होता। मैं ग्रापसे पूछना चाहता हं कि ग्राप बड़े-बडे ग्राडम्बर बनाने के लिए, वडे बडे कल-कारखाने लगाने के लिए भीख मांग सकते हैं कर्जी ले सकते हैं और इतना

डा॰ धर्म प्रकाश]

कर्जी ले सकते हैं कि उसका सुद भी ग्राप श्रासानी से ग्रदा नहीं कर पा रहे हैं। तो मैं नहीं समझता कि कौन सा कारण ऐसा है कि इन सदियों के सताए हए, पददलित लोगों के उद्धार के लिए ग्राप कर्जा नहीं लेसकते और भीख नहीं मांग सकते। ग्राज बहत से कन्द्रीज ऐसे हैं जो ग्रापको मानवता की रक्षार्थ देने को तैयार हैं, इसलिए देने को तैयार हैं कि वे मानव बनें, दानव न बनें नहीं तो याद रखिए--मैं पिछली दफा भी कह चका हं -- बहुत जल्दी इसी साम्यवाद के ग्रौर इसी षड़यन्त्र के जो देश में चल रहा है अगर ये शिकार हुए तो न यहां शांति रहेगी, न मानवता रहेगी, की चर्चा रहेगी न चोटी ग्रीर जनेक रहेंगे, न नमाज ग्रीर रोजा रहेंगे, सब समाप्त होकर रहेगा इनके द्वारा जिनको आप उपेक्षा की दृष्टि से देखते हैं। 20 साल से यह चर्चा का विषय रहा है फिर क्या कारण है कि इसे राष्ट्रीय समस्या नहीं मानते, गवर्नमेंट क्यों नहीं इसके लिए एक विभाग खोल दे, क्यों नहीं रुपए का इन्तजाम करे जिससे इनके खाने-पीने की, रहने की, स्वास्थ्य और शिक्षा की सारी समस्याएं हल की जा सकें। मझे विश्वास है कि गवर्नमेंट देश के हित में, अपने हित में, मानवता के हित में ग्रौर लोकतंत्र के हित में ग्रगर सिसियर हैतो उसे झक मार कर ऐसा करना पडेगा ग्रन्यथा इस समस्या का हल नहीं होने का।

कुछ समस्याएं हैं जिनका अभिभाषण में वास्तव में कोई जिक नहीं है मिसाल के तौर पर मद्यनिषेध का जो स्वर्गीय बापू का स्वप्न था। आज मुझे कहते हुए शर्म मालुम होती है वि एक-दो प्रान्तों डाल दी है कि चाहे जितनी पियो, हालांकि जब प्रतिबन्ध लगा हमा था तब भी, लोग कहते हैं कि गलियों में मिल जाती थी, नालियों में मिल जाती थी। शराव की खुली छूट भी कहीं— कहीं हो गई है। राजधानी में, जो गवर्नमेंट की नाक के तले है, शराब के कारण आपने देखाई 1 जनवरी को क्या क्या उत्पात मचा था। मेरे कहने का अभित्राय यह है कि नशाबन्दी के बारे में ग्रिभ-भाषण में कोई जिक्र नहीं है जबकि होना चाहिए था। हम बापू की शताब्दी मनाने जा रहे हैं, बापू की शताब्दी से भी ऐसा ही खिलवाड़ होगा जैसे त्यौहारों में हुआ करता है या और जयन्तियां मनाने में हुम्रा करता है। सिर्फ कुछ

करोड़ रुपए की ग्रामदनी की खातिर

ही उस पर प्रतिबन्ध नहीं लगाते ।

ग्रापको मालूम हैकि जो शराब पी**ते**

हैं उनका जीवन कैसा बन जाता है।

जहां शराब नहीं मिलती वहां लोग

स्पिरिट पीते हैं, ग्रौर जहरीली चीजें पीते

हैं। ग्रापने पत्नों में पड़ा होगा कि मद्रास

में कितने लोग मर गए और क्या निया

उन्होंने ।

में हमारी कांग्रेस गवर्नमेंट ने इतनी ढील

श्री ग्रोम् मेहता (जम्म् ग्रीर काण्मीर) : वानिश।

डा० धर्म प्रकाश: मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि आपको इस पर प्रतिबन्ध लगाना चाहिए और इसमें इसका जिक म्राना चाएि था।

इसके अलावा देश में एक और समस्या है। 20 साल के ग्रन्दर ग्रापने देखा है कि गली-कृचे में लोग भीख मांगते फिरते हैं । भीख कैसे लोग मांगते फिरते हैं एक जो बहुत हट्टे-कट्टे हैं ग्रीर कुछ साध के बेश में होते हैं कुछ ग्रपना हाल ऐसा बना लेते हैं कि दया भ्रा जाय। मैं ये समस्याएं इसलिए सामने रख रहा हं कि हम समाजवाद का नारा लगाते हैं, समता और समानता के गीत गाते हैं लेकिन हम देखते हैं कि जब कड़ाके की सर्दी पड़ती है तो इसी दिल्ली में बहुत सी मौतें इसलिए हो जाती है कि उनके तन पर कपड़ा नहीं ग्रौर साया नहीं कि वे लेट कर सो सकें। ऐसे लोग मरे हैं, पेपर्स में निकला है। तो मैं आपसे पूछता हं कि इन भिखमंगों के लिए क्या गवर्नमेंट के दिल में कोई दर्द नहीं है, क्या कोई प्रतिबन्ध लगा कर ऐसा नहीं कर सकती कि जो अपाहिज हैं उनके लिए एक ग्राश्रम जैसा बना दिया जाय जहां उन्हें रोटी-कपड़ा दिया जाय, ग्रीर जो हट्टे-कट्टे हैं उन्हें काम दिया जाय, नहीं तो जेलखाने भेज दिया जाय। इसमें साध भी आते हैं गैर-साधु भी आते हैं।

इसके अलावा एक प्रथा जो सदियों से हमें कलंकित करती ग्राई है --खासकर महिला सभाज के लिए-वह है वेश्यावृत्ति । मैं ग्रापसे यह कहना चाहता हं कि इस तरफ नध्यान है, नइसका कोई जिक्र है। समाज कल्याण विभाग की तरफ से महिलाओं में कुछ काम होता है, कुछ इबर-उबर भागी हुई स्त्रियाँ, या अपाहिज या अनाथ स्त्रिना मिली तो कोई ग्राश्रम खोल दिया। क्या इससे इतने बड़े समाज का जो कलंक है वह धुल जायगा । इसलिए मेरा सुझाव है कि वेश्यावत्ति बन्द करने के उपाय फौरन काभ में लाने चाहियें। मैं एक सूझाव भी देता हुं, मैं चाहता हूं कि गवर्नमेंट इस पर ध्यान दे और वह यह है कि एक ऐसा कानून बना दे कि अमुक आयु तक कोई श्रौरत वेश्या नवन सके ग्रीर कोई

व्यक्ति साधु न बन सके। इस समस्या का भी समाधान गवर्नमेंट को करना पड़ेगा ग्रन्यथा हमारा नारा, नारा ही रहेगा, समाज ग्रस्त-व्यस्त रहगा ग्रीर मानवता वाहि-वाहि करती रहेगी।

ग्रन्त में मैं उपसभापति महोदया को धन्यवाद देता हुं कि उन्होंने मुझे मौका दिया यह सब कुछ विचार करने के लिए ग्रन्यथा जैसा चल रहा है वैसा चल रहा है। मुझे ग्राशा है कि मैंने जो सुझाव दिए हैं उन पर सरकार गौर करेगी। मैं ग्रापसे एक बात कह करके बैठना चाहता हं कि जितने लोकतंत्री दल हैं उनको परस्पर मतभेद भूला कर इस समय एक होने की ग्रावश्यकता है। तभी ग्राप उस ग्रशान्ति से मुकावला कर सर्केंगे जो ग्रापके देश में पैदा हो चुकी है। अगर अलग अलग अपनी अपनी ढपली, अपना राग ग्रलापते रहेंगे तो यह खतरा बढ़ कर रहेगा ग्रीर इसकी रोकथाम करना गवर्नमेंट के बस में नहीं होगा, गवर्नमेंट ग्रपने को ग्रसहाय पायेगी, जिस प्रकार कि मद्रास में हुआ। इसलिए मैं अपील करता हं कि जितने लोकतंत्री दल हैं थ्रयवा जो स्वतंत्र लोग हैं और लोकतंत्र में विश्वास करते हैं, वे लोकतंत्र की रक्षा के लिए, शाँति की रक्षा के लिए एक हो जायें, यही मेरा ग्रन्रोध है।

श्री महाबोर दास : एक इनफारमेशन ग्रापको देदं कि वेश्यावृत्ति खत्म करने के लिए कानुन बन चुका है।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Telugu?

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: (Andhra Pradesh): Madam, may I with your permission speak in Telugu?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If you speak in that language, you must give the English script of your speech.

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: I shall give it afterwards.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You may pass it on.

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: All right.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is going to speak in Telugu. I hope the speech will not exceed fifteen minutes.

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: It will not.

"[Madam Deputy Chairman, I rise to support the Motion of Thanks moved by my esteemed colleague, Mr. Mirdha.

The steps the Government of India are taking to defend our borders from our enemies deserve all appreciation. But I feel that complacency is a dangerous thing in the matter of national security. Hence eternal vigilance is called for regarding the security of our nation. Eternal vigilance not only on the borders but also inside the country to curb the fifth column activities is very essential. We cannot and should not forget the fact that agents of Pakistan as well as Chinese Agents are active in our country trying to disrupt our economy as well as endanger our security. Hence the stress on this aspect of national security. I am confident that our Armed Personnel are capable of defending our country in case of emergency.

The President's Address rightly lays stress on the agricultural production aspect. Greater production can be achieved only by supply of enough fertilisers and good seed and enough credit to the farmer. The farmers like the Jawans are prepared to undergo any amount of hardships to produce more in order to feed the

* [] English translation of the Telugu speech as given by the hon. Member.

nation. But at every level of procuring credit, getting fertilizers in time the farmer is encountering any number of difficulties from the bureaucratic machinery that is controlling the administration today. I am glad that now at least the necessity of giving a remunerative price for the agricultural produce has been recognised. It is this incentive that will make the farmer to exert his utmost to produce more

The latest varieties of high-yielding seed are giving good results, thanks to the research scientists in this field. My own experience of cultivation by my family is that these high-yielding varieties give us nearly thrice the produce that we get by other ordinary seeds.

The Government of India must give top priority for production and supply of electric power to the farmers, no matter what the cost is. Electricity supply to the farmers should not be made a matter of loss and profit like a commercial concern. If we take any advanced nation like the U.S.S.R. or America they have given top priority for expansion of electricity along with education. Andhra State is mainly an agricultural State. It produces rice and supplies to other States also. From 1962 up to today it has supplied 16 lakhs of tonnes of rice to the Central Pool. Why then is it that the Government of India is not prepared to spend more money on the Nagarjuna Sagar Project, so that more foodgrains can be perduc-ed? Even in this year's allocation of funds to Andhra Pradesh I learn the Government of India is cutting down Rs. 20 crores. Is it a penalty for supplying the much-needed rice? The Government of India must not cut this allocation of funds from Rs. 57 crores to Rs. 37 crores to Andhra Pradesh. Moreover, if the Centre can give a loan of Rs. 10 crores per year purely for the development of schemes for food production, Andhra Pradesh can supply one lakh of tonnes tonnes of rice extra every year than the preceding year to the Centre.

TShri Y. Adinarayana Reddy.]

Madam, the country is facing any number of troubles like the Bengal crisis, the Assam troubles, the border troubles with Pakistan and China, the Naga and Mizo troubles. In addition to all these troubles the language trouble has done greater damage to the entire country. The language trouble is the creation of certain fanatical forces both pro-Hindi and anti-Hindi. Greater responsibility lies on the shoulders of the Hindi protagonists because no language can be forced down the throats of an unwilling section of the people even if they are a small minority arid non-H'ndi people are not a small minority. I am one who believes that we must have a national language and ttiat national language can be only Hindi. But at the same time I cannot agree with those who want to give a go-by to English. To say "do away with English" is nothing short of madness. English 13 a world language. Not only that. More than 60 per cent of the books on Science and Technology in the world are in English according to recent satieties, with Russian books having 20 per cent, and no other language in the world has more than 5 per cent of these books in their own language. When such is the case, who loses by decrying English? It is not the English language that suffers but it id we who will suffer by giving up a universal language.

Added to this some people in Hindi areas went to the extreme by remov-! irig the universal numerals and replacing them with Devanagary Numerals. It has been published in some weeklies that some Central Ministers also have changed their car numbers from universal numeral* into the Devanagary numerals.

Then the other extreme is the attitude of the Madras Government which is not acceptable to any of us. Provocation results in retaliation and jt is not good for either side.}

232 RSD.—5

SHRI B. D. KHOBARAGADE (Maharashtra): Do you not accept the three language formula?

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: I want that to be implemented.

SHRI B. D. KHOBARAGADE: What about the resolution passed by the Assembly?

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: I am talking in Telugu. If I reply in Telugu, you would not understand.

8BR1MATI LALITHA (RAJA-GOPALAN) (Madras): There is a Minister who knows Telugu.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN; There are many Members also who know Telugu.

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: Some opposition Members also know Telugu.

*LAny language can grow only when' it has the capacity to adopt simple words from other languages aim- If such simple words like "Railway Signal" have to be translated into Hindi or any other language, and pronounced "Dhumasakata Gamana-gamana Suchi Lohapatti", then it is the limit for language fanatism. If language is not for understanding one • another, what for is a language?]

SHRI SUNDAR SINGH BHANDARI (Rajasthan): In which book?

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY:. It is the translation that has been made.

SHRI SUNDAR SINGH BHANDARI; Nowhere. (Interruption).

SHRI Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: . Please do not interrupt me. Let me finish.

[] English translation of the Telugu. speech as given by the hon. Member.

Motion of Thanks [RAJYASABHA] on President's Address 1730 [Shri Y. Adinaryana Reddy]

t I'.M.

*LThe crux of the language trouble Seems to be regarding the recruitment to the Central Services. Then it should not be difficult to solve the issue because the Central Government Can easily fix the quota of Central jobs for each State on an equitable basis having the population as the basis. The present issue specially concerns the student population very much in the South and one has to deal with them very delicately. Hence the Government of India must take up the issue and see that the three language formula is strictly implemented by a 1 States and the problems of recruiting the Central Services is decided upon as early -;s possible.

Now. Madam. the creation of a new machinery like Lokpal and Lokavukta may be good in themselves. But I Wonder what has the present administrative machinery been doing all these 20 years. We are trying to Create a new administrative machinery to enquire and set right the wrongs committed by the old bureaucratic machinery. I doub' very much whether this will not add to the already top-heavy administrative machinery that we are having, spending more than 50 per cent, of our nation! Income in maintaining it. Today we fere having more jobs and officers than the nation can bear. Still in every department the work is pending. Why <s it so? We are not going into these things thoroughly. We are on'v tag to touch the outer crust of these problems -and difficulties in running an efficient administration and not talking the core of the problem.

Only one minute about Vietnam. 'It will be quite appropriate on our fhrt to congratulate the neroic people of Vietnam who are fighting for fbelr freedom against the monstrous

* [] English translation of the Telugu speech as given by the hon. Member.

and barbaric warlords of America Even after these recent events and after fiiteen oingh-ing if me American militarists do not understand the strong will of the people of Vietnam to live as a iree country, then nobody on earth can save the warlords of America from going the way of Hitler and Mussolini. I hope that President will reaus" at least now that Johnson however big and powerful an army he might have, the will of the freedom-loving nation as a whole cannot be conquered by bullets and bombs alone. It is good that our Prime Minister made it clear that a solution can be possible for the Vietnam war only when the Americans stop bomMng unconditionally and it is a God-sent opportunity for President Johnson to puH out of the Vietnam

> (The hon. Member there beyon (speaking in English)

One word in English about Sheikh Abdullah. I have not written it in my script here- Sheikh Abdullah has, been released now that the emergency is over and he could not be kept in detention. undestantV But today what is the nationality of Sheikh Abdullah? Is he claiming himself to be an Indian citizen? He does: not claim that he is an Indian national. published—I-It has been recently received it today and somebody asked him at interview, "Are you an Indian national?" And his reply was. "I am not going to reply to this question". That means he is not accepting that he is an Indian national- When he is not an Indian national, I do not see any reason for the Government lo keep him in India at all-

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Yashoda Reddy. fn Telugu?

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Madam, it is rather embarrassing for me to take the chance immediately after my

friend from Andhra Pradesh who has spoken in Telugu. I must confess that I am going to speak in English for this simple reason. Whatever I want to say, I would like my friends to understand. And the second reason is why I am going to speak in English is this. With all the tolerance they have they say of my hon. friends' for all the 14 languages, I could see their restlessness and impatience when a Member was speaking in Telugu.

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS: No. no.

SHRI B. K. P. SINHA (Bihar): I strongly repudiate this statement. We were so glad taut then our desire was that that sweet language must come also out of a sweet mouth.

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: Madam, I know that Mr. B. K. P. Sinha is a very chivalrous man. But sometimes his chivalry is out of place. This is not the place for him to pay a compliment to anybody, even if it were myself Madam, at least deduct two minutes from my i time.

! Madam, at the fag end of the four days' Debate on the President's Address I do not know what I should speak. But whatever is just uppermost in my mind about two or three problems, I would like to place my mments on.

First of all I would like to refer to the Rann of Kutch and the International Award. I am doing this, Madam, after two speeches, one, the brilliant speech of Mr. Chagla yesterday which was much appreciated by everybody, and the reference to it. made by Mr. Banka Behary Das. Madam, we all feel sorry at the re-' suits of the Award given by the International Tribunal and I do feel that just like the Kashmir matter the echo of it is found in Kutch. Both the times Pakistan in its design and strategy and the results it got both the times have been the same. Both

the times the Government of India to its very idealistic state of believing that justice will be done went to the International forums and both the times our International Judges have been extremely generous in handing over the property that did not belong to the other party, but which belonged entirely to India. Now the question is not whether we have been wise enough to allow this matter to go to the International Tribunal. The time for such a question was at the time when it was referred to Tribunal. It was at that time should have said that we should not go. But Parliament in its wisdom did allow the Government of India to go and place this matter before the International Tribunal. And, Madam, when we did go, we absolutely said that whatever may be the decision, right or wrong, we are going to ablde by it.

on President's Addre*,

SHRI SUNDAR SINGH BHAN-DARI: Even this much has been said, "right or wrong".

SHRIMATI YASHODA Maybe the words are not exactly these. But we said that whatever may be the decision we will abide by it. The only point now to be considered is, should we or should we not accept this? My feeling is that though morally injustice might have done, today we cannot back out from it for many reasons. Much as I feet that they are giving away a portion of our land-and I think I am as patriotic as my hon, friends on the other side or this side. It hurts mestill for a variety of reasons I would say we should not wriggle out of ft.

Madam, yesterday the honourable Mr. Chagla said that it is more a po'itical decision than a judicial decision. Maybe he is right. I think he is right. But are we to question whether it is a political decision or a judicial decision? Once a decision bs given it is a decision, and we ought to have known that we would get only a political decision and not a judicial iShrimati Yashoda Reddy.]

decision, (Interruption,) Are we going to compare ourselves with •Pakistan? Pakistan going to be a

- : standard for us? I leave it to the fcori'ble Member to decide.
 - AN HON. MEMBER: Are you distressed?

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: I am as much worried as you are. Still I only appeal to my friend to accept it, excepting that if there are some

- .factor? which the Government of India may find after going through the full award they may pl'ace before
- '. Parliament and then we may question it. Otherwise it is a question of
- morality and international dignity and we have to accept this international decision however distressing it may be for us.

But, Madam, I would like the Government of India to know that ihey .have never learnt a lesson. But will we ever learn it? That is my trouble? About Kashmir we knew and everybody cautioned but what did we learn? Second time again we have committed ' the same mistake. At least now let the Government of India realise that hereafter we should rely entirely on our own strength, economic and military, to defend our own frontiers Let us not place our just causes at the . fickle patronage of our International Tribunal. That is all I have to say in this connection, Madam.

One word about West Bengal. I welcome the decision of the Government of India though very belated; it should have come much earlier. The way things were going on in West Bengal is no credit to any party. It was no credit to any Government. It was no credit to. our proclaimed principles of democracy. Whether it was the Congress or the other parties, the way they handled the affairs of West Bengal has. been a matter of shame. Madam, I am not here to idlsejss the whole background and the ipolitica! situation. But I would like to say one or two things.

Madam, whatever might be said about the Governor, Mr. Dharma Vira, one thing which certain'y hurts me is the way he was sneaked into the Legislature to place his Address. He shoulld have realised that the things were against him. I am not saying who is right or who is wrong. But certainly it was not dignified for the head of the State to sneak into the assembly.

SHRI CHITTA BASU (West Bengal): He was over-zealous.

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: I am not commenting. It hurts my sense of dignity and decency that the Governor of a State should have done that. Why I have brought up this question of West Bengl is because West Bengal is under the Central Government.

In this connection I would like the Government and Parliament to consider one point, and that is about the privileges of the Legislature and the duties of the Presiding Officer. I am not here to condemn or praise the actions of the Speaker, I have no right to do that. But when Mr. Bhu-pesh Gupta said, "Here was a man who just upheld the principles of democracy. Here was a man who did everything to keep up the banner of democracy flying", all that I have got to say is that I beg to differ from him. The least I can say h that the way the Presiding Officer behaved was not dignified either for the Assembly or the Parliamentary democracy. We have to examine one or two things here. Here one gentlen.an arrogated to himself the powers which were not in him to put an end to all the democratic principle?, the working of the Legislature and the ri,?ht of the elected representatives in the State from

actioning. Madam I would like the Government of India and Par'iament to see whether we can do anything about it. Here in India the power3 of the Speaker, we know, are more or less the powers of the Speaker of the House of Commons. He can adjourn the House in the House of Commons only on two occasions, that is.

if there is no quorum or if there is j very was in Madras, disorderly behaviour. In the j rules of the Andhra or whether it was in Mysore, Lok Sabha there is the j specia] power of the to do damage to the lives and proper-Speaker to ad- I journ the House sine die also ty of the people. but no specific clarification has been made. I And because of the Lok Sabha's rul- j ings the Speaker in the States also have been adjourning the House sine die. This power to adjourn the House sine die, the Speaker of the West Bengal Assembly has used in such a way where we know what the results are. 1 would only appeal to the Government and Parliament to look into these things and see whether we can do anything, whether to codify, er codify. I am not worried whether today it is the Congress Government or tomorrow it is going to be a non-Congress Government. But you cannot put the whole Legislature to ransom, or the Parliament, for that matter. Otherwise, somebody may take into his/her tomorrow head to say that this is going to happen and nothing will be allowed to oppose it. Therefore, Par'i'ament has to look into the whole thing. If the Government * of India. the Law Ministry or even the Secretariats of botn the Houses could sit together and evolve some sort of solution in this matter, I think it is a thing which should be looked into.

Lastly, Madam, I did not want to say about one problem which has been in my mind, that is, language. But there are two reasons which impel me to speak on this issue. The friend who spoke just before me and the presence of Mrs. Gandhi have made me refer to this problem.

Madam, I have heard for the last five days, and more than half the number of Members who spoke in this House invariably referred lo iu. language question, to the Official Languages Act, that was passed in the last session and to the results which have happened thereafter. Madam, before I comment on that, let me say, I condemn all the actions of the students and the political goondas who are taking this occasion, whether it

whether it was in

Madam, I would like to take this. opportunity to make a sincere appeal to my young friends in the South": that if they want to schieve any hing they should not resort to violence. It is not by violence that they are going to achieve anything. It is only by trying to convert the hearts of the people who are here that they will achieve anything. It is not by force but by plending their case in a better way that they will achieve what they want. Here I would like to say one thing about the Chief Minister of Madras. How did he allow the national flag to be burnt and the Constitution to be burnt? I know he has. arrested those responsible for it. But it is the responsibility of the Chief Minister of a State to see that these things do not happen. In this connection. I must congratulate the Printe Minister of India for one thing, When' the Chief Minister of Madras "Don't come to my State", one of our other Ministers hesitated, but Mrs. Indira Gandhi did not hesitate and she went there. And I congratulate her for that. That is the way to face a situation. If you are convinced of yourself, if you are convinced that you are with the people. She did the best thing. Now that she is here, I must congratu'ate her. She has done a very good thing.

In this connection, I would like to ask "Are we here to judge the students?" We, Members of Parliament, talk in terms of principles and pious platitudes, But how did some Members behave when the President came to address both the Houses of Parliament? Shameful. Unterruption). Whoever it was; we know better. They talk about the law andorder situation in the country. They come here and say. "The studentshave done this. The political parties have done this, they have defied that?"and so on, But what did hon. Members of Parliament do, the so-called

[Shrimati Yashoda Reddy]

Eaders of big parties, who have spent absolutely all their lives in Parliament? It was worse in a way than defaming the national flag. It was worse, I can say, than burning the Constituion, because boys might nave been misled, but there is no excuse for Members of Parliament who have insulted the dignity of the Parliament and the Head of the S.ate. Madam, I am very much hurt by this .. (Interruption.) Madam, when some facts are said, people come out with curious reactions; and I can only say that it is the guilty conscience that pricks their mind, nothing else-

Madam, let me come back to the language question. It has worried me a lot. The hon, Prime Minister and the Home Minister know that for almost 20 days-morning, noon and night-I appealed to "Please do not rush through this Resolution" Madam, I do not know whether I am proud of it or not, I was a bit indisciplined when I did not vote for the Resolution. But it gave me a consolation that I knew that I was doing something right Today, the Chief Ministers of the Southern States-even Chief the Minister of Andhra and of Mysore and Madras and other people-are appealing to the Government of India to go in for an amendment, or at least to keep the working of the Resolution in abeyance. But what has surprised me is why this thing did not come earlier. Why were they not aware of it earlier? I heard for the last four days many number of Members criticising the Resolution. But why did they not do it earlier? How much trouble we would have saved. Even now it is not too late if they can only try to convince people and bring about a consensus of all parties, which they should have done much earlier. When i appealed to the Prime Minister and the Home Minister last time to at Teast postpone the voting on the debate by three or four days so that they could get the Chief Ministers of

the non-Hindi States together ar.i try to get a compromise, neither aid they listen, nor would the Hindi friends allow them . . .

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please wind up.

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: Let me finish. I have waited for four days. So what hurt me most was that when I appealed to Prime Minister and the Home Minister, they agreed with me, but they said they had to yield to political pressures. Madam, are we going to rule this country with polit'cai p: assures or by practical politics? Madam, one thing which I must bring to tn.e notice of the Prime Minister, is, she was very sympathetic, but it hurt me very much when she went to Rae Bareilly immediately after the Parliament session and said, "Why z*r6 you people in U.P. and Bihar worried? Why are you agitating? It is under your pressure that we brou in the amendment?"., .

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: No no; not pressure.

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: May be not pressure, but at least "to please you we brought this amendment." I am prepared to bring and show the quotation. I am speaking now subject to correction. Ma I want to bring to your notice th it in the South, it gave to our peoole a feeling that because of the pressure from the Hindi people, these things could not be don'. And there is no denial of the fact that there was pressure. I know the reactions of the people in the Hindi States. Now, Ganga Sharan Babu is one of those people whose sincerity for the cause of Hindi cannot be doubted. He was reasonable, tolerant and he knew the implications and what was going to happen. He knew that he should carry the non-Hindi people with him. I am saying that because I appreciate that kind artitudeIt is not the attitude of people who say, "No, we do not want English." Madam, when they say 'imposition of English on them* I may tell them that there is difference between imposition of Hindi and what they call 'imposition of English*. When you impose Hindi, it is a new language for us . . .

श्री तर इंबर पांडे (उत्तर प्रदेत): एक सवाल आपसे पूछता है। जो राष्ट्रीय ध्वज जलाया गया और राष्ट्रीय गान का बहिष्कार किया गया, उस पर श्रापका क्या रिएक्शन है ?

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: Madam, he did not understand my English.

मैंने बताया मुझे शर्म ग्रा रही है, दूख हो रहा है और भीर जी कुछ किया है उन लोगों ने उसको हम कंडम कर रहे हैं। मगर आपको अंग्रेजी नहीं मालम है। मैं यह पहले बोली कि हमारा देश हो, स्टेट हो, चोफ मिनिस्टर ही, स्टडेंट हो, पोलिटिक्ल गृंडा हो, जिसने यह किया उसको में अंडम कर रही हं।

भी गोडे मुराहरि (उत्तर प्रदेश): ग्राप हिन्दी में बोला कीजिय ताकि सब समझें।

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: हिन्दी में बोलूं तो आप को समक्ष में नहीं

Madam, what I wanted to say was that if you ask us to learn Hindi, it is imposition but when we ask them to retain the knowledge of English it is not imposition of the same nature. That is the suitable difference that they must note. We are asking chem only to retain English which they had been having for so many years, till such time we could be proficient in Hindi and- it could become a link language. But what did U.P. do? "Remove English, including the typewriters." And what has Madras done? Not that I appreciate what Madras has done, I do not appreciate

it, but that was the only way tp make you people understand, and that was the only way to make yop realise their feelings. Otherwise, yd* would not have realised to thB extent.

on President's Address

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Pleas* wind up.

SHKIMATI YASHODA REDDYs I cannot wind up without developing my argument. Otherwise they will misunderstand

I said I did not appreciate what Madras has done. I do not appreciate anybody saying, "We do nol want Hindi." But I do appreciate their action to this extent that il they had not gone to this extreme extent, peop[^] in Delhi would not have realised the feelings of the non-Hindi people. To what extent you must appreciate it. That is my opinion.

Now, Madam, if to-day Madras does net take to Hindi and by any chance, the other Southern States also do not take to Hindi, and people in the North give uP English, how are you going to have a link language? Should we not have a link languag»? Now about the three-language formula, our Andhra Assembly has unanimously agreed to it. But which State in the North is having this three-language formula? Which State will have it when you say that for the Central Services "You learn English or Hindi as you like." Which fool will learn three languages? No person with any sense will learn three languages unless you say that compulsory knowledge of both English and Hindi is necessary. I do not love Hindi less than I love English, nor do I love English more. But if you want to retain the unity of the nation, you must make both the languages compulsory, otherwise, there cannot be unity in the country. Madam, people condemn Madras, but I will "ask the Prime Minister*

[Shrimati Yashoda ReddyJ

who is sitting here, and the Home Minister, "Why do you permit number-plates on cars with Hindi numerals? Why don't you take action? I think under the Motor Vehicles Act. and the Constitution, it is illegal to have number-plates with any form of numerals other than the international numerals. But. what is the Government doing? Eight in the Home Ministry, right on the Home Minister's car, you see Hindi numerals. I am only saying that you have no guts and you only want to yield to political pressure and satisfy some fanatics, whether Hindi or English. If you want to defy your own rules and regulations, how are you going to check the illegal actions of the people in burning the Constitution and the National Flag 5n the States? Change the rufcs if you want but do not allow anything which is against the rules. If the Home Minister's car carries a H'.r.di number-plate, how are you going to question the illegal acts elsewhere? (Interruption.)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please wind up.

SHRIMATI YASHODA REDDY: That is all 1 wanted to say, Madam.

SHRI B. D. KHOBARAGADE:

Madam Deputy Chairman, our country is facing a number of problems and it was expected that the President in his Address would suggest some ways and means to solve them. But unfortunately the President in his Address, has not indicated how to golve aU those important problems that are faced by the country. Madam, whether it is the food

situation, whether it is industrial prouucuon or wnetner it is a question of national unity and integrity, we have to face a number of problems. It has been mentioned by the President that there is improvement so far as food production is concerned. No doubt there is a tremendous increase in food produc-

tion but what about the prices ot foodgrains? I must say that i» spite of the bumper crop this year, the prices of foodgrains have not been brought down. Even now the foodgrains are being sold in the market at higher prices. Ultimately the poor people in this country have to suffer. Whatever good results we had in the sphere of agriculture, they were due to the good weather that we had in this country. Of course, I am fully aware of the efforts made by the Government also but if we become complacent, perhaps it will not be possible for us to produce good results in the future as we have been able to do this year, because the weather may not be as favourable as it has been this year. Therefore, the Government should not be complacent and it should try to persevere in this matter and take evey possible step, whether !t is in the matter of irrigation, providing better seeds, manures and fertilisers, or in some other matters. In all directions efforts should be made so that food production continues to be good every year. Then mere production of foodgrains is not enough. Efforts should also be made to bring down the prices. Even though there has been a bumper crop this year, we have retained the food zones- I think as long as we are retaining these zones or the zonal system, it will not be possible to bring down the prices of foodgrains. We note that in certain States the prices of foodgrains have tremendously com* down and the Government has intervened or stepped in to procure or purchase foodgrains so that the prices of the foodgrains do not come down below the floor price. On the other hand, there are certain other States

for the foodgrains. Therefore, I would suggest that these food zones should be abolished immediately.

The next question is about unemployment in this country. Some year* back we were informed that ther* was unemployment only among the

uneducated people and we were al- | ways advised that the younger generation should pay more attention i to technical and scientific education. I But when the students have spent , their invaluable time and money in studying technical and scientific sub-: jects, we find today that a large num- i ber of engineers are unemployed. number of unemployed engineers] is going up every year. The live i register which i-? maintained by the Employment Exchange throughout the country gives the following figures. In December, 1965. the number of unemployed engineers on an average was 17.004. In June, 1966 it rose to 18.894. In December, 1966 the number was 25,389 and in June. 1967 the number was 27.945. only indicates that there is still large-scale unemployment among the educated and technical personnel- A suggestion has been made in certain quarters that with a view to check unemployment among the engineers the admission to the engineering and science colleges should be restricted. But I this pro-On the ^ontracy, scientific and technical education should be freely given to all those people who want to tiave it.

Then, Madam, the question of language was discussed in this House. A number of Members have referred to this problem. During the last Session I had mentioned that the question of Hindi is not so important. The question of paramount importance is the unity and integrity of this country. If you want to maintain the unity and integrity of this country, then it is essential to get the support of the non-Hindi speaking people. What do we find here? As was pointed out by Shri mat i

in the South are opposed to the policy of the Government. What the Government should have done before bringing forward the Bill and the Resolution on the language question is that it should have tried to contact all the parties to th« language dispute, all the political parties and

tried to evolve a common policy which would have been acceptable to all the concerned persons. But instead of doing so the Government and the Prime Minister have vielded to pressure after pressure. there was pressure from the Hindi-speaking people, the Prime Minister yielded to them. When there was pressure from the non-Hindi speaking people, the Prime Minister vielded to than; Therefore instead of having one very good and cogent policy, so far as the language issue is concerned, we have yielded to each and every person and ultimately the Government and the Prime Minister could not s3tis£y anybody. Now what should be the policy today? I would suggest that a' round table conference of all those persons concerned and representative* of all the political parties in thU country should be convened as early as possible. Otherwise it will be very difficult for us to maintain the unity and integrity of this country. Some people have suggested that Sanskrit should be made the official language. It has been pointed out by others that Sanskrit is a dead language. Whether it is dead or not, I do not want to enter into that controversy. But I must point out that Sanskrit was never the people's language. It was a language spoken by one or two per cent languages were Pali and Prakrit. Sanskrit was never spoken by the people. On the contrary I will say that Sanskrit was utilised to enslave the majority of the masses in this country. Therefore Sanskrit can never be the official language of this country.

SHRI B. K. P. SINHA: Pali? SHRI

B. D. KHOBARAGADE: K

d&ES IIOI matter. "±ua caii Lave roii or Prakrit but that will also not solve the controversy over this question. M you want to solve the language problem, if you want to maintain the unity and integrity of the country, I you must have the three-language J formula and that is the only eolu-i tion in the present circumstance*

[Shri B. D. Khobaragade.] The controversy cannot be resolved by having Sonoisrit or Pali or Prakrit as the official language. It is not enough to say that we should have the three-language formula but eli'ort snould be made honesty fiist by tne Hindi speaking people to implement the threelanguage lormula in practice. The threelanguage formula was there sirce the la^t ten years ai:d the non-Hindi speaking people have tried to implement it. You go to Kerala and you will find a number of young children speaking in Hindi and they can compete easily with the children from the Hindi-speaking areas-But may I ask, how many Hindi-speaking people have learnt any other language than Hindi-be it Marathi, Tamil or Bengali? The Hindi-speaking States have never tried to implement it. Therefore, if you want to solve the language problem, if you want to maintain the integrity of the country it is essential that you should have the three-language formula. That is the only possible solution at the moment.

I would refer to another question and 'that is regarding the Kulch Award. We are greatly shocked to hear the Award of the Tribunal. Of course some Members have said that since this dispute was referred as per agreement to the Tribunal, for arbitration, it is not desirable now to go back on our promise and so we should try to accept the Award. I would like to ask, who had agreed to refer this question to the Tribunal? It was only the party in power because of the brute majority it had at that time, the Government agreed to refer this issue to Tribunal. So far as the Opposition parties were concerned, all were opposed and they said that this dispute should not be referred to the Tribunal. It does not matter Once it was referred, the Award has been given. But we have to see now whether opinion the Award is of a political nature and not of a judicious nature j

and therefore it ' is not binaing on Inaia should that it accept this Award. What is the basis of the Award? As it has been mentioned by the Chairman of the Tribunal, the basis of the Award was the extent of sovereignty over the area on the eve of independence. It is quite clear that at the time of independence when tiiis country dividej into two sovereign States of India and i a .is.au, this area came to India. Therefore when this area came to India and was with us for the iast 15 years, till the controversy was raised by Pakistan. It means that this country had sovereignty over that area. Therefore, wnen our sovereignty was over the area, there can be no other Award except that it belongs to Therefore, when the basis of this Award was the sovereignty tx over that land and if it is proved Uiat India exercised that so/ereignty, then it must be said that the Award is not judicious and not a proper one. It is also mentioned in the Award that if the decision is not taken as it has been taken, then perhaps there might be a threat to peace and tranquillity in that area. This is what the Chairman of the Tribunal has said in the Award. So it means that the Chairman was more worried about the peace and tranquillity in that area and not about the legality of India's position and therefore, I would suggest that the Award is not based on any legal finding or it is not a iudicious one and it is only a political Award and therefore I would submit that this should not be accepted.

When the Award on Kutch has gone against India, most of the persons say here that it should not be accepted. What about the Mahajan Commission's Report? That Commission was appointed to go into the border disputes between Maharashtra and. Mysore. I can say that the Mahajan Commission's Report is more arbitrary than the Award on Kutch.

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY (Mysore): No.

D. KHOBARAGADE: If SHRI B. Members go through the report, they will find that it most arbitrary, unprincipled, illogical and contrary to the relevant facts and documents on record. Whatever yardstick the Commission adopted in the beginning of the report, he could not stick to that later in the same report. This is what the Commission has said:

"No formula can be rigidly implemented and there can be no scientific approach in such matters."

So, they did not want to adopt any proper or scientific approach. This has been mentioned in the report.

Later on the report says:

"On a proper construction of the charter appointing the One-Man Commission the inference is clear that the Commission has been told to settle the disputes principally on the ground of linguistic considerations as the States in India have been broadiy reorganised on that basis".

So in the beginning of the report the Commission says that the principle should be the linguistic principle but having said that, at the next moment,- the Commission has discarded that principle and given a different Award. So the Mahajan Commission's report is most arbitrary and not a judicious Award. We have faced two or three elections in that disputed area and on each and every occasion the people there have clearly indicated that they want that area to be merged with Maharashtra. So there should be no difficulty in merging that area with Maharashtra. What should be the solution to this border dispute? We tried to solve the border dispute between Kerala and Madras by adopting the Pataskar formula—that is—the village should be the unit, that there should be contiguity between two different areas and the language spoken by the majority of the people in that area shouM be considered. These principles were set

out by Mr. Pataskar and he very easily solved the problem. The same could be applied here, namely, the language of the majority of the people living in the area, whether the area is contiguous with other areas, etc. All these factors should be taken into consideration and the problem should be so solved. The Congress Government has stated that they will try to find a national concensus to solve this problem I sm glad that they have so decided but in trying to solve this dispute, they should see that no injustice is done to Maharashtra.

Finally I would bring to the notice of the Government the atrocities perpetrated against the Scheduled Castes in this country. During the past four or five days, several Members have spoken here but not a single Member has referred to the problems of the Scheduled Castes. Are we to understand that it is the mentality of the Caste Hindus here in the House that even when people belonging to the Scheduled Castes are being murdered brutally and when there are riots in Madhya Pradesh and in U.P., no Member is going to refer to that question? I would like to say thaf the Government should pay attention to this problem also and try to solve the problem of the Scheduled Caste people.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Baharul Islam from Assam.

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM (Assam): I am very thankful to you for giving me this opportunity but I hope you will kindly give me full time because I come from Gauhati town where the riotous incidents took place.

THE. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The full time is fifteen minutes.

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: I associate myself with the thanks offered, to the President for his Address to us and proceed with my speech and in it, as the time is short, I shall con[Shri Baharul islam.]

fine myself only to the incidents that took place in Gauhati on the 26th of January last. The incidents that took place in G'auhati on the Republic Day have drawn the attention of the people of the country and different versions have been given by different sets of people as to the nature of the incidents and I condemn with the causes thereof. the force at my command what has happened in Gauhati and Bijoynagar on the last Republic Day. As a matter of fact all sections of the people including students' organisations have condemned it. I sha'l now give you a very brief idea about the nature of the incidents that took place on the 26th of January last. There was a procession that started at Judges' Field where the official National Flag was going to be hoisted. The students tried their utmost to see that the flag was not hoisted, and failing that they took the procession on to Fancy Bazar via Gauhati thana. There also, near the Goenka Traders, they tried to bring down the National Flag- At that time a trader fired three blank shots. At this the students became afraid and they were dispersing. Here my findings are very similar findings to the of the special Statesman, After representative of the passing the Gauhati thana the processionists Fancy Bazar area. Near Gauhati Traders a trader fired three blank shots. The 9re unnerved the mob and it withdrew Shop-owners and their servants appeared and said that they would beat back the mob. There was panic as word spread that Marwaris were going to beat the students. So the mob now arrived with sticks and bars and surged forward, but again halted. The shopkeepers' men slatted throwing brickbats, which fell on the police and the mob alike. At this stage a report got round that Marwaris had attacked and beaten some Assamese. At several points trucks, cars and jeeps were set on Are by the mob. Arson and looting

were confined to a few streets in l'ancy Bazar, Athgaon and the cycle factory.

The town of Gauhati covers a lot of territory. The curlew area was 20 square miles. The area where riot took place was less than onetenth of it. Most of the shopping areas were not touched by the rioters, who did not dare to enter the Marwari area of Fancy Bazar. Only the fringes were attacked. Some areas belonging to industrialists were set on fire on the eastern part of the city, and one man was assaulted. The rioting in the residential areas was confined to setting trucks, cars and jeeps ablaze. All vehicles belonged to traders and industrialists. There was no rioting anywhere else in Assam and there was no loss of life. There were no incidents after the 26th of January

It appears from what I have said above that the immediate provocation for the disturbances was given by the blank fires and by the throwing of brickbats by the traders and their men at the processionists, and the miscreants and the bad elements utilised the opportunity. I do not blame the traders for their acts; they had to do that in self-defence.

According to an up-to-date official report, as revealed by a staff reporter of the Assam Tribune, the loss has been estimated at Rs. 85.02,167. The break-up is as follows: Number of families affected-544; of which 29 are Assamese, mostly traders. Number of vehicles damaged-59. Number of houses damaged-184. Number of petrol nimme demograd 12. Mumber of factories and workshops damaged-17. Number of show houses damaged-1 Central Government property damaged-nil. State Government property damaged-2 State P.W.D. offices. Apparently, the above amount of loss does not include the value of properties looted. The total loss would certainly be more than that figure of 85.00.000. Let me come to the causes i as to why the attack was directed j against traders. The above-mentioned j 544 affected families, of which 29 were Assamese, were traders. reputation of traders in Assam is very low. People believe that they are responsible for blackmarketing, hoarding, artificial scarcity and the consequent high prices, that they take advantage of all natural calamities, such as flood, drought and the like, and *hat they exploit human miseries and sufferings The proceedings of the Legislative Assembly show that a lot of grievances arising out of anti-social conduct have been made by M.L.As. Government have been taken to task 2 for not taking adequate action against i them. The local newspapers also have j ^ocussed public attention on this.

There has also been frustration among the educated unemployed youths of Assam regarding employment, in public as well as private sectors. The traders, almost all of whom are from outside Assam, seldom employ local people. The tea gardens, mostly own-, ed by Europeans before independence, used to employ local people. Now the j tea gardens are owned by Indians from outside Assam. They seldom¹ employ local people.

Naturally therefore the Assamese youths are frustrated. Further, their minds as well as the minds of the other people of the State were exercised over the question of reorganisation of Assam. On that fateful day their fury was directed against the traders and industrialists of Gauhati. These traders are both from inside and outside Assam, of course mostly from outside Assam

Now in support of my contention Ibeg to read a few lines from HamdiBoy who is admittedly a non-' Assamese; published in the Statesmanof February 10, 1968. He says:

"Although the recent riot in Gauhati must be viewed in the context of the general tendency towards violent agitations ail over the country, it was different from other disturbances elsewhere in one important respect: the consideration for human life and national property shown by the violent mob.

Nobody was killed or seriously wounded i_n the deplorable incidents on Republic Day; nor were State or Central Government installations attacked.

In Gauhati however, victims of the riot were members of the higher caste and this fact has importance of . its own. Almost all those who were attacked and whose properties looted were industrialists and traders.

To some extent the misdemeanour of the Gauhati rioters has its roots in the fact of Assam being one of the lesser developed States in an underdeveloped country.

The process of alienation becomes worse because all instruments of change and progress—the capital, techniques and organisation—are under the control of people from outside the State.

The industrialists and businessmen in Assam whose family origins lie in Rajasthan have over the years tried to 'Assamize' themselves by learning the State language. But they have failed to endear themselves to the local people; they still strike up attitudes which create irritation."

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: What are you reading from?

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: I am quoting from Hamdi Boy's statement in the Statesman of February 10, 1968. Just few more lines.

"It is noteworthy that the industrial units attacked by rioters were

(Shri Baharul Islam]

those about which rightly or wrongly the Assamese have a feeling that they discriminate against local applicants in the matter $_0i$ appointments and against local employees in the matter of retrenchment." SHRI TARKESHWAR PANDE: There are three parts of the question.

Please explain why 26th of January is selected. Why? Was there any conspiracy?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Unless he yields you cannot interrupt him.

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: I am not yielding

SHRI TARKESHWAR PANDE; Why the National Flag burnt'!'

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He has not yielded. Please sit down.

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: Aboul lional Flag I will speak later on.

SHRI TARKESHWAR PANDS: What was the Government doing when iting and burning were taking place?

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: It is untrue to say that the victims were all outsiders. It is also untrue to say that the miscreants were all Assamese. 'There were non-Assamese also and they were of different communities too.

It has been alleged that the incidents were pre-meditated and planned and that Mr. CbaHha was behind them. It is a flight of imagination to say so. If they were preplanned and incited by Mr. Chaliha, the disturba-ices would have spread all over, throughout the State, and the outsiders driven out. There are outsiders not only in Gauhati but in all towns of Assam, and even in the villages inhabited by the

Assamese peoole. Mr. Chaliha, 4 P.M. arrived in Gauhati on the

27th and immediately called a meeting of all political leaders and

traders who were the victims, and sought advice as to how best the situation could be controlled. The situation was controlled the very same day and there have been no incidents at ail after the 26th January.

It is said in. some quarters that the Gatihati disturbances were the result of a parochial outlook of the Assamese people.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Are you reading from something? Or is it your speech?

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: It is my own speech, Madam. It is said in some quarters that the Gauhati disturbances are the result of & parochial outlook of the people of Assam? Fray why do you single out Assam? Why give a bad name and then condemn her alone? What is now happening elsewhere in India? I 6*o not commend parochialism or localism. I hate it. It must be uprooted if India is to survive as a nation, as one nation.

we blame our youths and students alone if they misbehave or if they are guilty ϱ ! certain antisocial activities? Before we do so, let irch our own hearts. How are we, legislators, behaving on the floor of Legislatures? Is it not reprehensible to assault a Governor or a Si in the Legislature? Before we condemn anybody, it is high time we parents improve ourselves before we proceed to improve our children.

I have said that the fury of the miscreants at Gauhati was directed against the antisocial activities of some traders- I shall be failing in my duty if I.do not say that political parties are also responsible tor such activities. If political parties do not stop accepting donations from traders, the latter will naturally try to recompensate it by black-marketing and by charging high prices, and that too with our connivance. The result is that the victims of such activities are the people. Ai>d the frustrated people at times take

the upper hand and also take 1he law into their own hands. Things move in a vicious circle.

Then what is the remedy? The remedy lies in removing the causes of friction and for that the entire trade should be taken over by the Stal.s or by cooperatives.

 A_n hon. Member of Parliament of the Ja_n Sangh who visited Gauhati after the disturbances smelt communa-lism in the causes of the incidents. Another hon. Member of parliament of the same party thought it wise to say that Shri Fakhruddin was the patron of the Lachit Sena. My advice to them i_5 to read Assam's history and study Assamese society. The Assamese people hate commimalism and they have rejected the suggestions of these hon. Members. Thanks are due *-o the people of Assam.

Yet another hon. Member of Parliament has said—and he i_s of the Swa-tantar Party—that Assam's Chief Minister, Shri B. P. Chaliha. has invited the separatist movement of 'he Ahoms. What for? Ts it to bring about his own ruin or to dig his own grave? Before such remarks are made one should know in what high esteem these leaders _are held by their people.

Regarding the failure of the local administration on that fateful day, it is true that the local administration at Gauhati temporarily failed to stop the miscreants and to give protection to the victims- But to the credit of the Government there it must be said that first of all, the disturbances were restricted to a very small part of the city.

AN HON. MEMBER: What about the Marwaris?

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: As a matter of fact, Marwaris are there in all the town= of Assam, also in th«* villages. These disturbances were not allowed to spread to the other parts of the State though as a matter of

fact, the situation in the whole State was volatile. Secondly there has been on loss of human life and few injuries were caused. No Central Government properties were damaged at all. Only two State P.W.D. offices were damaged. The incidents were slopped on the 26th itself and there have been no incidents beyond that day. The Government has already transferred the concerned officers in order to restore confidence among the victims and they have already ordered a judicial inuqiry into the whole thing by a High Court Judge.

I may inform hon. Members that the situation is not yet absolutely normal. In order to ease the situation and to make it absolutely normal certain things have to be done. I came from Assam only the night before last, Soothing words to the victims and' constructive suggestions as to how to restore the shaken confidence and to bring about the lost amity between the different communities are necessary. A large number of communities live in Assam. In fact I would-say tha: a larger number of communities live in Assam than *n any other State of the Indian Union. We should help these people to live together in pence and amity.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Islam. I do not think you will have the time to fi -ish all thosa pages that you have now in your hand.

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: I have to say a few words on certain other points also. But in view of the shortness of time I will not dwell upon those points.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Have you finished?

SHRI BAHARUL ISLAM: Yes, Madam?

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI): Madam Deputy Chairman, I regret that I have not been able to be present in the House during all the speeches I have heard a few

and I have heard several more while sitting in my office. For the rest I have tried to go through the records and notes which are kept by our officials. I cannot say that any very new points had been raised. As usual hon. Members from the opposite side have offered various criticisms. It is their right to do so and I always welcome their assertion of this right. Iut I would welcome it even more if these e iticisms were accompanied by certain positive solutions and suggestions which could be carried out or alternative programmes of action. We have to think of what we stand for. We stand for and we are striving for a firm democratic base for our country. Mention was made by one or two speakers just now of lawlessness or of taking law into their own hands by people, whether they be students or other people. I think the time has come when everyone of us has to indicate exactly where we stand on this important national issue. Do we stand for the method of discussion and debate in institutions which are there for this very specific purpose, such as the Houses of Parliament? Or do we stand for these issues being taken to the streets of our cities and our villages? I think a very clear answer should be given by our Members of Parliament and by all responsible citizens of our country.

AN HON, MEMBER: By the Prime Minister.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Certainly, by the Prime Minister and I am standing here to say so as far as I am concerned and I have said so on various occasions before also, and I do not think, Madam, that anybody can accuse me of having gone to settle any problem outside on street or with my fists. (Interruption.)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Rajnarain, please listen.

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: We have heard some passionate speeches

in this House on this occasion. But I have not really heard a very passionate denunciation of these particulai methods which one sees being I'sed all around us. One might say that I am stating the obvious and I am one who does not like stating the obvious. But sometimes it becomes necessary to do so, especially when one sees the ghastly and macabre incidents which had taken place in Ranchi, in Meerut, in Gauhati, Calcutta, Madras, Madhya Pradesh and other parts of our country. We have heard here that some people were aggrieved or they wanted to daw the attention of the Government. Madam, I have listened very carefully and I have failed to understand what argument has been proved by the burning of trains, by the murder of people, by the looting of shops We just now heard an hon, Member from Assam. I have the greatest affection for the Assamese people It is a small State which faces problems perhaps far more complex than any other State in India. As the hon. Member has said, it is a region where many different races, religions, tribes^ all inhabit together and it is not easy for them to get on together. But whom have the Assamese people convinced and of what have they convinced them by the looting Of those particular shops? Have they convinced those people who do not wish to he in Assam that there is a place for them in Assam? I personally, with my limited understanding may think that they have done the opposite.

Similarly with regard to language, those who have agitated for Hindi here have harmed the cause of Hindi and those who have agitated against Hindi in the South have certainly also harmed their cause. So it is not only an ideal which I am putting before this House but a very practical proposition that by these methods we are not furthering the causes which these particular groups may have at heart. They may be right causes or wrong causes. I am not discussing that now. I am only saying that the partleuiar cause then becomes that much weaker

My friend, Shrimati Yashoda Reddy said that we are getting pressurised. I am very sorry to hear this. I have certainly not said that I felt pressurised. On the contrary I am one of those whom it is exceedingly difficult to pressuitt. aome of. cur biggest leaders have sometimes wanted me to do things but I have regretted and not allowed myself to be pressurised. For some stubborn streak in mo I have not yielded. Therefore, I have no intention of yielding to any kind of pressure. During the language discussion I have clarified my position here and in the other House and I shall do so again, which is, that for some reason some people felt that a situation was being created which was going to bring fresh difficulties. This was not so as far as the Bill was concerned and it was not so as far as the Resolution was concerned. Therefore we wanted to clarify the situation. The hon. Member said that English would not be an imposition on the Hindispeaking people. Madam, I think that it would be an imposition on any Indian except a very very small number of us who have had an opportunity because of better financial situation, because of the way in which we lived, to receive a particular kind of education. But for the vast masses of India it is an alien language and it is difficult for them to learn. We cannot escape this fact. Whether anybody tries to pressurise or not, we have to see facts. Whether somebody is putting them in front of us or not, we have to discover the facts and realities of life. I do not want to go into this language argument because I think I have spoken twice on it at length here before. But one thing does strike me as rather strange. It is the vei^- voices which were raised on behalf of the Bill, which were trying—if I may use Shrimati Reddi's word again—to pressurise us to bring the Bill Deiore Parliament in order, as they said, to fulfil the assurances given by two previous Prime Ministers to the South, those are the very

232 RSD.—6

voices which now ask. Why did you bring the Bill? On the contrary it | was the people of the Hindi-speaking j areas who said, don't bring this Bili but we felt that we were in honour bound to fulfil the assurances given by the previous Prime Ministers to our brothers and sisters oL the South even if this made us unpopular. And it was made very clear to us that this would not be a popular move; even then we felt that the Bill had to be brought. Now objection has been taken to the amendment that was made. I can assure this hon. House and I can assure all the people from the non-Hindi States that had I for a moment thought that any amendment was going to increase the burden on the non-Hindi speaking people, I would never have accepted it, no matter what, but I did not think that it made any difference. What difference it made was not to the people of the North, South, East or West; it made a, difference to those hard officials of working the Central Government who thereby had an extra burden put on mem of having to provide translations This was really the only ma.ter but even at that time, even when the discussion was going on, I remember distinctly that the Home Minister said—I do not remember whether he said so in both Houses but he did say if in the other House— that whatever burden difficulties were felt to have come about because of the Resolution could easily be sorted out by sitting together. From the very beginning, at no time has he said nor has any member of the Government said, no, we are not going to discuss this matter; even if there is any difficulty they have to bear it. What the Home Minister did say was that whenever you try to do something, new some difficulties will be faced. The difficulty really is on all .us. I can assure hon. Members from the South that the type of Hindi that is now spoken is a new language as far as most of Us are concerned. I* is certainly new to our village peo-ole in the U.P. I know only about ! U.P., I cannot say about other people.

[Shrimati Indira Gandhi]

People who come from the villages- for instance our domestic staff who come from the rural areas-have extreme difficulty in understanding the sort of language that goes for Hindi. For them it is as new as they would feel in learning Marathi or any other language- Any language which is going to be the national language is going to put a burden more or less on every citizen of India but if we proceed in the right way then that burden will be only for a temporary and interim period. After that when people are automatically following the three language formula, after one generation when we get enough teachers and the system is accepted and so on, I personally feel sure that there will be no difficulties for anybody and it will just be automatic for everybody to learn three languages and for everybody to be able to understand one another. But those hon. Members who have criticised the implementation are absolutely right. The three language formula has not been implemented.

SHRI RAJNARAIN: Only two.

SHRIMATI **INDIRA** GANDHI: Well, I am sorry. I stand very firmly on the three language formula. I do not think that we can function with two languages unless we want to have parts of the country not to be closer. Then, of course it is possible, but if everybody is to stay together and work together, if we are to play ourrole in the international world -----

श्रीराजनारायमा (उत्तर प्रदेश): मगर दो से काम चल जायेगा ।

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Madam, I am not yielding.

श्रा राजना तथरा : जरा ग्राप कृपया इसको साफ कर दीजिये कि अगर दो भाषाएं होंगी तो पार्टिशन जायगा ।

> श्रमेक ५२ नीय सदस्य: वैठ जाहवे। (Interruptions)

उपसभापति: ग्राप बैठ जाइये।

श्री राजनारायगः यही पालियामेंद्री प्रासेस है। प्राइम मिनिस्टर बोल रही हैं कि दो भाषाएं होंगी तो देश टट जायेगा। हमको पूरा हक है यह पूछने का कि आप जरा इसको एलैंबोरेट कीजिये कि देश कैसे टट जायगा।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am on my feet and you must take your seat. Please take your seat. I would appeal to Members on both sides that there should be no interruption while the Prime Minister is giving her statement in reply.

थ[ृ] राजनाराप्रणः ग्रगर प्राइम मिनिस्टर से सफाई माँगी जाती है....

उरसभारति: ग्रभी नहीं, जब उनका भाषण समाप्त होगा, उसक बाद पूछ सकते हैं।

अ: राजा*तातावन* : वेभाषण करके चली जायंगी तो सफाई झाप देंगी।

SHRI ANAND CHAND (Bihar): May I submit that nobody stands up from here to interrupt the hon. Prime Minister? Why do you say both sides?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down

SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI: Madam Deputy Chairman, i think that the hon. Member is justified in the question which he has put because if there is a misunderstanding on this issue it should be cleared. Now the difficulty is this. Which are the two languages? Some people say that the two languages should be Tamil and English. Then what happens? What language will link Madras to the States of the North? Therefore, it is much safer if we stick to the three language formula not only for the unity of the country but as I said it is equally important in today's world to have links with the outside world. I want to say only one more word

about the language question, because it is a subject on which people get extremely excited and I think that at this 'moment it is better not to say any more about it, but allow tempers to calm down. Then, I am certainly willing to sit with anybody who would like to sit—leaders of the North and the South and the different political parties—at a table, square or round or any shape which they like, to discuss this matter, but it is important that before such a conference, we should allow

excitement to calm down a little.

Actually I got diverted into language question because I was really talking about law and order and about discussing these matters on the streets rather than in Parliament or other such forums rather than on the streets. It is also a matter of distress that volunteer organisations are being built up which are drilled and dragooned. I do not know the purpose of these organisations, but there is no doubt that each organization is giving rise to a new one, and all these little private organizations or armies or senas or whatever one would like to call them, are not helping in creating a peaceful, atmosphere or an atmosphere in which people of different languages, of different States can live together in peace. Most of them say that they are not doing anything against anybody. If it is so, I am glad, but I think that all of us need to be alert on this matter and see that nothing is done which puts any doubt in the mind of any Indian citizen as to his right to speak his own language, his right to live wherever he wants or work or do in whichever part of the country he business may desire to do so.

One other question which comes up day in and day out is, "Why should the Centre interfere with the State Governments?" I am using these words in quotes. It is rather ironic that some of these voices are those which quite often ask for President's Rule. Quite clearly, hon. Members have some difficulty in accepting the

fact of the contradictions within the different parties which create problems in the States, so that the only way these Governments can be run is by raising the bogey of the Centre. If these Governments fall under their I own weight—that is inherent in the < contradictions among the various members of the coalition—then it is not very ingenuous of them to raise a hue and cry about the non-existing interference of the Centre in the affairs of these different States.

Some hon. Members in this House and some people outside the House would like to put the clock back. They would like the Centre to restrict its powers to certain subjects. Two! or three subjects, I believe, have been mentioned. What this would really mean would be the abolition of the Constitution. Are Members these seriously contending that the problems of our political, economic and social developments could be solved by making the Centre merely a nebulous concept? Of course, if it serves any useful purpose to lay the blame on the Centre, I can say that our shoulders are broad enough to take that burden also, but it does not. It merely creates confusion in the people's mind. I am the first to say that the only sanction behind law and order should not be the policeman's baton or the soldier's rifle. It has to be the social conscience. But what do we find in this country? know that the majority of the people want to live in peace, and to pursue their daily routine daily business, yet the fact their remains that a handful of people are able to get away with their mischief. Why is this? This is either because they are encouraged by if not encouraged, they are some or at least, tolerated and I think that all civic-minded people should look at this question anew and see that no such anti-social actions are tolerated. We should make our opinion very clear on all these issues-We must exercise self-restraint upon even our political objectives. Such restraint can come only if we restore the

[Shrimati Indira Gandhi.]

vision of a democratic India. Everywhere we have seen that all other things can be built up. But vision, if lost, is not easy to recover and a people without vision must perish. Political parties exist for the people, not people for parties. This Government, which I have the honour to represent, and I say this in all sincerity, is always willing to work with other parties for the solution of all our major national problems. I think there is no greater challenge or worth while task before the nation as a whole than to make our democracy secure, for I believe that this is the very base of our survival.

There was some agitation from the Left regarding the alleged sins of the Centre and I was surprised that a political party which provides itself on the principle of democratic centralism is today seeking to attack our concept of the Centre. According to the Constitution, the Centre is designed to make for maximum unity, while making the fullest allowance for diversity. It is a very fine balance and we can disturb this balance only at our peril. I am convinced that all those who, because of regional preoccupations, assail the Centre today are acting in a very shortsighted manner and are pursuing a temporary political advantage over the longterm interests of their own States as well as of the country as a whole. But I have little doubt, that had friends on the Left been in the Centre today, by now they would have moved heaven and earth to make the Centre much more powerful than ever it is.

I should like to deal with some of the critisims which have been levelled at our economic policies and programmes. Comments were made by my hon. friend, Shri Dahyabhai Patel, as also by his opposite number, there, the hon. Member, Shri Bhupesh Gupta, regarding the general character of the Address. Shri Patel Complained that the Address merely narrated general problems without sounding a note of hope, whereas Shri

Bhupesh Gupta felt that we had not set the pace for the year ahead nor given a lead to the nation. Now, what exactly is the purpose of the President's Address? It is not a propaganda piece which lists only achievements. It really seeks to present before Parliament a true picture of the internal economic, political and social situations in the country. And this is what the President's Address did. It presented the major achievements, as also the major problems, which the Government, this House and indeed the entire country has to tackle. It was hoped that these questions would be discussed in an atmosphere of constructive criticism in both Houses. Everyone knows that in the last two years this country has been through a very bad patch. All countries, no matter how rich, how advanced they are, do strike such bad patches. This happens to be a time when there is hardly any country in the world which has not either been through a difficult period or is not in the midst of it today, but as the President has pointed out, we do think that the clouds are beginning to lift. I think they must lift, because the people of this country are determined to surmount their problems and to march ahead towards economic development.

The President referred to the food situation in Bihar where only a few months ago we struggled hard avert what might have been one of the worst human tragedies because of famine. There are prospects of record food production of 81 lakh tonnes against Bihar's previous best figure of 74 lakh tonnes, which was achieved in 1964. In this State which went through that agony and distress, which survived the two years of a terribly severe and unprecedented drought, our peasants have toiled and produced seven lakh tonnes more food than the earlier record of the State. What greater tribute could there beto their spirit of fortitude or to their heroism? Can we not be proud of them? Can we not be proud of this old yet ever young Bharat Mata who produces the stuff out of which such

heroes can be made? When the relief works were undertaken last year, we were all very anxious and did our best that they should be so chosen and executed that to the extent possible they would result in a permanent asset for the agricultural production programme- Programmes of all kinds were pushed through during the last year, even while we were strugglnig with all kinds of difficulties and shortages. We have fortunately had a good karif harvest, I for which we are certainly thankful to 'nature, as one hon. Member just mentioned. I would respectfully say ! that we should also be thankful for the research which our young scientists and technicians have been doing and for the application of that re-! search to the field. We must also be thankful for the help which the State has given to these people and to the many-sided efforts of our cultivators. In the last karif season 6 million acres were brought under highyielding varieties as compared to only 4.82 million acres in the preceding year. In the year now coming to a close we expect to cover some 15 million acres of land under high-yielding varieties of seed: 1.45 million tonnes of nitrogenous fertilisers are to be used as compared to just over half 1965-66. a million tonnes in Insticredit tutional for agriculture has been expanded and may total also something like Rs. 650 crores at the end of 1967-63 as against Rs. 550 crores at the end of the previous year. These are some examples of the stupendous effort which is being made to solve the problem of food. At the same time as the President has cautioned us, we cannot be complacent. We are not complacent, and we do not want to slacken our efforts in any way- We are doing our best to build an adeauate buffer stock. The State Governments with the assistance of the Food Corporation are intensifying food procurement all over jth^e country. According to the latest figures available, the karif pro~u«-ment has so far amounted to ne-u'ly 2 million tonnes. But unfortunately

there was a general delay in the sowing last year, and therefore the procurement operations started in earnest only a fortnight ego, a whole month late, but we hope that from now on the work will be expedited.

The hon, Member, Shri Dahyabhai Patel used the words "old widow's wail". I am afraid he was narrating the familiar old tale of woo which is often described by those who are basically opposed to the very planned process of economic development. What comfort can I give to the hon. Member except to suggest that and his colleagues in the Swatantra Party should persevere in then-efforts come to power at the Centre so that they may have the opportunity to practise economic policies and philosophies which even those who swear by the sacred laws of the market, do not practise now. But who argue with a neo-industrialists to who cannot see the simple fact that beyond the laws of the market, there are millions and millions of hungry and needy women and children demanding social justice, who cannot be ignored? This country, Madam, as we are all aware, is faced with an unprecedented situation and I do not think, the Government does not think, that any set of dogmas or slogans, whether of the right or of the Jeff, can succeed in providing an answer to our problems. We must proceed very carefully. We have to create wealth in a poor country with limited resources, and at same time see that the disparity between the rich and the poor is not widened. We must do this in a background of a rapidly rising population and very rapidly rising expectations of the people. Thus we have endeavoured during the last two decades to put our faith in the efficiency of planned development processes. We believe firmly that there is no other practical alternative po the path which we are pursuing, which is the middle path.

We sjjall persist in it. there will be in, every sector, in the

[Shrimati Indira Gandhi.]

agricultural sector, in the industrial sector, in the public sector and indeed even in the private sector- But these difficulties can and must be overcome intelligently and within the broad framework of planned economic development. My personal opinion is that, for anyone to regard the laws of the market as sacred would be as disastrous as to listen to those who think that the economy could be organised in terms of a monolith.

In a few days the Budget will be presented and that will give hon. Members and the Government an occasion for a detailed review and discussion of our economic problems and policies. However, I should like to refer briefly to a problem which was raised by an hon. Member in my presence and which is agitating the minds of all of us here and outside. That is the problem of unemployment and specially of unemployment amongst young people. In the President's Address the problem was stated with the utmost clarity, sincerity and frankness. Government is carefully examining the range and extent of the problem, specially that I of unemployment amongst young engineers. Ι have asked Commission to look Planning matter, to urgently, undertake a study of the I think that the President put the matter. problem in its true perspective when he linked it to the growth and development economy as a whole, at a rate I and level which would absorb the growing population as well as the growing number of people who are now coming out of our universities and In the meantime whatever lies colleges. within the power of the Government will be done to ameliorate the difficulties and to put right maladjustments. It the hoped that when economic activity picks up as a result of better agricultural production and the consequent quickening of the process of development, the employment situation will showsignificant improvement.

An important question raised by one of the last speakers was that of the underprivileged sections of the community. He is not present here now and I do not know what other Members have said, but for myself I can certainly say that there has been no occasion when I have not dealt with the problem, whether speaking here outside, because it is a problem which is constantly before us, and again it is one of those facts which we simply cannot ignore. I do not want to deal with this problem from a partisan point of view, but when people specifically lay the blame on our party, it is only right that I should put the facts straight. It is our party more than any other which took this problem right from the beginning, which has kept it in the fore, and which is taking steps to see what can be done to help these people, within the limits of our resources. It is true that much that should have been done has not been done and I fully agree that our efforts should be intensified. But my Government is committed intensifying these efforts and doing whatever it can for our brothers and sisters of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and of those areas, which are known as the But here again the backward areas. problem cannot, be solved by simple devices Fundamentally or slogans. it is not merely a problem of changing social attitudes, that in itself is very important, but also of providing the necessary economic wherewithal for their economic and social uplift.

Now, in the realm of foreign policy, the two questions—on the one side we are blamed for referring to these questions, on the other side hon. Members opposite refer to them themselves so that one has to reply—the two questions which are constantly with us are the problems of Vietnam and West Asia. These have attracted much attention.

We are concerned with Vietnam because any situation whkn exposes instability in South East Asia is of concern to us. We have made our views very clear. Unfortunately, they seem to irk the hon. Members, both from the left and the right. We, none the less, adhere to that position as we think that it is the only possible position. We have always believed that there cannot be a military solution. All the later events have proved that our assessment is correct. That is why we have held that the first step should be the stoppage of bombing of North Vietnam and after that, other steps can be considered.

With regard to West Asia also, we have consistently striven for the acceptance of the principle that no one should profit from or retain the fruits of aggression and that every State has a right to exist in peace and security. I do not propose to deal with the wider problems of our foreign policy whether they relate to Pakistan or China because the situation has not really changed since these questions were last debated in this House. Some hon. Members would like us to be more tough and others to be less tough. But we think that we should pursue our own middle course. In brief, I want to say that we do welcome friendship but friendship must be in consonance with our national interests.

Since our President addressed Parliament, Mfidam Deputy Chairman, an important event has taken place. I refer to the Award made by the International Tribunal in Geneva in respect of the determination of the boundary 'between Gujarat and West Pakistan. I have already made a brief statement on the subject. Many remarks have been made here. I have listened to them with great attention and those I have missed, I have read them with great care. But I am sure, you will understand, Madam, that while the Award is under study, it would not be proper for me to say anything J

more at this stage. *I* woiua, however, like to take this opportunity to request our friends in Pakistan not to get excited, nor attribute any motives to us.

President's Address

One matter which was menUoned was about something whr.h our friends from the PSP have said. It seems that reports have appealed in newspapers that I said something about the PSP's view. There is a misunderstanding about this. I mentioned various parties. But I did not mention the PSP in this because earlier on, I had said this took place at another meeting that I had not yet met the PSP leaders. I only met them after that particular meeting was over. Thus there was a misunderstanding and some people thought that I had given the views of the PSP. At that time I did not have them.

Shrimati Yashoda Ready said something—I am sorry to have to go back to the language question for a minute—about my Rae Bareilly remark. I have already tried to clarify that I did not say that at all.

May I conclude, Madam; by reiterating my unlimited faith in the basic goodness, decency and wisdom of our people? It is by the exercise of these qualities that our nation can progress, overcoming its many difficulties and set-backs. If any political party in the country can promise the people continuous advance all along the front without any set-backs, difficulties and disappointments, I should like to say that it probably does not know what it is talking about. I am anxious, and I think it is in the country's interests, that we should evolve a common denominator of approach, of understanding and of co-operation on every issue of major national importance and I shall certainly continue to try for it.

There is one matter which I forgot to mention which several hon. Members have, mentioned here. I think it is extremely important. It is what

fShrimati Indra Gandhi.]

attitude do we all take to our tional emblems, whether it be the national flag, the national emblem or the National Anthem? These do not belong to any one part of India, they do not belong to any one political party. Once the country accepted them as national emblems, they are the symbols of the dignity of the nation. What is a flag? You can say, it is a mere piece of cloth. And yet it is a piece of cloth for which countless people have given their blood and their lives. And it is this which gives it dignity. It is this that we honour the flag. The question is not merely of the colour or the cloth. It is what the flag stands for. Therefore, I think parties and all people should come to some understanding on these basic issues and see that there is no shown national disrespect to emblems.

In the meantime, Madam, we in this Government shall continue to persevere in our endeavour in the firm conviction that India is going forward and will go forward, perhaps slowly but none the less surely and steadily.

Thank you.

श्री राजनारायण: माननीत, मैं एक ःपण्डीकरण च इता हं। प्रवान मंत्री जी ने जनतंत्र के बारेमें काफी हमकी दिया। जनतंत्र केवल वाणी नहीं है. जनतंत्र कर्म भी है और ग्रगर कर्म नहीं है कैवल वाणी है, तो वह जनतंत्र नहीं है। इसीलिये दुनिया के सभी बड़ों को देखा जाय, चाहे बढ़ को देखा जाय चाहे गाँधी को देखा जाय, उन्होंने यही कहा है कि बुद्धि और और आचर गंक मेल होना चाहिये । ग्रगर वृद्धि के ग्रनकुल ग्राचरण नहीं है । ग्राचरण भ्रव्ट है, ग्राचर के अनुकुल बृद्धि नहीं है तो बृद्धि छलन है। पाइमिनिस्टर साहिबा 30 जनवरी को बाराणसी क्यों गई ? प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहिया...

उरसभा रति : सवाल पुछिये ।

श्री राजता । यण: प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहिवा 30 जनवरी को वाराणसी क्यों गई जब कि वाराणसी के विद्यार्थी, वाराणसी को जनता, वाराणसो के ग्राइम प्रिनिस्टर साहबा यहाँ न ग्राणं

उस्तभापति : आप बैठ जाइये । (Interraptions)

श्रो राजना तक्षण: अरें भाई, आप तीन पार्टी बदल कर आए हैं, यहाँ लेक्चर देते हैं। तीन पार्टियाँ बदल चुहे हैं।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I find that there is nothing in what Mr. Rajnarain has said and it needs no clarification. I pdoceed. I shall put the amendments to vote.

श्री राजनाताःण: क्या इसका स्पर्धाकरण नहीं होंगा माननीया?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

2. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that vvhile dealing complacently with the food situation, the Address has, surprisingly, failed—

- (i) to announce the removal of zonal and other barriers to the free movement of food-grains and foodstuffs throughout the country which had been imposed by reason of an acute shortage that no longer persists;
- (ii) to announce the end of the policy of compulsory monopoly procurement;
 - <iii) to propose concrete measures which wouJ<d provide incentive to the fanner to

increase production in order to rising cope with the population.' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

the end of the 3. "That at Motion, the following be added. namely:-

but regret that while referring to the need for raising the efficiency of State sector enterprises, the Address has failed to declare (a) the acceptance of the recommendations of the Adminis-Reforms Commission which are devised to make these enterprises function more efficiently by giving them maximum autonomy from interference by politicians and bureaucrats in office, and (b) a readiness to restrict the establishment of new State enterprises,"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

4. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

but regret that while referring to the question of reorganisation of a border State like Assam, no reference whatsoever is made in the Address to the deplorable attack on Indian citizens of non-Assamese origin and their property that took place in broad daylight in Gouhati and other places in Assam on Republic Day, the 26th January, 1968, resulting in a large number of them becoming homeless and in the destruction of property worth crores of rupees as a result of the denial of even elementary protection to those who were left at the mercy of the mob."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

President's Address

5. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

> but regret that while referring to the prevalent unemployment, there is no mention in the Address of concrete steps to provide employment opportunities by encouraging productive investments.' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

6, "That at the end of the Motion. the following be added, namely:-

but regret that while referring to the conflict in Vietnam, the Address (a) repeats the sterile appeal for an unconditional stoppage of the bombing of North Vietnam at a time when North Vietnam and the Viet Cong have intensified their attack on capital of South Vietnam and other places resulting in large losses of civilian life and (b) in this manner shown an utter lack of appreciation of the real nature of North Vietnamese aggression on South Vietnam and the desirability that it should be repelled in the interests of peace and security of the countries of South and South-East Asia including our own."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: question is:

7. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely:-

but regret that while referring to the movements for liberation of people from colonial rule in the southern part of Africa, the Address fails to refer to the brave struggle of the people of Tibet for the liberation of their country from Chinese Communist domination.' "

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is;

8. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that while contenting itself with a platonic hope that the ties between India and the countries of South and South-East Asia will be further strengthened, the Address makes no concrete proposals in regard to filling the vacuum caused by the withdrawal of British power from the region and the developing of a system of regional collective security among the countries of South and South-East Asia against the common menace of Chinese Communist expansionism.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

9. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not contain concrete proposals to solve the problems created by the divisive forces in the name of region, language or community, which are destroying the unity of! the country.'

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

10. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not contain in particular, j any proposals capable of implementation which seek to reconcile! the serious differences of opinion; between the Centre and the States on the Official Languages Act recently enacted by Parliament.'

Th# motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

11. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not reveal an adequate awareness of the serious danger to the stability of Parliamentary institutions by recent developments in West Bengal, Bihar and Punjab.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

12. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not contain schemes to remedy the staggering problems of unemployment which threaten to undermine the very fabric of the community.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

13. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not contain suggestions for the solution of the West Asian crisis based on the just claims of Israel for the recognition of its territorial existence."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

25. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address makes no mention of the grave threat to the nation's unity and the growing sense of insecurity . felt by the large sections of citizens and measures to combat these tendencies."

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Ouestion is:

26. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address makes no mention of the grave threat to the Constitution as evidenced by flagrant abuse of the powers of the Governors In the matter of appointment or removal of the Chief Ministers."".

The motion was negatived.

1779

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

27. "That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the grave malaise in the nation's economy as evidenced by recession, rising prices, unemployment, growing disparity in the income, and measures to combat or all allie-viate the sufferings of our people'. ".

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The auestion is:

28. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of any measures to redeem the pledges taken by Parliament to liberate the. territories occupied by China and Pakistan.' ".

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN:, The question is:

29. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

*but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the deterioration in the socio-economic conditions Scheduled Castes,

Scheduled Tribes and other backward classes of our paople and measures to bring them up at par with others in the society."".

President's Address

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Thequestion is:

30. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to finalise the Fourth Plan.'".

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY The CHAIRMAN: question is:

31. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to nationalise General Insurance."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

32. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to settle the dispute about the future of Chandigarh.,".

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

33. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to implement in full the of the recommendations Khosla Commission Report for Delhi Policemen.".

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

84. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to give assistance to State Governments to enable them to pay dearness air lowance to their employees at par with the Central Government employees.' ".

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

35. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to ban automation in L.I.C., oil companies and various public and private sector undertakings.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

36. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to fix a national minimum wage based on the recommendations made by the 15th session of the Indian Labour Conference.' ".

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The «question is:

37. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention ip the Address of the failure of the Government to include Cochin Shipyard .in the Fourth Five Year Plan.'"

'The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

38. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to continue rice subsidy to Kerala State-'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

39. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to allot sufficient funds for the development of Kerala.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

40. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to declare a moratorium on foreign debt repayment-' " *The motion wos negatived*.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

41. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

*but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to stop importing PL 480 cotton despite foreign exchange difficulties."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

42. "That at the end 0/ the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

*but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure Of the Government to stop police verification in public sector undertakings."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

43. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to compel the newspaper manegements to implement the wage board report for working journalists and non-working journalists.'

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

44. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure Of the Government to find out an amicable settlement of the language question in India.' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Tije question is:

45. "That at the end of the Motion the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to condemn U.S. aggression in Vietnam.' " The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

46. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government prevent the South African representatives from participating in the UNCT-AD-II conference-" The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

President's Address

47. "That at the end of the Motion,. the following be added, namely: —

•but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the vindictive attitude of the Government towards West Bengal.' '• The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

48. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to distribute waste land to the agricultural workers and poor peasants." The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

49. "That at the end of the Motion,, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that there is no men. tion in the Address of the failure of the Government to put an end to differential treatment given to jawaris and officials in the Army.'"'

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Thequestion is:

50. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to establish Ambassadorial relations with the People's Republic of China." The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

51. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure

[The Deputy Chairman]

of the Government to ban the activities of fascist organisation like Shiv Sena in Bombay.'"

The motion ruas negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

52. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to recognise the German Democratic Republic' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The - question is:

53. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to condemn the establishment of U.S. Military base in the Indian Ocean.'

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

54. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to fulfil their assurance to the staff of the Telephone Revenue Accounts office that they will be absorbed in the other P and T units of the Telephone Districts in New Delhi and Hyderabad.'"

Vthe motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

55. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to stay the orders of the Postmaster General, Madras Circle, Madras, who has resorted to reduction in the strength of the peons in his office.' "

The action was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

56. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to meet the representatives of the All India (Posts and Telegraphs) Administrative Offices Employees Association, to negotiate on their demands submitted on the 23rd October, 1967.' "

The inction was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

57. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to put an end to the country's dependence on foreign food.' " The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

58. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to protect the existing conditions of service of the staff of the Telephone Revenue Accounts Offices resulting in pecuniary loss to them due to the non-protection of the present emoluments drawn by them and their pay scales.'"

The violicn was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

59. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to grant independent status to the Postal Stock Depots in each Circle which is causing hardship to the staff.'

T'ie r.itticn was- negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

60. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to stop the Heads of the Telephone Districts in New Delhi and Hyderabad from resorting to reductions in the staff strength of their respective offices.'"

The notion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

61. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to give the promised monthly quota of 75,000 tons of rice to Kerala.'

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

President's Address

G2 "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to acopt a national food policy."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

63. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that mere is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to grant adequate funds for development of fisheries in Kerala.'"

The taction was negatived,

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

64. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that there is no mention in the Address of the failure of the Government to release all political 'prisoners in Bengal.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. The question is:

65. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not mention the following facts namely:—

(i) that after the adoption of the noconfidence motion against the Sanyukta Vidhayak Dal Government in Bihar, the Governor, by violating the provisions of the Constitution, administered the oath of office of (The Deputy Chairman.)

the Chief Minister to Shri Satish Prasad Sinha for merely two days, nominated Shri Bmdhyeshwari Prasad Mandal to Legislative Council on the advice of the interim Chief Minister, Shri Satish Prasad Sinha in violation of article 171, and then administered the oath of office of Chief Minister to Shri Bmdhyeshwari Prasad Mandal^

- (ii) that the Governor of West Bengal installed Dr. Pra-fulla Chandra Ghosh as Chief Minister by dismissing the U.L.F. Government without ascertaining its majority in the Legislative Assembly, leading to a constitutional crisis in Benga:
- (iii) that the Governor of Punjab administered the oath of office of Chief Minister to Shri Lachman Singh Gill despite the fact that Shri Gurnam Singh claimed a majority in his favour;
- (iv) that Presidents' rule was inforced in Haryana despite the fact that the majority was in favour of Rao Birendra Singh's Ministry;
- (v) that the State Governments have not been able to grant dearness allowance to their non-gazetted employees admissible in terms of the recommendations of the Gajendra-gadkar Commission for want of sufficient financial assistance from Central Government, as a result of which agitation by employees in every State is becoming more and more violent, and particularly in Haryana where they resorted to strike;
- (vi) that no laws regulating the appointment and service conditions of Government employees in pursuance of) article 309 of the Constitution have so far been enacted even

after eighteen years of the coming into force of the Constitution;

(vii) that Government employees have been deprived ot their trade union rights.' "

Tho taoiion was negatived.

THE DEPUIY CHAIRMAN: The question is.

66. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regre»e Address does not mention the activities of antinational elements in Assam, disrespect to the National Flag, the acts of arson to GauhaU on the 26th January, the threat posed by the infiltration of Pakistanis into Assam and the steps for dealing with them.' -

The motion was negatived

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

67. "That ai the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

'but regret that the Address does not mention the present serious situation in East Asia and its repercussions on India and concrete suggestions to face it.''

The ^nation was negativea.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

68. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not say anything about the formation of a single food zone for the country a_s a whole by abolishing the existing food zones.' "

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

69. "That at the end of the Motion, the following toe added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not take note of the situation arising out of the threat to the security of the country on account of the aggressive designs of China and Pakistan nor does it indicate the steps to be taken to face this challenge."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. The question is:

70. "That at the end o* the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does net mention the loss of crorts of rupees suffered by industries in the public secter an account of the wide gap between estimates and actual performances and the steps for avoiding such losses."

The notion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

71. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not 'mention the the repeated violations by Pakistan of the Tashkant Declaration and the concrete steps which the Government of India should take in regard to such violations.'

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

72. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

<but regret that the Address does not mention the wide disparity between the dearness 232 RSD.—7</p>

allowance of employees of the Central Government and of the various State Governments or the action proposed for removing or minimising that disparity.'"

7'he motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

73. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that no reference has been made in the Address about steps to remove gradually the impact of western cultures prevalent among the high officials of the Army and to propagate Indian culture among them.' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

74. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not indicate steps for expansion of the auxiliary force in the interest of the security of the country.' " The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

75. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not indicate support for the struggle for Independence by the Pakhtoon, and East Pakistan.' "The motion u>as negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

76. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

•but regret that the Address does not contain proposals for

[The Deputy Chairman.]

1793

bringing about uniformity in the matter of giving relief to the families of deceased soldiers in different States.' "

The moftoji toas ne#atiued.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

77. "That at the end of the Motion, the following foe added, namely:-

'but regret that the Address does not mention the scarcity of kerosene oil all over the country and the steps to be taken to meet the situation." The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

78. "That the end the Motion, the following foe namely:-

'but regret that the Address does not make any reference about the fixation and grant of support price to the producers of foodgrains.' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

79. "That at the end the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

'but regret that the Address does not indicate steps for abolishing the special status given to Kashmir under the Constitution and to bring it on a Part with the other States.' " The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

80. "That at the end of the Motion, the following foe namely:-

'but regret that the Address does not refer to the growing

indiscipline and disruptive tendencies among the students and the steps to be taken to remove them.' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

81. "That the the at end Motion, the following foe added, namely:-

'but regret that the Address does not mention the increasing unemployment among engineers and others and the steps for solving this problem."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

82. "That at the end the be Motion, the following namely:-

"but regret that the Address doesi not make any mention of the desirability of establishing diplomatic relations with Israel with a view to adding to the number of countries friendly to India-""

Hie motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question Is:

83. "That of the at the end Motion, the following added, be namely!-

'but regret that the Address does not mention about the need for the attainment of independence by Tibet.""

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

84. "That (at the end Motion, the following added, namely:-

"but regret that the Address contains no mention of the failure

of Government to fulfil the assurances given in the Addresses of the previous Presidents and the steps to be taken to fulfil them in future.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

85. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not draw attention to the indifference and wrong planning by Government for saving the people from famine conditions in the country."

The inotion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

86. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not condemn Government for not accepting the demand of the Samyukt Socialist Party for the recruitment of a 'Food Army' (Anna Sena) with a view to expanding agriculture by bringing under cultivation non-fertile, fallow, barren and water-logged areas, forest No. 4 and other waste land."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

87. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not contain any warning regarding Government's failure to free the farmers from old debts and make available to them cheap manure, water and electricity etc., and to the sufferings caused to them thereby."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

88. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not express concern about the continued fall in the per capita national income.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

89. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not mention Government's failure to check rise in prices of essential commodities of every day use."

The motion toas negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

90. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not draw attention of Government to the need for establishing a rational balance between the prices of essential agricultural produce and industrial products.' "

The. motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

91. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address does not emphasise the need for irrigating the unirrigated land 1797

by making extensive use of minor irrigation projects."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

92. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added. namely:-

"but regret that the Address does not draw proper attention of Government to ihe need for increasing export of goods pro-duced in the country."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

93. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added. namely:-

'but regret that the Address does not denounce Government for its failure to implement the Fourth Plan, thereby leading to recession, increased economic strain on the poorer sections of the people and loss to the nation."

The moxion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

94. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:-

*but regret that the Address does not throw any light on the necessity for giving an appropriate dearness allowance and other facilities to the workers and employees of the public sector industries and the other services with a view to raise their standard of living."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

President's Address

95. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does not draw the attention to the corruption and blackmarketing prevalent in Government among Ministers and the administration and does not contain any exhortation to the Ministers and responsible officers to lead an ideal life in order to save the society from moral degradation.' "

The mi.iiict, was negatived.

THE DEPUTY The CHAIRMAN: question is:

96. "That at the end Motion, the following be added, namely: -

but regret that the Address does not emphasise the need to check the continuously increasing, unemployment, poverty, dearness, dacolty, murders, epidemics, immorality, riots robbery."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

97. "That at the end of Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not mention Government's failure to improve the lot of the Harijans and other backward classes of people by implementing the report of the Backward Classes Commission and by accepting the Samyukt Socialist Party's proposal to reserve 60 per cent of seats in every walk of life for backward people (Harijans Shudras, women, Advasis and poor Muslims).""

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

98. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not condemn the Government for the riots which occurred as a result of the wrong policies of the Central Government regarding language, caste and community.'

*

The notion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

99. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

*but .regret that the Address does not condemn undue interference by the Centre in the affairs of West Bengal, Bihar, Haryana and other non-Congress States and the unholy attempt to topple the non-Congress Governments and thereby throttling the Constitution.'"

The vxotion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

100. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not take note of the failure Of Government to defend the national borders.'"

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

101. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

"but regret that the Address does not draw attention to the

increasing foreign loan_s and the increasing foreign influence."

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

102. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not hold Government guilty for non-acceptance of the demand of the Samyukt Socialist Party to create a third Power and a third Camp in the international field and to adopt a functional and constructive foreign policy, nor does it indicate how far Government's policy to advocate in turn the cause of American and Russian blocs has gone against the national interest.'"

 $T \mid u >$. motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

103. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address d'oeg not warn the Government about the harm suffered by the country by not following a well-planned and firm policy in relation to Pakistan and China in the interest of the Nation.' "

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

104. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely:—

'but regret that the Address has not warned the Government about those absurd and irrelevant declarations made by it from time to time regarding the Solution of the Assam and Nagaland

1802

[The Deputy Chairman.]

problems and thereby adding to the unrest and riots in that area.""

The raotior. was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

105. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added. namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not draw the attention of the Government towards the basic mistakes so far committed by it with regard to the practical domestic, foreign, educational, agricultural and industrial policies.' "

The auction was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

106. "That at the end of the following be Motion, the added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address fails to emphasise that only by amending the Constitution and granting maximum possible powers to the States in order to enable the people of the States to fully develop themselves and fashion their own destiny without any dependence on and interference from the Centre, can the fissiparous tendencies be checked, unity of India cemented on a durable basis and democracy maintained and strengthened."

The motion was negatived.

THB DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

107. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: -

'but regret that the Address does ont mention the failure of the Government to solve the language problem on a democratic basis by trying to impose only one of the Indian languages as official

language on the people of India, thus relegating other Indian national languages to a secondary position while at the same time retaining a foreign language on an official basis—a policy, leading to the balkanization of India.' "

Tlie motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

108. "That at the end of the Motion, the following be added, namely: —

'but regret that the Address does not sharply bring, out the fact that only by amending the Constitution and giving all the Indian national languages the status of link language, can the vital national aspirations of the peopl of India be satisfied, a democratic solution found for the language problem and democratic unity of India strengthened.""

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

> "That an Address be presented to the President in the following terms: -

> "That the Members of the Rajva Sabha assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the President for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 12th February, 1968."

The House diwided:

THE **DEPUTY** CHAIRMAN: Ayes—90; Noes—4.

AYES—90

Anand Chand, Shri.

Anandan, Shri T. V.

Anis Kidwal, Shrimati

Annapurna Devi Thimmareddy, Shrimati.

Ansari, Shri Hayatullah.

Arcra, Shri Arjan.

Aslhana, Shri L. D. Bachchan, Dr. H. R. Baharul Islam, Shri.

Bhatt, Shri Nand Kishore.

Bliatt, Silli Nalid Kishore.

Bindumati Devi, Shrimati.

Chaman Lall, Diwan. Chavda, Shri K. S.

Chetia, Shri P.

Dass, Shri Mahabir.

Devaki Gopidas, Shrimati.

Dharam Prakash. Dr. Dharia, Shri M. M.

Dikshlt, Shri Umashankar.

Ghose, Shri Surendra Mohan.

Gilbert, Shri A. C. Gujral, Shri I. K.

Gurupada Swamy, Shri M. S.

Kathju, Shri P. N. Raul, Shri M. N. Khaitan, Shri R. P. Koya, Shri Palat Kunhi.

Kulkarni, Shri A. G.

Lalitha (Rajagopalan), Shrimati.

Mallikarjunudu, Shri K. P.

Mangladevi Talwar, Dr. (Mrs.)

Mani, Shri A. D.

Maniben Vallabhbhai Patel,

Kumari.

Mathur, Shri Harish Chandra.

Mehta, Shri Om.

Mirdha, Shri Ram Niwas.

Mishra, Shri L. N.

Misra, Shri Lokanath.

Mitra, Shri P. C.

Mohammad, Chaudhary A.

Momin, Shri G. H. Valimohmed.

Mookerjee, Shri Debabrata,

Muhammad Ishaque, Shri.

Muniswamy, Shri N. R.

Neki Ram, Shri.

Panda, Shri Brahmananda.

Pande, Shri Tarkeshwar.

Parthasarathy, Shri R. T.

Patel, Shri T. K.

'atil, Shri G. R.

Patra, Shri N.

Phulrenu Guha, Dr. Shrimati.

Purkayastha, Shri M.

Pushpaben Janardanrai Mehta,

Shrimati.

Ramaswamy, Shri K. S.

Ramaul, Shri Shiva Nand.

Reddy, Shri K. V. Raghunatha.

Reddy, Shri M. Govinda. Reddy, Shri N. Sri Rama.

Reddy, Shri Y. Adinarayana.

Salig Ram. Dr.

Sangma, Shri E. M.

Sapru, Shri P. N.

Sen, Dr. Triguna.

Shah, Shri K. K.

Shukla, Shri Chakrapani.

Siddalingaya, Shri T.

Siddhantalankar, Prof. Satyavrata.

Singh, Shri Dalpat.

Singh, Dr. Gopal.

Sinha, Shri Awadheshwar Prasad.

Sinha, Shri B. K. P.

Sinha, Shri Rajendra Pratep.

Sur, Shri M. M.

Tankha, Pandit S. S. N.

Tapase, Shri G. D.

Tara Ramchandra Sathe, Shrimati.

Tariq, Shri A. M

Tiwary, Pt. Bhawaniprasad.

Tripathi, Shri H. V.

Upadhyaya, Shri S. D.

Usha Barthakur, Shrimati.

Vaishampayen, Shri S. K.

Varma, Shri B. B.

Varma, Shri C. L.

Vidyawati Chaturvedi, Shrimati.

Vimal Punjab Deshmukh, Shrimati

Yajee, Shri Sheel Bhadra.

Y/ashoda Reddy, Shrimati.

Zaidi, Col, B. H.

NOES-4

Murahari, Shri G.

Rajnarain, Shri.

Kesavan (Thazhava), Shri.

Slnha, Shri Rewati Kant.

The motion was adopted

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned till 11 AJU. tomorrow.

The House then adjourned at (five of the clock till eleven t>f the clock on Friday, the 23rd February, 1968.