not included it in the next weeks business. I

would request him even now to provide a day for a discussion of the food situation in the country.

SHRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Mysore): Madam. I have tabled a motion asking for a discussion on the acute food situation prevailing in the country. I have also tabled two calling attention motions with regard to the postponement of the Afro-Asian Conference and also the statement made by the Prime Minister that he is prepared to refer the issue of Pakistani aggression to an impartial tribunal. These are very important questions and we are sorry to find that no time has been found for discussion these important issues here. I understand that the Lok Sabha is going to discuss about the Indo-Pakistan relations next week. Why it is that time has not been found for the Rajya Sabha to discuss these very important issues?

SHRI SATYA NARAYAN SINHA: Madam, in the first instance, it is not correct to say that the first week is over. The session started on "Wednesday. We have worked for two days. Friday is a non-official day, and I have announced the business only for the next week. Some of the points which have been raised by hon. Members here have been raised in the other House also. And we would try our best to include many of the things which have been mentioned here. But I cannot promise here and now. We have at least four weeks still, after the next week, when the House will continue its session. These important points— Indo-Pakistan relations, food, foreign affairswere raised in the Lok Sabha also and I have practically given them an assurance that we shall try to accommodate these items in the third week or the fourth week of the session.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned till 2.30 P.M.

The Houses then adjourned for lunch at thirteen minutes past one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at half past two of the clock, the VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHAR-GAVA) in the Chair.

RESOLUTION RE APPOINTMENT OF A PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE TO REVIEW EXTERNAL PUBLICITY continued

SHRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY: Mr. Vice-Chairman, some of the coun tries might have made up their minds and might have taken up certain posi tions. If they have a closed mind and if they are biassed in one way or the other, it may be difficult to plead our case but there are a number of count ries which are uncommitted and our case should not go by default just for the reason that we have not been able to place all the cards before them. That has been the experience for the last three years. In 1962, when the Chinese committed aggression against India, there was a frantic search made for a 1,000 KW transmitter. has taken nearly three years for them to conclude an agreement. If only proper steps had been taken at that time, we would have been in a better position to do good publicity through the medium of the radio. As other Members have already pointed out, in many countries, though they want to listen to the A.T.R., they are not in a position to listen to the A.I.R. because they cannot get the reception there. The Government of India always takes things in a very leisurely manner and it does not attach first importance to first things.

Some Members have already alluded to the fact that the Foreign Service should be reorganised and whenever appointments are made for the posts of Ambassadors, the I.C.S. Officers might be good in certain respects but they have not been able to create that impression in foreign countries that India's cause is the right one, that India is fighting for a right cause. They have not been able to create an

appointment of a Parliamentary

[Shri Mulka Govinda Reddy.] image thai India is fighting for the preservation of democracy and for the preservation of secularism, that India is fighting against Communist expansionism and Pakistan's theocracy and militant dictatorship. We have not been able to impress on some of the countries that we are fighting not for a piece of territory but for a noble cause and we have not been able to impress on them that nearly sixty million Muslims are still living in India with all their rights and privileges that the other communities in India enjoy. It is because the foreign publicity in the Indian Missions abroad has not been able to cope up with the changing times. The old rut to which they were used will not pay us dividends. So we have to take steps to reorganise the Foreign Service and leading non-officials should be associated to man our embassies abroad. It was rightly pointed out that some of the journalists should be given an opportunity to man these services so that they may be in a better position to give exposition to India's cause. It was pointed out that we are sending some Missions to foreign countries to explain our cause. Some of the Members are very much eager to join the delegations going to Europe and other places but some of the Members are reluctant to go to the African countries. That is the same reason with regard to the members of the Foreign Service. They would rather prefer to serve in Europe or America, but they are reluctant to go to Afro-Asian countries. It is because they have enjoyed all these years the good things of life and they would like to go and spend their time more in merriment than in the discharge of their duties and responsibilities to the nation. Therefore it is necessary that proper reorganisation of the Foreign Services is undertaken as early as possible.

The publicity material that is being produced, as some body pointed out some time back, is not properly utilised in the foreign countries. In most of the Missions, the Ambassadors, the

review external publicity Counsellors and Secretaries do not freely mix with the representatives of the people there, with the representatives of the Government as well as with the representatives of the public. They live in their isolated shells and so they will not be in a position to give a proper picture of our coumry. In this war we have gained the prestige that we lost and in this war we have been able to create some good impression and as the Prime Minister the other day put it, some of the countries are now realising the need to appreciate the stand taken by India. It is because an attempt has been made now to present a proper picture with regard to the present dispute with China. It was true that America considered at one time that Pakistan was their great ally and therefore they supplied armaments worth one and a half billion dollars and now the position has to be properly explained that! Pakistan is not fighting the expansionist Communist China, but on the other hand she is hand in glove with Communist China to scuttle .democracy in India and that India is fighting the cause of democracy, that India is fighting for democratic institutions and fundamental rights of free people. This has to be properly and clearly explained and if this Is done, I am sure, many countries, including the U.S.A., will try to change their attitude. Now we could see some change in the attitude of some of the Western countries and it is because our case is being properly focussed and is being properly emphasised.

Therefore, I feel that the Resolution is a very simple one. The failures that we have met with should be examined to see what remedies should be effected to rectify the defects in foreign publicity that we have all along adopted and therefore I feel that there is need for the appointment of a Committee of Parliament of fifteen Members so that they can examine the causes of our failure with regard to foreign publicity and how best to improve that. Thank vou.

SHRI M. S. GURTJPADA SWAMY (Mysore): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir,

I welcome this debate because I attach great importance to publicity, especially external publicity. 1 am glad that there is keen awareness among friends here about the problems of external publicity. In the past, on many occasions, both in this House and the other House, views were expressed that there had been great inadequacy in our machinery of external publicity but today's debate, I think, is particularly important because we are meeting soon after a great conflict, and we have seen direc-"tly, at close quarters, apart from other things, how the apparatus of publicity has worked. To my mind, the battle that we are waging in the field of propaganda and publicity to win over many minds in the world is far more exciting, stimulating, hard and subtle than the actual war on the battle front. I feel that we may win a war on the battle front, but in the battle or war of minds. I am afraid. we may lose. So i attach far-reaching importance to this problem of external publicity.

Here I do not in any way mean to suggest that internal publicity is not important. I attach very much importance to internal publicity, but I attach far more importance to external publicity and it requires our greater attention. Sir, there have been occasions in the past also when it has been pointed out by my friends here and elsewhere that our embassies abroad have not been able to fulfil an effective role in regard to propaganda and publicity, that they have not been able to project the image of our country properly there and that they have not been able to explain our policies, and all these years there seems to have been a sort of selfrighteous attitude on the part of some people in the External Affairs Ministry. If it is wrong, I will be happy to know-that my statement is wrong, but it is my feeling that a sort of selfrighteous attitude in the matter of publicity is creeping slowly in the External affairs Ministry, and as a result of this there has been a sort of callous .and casual treatment of this problem.

There are various instances to show how our diplomats abroad have been callous or casual on important occasions- To name a few, take the case of the Anglo-French aggression on the U. A. R., when it took place, our representative in the U.A.R. was not present. Then again, when a military coup d' etat took place in Pakistan, our High Commissioner Was not there. Then when a over military dictatorship took administration, the Government in Turkey some time past, our Ambassador was not there. Similarly, when there was some trouble in Nepal, when the Government was i changed, our Ambassador was not there. So, many instances may be multiplied. Now this shows a certain amount of callousness if not casual-ness on the part of our diplomats in treating the problem of publicity as well as other important matters; they have not been able to be present on crucial occasions when their presence was badly wanted, and they have always shown a sort of disregard to the political developments there and to reporting on those developments to our country.

Committee to

review external publicity

Again, as I said earlier, they have not been able to explain our policies and they have not been able to project our image to other countries. After all, good policies can be spoiled by bad advocacy, and as I said, I think the sense of self-righteousness is too much with us; we feel we are right and so we expect others to feel that we are right, and this kind of attitude, I should say, does not fit in well in the modern context and, I think, the problems of publicity are so important and complicated that they require a modern mind to look after that aspect; the problems of publicity propaganda cannot be handled or tackled by ancient minds and so I am surprised and shocked to fund this kind of self-righteous attitude on the part of certain officials, and the same tendency in another form of callousness, if not casualness, is prevalent, among some of our diplomats

Tt is not my intention to say that I nothing has been achieved In the field

appointment of a Parliamentary

publicity; some rendom attempts have been made and some actions have been taken, in a buff, during emergencies, to explain our attitude and our stand with regard to various issues. But the whole thing has got to be assessed from the point of view of results; it has got to be whether our publicity and propaganda abroad were effective at all, whether the machinery that has been there has been geared to the purpose on hand; that is the sole test, and if you apply this test, I am afraid, we have failed. There have been various inadequacies, lapses and weaknesses on various topics developing off and on. I think one of the greatest drawbacks in the field of publicity and propaganda is that the information service of the External Affairs Ministry, particularly in the embassies, has been considered as an unimportant adjunct. Most of the Press Attaches have no iocus standi; they are part of the embassy but they are treated as a sort of casual contract personnel and they are a sort of dissatisfied bunch. Such people, if they man such service, we cannot expect good publicity, good advocacy, good propaganda.

I feel that publicity and propaganda is a very very complicated affair. It requires a tremendous amount of training, skill, experience, aptitude and ability, and I think a modern publicist is far more important than a traditional diplomat, because what is diplamacy today except And what is the role of a diplomat? not staffed with proper personnel; I suffer from various inadequacies, of resources, publicity, who prepare the materials and it has I who cultivate the minds of the people required there to see this country in clear perspective. I do

[Shri M. S. Gurupada Swamy.J of not think there are many instances in / our embassies— that is my knowledge—where our Ambassadors have gone out of their way—not in the wrong sense of the term who have gone out of their way in cultivating public opinion there and press information there. Some handouts and a few pamphlets will not mean much in. these days of great publicity.

Committee to

reuieu; external publicity

I think my friend has pointed out that a small amount has been allocated for publicity. Well, I am not bothered whether the amount is small or big. Let me take it for granted that the amount allocated for publicity and propaganda is very meagre. But that is not important; resources are not at all important in the long run provided the people who work there are more resourceful; if the people there are more resourceful, lack of resources can be made up. Take Pakistan; it is not a country of great resources. Take China; it is not possible for China to allocate so much resources needed for modern propaganda. Take petty countries around; take Ceylon, for example—I do not want to compare my country with Ceylon. Anyway, it is not a question of resources; it is a question of resourcefulness. What we require is a cadre of personnel who have thought, imagination, skill and training as 1 said, who take it as a sort of missionary task to propagate our ideas, interests and policies abroad. That is what is required.

Unfortunately, as I said, all of us are limping on this issue. We have not been publicity and propaganda in the world? able to make up our minds. Very odd suggestions have been made here and there, During wartime a diplomat cannot but I think a very big effort is necessary in function, because he always says, "I am regard to propaganda and publicity. Proper planning, proper preparation of the material and proper execution of the policy laid personnel and the like", and during down and who directs the policy, all these normal times he will never act. So 1 think things become extremely important in the that the only people who are important in modern world. So I feel that what is our diplomatic missions, in our required is not merely an expression of an embassies, are those who engage attitude, an expression of a wish, an themselves seriously in propaganda and expression that something is wrong and that What is got to be rectified.

is not merely the mending up of some fences here and there. What is basically wrong is the complacency that is prevalent in some quarters, a sort of lackadaisical attitude working in the minds of some officials. Now, that is also wrong. Within these extremes we have got to take steps, very very concrete steps by which we may bring about a change and the necessary reorientation in the set-up of the external publicity. May I suggest that our external publicity is only treated as the Cinderella of the External Affairs Ministry and that this attitude should go. That attitude should be give"h up. I say that more and more the Information and Broadcasting Ministry which has the necessary expertise, which has got the necessary personnel, should be closely associated with this work. External publicity also should be linked up with internal publicity. What we say in India should have the necessary rational relation with what we say abroad. Therefore, I say some sort of a marriage between the Information and Broadcasting Ministry and the External Affairs Ministry should be brought about. And may I venture to point out that one of the ways of doing this is to have a joint council or joint committee where the experts of the External Affairs Ministry and the experts of the Information and Broadcasting Ministry may be there, presided over by a senior officer .of the External Affairs Ministry? Secondly, may I say also—and that is may information that these things are being handled by a Deputy Secretary in the External Affairs Ministry?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI LAKSHMI N. MENON): A Joint Secretary.

SHRI M. S. GURUPADA SWAMY: May be a Joint Secretary. But I feel that he has got many other duties to discharge along with these functions. I think that a senior person who can exclusively devote himself to this work should be there in the External Affairs Ministry and he should direct and take over the direction of this policy,

me yiupaganaa poucy, pian it out in consultation with the Information and Broadcasting Ministry.

Committee to

Now, I come to the other point raised by some hon, friends from the other side. There was a lot of delay. I find even during the emergency in the broadcasts of the All India Radio. One of the reasons given is that clearance was not got from the the External Affairs Ministry in time, and therefore the All India Radio delayed the broadcasts. Why is this? Why should there be this kind of procedural delays with regard to our broadcasts? What is a broadcast? A. broadcast is based on certain norms, and normally a broadcast should have news value information value and truth. If these things are there then there should be immediately clearance given. After all, news loses its value if not broadcast immediately. We find in Pakistan that we get immediate broadcasts of any news, any event there. But there is inordinate delay in this country. To a certain extent this was obviated, but not to the full extent. I say this is a wrong procedure and I would very much wish that our machinery is reoriented in the direction of expediting the flow of news from the Ministry of External Affairs to the All India Radio

Mr. Vice-Chairman, there has been some talk of hostile propaganda against India by foreign countries (Time bell rings) I think I will take only about three or four minutes more. I will be finishing now. I was referring to hostile propaganda in foreign countries. This is part of the bigger problem of publicity and propaganda. What is it that we are doing? This is linked up with the entire policy that we have been pursuing abroad. We have got to be very clear and alert to meet such propaganda in other Countries. But I feel that our embassies abroad are so constituted that they are not able to take any decisions about the hostile propaganda going on there against us. They are even afraid to-

Committee to review external publicity

appaimment of a raniamentary [Shri M. S. Gumpada Swamy.] come out with handouts giving tb.3 r.ecessarly clarifications. They have got to refer these matters to काल से मानी जा रही है और प्रत्येक Delhi for getting approval. Otherwise nothing can he done there. But why this kind of an attitude, I do not know. In the matter कि जिसका प्रचार ग्रच्छा होता है उसकी of publicity, I feel that no foreign खराव चीज भी अच्छी लगने लगती है, propoganda which is against us can be countered or prevented if there is delay. What is required is expedition and a lot of dexterity imagination and thought should be put into it.

Therefore, I submit that there should be competent personnel to handle this matter in our embassies. A new cadre should be created and if competent personnel are not available in the Administration,—they are आवश्यक हो गया है कि हम अपने प्रचार not available and that is my view, because' they are not trained for this purpose, they are not conditioned for this purpose—then they can only be taken from outside. They may be journalists or others with the necessary experience. What is required is that a cadre of publicists should be there—here and in लड़ाई के मोरने पर हम जीते, मगर our embassies—so that during emergencies इस पहिलासिटी के क्षेत्र में विदेशों में जो we can always depend upon them, and not on an ad *hoc* basis take in some journalists here or there at the time of the emergency. लगता है कि उसमें हम नहीं जीते। That shows immaturity and that should not be there. I therefore plead with Government in all earnestness that there should be an है उसमें कोई मुघार करने की ब्रह्मनत awareness that this problem of publicity is आवश्यकता है। there. First of all that awareness should be there. There is awareness in this House, that there is such a thing as the problem of publicity. If there is this awareness then I think all the other things will follow. I feel हमारी प्रचार व्यवस्था वहत प्रच्छी है, that great vigour, vitality and drive have got to be imported to solve this problem of publicity and I hope the hon. Ministers in the इसके ऊपर खर्च भी करते जा रहे हैं— External Affairs, who are here will take my हमारे राजदूत विदेशों में हैं, हमारे उच्चाviews in the right light and I count on them. युक्त विदेशों में हैं, पब्लिक रिलेशन्स आफिसर्स Thank you.

श्री विमलकमार मन्नालालजी चौरडिया (मध्य प्रदेश) : उपसभाष्यक्ष

किया है, उसका समर्थन करने के लिये मैं खड़ा हुया हूं। यह बात बहुत प्राचीन भाषा में उसके बारे में कहावतें भी हैं जिसका प्रचार ठीक नहीं होता उसकी ग्रच्छी चीज भी ग्रप्रिय लगती है। हमारे यहां मालबी भाषा में एक कहावत है कि जो बोले र्कका बरा भी बिक जा और नी बोले ऊंकी ज्वार भी नी विके। तो इस दिष्ट से ग्राज के युग में वह ग्रत्यंत की दिष्ट से कुछ न कुछ करें, जिससे कि कम से कम हमारे जो श्रच्छे सिद्धान्त प्रतिपादित करते उनको हम ग्रपने मिलों की संख्या बढ़ा सकें। हमारी स्थिति है उसको देखते हुये ऐसा इस दिष्ट से हमारी जो प्रचार व्यवस्था

[THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN) in the Chair]

विदेश विभाग के अनुसार संभवतः उनके अनुसार वे करोड़ों रुपये प्रति वर्ष हैं, डिपुटी डायरेक्टर्स हैं, इन्फारमेशन ग्राफिसर्स हैं, प्रेस एटाची, एसिस्टेन्ट प्रेस एटाची पहिलसिटी ग्राफिसर्स हैं, इस बारे में बड़ी लंबी चौड़ी यादी है कि इतने सारे लोग उसके लिये हैं । जैसी कि रिपोर्ट हमारे विदेश विभाग की प्रसारित हुई उसके अनसार अंग्रेजी में. ग्ररबी में, फ्रेन्च में, स्पेनिश में भी काफी प्रचार किया, सारी लाइब्रेरी भरी है। डाक्युमेन्टरी फिल्म्स का प्रदर्शन किया

अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय फिल्म फेस्टिवल में भाग लिया सब कुछ किया। इसके बावजद भी ह,मारी विदेशों में प्रचार की क्या स्थिति है? इन सारे विभाग के कथित प्रचार के बावजूद भी हमारे जिलने विदेशों में मिल हैं उनकी संख्या में हम वृद्धि करते जा रहे हैं ? या घटौती करते जा रहे हैं? जो हमारे मिल हैं उनकी हमारे प्रति जो सहानभति थी उसमें हम विद्व करते जा रहे हैं या उसमें हमी कमी पैदा करते जा रहे हैं ? यह इस लडाई के दौरान में हमको अच्छी तरह. मालुम हो गया कि जो हमारे नजदीक के बे--पूर्ववक्ताओं ने लंका का उदाहरण दिया, यू० ए० आर० का उदाहरण दिया--- उनके बारे में हम इस निर्णय पर पहुंचते हैं कि हमारे ग्रच्छे सिद्धांन्त होने के बावजूद भी हमारी एक्सटर्नल अफ्रेयर्स मिनिस्ट्री दवारा पव्लि-सिटी काफी किये जाने के बावजद भी कहीं न कहीं गडबड है ग्रीर उसके परि-णामस्वरूप हमारे मिल भी हमारा साथ ठीक तरह से देते जा रहे हैं,ऐसा लगता नहीं। तो यह हमारे मंत्री जी विदेश में प्रचार करने की गड्बड को वहां डिफोण्ड कर सकेंगे या नहीं, मगर यहां अच्छी तरह से डिफेंड कर देते हैं ग्राँर सत्य बात करने वालों पर ग्रारोप लगा देते हैं कि लोगों की हाबी हो गई है कि यह पब्लिसिटी के बारे में चर्चा किया करें, उसकी ग्रालोचना करें। परंतु जितने भी ग्राज तक ग्रखवार के कटिंग्स हमने देखे 1959 से ग्रवतक, वो सब में इसी आशय का वर्णन आता है कि हमारे प्रचार का मामला बड़ा ठंडा है, ढीला है। दुतावासों द्वारा पंद्रह पंद्रह रोज तक उनको समाचार नहीं दिये जाते। 20 सितम्बर, 1959 के 'इंडियन एक्सप्रेस' में प्रकाशित हुआ था कि पन्द्रह दिन पूर्व भारत -चीन के संबंध में जो भारत सरकार द्वारा व्हाइट पेपर निकाला गया, वह इंडिया में पन्द्रह हाउस के बाद भी मिला। ऐसे एक नहीं, कई कटिंग्स मैंने
'3 P M. ग्रमी इस तरह के लाइबेर
में देखे जिनमें इस श्रामय का वर्णन
श्राता है कि हमारी सरकार
विदेशी पिटलसिटी के बारे में उपेक्षा
बरत रही है ग्रीर इस बारे में कान
परवाह नहीं की जा रही है। इसके मूल
में क्या बात है, इस बारे में हमारी
सरकार कुछ विचार नहीं करती है।

Committee to

ग्रभी विदेशों से काफी लोग घम कर आये हैं. जिनमें हमारे जगदगर श्री शंकराचार्य भी अफ्रीका एवं यूरोपीय देशों में गये थे ग्रीर उन्होंने स्पष्ट शब्दों में कहा है कि हमारी वहां पर बडी ह्यामिलेटिंग पोजीशन है। हम लोगों को वहां पर जितना मान मिलता चाहिये था, उतना नहीं मिलता है और भारत के प्रति वहां जो अच्छी घारणा होनी चाहिये थी वह नहीं है। ग्राजकल बी० बी० सी० यह प्रचार कर रहा है कि भारतवर्ष में हिन्दू रहते हैं, मसलमान नहीं रहते हैं। लेकिन स्थिति यह है कि पश्चिमी पाकिस्तान में जितने मसलमान रहते हैं उससे ज्यादा हिन्द्रतान में मसलमान रहते हैं। यहां पर जातीय ब्राधार पर कोई भेदभाव नहीं है, इस बात का विदेशों में हमारी तरफ से जोरदार प्रचार नहीं किया जाता है। ग्रभी राजकपूर विदेशों से लौट कर ग्राये हैं और उन्होंने वहां पर जो अनुभव किया उसके बारे में 'स्क्रीन' में एक लेख निकला है, जिसके कुछ ग्रंम मैं ग्रापके सामने रखना चाहता हं।

"While giving his impressions of his stay abroad, Raj showed much concern over two disturbing features. An image much humiliating to our country, projected abroad by wrong newspaner and radio reports circulated outside by foreign agencies, and the rather unbecoming attitude (tending to lower the prestige of our country) of some Indian living overseas....

appointment of a Parliamentary review external publicity

[श्री विमलकुमार मन्नालालजी चौराडिया]

India Radio broadcast by Sir C. P. Ramaswamy Aiyar who, like Raj recently returned from abroad after an extensive प्रयत्न नहीं किया । इसलिये यह ग्रत्यन्त trip, in which he advised overseas Indian how they should conduct themselves. In a nutshell, every Indian, when staying ग्रोर हमें विशेष घ्यान देना चाहिये। outside his country, must act as the envoy of his own motherland'."

तो यह लास्ट रिसौर्ट है हमारी सरकार इस बारे में कुछ नहीं कर सकी। हमारे देश के बहुत से लोग विदेशों में हैं जिन्हें ग्रपने को भारत का राजदत मान कर भारत की स्थिति को स्पष्ट करना चाहिये, लेकिन उनको इस बारे में मसाला मिलना चाहिये जो हमारी सरकार देने को तैयार नहीं है। अभी इसके बारे में कल ही 'करन्ट' में पब्लिसिटी एफर्ट्स के नाम से एक लेख निकला है, जिसके कछ ग्रंश मैं ग्रापके सामने रख रहा है:

'Publicity Efforts.—An absorbing topic of conversation is what went wrong with our publicity. 'Some blame the system कोण यानी भारत सरकार यह चाहती है others bureaucratic attitudes and red tape but everybody agrees that something has to be done about it'

इस तरह से हमारे सब मंत्रालयों का प्रचार का काम हो रहा है ग्रीर स्वयं सरकार भी यह समझती है ग्रीर चाहती है कि वर्तमान जो एक्सटर्नल पब्लिसिटी है वह कुछ ठीक नहीं है। जब सदर्न रोडेशिया में हमने वेलन्स्की सरकार से तांबा लिया तो वहां के ग्रखवारों में यह समा-चार छपते रहे कि यह जो साम्राज्यवादियों से भारत सरकार ने सहायता ली यह अच्छा नहीं और भारत सरकार वेलन्स्की के पक्ष में है। इस तरह की बातें वहां के ग्रखबारों में कई महीनों तक छपती रहीं, लेकिन हमारी ग्रोर से इसका स्पष्टीकरण नहीं किया गया कि जब चीन ने हमारे देश के ऊपर आक्रमण किया तो उस

ग्राकमण का सामना करने के लिये हमने तांबा वहां से लिया, लेकिन हमारी जो . In this connection, I recall an All- नीति "साम्राज्यवाद" के खिलाफ है, वह कायम है। हमने इस तरह के प्रचार करने का कोई ग्रावश्यक है कि इस तरह की बातों की

> एक वर्ष पूर्व जब भारतीय जनसंघ के महामंत्री श्री दीन दयाल उपाध्याय श्रमरीका तथा यरोपीय देशों का दौरा करके **ब्रा**ये तो उन्होंने कहा कि भारत का जो स्पष्ट चित्र मिलना चाहिये था, वह इन देशों में नहीं मिलता और अमरीका में भारत के प्रति घोर अज्ञान है।

मेरे एक मिल्ल अभी जापान से लौटे और उनसे मेरी जब चर्चा हुई तो उन्होंने बताया कि हमारा प्रचार ठीक नहीं है। उन्होंने कहा कि चीन-भारत के झगड़े के समय जापान में दो दृष्टिकोण थे भारत तथा चीन के झगड़े के बारे में। एक दृष्टि-कि चीन 1962 की पोजीशन में वापस आ जाये और दसरा दृष्टिकोण, यानी भारत के अन्य दलों के नेता यह चाहते हैं कि 15 अगस्त, 1947 की पोजीशन में चीन को आ जाना चाहिये। पिछली बात को जापान की जनता ने ठीक माना। भारत के दृष्टिकोण के बारे में जब वहां की ग्रम्बेसी में पूछा गया कि इस तरह का दुष्टिकोण में फर्क क्यों है कि सरकार 1962 की पोजीशन में चीनियों को या जाने के लिये चाहती है, जबिक दूसरे भारतीय नेता 15 श्रगस्त, 1947 वाली स्थिति चाहते हैं, तो इस बारे में कोई भी स्पष्टी-करण ठीक तरह से दुतावास की और से नहीं दिया जा सका। इस तरह से और भी ग्रखवारों के 'कटिंग्स' हैं; जैसे "नैंगलेक्ट ग्राफ ग्रवर पब्लिक रिलेशन्स इन एस० य० एस॰" इस शीर्षक से श्री ईश्वर सागर ने लेख लिखा है कि हमारी सरकार की थोर से

जो भी प्रकाशन निकलते हैं, वे ग्राउट आफ डेट होते हैं और उनमें बहुत पूराने समाचार होते हैं। इस तरह में भारत के समाचार नहीं मिलते हैं, जिसकी वजह से हमारा केस डिफाल्ट में जा रहा है। इन सारी घटनाओं से हम इस निर्णय पर पहुंचते हैं कि हमारा जो विदेशी प्रशासन है वह अपर्याप्त है, बिना चना हमा है, माकर्षण रहित है, ससा-मयिक रहता है ग्रीर जब उसकी ग्राव-श्यकता होती है तो वह उसी तरह से वीमार हो जाता है जिस तरह से चीन के ग्राकमण के समय जनरल कौल बीमार पह गये थे। लेकिन हमारा प्रचार विभाग ऐसा नहीं कि वह पूर्णतः सुस्त हो, वह कभी कभी चुस्त भी रहता है, जब हमारे कोई मंत्री वहां चले जाते हैं। जब कभी भारत के मंत्री महोदय विदेश जाते हैं तो वहां के ग्रधि-कारी अपने सारे रिसोर्सेज लगा देते हैं। वहां पर जो हमारे प्रेस अटैची होते हैं वे मंत्री महोदय की प्रचार की बातें, उनका फोटो छपवाने में पूरी तरह चस्ती से काम करते हैं। जब हमारे मंत्री जी वापस लौटते हैं तो उनके सामने ग्रखवारों के कटिंग्स, उनकी फोटो धौर तस्वीरों का एलबम दिया जाता है, जिससे वे बहुत खुश हो जाते हैं ग्रीर वह ग्रधिकारी उनके गुड बक्स में ग्राजाता है। इसी भ्रम-जाल में पड़े होने के कारण हमारा शासन ग्राजकल विदेश के प्रसारए विभाग का बचाव करता जा रहा है ग्रीर व्यक्तिगत प्रचार को राष्ट्र का प्रचार मान कर चल रहा है। इस लिये मेरा निवेदन है कि इस बारे में कुछ न कुछ ग्रवश्य विचार करना चाहिये जिससे हमारी स्थिति विदेशों में अच्छी तरह समझी जा सके।

हमारी विदेशों में प्रसारए की नीति अच्छी नहीं है और जो भी है उसका प्रसार करने वाले साधनों में संख्या की कमी

के साथ साथ योग्यता एवं उत्साह की भी कमी है। स्थिति यह है कि हमारे यहां चार विभाग हैं जो प्रत्यक्ष ग्रौर ग्रप्रत्यक्ष रूप में प्रचार करते हैं, किंतु उनमें कोग्रा-डिनेशन नहीं है। हमारे विदेश विभाग का पब्लिसिटी का हिसाब ग्रलग है, सुरक्षा विभाग का पब्लिसिटी का हिसाब अलग है, होम मिनिस्ट्री का, सेन्सर के द्वारा, ग्रलग प्रचार विभाग होता है ग्रौर सूचना तथा प्रसारण मंत्रालय का ग्रपना प्रचार का हिसाब ग्रलग है। ये चारों विभाग अपने अपने क्षेत्र में अलग अलग तरीके से प्रचार करते रहते हैं और इनमें आपस में कोई कोग्राडिनेशन नहीं है । इसलिये मेरा निवेदन है कि हमारी सरकार को एक सेन्टल इन्फारमेशन सर्विस का निर्माण करना चाहिये जिसमें सारे विभागों का प्रचार एक ही जगह से हो सके। इस समय हमारा जो प्रचार विभाग है वह ठीक तरह से कार्य नहीं कर रहा है। विदेशों में हमारी पब्लिसिटी ठीक तरह स हो, इसके लिए यह अत्यन्त ग्रावश्यक है कि हम इस तरह की एक नई सर्विस का निर्माश करें जो सारे प्रचार का

Committee, to

review external publicity

इसी तरह से जैसे अधिकारी होते हैं, वैसे ही कर्मचारी भी होते हैं और वैसा ही काम करते हैं । जिस तरह का घुड़सवार होता है बैसा ही घोड़ा होता है । जिस समय मेनन साहब थे, उसी तरह की सेना अब भी है, लेकिन ग्राज चौहान साहब हैं ग्रौर हमने देख लिया है कि कूशल नेतृत्व से क्या नहीं हो सकता है ?

काम अपने हाथ में ले।

इसी तरह से हमारे विदेशों में जो दूता-वास हैं जो वहां के प्रमुख ग्रधिकारी हैं, अगर वे ठीक नहीं हैं, वे ठीक ढंग से काम लेना नहीं जानते हैं, तो ऐसी हालत में हमारा काम प्रच्छी तरह से चलने वाला नहीं है। विदेशों में हमारे कुछ ग्रधिकारी बड़े योग्य हैं, लेकिन वे कुछ व्यक्तिगत कारणों से अपनी योग्यता का फायदा नहीं उठा सकते हैं।

श्री विमलकमार मन्नालालजी चौराड्या] कुछ ग्रधिकारी हमारे ग्रयोग्य हैं जो मुल्क के बारे में नहीं जानते हैं। भारत के सांस्कृतिक, आर्थिक, सामाजिक एवं राजनैतिक जीवन के बारे में जिन्हें ज्ञान नहीं है । उदाहरण के लिए जापान में हमारे जो राजदूत श्री तैयबजी हैं वे अपनी योग्यता का उपयोग अञ्छी तरह से नहीं कर पा रहे हैं। अभी जापान से मेरे एक मित्र लौट कर वापस आये और उन्होंने कहा कि जापान में लोगों के दिलों में यह वात घर कर गई है कि जब पहले पाकिस्तान ने हिन्दुस्तान पर हमला किया था तो उस समय कोई बात जनता के सामने नहीं ग्राई : ग्रीर ग्रव लोगों में इस तरह का प्रचार होता रहा कि पाकिस्तान एक छोटा देश है और हिन्द्स्तान एक बडा देश है जो कि ग्रपने पडोसी छोटे देश को निगल जाना चाहता है। इस तरह की भावना का परिणाम क्या हो सकता है? इस बात को हर एक अच्छी तरह से समझ सकता है। इसलिये यह अत्यन्त भ्रावश्यक है कि हम जब तक लोगों में प्रचार नहीं करेंगे, अपना दृष्टिकोण उनके सामने नहीं रखेंगे, तब तक हम अपने इस प्रचार के कार्य में सफलता प्राप्त नहीं कर सकते हैं। इसी तरह की बात इंग्लैंड में हो रही है। वहां पर बी॰ बी॰ सी॰ द्वारा यह प्रचार किया जा रहा है कि हिन्द्स्तान में तो हिन्दू ही रहते हैं, मसलमान नहीं रहते हैं। इसलिए यह ग्रत्यन्त ग्रावश्यक है कि हमारे ग्राध-कारियों ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की सेवा के ढांचे में परिवर्तन करना होगा जो सारे कामों के मुल हैं उन्हें ठीक तथा सक्षम बनाना होगा; क्योंकि वही तो विदेशों में हमारी ग्रांखें हैं, कान हैं और मुख हैं और हम उनकी उपेक्षा नहीं कर सकते हैं। जब तक हम इन तीनों अंगों से अपना प्रचार कार्य अच्छी तरह से नहीं लेते तब तक हम इस कार्य में सफल नहीं हो सकते हैं।

इन्फारमेशन ग्राफिसर या पब्लिसिटी रिलेशन ग्राफिसर ग्रादि की नियुक्ति ग्रक्सर राजदूत की पसन्दगी पर निर्भर करती ह ।

इसका नतीजा यह होता है कि वह अपनी उन्नति के लिये राजदूत की कृपा पर निर्भर रहता है ग्रीर वह वही कार्य करता है जिससे राजदूत प्रसन्न हो । क्लर्क ग्रौर स्टेनोग्राफर पब्लिसिटी ग्राफिसर बन जाते हैं। इस तरह से जो प्रैस घटेची और पब्लिसिटी आफिसर बन जाते हैं उनको हमेशा यही चिन्ता रहती है कि राजदूत को किस तरह से खुश किया जाये भ्रीर हमारे राजदूत जिन काकटेल पार्टीज, बालरूम डान्स या प्रदर्शनों में शामिल होते हैं उसी के बारे में प्रचार किया जाता है। इस तरह से हमारा विदेशों में प्रचार कार्य चलता है ग्रीर यह ंग्रत्यन्त ग्रावश्यक है कि इस सिस्टम में परिवर्तन किया जाना चाहिये। विदेशों में जो हमारे राजदूतावास में प्रचार के कार्य करने वाले कर्मचारी हैं उनकी नियक्ति, प्रमोणन राजदूत के ऊपर निर्भर नहीं होनी चाहिये। इसके साथ ही साथ विदेशों में जो राजदूत भेजे जाते हैं वे ऐसे आदमी हों जो हिन्दुस्तान की संस्कृति को समझते हों, वहां की नीतियों को समझते हों यहां के आचार विचार को. समझते हों। जो लोग इस तरह की बात को नहीं जानते उन्हें वहां पर नहीं भेजना चाहिये श्रीर इस तरह की बात करनी ठीक भी नहीं है ।

एक यह भी निवेदन कर देना चाहता हूं कि इसके लिये हमें जरा विशेष ध्यान रख करके और उनका स्पेणलाइजेशन कर के काम करना चाहिये जैसा कि रत्नस्वामीजी ने कहा । मैं इस प्वाइंट पर ज्यादा समय नहीं लेना चाहता । मगर इसके अलावा हमें विदेशों में इन विदेशों की भाष्य जानने वालों को रखना बहुत आवश्यक है । आज जापान में जितने हमारे एक्सटनेल डिपार्टमेंट के लोग हैं, मेरा ऐसा खयाल है कि उनमें कोई भी भारतीय ऐसा नहीं हैं जो वहां की जापानी भाषा जानता हो । ऐसी स्थित में हम उनमें क्या प्रचार करेंगे और हम यह क्या समझेंगे कि उनके अखबार हमारे लिये क्या सोचते हैं और हम उनको क्या मैटीरियल सोचते हैं और हम उनको क्या मैटीरियल

Committee to review external publicity

दें? हम यह सब ठीक कर नहीं सकते।
ऐसी स्थिति में यह अत्यन्तावश्यक है कि जिस
देश में हम अपने प्रतिनिधि भेजें वहां हम
अपने ऐसे प्रतिनिधि ही नियुक्त करें जो उस
देश की भाषा जानने वाले हों जिस से हम
प्रचार का काम ठीक तरह से कर सकें।

एक सुझाव यह भी है कि चीन हमारे खिलाफ प्रचार करता रहता है, इस लिये हांगकांग में हमें चोनी भाषां जानने वालों का एक ग्रलग डिपार्टमेंट कायम करना चाहिये जो यह देख सके कि वहां हमारे खिलाफ क्या प्रचार होता है ग्रीर वहां मेन बैन्ड के रहने वालों को हम क्या बता सकते हैं। यह प्रयत्न जब तक हम नहीं करेंगे तब तक यह कहने से काम नहीं चलेगा कि यहां बैंडे बैंडे हमने बहुत प्रचार किया ग्रीर इतना पैसा खर्च कर दिया । केवल पैसा खर्च करने से काम नहीं चल सकता । इसके लिये जिस तरह से इंग्लैंड के पास न्युज एजेंसो है, स्रमेरिका के पास न्यूज एजेंसीज है, चाइना के पास न्यूज एजेंसी है, उसी तरह से हमें भी भारत की अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय न्यज एजेंसी कायम करनी चाहिये और उसके माध्यम से हमें विदेशों में अपना प्रचार करना चाहिये। श्राज तो हम विदेशी एजेंसियों को सबसी-डाइज कर रहे हैं जो हमारे खिलाफ विदेशों में प्रचार कर रही हैं। हम यह ठीक नहीं करते। इसलिये ग्रावश्यकता यह है कि हम ग्रपनी ब्यवस्था करें या हमारे भारतवर्ष में जो न्यज एजेंसोज हैं उनको प्रोत्साहन दिया जाये, उनको सहायता दो जाये ताकि, वे विदेशों में जा करके काम कर सकें ग्रौर उससे हमारा प्रचार का काम ठीक हो सके। श्रौर ब्रीफिंग, प्रेस इन्फार्मेशन ब्युरो द्वारा ग्रखबारों में न्युज देना, ये सारी बातें ऐसी हैं, जो ठीक ढंग से की जानी चाहियें।

श्चन्त में मैं एक मुख्य प्रश्न पर शासन का ध्यान ग्राकिपत करना चाहता हूं कि पब्लिसिटी ग्रीर पब्लिक रिलेशंस, ये दोनों

ग्रलग-ग्रलग चीजों हैं । पब्लिक रिलेशंस एक कांटिनग्रस प्रासेस है । पब्लिसिटी से ग्राप कामन मैन को इन्फ्लएंस कर सकते हैं, मगर कामन मैन को इंफ्लएस करने के पहले वहां के जो मख्य लोग हों, प्रमख लेखक हों, जर्निलिस्ट हों या बड़े बड़े व्यक्ति हों जो कि अपने लेखों और भाषणों द्वारा लीगों का दिजाग परिवर्तित कर सकते हैं, उनसे लगातार सम्पर्क रखना श्रत्यन्तावश्यक है । हमें उनको यह बताते रहना चाहिये कि पाकिस्तान के साथ हमारा क्यों झगड़ा है या किसी देश के साथ हमारा क्यों झगड़ा है, पाकिस्तान के बारे में हमारा क्या स्थिति है, काइमार के बारे में हमारा क्या स्टंण्ड है। यह जो टैम्पोरेरी डेलीगेशन जायेगे, वे ठोक हैं, उनका सुदिग इफैक्ट हो सकता है, मगर लांग टर्म ग्रगर हमें लाभ लेना है तो वहां पर हमारे पब्लिक रिलेशंस ग्राफिशर हमेशा ऐसे होने चाहिये जो वहां पर प्रमच व्यक्तियों से सम्पर्क स्वापित करें, उन से कांट्रेक्ट रखें और उनके दिमाग काश्मीर और भारत के वारे में वा पाकिस्तान और भारत के बारे में साफ करें। जब तक हमारा यह कांटिनग्रस प्रतसेस चलता नहीं तब तक हम ठाक ढंग से पब्लिसिटी कर सकते हैं, ऐसा मैं नहीं मानता । श्रभी जो हम रैम्फलेट वर्गैरह भेजते हैं, वे रही की टोकरी में फेंक दिये जाते हैं और जो नोशन उनके लेखकों ने, उनके प्रचार करने वालों ने बना रखे हैं उनसे वे ठीक नहीं हो पाते । इसलिये यह ग्रावश्यक है कि जो कि पर्सन-लिटोज हैं, खास-खास लोग हैं, उनको हमें पकड़ना चाहिये ग्रौर इसके लिये हमें ऐसे पब्लिक रिलेशंस ग्राफिसर रखने चाहियें जो उनसे सम्पर्क स्थापित कर सकें । इसके साथ साथ ही विदेशों से लेखकों को बलाना चाहिये, जर्नेलिस्टस को बलाना चाहिये, जैसे विदेशों में यहां के लेखक जाते हैं ग्रीर फिर वे ऐसे लेख लिखते हैं कि हमारी अमेरिका की याता, हमारी जर्मनी की याता, हमारी ग्रमुक देश की याता, उन्होंने हमें बड़ा इन्टरटेन किया, वहां यह बडा अच्छा है । इसलिये

appointment of a Parliamentary

[श्री विमलकुमार मन्नालालजी चौरडिया] हमें मी ग्रपना कर्जंब्य निभाना चाहिये ग्रीर हमें भी विदेशो पत्नकारों को, विदेशी लेखकों को यहां पर बलाकर के अपनी स्थिति समझानी चाहिये ग्रौर उनसे सम्पर्क स्थापित करना चाहिये। इस इिट से मैं यह आवश्यक समझता हं कि यह पैम्फलेट वगैरह इश्यू करने की अपेक्षा हम पब्लिक रिलेशंस ज्यादा स्थापित करें तो उससे अधिक लाभ होगा। ग्राज हालत यह है कि कहीं ग्रगर हम किसी म्रादमी को नियुक्त करते हैं, तो वह थोड़े दिन वडां रहता है और फिर उसको वहां से ट्रांस्फर कर दिया जाता है। रिलेशंस कायम करने का काम एक रोज का नहीं है। एक दूसरे का दिनाग समझना पडता है और मनोवैज्ञानिक लडाई करनो पडती है। अगर मनोवैज्ञानिक लडाई हम जीत गये होते तो यद्ध के मैदान में ब्राज हमें इतने दिनों तक नहीं लड़ना पड़ता । मनोवैज्ञानिक ग्राधार पर हो हम संसार में ग्रपने मित्र बढा सकते हैं ग्रीर जब तक संसार में हमारे मिन्नों की संख्या नहीं बढ़ती है तब तक हमारी यह सारी पब्लिसिटी ग्रीर यह सारा रूपया व्यर्थ चला जाता रहेगा ।

[THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair] इसलिये मेरा यह नम्म निवेदन है कि विदेशों में जो हमारे पब्लिक रिलेशंस आफिसर स्थायी रूप से रहें, वे योग्यता वाले हों, ग्रन्छी तनस्वाह वाले हों भ्रीर उनका डाइरेक्ट सम्बन्ध मंत्रालय से हो । इस तरह की जब तक हम व्यवस्था नहीं करते तब तक हमारे लिये यह अपेक्षा करना व्यर्थ होगा कि सन् 1947 में इंग्लैंड हमारे पक्ष में था ग्रौर सन 1965 में ब्रा कर एकदम गड़बड़ कर दिया या 1964 में अमेरिका हमारे पक्ष में या श्रीर 1965 में गड़बड़ कर दिया । यह सारी गडबड़ी इस लिये होती है कि हमारे उनके साथ जो पब्लिक रिलेशंस चाहिये वे हो नहीं पाते । इसलिये जिम्मेदार, योग्य, तपे-तपाये, हमारी नीतियों में, हमारी संस्कृति में अर्थीर हमारे देश में विश्वास करने वाले

review external publicity लोगों को हमें विदेशों में स्थायी रूप से नियुक्त करना चाहिये जो वहां के लोगों से कांटेक्ट स्थापित करके हमारे देश का बातें उन तक

पहुंचासकें।

MISS MARY NAIDU (Andhra Pradesh): Madam Deputy "Chairman, I rise to support the Resolution moved by our friend, Shri Abraham. Publicity, Madam, is a weapon that can make an elephant look like an ant or turn an ass into a horse. That is what Pakistan leaders are trying to do. Pakistan dictators, Ayub Khan and Bhutto, seem to be irresistible m distorting the facts but we need not do that. At the same time our leaders and our people must also be careful. What is very very important at the present time is to make the public of our country and the people of the whole world know, understand and realise the facts as they are and also see that they have them without any delay. None can refute Madam, the fact that we are not really smart in our publicity. If we were smart, it would not have been so difficult for our mission to prove in the Security Council that Pakistan is the aggressor. We neglected ourselves not for one year but for years together otherwise when Pakistan leaders were assuming the role of protectors of the Kashmiris why did we not ask the world what right they had morally or legally? Why did we not by every possible propaganda impress upon the world that they have no right at all because no other Government except Pakistan violated the principles of selfdetermination as Pakistan Government has done? How, when and why did Baluchistan, Chitral, Kalat, Bahawalpur and all these come under Pakistan' What right have the dictators of Pakistan to question about Kashmir' We should have made the whole world understand that they had no right at all and that we have every right because Kashmir on its own bad name into our fold. On September 8, 1948, the Pakistan Foreign Minister said: 'Pakistan is under no obligation,

international or otherwise, that pre-

vents her from sending her troops to Kashmir."

Did we make the world at that time to look at what they were saying? On January 17, 1952, the Chief Minister •of West Pakistan said:

"If the U.N. proves to be a band of thieves, we will have nothing to do with it. We will prove that we can liberate Kashmir with the strength of our arms."

This has been going on for years together. They have been threatening -us and the UN. right and left and what did we do in order to make the world understand their aims? The 'Pakistan Times' wrote on February 13, 1956:

"The question of Kashmir would never be solved until every man in •the country was militarily trained and armed with modern weapons."

They added: "I am sure Kashmir •would never join Pakistan without lorce." Khan Jalaluddvi Khan said this. What wonderful statements these are, how they contradict one another. First they pose that they protect the Kashmiri people. Again they say that the Kashmiri people will not, on their own, agree to go to Pakistan, unless the Pakistan people take them by force. Did we use these contradictory statements in order to impress on foreign people, on everybody else and to prove that were not consistent? We did not. So, it is proved that they have no love for the Muslims of Kashmir but only for the land of Kashmir. How did we use these statements to make the. U.N. and the world understand the real motives of Pakistan? If we are to be honest to ourselves, we did .not care at all for what they said. We were indifferent to what they said and felt that Pakistan can only bark, but not bite. I do not mean to .criticise Government. The past

«09 RS—5.

is past. We have to take care of the future. Many of my colleagues have spoken and said everything that was defective in the Ministry, but where were we in those days? We could

review eorternal publicity

Committee to

have asked the Ministries to do better propaganda. We too were sleeping in those days. So, let us not now . . .

SHRI P. K. KUMARAN (Andhra Pradesh): They would not listen.

SHRI V. M. CHORDIA: Nobody was sleeping.

MISS MARY NAIDU: If we were shouting at them as we are shouting now, perhaps they would have listened to us. Anyway, all of us took it for granted that there was no fear and that we need not bother about propaganda. Now that the emergency has come, everybody is up in arms. The Opposition Benches were not with us in those days as they are today. If they were with us and they had given their advice as they are giving today, I am sure the Government Benches would have really taken

AN HON. MEMBER; That shows their patriotism.

MISS MARY NAIDU: So, the patriotism that has come out during the emergency did not exist in those days. So, let us not think of

SHRI V. M. CHORDIA: You are mistaken here. It was expressed.

MISS MARY NAIDU: It was not expressed in the same way, I am sorry. Anyway, we do realise now that something in particular has to be done. A little while ago our friend, Mr. Pattabiraman, mentioned one little point with regard to Muslim artistes. He said in the Islamic Conference held in Jakarta a booklet entitled "Muslims in India" was shown —in that there was our Vice-President. and along with him were Madhubala and Dilip Kumar. If we

[Miss Mary Naidu.] were smart, we would not nave called them as Madhubala and Dilip Kumar. We would have called them hy their Muslim names before we printed them in the booklet. But we did print them as Madhubala a»nd Dilip Kumar and gave their Muslim names underneath. Immediately Pakistan took it up and made much of it. They twisted it to suit their convenience and said that Muslims were not allowed to exist as artistes in India under their own names. They must change their names. The whole world knows that this is not true, but it is not sufficient. If the world does not know it, we have to force it on their brains. We did not think that it was necessary to do so in those days. In the future at least, when we are giving these pamphlets let us give them the fact that our porters, our rickshaw-wallas, whether they Muslims or Hindus, side by side pull their rickshaws. At present they are helping the jawans with tea parties and dinner parties with the money they are saving. There is no difference whatever between a Muslim and a Hindu. At least now through photographs and everything else let us make much of our propaganda. The real reason I felt was that we had been neglecting it. Instead of blaming the Government, we could all agree to one point. The fact is that we fail to hate the people of Pakistan. Our trouble is only with their leaders. When they go on publishing in every way their utter hatred for us, we'are simply unable to say anything against the people of Pakistan because we know in our hearts that they are not to be blamed. Only their dictators are to be blamed and so we are not really able to go on criticising them.

Madam, anyway, the time has now come when we must be quick and alert to prove our pure actions by smart propaganda. Once again I say that in the Security Council we were unable to get the aggressor named only because of our lack of foreign publicity. So. in future, I suggest

one or two things. There must be coordination in all embassies throughout the world and there must be proper guidance from the Centre. For that even at the Centre there must be coordination between the different departments. Ministry of Ex-The ternal Affairs and the Ministry of and Broadcasting must Information have some sort of co-ordination. Every embassy, I say, must have one or two members who know the language of the country to which they go. That is very essential, because no country likes to be given propaganda papers in some other language, in English or in some other language. They would like to read it in their own language. So, it very essential that we train some of our young boys and girls or ask them to . all the undergo training in languages. There are many boys and girls who are ready to learn different langu-Pick them up and give them ages. training in different languages send them to the different embassies so that there may be quick translations at the embassies, on the spot, and for them to distribute the papers to the people of that country.

Committee to

review external publicity

Also, I request that all those who are being sent as Ambassadors and their advisers and other helpers and workers in the embassies must be given proper training in the country before they are sent. They should not be sent just because the appointing authorities know them or they do not know them. They must be given some thorough training and they must be made to understand what they must uphold in those countries. Our culture, our Sanskriti, everything that belongs to us must be thoroughly known to those people who go as Ambassadors and as their followers. With these words, I thank you for giving me time to speak.

SHRI P. K. KUMARAN: Madam, some time back when Mr. Pattabhi Raman walked into the House, I thought that he had come to listen to the discussion here, but he has left, leaving the two Foreign Ministers to

appointment of a Parliamentary

listen to the discussion. I do not consider that external publicity is the sole affair of the Ministry of External Affairs at all. The Ministry of Information anfl Broadcasting is equally responsible, if not more, for the stuff that comes out through our External Affairs Ministry for consumption abroad. Another Ministry is the Commerce Ministry, which organises exhibitions, itrade fairs and all these things and they issue leaflets and brochures regarding the products which we produce. These things also create or influence the image of India abroad. He is also absent. Of the two Foreign Ministers, one Minister is absent now. Only Mrs. Lakshmi Menon remains here.

This morning while I was just glancing through one of the bulletins issued by Press Information Bureau— I do not know why this particular copy came into my hands-I came across some gems. One is this. This is regarding the Rann of Kutch. It is not current and it is a bit old. They have given the background and say:

"Most of the area lies below 600 feet."

I do not know what it means. Then, it says:

"The environment is generally arid and the region lies between dry shares of Sind and the fluctuating flank of the Arabian Sea Branch of the monsoon."

I do not know, but the position of the Rann of Kutch is supposed to be un-understood by this sentence.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: What are you reading from?

SHRI P. K. KUMARAN: This is from a handout by the Press Information Bureau, from the Ministry of External Affairs, External Publicity Division, Press Relations Section, New Delhi, April 12, 1965.

SHRI GOPIKRISHNA VIJAIVARGIYA (Madhya Pradesh): Is it foreign press or our own press?

SHRI P. K. KUMARAN: It is the Government of India's Press Information Bureau. Another thing. Here in the whole Government we are trying to establish that the Rann of Kutch was always ours. When we are giving information regarding the portion of the land to people abroad who do not know anything about it, I do not understand why the different vicissitudes or the different arguments or the different stages in the history should be produced. The facts should be stated in a clear-cut way. Mr. Bhutto was more clear when he called all Indians as dogs in the U.N.O. But here it is said:

"In 1938, a Survey of India official of the then British Administration showed the northern boundary between the Princely State of Kutch and the Sind as "disputed"."

It might be a fact, but my point is why bring in this fact into a handout which we give to foreign nations? How does it help publicity? If anything, it only creates more confusion in the minds of those who read it. I could not read it completely, but I will just show you some more. This is the sentence which appears in the hand-out of the 19th April:

"It was in 1947 that Pakistan concocted her claim, totally arbitrary, gratuitous and baseless, for half the Rann of Kutch."

In 1947, the actual division took place. Again there is another sentence:

"For the first time Pakistan raised' the issue in 1948."

There is contradiction in the facts which are produced and given out to foreign embassies.

There is a tactical mistake in another thing. Here is a sentence

"Another tactics which Pakistan has learnt at the feet of its new

[Shri P. K. Kumaran.] mentor, Mao Tsetung, is the clandestine encroachment upon Indian territory to create justification for claiming this illegal occupation as de facto and de jure possession."

Mao Tse-tung is not liked by us. He has done us harm, the maximum harm has been done by him. But the point is whether Mao Tsetung is understood in .the same way by the African countries. What do we find. Many of the African countries do not believe that Mao Tse-tung is wrong. That is the position. What happened to the Afro-Asian Conference? You had a lot of difficulty in convincing the Africans that Mao Tse-tung was wrong. Why should the British people who are anxious to get ,the business of China rather than continue the friendship of India believe this? Mao Tsetung has done harm to India, I agree. But the way in which our case is explained is tactically wrong. It will not be understood by a citizen of Ghana or any of the Middle East countries. There are some of the gems which are issued by them.

AN HON. MEMBER: America will appreciate you.

SHRI P. K. KUMARAN; This is the position. It is not a question of the External Affairs Ministry alone. These things are produced by the Press Information Bureau under the Information and Broadcasting Ministry, and they have got a Department where there is a quarrel between the Press Information Wing and the blue-blooded I.F.S. officers, whether they should come in the seniority list. whether they should be merged; that kind of struggle is going on. This is one of the basic reasons for the haphazard way in which things are done.

The hon. Ministers have been touring all countries recently and it is hoped they are giving a proper image of the country abroad. In Soviet Russia the problem never arose. Recently I happened to go to London,

Pans, West Uermany, t>witzeriana Italy etc. I went from here with the idea that India is a big country, is a great country. Going through the newspapers and articles which I read everyday, my head was quite swollen. But after the initial reception and all these things in London were over, I moved to the house of a friend and I started mixing with the local people and the local Indians also. Incidentally I can say that there is not a single Indian in London who has got a good word for the High Commission's office in London. I do not, however, think that this is the occasion to go into details. From there I came to Paris. There also I visited not many but a few friends and discussed things with a few people. Invariably, you must excuse me for telling you, the first question I was asked by strangers was this: "Are you from Pakistan?" I said: "No. I am from India". They said: "Oh. India". This was rather strange. I felt what is this "Oh, India", as if it is something like a second class country. I discussed with the members of the Communist Party in Paris and asked them what was their reaction to Chinese aggression against us. They said: "We were sympathetic to India, but we did not get any material. We phoned your Embassy, they had no material. But from the Chinese Embassy bundles after bundles of material were coming to us. We did not know what to do." This was the position.

Committee to

review external publicity

Again, once travelling from Geneva to Berne by train. I was discussing with some friends. Their first question was: "Are you from Pakistan?" My impression is that India is a big country, that we are known throughout the world. In Europe people ask: "Are you people from Pakistan?" I am relating my personal experience.

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY (Mysore) That is the experience of others also.

SHRI P. K. KUMARAN: I discussed this issue with two Consul-Generals of our country in two Euro-

pean towns and also with Mr. A. C. N. Nambiar who lives in Geneva, who was for some time our Ambassador in Bonn. I asked-"What is this? I feel India is considered something like a second rate country." Ol course, officers after .their initial hesitation also came out with reactions which were very similar to mine

Mr. Govinda Reddy just now mentioned that while organising groups to go to African countries, some M.Ps. are dropping out. It may be incidental, but these people, with whom I discussed, told me. that at social receptions our Ambassadors and others, when an African comes, say: "How do you do?" How do you do?" And there it finishes. With the English people o_r the Americans op with other white people, they talk with them, they hug them, they hug each other's wives, and so on. But there is some sort of formality, some rigidity with ithe majority of the countries who have become free, who are heard in the U.N.O., the world forum today. This sort of reserve is there. It should be overcome. Unfortunately God has made these countries black, and naturally they have some douht whether they are being looked down upon. They have to be treated with special care. When you simply say: "How do you do?" and then go on and talk with a white man, naturally they think that We are slaves of the British.

Another fact is that we are in the Commonwealth. That small island. England,—some status it does have by being head of the Commonwealth. But the purpose of the Commonwealth, I do not understand. We are still .there. "Why is India still there? It is not yet an independent country." That impression often prevails among many people in Europe, that it has not yet become free. This doubt is still there. I am not telling you things out of imagination. Why is it there? We are a big country, 150

review external publicity times bigger than England. It is after all a small island. Day by day it is realising that it is a small island. In order ,to give it a status, this Commonwealth is being continued. The moment we walk out of it, the Commonwealth will collapse. We are still hesitating. Lots of doubts have been expressed. We are a strong country of 470 million people. We have got a status provided we assert ourselves, provided we behave with firmness. That we are not prepared to do.

This is the position.

Committee to

In our dealings with people abroad, in educating them, our bulletins should be short and easily understandable by them, not like some of these things which look like papers from research students. First of all, .there will be no patience for them to go through the whole thing. Three days ago, I was talking to a correspondent of an Italian paper who was in Delhi. He said, "We are sympathetic towards India because Pakistanis are out and out American agents. We know that. But then why d'd you attack in the Lahore Sector? We do not understand it." He is the correspondent of a paper. Naturally he has a wide knowledge. He could not understand why India attacked the Lahore Sector. What are our Ambassadors doing? Cocktail parties are necessary once in a way. They could give all ,those things. But why not they hold press conferences also now and then? During the Chinese aggression—I was told—the editor of a paper j_n Ceylon wanted to know the views of our High Commissioner there. He went thpre; he had tea but the High Commi?sioner was talking a/bout Indian mangoes. This is the position in the embassies and of our representatives abroad. Unless we overcome this, we cannot reflect our ideals, the image of India cannot be properly reflected outside. It is not simply satisfying ourselves that we believe in Gandhiji, in the ideals of Nehru, in internationalism and all that. That is all right. For the common people there is np

[Shri P. K. Kumaran.] to read big books or research papers or the big handouts given to the papers. We should also hold press conferences to give the correct position. That should be done. They should be vigilant. But the whole thing cannot be done unless the External Affairs Ministry puts the foreign service people on correct lines.

Again, about the question of appointing Ambassadors, there is a controversy. One Committee has been appointed. Shri N. R. Pillai is the Chairman. It is good. Shri J\'. R. Pillai has a lot of experience regarding foreign service and all that. But they should have included at least one or two people from public life i also. But all the members are from service. I am not saving this out of prejudice .towards people in -service. But the outside reaction will not be reflected unless some non-official members are also included in it. These issues can be discussed; several opinions will come before the Committee and the whole thing can be gone into. This should be done.

Also, it is. not the External Ministry's show alone; the Information and Broadcasting Ministry also is equally responsible and its foreign section broadcasts to Indonesia, China and ail that. One point is that the whole of Europe today uses these transistor radio sets or medium-wave transistor radios. Our broadcasts in shortwave will not be heard by them. This question of broadcasting to foreign countries is being discussed over the last two years. Every type of question is coming up now and then. But the Government have not come to a decision to instal a strong mediumwave transmitter. All these transistors are pocket radios. they are used even in houses there; they have medium-wave transistors. Even if you are shouting from Delhi in short wave, it is not possible for them to bear it. So, early steps in that direction should be taken.

I hope that all these things will be taken into consideration. I do not

know whether a committee is necessary. It seems that a committee is already functioning. I think that it would be better that the Committee co-opts some nonofficiai people like Shri Akbar Ali Khan or Shri Govinda Reddy to sit on it and come to a definite conclusion as to how to reorganise the entire External Affairs Ministry and also the Information and Broadcasting Ministry's External Department work.

Committee to

review external publicity

SHRI M. R. SHERVANI (Uttar Pradesh): Madam Deputy Chairman, I am thankful to the mover of this Resolution for giving the House an opportunity to discuss this vitally important subject. While we as a democracy are no believers in the techniques of the late Dr. Goebbels which Pakistan seems to have perfected, it cannot be denied that our external publicity suffers from many weaknesses.

This hag become more pronounced since 1962 when China mounted its aggression against India. The recent Pakistani attack on India opened the flood-gates of vile propaganda from Rawalpindi. Its diplomatic missions have let loose a torrent of literature based on falsehood and half-truths. The foreign press has come in for special attention at the hands of Pakistan and all kinds of anti-Indian stories have been planted in British and American newspapers. The Pakistani Public Relations officials have been working overtime in all the world capitals.

Foreign correspondents, who knew little or nothing about the development in the sub-continent, suddenly found themselves in Karachi and Rawalpindi. And they swallowed the Pakistani propaganda hook, line and sinker. They accepted as gospel truth the stories of genocide, mass upsurge in Kashmir and of superiority of the Pakistani armed forces. But the foreign correspondents sent to India underplayed the Indian stories. Even the successes in the field were belittled and represented as defects.

appointment of a Parliamentary

I am quite willing to concede that partly this hostility towards India springs from the pre-determined attitude which most Western countries have adopted in the matter of Indo-Pakistan conflict, and the External Publicity Organisation cannot be solely blamed for the present state of affairs. But many foreign correspondents, when they are questioned about the partisan attitude of their press, have complained that the Indian official publicity machinery is not helpful and that Pakistani Public Relations, men have been far more considerate towards them.

I have had occasions to go abroad from time to time and I have some idea of the type of propaganda to which Pakistan has stooped, to blacken India's face. While we cannot reduce ourselves to their level, I certainly feel that we ought to do more than -we have done to place things in a correct perspective.

It is no secret that there is a colossal amount of ignorance about India in most countries of the world. The impression that Pakistan has been trying to create in the countries outside is that after independence during the past 18 years Indians have been gradually reverting to a state of savagery.

Two years ago, I met a foreign diplomat at one of the Conferences outside India. After a few weeks, when we had become quite friendly, he asked me with a confidential air one day, "Do you worship snakes if India?" "Yes", j answered. "Do you treat cows as sacred?" was the next question. "Yes" was my reply. "Do you have people who are not allowed to draw water from the well even if they are dying of thirst?" was the third question. I said, "Yes" and added: "We were doing all these things three or 'four thousand years ago when people in most parts of the world were living in caves." I then explained to the diplomat that the old

review external publicity

superstitions had died out almost completely, that untouchability had been abolished by law and that the West looked after its cows far better than we could afford to do. The next day this diplomat with a feeling of apology showed me a glossy publication brought out by the Pakistan Government where an attempt had been made to show that after the British left India, Indians were gradually drifting to a state of savagery.

In the whole of the Middle East, Pakistan has assiduously created an impression that India practises genocide and, that Muslims at best are only second-class citizens, I will quote one example. Some time back I was talking to a foreign Muslim dignitary. During the taliks (he referred to the plight of Muslims in India and to communal riots. I pointed out to him that these riots were a legacy of the creation of Pakistan and that in a city like New York and London, more people were killed every year by automobile accidents than by riots in India. He then referred to the sta'tus of the Muslims. When I pointed out to him the high positions which the Muslims held in the differ-rent walks of life—in civil service, in foreign service, in the academic world and elsewhere—he was surprised.

I have given these two examples to illustrate the nature of the Pakistani propaganda so that we can think as to how best we can counter it. In my view, both quantitively as well as qualitatively our external publicity suffers from many shortcomings. The financial allocation for the External Publicity Division for 1965-66 ha= been actually reduced from over Rs. 50 lakhs in the revised estimates for 1964-65 to Rs. 44 lakhs in the estimates for the current year. In my view, in these days, propaganda war is no less' important than war on the battlefields. In 1963, the External Publicity Department was reorganised in the context of the Chinese threat and Sino-Pakistan

appointment of a Parliamentary

[Shri M. R. Shervani.] collusion. It is said that a top Indian journalist had been consulted before the reorganisation was effected. In my opinion, the reorganisation has not solved the problem. Most of the I.F.S. or External Publicity Officials are cut off from the current of events at home for long spells. They often cultivate an outlook divorced from the realities of the situation. If some of our External Publicity officials had been in India during the last one month or two and witnessed the mighty upsurge which had swept all over the country. I am sure they would have brought to bear a new passion and a new feeling of dedication to their task. My suggestion, therefore, is that there should be frequent interchange of officers of the Indian Information Sendees and the External Publicity Services and that after every three years an officer stationed abroad, should have a spell of home service-either in some State or the Centre. This would give him a better slant on developments.

In regard to dissemination of suitable publicity material abroad, I must say that our efforts are no match to Pakistan's. A recent news report from Colombo said that since the beginning of recent hostilities, Pakistan had distributed in Ceylon alone nearly two dozen anti-India publications. In the countries of Africa and Middle East, the number of glossy publications distributed by Pakistan is equally large. I think We will have to do much more if we are to counter false Pakistani propaganda and create a correct image of India in these countries.

In our literature, emphasis should be on the nature of the current Pakistani regime, and the character of the society that we are trying to build up in our country—a society free from religious bigotry and regimentation. We should explode the myths that Pakistan is spreading about India.' Not merely should we rely on distribution of suitable literature, but we

should utilise all. axailable foreign publicity media to explain India's case. In many of the countries, broadcasting is run commercially. Why cannot we buy radio time to put across our point of view? In the last year's-annual report, a' reference had been made to the number of TV units to which invitations were extended so> that they could exhibit TV films on India in their countries. Now that we are having our own TV arrangements, could we not produce our own TV films for exhibition abroad?

In this connection, I welcome the decision of the hon. Minister of Information and Broadcasting to establish Films Division offices in foreign countries so that our documentaries arid feature films could be easily made available for screening in foreign countries. It would be worthwhile even hiring private halls or making arrangements with local exhibitors for screening these films.

I would like to emphasise the use of the foreign press to project Indian ideology and point of view. In view of the known hostility of the Western press towards India, I would like the Government to consider the feasibility of buying space in the newspapers to place our point of view on various problems before foreign people. There is nothing derogatory in it. The Tory Party in the U.K., assisted by top-ranking firms of public relations consultants, buys space in the newspapers to place its programme before the electorate. In the U.S.A. also this practice is fairly common. In our own country, some foreign Powers regularly buy newspaper space to project their point of view. We should place our stand on Kashmir, Pakistani aggression, the Chinese menace, the secular character of our society, etc., etc. before the foreign readers regularly.

Another Important point to which I would like to draw the attention of the Government is about the distribution of selected' newspapers to journalists, leaders of thought and news media in

other countries. In our own country, papers like the 'New York Times' and the 'Guardian' are being distributed on a similar basis. My suggestion is aimed to keep them informed about the trend of opinion in our country.

In the last year's annual report, a reference had been made to our efforts to keep the Indian students abroad properly informed so that they could serve as our unofficial ambassadors. I am sorry to have to say that this claim is not correct. Most Indian ' students abroad are not at all happy | with the high-hatted attitude of our • diplomatic personnel. Many of them wrote pathetic letters home when they read in the local papers, glowing accounts of Pakistani successes in the recent operations. The least that the Missions can do is to supply them Indian papers so that they know what is happening in their country. Only when they themselves are well informed, can they succeed in informing others.

Another weakness of our external publicity organisation s5 "is from the fact that most countries which are friendly to us are dependent on Western news agencies. And since these news agencies rarely present objective picture of events, a distorted image of the country is often created in the countries of Africa and Asia. I think the time has come when we should get together with some likeminded governments and help in the establishment of an international news agency owned co-operatively by the newspapers of India and other friendly countries.

With these observations, I support the Resolution.

श्री जगत नारायण (पंजाब) : मैडम, डिप्टी चेयरमैन, तीन सौ साल पहले महाराजा रणजीत सिंह ने दूश्मन की धरती पर हमला करके फतह हासिल की और वह फतेह ग्राज तक भी तारीख में याद है और जिस धरती पर उन्होंने फतह हासिल की थी उस घरती के लोगों को पुश्त-दर-पुश्त ग्रभी तक याद है। ग्राज मैं ग्राप की खिदमत में यह ग्रजं करना चाहता है कि हमारे प्रधान मंत्री ने लाहौर की तरफ फीजों को मार्च करने का हक्म दिया और हमारी फौजों ने उस तरफ मार्च किया यह वड़ा नेक फैसला था मुवारकवाद का फ़ैसला था ग्रीर इससे हिन्द्स्तान का वकार बढ़ा हैं मगर कहां बढ़ा हैं। हिन्द्स्तान में बढ़ा है बाहर के देशों में नहीं बढ़ा हैं ? हमने पैटन टैंकों का कन्निस्तान बनाया हमने सैबर जैटों का कन्नि-स्तान बनाया हमने पाकिस्तान की फौजो को भगाया हमारी फौजों के सामने वे ठहर नहीं सकीं। मगर हालत हमारी यह है कि आज सारे संसार में हमारी इस जीत को जीत नहीं समझा जा रहा है । शायद ग्राप यह कहें कि मैं इस जीत के मताल्लिक क्यों मायस हं। मैं मायुस तो नहीं हं। जहां तक हिन्द्स्तान का ताल्लुक है मैं समझता हं कि हमारी सरकार ने एक बड़ा ग्रच्छा कदम उठाया ग्रौर पाकिस्तान को एक सबक सिखाया । मैं ग्रापके सामने चन्द बाहर के ग्रखबरात की खबरें रखना चाहता हं श्रीर यह दिखाना चाहता हं कि बाहर के देशों में क्या क्या प्रचार हम्रा क्या उन्होंने यह महसूस किया है कि हिन्द्स्तान ने जीत हासिल की है या नहीं । यह मैं ग्रापके सामने रखना चाहता हु। यह हमारा अंग्रेजी रोज-नामा "स्टेट्समैन" पिछले महीने का है। इसमें जर्मन जो कारस्पान्डेन्ट है उसने लिखा

Committee to

review external publicity

"This paper does not enjoy much reputation in India. One of its most annoying performances was a headline in the issue of September 23: 'Weeping Shastri tells MP's ot Cease-fire Order', suggesting a_s if a defeated India was accepting it with tears."

है :

यह श्रखबार में लिखा है कि शास्त्री साहब ने रोते हए वहां पार्शियामेन्ट में यह कहा। फिर यह साहब लिखते हैं कि एक बहन ने एक जुर्मन लडकी को क्या लिखा है :

"But my German friend wrote otherwise. She said that she was frightened at the holocaust in which India—India, mind you, not Pakistan,—was enveloped. Belonging as she did to the generation of the Second World War, she know what bombing meant, for her own home town of Hamburg had been destroyed. She hoped that Delhi was safe and, if not, that I and my family had been safely evacuated.

"Amused a little, I wrote back saying that there was little fighting in India, and that even Pakistan, on whose territory fighting was going on, was pretty safe. Two days after the cease-fire, however, an Indian friend returned from Europe and gave a story amazingly corresponding to the German version.

"He had attended meetings of some, very well informed and intelligent people. He had been to Brussels, Prague, Belgrade, Bonn, Rome and Athens, where he had talked to laymen. What he gathered was that India had been all but defeated; there was fighting around Sialkot and Lahore, of course, but some people insisted that these were Indian towns. He was told that the Shastri Government had toppled, that Sikhs had taken control, and that America and Britain were only pulling India's chestnuts out of the fire."

4 P.M.

यह खबरें बाहर के मूल्कों में इस ढंग की छप रही हैं।

चौरड़िया साहब ने भी जिक किया राज कपूर का। मुझे भी पांच साल पहले रूस जाने का मौका मिला था। वहां पर जितनी इज्जत पडित नेहरू की थी—उस बक्त की मैं बात कर रहा हूं ग्राज का पता नहीं—वहां राज कपूर की भी बड़ी इज्जत थी। यहां तक कि हम एक जगह जा रहे थे नदी के किनारे एक लड़का ग्रा गया उसने ग्रावारा के गीत गाने गुरु कर दिए ग्रीर वह गाता चला गया। बाद में हमें बताया गया कि उसको सारे गीत याद थे। तो मैं अर्ज कर रहा था कि राज कपूर की बाहर के देशों में बड़ी इज्जत थी। मेरे भाई ने पढ़ कर सुनाया, लेकिन एक बात वे भूल गए। जिस होटल में वे ठहरे हूए थे, वहां के बारे में वे लिखते हैं:

" 'Are there no eithics in news coverage?' he asked. False-hoods such as 'Bombay bombed,' and so forth were unscrupulously spread abroad by foreign news agencies and correspondents."

"One hall porter in a Tahiti hotel, taken in by these reports, asked Mr. Kapoor: 'Do you have an army'."

"To most Americans, India¹ is still a land of snakecharmers and fakirs, the

त्रापके पास फौज भी है या नहीं ? producer-director-actor said. *Do you have electricity?' an American asked him!'

"It is the frightening efficiency of

वहां का जो बड़ा प्रोडयूसर है उसने पूछा कि कि आपके यहां बिजली भी है या नहीं। अमरीका में हिन्दुस्तान के मुताल्लिक इतनी नालेज है कि उनको इतना भी पता नहीं है कि हमारे पास फौज भी है या नहीं, बिजली भी है या नहीं। इसी के साथ साथ यहां के लड़ाई के दिनों में एक नौजवान लड़का पढ़ने के लिए गया बिलायत में, तो वह अपना तजूरवा लिखता है कि जब मैं विलायत में गया तो मैं लड़ाई के दिनों में गया था। मैंने क्या सूना वहां पर:— Pakistani propaganda that lies at the heart of the pro-Pakistani bias."

"In London there is an active Pak-

मैं अपनी बहन लक्ष्मी मेनन जी से कहूंगा कि वे इसको सनें :—

istan society which was recently addressed by the Pakistani High Commissioner and, as a result of this meeting, a letter was sent to the Times' lucidly stating the Pakistani case. The Pakistani High

Pakistan' and 'revolu- J tion in Kashmir'.

"With this picture of India as a wicked military aggressor, to be compared to the Nazis, especially after India has also for eighteen years been preaching non-violence and irritating other nations by pointing a finger at them, it is little wonder that the attitude to India is not a favourable one. The English are particularly affected by this as Mr. Nehru condemned them for using violance in the Suez dispute.

"What has shocked me is that India does not even attempt to con-tradict or to counter-balance, this picture. There is no Indian Society, and the Indian High Commission in London does nothing; on the contrary, when it was suggested to the wife of a high official of the Indian High Commission that Indian women in this city should get together and do something to alter the situation, she did not care. It is this sort of behaviour on the part of our official representatives abroad that antagonises people and nations. * * * '

उसने अपना तज्रबा जो वहां पर उसे हुआ

यहां पर बहुत से सजेशन्स दिए गए हैं। मैं एक अखवारनवीस हं। मैं यह समझता हं कि अपने मुल्क में भी और बाहर के देशों में भी जितनी अखबार की यावाज है उतनी यावाज शायद ही किसी ग्रोर चीज की हो। हमारे देश में जो अखबार में छप जाय, गलत छप जाय, ठीक छप जाय, उसको लोग परमात्मा की वाणी समझते हैं। दूसरे देशों में भी यही हाल है। वे पहले ग्रखवारों की हेड लाईन्स देखते हैं, फिर ग्रपने काम पर जाते हैं। हमारी पोजीशन यह है कि जो हमारे यहां पर एम्बेसेडर बैठे हैं, वे वहां के ग्रखबारनवीसों को मिलने

की कोशिशे नहीं करते । मैडम, मैं ग्रापकी खिदमत में एक वाक्या रखना चाहता हूं। पांच-छ: साल पहले मैं एक पीस-कांन्फेन्स में गया था । जिसमें 70 डेलीगेटस थे । उसमें 7 एडीटर्स थे और उनमें कोई भी एडी-टर दिल्ली के प्रोमीनेन्ट रोजाना के अखबारों का नहीं था, या तो पंजाब के थे या एक यु० पी० के । हमारे साथ एक एक्स-चीफ मिनि-स्टर भी थे। जब ये चीफ मिनिस्टर थे, तब इनकी हकमत के बक्त स्त्र श्चेव इनके यहां खाना खा गये थे। उन्होंने दरख्वास्त दी थी छा श्चेव को मिलने के लिए। इधर हमने भी, एडीटर्स ने, स्प्रश्चेव से मिलने के लिए दरख्वास्त दी । छाष्ट्रचेव बड़ा विजी था। उन दिनों ईरान का मसला था, वहां बगावत हो गई थी । बहत विजी था, लेकिन जहां तक एडीटर्स की दरख्वास्त थी मिलने के लिए, उसने नेकस्ट डे हमें बुला लिया, हांलांकि कोई भी प्रोमीनेन्ट दिल्ली वाले अखबार का एडीटर नहीं था । उसने पूरा ढेढ़ घंटा हमारे साथ वातचीत की तमाम मसायल पर, हालांकि वह बहुत बिजी था ग्रीर ग्राखिर में हुमी उठे। उसने यह नहीं कहा कि आपका टाईम हो गया है आप चले जायें। यह है प्रेस को केटर करने की बात । हमारे यहां क्या हालत है ? जब पाकिस्तान ने छम्ब जौरियां सेक्टर पर हमला किया तो-मुझे इस बारे में पढ़ने की जरूरत नहीं है लम्बा हो जायगा-पाकिस्तान ग्रपने साथ उन फारेन कारस्पान्डेन्ट्स को लें गया जिन पर उसको एतबार था यह दिखाने के लिए कि हम किस तरह हिन्द्स्तान की फीज को भगाते हैं। भ्रौर हमारे यहां पर यह हालत थी कि जब हम जीत रहेथे, बकी की तरफ बढ़ रहे थे, डोगराई की तरफ बढ़ रहे थे तो-जैसा कि आप जानते ही हैं कि इस लडाई का ब्रन्ट पंजाब ने वर्दाश्त किया है-पंजाब के जालन्धर शहर के, जहां से 13 रोजाना वर्नाकुलर के, सारी जदानों के अखबार निकलते हैं तमाम एडीटसं ने दरस्वास्त की कि हमें ले चिलये, हम ग्रापका प्रोपेगन्डा करना चाहते हैं कि आप

Committee to

review external publicity

Committee to

ने किस तरह जीत हासिल की है। हमें फलैट रिकवजन मिला । जब सीज फायर हो गया तो उनके आठ दिन बाद एडीटर्स को वहां ले गए। उसके मुकाबले में पाकिस्तान वालों ने जिस दिन छम्ब पर हमला किया. वे उसी दिन अपने साथ ब्रिटिश कारस्पा-न्डन्टस को ले गए जब कि यहां पर यह हालत है कि उन कारस्पान्डेन्ट्स को जो कि आपका प्रचार करना चाहते थे, उनको नहीं ले गए।

में यह अर्ग करना चाहता हं कि जब तक म्राप दूसरे देशों के प्रैस में अपने ख्यालात नहीं छपवायेंगे जब तक वहां के ग्रखबारों में ग्राटिकल्स नहीं भेजेंगे, ग्राप चाहें जितने कल्चरल शो ले जाइये, कितने कल्चरल प्रोग्राम बना लीजिए, कितने ही डेलीगशन ले जाइए, स्रापका जरा भी इम्प्रेशन नहीं होगा । इस लिए मैं उठ कहना चाहता है कि हमारे एम्बे-सेडर्स को यह हिदायत होनी चाहिए कि उनके साथ ऐसा स्टाफ जाना चाहिए एडीटर्स का, या मैं समझता हूं, राइटर्स का जो कि वहां जाकर वहां के श्रखबार वालों से मिलें। लन्दन में अखबार छपते हैं, जिनकी एक-एक की इशात 40-40 लाख है। उस में एक हेड लाईन छप जाये हिन्दस्तानं के खिलाफ, ग्राप उसका कितना भी जवाब नहीं दे सकते। ग्रव्वल तो कोई जवाब नहीं दिया जाता. कोई कण्टेडिक्शन नहीं किया जाता है। भ्रौर भ्रगर किया भी जाय तो, हेड लाइन से जो मिसर्च फ हो चकी है, उसको तो ग्राप दर नहीं कर सकते । इसलिए यह निहायत जरूरी है कि हम वहां के ग्रखबारनवीसों से कन्टेक्ट करें। जहां जहां हमारे खिलाफ प्रोपेगन्डा हो रहा है और जिस ढंग से भी हम उसको काउन्टरऐक्ट कर सकते हैं या हम उन को अपनी तरफ ला सकते हैं, उनसे बातचीत कर सकते हैं, उन्हें श्राटिकल्स लिखवा सकते हैं, तो वह सब करने की हमें कोशिश करनी चाहिए। एक बात हमें याद रखनी चाहिए-यह ठीक है, ब्रापकी जो डिप्लोमेसी ह, बेशक ब्राप इस

review external publicity मामले में महात्मा गांधी की अनुलों की तरह चलें, धर्मपुत बन-कि ग्राज दुनिया में जो ग्रखबारनवीसी चल रहो है, जिस ढंग से विदेशों में खबरे छप रहीं हैं उसके मुताबिक आपको भी ग्रपना एटोटयुड् बदलना होगा, चापको भी खबरें उस ढंग पर छपवानी होंगा जिससे हिन्द्स्तान की इमेज बन सके । इसलिये मेरी निहायत अदब से दरस्वास्त है कि प्रेस को इगनोर न कीजिये । हमारे नेता लोग बाहर जाते हैं. मुझे भी छ: सात देशों में जाने का मौका मिला है। मझे मालम है कि एक जगह भी वे प्रेस कांन्फ्रेन्स नहीं करते हैं। वे जिसे चाहें बलाते हैं, मगर प्रेस से कभी नहीं मिलते । तो इस ढंग से आप कर्वा ना बाहर के देशों में भारत-वर्ष की इमेज नहीं बना सकते । मैं एक महीने बाहर रहा, जापान, कोरिया, हांगकांग, चांग काई शेक के फारमसा में । तो मैं आप की बसाकत से अपनी बहित जी को बताना चाहता हं कि एक महीने में चार खबरें हमने पढीं। हर रोज हम छः सात ग्रख-बार लेते थे जिनमें ग्रपने देश की सिर्फ चार खबरें पढ़ीं । उस एक महीने के ब्ररसे में चार खबरें गड़ें। एक तो यह कि पोप साहब हिन्द-स्तान में तशरीफ लाए, दूसरे यह कि उनकी ग्रामद पर 300 ग्रादिमयों को पकड़ लिया गया श्रीर जेल में भेज दिया गया ; तीसरी खबर "लाइफ" के हफ्तावार इध्य में पढी जिसमें लिखा था कि श्रीमती विजयलक्ष्मी पंडित जीत गई हैं; ग्रीर चौथी खबर यह थी कि लाल बहादर शास्त्री जी की पोप के साथ मलाकात हुई। वहां के तमाम ग्रखबारात ने सिवाय इन चार खबरों के और कोई खबर हिन्द्स्तान के मताल्लिक नहीं लिखी। तो ग्राप देख लीजिए, ग्रगर इस ढंग से आपका प्रचार दसरे देशों में होता हो तो आपकी क्या इमेज बनेगी श्रीर खास तीर पर इस वक्त जब कि हमारी जो जीत हुई है, यह तमाम देशों में शिकस्त में तब्दील कर दी गई है ? इसके मुताल्लिक मैं ग्रजं करना चाहता हं कि ग्रापको बाकायदा तौर पर बाहर के ग्रखबा रात में प्रोपेगण्डा करना चाहिए ।

appointment of a Parliamentary

तो मैं यह अर्ज कर रहा था कि हमें बाहर के मल्कों में श्रपनी पहिलसिटी कसे बढ़, नी चाहिए। में इसके मताल्लिक ग्रापको चार पांच सजे-शन्स देना चाहता हुं। सब से पहला सजेशन यह है कि हमारे यहां की जो बीफींग होती है बह इस ढंग पर होती है कि जो हिन्दुस्तान के हक में नहीं जाती । मेरे एक भाई ने प्रेस रिलीज पढ़ कर सुनाया । मैं ग्रापसे पुछना चाहता हं, यहां पर 5 ग्रगस्त को जब हमला हुआ था तब से लेकर 10 ग्रगस्त तक क्या कोई बी फिय हुई ? नहीं हुई कि हिन्दुस्तान पर हमला हुआ है कि नहीं हुआ है बल्कि हमारे डिपार्ट-मेन्ट की तरफ से, एक्सटनंल अफ्रेयर्स के डिपार्ट-मेंट की तरफ से, यह कहा गया था कि काश्मीर में पाकिस्तान की तरफ से अलजीरियन टाइप का रेवोल्यशन कराया जा रहा है। यह नहीं कहा गया कि हिन्दुस्तान पर हमला किया गया है । वहां जिक्र सिर्फ काश्मीर का था हालांकिं काश्मीर भारत का ग्रंग है, और उसके बाद शास्त्री जी ने कहा कि 5 ग्रगस्त ग्रीर उसके बाद जो कुछ हुग्रा यह भारत-वर्ष पर हमला है, मगर 10 ग्रगस्त तक सिर्फ कहा गया िक पाकिस्तान काश्मीर में अल्जीरियाई किस्म की फाइट कराना चाहता है स्रीर यह तसलीम कर लिया कि पाकिस्तान वाले अल्जीरियाई किस्म की फाइट यहा कराना चाहने हैं। तो फिर हमने तसलीम कर लिया हमारे यहां देश में बगावत है। तो मैं समझता है कि जिस ढंग से ब्रीफ़िंग की जाती है वह गलत ढंग ही है। इसलिये में बडे ग्रदब से ग्रर्ज करूंगा कि ग्रापके जो ग्राफिसर हैं उनको समझाइये को जो भी ब्रीफिंग प्रेस को करनी हो इस ढंग से की जाय जिससे हिन्दुस्तान का इमेज बने, उसके खिलाफ ग्रावाज न उठे।

उप सभापति : ग्रापका वक्त समाप्त हो गया है।

श्री जगत नारायण : एक मिनट।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Under the Rules of Procedure, you cannot take more than fifteen minutes. एक मिनट तो आप ले सकते हैं।

श्री जगत नारायण: मैं सिफ एक बात कहना चाहता हूं कि यह जो ग्राप डेलीगेशन भेज रहे हैं इसको भेजने का तभी फायदा है, अगर उनका मास कान्टक्ट हो । ग्रगर मास कान्टेक्ट न हो तो यह जो कन्डक्टेड्ड ट्यूर हैं इनका कोई फायदा नहीं पहंच सकता है; क्योंकि जब तक ग्रापका वहां की परिलक के साथ मास कान्टेक्ट नहीं होगा तब तक वही बात होगी जैसा कि श्रभी कुमारन साहब ने बताया कि जब उन्होंने लोगों से प्राइवेट बातें की तो उन्होंने डिफरेन्ट लोगों के नक्तः निगाह से सना । तो भले ही आदमी आप एक भेजिये, डेलीगेशन में दस बादमी भेजने की जरूरत नहीं है, ग्रीर उस ब्रादमी को इस तरह भेजिए कि वहां वह एक महीना रहे। वह सरकारी गेस्ट हीकर न रहे, उसका मास कान्टेक्ट हो। तमाम दुनिया के ग्रंदर, दूसरे देशों में, हिन्दूस्तान के मृताल्लिक जो नजरिया बन गया है वह तभी बदलेगा जब ग्राप बाहर के देशों के ग्रखबारात को बीफिंग कराने की कोशिश करेंगे। बाहर के देशों को ब्रीफिंग कराएं, उनको ग्राटिकल भेजे तब ग्रापकी बातों को लोग समझेंगे ग्रीर उनका रुख बदलेगा।

DR. SHRIMATI PHULRENU GUHA (West Bengal): Madam Deputy Chairman I do not know whether a committee of Members of Parliament, if appointed, can helo our foreign publicity. But I thank our friend Shri Abrahim for bringing this Resolution before the House, because it gives us an opportunity to ventilate our feelings and also to place some of our suggestions for the consideration of the Government.

There is i. general feeling that India lacks external publicity. Obviously the Indian embassies have not been able to interpret India to the people

[Dr. Shrimati Phulrenu Guha.] at large m the cour. tries where they function. Only the visits of the President, the Vice-President, the Prime Minister and other dignitaries of the Indian Republic create some enthusiasm in those counir es and the people then become eager to know about India and ihe proble^ns which India is confronted with in working for secularism, democracy »nd nonalignment. But the tempo is allowed to dry up because our people there cannot follow it up through public contacts.

The China-India conflict brought world publicity to India. The foreign policy of India was matter of criticism. But the basic point of non-alignment was not understood by the people outside India and our publicity failed there. The India-Pakistan conflict is « longdrawn game and the recent war is nothing but the outcome of the cold war that has continued since 1947 0" 1948. But what about our external publicity? The attitude of the Security Council has made it clear that there is as yet no full recognition of the fact that Pakistan happens to be the provocating agency against all the basic principles thit India has sto^d for. But Pakistan has gained in her publicity and has created a favourable opinion in many countries. The political reasons are there no doubt. But our publicity also failed and we must recognise that also. It seems that the majority of the people outside India could not appreciate the clear case of India, namely, that Kashmir forms an inseparable part of India and that there can be no question of any plebiscite in the changed circumstances. . The activities of China and Pakistan have been very intensive since 1962 and the recent attitude of most of the Afro-Asian countries showed how they could play their cards. The Government of India has now thought of sending goodwill missions to different countries. This is a well advised programme no doubt. But it is yet to be seen whether hurried visits of these goodwill missions will carry any real and lasting effect on the peoples of

those countries. I am a great believer in goodwill missions which, I think, should be a regular feature and they should be sent at regular intervals.

It is reported that foreign correspondents have complained that adequate facilities are not given to them to observe the situation in all its bearings while Pakistan gives them better treatment. They receive news from Pakistan earlier, than from us, and naturally when they prepare their reports they could not base them on a correct understanding of both sides. I would request the Government to look into this matter very thoroughly. What has been done cannot be undone. We learn through experience, through our past shortcomings and mistakes also. So a proper, strong and vigorous plan should be formulated now by the Government of India "or bur external publicity.

May I suggest that a central agency may be set up with the representatives of three Ministries, i.e., the external Affairs Ministry, the Defence Ministry and the Information and Broadcasting Ministry? This central agency should get all the news and then give proper shape to it and make hand-outs, and the handouts prepared by the central agency would go to the proper places. The second suggestion that I would like to make is that the accredited representatives of foreign presses should be provided adequate facilities. The third suggestion that I want to make is that information leaflets and literature should be widely circulated in time. I repeat 'in time' because we are told that our literature often reaches people much later. Our leaflets and literature must be sent to the prominent personalities in different countries such as legislators, members of local authorities, chambers of commerce, etc. These leaflets must be translated in their languages. Most, of us are getting literature from the Soviet Union, from Britain, from America and from Germany. They always send out their literature in time but as far as our information goes, our literature does not reach the people abroad in time. The

appointment of a Parliamentary diplomats stationed in foreign countries must invite small groups to the Indian embassies have discussions with them. I would like to point out in this connection. Madam, that big parties do not help so far as publicity is concerned. Big parties may be necessary but there must be regular small parties where discussions on different topics could take place. A cross section of prominent people of respective countries especially Senators or Members of Parliament should be invited to India.

Lastly, I would like to suggest that Government should also consider sending prominent journalists and persons from public life to foreign countries on deputation as public relations officers for a specific period, say, three or five years to interpret India properly. Prominent journalist and people in public life may not like to take up permanent Government service but may be willing to go and take up these jobs on deputation for a particular period. | Some of my friends have already! mentioned about the Ambassadors and their offices, so I do not want to repeat those matters. With these words, I would request the Government, particularly • the Ministry of External Affairs, to see that our publicity abroad is rid of whatever defects may be there so that in future we can really interpret India properly; Thank you, j Madam.

श्री गोडे मराहरि (उत्तर प्रदेश): उपसभापति महोदया, विदेशों में हमारा जो प्रचार चलता है उसके बारे में मेरा ख्याल यह है कि इस सदन में सर्वसम्मत राय है कि वह ठीक नहीं चल पा रहा है। इस चीज की बनियाद कहां गलत है, सरकार को इसकी सोच करके ठीक करना चाहिये। यह तो सरकार का फर्ज है कि एक्सटर्नल मिनिस्टी की कोई कार्यवाही हो, इन्फारमेशन या बाड-कास्टिंग मिनिस्ट्री की कोई कार्यवाही हो या डिफेन्स मिनिस्टी की कोई कार्यवाही हो, उसके बारे में वह जांच करे कि इन तीनों के काम के बारे में कोई कमेटी होनी चाहिये या

review external publicity नहीं, जो इनके काम के बारे में जांच-पडताल कर सके और सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे।

Committee to

लेकिन मैं इस बारे में कुछ ग्रपनी राय देना चाहता हं और वह यह है कि हम सब लोगों ने देखा कि जब हिन्दस्तान ग्रीर पाकिस्तान की लड़ाई चली, तो दुनिया के बहुत से ऐसे देश हैं जहां तक हमारी बात नहीं पहुंच पाई। यह सही है कि जब चीन ने हमारे ऊपर ग्राक्रमण किया था तो कुछ हद तक कुछ देशों में हमारी बात पहुंच पाई। यह इसलिए नहीं कि हम ने उस बक्त कोई कोशिश की; बल्कि इसलिए कि इस समय द्निया में जो ग्राइडियालाजिकल वारफेयर चल रहा है उसमें दो गृट हैं। उस में जो वैस्टर्न गृट है उसने चीनी ग्राक्रमण के समय हमारे मामले में कुछ दिलचस्पी ली और हमारी बात को समझा । लेकिन जब पाकिस्तान के साथ झगडा होने लगा तो हम ने यह बात देखों कि हिन्दुस्तान की ग्रपनी जो पब्लिसिटी का माध्यम है वह दुनिया के सामने हिन्दुस्तान के केस को ठीक तरह से नहीं पहुंचा सका, उसका प्रचार नहीं कर सका और इस बात के बारे में हमको सोचना चाहिये।

एक तो यह मेरी निश्चित राय है कि हिन्द्स्तान के जो दूतावास बहत से देशों में हैं ग्रीर वहां जो हमारे एम्बैसेडर भेजे जाते हैं उनके बारे में बुनियादी तबदीली होनी चाहिये । वैसे तो मैं विरोधी दल का हं लेकिन मैं यह मानने के लिए तैयार हूं कि ग्रगर कोई जिले स्तर का कांग्रेसी कार्यकर्ता है ग्रौर उसको राजदूत बना कर भेजना चाहते हैं तो वह उन ब्युरोकेटिक राजदूतों से ग्रन्छा कार्य कर सकेगा जो वहां पर बैठे हुए हैं तथा जो इंडियन फारेन सर्विस के लोग मौजूद हैं। इसका कारण यह है कि इन दोनों की दृष्टि में फर्क है । इस समय हमारे राजदूत दूसरे देशों में बैठे हैं, उन्हें देश के बारे में कुछ भी पता नहीं है और वे वहां पर क्या पब्लिसिटी कर सकते हैं। जो ब्यरोक्रेट्स वहां पर बैठे हैं वे अपनी जिन्दगी भर में एक छोटे गिरोह से

appointment of a Parliamentary

श्री गोड़े मुराहरि बाइर नहीं गये हैं ग्रौर उन्हें ही एम्बैसेडर बना कर भेज दिया गया है, तो इस तरह के लोग हमारे देश की क्या पब्लिसिटी कर पायेंगे ? हिन्दुस्तान की जो तस्वीर है, जो एमेज हैं, वे दुनिया के सामने नहीं दिखला सकते हैं। लेकिन जो आदमी हिन्दुस्तान के बारे में अच्छी तरह से जानता है वही उसकी तस्वीर को भी बतला सकता है। लेकिन जो ग्रादमी खानदानी गलामी में पला है, जो ब्रिटिश सल्तनत के जमाने से ही एक खानदानी गुलाम रहा श्रीर श्रफसर वन गया, जो एक छोटे से गिरोह के अलावा और किसी को नहीं जानता है, जो हिन्दुस्तान के नये स्वरूप के बारे में कुछ नहीं जानता है, ग्रगर उसको एम्बैसेडर बना कर भेज दें तो जो तस्वीर वह रखेगा वह हमारे हित में नहीं जायेगी; बल्कि हमारे खिलाफ ही जायेगी। तो इस तरह इस में ताज्जुब करने की बात नहीं है। तो हमें इस तरह की बुनियादी फर्क करना चाहिये; क्योंकि यह विदेशी मंत्रालय का जो कार्य है वह बहुत ही महत्व का है। मैं यह बात जानता हूं कि बहत से एम्बैसेडर तेसे हैं जो श्रपने काम में बहत दिलचस्पी रखते हैं, जिनको पहले से तज्ञा है और कुछ नये तज्बें उनको होते हैं जिनसे वे फायदा उठाते हैं ग्रौर हिन्दुस्तान का जो नया रूप है उसको वह समझते हैं। इस तरह से हमारे यहां ऐसे बहुत से लोग हैं और मैं सब के बारे में यह बात नहीं कहना चाहता हं। लेकिन जो ब्युरोकैटिक वर्ग है, जो एक तरह का खास क्लास बन गया है, उसके बारे में ज्यादातर लोगों के बारे में कहने के लिए तैयार हुं कि वे लोग हिन्दुस्तान के बारे में कुछ नहीं जानते हैं। इस तरह के लोग आपस में हिलमिल कर भी रहना नहीं जानते हैं भ्रौर इन्हें हमारे कल्चर के बारे में भी कुछ पता नहीं है । हिन्द्स्तान के लोगों में यह-बुनियादी खराबी है कि वह अपने को सिकुड़ा लेते हैं और ग्रपने को छोटा बना लेते हैं। वे लोग आम तौर पर इसी बरह के गिरोह में रहते हैं भीर दूसरे लोगों से मिलने नहीं जाते हैं।

मैं जहां भा योड़ा-बहुत विदेशों में गया वहां मैंने यही शिकायत पाई कि हमारे एम्बैसीज में जो काम चलता है उसके बारे में किसी को पता नहीं चलता है कि हिन्द्स्तान को एम्बैसी कहां पर है । धगर धाप उस देश में जा कर हिन्दुस्तान की एम्बैसी के बारे में पूछेंगे और यह मालुम करना चाहेंगे कि म्राप को हिन्दुस्तान के बारे में क्या मालम है, तो वे लोग यही बतलायेंगे कि हम को हिन्द्स्तान को एम्बैसी के बारे में कोई जानकारी नहीं है कि वह है भी या नहीं। तो ऐसा हालत में

Committee to

review external publicity

जब तक हम इस तरह की हालत को ठीक नहीं करेंगे तब तक दूसरे मुल्क वाले हमारे बारे में ठीक बात माल्म नहीं कर पा सकेंगे और न हम वहां पर ग्रपने मुल्क के बारे में श्रच्छी

तरह से प्रचार हो कर पायेंगे।

इसके साथ हो साथ मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हं ग्रीर सरकार का ध्यान इस बात को ग्रोर दिलाना चाहता हूं कि सरकार की तरफ से जो किताबें छपवा कर भेजा जाती हैं, हवाई जहाज के जरिये भेजी जाती हैं स्रौर यह समझ कर भेजां जाता हैं इससे फायदा होने वाला है, तो ऐसा नहीं है। यह तो हिन्दुस्तान में प्रोपेगैन्डा के लिये या परिलिस टी के लिये है और यह जो हमारा पब्लिकेशंस डिवोजन है वहां पर छ पिये या किसी डिपार्ट-मेन्ट में छ पिये, तो शायद यहां के लोग पढ़ें, लेकिन यहां से अंग्रेजी में छाप कर के कोई किताब हम किसी एम्बैसी में भेज दें ग्रौर वहां पर उसका वितरण करा कर के वहां के लोगों को हम समझा पायें, यह बात नहीं हो सकतो । ग्रसल में होना यह चाहिये कि हिन्द्स्तान को सरकार जो भी नीति बनाती है उस नोति का वहां पर प्रचार होना चाहिये। वहां पर ग्राप ग्रपना ड्राफ्ट भेज दें ग्रीर वे ग्रगर चाहें तो उसको ट्रांस्लेट करा कर के वहां छपवायें। यह जरूरी नहीं है कि यहां से कितावें भेजी जायें। वहीं पर छाप कर के ग्रौर वहां की भाषा में वहां पर वितरण हो।

review external publicity

इसके साथ-साथ वहां का जो प्रेस है, वहां का जो भा भाष्यम है जैसे फिल्म हैं या बहुत से देशों में टेलिविजन भी हैं, बहुत से देशों में कामशियल देलिविजन होते हैं, बहुत से देशों में कार्माणयल बाडकास्टिंग होती है, इन सब माध्यमों का इस्तेमाल होना चाहिये । मगर यह सब सरकार नहीं कर रही है।

जो हमारी विदेशों में एम्बैसीज हैं उनको यह चाहिये कि वे वहां के जो प्रेस के लोग हैं ग्रीर वहां के जो ग्रीर पब्लिक ग्रोपि-नियन बनाने वाले लोग होते हैं, उनके साथ ताल्लुकात रखें और उनको हमेशा अपने यहां पर बुलायें, उनके साथ बातचीत करें, उनके साथ हिलें मिलें ग्रीर हो सके तो उनको अपने देश में इनवाइट करें। जब तक यह सब नहीं होगा तब तक हम कोई पब्लिसिटी कर नहीं पायेंगे।

एक चीज यह भी याद रखनी चाहिये कि यहां से तो गुडविल मिश्रन भेजने का इन्तजाम किया गया है, लेकिन साथ साथ में यह भी होना चाहिये कि वहां से भी गुडविल मिशन यहां के लिये इनवाइट किये जायें ग्रीर उनको हिन्द्स्तान में घुमा कर के हिन्द्स्तान की सही हालत बतलाई जाये। जब तक इस तरह का द्वे द्रैफिक नहीं होगा तब तक यह मामला हल होने वाला नहीं है। दूसरी चोज यह है कि जो प्रैस अटैची वग़ैरह हमारे होते हैं उन में ये इंडियन फारेन सर्विस या ब्य्रोकैसी के लोगों को भेजने से कोई मतलब नहीं निकलेगा। ऐसा मैं समझता हूं कि जब तक जनैलिस्टिक प्रोफ़ेशन में काम करने वाले आदमी, जी तजर्बेकार हों, जो पब्लिसिटी के बारे में कुछ जानते हों, जिन का कुछ निजी तजुर्वा हो, नहीं जायेंगे, तब तक कुछ हो नहीं सकता। नौकरों के हिसाब से जो वहां जाते हैं उनसे हम बहुत ज्यादा आशा करें, यह ठीक नहीं है। मैं तो यह समझता हूं कि जिन को हिन्दुस्तान की पब्लिसिटी करने की कुछ इच्छा है, ऐसे लोगों को ग्रगर ग्राप भेज सकें तो उससे कुछ नतीजा निकल सकता है। मैं यह जानता हं कि यह चुनने का काम बड़ा मुश्किल है सरकार के लिये कि किस को प्रेरणा है, किस को नहीं है। लेकिन इस में ग्रपनी ग्रोर से इनिशिएटिव दिखा कर के सरकार को कोणिश करनी चाहिये। हो सकता है कि कई लोग ऐसे हों जिन के पास कोई डिग्नीज न हों, जिन्होंने इंडियन फारेन सर्विस का इम्तिहान पास न किया हो, लेकिन उनके काम से यह पता लगेगा कि वे उपयोगी हो सकते हैं और ऐसे ब्रादिमयों को चुन करके भेजना चाहियै; क्योंकि वे अपनी प्रेरणा से कुछ काम वहां पर कर सकते हैं।

एम्बंसीज के बारे में मैं एक शिकायत ग्रीर करना चाहुंगा । बहुत सी ऐसी एम्बैसीज हैं जहां पर हिन्दुस्तानी खुद जब जाते हैं श्रीर कोई चीज मांगते हैं तो वह उनको मिलती नहीं है। मुझे मालूम है कि कुछ हमारे विद्यार्थी स्कैंडिनेवियन कंट्री में हमारी एक एम्बैसी में गये और वहां पर काम्मीर के मसले पर उन लोगों ने कुछ मैटीरियल मांगा एम्बैसी से, तो उनसे कहा गया कि अभी तो यहां पर कुछ है नहीं, आप कल आइये, परसों ग्राइये।।फिर बाद में यह हुन्ना कि जब उनको कोई मैटीरियल मिला नहीं तो उन्होंने तंग आ कर के उसे छोड़ दिया । यह दो साल पहले की बात मैं कह रहा हूं। तो इस ढंग की मनोवृत्ति हमारी एम्बैसीज की वन गई है कि वहां लोग नौकरी के लिये चले गये हैं जो केवल दफ्तरी ढंग से काम करते हैं भीर यह नहीं देखते हैं कि किस तरह से हम ग्रपने देश की बात वहां के लोगों तक पहुंचा सकते हैं। इसलिये चाहे कहीं हमारे एम्बैसेडर्स हों या एम्बैसीज में काम करने वाले दूसरे लोग हों, जब तक उन सब का यह ध्येय नहीं होगा कि हमें धपने देश के लिये कुछ करना है और इस देश में हम आये हैं तो इस देश के साथ हमें रिश्ता ठीक करना है, तब तक कुछ होने वाला नहीं है।

[श्री गोडे मराहरि]

मैं एक चीज और कहना चाहंगा कि जो श्रादमी किसी दूसरे देश में भेजा जाता है उसको श्रपने देश के बारे में जानना चाहिये भौर उस देश के बारे में जानना चाहिये। बहुत से लोग ऐसे होते हैं कि वे धफीका के देशों में चले जायें तो उनको यह पता नहीं होता है कि वहां का क्या इतिहास है, उस देश का क्या रवैया रहा है, उन्होंने क्या किया है, किस तरह से उनको ग्राजादी मिली है, किस किस ने ग्राजादी के लिये लड़ाई लड़ी है, वहां के लोग कौन कौन से हैं, वहां के मुख्य मुख्य राजनीतिक दल कौन कौन से हैं श्रीर उनके क्या मकसद हैं, उनकी सामाजिक समस्याएं क्या हैं। इन सब के बारे में जब तक जो श्रादमी वहां पर हमारी तरफ से दूतावास में जाते हैं, उनको मालम नहीं होता, तब तक वे वहां कोई काम ठीक से नहीं कर पायेंगे। जब तक वे उस देश के लोगों को नहीं समझेंगे तब तक वे उनको कुछ समझा नहीं पायेंगे। इसलिये सरकार को एक्सटर्नल पब्लिसिटी के बारे में और सारी एम्बैसीज के बारे में बनियादी तौर से सोचना चाहिये श्रौर यदि सरकार इन सब चीजों को ध्यान में रख कर काम करे तो कोई चीज हो सकती है, वरना नहीं हो सकती है। तो मैं यह चाहुंगा कि एक्सटनंल पब्लिसिटी के बारे में जब हमारी एम्बैसीज के लोग कोशिश करें तो उसके लिये जो वहां के बड़े बड़ ग्रखवारों के लोग हों या जो वहां की भाषा के श्रखबार हैं उनके एडिटर या जर्नलिस्ट हों, उनके साथ वे अपने ताल्लकात ठीक करें । इसके साथ साथ उनको हम बराबर यहां से मेटीरियल भी भेजते रहें ग्रौर हमारे देश के बारे में ग्रौर हमारी जो समस्याएं हैं उनके बारे में जब हम उनको कोई प्रोपेगेंडा मैटीरियल भेजेंगे, तो उनके साथ अगर हमारे ताल्लुकात अच्छे हैं तो वे अपनी प्रेरणा से हमारी तरफ से कुछ काम करेंगे। इसलिये यह जरूरी हो जाता है कि हमारे जो भी वहां दूतावास हैं वे लगन से इन सब चीजों के बारे में काम करें भीर वहां की प्रेस और दूसरे पब्लिसिटी मीडिया की तरफ अपना घ्यान रखें ताकि हमारी तरफ हमेशा उनका झुकाव रहे । चाहे हमारी कोई समस्या हो, चाहे पाकिस्तान के साथ हमारी लड़ाई हो, चाहे किसी देश के साब हमारी लड़ाई हो, हमेशा उनका झकाव हमारी तरफ रहे, यह हमारी कोशिश होनी चाहिये। इसलिये सब जगह हमारी एक्सटर्नल पब्लिसिटी ठीक होनी चाहिये । ऐसा नहीं हो सकता है कि कहीं दो दिन में यह पब्लिसिटी हो जायगी । जब तक दो तीन साल तक लगातार कहीं कोशिश नहीं होगी, जब तक वहां पिन्लिसिटीं के जो लोग हैं या पिन्लिसिटी का जो वहां मीडिया है, उसको हम अपनी तरफ नहीं खींचते तब तक वहां हमारी पब्लिसिटी ठीक तरह से नहीं हो सकती है। इसलिये मैं चाहता हं कि लांग टर्म प्लान बना कर के इन सारी चीजों के बारे में सरकार

सोचे ग्रीर इसको ठीक करे।

Committee to

review external publicity

SHRI M. M. DHARIA (Maharashtra): Madam Deputy Chairman, at the outset I would like to make it clear that I am here to appreciate and welcome the Resolution not because it makes a recommendation for appointing a Committee but because the balloting of the Resolution has given us an opportunity to express our views at a time when they are absolutely necessary. So far as external publicity is concerned, this is not the first time that it is being criticised. It is under fire since long and I am aware that the Ministry has been making strenuous efforts to make improvements in our publicity. I feel that external publicity is not only based on some booklets and pamphlets, some literature and some reports here and there. It functions like a living organism; it is related firstly with several factors. According to me our publicity is related with our own policies. If our policies are policies of determination, if we speak in terms of self-confidence then alone it is reflected in our own publicity and also in our external

appointment of a Parliamentary publicity. It is because of our struggle or successful fight with Pakistan, it is because of the brave fight given by our jawans that—there is no doubt in my mind—even in foreign countries that sort of image of our country which we intended to have since long, has definitely been created. So it is that determination, that fight, that confidence with which we are striving to live a better life, and that policy which is material if we intend to have better publicity, and from that point of view I believe that this publicity is necessarily related with the functions in the country

It is also related to some other factors. Embassies and our High Commissions in foreign countries are equally responsible for our publicity. Last year I had occasion to be in the continent, in America, in Japan and such other countries. I feel that wherever our Ambassadors and our High Commissioners have been functioning well, wherever they have remained effective, wherever they have created good contacts, we are having good publicity as well, but where our Ambassadors have failed, I think the external publicity has also failed and from that point of view the time has come when we shall have to think of our own Ambassadors and our High Commissioners. There is no method of giving any training so far as our Ambassadors are concerned. I feel that when we want to nominate good Ambassadors, it must be obligatory on such officials to have some sort of training in our country prior to their departure to that country. They should study the history of the country, the social aspects of the country, the traditions and customs of the country, the geography of the country, the economic and social problems and language of the country. They must be in a position to compare what are the problems here with what are the problems there and how it will be possible to create that sort of mutual understanding between the two countries. So long as that sort

review external publicity of training is not given, it is really impossible for our Ambassadors even to make a good impact.

Committee to

It is also a related aspect so far ai external publicity is concerned. I was in Japan. I was happy to know that our Ambassador there was know ing the Japanese language, but when I enquired about the other officers, I was really astonished to find that there was none who was knowing the Japanese language. They had never approached Members of Parliament in Japan. I had talks with some Members of Parliament in Japan and when I asked them about their rela tions with our Ambassador and with our Embassay in Japan, I was really surprised to know that there was no relationship whatsoever, so far as that Embassy is concerned. Why does this happen? Why have we failed? The time has come to make an assess^ ment of the functioning of our Em bassies.

The third point, so far as external publicity is concerned, is related with exhibitions—exhibitions of both types, our commercial exhibitions and our cultural exhibitions. So far as commercial exhibitions are concerned, I do feel that it is through these commercial exhibitions that we can put forward, in the eyes of the people of foreign countries, what is going on in our own country. I would like to suggest that along with the commercial exhibitions, there should be some sort of obligation or some sort of effort to show at least in one stall what is happening in our own country. What was India and what is India today? I had a talk with several students from our own country while I was in foreign countries and when I used to talk to them I found that they were not knowing what we have done during the last fifteen years. This is not the case of our old students. The students who have recently left our country are not in a position to know about our own country. Why should we not make it obligatory on the part of our students

[Resolution re appointment of a Parliamentary

[Shri M. M. Dharia.] who go to foreign countries for further education, that they should know at least the A, B, C of the progress that has been made in our country? They should know something about the Constitution of our country. It should be made obligatory on them. Naturally these students who can be the ambassadors of our country should know it, but they are knowing nothing of our country. From that point of view also, I believe that some efforts should be made.

I also feel that in these days when the Fourth Estate is so powerful, an effort from that direction shall also have to be made. Of course, I do not mean that this is an effort to be made by the Government alone, but some private agency shall have to come forward. Nowadays it is through "Time", it is through "Newsweek", it is through "Life" and some other weeklies that the foreigners know about India. We know that the approach of "Time" and "Newsweek" is not at all helpful to our country. They always remain critical and in case we approach any American, he says: "Well, so far as India is concerned, we know that much." And whatever he is knowing is definitely harmful to our country. Why shotdd we not make an effort to start such good weeklies of that standard or of a belter standard, which will create a good image of our country, which will present not only India but also Asia and Africa in foreign countries? I think that sort of effort is equally necessary.

Besides, I feel that services like Air India are also our best ambassadors. While I was in New York, I was happy to have these remarks from one of the American passengers. When I asked him how he was travelling, he said: "I am going by- your Air India". I asked him why he was not travelling by some other plane of some other company. He said: "T want to reach in a safe manner." That sort of confidence has been created by

Air India even among the travellers »f foreign countries. They are also our best ambassadors. Embassies are not only those which are functioning from offices and which are established by the Government. Embassies could function from various points of view and to create such relationship several efforts are absolutely necessary for better external publicity. An endeavour shall have to be made to see that all these agencies, whether they are travellers, whether they are plane services, whether they are com-, panies like Air India or some other companies, some other agencies or some other individuals, must be in a position to reflect the character of our country, the image of our country in foreign countries. I feel that even the tourists coming from foreign countries to pur country also create their own impressions. If we can afford good facilities to such tourists, if we can make good arrangements for these tourists and show them not only the places of interest but also our own policies and our own places of progress, I feel that they too can be very useful in giving external publicity. I feel that no such effort is being made. Besides, hotels and sports are also very useful from that point of view. I have said all these things because I feel that external publicity is related to all these things.

Besides we require an efficient machinery. So far as the present machinery is concerned, I am really sorry to say this, but the way the External Affairs Ministry has been conducting external publicity, it is not related, as it should have been, to internal publicity. The time has come when we shall have to create a Central Information Service for internal publicity and also for external publicity. It shall have to be coordinated and * new life shall have to be created, through which it will be possible for us to take our own ideas, to take our own culture, to make an impact of our own ideologies in foreign countries. From that point of view, we shall have to remain very active and

we shall have to remain very prompt. We have seen and we have heard about the views of Mr. Harold Wilson, whether it was purposely done or not, 1 am not prepared to make any comment, but Mr. Harold Wilson said that Pakistan has been first aggressed by India. Even though Pakistan had first committed an aggression in the Chhamb area, it was the military action lhat was taken in the Lahore and Sialkot region of Pakistan that was referred to by Mr. Harold Wilson. We do not

know what our Embassies ?nd our High Commissioners were doing in those foreign countries up to that moment. Why that information *-as not given when the aggression was committed by Pakistan by sending their intruders, by crossing the cease-fire line and also the international border in the Chhamb area is not known.

[MR. CHAIRMAN in the Chair.]

Instead of taking that information immediately to the foreign countries, we were late and they were in a position to say that it was Pakistan which was aggressed by India and not the oth r way. So, here also we have failed to create that sort of impact on foreign countries by taking the news and information to them as early as possible.

Sir, so far as these committees are concerned, as I said in the beginning, I am not here to support any committees and for having any new committees because we know the history of committees. There is a demand lor the appointment of committees. We create new committees. Of course, the committees sincerely study the problem and their report is presented. They probably manoeuvre to have some photographs published. The report before the Government for consideration and after some days the report is nPRtly shelved, as it always faajSpens Therefore, I am not here to support the Resolution to have that Commits I think the External Affairs Ministry should take

note irom the various speeches of the various views that are expressed and should make a genuine effort to have a good sort of combination, to have an efficient publicity. I would like to insist once more that this publicity is necessarily related to the policies we pursue in our country. II we fail in our own policy, if we are weak in our own policy, it is not possible to have a better image of our country outside in the whole world, and from that point of view, I think that good efforts shall have to be made.

Mr. Chairman, I have spoken for fifteen minutes and before I conclude, I thank you very much.

प्रो० सत्यक्रत सिद्धान्तालंकार (नाम-निर्देशित) : श्रादरणीय महोदय, मझे इस बात में कोई भ्रापत्ति नहीं मालम पडती कि जो हमारे विदेशी दूतावास है, वे कार्य कर रहे हैं या नहीं कर रहे हैं। उसके विषय में तो हमारे जो माननीय सदस्य हैं उन्होंने बहुत कुछ कह दिया । मैं सिर्फ एक बात कहना चाहता हं और वह यह कि हमारा जो प्रचार कार्य है वह पाकिस्तान के लिये बहुत कम हो रहा है और हिन्दुस्तान के लिये बहुत ज्यादा हो रहा है । ग्राप रेडियो खोल कर बैठिए तो बहुत कुछ सुनाई देता है । ऐसी बातें सुनाई देती हैं कि हम यह जीते, वह जीते. हमने यह किया, वह किया। हम तो, अगर हम को न कहा जाय, तब भी हम समझते हैं कि हम जीत है हैं, लेकिन जरूरत तो इस बात को है कि पाकिस्तान के अन्दर जो रहने वाले हैं-पाफिस्तान के ग्रलाबा जो दूसरे देश हैं उनके विषय में, जैसा मैंने कहा, बहत वर्जा हो चुको-लेकिन पाकिस्तान के सुनने वालों को-इसमें तो कोई शक नहीं है कि हिन्द्स्तान के बन्दर लेग पाकिन्तान के रेडियो को सुनते हैं श्रीर पाकिस्तान के श्रन्दर लोग हिन्दस्तान के रेडियो को सुनते हैं--तो जरूरत इस बात का है कि पाकिस्तान के रहने वालों के दिसाग के ऊपर झाप ठोकर लगाएं और उनको इस बात के लिये तैयार appointment of a Parliamentary

[श्री सत्यत्रत सिद्धान्तलंकार]

कर दें कि वहां के तोग, जो पाकिस्तानी हु हूनत है, उसके बिलाफ उठ खड़े हों। इस बात के लिये गोरेगेन्डा को जरूरत है। अंग्रेजों को प्राप क्या सनतारोंगे, अमेरिकत्स को आप क्या सनतारोंगे? आपका जो प्रोपेगेन्डा आप कहते हैं विदेशों में नहीं हो रहा है, आप कितना हो गोरेगेन्डा की जिए, लेकिन जब तक अपेर जा प्रापकों बात सुनने को तैयार नहीं है और जब तक अमेरिकन आपको बात सुनने के लिये तैयार नहीं है—तब तक आपका सारा प्रोपेगेन्डा बेकार है, उस प्रोपेगेन्डा को आप करें या न करें।

जरूरत तो इस बात की है कि भ्राप इस बात को समझें कि पाकिस्तान के ग्रन्दर जो बहां को इहमत करने वाले हैं, उनको और पाकिस्तान के निवासियों को भ्राप फोड देवें। श्रीर यह करना बहुत श्रासान है। श्राप रेडियो पाकि स्तान को सुनिये, वे रोज सिखों को संबोधित करके भड़काते हैं, मुतलमानों को सम्बोबित करके भड़काते हैं । क्या ग्राप रेडिनो के जरिये पाकिस्तान के निवासियों को सम्बोधित करके उनको इस बात के लिये तैयार नहीं कर सकते और उनको यह नहीं समझा सकते कि वहां के लोग, जो वहां के हरमरान हैं, वहां के शासक हैं, वे पाकिस्तानियों के विरुद्ध कार्य कर रहे हैं ? हम कहते हैं वहां का राज्य एक धर्ननिष्ठ राज्य है, धर्म को लेकर वह अपना आंदोलन चला रहे हैं; हम कहते हैं. हमारा राज्य धर्म के ऊपर ग्राधारित नहीं है, राजनीति के ऊपर ग्राधारित है, भारतवर्ष के अन्दर सभी धर्मी के लोग रह सकते हैं; हिन्दू, मुसलमान, ईसाई सब को एक समान ग्रधिकार प्राप्त हैं। यह एक ऐसी विचारधारा है जिस विचारधारा को लेकर मैं नहीं समझता ग्रगर ग्राप मुसलमानों को समझाएं तो वे नहीं समझेंगे। मसलमानों के दिमागों के ग्रन्दर इस बात को डालने की म्रावश्यकता है, ग्रीर भारत के रेडियो के जरिये डालने की ग्रावश्यकता है, जिससे वे पाकिस्तान के निवासी इस बात को समझें कि

भारतवर्षं की जो विचारधारा है, वहा वास्तविक विचारधारा है। मझे तो, जैसा मैंने कहा, इस बात के ऊपर ज्यादा कहने की आवश्यकता नहीं है कि विदेशों के अन्दर हमारा प्रचार कैसा हो रहा है, कैसा नहीं हो रहा है, वहां कैसा हो प्रचार करें, लेकिन जब तक अंग्रेज ग्रपने विचारों को जकड़ कर बैठे हैं ग्रीर जब तक अमेरिकन अपने विचारों को जकड कर बैंडे हैं, तब तक ग्राप कितना हो प्रचार करते जायें उस प्रचार का कोई लाभ होने वाला नहीं है। श्रगर कुछ लाभ हो सकता है तो तभी हो सकता है, यदि श्राप पाकिस्तान के अन्दर एक ऐसी विचारधारा की उत्पन्न कर दें कि वहां के लोग उठ कर वहां के हुक्मरानों को पदच्यत कर दें। ग्राखिर पाकिस्तान का इतिहास क्या है ? पाकिस्तान के अन्दर कोई हु रूमत अब तक टिकी नहीं है, एक हुकूमत ग्राई, उसको हटा कर दूसरो उठ खडी हुई। खुद ग्रय्व खां कैसे ग्राए ? ये भी तो "क्" करके ग्राए, मिलिटरो का रिवोल्यशन करके ग्राए। तो इस बात के ग्रन्दर कोई कठिनाई माल्म नहीं पड़ती । आप अपने रेडियो को स्निये । मैं तो अपना रेडियो स्नते-स्नते तंग म्रा जाता हं-गाने, मजन, रूपक, इन्हीं के अन्दर हम लोग रमे हए हैं। चीन का रेडियो सुनिये, चीन का रेडियो सुबह से लेकर शाम तक एक विचारधारा-सोशलिज्म, लेनिनिज्म, रिविजनिजम-इसी की चर्चा करता चला जाता है और जो लोग लगातार उनको सुनते हैं उनके दिमागों के ऊपर ग्रसर होता है। तो क्या हम पाकिस्तान को सम्बोधन करके. वहां की जनता को सम्बोधन करके, जैसा कि पाकिस्तान का रेडियो यहां के सिखों को संबोधित करके कहता है, जैसा कि पाकिस्तान का रेडियो यहां के मुसलमानों को सम्बोधन करके कहता है, जैसा कि वे मुजाहिदों को संबोधन करके कहता है, मुजाहिदो, मुजाहिदो, तो क्या ग्राप पाकिस्तान के निवासियों के घरों के अन्दर, जहां वे श्रपने दरवाजों बन्द करके बैठे हों, ग्रपने रेडियो के जरिये ग्रपने विचारों को नहीं विश्वेर सकते ?

आपका कहना यह है और हमारा यह दावा है कि हमारो विचारधारा एक महान विचारबारा है ग्रीर इसी विचारधारा के करर संसार टिक सकता है। वह जमाना गया जब कि धर्म को लेकर जिहाद हुमा करते थे। ग्राज के जमाने में ग्राप जिहाद का नाम लें, क्सेड का नाम लें - क्या यह विचारधारा चल सकता है ? लेकिन चल रही है, इसलिये चल रहो है क्योंकि हमारो तरफ से पाकिस्तान के वाशिन्दों को, वहां के निवासियों को संबोधन करके रेडियो के जरिये कुछ नहीं कहा जाता । हमारा जो कुछ प्रचार काय है वह अपने देश के लिये है। विदेशों के लिये बेशक प्रवार करते रहिये, लेकिन सब से ज्यादा जरूरत इस बात की है कि हम पाकि-स्तान के निवासियों को संबोधन करें, उनको इस बात के लिये तैयार करें कि वे खडे खड़ हों, ग्रपने यहां के हक्मरानों के खिलाफ उठ खड़े हों ग्रीर विद्रोह करें। मैंने तो समाचारपत्नों में मो कुछ लेख जिखे हैं, मैंने लिखा है कि हम ग्रपने रेडियो के जरिये, दूसरे प्रोधामों को छोड़ कर, रोज-रोज गोत, गाने, रूपक इन वातों को छोड कर--हपक भी कैसा ? ऐसा रूपक निकलता है जो मजाक का होता है. मजाक से लड़ाई नहीं जोती जाती । इसमें संदेह नहीं हम ने रणक्षेत्र के अन्दर पाकिस्तान को हरा दिया है, लेकिन विचार के रणक्षेत्र में उसे हराना जरूरी है। श्रापको विचारधारा एक सहो विचारधारा है, एक ऐसी विचारधारा है जिस पर संसार टिक सकता है, तो उस विचारधारा को लेकर ग्राप रेडियो का इस्तेमाल कीजिये। खबरें कम लोग पढते हैं। ग्राप कहते हैं, साहित्य छाप छाप कर भेजिए। एक साहब ने कहा, टनों साहित्य भेजा जाता है, वह साहित्य उठा कर लोग फेंक देते हैं। इतना साहित्य पालियामेन्ट के मेम्बरों के पास जाता है, कितने लोग उस साहित्य को पढ़ते हैं ? लेकिन रेडियो हर कोई सुनता है, रेडियो-ट्रान्जिस्टर लेकर लोग इधर-उधर फिरते हैं। हमारी भावाज को सुनाने के लिये

जरूरी है कि हमारी जो बाहकास्टिंग मशीनरी हो उसका हम सही इस्तेमाल करें ग्रीर जो विदेश मंत्रालय है, उसका यह काम है कि इस तरह के भाषण तथार करें, ग्रीर खास करके ऐसे भाषण मुसलमानों के जरिये से तैयार होने चाहियें, जो कि रेडियो के जरिये बाडकास्ट हों ग्रीर पाकिस्तान के लिये हों।

मैं ग्रभी एक ग्रखवार में पढ़ रहा वा कि एक सज्जन बाहर से ग्रा रहे थे तो उनके साय कुछ ग्ररव के लोग भी थे। उन्होंने कहा : क्या बात है, मुसलमानों के ऊपर हिन्द्स्तान में बड़ा ग्रत्याचार होता है ? यह सज्जन मुसलमान थे, उन्होंने कहा कि चागला साहब मुसलमान हैं । लेकिन उस ग्ररव व्यक्ति को यह मालूम नहीं था कि चागला साहब मुसलमान हैं । जब उन्होंने कहा कि चागला साहब-जो कुछ उनका नाम हो-- करीम भाई हैं तो उस अरब को पता लगा कि चागला साहब मुसलमान हैं ग्रीर उसने ग्रपने हैन्डबुक के ग्रन्दर उनका नाम दर्जं कर लिया । उसने कई मुसलमानों के नाम बताये, यह मुसलमान है, वह मुसलमान हैं। अगर मुसलमानों को वजह से काश्मीर के ग्रन्दर प्लेबीसाइट होना चाहिये, तब तो ग्रमरोहा में भी होना चाहिये, मुरादाबाद में भी होना चाहिये, जहां-जहां मुसलमानों की पापुलेशन ज्यादा है वहां यह होना चाहिये । तो यह बात अरबों की समझ में आ सके, आप इस इष्टिकोण से प्रचार-कार्यं करें।

जहां तक विदेशों में प्रचार का सम्बन्ध है, मैं एक बात आप से कहना चाहूंगा । अभी एक भाई ने यह कहा था कि जब वे किसी दूसरे मुल्क के अन्दर गये तो उनसे पूछा गया : क्या आपके यहां हिन्दुओं के अन्दर ऐसे लोग भी हैं जिनको छुआ नहीं जाता, जिनके हाथ का पानी नहीं पिया जाता ? तो यह आंदोलन और विदेशियों का इस प्रकार का अमनिवारण कीन कर सकता है ? यह आंदोलन आपके वहां पर वैठे दुए जो कि दूताबास है, वे नहीं कर सकते । वे लोग तो खुद ही उसी तरह की

appointment of a Parliamentary

Committee to review external publicity

[प्रो॰ सत्यावत सिद्धान्तालंकार] जाति-व्यवस्था के भ्रन्दर पले हुए हैं, वे कैसे इन शंकाओं का संगाधान कर सकते हैं ? हमारे जैसे लोग, ग्रार्य संभाज के लोग, ऐसे लीग जिन्होंने इस बात का झंडा बलंद किया कि वे हर जगह मनष्य के अन्दर का भेदभाव मिटाने को तैयार हैं, वे विदेशियों के इस प्रकार के भ्रम का निवारण कर सकते हैं। आर्य समाज ने जितना कार्ये किया है, उसका परिणाम यह है कि ग्राज जाति-व्यवस्था तथा वर्ण-व्यवस्था के भेद को कुछ-कुछ समझा जाने लगा है। वर्ण-व्यवस्था का मतलब यह है कि जन्म से मनुष्य के ग्रन्दर जो भेद है उसे हम नहीं मानते, कम से जो भेद हैं उन भेदों पर ग्राध्यित ब्यवस्था वर्ण-व्यवस्था का यथार्थ रूप है। हमें ऐसे मिशन बाहर भेजने चाहियें, जोकि वहां जाकर ऐसी बातों को बतला सकें। शास्त्रों के ग्रन्दर क्या लिखा है ? ग्राप के शास्त्रों में वर्ण-व्यवस्था ग्रीर जाति-व्यवस्था में फर्क बतलाया गया है। आप में से बहुत थाड़े आनरेबल मेम्बर होंगे जो वर्ण-व्यवस्था ग्रौर जाति-व्यवस्था का भेद समझते होंगे। वे समझते हैं कि जो वण-व्यवस्था है वहो जाति-व्यवस्था है, यह बात गलत है। प्राचीन काल का जो वैदिक समय या उसमें वर्ण-व्यवस्था जन्म के उत्पर ग्राधारित नहीं थी, कर्न के ऊपर ग्राधारित थी । उसके बाद ज्यों ज्यों समय गुजरता गया, जन्म के आधार पर भेदभाव बढ़ता चला गया, तब जाति-व्यवस्था का निर्माण हुया। तो यह चीज वही लोग विदेशियों को समझा सकते हैं जी बेदीं के पढ़े हुए हों, जो प्राचीन संस्कृति ग्रीर साहित्य की समझते हों। तो यह जो विदेशों में ग्रान्दोलन की बात करते हैं, उन्हें उन लोगों का सहारा लेना पड़ेगा जो भारतीय साहित्य को, भारतीय संस्कृति को और भारतीय परम्परा को जानते हैं। इसके विना विदेशियों का भ्रम कैसे दूर होगा ? इस भ्रम को तो हमारे ही लोग लिये कैंडे हैं।

जैसा मैंने कहा, हमें सब से बड़ा श्रान्दोलन

हिन्दुस्तान के रेडिया से पाकिस्तान के निवा-सियों के लिए करना होगा। प्राप पाकिस्तान के निवासियों के लिए कुछ नहीं कर रहे हैं, म्राप जो कुछ कर रहे हैं, वह केवल ग्रपने लिए ही कर रहे हैं। जब मैं रेडियो सुनता हं, जो **ब्रावाज उसमें होती है वह मेरे लिए होती है,** ग्रीर वह पाकिस्तान के निवासियों के लिए नहीं होती है। उस रेडियो से जो कुछ कहा जाता है पाकिस्तान के निवासियों के लिये, उससे उनके मन में मेरे लिए घुणा उत्पन्न होती है। लेकिन हिन्दुस्तान का तो यह आधारमृत सिद्धान्त नहीं है, उसका आधारमृत सिद्धान्त तो यह है कि हिन्दस्तान भीर पाकिस्तान को मिल कर रहना है, लड़ कर नहीं रहना है। ग्रन्त में जाकर यह नहीं हो सकता कि हम सदा लड़ते ही चले जायें। इन दोनों में मैत्री भाव उत्पन्न तब हो हो सकता है जब हम भारत की विचारधारा का, अपने देश का निर्माण धर्म-भेद के आधार पर न करें : बल्कि हम ग्रपने देश का निर्माण राष्ट्रीयता के झाधार पर करें और इसी राष्ट्रीयता की भावना को पाकिस्तान के कानों तक पहुंचायें तथा रेडियो के जरिये पहुंचायें।

मैं विदेशों में भारतीय द्विटकोण के प्रचार के संबंध में कोई लम्बी बात नहीं कहना चाहता हूं क्योंकि इस संबंध में बहुत लम्बी बातें कही जा चुकी हैं। मैं तो सिफ एक बात कहना चाहता है, श्रीर मैं समझता है कि हमें लोग इस बात को गांठ बांघ लेंगे, कि रेडियो के ग्रन्दर वैसा प्रोग्राम रीज लगातार चलना चाहिये पाकिस्तान को सम्बोधित करके स्रीर मैं समझता हं कि उन लोगों को अपनी विचार-धारा देकर के हमें इस बात के लिए तैयार करना चाहिये कि पाकिस्तान के लोगों को हम ने रण क्षेत्र में भले ही हरा दिया है. लेकिन विचारधारा के क्षेत्र में हम पाकिस्तानियों के विचारों को इस प्रकार बदल दें कि पाकिस्तान के लोग खुद ही वहां के हुक्मरानों के खिलाफ उठ खड़े हों भीर वहां की शासन-व्यवस्था की बदल दें।

. SHRI LOKANATH MISRA; Sir, before you call the next speaker, I •would like to know whether tile Prime Minister is going to make a statement

MR, CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is my understanding.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Sir, I •would just point out to you—if he has to make a statement, he should not keep the House waiting. He should have chosen such a time in the Lok Sabha on that he could complete his job in the Lok Sabha and come to this House. We should not be . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: After he has finished his statement in the Lok Sabha, he will make the statement here

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: He should not have chosen 4-30, he should have chosen 12.00 then

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think we will wait.

SHRI T. CHENGALVAROYAN (Madras): Mr. Chairman, I am indeed very grateful for the high honour that has been given to me to speak on this very important Resolution when you, Mr. Chairman, preside, I should consider it singularly appropriate for a discussion of this Resolution in the particular context of the Indo-Pakistan conflict. If in spite of the justice of our cause, in spite of the truth of our claim, in spite of the aggression by Pakistan and in spite of the falsity of its case, several parts of the world have not yet understood the basic issues involved in the Indo-Pakistan conflict. I am rather afraid we have not done our job of publicity abroad. I should not be misundersiood when I say that we have not done our job-it is not that the present accredited Ambassadors in the '.. diffe'-ont parts of the world have not done or discharged their assignment much to the credit and to the glory of our country. But I feel-and that feeling is growing day by day-that

mere is some inadequacy in the *very* method and manner and tempo of our foreign publicity not to keep pace with Paki.3 idu. Therefore, it becomes necessary that we have to reassess the nature and need of our foreign publicity.

Mr. Chairman, the wondering and wandering West has not yet understood the basic issues involved in this conflict and it has been saving at the moment that India should be mure considerate, that India should be more accommodative. Even the most civilised countries in the world which playa very great role in international relations have been always advisuig us to behave bette, towards Pakistan. I am afraid we have to focus the attention of the world on some of the very important aspects and attributes of the present conflict. We have got our own ideals; we have got our own institutions; we have got our own culture; we have got our own civilisation end we require, therefore, t₀ project ail these glorious aspects of Indian civilisation and Indian life to the world. And what is it that we find? We find that there has been some misunderstanding about some of the basic issuer that are involved at the present juncture. When I say that we have *o reassess the need and nature of foreign publicity, I am conscious of the stern advice which our late lamented, beloved Prime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, gave to ir>, that we should not at ail degenerate our publicity to a propaganda level. He advised us even to the ooint of admonishing that we are a big nation and that we are dointf things in a big way. The world has got its ears, it has got its eyps nnd it c^n understand what we are doing. Wilb great res-riect. Mr. Chairman, we have to accept that advice. But in the unfortunate context that has now overtaken our Country and in the way in which our -.^v^rc-iry. P"kist^n, is projecting its rfwa image abroad. I feel rather constrained to look back and say, whether we cannot increase the tempo of our foreign publicity.

Resolution re [appointment 0] a Parliamentary

l&tui T. cnengaivaroyan.J Mr. Chairman, wnat is the method by whien the foreign couniries, the world, can understand about our ideals? Our ideal of secularism is something .singular, something that cannot lind a parallel in the whole of Asia—and I would go even to the extent of saying, in any part of the world—and yet the Tory Press in Great Britain till the other day said the Hindu Prime Minister of India' Look at the pitiable and colossal igno-lance or prejudice or both that we witnessed in the case of their understanding of the basic and fundamental foundations of our democracy. Once again we find that we are standing lonely on the burning deck of democracy in Asia. We find the encircling gloom of decadent democracy, we find the enveloping extinction of parliamentary institutions and yet, the world feels that there is something Avrong, that there is something not very good in the case of India. I therefore feel, Mr. Chairman, that the lime has come-and I think the hour is also going to strike—when we have to consider what method, what manner, what matter we have to give to the world. Mr. Chairman, what is it that we find today in the wo-'ld? Take the case of our own foreign policy. We have been proclaiming to the world our dedication, our devotion to the cause of peace. Our late, beloved leader, Prime Minister Nehru •was preaching the philosophy of peace •throughout the world and what is it that we find to-day? Peace is in pieces everywhere. We feel to-day that the great doctrine of non-alignment, which Pandit Nehru gave as a renovation of the old concept of diplomacy in international relationship, has not been understood. We feel today, therefore, that we have to project some of the new and novel philosophy, new and novel tenets of international relationship to the world and I think our foreign publicity has to be tuned to that level? What about the Pakistan conflict? How has the world understood this Pakistan conflict? When some say that Pakistan has made an incursion, the world

reviewed external publicity press says that it is just an exucfsioa. When Pakistan has made an invesion, they merely say that it is just peeping into the territory of India. When Pakistan had bombed our cities, the world was silent. When Pakistan invaded our territory, the word was dumb. When Pakistan began to violate the principles of cease-fire, the world is again silent. When Bhutto is blowing his bombastic bubbles day by day, there is none in this world to prick it and it becomes therefore necessary, in my most respectful submission, that we have to tell the world that there has been a magnificent misunderstanding of the basic tenets of our country, a malicious misrepresentation of our objectives, a mischievous misinterpretation of our faith and therefore it requires, in my most respectful submission, that the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, in collaboration with the of Information Ministry Broadcasting, will observe three directions in regard to foreign publicity. We have to do three important things. One is, it must be formative because, in many places, opinions about India are not yet formed. Therefore our publicity must be directed to the formative position. In some places, they lack information. Our publicity must be informative in that respect. In some cases there has been misunderstanding. Our information must be, with regard to it, reformative. Therefore my most respectful submission for the kind consideration of the External Affairs Ministry is that our publicity must be formative, it must be informative and it must be reformative. I am sure that if we do all these, the publicity that we can command in the world will be a great

We are very deeply beholden to our beloved Prime Minister for having thought fit to send delegations abroad at the present conflict and I am sure that the delegations represented by hon. Members of this House and the other House have done a very good job. May I on this occasion take this opportunity to say that when you visited some of the Arab countries.

505 Statement by Prime Minister

what a tremendous, thrilling, electrifying influence Mr. Chairman was able to produce in those countries? I am sure the whole image of India, the whole purpose of India, the whole destiny of India and the whole philosophy of India could not have been ao well understood as you have been pleased to projectt into those countries. I therefore feel that the delegations that we have sent abroad recently have done a very good job. Many Members have crossed the seas and I have not yet crossed the seas and the only big sea that I have crossed or the only river I have crossed is that of Cooum in the City of Madras. I have been telling that the whole principle of foreign publicity is lacking that tempo, that tradition, that twist, that tone and that tenor which is necessary in the present context,, and I am sure that our Embassies abroad, with the direction and guidance of the External Affairs Ministry, in collaboration with the Information and Broadcasting Ministry, will be able to give a new mettle and a new method for the purpose of reorientation of our publicity machine.

I am also conscious of this fact that with regard to the question of publicity there is a danger of degenerating our publicity to the degrading levels of propaganda. I am sure, that whatever we may do, we shall not be a party to degrade ourselves to such base levels of propaganda of a gutter press. We are a big nation, we are a great nation and we are a powerful nation and we are a nation with a purpose. I am therefore sure that whatever may be our enthusiasm and anxiety for entering Into foreign publicity in a new way, let us be very cautious in regard to the manner and the level of our publicity. I am sure we shall not be a party to such degradation. Pakistan may have its own course.

With these words, I have very great pleasure in associating myself with this Resolution and I am sure, Mr. Chairman, that this Resolution will help us to broadcast the truth of our great nation. May I conclude with

this hope: Veritas omni vincint-Truth always triumps.

CHAIRMAN: The Minister will now make a statement.

STATEMENT BY PRIME MINISTER ON INDO-PAKISTAN RELATIONS

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI LAL BAHADUR): Mr. Chairman, in the statement which I made in this House on the 24th September, 1965, I had given an account of the developments culminating in a cease-fire coming into force between India and Pakistan at 3-30 A.M. on the 23rd September, 1965. I do not wish to take up the time of the House by going into details about subsequent happenings which have been fully reported in the press. I would, instead, try to present the broad picture of the later developments and to share with the House Government's views and thoughts on the various issues that have yet to be resolved.

The cease-fire is still far from being fully effective. The main reason for this is the fact that Pakistani forces have continuously tried to occupy posts and areas which were not in their hands when the cease-fire came into effect. It is these violations by Pakistan that account for the uneasy conditions that prevail in areas where our troops are facing the Pakistan army. The House will recall that the actual hour of the cease-fire had to be put off by fifteen hours beyond the dead-line set in the Security Council Resolution of the 20th September, 1965 because Pakistan delayed its acceptance of the cease-fire till the last minute. During this period which elapsed between the acceptance of cease-fire by both countries and its actual coming into force, Pakistani forces were actively engaged in trying to occupy fresh territory where-ever possible and particularly in South-West Rajasthan. Even after the cease-fire, Pakistani troops did occupy a few posts and villages in Rajasthan, which are sepa-I rated from each other by long dis-