MESSAGE FROM LOK SABHA

THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PEOPLE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1967

SECRETARY: Sir, I have to report to the House the following message received from the Lok Sabha, signed by the Secretary of the Lok Sabha:

"In accordance with the provisions of Rule 96 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I am directed to enclose herewith the Representation of the People (Amendment) Bill, 1967, as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 5th April, 1967."

I lay the Bill on the Table.

MOTION RE FOOD SITUATION—contd.

SHRI V. V. RAMASWAMY (Madras): Mr. Vice-Chairman Chief Ministers of all the States are going to meet at Delhi in two days. This meeting has been convened by the Government of India to discuss various problems particularly Centre-State relations and the food problem. So the Food Minister has brought this motion to discuss the food situation. This is with a view to obtaining the considered opinion of the House so as to help the Government to formulate their proposals for discussion with the Chief Ministers and their Food Ministers. An amendment has been moved by this side of the House which has suggested various helpful points and which deserve serious consideration and acceptance by the Government. All this shows that the gravity of the food problem is borne in mind by all. But during the various speeches made by the Members on either side, it was painful to see that party politics was introduced. I hope a sense of realism will be continued.

The main factors to be taken into account are, (1) the shortage in production, and (2) the soaring prices from the consumers' point of view. Both are related to each other. If there is supply equal to demand, the price will not rise but will gradually decline as fresh supply is pumped in.

So in order to keep up the supply, there must be production and more production. If there is shortage, we cannot allow our people to starve and so we have to import from helpful sources. It should be remembered also that during the pre-independence era, our country was importing rice from Burma, Thailand and even Karachi (Sind). Those sources now closed but we have failed to raise the production of foodgrains in spite of huge plans and projects. The question is, why have we failed? The blame, rather the excuse is placed upon the failure of rains and continued drought—that is on nature. has the man done his best? The farmer, the producer, in spite of various handicaps, has been struggling to till and produce as much possible. So he cannot be blamed. With whatever irrigation facility that was available, with his drying well, the farmer has been clinging on to his traditional and respected service. But what are the factors that stand in the way of increased production? During the closing period of the last World War, statutory rationing in force throughout the then composite Madras State. The demand was met by imports from Burma and other places to meet the shortfalls in State production. The Government took the responsibility of feeding the people with rice, both urban rural. The people in the rural parts who used to take millets, began to change over to rice and neglect the growing of their foodgrains. the Government is there to give us rice why should the farmer be worried about paddy which did not fetch a favourable price and hence switched over to grow cash crops, such as chillies and groundnuts which fetch[Shri V. V. Ramaswamy.] ed high prices. So the deficit not only in the produce of rice but also in millets began to increase.

Again in our independence days we see emphasis has been rather more upon industrial developments. A considerable number of textile mills and sugar mills, both in the private and in the co-operative sector have sprung up. Cotton is in great demand and the agriculturists are prone to devote upon growing cotton. The starting of sugar mills has made the growing of canes very attractive. The procurement price of paddy is not commensurate with all the costs incurred the agriculturist and also he is worried very much with the procurement levy, etc. So the traditional paddy fields have been turned in cane fields and banana gardens. Thus the paddy acreage is slowly decreased and hence the fall in rice production.

Another factor is, various industrial housing colonies have sprung upwhere?-in the paddy fields. The farmer who feels that he is not allowed to hold on to feed himself and his every-growing family, demanding various modern amenities, considers it prudent to sell away his paddy fields at very attractive sums and invest the same in buildings in the ucban areas, which give good dividends and also provide avenues for modern life. Thus the rice-producing acreage is also gradually decreased. make up for this new lands are be reclaimed and made arable with irrigation facilities. It is true that rains have failed. If rains fail, the plough will not work. Yet have we used what meagre rain we get, to the fullest advantage possible? The great perennial rivers of Andhra are used fully. It seems that only less than 10 per cent. of the water is used and the rest falls into the sea. If some water is given to Madras, the thirst of Madras city can be quenched and further utilised for irrigational purposes. The rivers of Kerala can be harnessed to the combined advantage of both Kerala and Madras for

generating electricity and irrigation facilities. These factors should be borne in mind when proposals for agricultural development are considered.

Given the irrigation facility the farmer is anxious and ready to strain every nerve to increase the production and thus feed the people. must get all other help, in time, and when asked for, without difficulties. Farm manure and green manure have been neglected and forgotten. Chemical fertilises are in increased demand. If we fail to help him in this way, he will turn to other avenues. However the most important factor is the price. The procurement price must be so fixed as it may help the paddy grower to stick on to the production of foodgrains, without turning to cash crops.

With regard to the Zonal system, Sir, I like to say that the working of the Southern rice zone was, to all practical purposes, good in itself. The present Single State Zone has brought about very many complications. the four States in the Southern zone, Kerala is chronically deficit, Madras and Mysore are bordering on the verge of self-sufficiency. Now self-sufficiency this precarious affected more often than not by the vagaries of nature. Andhra Pradesh is by far the biggest surplus State and is in a position to spare 6 to 8 lakh tonnes of rice. Free flow of rice from Andhra to Kerala will, in first instance, eliminate completely the tendency to smuggle rice from Madras and Mysore to Kerala, arresting the upward tendency of the market in these States except, naturally Andhra. Of course in the initial stages the price in Andhra may rise, but within a fortnight everything will settle down to normality.

To overcome the present difficult food position in our State, the only best solution would be to revive the Southern rice zone without any restriction on movement and price. Sir, I now turn to pulses, to gram and

gram dal. Bengal gram is consumed in our State very much more than in any other State. But Madras State is not at all producing Bengal gram and hence its entire requirements have to be met by imports from surplus States, such as Haryana, Punjab, Rajasthan, etc., the major source of supply being Haryana, Punjab Rajasthan. But for the last two years the surplus State Governments have banned the free movement of gram and its products, resorting to procurement themselves. The gram and gram dal thus procured were sold through co-operatives to deficit State Govern-The surplus States procured ments. the gram at Rs. 50 and Rs. 55 per quintal, which were sold to deficit States at Rs. 65 thus making a profit of not less than Rs. 10 per quintal. There was also inordinate delay the process of procurement by the surplus States, and after that procurement the gram was sold to the deficit States, in which process also there was further delay. Then came the problem of transportation. Now all these processes involved a delay of not less than five to six months. By this time the sufferings of the consumers in the deficit areas became very acute. Unavailability of gram and gram dal became widespread, and in remote areas it came to be an article which could not be had at all. Again, gram and gram dal are consumed in our State more than any other pulses, and hence non-availability and, as a result thereof, the high price of gram and gram dal affected the prices of other pulses like red gram, black gram and green gram. Madras State is not self-sufficient in all these pulses and the deficiency is round about 75 to 80 per cent in these pulses. Hence the prices in our State of red gram, black gram and green gram are dependent on the prices ruling in the surplus areas. Already our State is groaning under the high price of red gram, black gram green gram as a result of failure of crops, which is aggravated by unavailability of gram and gram dal, which imported from northern are to be

States, and the imports of which will help to stabilise the prices of grams in our State. In these circumstances, lifting of the ban on the movement of gram and gram dal from the surplus States, and resort to free movement will have a great salutary effect and may go a long way to bring down the prices of other pulses. April and May is the new crop season for Bengal gram in Punjab, Haryana and Rajasthan areas where the crop is good, and it is the time for lifting all sorts of restrictions over and movement of the commodities. It is said that at the maximum all-India level a total of nearly five million tonnes of foodgrains has been procured and that there is the import of 2 to 3 million tonnes. This will have to be done; the present level will have to be maintained. It should be the responsibility of the Centre to supply to the deficit States and Union territories. imported The grains should be stocked in the deficit States only. With new irrigational facilities, and enough supply of fertilizers to be supplied on guaranteed agreement so that the farmer will give at least 50 per cent of his increased produce, we can gradually increase the production and correspondingly decrease our dependence on foreign States for food in the course of the next four or five years. In the end may I quote three couplets from our saint Thiruvalluvar for the benefit of the House though it is in Tamil?

> "Vinninru Poippin Virineer Viyanu¹akathu Ool Ninru Oodarrum Pasi

> Erin. Oozha ar Oozhavar Pooyalennum Vari Valankkonrikka

> Oozhuvar Oolakattarku Ani Antarrathu Ezhuvarai Ellam Poroottu"

This is to emphasise the importance of agriculture and the importance of the farmer.

Thank you, Sir.

श्रीमती पुष्पांबेन जनार्दनराय महेतः (जुजात) सम्माननीय उत्तसभाष्यक्ष महोदय, भारत की स्रन्न समस्या वही विकट है। सन्न का कम उत्पादन होने से राष्ट्र की परिस्थिति चित्ताजनक हो रही है, प्रजा की परेशानी स्रोर कठिनाइया भी यह रही है।

भारत में हर तीसरे साल अकाल होता है। इप परिस्थित के कारण अन्न उत्पादन प्रति वर्ष कम होता है अनेर एक वर्ष की कभी का दूसरे वर्ष पर अपर होता है। इन 'विशस सर्विल' के चाल रहने से राष्ट्र का जीवन और आर्थिक प्रश्न जिंटन हो रहे है।

समाज जीवन सम्राम रद्रिगल फार एग्जिस्टेन का सामना कर रहा है। के द्रीय गर्वामेंट ग्रांर राज्य ग्रयनी शक्ति ग्रौर साधन से सहायता कर रहे है। इस सहायता के उपरान्त स्वैच्छिक सस्थाया ग्रौर व्यक्तिया की भी सहानुसूति ग्रौर सहाय (इस काठन काल मे प्रजा के जीवन में सहारा दे रही है। में यहा में स्वैच्छिक सस्थाग्रों ग्रांर व्यक्तियों को धन्यवाद देनी हू।

जो चर्चा अन्त मनस्या पर चलती है, उसी से यह स्पष्ट होता है कि राष्ट्र के अन्त उत्पादन आर व्यय में सनुतन नहीं है, जो डेफिसिट रहता है आरे एक चिन्ता का प्रश्न है। राष्ट्र की आवश्यकता बहुन है। अशाजी उत्पादन 90 से 95 मिलियन टन है, किन्तु आस्तव मे 70 से 72 मिलियन टन उत्पादन होता है। उसी रे जानकारी होती है कि हमारा डेफिसिट बहुत है, राष्ट्र को अरपेट अन्न देना कठिन है।

चर्चा मे एक सुर निकला कि पहले भ्रन्न के लिए भारत में चिन्ता नहीं थी। तो भ्रन्न कहा चला गया? उत्पादन कम कथे हो रहा है स्नीर क्या कारण है कि दिन-प्रति-दिन प्रजा की परेशानी भ्रन्न के लिए बढ़ रही है? देश परदेश से भ्रन्न भ्रायात करना पड़ता है। स्टेटिस्टिक्स बताते है कि जमीन की कमी नहीं, तो श्रन्न क्यों नहीं पैदा होता है ?

उपसभापित जी, मैं एक बात कहना चाहती हू कि ग्रन्त उत्पादन में क्या किमया है। कृषिकार श्रम करते हैं, राज्य सरकार सहायना दे रही है ग्रौर निष्णात लोगों की सलाह-सूचना मिलनी है, तो ग्रन्त क्यों कम पैंग होना है। सबसे पहला यह प्रश्न है कि वर्षा की ग्रनियमितता हमारे लिए खनरनाक है। पानी नहीं, बिना पानी खेंगी नहीं, जिन खेंगी ग्रन नहीं। यह भी एंसी कठिनाई है, जिनको हन बरना कठिन है। कुदरन पानी न दे, तो मन्य की शक्ति का माप है। कुदरन कोपाजनान होती है, तब मनुष्य लाचार बन के स्पनी मर्यादित शक्ति का माप समझ लेता है, किन्तु मनुष्य प्रयत्न सब कठिनाइयों को कम क्यने में महायक होता है।

ग्रन्त की कमी का एक कारण वपा की ग्रानियमितता है । दूमरा यह ह कि हमारी पापुलेशन बढ़ रही है। ग्राज ६० करोड मनुष्यों को ग्रन्न देना है। उनकी भूख सतुष्ट करने की राज्य की जिम्मेदारी है। तीसरा कारण, देहातों के लोग उद्योग ग्रोर धंधे के लिए शहर में ग्राने लगे है ग्रार व गेहूं चावल इत्यादि खाने की सामग्री माग रहे है, यह प्रश्न पहले नहीं था या था तो कम था। शहर की बम्ती बढ़ने से ग्रन्न का प्रश्न ग्रोर किन्न वन रहा है।

सबसे बड़ा प्रश्न तो कैंग काप की ग्रामदिनी ग्रिधिक होने से उनका उत्पादन ग्रिधिक होना है। कैंग काप बढ़ने से ग्रन्न का उत्पादन कम होता है। उसका ग्रसर ग्रन्न पर होता है। प्या सरकार मोचेगी कि कैंग काप का परिशाण इतना न बढ़े कि ग्रन्न उत्पादन कम हो? यह जटिल प्रश्न है, किन्तु यह समस्या हल रन्ने की प्रथम ग्रावश्यकता है।

जोन -बदी भी एक कारण है। म्राज जिन स्टेट में उत्पादन ग्रपनी जरूरियात से म्रधिक

होता है, वे भी जोन-बदी के कारण अन्त की निकासी पर प्रतिबन्ध रखा है। इनसे दो प्रकार का नगसान है। एक तो किषकारी को दाम कम मिला है स्रोर मिडिलमेंन तो संग्राट कर पहारा है, जिल्के पास पंजी है। वह सब प्रोफिट ले जाता है ग्रार ग्रन्न का दाम बढ जाता है। इसस काला बाजार ग्रीर चोरी होती है। एक राज्य का अन दमरे राज्य में चोरी से चला जाता है । उनका दाम जिनकी अ भिक्त ताकत होती है, में दे सकते हैं, गरीव की गंजाइश नहीं होती है।

दूपरा प्रश्न नेशनल फुड बजट नहीं हो सकता है, क्यों कि हर एक राज्य की जोत-बंधी से उत्पादन या स्टाक का ग्रदाज निकालना कठिन है। इसी से में प्रार्थना करनी हं कि इस नेशनल काइमिस में जोत-बंदी नाबद किया जायः ।

हमारी राष्ट्रीय कतनसीबी है कि स्राज भी हमारे यहां संग्रह करने वाले मोजूद है। प्रन्त का संग्रह करके ग्रधिक दान लेने की जो प्रथा है, इससे बड़ी मुशकिल पैदा होती है। राज्य को इस तरह सग्रह करने वालों को सख्त शिक्षा देनी चाहिये।

उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, अन्त का दाम बढता है या कम होता है। स्रोपन मार्केट मे क्या दाम देने की स्नावश्यकता है, वह नमज में नहीं स्नाता है। इसलिये काननी डग से जो ज्यादा दाम *े*. उनको सख्र नश्यन का प्रबन्ध करना चाहिये।

क्षिकार खुद श्रम करते है, किन्त समय श्रा गया है कि खेती के सुघार श्रोर उत्पादन पर विचार किया जाय । यहां के किसान मेहनतो है खेती का काम जानते हैं. किन्त कभी कभी फन्दे में फंस जाते हैं, जिससे उनको नकसान होता है स्त्रीर राष्ट्र को भी होता है। उनकी मरजी और अज्ञानता पर खेती नहीं छोड़ देनी चाहिये। हरेक तालका पचायत की जिम्मेदारी है कि किसानों को मलाह दें। किसान भ्रपनी मनमौजी पर काम करेगा तो राष्ट्र का भविष्य चिंताजनक हो जायगा।

किसानों को अब समझाने का समय आया है कि खेती राष्ट का प्राणप्रजन है। उनको खेती को सधारना, उत्पादन ऋधिक करना भ्रार खेती का विकास करना जरूरी है।

किसानों की परिस्थित भी चिंता का विषय है। जब तक हम उद्योग की तरह खेती का प्रश्न हल नहीं करेंगे, तब तक किसान अपनी सुझसे काम करते रहेंगे। उनकी समस्या को राष्ट्रीय स्वरूप देना चाहिये ।

माननीय उपसभाष्यक्ष जी खेती सुधारने के लिये उतम सीडसे खेती के इम्प्लीमेन्ट्स श्रोर लोन्स की जरूरत होती है श्रोर जहां तक लोन नहीं दिया जायेगा स्रौर जल्दी से जल्दी नही दिया जायेगा वहा तक खेती के सुधार का मृत्यांकन कम रहेगा।

ब्राज कि तान जागृत है। जागृत किसान मेहनत कर रहे है, उत्पादन बढ़ा रहे है किन्त उनकी समस्या यह है कि :

> लोन समय पर नहीं मिलता । सीडस वोने के समय के बाद मिलते हैं।

क्वां वगेरा के लिये लोन के प्रश्न ग्रीए विधियां इतनी लंबी होती है कि उनको लोन पाने में समय ग्रौर साधन की बरबादी होती है।

ग्रन्न का उचित दाम मिलता नही ।

जहां इरीगेशन है वहां पानी का कन्ट्रोल पी० डब्ल० डी० के पास होने के कारण खेतों में पानी मिलने में समय व्यतीत होता ह, इससे फसल को नुकसान होती है। तालुका पंचायतों को इरीगेशन का काम सुपुर्व करना चाहिये ।

राष्ट में ऋन उत्पादन बढ़ाने के लिये बड़ी स्रौर छोटी इरीगेशन योजना का कार्य शीघ्रता से उठाना चाहिये। क्वां खोदना वगैरा माद्दनर योजनाए चल रही है किन्त् पानी का संग्रह बड़े तौर पर नहीं होने से

[श्रीमती पुष्पाबेन जनादंन राय मेहता] कुवें का पानी कम होता जा रहा है। पानी क ही से आता नहीं। सबमाइल पानी के श्रभाव से पानी कम होता है।

दूसरे, समुद्र किनारे पर के प्रदेशों में जहां ज्यादा कुवें बनवाये है वहा की परेशानी यह है कि पानी कम होने के कारए। समुद्र का पानी ग्रंदर घुस रहा है ग्रौर इससे जमीन बिगड़ रही है। इसलिये मै ग्रापके लक्ष्य पर यह रखना चाहती ह कि जितनी स्रावश्यकता लिफ्ट इरिगेशन की है उससे म्रधिक म्रावश प हता बड़े प्रोजेक्ट की भी है। माननीय सदस्य श्री चौरड़िया ने कहा कि हरेक खेत पर कृंबा होना चाहिये। मैं भी उस विचार से सहमत हं किन्तु गुजरात का हमारा यह अनुभव है कि कुवां पर मशीन ज्यादा लगाने के बाद पानी खींच लेते है तो कुंवा खाली हो जाता है। इसलिये पानी का प्रबंध ग्रावश्यक है।

उपसभाष्यक्ष जी, पानी का प्रश्न गुजरात का प्राण प्रश्न है। स्राज पानी की इतनी परेशानी है कि पीने का पानी भी नहीं मिलता है। इसलिये नर्मदा प्रोजेवट का कार्य शीघ्र ही शुरू करना चाहिये। जो पानी समुद्र मे जा रहां है उसकी कोई उपयोगिता नही। मैं भ्रापसे एक निवेदन करना चाहती हूं कि नर्मदा योजना होने के बाद कितनी खेती बढ़ेगी वास्तव मे 65 लाख कुछ एकड़ मध्य प्रदेश में बढ़ेगी। बीसों लाख एकड गुजरात में बढ़ेगी 10,000 एकड़ महाराष्ट्र में स्रौर 1 लाख एकड़ राजस्थान में बढ़ेगी, इसलिये कुल 111 लाख एक उमें पानी से ज्यादा ग्रन्न उत्पन्न होने वाला है। राष्ट्रका विकास भ्रप्त स्वावलंबन भ्रौर भ्राजीवन का प्रश्न समझ कर यह योजना राष्ट्रीय हित को लक्ष्य पर लेकर शरू वरनी चाहिये। स्माल इरिगेशन की भी उतनी ही स्रावश्यकता है।

मनुष्यो की परेशानी ग्रौर ग्रन्त उत्पादन वगैरह की जा चर्चा हुई, उसमें हमारे प्रोडक्टिव्ह कैट्ल पर ध्यान नहीं दिया गया । पशुत्रों की भी चिंताजनक परिस्थिति है। पशुधन का नाश हो रहा है। फौडर की कमी है

श्रीर कौनसेन्टेट्स भी पूरे परिणाम में हम नहीं दे सकते है। इसी से पशु मर रहे है। उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, हम ग्रन्न की समस्या हल करते हुए पशुग्रों के प्रश्न पर भ्रपना लक्ष्य केन्द्रित नहीं कर सके हैं । हमारे भारत में जहा भगवान कुष्ण पशु पालक थे, जहां गोकूल था, वहां ग्राज परदेश से मिल्क पाउडर मंगाना पड़ता है। मुझे दुःख है कि विदेश से पाउडर मंगाकर हम बच्चों को पिलाते है श्रीर हम शीक से टोन्ड मिलक पीते है।

Food Situation

हमारी नीति स्रन्न के बाद पोषण के मुख्य उद्योग पशुपालन के संबन्ध में स्पष्ट नही है। बहुत सी योजनाएं है, किन्तु पशुपालकों श्रौर पशुश्रों के संबन्ध में कोई नीति नहीं है । ग्रास लैन्ड्स, रिज़र्व ग्रास या ग्रास बैंक वगैरह की योजनाएं हैं, किन्तु व्यापक नहीं है, ग्रमली नहीं है। मुझे चिंता है, इस परिस्थिति का भ्रगर शीघ्र ही मुकाबला नही होगा, तो हमारे देश में पशु रहने वाले नहीं है। दूध, मक्खन ग्रीर घी पुराने जमाने की बात हो जायेगी।

मेरी प्रार्थना है कि पश्यो का सुधार श्रीर दूध का उत्पादन श्रीर पशुपालन के अन्य प्रश्नों को हल करने के लिये केन्द्र श्रीर राज्यों में ग्रलग डिवार्टमेन्ट या मिनिस्ट्री जरूरत के अनुसार होनी चाहिये।

म्रापके समक्ष मै प्रार्थना करती हं कि गुजरात का तृतीयांश भाग में "ड्राट" की परिस्थिति है। थोड़ा इरिगेशन ग्रीर लिपट इरिगेशन से उत्पन्न हुन्ना था लेकिन वर्षा श्रीर तुफान कुछ दिन पहले होने से उनको नुकसान हुआ है श्रीर कठिनाई बढ़ गई। किसानो और मजदूरों के मुंह से ग्रन्न कुदरत ने छीन लिया है। मैं प्राइम मिनिस्टर को धन्यवाद देती हू कि उन्होने इस ग्रापित में सहायता के लिये कुछ धन देने की इच्छा जाहिर की है।

मेरा ग्रंतिम निर्वेदन है कि वर्षा हो जाने सै मुश्किल कम नहीं होगी। किसानों कोseeds, loan for implements for their maintenance, for the payment towards daily wages of labourers. भी देना चाहिये श्रीर जिनके बैल ड्राट से मर गये हैं उनको बैल लेने में सहायता देनी चाहिये। जिन स्टेट्स में बैल उपलब्ध न हों जन्हें दूसरे स्टेट्स से खरीद कर किमानों को देने का प्रबन्ध करना चाहिये।

पशस्रों, स्रीर खास कर के दूध देने वाले पश्त्रों को, घास या कान्सेन्ट्रेट्स देना चाहिये। जहां तक नया फाडर उत्पन्न न हो वहां तक इनको दिया जाय । वर्षा के प्रारम्भ में दूष्काल ग्रस्त मानव ग्रीर पशु दोनों को ग्रधिक सहायता देनी होगी क्योंकि प्रशक्त होने के कारण पानी का सामना करना पडता है।

ग्रन्न का प्रश्न राज्य सरकारें ग्रीर केन्द्रीय मरकार हल करते है। परन्तु मेरी प्रार्थना जनता को भी है कि "ग्रन्न बचाग्रो", "सेव फुड" की घोषणा करनी चाहिये। स्वयं श्री लालबहादूर शास्त्री ने घोषणा की थी कि म्रन्न स्वावलम्बन ग्रावश्यक है, में एक समय का भोजन त्याग करने श्रीर स्रन्न उत्पादन बढाने की घोषणा पर फिर से ग्रमल करने की मेरी प्रार्थना है। घर घर में यह नारा घोषित होना चाहिये कि ग्रन्न बचाग्रो।

राष्ट्रीय, सामाजिक ग्रीर कोई भी क्षेत्र में कार्य करने वाली संस्थात्रों ग्रौर व्यक्तियों से मेरी प्रार्थना है कि वे ग्रन्न स्वावलम्बन का प्रचार ऋौर प्रसार करें।

उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, लैन्ड रिफार्म्स, खेत की सीलिग, इकानामिक होल्डिंग कितनी चाहिये, यह एक बड़ा गहरा प्रक्त है । स्राज देहातों में दिल से किसान काम कर रहे हैं लेकिन जो कठिनाइयां उनको भोगनी पड़ती हैं, उनको जल्द से जल्द हल करने के लिये चैन्ड रिफार्म्स पर बहुत ध्यान देना चाहिये ।

ग्राज हर एक राज्य में हर एक डिसटिक्ट में सीलिग ग्रलग ग्रलग है। जहां इरीगेशन की सुविधा है वहां कम सीलिग हो तब भी काम चलेगा मगर जहां सुविधा नहीं है वहां एक समय की फसल से किसानों को काम चलाना होता है। अगर हम सोचते है कि हमें स्रन्न की समस्या हल करनी है तो हमें जोनबंदी वगैरह नाबद करनी चाहिये।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): I have still got a list of large number of Members who want to participate in this debate. May, I therefore, request hon. Members to limit their remarks to ten minutes? Mr. Jagat Narain.

श्री जगत नारायण (हरियाना) : वाइम चेयरमैन महोदय, 4 तारीख को पालियामें श ग्रफेयर्स की तरफ से एक डाक्य-मेट्री विज्ञान भवन में दिखाई गई थी। उस डाल्यमेंट्री में बिहार स्रोर यु० पी० की खुगहाली के मुताब्लिक तस्वीरें थी। मे बाब जगजीवन राम जी से बड़े ग्रदब से कहना चाइता हूं कि उन्होंने वह डाक्यमेंट्री णायद देखी नहीं होगी, मगर उनको वैसे ही बिहार की मारी हालत मालम है, मगर जिन जिन लोगों ने वह डाक्यमेंटी देखी थी, जिस कतार में मैं बैठा था वहा कुछ लेडीज बैठी हुई थी, वह भव उस डाक्युमेंट्री को देख कर ग्राखो में ग्रासूबहा रही थी। मेरा पोता जो पाचवा जमात में पढता है, छुट्टिय[े] में यहा आया हुआ **है औ**र वह म**झ**से तीन दिन से बराबर यह सवाल पूछता है कि बाबुजी, हमें यह बत इते कि विहार श्रौर यु० पी० में जो छोटे छोटे बच्चे है मेरी तरह क्या वे चार चार, पांच पांच दिन से भुखे है। तो मैं यह बताना चाहता हूं कि यह डाक्युमेट्री जो पालियामेंट्री ऋफेयर्स की तरफ से दिखाई गई थी, उसमें ऐपी चीजे थी जिनका ग्रीरतों ग्रीर वच्चों ग्रीर जिन्होंने उसको देखा था, उनके दिमाग पर ग्रमर था। तो मैं सम्झता हं कि इतनी फुड की समस्या हो भ्रीर इसी

[श्री जगत नारायण]

ममस्या की वजह से ही ग्राज काग्रेस के हाथों में ताकत दो तिहाई निकल गई हो, तो यह एक ऐसी सीरियस चीज हैं जिसकी तरफ फूड मिनिस्ट्री ग्रोर फूड मिनिस्टर साहब को पूरा ध्यान देना चाहिय ।

मैं बड़े ग्रदब से मिनिस्टर माहब से पूछना चाहता हं कि दूसरी जग से पहले हिन्दस्तान मे अनज की कभी नहें थी, इंगलिस्तान मे हमारा स्रनाज जाता था, स्रं रेज यहा से बाहर ग्रनाज भेजना था ग्रार उस वक्त यहा पर न कोई टाबवेर थे, न फर्टलाइजर्स थे ग्रार न कोई ग्रार तरह की सहलियते थी। तमारे म्रादमी खेतो में काम करते थे म्रौर इतना म्रनाज पैदा करते थे कि वह सारे भारतवर्ष के लिये कफी होता था ग्रौर वाहर के मल्को को भी ए⊀सपोर्टहाताथा। तो क्या वजह है कि ग्राज वही धरती है, उससे ज्यादा ग्राज ग्राप बिजली दे रहे है, ट्राबवैं र लगा रहे है, नये इम्लीमेटेंस दे रहे है, फर्टिलाजर्स दे रहे है, फिर भी म्राज हम ये सारी बाते होते हये दूसरे देशो के मोहनाज है ग्रौर ग्राज दमरे देशों में हमारी एक बैगर की इमेज हो गई है। मै यह समझना हं कि किसी जगह कोई गलनी है। ग्राज यह एक हमारे बडे पुराने जो काग्रेम के नेता है, जिन्होंने बडी देश सेवा की हैं ग्रोर जन कार्यों मे म्रागे माने वाले नेता है, इनके हाथो मे फुड का मामला च्राया हैतो यह जानने की कोशिश वरेकि दूसरी जग से पहले यही जमीन थी, यही पानी था, नये इमातीमेट नही थे, ट्युबवैल नही थे, फटिलाजर्म नहीं थे, अपने घरों में लोग खाद पैदा करने थे, फिर भी उपज इतनी होती थी कि सारे हिन्दुस्तान के लिये काफी होती थी श्रीर बाहर के देशों को भी हम भेज सकते थे, तो क्या वजह है कि म्राज हम स्मरे देशों के मोहताज हो गये है। फिर

उस वक्त कोई फैमिली प्लानिंग नहीं थी, कोई इस किस्म की बात नहीं थी, थोड़े बच्चे पैदा करो, ऐसी कोई बात नहीं थी, हर घर में पांच सात बच्चे होते थे, तो क्या घजह है कि उस वक्त हम इतना ग्रनाज पैदा करते थे कि बाहर के देशों को भेज सकते थे ग्रौर वह ग्रपने लिये भी नाफी होता था, लेकिन ग्रब हम ग्रपना ही पेट नहीं भर पाते हैं। तो इसमें कहा फाल्ट है, इसको जानने की ग्राप कोशिश करें।

दूसरे मै उनकी खिदमत मे यह भ्रज करना चाहता हु कि जो फैक्ट्स ऐड फीगर्स वे सप्लाई करते है, उसके मुताबिक ऐवरेज 8 करोड टन हम ग्रनाज पैदा करते है। कभी उससे कम, कभी उससे ज्यादा, मगर एवरेज 6 साल का 8 करोड टन निकलता है। एक टन मे एक हजार किलो होते है ग्रौर ग्राप उसको जरब देले. तो ग्राधा किलो फी भ्रादमी को भ्रगर ग्राप दें, चाहे वह बच्चा हो, चाहे वह अम्पताल मे बीमार हो, चाहे वह बुढा हो, तो यह ग्राधा किलो 43 करोड़ ब्रादिमयो के लिए हर रोज काफी है। फिर क्या वजह है कि इतना ग्रनाज हम पैदा करे फिर भी हमारा देश भुखा रहं ग्रीर ग्राज हालत यह है कि बिहार भ्रौर यू० पी० म लोग तीन तीन दिन के बाद खाना हासिल करते है । यह उस डाक्युमेट्टी मे दिखाया गया था कि किस तरह से मारगडी ग्रौर दूसरी सोसाइटिया वहा पर लोगो की मदद कर रही है। तो मै बडे ग्रदव के साथ बाब जी की खिदमत मे अर्ज करूगा कि यह जो हमारी एवरेज उपज 8 करोड टन की है, इसको अगर वे किलो मे जरब करे तो वे देखेंगे कि श्रगर वे ग्राधा किलो पर हेड पर डे दे तो भी यह 43 करोड म्रादिमयो के लिये काफी है जब कि ग्राप यू० पी० में सिर्फ डेंढ या पौने दो किलो एक ग्रादमी को एक हफ्ते के लिये देते है। जब ग्राधा किलो देकर के 43 करोड़ श्रादिमयों के लिये यह काफी है, तो इसमें कहा

गलती है, क्या वजह है कि ब्राज लोगों को मनाज खाने को नहीं मिल रहा है क्या फाका-कशी हो रही है। यह भ्रांकड़ें भ्रापके मुहैया किये हुए हैं। इसिलिये मैं बड़े ग्रदब के साथ इन से ग्रर्ज करूंगा कि इसके मताल्लिक वे जरूर जवाब दें स्रौर यह बतायें कि स्राया यह स्रांकड़ें गलत हैं भ्रौर 80 मिलियन हम पैदा ही नही करते हैं या क्या बात है। यहां पर यह सवाल पुछा गया था कि क्या म्रापके म्रांकडें गलत हैं तो स्टेट मिनिस्टर साहब ने कहा था कि ये ग्रांकडें बिल्क्ल ठीक हैं। ग्रगर ठीक हैं तो फिर क्या वजह है कि जो हम इतना पैदा करते हैं वह हमारे देश के लिये काफी क्यों नहीं है। यह निहायत जरूरी चीज है श्रीर मैं चाहंगा कि वे इसकी छानबीन करें कि क्या वजह जो हमको हर साल पैमफ्लेट फुड के मताल्लिक दिये जाते हैं, उनमें एक बात वाजह तौर पर लिखी रहती है कि हम प्रोक्योरमेट नहीं कर सकते भ्रौर स्टेंट्स वाले प्रोक्योरमेट नहीं करते हैं। ग्राखिर इसमें कसुर स्टेट गवर्नमेंट्स का है, या सेंट्रल गवर्नमेट्स का है, या किसका है। प्रोक्योरमेंट करने का जो काम है वह स्राम लोगों का नही है। स्रापको यह कोशिश करनी चाहिये कि प्रोक्योरमेंट किया जाय । इस तरीके से प्रोक्योरमेट नहीं होगा ।

में तो इस हाउस में पहले भी कह चुका हूं और मैंने जनरल एलेक्शन्स के मौके पर भी श्रर्ज किया था कि हम समझ नहीं पाते हैं कि ग्राज क्या बात-हो गई है। जब देश ग्राज़द हुआ था और जब हम उस पंजाब से ग्राये थे जो ग्राज पाकिस्तान में है और जब हम पहुचे थे दिल्ली में या जालंधर में तो उस वक्त दस रुपया मन गंदम बिकता था। ग्रीर जब मैं सच्चर साहब की मिनिस्ट्री में था 1955 में, तो उस वक्त हालत यह हो गई थी कि गंदम का भाव नौ रुपये मन हो गया था और सरकार का यह ख्याल होने लगा था कि कहीं लोग कैश काएस के लिये न दौड़ पहें और गन्ना वगैरह न बीजना शुरू कर दें।

इसलिये उस वक्त गवर्नमेंट को दस रुपये मन गंदम खरीदना पड़ा था। यह मैं 1955 की बात बता रहा हूं। 1947 से लेकर 1955 तक जो गंदम के भाव थे वे दस रुपये मन थे। मगर 1955 के बाद गंदुम की यह हालत हो गई है कि हमारे यहां ग्रमृतसर ग्रीर जालंधर में, जो कि ग्रनाज के घर हैं, वहां हमने केन्द्रीय सरकार के फूड कापोरेशन को 56 रु० क्विंटल के हिसाब से गंदम दिया था, लेकिन ग्राज वह हमको 132 रु० क्विंटल के हिसाब से खाना पड़ रहा है। हालांकि पंजाब हिन्दु-स्तान का ग्रनरी है ग्रीर सबसे ज्यादा वह हिन्दुस्तान को ग्रनाज मुहैया करता है, फिर भी ग्राज वहां पर यह हालत है।

मैं बाबू जी की नोटिस में एक बात यह लाना चाहता हूं कि बहुत सा गंदम स्टोरों में पड़ा हुन्ना सड़ जाता है। मैं उनको एक हकीकत बयान कर रहा है। पिछले अक्तूबर में पजाब में जो गंदम सप्लाई किया गया था. मैं यह नहीं कह सकता कि वह सेंटर ने सप्लाई किया था या पंजाब गवर्नमेंट ने मप्लाई किया था, लेकिन यह मैं कह सकता हूं कि वह दो साल पूराना था । एक तरफ तो हिन्दुस्तान में फाकाकशी हो रही है ग्रौर लोगों को गदम नहीं मिल रहा है भ्रौर दूमरी तरफ जो हम को पिछले अक्तूबर में गदम सप्लाई किया गया, वह दो माल का पूराना था। इसको ग्राप वेरि-फाई कर सकते है पंजाब गवर्नमेंट से । उसमें कीडे थे, वह बहत खराब था ग्रीर पंजाब गवर्नमेंट की नोटिस में भी यह मामला लाया गया कि यह स्राप क्या सप्लाई कर रहे हैं। इससे ग्रन्दाजा होता है कि हमारी प्रोक्योरमेंट की स्कीम भी गलत है और हमारी जो डिस्ट्रि-ब्यूशन की स्कीम है वह भी गलत है। नहीं मालुम किस तरह से हमारी सरकार डिस्ट्रि-ब्यूशन करती है ग्रीर किस तरह से प्रोक्योरमेंट करने की कोशिश करती है। तो मैं बड़े ग्रदब के साथ ग्रज़ं करूगा कि वज़ीर साहब इस मामले की तह में जाने की कोशिश करे। मेरी यह ग्रपनी राय है कि हिन्द्स्तान में ग्रनाज की कमी नहीं है। भगर हम पूरी तरह [श्री जगत नारायण]

प्रोक्योरमेंट करें, ग्रगर हम ग्रच्छी तरह से किसानों की मदद करें. ग्रगर हम ग्रनाज को चुहों से बचाने की कोशिश करे ग्रीर ग्रच्छे गोदामों में उसको रख तो हमको स्रनाज की कमी नहीं होगी। स्राज भी हमको बाहर से श्रनाज मंगाने की बहत थोडी जरूरत पड़ती है। इसलिए जो हमारे ग्राज ग्रांकडे है उनके मुताबिक मै समझता हं कि हम जितना श्रनाज यहां पैदा करते हैं उसमें ही हमारे वजीर साहब ग्रपने ग्रापको ग्रात्मनिर्भर बनाने की कोशिश करे। मैं यह राय रखता हं कि जो पी ० एल ० 480 लेने की कोशिश की है यह एक गलत कोशिश की है। इससे हमारे लोगों में जो उत्साह था अन्न पैदा करने का, जो इनीसिएटिव था वह सारा इनीसिएटिव खत्म करके रख दिया है। इसलिए खत्म करके रख दिया है कि गवर्नमेंट समझती है कि रुपी पेमेंट है, पैसा देना नहीं पड़ेगा गन्दम ग्रा जायेगी तो हम प्राइसेज को ठीक कर लेगे। (समय की घंटी) जी मैं एक मिनट में खत्म कर दंगा। मै यह कह रहा था कि जो सिस्टम है पी० एल० 480 का इसको खत्म कर दिया जाय। मै किसी स्रीर विचार से नहीं कि स्रमरीका का है, इसलिए अमरीका का दबाव आता है इस बात से नहीं, मै दूसरे तुक्ते निगाह से कह रहा हं कि इसको ग्रापको बन्द करना ही चाहिए। जब तक भ्राप पी० एल० 480 लेना बन्द नहीं करेंगे तब तक इस देश के लोगों में किसानों में इनीनिएटिव नहीं स्राएगा न ही गवर्नमेंट में इनीशिएटिव ग्राएगा ग्रीर न गवर्नमेंट मस्तैदी से एग्राकल्चरिस्टस की मदद करेंगे ग्रीर न एग्रोकल्चर की तरफ इतना ध्यान देगी जितना उसे देना चाहिए ।

मैं बड़े श्रदब से कहंगा बाबू जगजीवन राम जी से कि जो महकमा उन्होंने लिया है बहुत से मिनिस्टर उसमें फेल हए हैं आगे सिवाय किदवई साहब के । वे किदवई बनने की कोशिश करें भ्राज जिन्होंने एक बार **अन्न** की समस्या को हल करके रख दिया था। उनका नाम है कि कोई किदवई मिनिस्टर बना था जिसने फुड की समस्या के हल करके रख दिया था। मैं समझता उ कि स्राज उनको यह मौका मिला है। उनमे मैंने दो अर्जे की हैं, उनका पता लगाये ग्रीर एग्रीकल्चर के महकमे की तरफ श्रपनी पूरी तवज्जह दे, जिस तरीके से भी कर सकते हैं लोगों की मदद करे ग्रौर पी ० एल ० 48 0 से इस देश को निजात दिलाएं--यही उनकी खिदमत में दरखास्त है ।

श्री पंढरीनाथ सीताराम जी पाटीस (महाराष्ट्र) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, देश की खाद्यान्न परिस्थिति पर सदन में पहले भी चर्चा हो चकी है। देश में खाद्यान की कमी गत वर्षों से अन्भव की जा रही है खास करके पिछले दो वर्षों से । किसी भी देश की जनता को पेट भर भोजन श्रौर सम्मान-पूर्वक रक्षा--इन दो तत्वों की मृलभूत ग्रावश्यकता रहती है । दूसरा तत्व सर्वथा पहले पर निर्भर है। खाद्याच्न की कमी वस्तृतः सब संकटों की जननी है। भारत श्राज इसी परिस्थिति में पड़ा हुम्रा है । जब तक हम खाद्यान्न में स्रात्मिनिर्भर नहीं होते देश की स्वाधीनता श्रीर गणतन्त्र भारी खतरे ने खाली नहीं हैं।

रत्रपर्व देश के ऋधिकाश प्रदेश में सुखा पदा था और इस वर्ष भी उत्तर प्रदश तथा विहार में पुखा पड़ा। तब से देश में 'जण कितान का नारा उद्योषित किया जा रहा है। इपी प्रकार चार साल पहले हमारे देश पर चाइता ने श्राक्रमण विद्या था, उद्युक्तर पाकिस्तान ने हम पर हमला किया था। उन दिनों हम लोगों ने 'जर जबन' का नारा लगाया था । नारे हम समय समय पर लगान है। वे हमारे जागती के सकेत तो जहर है, तयापि केत्रल जय-बोर्ग का नारा लगाने समस्या का हल होता। उमको सुलझाने के लिये सार

देशवासियो तथा सरकार को मिल कर ग्रपनी मारी शक्ति लगा देना जहरी होता है। भारत के जवान तथा कियान दोने। ही बड़े वहादूर है । वे दोनो सदा ग्रपना कांव्य-पालन ग्रपना खून ग्रोर पसीना बहाकर वडी वीरता से करते है, तथापि उन्हें जो साज-मामान की मदद लगती है वह सही ढन से उचित माता में प्रार ममय पर नहीं मिलती, यह बड़े द्ख की पात है। जवाने। की कठिनाइया तो मैं नहीं जानना, न मैं जशन ह आर न श्रज का विषय युद्ध हे, मैं एक देहाती किसान होने के कारण यह अगुपत्र करता हू कि देश में दर्भिक्ष का एकमाल बडा कारण किसानो ना द। रिद्रय है । हमारे देश की खाद्यान्त उपज ममार के अन्य देशों की तूताना में प्रति हेक्टर ग्रोसत पैदावार की एक चोथाई है । ग्राकड़ो का कारा देकर मैं सदन का अधिक समय नहीं लेना चात्ता । खाद्यान्न के साथ माथ देश में ग्रन्य व तुम्रों के उत्पादन हेतु शासन ने योजना आयोग का निर्माण किया है, परन्तू आयोग को उतनी सफनता नहीं मिली जिननी कि अपेक्षा की गई थी। स्रविक सन्तोत्यादन की समस्या में तो योजना स्रायोग लगभग समकल ही रहा है। तीसरी पचवर्जीय योजना के ग्रन्त तक देश में 10 कोटि टन ग्रनाज के उत्पादन वा लक्ष्य था। दुसरी योजना के काल में गल्ला माडे 8 कोटि टन पैदा हुग्रा था तथापि तीसरी मे घट कर साढ़े 7 के टिटन पैदा हुआ है। प्रसफतना का मुख्य करण है ये जना आयोग में कुरक प्रतिनिधियों का ग्रभाव, वहारिक योजना तथा शामकीय दुर्वेवस्था इत्यादि । ग्राज के योजना ग्रायोग की रचना में परिवर्तन होना जरूरी है। ऐनी ही परि-स्थिति पूसा, सूरतगढ त्या अन्य वं सर ारी अन्नन्धान केन्द्रो की है। इन केन्द्रा पर व्यय करोड़ो रुपए का होता है किंतु फर्न 'न' के वरावर मिलता है। उनमें भी डोन स्प्रार कियां जा सकता है।

ससार के दूसरे देशों में अनेक बड़े बड़े उद्योग-धन्धे चलते हैं, तथापि अपने देश में एकमात बड़ा उद्योग कृषि का दी है। हमारा देश कृषि प्रधान हैं। हमारे यहा के 80 प्रतिश्रात लाग खरी का व्यवसाय करोड़ो एकड़ उपाउड़ भूमि पर करते हैं, परन्तु बड़े दुख की बात है कि हम अपनी जनता का निर्वाह अपने देश के अन्तर्भन से नहीं कर सकते। अमरीका से पी० एक० 480 के अन्तर्भन तथा अन्य देशों से भी अनाज मोल लेते है। यह बात हमें शोभा नहीं देती।

ग्राज के खाद्य एवं कृषि मंत्री गरीबी तथा कृषि जीवन के बड़े श्रमुमंत्री पुरुष है तथा राज्य मंत्री भी एक उत्साही युवक कि नान है। इन दोनों से मुझे बड़ी ग्राशा है कि व ग्रपने कार्यकाल में कृषि उद्योग में कान्तिकारी परि-वर्तन करके देश की श्रन्न में श्रात्मिनिर्मर करेगे।

उपान्यक्ष महोदय देश की अनाज की उपज को बढाने की दृटिसे मैं अपने कुछ सुझाव आपके द्वारा शायन के सामने रखना ह —

- खाद्यान्त की कभी के कारण देश में मकट-काल घोषित किया जाय ।
- 2 स्रागामी 5 वर्ष मे स्रात्मानिर्भरता की योजना बना कर युद्धकाल के समान देश की सारी ताका लगा कर उसे पूर्ण मकल करना चाहिए।
- 3 विदेशी स्रनाज लेना पूरी तरह से बन्द हो।
- 4 नाइलान, टेरीलिन, साइक्लि, स्कूटर, मोटरे इत्यादी के कारखाता मे उन वस्तुप्रो की निर्मित पर कुळ सीमित नियत्नण लगा कर कृषि यत्र भी बनने चाहिए ।
- 5 मिर्क वर्ग के अनियमित पानी पर निर्भर न रह कर देश में जहा तक सम्भन्न हो, छोटे छोटे नहर, कुए कूप-निल्मा, तालाब, आदि अधिक बनवाए, और उनके द्वारा सिंचाई व्यवस्था करें, खाद, कीटाणु-नाशक दवाइया, बीज,

[श्री पंढरीनाथ सीतारामजी पाटील] छोटे-छोटे यात्रिक ग्रौजार की व्यवस्था करें तथा ऋग इत्यादि की स्विधाएं किसानों को सरलाना से जल्द से जल्द उपलन्ध हों ।

- 6. भरकार किसानों की खड़ी फमल की बीमा योजना लागुकरे।
- 7. सरकार द्वारा दूध, डेरी, पण, मुर्गी, मछनी पालन स्नादि से पुरक खाद्यान्त को म्रजिक सहायना तयः बढ़ावा मिलना चाहिये ।
- 8 किनानों से सरकारी ऋग तथा लगान ग्रनाज के रूप में शासन को लेगा चाहिये।
- 9. हर जाह अनाज के 'कोठार' यानी श्राय निक गोडाउन्म बनाने चाहियें क्योंकि देश के उत्पादन का लगभग एक चौथाई श्रााज की ड़े, महोड़े श्रोर चुड़े इत्यादी खा जाते है।

10.

उपसभाष्यक्ष (श्री महाबीर प्रसाद भागंव) : पाटील साहब, 10 इबर भी हों गये।

श्री पंडरीनाथ सीतारामजी पाटील : . . .तहसील में एक कृषि विद्यालय ग्रोर हर जिने में एक कृषि महाविद्यालय खोल कर उनमे शानन द्वारा किनानों के लड़ हों को ति:गुक ग्राबनिक कृषि शिक्षा मिलनी चाहिये ।

- 11. जनाखोरों तथा अबिक मुनाफा-खोरो को कड़ी से कड़ी सजा शासन द्वारा मिल । चाहिये।
- 12. ग्रनाज के जिनरंग का राष्ट्रीयकरण तुरन्त होना चाहिये। श्राज श्रनाज के एक्त्रीकरग तथा वितरण में बड़ा दोष है उनमे कालाबाजारी ग्रार वृपबोरी ग्रत्याधिक बढ़ रही है।

उपसभाव्यक्ष महोदय, प्रत में सबसे महत्वार्ण बात यह है कि कृति उत्पादन वस्तुत्री के दाम ग्राज बाजारों में किसानों को उचित नहीं मिलते। उनका शोषण होता है। वह कर्ज के महासागर में डुब रहा है। इयलिये मुख्य बात यह है कि गैर कृषि चीजो को तुलना में कृषि चीजों के उचित मुख्य देने का पूरा बंदी-वस्त सरकार द्वारा होना चाहियं । उससे किसान मुखी होगा । वह अपना प्राप्त धन खेतों में डालकर उत्पादन बढायेगा. यानी निश्चय ही भारत खाद्यान्न मे ब्रात्मनिर्भर वनेगा ।

र्श्वा फ़ें र सी० बघेल (मध्य प्रदेश) उपासभाध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्रन्न सम् य सम्बन्ध में लोगों ने बहुत मत्यवान ग्रं।र उपयोगी सूचनाए दी है। मैं दम मिनट के श्रन्दर ही श्रपना भाषण समान्त करने की कोशिश करूगा कुछ बाते सुझाव के रूप में रखना चाहता हूं जैमा कि मैं ग्रपने देश की समस्याको समझाह।

मबसे पहली बात जो शासन की याद रखरी चाहिये वह यह हमारे देश की समृद्धि भारत की सारी समद्धि किसानों की समद्धि के ऊपर निर्भर है ब्रौर हमने यह जो, उसके प्रति एक बड़ी भारी उपेक्षा की, उसकी नेगलेस्ट किया, उसका ही परिणाम स्राज हम भोग रहे हैं। मैं यह मानता हं कि इन्डरिट्य-लाइजेशन की बहत ज्यादा जरूरत है लेकिन हमने उस प्रपोर्सन को त्याग दिया जिसको हमें राष्ट्रितः गाधीजी ने बताया था ग्रौर पाच बनियादी चीजों में जिसमें कि भोजन, कपड़ा,शिक्षा, रक्षा श्रौर मकान णामिल है उनकी तरक हमने बिन्कुल ध्यान नहीं देकर ग्रौद्योगीकरण की तरफ ही ज्यादाध्यान दिया आज उसी का परिणाम है कि हमारे देश में तरह तरह की वाते देखने को मिल रही है ग्रीर हमको जो रिवर्सेज न्नाज मिल रहे है उसका कारण मैं यही मानता हं। म्राज किसानो को फुसलाने के लिये **म्रा**फ

उनसे कटते हैं कि तम देश कीरीट की हड़ड़ी हो, कोई कहना है ग्रन्नदाता ही कोई ''जय किसान'' के नारे लगाते हैं। लेकिन वास्तव में देखा जाय ये सब बाते फुपलाने की ही है, सही हालत को बताने को नहीं है। ग्राज का किसान श्रपनी कमाई के भरोसे प्रपने लडको को उची णिक्षा नहीं दे मक रहा है। हालत यह होती जा रही है कि उसकी पैदा की हुई चोजो की कीमने बाजार में बहुत कम भाव पर दी जाती है। हमने देखा है कि दुनिया में कोई ऐसा वर्ग नहीं है जो अपनी चीज की कीमत अपने मृह से नहीं मागता है ने जिन केवल एक बेचारा किमान ही ऐसा है कि जिसके द्वारा उत्पादित वस्तुप्रो की कीमत को व्यापारी या सरकारी कर्मचारी या शासन तय करते है। उसको झकमारकर इस निर्धारित कीमत पर देना पड़ता है। ग्राज जहा जहा किसानो को ग्रपनी चीज देनी पड़नी है वह तो पूराने रेटो के मताबिक तीन चार गुना अधिक कीमत पर बेचता है। लेकिन उसके म्काबले मे उसकी जरूरत की वस्तए जैसे तम्बाक्, कपडा, लकडी, सुपारी, मिट्टो का तेल इत्यादि आठ गने के हिसाब से ज्यादा कीमत पर उसकी खरीदनी ५३ ती है। इस तरह उसकी हालन मुधरी नही है। जो लोग कहते हैं अनाज से पैसा किसान को ज्यादा मिलता है वह गलत कहते है । वह पेसा तो दलालो ग्रौर मक्तखोरो की जेब मे चला जाता है। तकाबी वितरमा मे उसे सरकार। कर्मचारियो ग्रीर सरकारी दलालो द्वारा लटा जाता है। मेरा सझाव है कि तकावी का वितरण गावी के सब लोगों के सामने सरकारी कर्मचारी कर । जब 200 रुपये से ऊपर की तकाबी दी जाती है तो जो सरकारी कर्मचारी बाटता है उसमे भ्रष्टाचार करता है, यह हमारा ग्रनभव है। यह ग्रधिकाश रूप से देखः गया है।

कितने दुर्भाग्य की बात है कि जिस देश मे ग्रस्मी प्रतिशत लोग कृषि उद्योग पर जीवित है वहा पर हमको ग्रन्न की भीख मागने के लिये

दूसरे देशों के पास जाना पड़ । ग्राज किसान की हालन का मुनाबला किया जाये तो जो 100 रुपये या 12 रुपये तन बाह पाने वाला मामली ऐंड । या मरकारी कमचारी है वह ग्रच्छे से ग्रन्छे किसान से ज्यादा सहिलयने पाता है, ग्रपने लडको को ग्रन्छी शिक्षा दे सकता है। ग्रन्छे से ग्रन्छा किसान एसका मकाबला नही कर सकता है। मै छतीसगढ एरिया से म्राता ह। वहा लोग वेजबान है, पोस लविंग है यानि जातिप्रिय है। मैं देखता ह कि कितना मर मर कर कमाते है फिर भी अपना पेट नहीं भर पाने है और ग्रन्थी चोज बाजार में बेचनी पड़नी है। ग्राज किसानो का दिल टुटता जा रहा ह, वह ज्यादा श्रन्न पैदा करके मणीबत मे पडना नहीं चाहता है और इसलिये ने करी चाकरी ज्यादा पसन्द करने है। जो किसान थोडी बहत खेती करना चाहता है तो वह कैश काप्स की तरफ जा रहा है। यह सब क्यो हो रहा है ? इन्डस्ट्यिलाइजेशन के कारण नौकरी चाकरी के लियं मभी लोग इंग उदर जाते हैं। श्रीर हाय पैमा हाय दमा का राग ग्रलापने है। स्कल मास्टरी करने के लिये किसान ग्रपने लड़के को गांव के बाहर भेज देता है। वह लडका जो किमान बनता वह णिक्षक बन कर भ्राराम तलवी को कमाई करना चार्या है ।

मै एक सृज्ञाव त्राप इन्ग्योरेन्स के बारे मे देना चाहूना । ऋाप इन्ग्योरेन्स की स्कीम सन्कार को भीघ्र लागु कर देनी चाहिंगे ताकि किसानों के ग्रन्दर भुरक्षा की भावना जन्द से जन्द जागत हो । स्राज देसा नहीं हो रहा है । वह हर हमेशा इरता रहता है कि कल उसकी क्या हालन होने वाली है, उनके बच्चों ना भविष्य क्या होगा?

माइ तर इरिगेशन की स्कीम बहुत इर्कावटव्ह इंग में लागू होती चाहिये। ग्रगर इसके ऊपर पहले में ग्रधिक ध्यान दिया गया होता तो मेरे ख्याल से 50 परसैट

[श्री के० सी० बघेन]

2619

श्रीतक लैन्ड में सिचाई हा जाती । सरकार फैनो लैन्ड पड़नी जमीन के वितरण करने मे बहुत ज्यादा पक्षपात से काम लेंगी है स्रीर हमने देवा है कि सरकारी कर्मचारी जिनने उनके पहिचान के लोग है या उनसे जा मिले जुले लाग है, दलाल टाइप के लोग हे जो खेनो किमानी नहीं करते हैं ऐसे लोगो का लैन्ट दे देने है । जो जमीन बाटी जारती है वह जो अपनो मेहनी किसान है या जो वह। के वाशिदे है उनको नहीं दी जा रही है। खास कर मै प्रात कु शहताको के अनभव से जैने प्रवत्रो, महानत्र, बनाना, सरशईपाली है। इस बात को ग्राप्के सामने पेण करना चाहता हूँ। समय कम है इनलिये म इस णइन्ट को टच करके छोड़ देता ह ग्रीर डोटेल मे जब प्रागे कभी मौका लगेगा. तामे उसकापश करूना।

मै जोतल सिस्टम को देश के लिये घातक समझता ह देश हिन को दृष्टि से । इसिलये जिन भाइयो ने उनवे बार मे कहा है कि जोतल सिम्टम हटना जाहिये मै उनका समर्थन करता ह । मै मर्गम श्रीर उटीया की सरकार का ब्राज काग्रेव्लेशन्स देना चाहता ह अभिनन्दन करता ह कि उन्होने बहाद्री के साथ र 'रेकेय का माफ कर देने का जो कदम उधाया है, निश्चय किया है वह प्रश-सनीय है। यह मोचने की बात है कि ग्राज 3000 ० तक की प्रामदनी पर इतकमटैक्प माफ डोता है श्रीर ऐने लोग जो तरह तरह की दनानी करते फिरने है, लटाई करने है म् गफा रागी करते ह उनको तो इन इन्कम-टक्स माफ लिकन हमारे दो एकड, चार एकड वाले किमान की भो लगान देना पड़ना है। नो यह जो लगान देना पड़ना है रेवेन्य् टैक्स दना पडना है उसक जिन तरह से ग्राज मद्र म की सरकार या उडीपा को सरकार माफ कर रही है उपका ग्रनकरण दूसरी राज्य सरकारे भी करे ऐसा मेरा समाव है।

मैं ग्रामके नोटिस में यह भी लाना चाहता ह कि ३० ००० ट्रैक्टर्स जा फारेन कन्ट्री से मगाये गये थे वे ट्रैक्टर स्राज वेकार पड़े हए है क्या कि उनके स्पेयर पार्टम नही है। किसानो को किराये पर देने के लिये ब मगाये गये थे । अगर वे टैक्टर काम किय होते तो मालुम नही कितनी ऋधिक पैदावर हुई होनी लेकिन यह सब नही हापारहा है। उन 30 हजार ट्रैक्टर्म के लिये वजी नहीं स्पेयर पार्टस हम यहा बना सक्ते है। जब हमारे पास स्टील के बडे बड कार 🤊 🕇 है, जैसे भिलाई, रूक्षेला, दुर्गाप्र ग्रीप बहर सी फैक्ट्रीज नमाम जगह रही ह, टाटा वगेरह के कारखाने परले से है ही तो इत तमास जगहो म मरेयर पाटा क्यो नहीं बनाये जा सकते है। इसके निये सरकार क्यो नहीं ध्यान देनी है। ३० हजार ट्रैबटस बेकार पडे रह जाये ग्रौर उससे किसाना का इतना नकसान है। तो यह बहुत शोचनीय बात है। किसानों के साथ यह कैंगे हम न

याज जो किसानो के अन्दर फर्न्डेशन है उसको जल्दी से जल्दी दर करने की बात न्नार मो मोचनो चाहिये । पत्**ले** यह कहात्रन दण मे मशहर थी

"उत्तम खेनी मध्यम बान कनिष्ट चाकरी भी श्रीशन । तेक्ति ग्राज कहावन जना के उटी हो गई है।

> "उतम नौकरो मध्यम बान किन्छ खेरी भी बन्धान । "

यह स्थिति ग्राज हमारी हा गई है।

म्राप देखिये कि हमारा देश खेतिहर प्रजान देश है। यदि हम प्रन्त की भीखा मागे तो ऐसे देश पर कौन विश्वास कर सकेगा। एक कहावत है

> वंद्र रोगी तम्णजागी सूर गेरी घात्र र ।

क्तिमिया घर भीस्त्र माणे इनही जाति पतियाव रे ।

त्राज हमारे प्रति जा लागो का विश्वास घर गया है उसका करण मूला यह है कि कृषि प्रधान देश होने देथे भी हम भूडे मर रहे हैं जिस तरह से बंद रोगी हो तरण धोगी हो सूर बीर की पीठ में प्राव हो ग्री विभिया यदि घर घर भी ब मागता है ता में त्यिका का कोई विश्वास नहीं करता । उसी तरह से ग्राज हमारी वकत ग्रीर साख गिर गई है । ग्राज यह हमारे लिये लज्जा ग्रार शम की बात है। इस पर हम का फिरसे साचना चाहिये । विलोबा जी ग्रीर गंभी जी जसे लागो ने कहा है कि जा सरकार प्रजा का पेट भर भोजन नहीं दे सकती उसका शासन पर बैठने का ग्री कार नहीं है ।

प्रन्त मे मै यही कहना चाहना ह कि सिचाई, बाद, उत्तम वाज, नये प्राजार, तात्रिक शिक्षा प्रादि पर ध्यान दिया जाय ग्रोर तभी पृष्ट प्रार मनुष्ट उनक होगे ग्रीर दश मे खुगहाली बढ सकेगी ग्रीर तभी हम जय किसान कहने के सही मायने मे हक्दार हो सकेगे। प्रभा तो सब कोको टाइल टाइप की सकेगे। प्रभा तो सब कोको टाइल टाइप की सकेगे। मगरम छ का प्राप्य बहाना है किसान के साथ मददी की बाने करना।

SHRI K P MALLIKARJUNUDU (Andhra Pradesh): Mr Vice-Chairman, the food problem as any other economic problem is essentially one of production and distribution. In no other field of economic activity do deficiencies in production and supply create so much havoc as in the field of food People expect naturally and legitimately that their Government is bound to feed thm all I should think it is the paramount duty of any Government to see that all the people are fed well

Mr Vice-Chairman, with your permission I may quote a verse from the

famous "Raghuvamsa" written by Mahakavi Kalidasa, one of the greatest poets of the world, if not the greatest. He says.

प्रजाना विनयाद्यनान् रक्षणान् भरणादिष म पिता पितरस्नामा केवल जन्म हेनवः

That means, the king is the father because he gives protection to people against hunger, against aggression and against indiscipline doubt our Government have taken some steps to improve the food situation In spite of the best efforts the problem remains intractable I think it is partly due to a sense of complacency and lack of urgency It is no doubt true that the food situation has become aggravated on account of the continuous failure of the Nevertheless it does not absolve the Government of its responsibility plan ahead in such a manner they could anticipate the evil consequences of these natural calamities and provide against them

Mr Vice-Chairman, therefore, it seems to me that topmost priority, not even top priority but topmost priority, should be given to agricultural production. Of course we can have two views in this matter. One is a long-range view and the other is a short-range view. While we should not neglect the long-range view of the matter, I should think we should more and more concentrate upon the short-range methods which can only give interest to the short-range methods which can only give interest.

In this connection I have had opportunities of making certain suggestions on previous occasions. Therefore, I do not want to cover the same ground again but I should like to point out that the one answer to this immediate problem lies in harnessing the results of research of the science of agriculture and technology. Our research scholars have stated that the output and profits can be maximised by the efficient use of inputs. Among the inputs, they are of the view that research in the year 1966 revealed that

[Shri K. P. Mallikarjunudu.]

a hundred per cent increase can be effected by an effective use of fertilisers. They say that it can be done by broadcasting phosphates on the field and placing the nitrogenous fertiliser at 5 cm. below the surface. In addition to that they say that the use of fertiliser can be economised and made more effective. They propose the application of phosphorous by mixing it with cowdung before application to the fields. They also say that application of fertilizers through the leaves and partly through the soil leads to a saving of 50 per cent in the quantity of fertilisers. They suggest method of economising the use of fertilisers: by spraying the fertiliser the field, particularly the fertiliser known as urea. By such methods, by proper and effective use of the agricultural inputs, our experts are of opinion that 10 million tonnes additional rice can be produced during 1967-68 from the 13 million hectares of land with abundant watersupply. Out of 30 million hectares of irrigated land, we have got 13 million hectares of land which enjoy abundant. According to our exwater supply. perts and scientists we can produce 10 million tonnes of additional rice from these 13 million hectares of land which enjoy abundant water supply.

It is not a disputed fact that rice is the staple food of our country. It is consumed by the largest number of people in India. Apart from that, rice is grown in a much larger area than the one sown with other cereals. Under these circumstances I suggest that we should concentrate on the increased production of rice. In this connection, I may be permitted to state that our State of Andbra Pradesh can give good results if proper facilities are provided. I may state that there are 3.2 million hectares of good irrigated land in Andhra Pradesh at the present time. I am proud to say that our Andhra farmers are efficient and hardworking. It is not my own testimony; it is not a selfserving testimony as lawyers call. am supported in this view by no less a journal than the "London Economist". It says:

"Andhra Pradesh is the rice bowl of India. An increase of 70 per cent in yield was secured on some farms last year by the introduction of high-yielding varieties."

That is the testimony of no less a journal than the "London Economist".

Therefore, I say that the Government should provide as many facilities as possible by supplying fertilisers and high-yielding varities to the farmers of Andhra Pradesh so that they can considerably increase the production and mitigate our difficulties.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): One minute more.

SHRI K. P. MALLIKARJUNUDU-I am hurring up. I have written down a lot but in view of the shortness of time, I am condensing it.

I am not speaking from any narrow, parochial point of view, I am speaking from a broad perspective of solving the food problem. Andhra Pradesh in the Kamadhenu, it is a milch cow from which you will get milk in abundance.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): Mr. Vice-Chairman, I do not know what to say really to the Central Government on the question of food. One would have expected that at least after the General Elections, they would indicate some drastic change in their policy. But I understand that the policy has not been formulated yet and that the old policy continues. This is what I get from the report which has been submitted to this House. Right at the beginning, I should like to make one thing clear. Now, for the first time, there will be a food conference of the representatives of the State and Central Governments to discuss the food problem in the country. Unlike in the past, this conference would be attended by the Chief Ministers of eightif I am right-non-Congress States,

eight Congress-run States plus the Union Ministers here. This in itself is a new development and certainly it has serious implications in the context of the matter under discussion, namely, the country's food problem. Now, according to the latest figure, these non-Congress States which will be represented at the Conference on the 8th and 9th account for 228 million people out of the 500 million people in our country, a clean majority of the population. Areawrise also, these States account for a greater part of the cultivable area under the plough than the areas in the States run by the Congerss Governments. I mention these facts because agriculture is a State subject. Food, in a way, is a State subject apart from the assistance that the Centre gives and Central responsibility is indeed very great. Agriculture, where the production place, is a State subject. Naturally, you cannot expect the old type approach to the problem or the old type of deliberations at the Conference, certainly not the old type of policy. Previously, the Central Government decided according ta likes of its party or the guidance of its party, the Congress Party, things went on smoothly in the sense that the Chief Ministers, more or less with certain modifications, fell in line. This is no longer going to happen. Be clear in your mind. The State Governments, especially the non-Congress State Governments, will be agreeable to a food policy in so far as it is acceptable to them. I take that you will also be agreeable to a food policy in so far it is agreeable to the Centre or to the Congress Government at the Centre or to the Congress Governments at the States. What does mean? It means that a serious effort must be made to break away from the past and evolve an entirely new food policy which accommodates not only the Governments of the Congress-run States but also the Governments of the non-Congress There will be clearly fundamental and divergent approaches to the matter and one should find a common mea-

sure of agreement. The mere fact that today you will be called upon to find a common measure of agreement in order to arrive at what may called a national food policy underlines the need and urgency for giving up the present policy.

Now, a number of non-Congress Governents and their representatives will be participating in this Conference with an entirely different outlook from the one that you have been having all these years. Mr. S. K. Patil has gone and Mr. Subramaniam has gone. But it does seem that somehow or the other the American food policy in the Indian Parliament does not go as far as this Government is concerned. May I tell Mr. Jagjivan Ram, very frankly? His success as Food Minister today lies in how he accommodates the different points of view, how he adjusts himself to the new reality, how he takes good things from those who are coming to Conference, people not belonging to the Congress Party, but at the same time also giving them whatever he thinks is his positive line of approach. This is very, very important. I do hope that some kind of a national food policy will be attempted at the Conference now that a need to make a serious effort to evolve such a policy has arisen on account of the fact that it will not be a one-party affair but there will be many parties represented at the Conference, not only at non-official level but at the level also, There will be the Chief Ministers coming from the Swatantra the Chief there will be Party; Minister coming from the Bangala Congress; there will be the Minister coming from the Communist Party (Marxist)—and there will the Chief Ministers coming from other parties also; they will be coming from the Akali Dal Party and they will be coming from the DMK and the Congress Parties also. Therefore, I think the hon. Minister is aware of cituation that he is going to face at the Conference and he should therefore go there with an open mind, if possible with some constructive propo[Shri Bhupesh Gupta.]

Motion re

sals if he has any. As I said before, the old policy has got to be abandoned. What is that policy? This policy, so far, has remained a policy of dependence on American food. This is the pillar of that policy and at the same time I think, the other aspect of the policy should be gone Mr. Vice-Chairman, if you ask me, it is very difficult to say what is the other aspect of the policy but perhaps it is going the old way, trying to avoid the Centre's responsibility as far as possible. That is the Centre's line. Now, this has to be given up entirely and a different approach will have to be made.

Now, before I make some criticism, may I deal with some aspects of the situation? This Report, unfortunately, still underestimates the gravity of the food situation. In one place all that the Report itself says is that a serious situation is going to be developed; a serious situation on the food front may develop. But there is no indication how that situation is going to be tackled. Well, I hope some serious effort will be made at the Conference to tackle it. As I said, the policy is one of dependence on foreign food, begging for foreign food, begging for fertilisers in order to increase production. Quite clearly, we cannot tackle the food problem in the country bv dependence on foreign food. This is number one. This has to be realised. I hope the Chief Ministers coming from the Governments will non-Congress strongly impress upon the Central Government that this policy has got to be abandoned; they have to fight tooth and nail. I do not say, abandon it the next moment. The new policy should be with the clear objective of making the country-self-sufficient in food production and overcoming this dangerous and nationally derogratory dependence on foreign food.

No conference would be worth looking at unless that line is taken at the conference. Policies should be sought to be evolved with that understanding,

with that perspective in view, realisable, immediate perspective. This is very, very important. Therefore. what does it mean? We have tackle the problem of production. We have to tackle the the problem distribution. We have to tackle problem of crisis. These the major aspects of the problem that one has to come to grips with, and unless we tackle them properly, no new food policy can emerge.

Food Situation

Sir, we have been told about National Food Budget. I do not know what that National Food Budget 18. Till now they have been taking the line of national starvation or semistarvation. This line of national semistarvation can never be allowed masquerade a National Food Budget under this Government for the simple reason that other non-Congress Governments will never accept kind of manoeuvre on the part of the Central Government or false pretences on the part of the Central Government. The Central Government will be confronted with evolving, what may truly be called, a National Food Policy to feed our people, to give them nutrition and to make their life a little better in the matter of food, tackling all aspects of the problem.

Now, Mr. Vice-Chairman, what we get from the report is rather disturb-The production has gone down by about 16-17 million tonnes compared to 1964-65. Yet the Presidential Address says that in another three or four years the production would go up from 74 inillions to 100 million tonnes Do we have magicians in the Government who will produce food out of their hat? There is no indication at all how the production will be increased to such a level by 25 or 26 million tonnes in three or four years' time, when under the First Plan production stagnated except for one year. Now the production in the first year of the Fourth Plan has gone down. This should have been explained to the House properly. Well, nothing has been explained in the report at all. Therefore, I think that here you must

bear in mind that mere declarations will not do If declarations produce food, then this land would be having plenty of food because even in the First Plan we set the target at 100 million tonnes But we know where we are today We are short of the target by 26 million tonnes at the end of the first year of the Fourth This is one thing Plan I find with regard to production according to the statement they have issued

Mr Vice-Chairman, now let come to the question of piocurement, another important aspect Procurement is another failure We set up the Food Corporation in our country with a view to procuring foodgrains and building up stocks What do we see? What have we done? According to this report, in January-February they have procured only 26 lakh tonnes of rice and 62 lakh tonnes of wheat, altogether 88 lakh Last year, in 1966, the Food Corporation of India procured only 1252 lakh tonnes of foodgrains both on Central Gvernments Government and State account Now this is the position Yet we were told by Mr Subramaniam last year that the procurement would be of the order of at least 7 million tonnes

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN) in the Chair]

We wanted an explanation in the report as to why this has not been done This is on record Even earlier, promised was what was Even earlier the total foodgrains procurement was about 4 million tonnes, I believe Even that has not been achieved. It seems the procurement programme is going down instead of going up, according to the Now it is quite clear official figure that unless we procure the marketable surplus, may be 18 million tonnes or so-rice and wheat taken together-we will not be in a position to tackle the food problem at all Well, for that w' need a very wholesome and vigorous operation by the Food

Corporation of the country procurement prices should be so fixed that the peasant gets remunerative prices and we are in a position or fore-stall the operation of the blackmarketeers and profiteers so that peasants are interested in the operation of the Food Corporation of India and other agencies which may buy on behalf of the Government

Food Situation

Mr Vice-Chairman, the State Goverrment, I hope, will impress upon the Centre that this should be done In the past this was not done leason was that some of the Governments were non-cooperative They did not like the Food Corporation of India to buy in their States State I come from is a case in point West Bengal, last time, procured only 52,000 maunds of foodgrains, that too from rice millers Nothing was procured, not a grain, by Food Corporation of India grain of paddy was purchased either by the Food Corporation or by the State Government from the peasant, with the result that we are in an extremely cirtical situation in West Bengal today

Mr Vice-Chairman, the first thing, therefore, to discuss here, apart from the question of production to which I shall come later, is to make procurement a grand success Here I may inform you that the new West Bengal Government has announced its food policy on the 29th of the last month, and immediately the Minister gone to the villages, to help procurement in the Bengal villages. All the leading Members of all the Parties are going to the villages in order to help the Ministers and others in order to impress upon the peasant, offering them a little higher price, Rs 21 to Rs 23, so that they sell to the Government agencies. At the same time thev have asked all those owners of land, having 10 to 12 acres—depending upon the area, whether it is an irrigated land or not-to sell all the surplus stock over and above their needs for cultivation and consumption, to the Government Now

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta].

the campaign is going on. That is how it should be done. Machinery should be set up. Popular co-operation should be sought and the Government should come to the scene in a big way. You are in a position to do so because a large number of State Governments not only would like to participate in the procurement drive but their Ministers would like to participate in the drive on the basis of a sound economic policy which is in the interest of the peasant.

You will be surprised to hear that this procurement drive during this period failed, had been sabotaged by the Congress leaders who were in control of the State Governments because they would not like to command their patrons, the hoarders and profiteers, who had been finding money for the Congress election fund.

Sir, this Government, in 1964, imported 6.3 million tonnes of foodgrains. In 1965 they imported 7.5 million tonnes of foodgrains, and in 1966 they imported 10.4 million tonnes of foodgrains. Now, according to American papers, they have a Plan of importing, if the Americans oblige them, 20 million tonnes of foodgrains. And you know how the Americans are looking at the problem. That is again interest-Literally they are making fun They are saying that we are not making any self-effort at all. Some of the American newspapers others are writing like that. I have brought something for you here. This is how they make fun of us. Here I am reading it. Mr. W. R. Poage, Chairman, U.S House of Representatives Agriculture Committee says:

"She lacks a great deal . . ."— She means 'India'—

"... of the self-help efforts I expect of a person who applies for public charity".

We are looked upon as if we are applying for public charity and then we

are told that we are not making selfeffort. He is right in this sense. It is not 'she'—well it is 'she', the Prime Minister is a 'she'. She and her Government are behaving in this manner. Then Senator Allen Ellender, Chairman, Senate Agriculture Committee says:

"They will say Uncle Sam will carry the load. We are being too soft-nosed".

This is how the Americans are reacting to this and this Government has become so insensitive in such matters that these insulting utterances do not affect it at all. It goes on begging and begging all the time. Now to-day another development is taking place. From P. L. 480 we have been taken, in addition, to international pressure, namely, the Consortium will now also solve India's food problem. Americans have started the mischief. The Americans say: 'We are prepared to give you 3 million tonnes of additional foodgrains provided you get a similar quantity of foodgrains from other countries and now the Consortium comes here. We shall be subjected to collective pressure of West under American aegis. Therefore we have been made into an international charity boy, going round the world with a hat in our hand, France, America, Canada, Australia, etc. and ask them: 'Give us a little food' and the Americans have told: 'Unless you go round the world in this manner as an international charity boy, even the American charity which you count so much will not be forthcoming.' What else could be a devastating gesture to our people, to our self-respect and to our nation, I should like to know from the Govern-Now the Government should ment. seriously consider this. This is not a party issue at all. I know Members opposite sincerely want the country to become self-sufficient food. After all we may be Congressmen or Communists We are all We want our image Indians. οf national honour not to be sullied, not

to be tainted by this kind of behaviour on the part of anyone. Therefore that problem is very very important.

Then comes distribution. What has happened to distribution? The report is midleading. The report says this. To-day you cannot get away by saying this because there are non-Congress Governments to tell you that what you are telling about the States is fa.se. Previously when we said from the Opposition you could away by saying that the State does not say so, namely, the State Government does not say so. To-day you are not in that happy position. Now what do you say? You boast about statutory rationing in your report and claim that 30 million people are under statutory rationing. You claim that 201 million people are under informal rationing but what does Mr. P. C. Ghosh, the West Bengal Food Minister say? I have got this from him. According to the Bengal Government estimates, the informal or modified rationing was almost on the point of collapse and statutory rationing also is gravely endangered in Bengal. The same is the position in the other States where rationing of this kind obtains. Why is thi; not mentioned? You may say perhaps that this report was written tefore the non-Congress Government came into existence. Then you should not have submitted this kind of report to us which you know, would not be accepted even as an objective narration of facts by the State The Bihar Govern-Governments. ment does not sav what vou say in your report. The Madras Government does not say what you say in your report. The Kerala Government takes a different view of the food situation in that State than what you say in this report. The Bengal Government has a different version today and if that is the position, do not try to behave in the old way. Do realise, Mr. Food Minister that you are the Food Minister of a country where the majority of the States, at least half of the States, are to-day not under your control at the State

level. Therefore when you prepare a report of this kind, consult State Governments, find the facts from them and then compile them in a proper way and present it to the Parliament and do not try to treat us as if the whole thing is an oneparty affair to-day whether at State level or at the Central You must understand that. Therefore we are facing a situation when far from extending the rationing to all towns with a population of a lakh and more which was the promise of the Government the rationing already in existence is collapsing, breaking down, is facing supp'y difficulties. This is the position to-day. Take the of West Rongal Inct year you supplied well over 16 lakh tonnes this period foodgrains in whereas during December, January February you supplied only 2,60,000 tonnes of grains to Bengal. Now it is quite clear that Bengal cannot maintain the rationing unless proper supplies are maintained by the Centre. I must point out to you that terruptions). What are you saying? Get up and say.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN): When you finish, he will explain the whole position.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Tt is better to have movement of tongue rather than of the hand. Therefore West Bengal needs at least 15 lakhs tonnes of foodgrains here and now for the remaining part of the year in order to maintain its commitments including the rationing commitments. Rationing is certainly operative in the State but this was done as a result of certain commitments clear'y given by the Centre to the State. In fact it was maintained largely on the basis of supplies from the Centre. If you look into the debate of the past you will find it. To-day we find the Government is playing a kind of a hide and seek game over this matter. It should not be so. The Government should fulfil this commitment. Let it

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta] not be said that when the non-Congress Governments came into power in the different States, the Central Government took a tardy attitude with regard to them and pleaded all kinds of inability in this matter. I hope it will not be said. This is also important but I do agree that this matter needs to be discussed. With regard to rice, they say that rice is in short supply. Everybody knows that difficu'ty is there with regard to rice although production can certainly be increased. I had talks with the Chief Minister of Orissa in a private capacity and earlier I had also talks with the Chief Minister of Bengal. In fact one day I met Mr. Ajoy Mukerjee in his Chamber in the Assembly House where the Orissa Chief Minister was also present. We know each other. Therefore we discussed this subject informally and I had the impression that if the Central Government would make available certain quantities of wheat to Orissa, Orissa would be in to, at least a position would try seriously to make the same quantity of rice available to Bengal. That is how things should be tackled. I am not going into the more delicate question of zonal system, etc. All I say is, today since you are getting all the Chief Minister here, see to what extent you can mobilise mutual help between them. That is very very important. Mr. Annadurai can help some. Mr. Brahmananda Reddy can help others. Madhya Pradesh can help some. Simi'arly other States can help others. To-day it is essential develop this kind of cooperative spirit, more especially when different types of State Governments have come into existence.

As far as production is concerned, that is the crucial thing. I do not know, Mr. Vice-Chairman, how you feel about it. You have been connected with feudalism, I am told. in old days. But those days are gone, as you know very well.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI AKBAR AJI KHAN): Mr. Gupta, you

know I am in the Chair. I cannot say many things from here.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I hear, Sir, that a gent'eman living in a stone house in Hyderabad and bearing the name of Mr. Akbar Ali Khan had been connected with feudalism. He is a very knowledgeable man. He knows feudalism.

Now, therefore, that way, you cannot do so; you cannot increase food production that way. But it is possible to increase food production within our country by avoiding excessive investments in fertilizers and other things; not that they are not necessary; they should be brought in to the extent desirable keeping in view the question of our independence and our national interests. But at same time what is most important today in a country like ours is to mobilise the labour power, the idle labour power, and harness the idle labour production, by mobilising power to again the cultivable waste land. Forty-seven million acres of cultivable waste land are there. Suppose you uti'ise a part of it, a good part of it, to increase production and mobilise the idle labour in the rural areas then, immediately, you can bring about a considerable change in productive sphere in agriculture, resulting in good yields of foodgrains, not only in quantity, but also per acre. This is the opinion of many economists in the country, and that shou'd be done. Now regarding agriculture. in the draft of the Fourth Five-Year Plan I have found it said that land is to the tiller. Now this has become a cliche for the Congress Party land to the tiller is the real problem. It is the 'ife and death question today. If we do not get the land to the tiller, there shall be the noose around our neck, the American noose, Therefore I think that in many respects have to take steps to reorganise OUT agriculture, by giving the land the tiller of the soil, by recognising of the the rights cultivators and

giving them the proper price, and also making credit and other facilities available to them. Even according to the latest report of the Planning Commission and other papers we that 85 per cent of our rural credit is still dependent on the source of money-lending classes, whereas the co-operative sources only cover 20 per cent or so, and even there much of the moneys that flows to the co-operative channel does not reach the really cultivating peasant but goes into the pockets of some very rich people in the villages. Therefore, reorganisation of our co-operative institutions is also very very important apart from, of course, the extension of these institutions by adequate assistance from the Centre, from the Reserve Bank and others. Co-operative laws have got to be changed and the conditions under which loans are given must also be modified in order the peasants, the actual tillers, do get the advantage which is supposed to be given to them under the cooperative arrangement

In this connection I wish also to refer to irrigation and other facilities. The Government should think should the non-Congress Ministries also think whether it is not advisable shift the emphasis from today to major irrigation to medium and minor irrigation, which we develop on the basis of our own national resources. There are countries in the world today, which have brought about considerable improvement in irrigation, not by relying on grandiose plans and depending foreign assistance, but by depending local irrigation channels, on their minor and medium ones especially, by mobilising the labour in working out certain irrigation projects so on, and you should also think along this line. But this also will never be successful unless the peasant realises that now a new change has come about, that a new change in the policy has taken place.

[THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair]

Food Situation

The peasant should realise that now he will be helped to get out of poverty and hunger, get out of employment and, as a result thereof. of sorrow, destitution and all kinds of suffering. If you cannot make it possible immediately, at least create such a climate in the rural area. Then I think we can set in motion a whole number of small irrigation projects depending on the labour incentives and the creative effort of our working people, or village people, in order to bring more land under irrigation. That should be the approach.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please Anish now.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I am finishing, Madam Deput / Chairman. I strongly protest against Mr. Asoka Mehta's treachery in 'his matter. What business he has got in more extensions to the foreign fertilizer concern when they have not fulfilled even the terms generously given earlier? It is so because these fertilizer concerns want to exploit India.

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN (Andhra Pradesh): If it is in the interests of increasing food production, even then it should not be given. Is that what you mean?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: We'l, Mr. Akbar Ali Khan, you are somewhere between a feudal lord and a capitalist. It is not increasing food production. selling your independence. You are The Americans are dictating to you in these matters. Day after day concessions are being given to Day after day this treacherous Ministry is making surrender to the Americans. Day after day national honour is being bartered away. Day after day new avenues are being created by this incompetent anti-peasant, antinational Government SO that the Americans can come in and dig into our economy in a manner whereby they will be in a position to dictate

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta]. terms to us. Madam, you may be interested to hear the details a little. One day I asked a very higher-up in Government on the Vietnam policy. I asked that gentleman, an important man in the Government-I shall not say more than what I am saying now -"Why don't you say something over Vietnam?" That gentleman said, "We would like to say something, but don't you see that the Americans will not like it, and we have to be dependent on American food." This is the politics; this is the politics of it. (Interruptions) Mr. Jagjivan Ram, it seems you are asking me to stop.

SHRI AWADHESHWAR PRASAD SINHA (Bihar): You are talking on Vietnam, not on the food situation, now.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I know that you won't like it. That is my trouble.

Now Mr. Jagjivan Ram has come to hold this portfolio. Well, we seen him in various roles except perhaps the Prime Minister. . . .

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You told me that you are winding up, Mr. Gupta.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: winding up; I wind up now. I have seen him in various roles. I have nothing personal against him. I like him. He always laughs-one thing about it. He always laughs, you see, and that is the good thing about Even if Bihar starves-three crore people of Bihar are starvinghe would still laugh. Undoubtedly there is no difficulty about that. But I wou'd like to strike one warning since this is the first food debate am participating in after you have assumed charge of the Ministry. You have stepped into the shoes of Mr. S. K. Patil and Mr. C. Subramaniam and you know their fate. As Mr. S. K. Patil wa ted to be buffer stock'

and bluff, well, he fell in Bombay. Mr. Subramaniam came with a 'package deal' to humour the country, and called the package deal his food po'icy. And he has had his package deal in Madras when the Congress was routed including himself. Therefore don't try to go the way of the politicians and apostles of buffer stock and bluff, of which Mr. S. K. Patil is the living embodiment, nor the way Mr. Subramaniam has gone. After a'l, Bihar is practically lost to you, you like the boy in the burning deck, and only the American survives. Therefore we would like to see you lost to the Americans at least for some time. How you can do so-I can tell youis by changing the food policy, and you will not carry the country today -food problem is not only a national problem but much of it is a State subject also-unless and until recognise the reality that things have changed. Your food policy must be national agreement, a reflection of which is to be brought about by your change, by your accommodation the forth-coming Food Ministers' Conference in which many Food Minister coming from the non-Congress Governments would participate.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That will do.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Therefore I demand, change the policy in vital respects, production, distribution and prices. This is all that I can say. I do make good suggestions to Government and request the Government to implement them. But I have no faith in this Government any more, and since the situation has changed, I do make this proposal for the Conference to consider, and I at least hope that Mr. Jagjivan Ram, having seen what has happened in the past, will try to acclimatise himself to the new changing situation and seek now the suggestions and recommendations and advice of those who coming into the scene and evolve, or at least try to evolve what may be called the modicum of a national food policy.

Thank you.

THE MINISTER OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE (SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM): Madam, I must express thanks to the hon. Members of House who have participated in this debate and contributed in a substantial manner by suggestions, criticisms and proposals for the solution of the grave problem that the country facing to-day. Food is a matter which is everybody's concern, and I welcome every suggestion and every criticism. There may be sometimes suggestions and criticisms coming from persons who have no idea whether rice grows on a plant or on a tree, whether potato grows under ground or over ground or what is the difference between tomato and potato. I welcome suggestions from them also . . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: We know potatoes grow over the heads of Congress Ministers.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: I did not mean to say that Mr. Bhupesh Gupta did not know the difference. But I say I welcome suggestions even from those persons. I welcome suggestions even from those persons who cannot discriminate between a plant of wheat and a plant of barley. I welcome suggestions even from those persons because food is a matter which is the concern of everybody. I welcome suggestions even from those persons who all their lives have spoken only on the briefs presented to them by others. And even in the matter of food, if certain people speak here on the basis of the briefs presented to them by others, I welcome their suggestions and criticisms. Sir, Dr. Gadgil spoke about food policy and Mr. Bhupesh Gupta has pointed out changed political conditions in country. I do not know why he is so much worried and concerned that. The federal character of our Constitution and country has into play and I have no doubt that our Constitution had made ample provisions to sustain the full play of the federal character of our country. It is not necessary in a federation that at the Centre and at the various federating units, a Government of the same party or persuasion should be in power. It envisages that at a certain stage of time, Governments belonging to different parties and persuasions, political ideas and ideologies, will be in power either at the Centre or in the States, and the Constitution has taken care of that. So I say that for the first time in our country, the federal character of our country and Constitution has come into play and we have to recognise that fact. . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: You must have a federal character in the Food Minister.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Have little patience. I will take adequate notice of what you have said. I am not going to ignore you. Therefore, you should not grow impatient. The federa! character has come into play and therefore, wherever any food policy has to be evolved--it has been done in the past as well-complete involvement of all the States is very necessary. Mr. Bhupesh Gupta under pointed out very rightly that our Constitution, agriculture is State subject and so is food. Having that fact, Mr. Bhupesh recognised Gupta has a wonderful knack of blowing hot and cold in the same breath and even waxing eloquent over it. Having recognised the fact that agriculture is a State subject, having recognised that food is a State subject, he tries to throw all the burden of the deficiencies of the States on the Centre. Strange logic, strange argument..... (Interruption). Have a little patience. I am just trying analyse what you have said. I am not Then Mr. anything more. Gupta likes to give maximum latitude to the State Governments which I also do. I am at one with him. Having recognised the fact that it is a State subject, why does he want to throw the entire burden on the Central Government? That is a strange thing. Any food policy has to

[Shri Jagjivan Ram.]

Motion re

evolved after involvement of all the State Governments is achieved and, therefore, I have taken care not to announce any new food policy so long as no new food policy has been announced, it has to be presumed that the existing food policy continues. As I have said, we have invited the State Chief Ministers to a conference on the 8th and 9th of this month. The whole idea is that we will place certain proposals that we have at the conference. We are not proceeding, as Dr. Gadgil said, in a vacuum. We have certain basis proceed on and we want to discuss that with the Chief Ministers. problem that is facing us to-day is a difficult one. Everybody says food should be kept apart from politics. But when Mr. Dahyabhai Patel started speaking, he started not with food but with politics. That is his first preoccupation. To make food a pawn on the political chess-board appears to be their first preoccupation, but I wil' not try to go to that level as he did. I want to keep food above politics and while dealing with subject, I will try to maintain that. Food has to be treated as a national problem, and the whole nation have to be invo'ved in that. If we look at the various aspects of food problem and the various suggestions that have been made here and analyse them we find the major aspects are production, procurement, distribution and prices. These I will put as the four broad aspects which any food and agriculture policy will have to depend upon. And when we really mean to so've the food problem, we will have to attack this not merely on the food front but on the agricultural front also. We cannot become self-sufficient unless we increase our production and in order to increase our production we will have to take care of land, we will have to take care of the tenants, we will have to take care of irrigation, implements, seeds, fertilizers, pesticides and above all, the orientation in the outlook and attitude of the rural population. We have to give them education in the modern techniques and technology of agriculture. They are all very necessary things and they will have to proceed as a composite whole. In order to increase our production, a proper land system is necessary and wherever land refom has not been completed, it will have to be completed. I attach the greatest importance to this aspect. The cultivator should feel that he owns and possesses the patch of land that he cultivates and that he is his own master and unless that feeling is created in the farmer and in the cultivator, I am afraid we wi'l not be able to do our best in production . . .

Food Situation

SHRI NIREN GHOSH (West Bengal): How are you going to bring about that?

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Recognising and realising that agriculture is not a Central subject, howsoever eloquent he waxes, he is not competent to do that here. Why should he forget that aspect? It is a State subject, and fortunately now you have Governments in various States who have claimed to be radical all these years. It is a test for them. Are we going to stand in their way or prevent them from expediting land reforms? What is preventing them from proceeding ahead with it?

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: Where is the necessary money? We do not have so much money in the State treasuries that we can pay compensation for the land.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Please allow me to meet the point that you have raised. Why do you feel annoyed when I try to do it?

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: I am not at all annoyed. Why should I get annoyed?

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Here is a good test, I say, for all those who have

claimed all these years to be radical and progressive. Let them go ahead with land reform and I can assure them that I am not going to come in their way.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Very good.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: As a matter of fact, I may assure Shri Bhupesh Gupta and others of his persuasion that so far as the question of land reform is concerned, I personally feel that the actual man who moves behind the bullocks with the plough in his hands, unless he is restored to his rightful place as the owner of the land, the country is not going to solve this problem.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: One good thing you have said.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: I will say many good things. Let my friend have some patience.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I will be happy.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Land should belong to the actual tiller of the soil. Let my hon, friends raise that slogan and in this matter they will find me by their side and not behind them.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I hope Shri Morarji Desai will not call you my fellow-traveller.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: I will not mind if my hon. friend becomes my fellow-traveller.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: For twenty years you have not done this.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please do not interrupt.

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN: The difficulty is both of them, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta and Mr. Ghosh, are competing with each other. Let 347 R.S.—6.

Į

them leave off competition for the time being.

Food Situation

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I think prience is a virtue and you can have it sometimes.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Patience is one virtue and humour is another virtue.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I expect from you some virtue.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Next come to the question of utilisation of land, all arable land in the country. We will have to undertake reclamation work on a large scale. It is not my habit to tell what I am going to do unless I have made myself sure that I am going to succeed. I have an idea of undertaking land reclamation on a very large scale and by an expeditious process. Wherever land is available and can be reclaimed either by mechanised methods or by manual labour, it has to be reclaimed. This has to be done and done very quickly.

Then comes the question of actual agriculture and I personally feel that ours is a country where we will have to try all methods, large-scale farming, small farms, mechanised farms, manual-labour farms and so on. We will have to make a combination of the bullock-cart age and the jet age. Ours is a country where even today we have compressed together generations, the traditional and the modern and we find a wonderful living together or coexistence of both in our country. We require donkeys and we require tractors.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Only difficulty is donkeys are too many.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Yes, and they always indicate themselves. I say we will have to utilise both. We will have large farms of the American type and also small holdings of the Japanese pattern. We will have intensive cultivation. We require

[Shri Jagiiyan Ram.] tractors, power-tillers and so on. We will require the ploughshare also. So our agriculture being a combination of the traditional and the modern. the traditional will have to be given the touch of the modern so that the traditional and the modern these methods may help us to solve this problem.

I attach the greatest importance to irrigation. Fertilizers? Yes. Improved seeds? Yes. But above all, the primary requirement is irrigation and not only large-scale big river valley projects, but all projects, even small ones. I have already issued instructions that even kutcha wells, open wells. tube-wells, lift irrigation. pumps, whatever method is available should be utilised so that the open surface water and also the underground water may be utilised. And this has got to be done on an emergency basis. A sense of urgency is not noticeable in the country in the face of the grave crisis that we are facing today. I welcome Dr. Gadgil's suggestion that we will have to rouse the public conscience. We have to involve the entire nation. When today we are faced with a grave crisis the whole nation will have to feel this is a period of urgency and everything has to be done on an urgent basis, on an emergent basis. We require fertilizers, improved implements and tractors and power-tillers. We require even improved ploughshares and we will have to undertake schemes for getting improved ploughshares. Some proposals for such manufactures are there and some beginning has already been made. I have already made a beginning in the matter of manufacturing improved implements. I am not talking only of tractors and power-tillers but also of improved implements. And have entrusted this work to some of the cooperative societies. A beginning has already been made. But we have to expedite this so that we may provide every farmer with improved implements and so that with the given labour he may get better results.

As for irrigation, I do not propose to go into details now and I do not want to give the figures of the funds that are provided and so on, because all that information is already available to hon. Members. In the time at my disposal I would like to broadly indicate the lines on which I want to proceed in order to meet the situation and also to provide long-term the problems that we sclutions to have. A self-respecting India will not like to be dependent on other nations for feeding the nation. Every self-respecting Indian should try and contribute his mite for increasing the production in the country so that at the earliest opportunity we can say that we do not require any assistance from any nation so far as foodgrains are concerned.

We will have to provide improved seeds and hybrid varieties of maize and bajra and high-yielding paddy and They are giving wonderful wheat. and we will have to extend results the areas under them. At least in our irrigated areas these improved seeds, these quick-yielding varieties, these high-yielding varieties should grown so that as my hon, friend Shri Dahyabhai Patel said, like Israel and Taiwan we can also increase the per yield of our crops. There is acre ample scope for that.

So far as fertilizer is concerned, without going into the question ideologies with which Mr. Gupta obsessed and oppressed

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Oppressed also?

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Let me use some words. I say that today we require chemical fertilizers. the same time I would appeal to the nation to remember that we should not neglect our old traditional things like farm manure, compost and greenmanure. We do require everything. We require all these things and a campaign will have to be carried on that till we become self-sufficient in the matter of fertilizers we will have to utilise every method which will add to the fertility and productivity of our land. Apart from that, the taste and the flavour that the grain gets from organic fertilizers can never be had from chemical fertilizers. So even when we become self-sufficient in the matter of chemical fertilizers in some selected areas we will have to depend upon organic fertilizers. I want to make that clear and I want to proceed on that basis.

Knowing the poverty and the helplessness of our peasantry, it should be the effort of any Government to make credit available to them at cheaper rates and by easier methods I will not hesitate to say that the various benefits and facilities that have been provided for the cultivators have not succeeded in percolating to the small farmers. It shall be my endeavour, of course with the cooperation of hon. Members, and of those engaged agriculture, to see that the various facilities that have already been provided to the cultivators and the farmers are made available even to the class of cultivators to whom outside credit is a very difficult thing co-operatives should be encouraged. genuine co-operatives A decision has been taken that we will provide by a variety of methods through agricultural banks and finance corporations credit at reasonable rates to the farmers for implements, for irrigation, for improved seeds and for fertilizers

SHRI SHANTILAL KOTHARI (Rajasthan); And also in time.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM. Of course; if it is not in time it loses its value. So far as irritative and dilatory processes are concerned I will have to take up, again as my hon. friend, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta, knows, with the and I will take State Governments this matter up with the Chief Ministers and the Agricultural Ministers, with the cooperatives and with the Bank to see how we can Reserve augment the credit available for this I hape we can simplify purpose. the processes and procedures through

which the farmer has to pass before he gets the credit. An element of vexatious procedure is there. We have also to change our conception of creditworthiness To some extent it has been done but it requires to be strengthened further so that the cultivator, even if he does not possess land. If he cultivates somebody else's land, still gets some credit on the basis of his labour, on the basis of his hut or crop. We will have to change the whole conception of creditworthiness if we want to encourage agriculture in the rural areas No doubt our ural areas have been neglected to a great extent during the British period but after independence we have turned towards the rural areas and anybody who says that nothing has been done in the rural areas, I will submit with great humility, has no knowledge of the rural areas at all. wonderful revolution is going in the countryside. silent and imperceptible, but of far-reaching consequences.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Revolution imperceptible?

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM. Yes; you do not possess the perception to notice that revolution

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: A revolution has to be perceptible.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: It is revolution in the reverse gear.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Yes; that depends upon the perception a person possesses A silent revolution is going on today in our coluntry.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: We have not got a third eye of . . .

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN: But they believe in a bloody revolution, that is the whole trouble.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM. I do not want to use the word 'bloody' for any person.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Not even for the Congress Government.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Why do you want me to reciprocate you?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I say 1 agree that the word 'bloody' should not be used for any person including the Congress Government.

Motion re

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: And as 1 have said we will have to simultaneously various aspects. The bullocks will have to be taken care of because for many years to come in spite of tractors and power tillers we cannot dispense with our cattle wealth. And the bullocks and the cattle wealth will have to be taken care of for our agriculture and for our milk supply. Therefore the breed will have to be improved. We will have to provide pedigree bulls stud bulls in the countryside so that the breed imporves and milk supply increases. The fodder problem is linked with the problem of food and we will have to undertake a solution of the fodder problem on a large scale.

When we think of land reclamation naturally all these processes of conservation and others will follow. I do not propose to go in o those minor details but all the same they are very imprtant in themselves. Now long-term plans have been evolved but I want to say something about the immediate problem that we are faced with. Some friend said that we are producing 76 million tonnes out of which 66 million tonnes will be available for consumption and by a simple rule of arithmetic he said that there was no further necessity for food imports. I agree but will the simple rule of three apply in this case? Naturally the cultivator who is producing before making his produce available to the market will not depend upon the 12 or 13 oz that the simple rule of three will provide. Naturally he will consume more; it is quite obvious that in the surplus area the surplus producer consumes more than those in the rationed areas or in the modified rationed areas. Therefore we cannot presume that all this stock will be available for distribution throughout the country on

equal basis and an equitable and hence the necessity of requirements having to be met by food to be obtained from some source or other. Well, I am not less allergic to food being imported from other countries than my friend, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta, but I want to be a bit realistic; that is the only difference. Bengal must have some rice; so should If there is a shortage of rice in the country, if rice cannot be provided . . .

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS (Orissa): Not Bihar and U.P.; on y Kerala and Bengal you have spoken about, because the Communists are there?

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: I would have given the example of Kerala and Bengalt even if non-Congress Governments were not there also. The complexion of the Government does not change the requirement of the people and I do not think the Communists will say that they will take only Communist rice. Science and technology with all its achievement has not succeeded as yet in producing Communist and non-Communist rice and I do not think it will ever be possible to do that. So the complexion of the Government does not make any change in the requirements of the foodstuffs for the people of any particular State and that is why I said that food should not be made a pawn on the political chess board. I have given the example of two States because they are these major rice-consuming States Kerala with the pattern of consumption that they have, it will take quite some time before they can change the: pattern of their consumption. I quite accept that position. But rice being in short supply in the country it is just conceivable that we may by joint agreement I do not say that I am going to force anything upon onyone; how is it possible? It can only be done by mutual consent-be able to tackle this problem. It may be that we may have to persuade them

that instead of so much of rice can it not be managed by having a less quantity of rice and more of wheat but that is a matter for mutual arrangement by mutual discussion and mutual consultation So long as we have this difficulty the solution appears to me to be very obvious and that is, during the period between the harvesting of the rabi crop and sowing of the kharif crop, in this period of two to three months we can have an intensive campaign in the country that wherever any source of urigation is available, not one inch of land should be left fallow Some should be raised short-term crop There are several short-term crops in the country which take 60 to 70 days and in some cases even 45 days and if in this pereod we can produce a few hundred thousand maunds of foodgrains, coarse or any other vailety, that will ease the difficult situation with which we are faced I will appeal to the House, to the political leaders, social workers, youths and students of the country to take up a campaign for short-term crops during this period That is the only answer which will reduce our dependence-I am not purposely using the word 'eliminate'-upon foreign countries for food That has to be done and it cannot be done only governmental agencies through Therefore, I appeal to the entire country, let the whole nation be involved in this During the coming months, particularly May and June, we have to grow, wherever water is available, short-term food crops before the sowing of the normal kharif crop We have plans for summer paddy, but in addition to that, where water is not adequate for paddy, other varieties like ragi, maize, chana which lo not require so much water, can be grown and that will add to the availability of foodgrains in the country

Now, involved in this matter of production are two very important questions. One is the question of price and the other is the question of crop insurance. So far as the question of

erop insurance is concerned, a decision has been taken. A bill has been drafted and we are going to undertake the crop insurance scheme as price is concerned, I have always felt that as in the case of other commodities, in the case of agricultura; commodities also in fixing their price, production should be their cost of taken into consideration The cultivator will have to be paid a reasonable return certainly The prices agricultural commodities, especially foodgrains, concern millions and millions of people in the country Therefore, while fixing agricultural prices, another aspect also will have to be kept in view, viz the incidence of the cost to the consumer There will have to be a mean between the two, ie, a reasonable return to the producer and a reasonable cost to the consumer It will be my effort to have an agricultural price policy which will be conditioned by these two important factors Α study of the cost pattern of important agricultural commodities will have undertaken A small beginning been made but I want to accelerate the pace of study of the cost structure of important agricultural commodities Once that is done, I think we will be able to evolve a rational system for fixing the prices of important agricultural commodities, including foodgrains We will have, at the same time to strike a mean between foodgrains and other cash crops Though we are deficit in foodgrains, we cannot divert all the land available from cash crops to foodgrains, because we have to sustain some of our very important industries which are based on agricultural produce Therefore. there also we will have to strike a mean between the areas that will be required for foodgrains and various cash crops on which some of our important industries are based Those industries are so important that we we can hardly afford to dispense with them Therefore, we will have to take care of cash crops as well

In the matter of pricing, we will have to decide well in advance of the

[Shri Jagjivan Ram.] sowing season and we will have to assure the cultivator that this is the price which he is going to get. would mention another thing also. We will have to fix not only the ceiling prices but in important cases we will have to fix the floor prices also. For whatever cause it may be. if the cultivator is likely to be adversely affected by the Aprices falling down unreasonably, the Government should come into the picture and give him price-support. That should apply to all important commodities, whether it is cotton or whether it is wheat. Perhaps Mr. Dahyabhai was not properly informed when he talked cotton, because in respect of cotton there is a floor price as well.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Would you say something about the procurement price of wheat and rice?

JAGJIVAN RAM: I coming to that. I have not come to the procurement aspect yet. Now, the question procurement Of next only to production. We cannot presume that all the States are going to become self-sufficient in the matter of food requirements. That will not be realistic. With the pattern of agriculture that we have, with the type of soil that we have in various parts of the country, some are suitable for cash crops and others are suitable for food crops, but the country will have to be taken as a whole. And, therefore, I say that at every stage, on every important matter, the whole nation will have to be involved. We will have to arouse public consciousness to the fact that if there are certain surplus States, it does not mean that the residents of those States will be very well fed while the residents of other States will be starving. We will have to create that public consciousness and I agree with Dr. Gadgil that for that a public campaign will be necessary I appeal to the intelligentsia of the country that it is their duty. Let them arouse public consciousness. If the country is producing a given quantity of foodgrains, it should be our effort to see that it

is distributed equitably throughout the country, but that cannot be done only by governmental mechanism. We have tried various methods and there is no doubt that it has been found very difficult to assess the production in any given State. Always there is dispute about the statistics. We will have to think of devising some independent authority will give a forecast of the production figures of the State and which will be acceptable both to the Centre and the State. I am going to undertake the job of setting up some agency which will go into the question of the statistics of production in various States. Having got the forecast, wirether we will have the conscience of the trading community so aroused that there will be no necessity of any governmental procurement by any agency, I am not prepared to risk that or venture that yet. I am very frank to the House. Therefore, ae will have to resort to some system of procurement. I am sure Mr. Bhupesh Gupta will appreciate very much. So far as the mechanism of procurement is concerned. I would leave it to the State Government con-Whether it is by a levy cerned. directly on the cultivator or whether it is from the miller or whether it s a combination of both, whatever te the method the State Government thinks that it will best suit the conditions of that State and is likely to succeed there, I will not come in the way. I would leave it entirely to the State Government. But I want to make it clear that I would like prccurement to be done both in surplus and deficit States. Even 1 deficit pockets, there are surplus producers. Any cultivator knows that even in deficit areas there are surplus cultivators.

BHUPESH GUPTA: SHRI question I want to ask him in this connection.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: I see no reasons why procurement should not be made from surplus cultivators.

Now, so far as the mechanism of procurement is concerned. I welcome this venture that is going to be undertaken in Bengal. Without any governmental agency, by arousing the conscience of the people and the cultivators if the leaders of opinion can induce them to sell their surplus stock at reasonable prices, it will be a very good thing.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. You know about the prices. You know from the note I have given you what the Bengal Government has told you. that prices should be raised, and that is not possible unless you financially accommodate them. It is very very essential.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: 1 we should give a reasonable price to the cultivators, and should be determined. If we that at that price it will be beyond the reach of the average consumer. the qustion of subsidising that will have to be considered. There are various mechanisms.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Bengal Government has said that the consumer price will not rise.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: It will have to subsidise. Wherefrom that amount of subsidy will come is other matter. As I have said, so far as the consumer is concerned, he will have to be taken care of so that the prices, after paying to the cultivator, do not become quite beyond reach of the average consumer. That will have to be taken care of. the procurement question I leave entirely to the State Government. leave it to them to devise methods.

AN HON. MEMBER: It cannot be subsidised throughout.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: That will be for the State Government to consider. In that case, naturally they

will have to make them pay a certain price which will give a reasonable return to the cultivator and then they will have to find a solution.

Food Situation

Having procured it, either by procurement internally or for the period we are in difficulty, by import, question of distribution arises. There are various systems: statutory rationing, modified rationing, fair shops, etc. We will have to see that during the process of procurement and distribution losses and wastages are avoided as far as possible they are reduced to the minimum. That has to be done, whether they are wastages and losses in the pipeline or in storage places or in the price shops or in the ration shops by means which are not very desirable All these things will have means. to be tightened up and loopholes will have to be plugged. I do not mean to say that there are no wastages in storage. I will not claim that there is no leakage from the pipelines even from the shops. But they will have to be plugged. I will not claim that we can totally eliminate that Procurement has to be handled man, and man continues as he is, hence a certain element of wastage But it should be the will be there. effort of those who are in charge also by association of public mento see that these wastages and leakages and losses are reduced to minimum.

Then there are wastages and losses and deteriorations even before they With the procome to the storage. cess of harvesting and the process of threshing as we have, any cultivator knows that there are losses, various methods will have to be devised by which we can eliminate or reduce the losses at the time of harvesting, threshing, storage with the cultivator and storage with traders.

The Food Corporation is begining of the food trade coming to the public sector. Somebody

[Shri Jagjivan Ram.] claimed that the amount of procurement by the Corporation has been as much as in the previous case.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Verv much below.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Perhaps I would like to inform the House that the Food Corporation function, if a State Government gives the authority as the agent of the State Government and under the authority and delegated to them by the State Government they procure on behalf the State Government for the State Government, and the stock procured is at the disposal the οf Government. It can be placed at the disposal of the Central Government only when the State Government so The Food indicates. Corporation is not supreme in the matter, and in case the procurement by the Food Corporation is less, it means that the authority given to it was not a adequate for full play of their capacity. But the Food Corporation is a good beginning, and it has been a very encouraging beginning. They have not only procured, they have also made purchases in the open market competition with others, and from the little that I have tried to see functioning of the Corporation I can reasonably assure the House that the beginning is quite a good beginning. We are on the way to expanding the public sector in the wholesale procurement of food.

Bihar and U.P. have been very severely affected by drought.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA. Why do you not declare them as famine area?

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: I do not believe only in slogans. I believe in action in execution.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Verv good.

SHRI G. MURAHARI: (Uttar Pradesh): You are unable to executa. That is why you do not believe in that

Food Situation

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: declare them as famine area is not a slogan. Certain responsibility devolves on the Government to handle a particular way. You come under certain statutory obligation.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: If Mr. Bhupesh Gupta thinks he has enpoint. I lightened me on that give him the satisfaction of Bihar and the eastern part of are passing through difficult

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Seven crores.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Crops having failed both karif and sub-soil water level having receded. the problems not only of food bu water and fodder have arisen, and it should be the endeavour of all concerned to meet the situation to the best of their ability. We are doing We are arranging to send as many rigs as possible for deepening wells, for digging new wells, for having tubewells. But during this short period it may not be possible to dig all the requsite number of wells, so arrangements for transporting water in certain difficult areas will have be undertaken and plans for this have been made. The problem today in Bihar and eastern U.P. is, in drought affected areas, to put some purchasing power in the hands of the people there. I attach the greatest importance to that-put some money in their hands and they will something to eat.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Find employment for them.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Therefore I have repeatedly told the Bihar Government, the previous Government and the present Government, to go in on a large scale for hard manual work and light manual work Only hard manual work is not going to meet the situation, because we can not forget the social conditions as they exist today; there are communities in our society who will not go to engage themselves in hard manual work; therefore some light work will have to be provided for them Also we have some communities in Bihar for whom something will have to be provided in their homes so that they can earn something. I read in the newspapers about alleged starvation deaths. It has been said that they had no employment consequently they had no money. Very few complained that there was no foodgrain though there is need of augmenting food supply in areas. The real difficulty is about putting some purchasing power into the hands of those people and then they will find smething to eat. may be that if it is available at the fair price shops, the price will cheaper. If it is not available at the fair price shops, if there is no foodthere, some eatable may available, like the banana or it may be potato, it may be sweet potato, some edible which will be available. the real difficulty today is that unless some purchasing power is put into the hands of the people in that area, their difficulty may not be met. can be met in two or three waysmanual either by giving them hard work or by giving them light manual work or by giving-loan on a large scale, to the people in the affected areas depending upon the holding that they possess or as I have indicated earlier, revising the definition of And once that is credit-worthiness. done. I think we can avoid the possibility of starvation deaths. But the problem that has arisen in Bihar and Eastern U.P's colossal problem. they today stand in need of help and assisrance from the whole nation, not only from the Government but from the social and non-official agencies. want to pay a tribute to the various social service organisations engaged in rendering relief and succour to the drought-affected people of Bihar and Eastern U.P. They are doing praise-

worthy work but the field for service in those areas is unlimited. And I want to take this opportunity to appeal to my countrymen to contribute very liberally for the suffering humanity of the drought-affect areas of UP and Bihar?

Madam, I have taken enough time of the House, As I have said in the beginning, I do not propose to meet every point that has been raised. I just wanted to cover the broad aspects of the food question and the agricultural question. So far as the statistics and allotments of funds are concerned, they are available in the various publications and reports.

Again, I will emphasise-today we are faced with a difficult problem. The droughts have been unprecedented because of two successive years of monsoon failures. We require foodgrains. We have to supply to the deficit areas. And unless the surplus areas come to our sistance, our difficulties will increase. You will have to import food because the people of the deficit areas have to be looked after by the joint endeavour of the Centre and States. I will emphasise and repeatwhether they are the Congress Governments or the non-Congress Governments, in a matter like this situation will be met only by the joint efforts of all concerned; only we can meet the situation. And therefore, especially these about short-term crops, I will again appeal, that this is the only solution for the present year. Let all of us lead a very intensive campaign about these short-term crops.

Madam, I will again express my thanks to the Members of the House who have said kind words about me. who have given me words of encouragement. When I took over this portfolio, I approached it in a prayerful modified. With all the achievements of science and technology, agriculturists have for many years to come to depend upon the forces of nature. With all its advance, I doubt, Madam,

[Shri Jagjivan Ram.] whether science any day will be able to untravel the mystery of nature and control the forces of nature. say that howsoever bold a person may be howsoever able he may be, unless he has the grace of god, he will not be able to solve the problem. I have to approach this problem with prayer, and I require the help assistance, co-operation and good will of everybody.

Ours is a democratic and a party Government. The appointments of Ministers here are not made through the Public Service Commission. appointments will naturally have to be on a political basis and I can not claim that I am not a political person. I am here not through the Public Service Commission. I hold a political appointment and I do not want to make a secret of it. Minister is a political entity and his is a political appointment.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Only he should not be an American

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: The appointment is a political appointment. (Interruptions). He should be a realist and a practical man; he should be a competent combination of a politician and an administrator.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Minus American influence.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: And he should be a patriot looking to the interest of the country.

We have to look to the requirements of the people and in that, I seek the co-operation of everybody. To whatever party or to whatever political ideology he belongs, I shall make an appeal to him-do not treat food as a party question. Do not want to exploit the misery and hunger of the people for advancing your political purpose. That will be debasing . . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: You are spoiling your good speech. Do not

say all this. You have said all this Nobody is before the election. terested in exploitation.

Food Situation

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Therefore I say, do not make food a political question, do not try to exploit misery and hunger of the people for advancing the political causes of certain parties and individuals. it as a national problem.

Therefore I appeal for help co-operation from everybody, from every social worker, fromevery youth of the country, to face Therefore, I have said that crisis. I welcome suggestions; I criticisms from informed circles and even from uninformed circles. Whatever will help me in evolving method which will meet the situation will always be welcome.

Madam, I again express my thankfulness to the Members of the House for making useful suggestions and for saying encouraging words to me.

श्री सुन्दर सिंह भंडारी (राजस्थान): मैं मंत्री महोदय को जो उन्होंने खाद्य स्थिति के बारे में स्पष्टीकरण देने का प्रयत्न किया है उसके लिए बधाई देता हूं। उन्होंने ग्राग्रह शार्ट टर्म ऋाप्स के लिए किया है लेकिन जो ग्राज कठिनाई है वह बीज उपलब्ध करने की है। नया खाद्य मंत्री महोदय इस बीज की उव-लब्धि के प्रकृत को केन्द्र की जिम्मेदारी के रूप में स्वीकार करने के लिए तैयार है या नहीं ?

श्री जगजीवन राम : मै प्रयत्न करूगा कि यधिक से यधिक इस मामले के लिए जोर डाल सकु।

श्री सुन्दर सिंह भडारी : दूसरी चीज-जिसके सम्बन्ध में ग्रभी तक नीति सपष्ट नही हुई है। क्या खाद्य मत्री महोदय ग्रन्न वितरण की समस्या को एक केन्द्रीय विषय बनाने के बारे में खाद्य मंत्रियों के सम्मेलन में ग्रपनी पूरी ताकत लगा कर स्वोकार करवाने का प्रयत्न करेंगे ?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Madam. may I ask. . .

Motion re

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. Mr. Bhupesh Gupta, you have spoken at length and made all points in speech delivered by you.

(to Shri Jagjivan Ram) Have you anything to say about Mr Bhandari's other point?

श्री जगजीवन राम . मैने नो कहा कि सारी नीति मुख्य मिलयों से मिलकर निर्धारित करने का प्रयत्न करूगा ग्रीर उसमें वितरण का प्रश्न रहेगा ही ग्रीर मख्य प्रश्न रहेगा-ऐसा मान कर सदस्य महोदय को चलना चाहिए।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. Now. I shall put the amendment to vote.

SHRI BANKA REHARY DAS: That is a non-controversial amendment.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: you withdrawing it or pressing it?

SHRI BANKA BEHARY DAS: I am not withdrawing it.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Then I will put it to vote.

The question is:

"That at the end of the motion the following be added, namely:-

'and having considered the same this House is of opinion that the following steps should be taken immediately in consultation with the State Government:-

- (a) fair price shops be opened in all the scarcity and famine affected areas to make available foodgrains at a price;
- (b) the Centre should take responsibility for supplying foodgrains to the deficit States

by pooling all their resources from all quarters.

Food Situation

- (c) the States where rationing is obtaining should be assisted so that the States can meet the demand of those areas;
- (d) liberal assistance, both financial and otherwise, should be given to worst-hit States Bihar and Uttar Pradesh so that they can carry on all relief operations including the opening up of avenues of employment through relief works and also can teed the children of affected areas.
- (e) special attention should be given to the existing agro-based industries to run, to keep up the employment potential during these hard days of scarcity;
- (f) to make the country selfsufficient and free it from dependence on other countries for food, the Fourth Plan should be reshaped giving top priority to irrigation, which is the only remedy against the vagaries of monsoon:
- (g) greater attention should be given to high-yielding varieties of paddy, wheat and maize consistent with the soil and climatic condition of different regions:
- (h) subsidized fertiliseis pesticides should be provided to the agriculturists until the country has turned the corner in the matter of food production,
- (1) land reforms including fixing of ceiling and security to the actual tiller, should be immediately implemented everywhere;
- (1) land revenue should abolished and be replaced by agricultural income-tax on the same principle as in general incometax for the purpose of giving incentive to the agriculturists;
- (k) crop insurance measures particularly in foodgrains should be undertaken without further delay;

[The Deputy Chairman.]

Calling Attention

to a matter

- (1) food policy regarding food zones, procurement and remunerative price to the agriculturists should be annually announced long before actual harvesting starts, to give the agriculturists a sense of security and confidence.
- (m) strong action should be taken against hoarers of foodgrains:
- (n) the foodgrains trade of the country should be nationalised forthwith to free the consumer and producer from the tentacles of traders:
- (o) pricing policy should be so regulated that a balance is struck between the demands of the agriculturists for a higher remunerative price and also the purchasing power of the consumer:
- (p) subsidised shops should be opened for suppressed sections of the society and also for fixed income groups such as industrial labour and poor Government servants:
- (q) a phased programme for slashing down import of foodgrains should be prepared and all steps be taken to adhere to it;
- (r) the agricultural adminismachinery should overhauled so that specific responsibility for production is allotted to the officers and efficiency and promotion be judged on their performance;
- (s) agricultural education should be reoriented to make it 'more purposeful and relevant to the actual field.""

The motion was negatived.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We go on to the next item, the Calling Attention Notice. Mr. Niren Ghosh.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

REPORTED ANNOUNCEMENT BY U. K GOVERNMENT TO SET UP MILITARY Bases in the Indian Ocean in Co-OPERATION WITH THE UNITED STATES

SHRI NIREN GHOSH (West Bengal): Sir, I beg to call the attention of the Minister of External Affairs to the reported announcement by the U.K. Government of its decision to set up military bases in the Indian Ocean in Co-operation with the Government of the U.S.A.

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI M. C. CHAGLA): Sir, this subject was discussed in the Raiva Sabha on November 18 and in the Lok Sabha on November 23, 1965. The Deputy Minister made a statement in the Rajya Sabha while the then Foreign Minister made a statement in the Lok Sabha. We stand by the attitude taken by the Government of India on this question in those statements.

With reference to recent report on this question Government have made further enquiries. According to the British what is being done now is nothing more than what was contemplated before. According to there is no idea of setting up military bases or stationing of foreign troops on these islands. They claim that the present proposal is no different from their previous proposal and the idea is to give only transit, staging and refuelling facilities to British and American military planes going to the Far East. They further claim that this is necessary in view of British commitments to Malaysia, Australia and Hong Kong and American commitments in the Far East. What the British Government are proposing to do now is to negotiate the purchase of three privately owned islands Farquahar, Desreches and Aldabra in the Seychelles group and the Chagos Archipalago which belonged to Mauritius for the provision of transit and refuelling-cum-communication facilities.