2009 Resolution re [RAJYA SABHA] Committee to review 2016 appointment of a Parliamentary external publicity

[Shri Arjun Arora.]

the hon. Minister for Parliamentary Affairs should be as greatly concerned as I am.

SHRI SATYA NARAYAN SINHA: I would like to inform the hon. Member that we are going to take it up. Before the House adjourns we will take it up.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned till 2.30 p.m.

The House then adjourned for lunch at one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at half -past two of the clock. THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI MATI TARA RAMCHANDRA SATHE in the Chair).

RESOJUTION RE. APPOINTMENT OF A PARLIAMENTARY COM-MITTEE TO REVIEW EXTERNAL PUBLICITY—contd.

SHRI D. L. SEN GUPTA: Madam Vice-Chairman, before the House adjourned, I was placing my point in respect of the failure in the policy decisions of the leaders in Delhi and I was attributing this failure as the root cause of the failures of our ambassadors in the various missions abroad. If that fact is accounted for and taken as a fact, then we should think more clearly about what should be done Then this question of funds. here. want of funds which has been given as a reason, becomes of very little importance. Otherwise we would have found at least some diplomates to be successful and some diplomats to be failures. Now we find that all the diplomats are failures.

AN HON. MEMBER: No. no.

SHRI D. L. SEN GUPTA: Almost all. Name one instance where we had placed our case successfully before world opinion. I am not talking of foreign publicity only in the context of the Indo-Pakistan conflict. Foreign or external publicity in times of peace is as important as it is in times of war. In times of peace also

we have had the same lamentable failure in this respect. Thousands and thousands of innocent people of the minority community were being butchered in East Bengal and they were forced to come to India for asylum as refugees. But our publicity machinery was such a failure that people outside India did not know what was happening here. Rights Committee of the Human United Nations Organisation has never had a case to face placed before it by India about the minorities coming from East Bengal. This is an instance of our failure. Take the problem of Tibet. When the refugees from Tibet came here at least we did not have the publicity machinery to place before the world opinion what was happening here. So at critical times at crucial times, we have failed. This failure is firstly due to our policy here. Secondly it is due to want of initiative on the part of the diplomats outside. Why this want of initiative? That is because mostly they are service men and they are so bureaucratic in their attitude that unless any direction goes from here they will be very slow to take the initiative. Initiative can be taken only by political people, those who are politically informed leaders. But both politically and physically some of these political leaders are not here. Some of these discredited leaders as a matter of pension from politics, are sent abroad as ambassadors, as if those foreign countries are the best places for them to pass their last days of life. If we continue this attitude. then certainly we cannot achieve If anybody deserves anything. political pension, let him have it here in this country, not in a foreign country. We do not mind spending several thousands of rupees. But we that now several thousands are lost and the country's cause also is lost. Both these things we are losing. So my first suggestion, to be precise is this. This work should be allotted, or this assignment should be given to political people, to people who have political conception and who can take the initiative and

decide at the hour, who can take the initiative and decide independent of central directives even on the spur of the moment, who know how to do it. And then, the person there should also have no pride of the position he holds. The man placed there should rot be proud that he is the ambassador of a big country like India. He should consider himself a humble servant of India. He should mix with the common people there. That kind of an attitude should be cultivated. But here we send to the Foreign Service gentlemen who top the list in the All India Service examinations. have no political background They They do not know the politics of India. They do not know the history or geography of India, but because they stand first, second or third in the All-India competitive examinations, they are given the chance of going into the Foreign Service. (Time bell rings). Just two more minutes. Madam, and I finish.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI-MATI TARA RAMCHANDRA SATHE): You have already taken five minutes.

SHRI D. L. SEN GUPTA: Please be kind enough to give me two more minutes only.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI TARA RAMCHANDRA SATHE): But others also should have a chance.

SHRI D. L. SEN GUPTA: Ladies are proverbially very kind Madam, and since you are there, please let me have only two more minutes. The point I would like to make here is that old men should not be sent. Then who should be sent? You should send a person who has no pride of his position, who has political sagacity and who at the same time knows how to make friends. In a foreign country, who cares for your position? You should know how to mix with the This "protocol" busicommon man. ness is not followed by anybody here. They mix with the common men and go to meetings, to this place and that place. But we do not see in any papers that our ambassador has gone to any meeting or that he goes round places, to public meetings and mixes with the common people. We find Ambassador Chester Bowles doing it here. But what do our ambassadors do?

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA (Orissa): Our ambassodors hate "Dhotiwalas".

SHRI D. L. SEN GUPTA: So the real thing is that they must know the present Indian Government. They must know about Indian culture and about tradition, and they should place facts as they are, without any complex whatsoever. If we can do that then certainly we can win over the whole world. Since you are cruel I will thank you and end here.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI TARA RAMCHANDRA SATHE): No, you have already taken more than your time.

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY (Mysore): Madam Vice-Chairman, however much I may like to support this Resolution on other grounds, on practical grounds, I want to oppose this Resolution.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Because you are the Party's General Secretary?

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: No. No politics here. My view is that it is not possible for Members of Parliament whether they are 15 or 30, to go round the entire world and suggest ways and means of improving our external publicity. After all, Madam, I believe that external publicity also has got to be done on a certain basis and well-known methods have got to be adopted. It is not as if we are going to force every opinion of ours on other people. We have to corry conviction to the people. Our late Prime Minister used to say that it is not the number of leaflets that we produce that matters. It is not the number of speeches that we make

[Shri N. Sri Rama Reddy.] that matters. These things should be there. But you have to carry conviction to the people with when you are associated.

SHRI G. RAMACHANDRAN: How will you do it?

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: That you will have to do. At home there must be a policy. The basic policy that we follow at home must be a very sound one, one that will carry What we conviction to everybody. are doing at home is most important. After all, the world is not blind, Every country has its own embassy here and they have got their own information officers. They read papers here and they carry impressions from here. They send their reports. Therefore, it is not as if we can spend more and do very much more by way of more propaganda. We cannot do it. Therefore, basically our policy must be such that it gets broadly the approval of everybody. Of course, China and Pakistan may not approve but that is a different matter altogether but broadly speaking on the human level, everybody must approve our policy. That should be the first criterion. It does mean that individual character and ability have no place in the scheme of things. We have functioned as an independent nation only for the last eighteen years and we are just now catching up with the rest of the world but I am sure we have done very well, whether it be in the African continent or the European continent or in the eastern countries. We seem to be doing not so badly as is depicted. Even this morning, Mr. Gujral said that the British Parliamentary Delegation had criticised our external publicity. I have a cutting with me. They said nothing in regard to our external publicity; they only enunciated principles and said that we must carry conviction to the people. It is not the number of people that we contact that matters. matters most is that you must carry conviction.

We are spending a fairly good amount on external publicity, nearly Rs. 1,21,49,800. Considering the size of our country, considering the number of countries in which our country is represented and also the number of problems that we have to pose to the various countries, I think this expenditure is too modest a sum. It has got to be increased. I am of the view that at least double this sum has got to be spent on this business and we have got to do that. Therefore, what we are spending on external publicity is not far too much considering the situation we are placed These Information or Publicity Officers have to work under an able Ambassador. Our country is represented in about one hundred and fifty-three countries of the world where we have Embassies, resident and non-resident, High Commissions, resident and non-resident, Deputy High Commissions, resident, Commissions, resident and non-resident, Legations, Consulates and Vice-Consulates. Trade Commissions, Special Emissaries, etc. We have these organisations doing this job outside our country. The most important thing that every Mission has got to do is to interpret our country to the other country and try to interpret that country to us. This is the most important job. If one has got to do this job efficiently, one must be posted with all the facts, one must be accomplished in the matter of history, in the matter of tradition and in the matter of culture. He should also know what his home country stands for. This must be very well known to the person. He must be a very intelligent man, a man of great courage, energy, tact and intelligence and should be a person who can take decisions. A man who tries to sell hard will not be able to carry any conviction. China did overpropaganda in the African countries with the result that in most of the African countries China today is the most unwanted country. They do not want China at all. Such a situation must not be allowed to develop in the other countries. Interpreting the home country in the other countries

Committee to review 2016 external publicity

and interpreting the other countries to the home country are the most important jobs that have got to done by an efficient set of people starting from the higher officer. the presiding officer, whom we send there. Proper care must be taken in selecting that person. As my friends say, a person who goes to another country must know the language of that particular country. That certainly would be of very great advantage. This is a human problem and has got to be approached in that way. We must carry conviction to the people of the various countries. I am sure we have done fairly well and not as badly as people depict. The very fact that India is a friend of almost every country, barring the Chinese bloc, goes to show that our Ambassadors have done well. Our publicity has paid dividends.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Could I interrupt the hon, Member for a minute? Could we have the views of the Secretary-General of the Congress Party about the appointment of some Ambassadors who were found to be old and infirm here?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI TARA RAMCHANDRA SATHE): He is not speaking in that capacity, as General-Secretary of the Party.

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: I am certainly not going to support an old or infirm person or an unwanted per-After all, care must be taken to see that the man who is sent to another country is capable of taking The late on that particular job. Prime Minister was also referring to this. Mr. Mani was saying about the journalists but in one of his speeches, the late Prime Minister said that he tried the journalists but found them not so competent, I will not say useless, compared to the regular service people.

SHRI DEVABRATA MOOKERJEE (West Bengal): Present company excepted.

(Interruptions)

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: Knowledge of law is very very essential and it helps a man to function more efficiently, I am sure. The late Prime Minister said this:

"We have tried the experienced journalists and found they did not succeed."

This is what he said in one of the speeches. The best thing that could be done in the circumstances is to develop a special cadre for this purpose. We have been trying to do that The cadre is a very young one and slowly and steadily this is gaining ground. There are eminent men who distinguished themselves have Ambassadors. There is the case of the late Mr. Panikkar who did well in China. We know the case of Shrimati Vijayalakshmi Pandit. Our own President himself was the Ambassador in Russia and he did exceedingly well in developing the closest friendship between Russia and India. There is, therefore, no use indulging in wholesale condemnation, in saying that we have not been doing well anywhere. This is a criticism which is on the side of exaggeration which we should avoid especially at this particular juncture when we must be very careful of what we put out to the countries of the world, when the eyes and ears of the world are on us. We are passing through a very critical stage.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Unless you twist the tail of the Government, it does not function.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI-MATI TARA RAMCHANDRA SATHE): Mr. Reddy, you will get only fifteen minutes.

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: Madam, of course, I believe very much in teetotalism but if we try to sell this idea to all the countries it may not catch up. I too, long back, Madam, in 1952 tried to contact the various embassies in the countries that I visited and I found that everywhere

[Shri N. Sri Rama Reddy.] Pakistan in a way was overtaking us in the matter of propaganda and the chief thing that I was told was that Pakistan threw out a number of parties, evening parties, cocktail parties and so on. They entertained quite a lot and were thus able to win over the journalists in those countries with a view to seeing that Pakistan's viewpoint is put out in those countries or sometimes with a view to seeing that India is run down very much. All these things have happened. But I think that even that game does not succeed for long because truth ultimately comes out in all its glorious colours; nobody can prevent that.

Having said all this, I do not think have much more to say. would only once again repeat that there is a great necessity for building up a very efficient foreign service, as efficient as can be compared with that of any other country like America or Britain or other countries. must also develop side by side-if we don't have the means that is a different thing-our cultural centres in foreign countries. That is very necessary because Indian culture, Indian tradition and Indian way of thinking, as we all know, are the basis for everything including our foreign policy and those who go out whether it be as Information Officer or Publicity Officer or as Counsul, in whatever capacity they go, must believers in our foreign policy. He must not be a person who simply acts on the basis of that policy; such a person I think will not be able to deliver the goods in the way we want him to do or in the way the country's interests demand. Therefore we must be very careful to see that the person selected has complete faith and belief in the policy that the home country adopts, especially the foreign policy. I would, therefore, oppose this Resolution; I would particularly request my hon, friend, Mr. Abraham, to withdraw it and not press it for a vote.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Madam, I object to this; the Secretary-General

of the Party should not make a request like this.

J. S. PILLAI (Madras): Madam, the object of my participating in the debate is to oppose the Resolution moved by my hon, friend from Pondicherry. He said the other day that the Publicity Department of our country is not good. At the same time he also took to task the Ambassadors who are accredited to the various countries from our country. The other Members who have taken part in the debate also followed in the track that has been cut by my hon, friend from Pondicherry. I do not agree with my friend that our Publicity Officers are bad. officers, it should be remembered. were and are even now selected by the U.P.S.C. from among the journalists in the country and while selecting them the aid of some of the editors in the country is also taken and only the best elements from among the journalists are selected.

Now let us take England. After we attained freedom our country was represented there by no less a person than Mr. Menon and he was succeeded by Mrs. Vijayalakshmi Pandit. Who is there in the House who will say that they have not done very well? Who is there in the House who has got the courage to say that they have not done very well? That being the case, it is my opinion that England purposely misrepresenting country. A question may be asked: why should they purposely misrepresent our country? They do so because we always rub England on the wrong side. The English people are much more loyal than the Queen herself. But what have you done? Some iconoclasts in our country have disfigured the statute of their king. Why should they keep quiet? What would be our feelings in such a situation? Mahatma Gandhi's Suppose was disfigured in England; I ask this question: what would be our feelings? Not only that; let us take Lord Irwin.

2020

It should be remembered that Lord Irwin has done some good to us. Hon, Members on all sides of the House will remember the Gandhi-Irwin Pact. But we have disfigured his statue also. Then we are changing the names of the roads and streets

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: The nation has not done it: that has been done by some persons.

SHRI J. S. PILLAI: Why don't you punish them severely?

Now, as I said, we are changing the names of the roads and streets that have got European names. I shall tell you something that happened some years back. In 1933 when I was Mayor of Madras Mr. Satyamurthi was in the Corporation Council. that time he was living in a street which was called then Thanikachalam Chetty Street. Thanikachalam Chetty was the leader of the Justice Party. Mr. Satyamurthi brought forward a Resolution changing the name of that street from Thanikachalam Chetty Street to Rangaswami Iyengar Street. At that time fortunately Rajaji was the Chief Minister. When the Resolution went before the Government for approval he turned it down. He said: 'Why should you want to change the name of the street?' We must also remember. Madam, that this created anti-Brahmin feelings in Madras. Thanikachalam Chetty was a non-Brahmin and Satvamurthi was a Brahmin. So this created anti-Brahmin feelings in Madras. Rajaji then said: "Why should you change the name? For argument's sake even assuming that he has not done any good for the city, let it be there". But what have we done in the country today? Our Municipalities and Corporations are changing the names of streets and roads every day. I was living in a road which was previously called Roberts Road. Suddenly they changed the name and called it Tin Murti Road. One day a friend of mine was to come to my house from Madras. I had written to him that I was living in Tin Murti Road. He said that he would be reaching my house at 7 o'clock. I waited and waited and he reached at 10 o'clock and when I asked him he said that when he asked the Taxi Driver to take him to Teen Murti Road, that fellow took him round and round and after two or three hours he came to my house. And that day I also missed my dinner waiting for my friend. So what I say is, we have a knack of rubbing people on the wrong side. We should be careful that we do not rub anybody on the wrong side As I said, England is purposely misrepresenting our country. They know that Pakistan is the aggressor but why should they tell the truth? They are not Harischandras; we are not Harischandras. There is nobody who can call himself Harischandra in Knowing fully well that country. Pakistan is the aggressor they still say that our country is the aggressor simply to wound our feelings. we should take a lesson from this that we should not rub any country on the wrong side.

Some Members asked, what about the Yankees? What have we done to the Yankees or the Americans? They also misrepresent us. As you know, we are going to America with a begging bowl for our food, but so far as Vietnam is concerned what have we done? If we cannot support them, we must at least keep quiet. Let us not say anything. But we have indirectly condemned America. We should know that America is doing good to us if the Americans want to crush China. You should be glad of it. So what we should have done is-we need not support America-we should have at least kept quiet.

Madam, we have now the Congress Government and Mr. Kamaraj is the head of the Party. The one great quality of Mr. Kamaraj is that he is taciturn. He won't talk. Speek to him for one hour or two hours: he won't open his mouth but in the en! he will say 'Parkalam'. He will liste to the people for hours together, ten hours, twelve hours; he would not

[Shri J. S. Pillai.] unnecessarily speak. In the end he will say 'Parkalam'. The word 'Parkalam' can be interpreted in You can use that word for or against a proposition. This is a very important word. Our Ministers should learn a lesson from him. There is no use keeping him as Head of the Party if you do not learn this word from him, if you do not learn his nature. In my opinion, our Ministers should write this word "Parkalam" a thousand times as an imposi-Whenever anybody comes and talks to them, even for hours, they should not open their mouth. They should keep quiet and in the end say "Parkalaam". It can be interpreted any way you like. It is a Tamil word and it can be used in any way.

SHRI J. H. JOSHI: What does it mean?

SHRI J. S. PILLAI: It means 'we shall see' or 'I shall see'. It it is good and if it is all right, you can say I said so. The next time if you meet him and you were nominated, he would listen to you and hear what ever you have to say and in the end he would say: "Did I not say 'Parkalaam'?" Suppose you were not nominated he wou'd say. "Did I not say 'Parkalaam'? Take your chance now." In this way, one is free to interpret it. Ministers should learn how to be taciturn by nature. So this Resolution will not do any good to our country. It will not improve matters. We have got good Ambassadors and also we have got good publicity officers. People in England and in America have purposely closed their eyes and plugged their ears and misrepresent country. So, I hope my hon. friend on the other side will withdraw the motion.

Thank you.

SHRI M. AJMAL KHAN (Nominated): Madam, I support the resolution. I want to suggest that those persons who are sent from Indian as our Amassadors or as Information Officers should have attached to them certain students who might not have any official posts but who might learn

the language and the customs of the people of the country where they are sent. In the eleven Arab countries their language is classical Arabic, but the people, who speak the language the bazars and other places, the colloquial language unless our people know the colloquial language they cannot contact the people, nor the merchants nor any industrialist, nor anybody else. Even in the universities and other places when a Professor goes to teach philosophy or literature, he does not teach in the classical language but he speaks in the colloquial language. So, the difficulty is this. Those persons who want to do any kind of propaganda they are handicapped. because they cannot understand the people in the language in which they are speaking. So, I just want to suggest that those persons who are sent as our Ambassadors might have some students with them. They might go as students and they might not be employed there in our Embassy, They might be given some stipend so that they may learn the language of the country where they are going. Later on they may be appointed as Press Attaches or some such thing. Similar is the case in Iran as well as in So, my suggestion is this. Turkey. Either we may open some schools here to teach the colloquial languages of the countries where we are sending our people or we may send certain students there without consideration for their qualifications, so that they may go and study in the bazars and learn the colloquial language of that country. Then, our publicity will be very forceful and ideal.

The second thing I would suggest is that we should have certain lines of publicity. It may not be such a kind of publicity in which our honour may be compromised. We are not going as beggars asking other countries something which we need. We must have some honour. For that we might have a kind of directorate here in the publicity department to tell us what for we are going and what we have to do there.

With these words, I support the motion.

सरदार रघुबीर सिंह पंजह तारी (पंजाब) बाइस-चेयरमैन साहिबा, मैं ग्रापका मशकूर हु। अ अ। पन मोका दिया। सुबह से लेकर यभीतक फारेन पब्निसिटो की यहां काफी चर्चा इई ग्रोर ग्राज के ग्रखशरों में भी जो फारेन पब्लिसिटी की तरोफ ब्रिटिश पार्लियामेंट के मेन्बरान ने की वह भी श्रापके सामने है । इससे पहले मिस्टर चेस्टर बाउल्स ने भो कहा था कि प्रमेरिका के प्रन्दर हिन्दुस्तान का प्रोपेगेंडा बहुत ही कम है श्रीर वहां का लेभैन हिन्दुस्तान के प्राब्लम को कु द्र ज्यादा नहीं समझ १ है। स्रभी हाल ही में पाकिस्तान और हि दुन्तान के झगड़े में--हालाकि रशिया हमारा मबसे ज्यादा दोस्त मुल्क है लेकिन उत्तके ग्रखबारों में भी यह चर्चा ग्राई कि काश्मोर सारा का सारा हिन्दुस्तान के कब्ज़े में है ग्रौर उस पर कई एडिटोरियल वहा राशियन ग्रखबारो मे श्राये जितका काट्राडिक्शन सत्य नारायण सिंह ने जब वहां गये तब प्रत काफ़ोंन मे कि गा। मैं यह अर्ज करना चाहता हू कि जिस तरीके पर हमारी बाहर की पब्लिसिटी इन बड़े बड़े मुल्को में हो रही है-- छोटे मुल्कों का तो कहना ही क्या, बाहर के जो बड़े मुल्क है वहां पर हमारी पब्लिसिटी कितनी कमजोर श्रौर निकम्मी है उस पब्लिसिटो के लिये इससे ज्यादा सर्टो फि केट नहीं दिया जा सकता।

वाइस-वेगरमैंन साहिबा, स्रापने यह देश होगा कि हमारो मुख्ति लिफ इम्बेसीज में जो प्रेस अटैचीज है उनमें में 40 से 50 परसेट तक ऐसे प्रेस अटैचीज हैं जिनको सेक्शन स्थाफित में भी भी किया गया था और बगैर उनको जनंलिउम की ट्रेनिय दिये हुए प्रमोट करके प्रेस अटैची बना दिया गया। स्थाप जाननी हैं कि प्रोपेगेंडा का काम एक अनग तरीके का है, उसको समझने-बूझने, स्टडी करने और उसमें स्पेशेनाइज

करने का एक अलग तरीका है लेकिन मुझकों अफसोस है कि जो बहुत से सेक्शन आफिसर थे उनको जब प्रेस अटैं वी बनाया जाये तो वह क्या हमारा काम करेगे अर इसी तरीके से बाहर हर जगह पर हमारी पब्लिसिटी ज्यादा से ज्यादा कमजोर चलती आ रही है। मैं कोई सेक्शन आफिसर की तरक्की में रोड़ा नहीं बनना चाहता, उनको तर्ककी जरूर मिले, लेकिन उनको दूसरे शोवा में दी जाये न कि पिन्लिसिटी में।

ग्रापने यह भी देखा होगा कि कई ग्रफसर ऐसे है जो कि बारह बारह वर्ष तक श्रौर पन्द्रह पन्द्रह वर्ष तक बाहर के मुल्कों में रहे, हिन्दुस्तान में घभी वे इधर ग्राये नही, ग्रौर बाहर ही प्रोपेगेंडा का काम वह करते हैं। तो जो हमारे भ्रफ नर 12 साल तक हिन्दुस्तान नही आये व बाहर प्रोपेगेंडा का काम करेतो मैं सम्झताह कि वे कुछ कर नही सकेंगे। लाजिमी तौर पर एक टाइम मुकर्रर कर देना चाहिये कि चार वर्ष में, पांच वर्ष में या छः वर्ष में बाहर जो पनिवसिटी के प्रेस अर्टवीज हैं उनके हिन्दुस्तान में ब्राना चाहिये या हिन्दुस्तान में क्राकर पाच या छ म रीने या एक साल यहा रह कर हिन्दुरर'।न र्काहालत को स्टडी करना चाहिये---यहां की सियासी हालत कितनी बदला करती है यह देखना चाहिये--ग्रौर उसके बाद फिर उनको बाहर के मुल्कों में भेपा जाना चाहिये, तो मैं समझता हूं कि ज्यादा ग्रच्छा होगा।

जब मैंने यह अर्ज किया कि वहा से हमारे आदिमियों को बुलाया जाये तब उसी तरी के से यह अच्छा होगा कि इंफरमेशन एंड ब्राइ-कास्टिंग मिनिस्ट्री में जो आदमी इस वक्त पिल्लिसिटी का काम करते है उनके लिये कुछ ऐसा परसेंटेज मुकर्रर कर देना चाहिये जो कि बाहर जाकर, हिन्दुस्तान से बाहर जाकर, इम्बैसीज के साथ पिल्लिसिटी का काम करे। एक तो इससे यह फायदा होगा कि वे हिन्दुस्तान से ताजे जायेंगे, उनको यहां का ज्यादा पता होगा, वह ज्यादा काम

[सरदार रघु शीर सिंह पंचहजारी] करेंगे ग्रौर दूसरे जब वह हिन्द्स्तान में ग्रायेंगे तो वहां से एक ग्राउटल्क लेकर ग्रायेंगे जो कि हिन्दूस्तान की चीज़ों को समझने के लिये ज्यादा अच्छ होगा । इसके साथ-साथ मैं यह भी अर्ज करूंगा कि जहां हम बाहर के पार्लियामेंट के मेम्बरान को यहां इन्वाइट करते हैं वहा के बड़े-बड़े ग्राफिसर्स को इन्वाइट करने हैं, ग्रगर हम वहां के प्रेस वालों को भी इन्वाइट करके हिन्द्स्तान के म्रन्दर उनको दिखायें कि किस तरह से हिन्द-स्तान में सिक्यलिरज्म चल रहा है, किस तरह में हिन्दुस्तान में डिमोक्रेमी बाकी दुनिया से ज्यादा मजबूत तरीके से चल रही है तो मुझे पूर्ण विश्वास है कि यहा से हाकर जब बाहर के प्रेम वाले जायेंगे तो उनकी जरूर कोई न कोई राय बदली होगी स्रौर वे हिन्द-स्तान के हक मे ग्रच्छा लिखेंगे।

इसके साथ-साथ मैं यह अर्ज करना चाहता हं कि कई प्रेस वाले हिन्द्स्तान मे भी ऐसे है बाहर के. जो यहा रह कर भी यहां के हालात को अच्छी तगह देखते हए भी ग्रपना मिसचीवियस प्रोपेगेंडा बाहर के मुल्को में करते है। तो उनके ऊपर भी नजर रख कर उनको बतलाना पाहिये कि हमारा प्वायंट स्राफ व्यु क्या है । स्रगर इसके बावजूद वे गड़बड़ करते हैं तो मै समझता हं बगैर किसी ग्ल या हुज्जा के उन्हे हिन्दूस्तान मे बाहर निकाल देना चाहिये । स्रगर यह एक स्टेप लिया जाये स्रोर एक्नटर्नल स्रफेयर्स मिनिस्ट्री होम मिनिष्ट्री स्रोप इन्फार्मेशन एण्ड ब्राडकास्टिंग मिनि दी के साथ मिल कर यह काम करें तो मैं समझता ह बड़ी जल्दी हमारे हालात ग्रोर ठीक हो सकेंगे। लेकिन इसके प्रलावा ग्रगर ग्राप लेगो को भी बलावें **ग्रौ**र उनसे काम करवाये ग्रौर ग्रगर **ग्रा**प एक कोग्राडिनेशन कमेटी यहा बनाए फारेन मिनिस्टी की, डिफेल्प की और इन्फार्मेशन एण्ड ब्राडकास्टिंग की, कोई भी हाई पावर कमेटी बनाएं जो प्रोपेगेडा की एक गाउडिंग

लाइन बना दे कि हमारी फारेन पब्लिसिटी ऐसी होनी चाहिये तो मैं समझता हूं इसका खासा ग्रसर पडेगा । ग्रब हालत यह है कि इन्फारमेशन एण्ड ब्राडकास्टिंग मिनिस्ट्री ग्रपना ग्रलग राग ग्रलापती है डिफेन्स मिनिस्ट्री ग्रलग राग ग्रलापती है ग्रीर उसके ग्रलावा एक्सटर्नल ग्रफेयर्स मिनिस्ट्री ग्रलग राग श्रलापती है । श्रगर एक हाई पावर कमेटी बने ग्रौर वह गाइडिंग लाइन रखे तो मैं समझता हं पिल्लिसिटी का अच्छा खासा काम हो जाये।

दूसरी बात यह है कि यह ठीक है हमारी किताबें ग्रौर लिटरेचर खूब छपते हैं ग्रौर छप कर बाहर जाते हैं। लेकिन होता क्या है ? उनका कभी कहीं युज नहीं होता । ग्रभी हाल में जब चाइना से हमारा झगड़ा हुन्ना था उस मुल्क में यहां से लिटरेचर गया। दो तीन महीने के बाद एक्सटर्नल श्रफेयर्स मिनिस्ट्री का कोई ग्राफिसर वहा पहुंचा । उसने कहा कि हमने तो कुछ लिटरेचर ग्रापके पास भेजा था। ग्रम्बेसेडर ने कहा कि हमारे पास ग्राया नहीं । इन्क्वायरी की गई । इन्क्वायरी करने पर पता लगा कि वह लिटरेचर गोडाउन में कही, महीने से पडा रह गया था श्रौर गोडाउन में पकडा गया

श्री ग्रज़्न ग्ररोड़ा : किस मुल्क में गया ?

सरदार रघवीर सिंह पंजहजारी : सीलोन ग्रौर कुछ ग्रौर मुल्को में। इस तरह से जो मिसयूज होता है इसको भी ध्यान में रखना चाहिये। जो ऐसे मुल्क हैं जैसे लाग्नोस है, कम्बोडिया है, थाइलैंग्ड है या ग्रीर दूसरे मुल्कों को आप देखिये, वहां पर हम कोई बातचीत करते हैं तो फ्रेंच में करते हैं। फ्रैंच लैंगएज में लाम्रोस मौर कम्बोडिया में पम्फलेट भेजते हैं। ठीक है, वहां फ़ैच भी चलती है; क्योंकि फैच ने वहां रूल किया और उसी तरह से रूल किया जिस तरह से श्रंग्रेजों ने इमारे ऊपर रूल किया। लेकिन स्रंग्रेजी पहे-

लखों की परसेटेज यहां कितनी होगी? होना तो यह चाहिये कि वहां पर हमारा प्रोपेगेंडा उनकी ग्रपनी लैगएज में हो । भ्रगर हम उनकी ग्रपनी लैंगएज में छाप कर देतो मैं समझता हूं कि उसका ज्यादा फायदा होगा लेकिन यह नहीं हो रहा है। यही नहीं बल्कि एक बड़े कप्ट्री में मैं गया वहां एक बात सून कर मुझे हैरानी हुई । मैंने वहां के ग्रम्बेसेडर साहब से पूछा कि यहां पाकिस्तान का प्रोपेगेंडा बहुत ज्यादा है, नैच्रली वे झुठा प्रोपेगेडा करते हैं, श्राप बड़े कप्ट्री हैं, श्राप उनके प्रोपेगेडा का जवाब नही दे सकेंगे, लेकिन म्राप जवाब कुछ देते होंगे । बडी शान से उन्होंने कहा : हां साहब, हम ग्रन्छा जवाब देते हैं, उनके फज्ल प्रोपेगेडा को हम इगनोर करते हैं, हां एक बात जरूर करते हैं कि हां के फारेन मिनिस्टर को मिल लेते है वहा के जो फारेन सेकेटरी जनरल हैं उनको मिल कर ग्रपना प्वायट ग्राफ व्य बताते है। तो मेरी समझ में नही ग्राता अगर इन दोनों आदिमियों को मिलने का काम हो तो वहा प्रेस सेक्रेटरी ग्रीर दूसरा पैरा-फर्नेलिया रहं यह जरूरी नही है। मैं समझता हुं कि वहां पालियामेट के 450 मेम्बर है 450 मेम्बरों को पूरे हिन्दस्तान का प्वायट म्राफ व्यु समझाया जाये, उनका प्वायट म्राफ व्यु समझा जाये।

[The Deputy Chairman in the Chair.]
लेकिन ग्रगर फारेन मिनिस्ट्री ग्रौर फारेन
सेन्नेटरीज को मिल कर हिन्दुस्तान का ग्रपना
प्वायंट ग्राफ व्यू रखना चाह्रिये तो मैं नही
समझता हमारा प्रोपेगेडा ज्यादा चल सकेगा।
इसलिये मैं ज्यादा न कहते हुए ग्रापसे यही
निवेदन करूंगा कि इस वक्त जो प्रोपेगेडा की
मशीनरी है इसमें ड्रास्टिक चेंज होना चाहिये
ग्रौर जब तक यह चेन्ज नहीं ग्राएगा तब तक
ग्राप किसी तरह से कामयाब नही होंगे। यू०
एन० ग्रो० में पोलिटिकल ऐंगिल में कितनी
मदर मिली ग्रापने देखा। किस कण्ट्री के
ग्रखबारों ने ग्रापका साथ दिया वह भी ग्रापके
सामने है। ग्रभी मिसाल के तौर पर ग्रज

करूं, कौन नहीं जानता कि काश्मीर हमारा है और बगैर काश्मीर के हम नहीं रह सकते हम काश्मीर का एक इन्च भी विसी को देना नहीं चाहते लेकिन किसी महक के प्रोपेगेंडा का ग्रसर इतना तो हुन्ना कि यहा पर भी हमारे इत्सान यह कहने को तैयार हो गए कि काश्मीर का कुछ हिस्सा उनको देना चाहिये या काश्मीर हिन्द्स्तान का हिरसा न रहे पाकिस्तान का भी हिस्सा न रहे ग्रौर उसका कोई ग्रौर ही स्टेटस बनाया जाये। ग्रगर हमारे यहां पर उस चीज का असर हो सकता है तो दूसरी कप्ट्री में हमारे प्रोपेगेडे का असर क्यों नही होगा । श्राज कोई ब्रिटिशर नही है जो हमारे खिलाफ न हो। हमारे खिलाफ प्रोपेगेडा करते है। यही नहीं हमारे कई इमीनेन्ट डिप्लोमैंट जो यु० एन० ग्रो० में भी रहे जब वे गवर्नमेंट से अलग हो चके --गवर्नमेंट भी हमारी बड़ी मेहरबान है--उनको बाहर से भ्रलाऊ कर दिया गया कि स्राप सरविस कर सकते है हालांकि डिप्लोमेंट का काम होता है कि जब उनका जौब खत्म हो जाये तो हिन्दुस्तान में ग्राकर रिपोर्ट करते हैं श्रौर तब रिटायर करते है। उन्होंने डैमेजिंग रिपोर्ट दिया काश्मीर के मामले लन्दन के ग्रखबारों में ग्राया । लेकिन क्या हमारा कान्द्रेडिक्शन हमारे हाई कमिश्नर की तरफ से गया ? एक वहां ऋखबार में वह भी लेटर ट्दी एडीटर के नाम पर गया भ्रौर उसमें कन्टाडिक्शन भ्राया । भ्रगर इस किस्म का प्रोपेगेंडा ग्रापके यहां चलेगा तो मैं नही समझता कि कोई कामयाबी आ कर सकगे । इसलिये मेरा तो यह निवेदन है कि ड्रास्टिक चेज इसमें लाना चाहिये जिससे हिन्द्स्तान का 'वायंट ग्राफ व्यू हर इन्सान को जो बाहर के म्ल्कों के लेमैन हैं, उनको भ्रच्छी तरह से पता चल सके, सिवाय इसके कि एक्सटर्नल अफ्रेयर्स और फारेन मिनिस्टर तक ही महदूद रहे।

THE DEPUTY CHA'RMAN. M1 Arora. The time allotted for this to day was one hour and thirty minutes

[The Deputy Chairman]

So We have exceeded that time please finish in five minutes

SHRI ARJUN ARORA I want try.

Madam Deputy Chanman, I have heard with great interest the speeches on external publicity of the Government of India and I find that there is a great deal of justified and unjustified criticism We, it appears, suffer from a sense of isolation, and because of that complex we think that nobody is with us, and if nobody is with us, I personally our publicity is bad feel that publicity cannot help Americans, for example, spend millions or billions of rupees on publicity in The USIS budget in India India is unknown to us, but I am sure it runs into hundreds of crores morning every Member of Parliament gets at least three publications of the USTS without payment far as I am concerned all the three go to the waste paper basket Many others, I know do the same know nobody has the time to read all USIS the literature which the sends gratis because, if Members of Parliament, for example, begin to read that literature from beginning to end, they will never be able to come to Parliament, and they will nevar be able to read anything else Mere publicity is not going to help any-What is going to help exterbody nal publicity is our policy It our policies are correct, they will triumph. This country built an mage in the world under the leadership of Prime Minister Nehru But I find that there are many in our country who doubtful about the wisdom of our foreign policy If we are ourselves doubtful about the wislom of policy parti ularly the policy which built such a good image of abriad the policy which impressed the world what the moral stature of India if we begin to doubt the coirectness of such a policy, the world is not going to respect us, the world is not going to listen to us howsoever great our publicity may be l feel

that the correct thing is to adhere to the policy, be firm about our policy and act upon our pronounced policy. If we do that, the world will respect us howsoever inefficient or efficient our publicity may be If in matter of Kashmir today the United Kingdom and the United States are against us and if during our conflict with Pakistan the Piess in the United Kingdom and the United States exercised all sorts of pressures upon us, that was due to our vacillations in 1962-63 whin we erroneously entered into talks with Pakistan. There were Swaran Singh-Bhutto meetings-and they gave the world the impression that Kashmir was negotiable It was because of that wrong impression, of that policy error which we made—I am sorry to say—under Anglo-US pressure that some people thought Kashmır was negotiable And it is that mistake which has cost us dear and which is responsible for the hosti ity of many people abroad towards our present attitudes in matter of Kashmir

Committee to

Madam, when I say this I am not unconscious of the drawbacks of our diplomats, the drawbacks of those responsible for our publicity abroad My own experience is that we sing diplomats abroad who do not know our own country My first encounter with an Indian diplomat abroad was 11 1955, when I visited Moscow along the with INTUC leader, Ambokar. We were received by the Third Secretary because the Ambassadoi was away on tour, the First Secretary was on holiday, the Second Secretary was sick and the Third Secretary received us. We uso had with us Shri Ram Singh Bhai Verma We introduced ourselves Indore The Th rd Secretary, who turned out to be third class, did not know where Indore was He said, "Oh, you come from Indoce I know it is very near Bombay" Now, a gentleman who does not know where Indore is and where Bombay is, is sent ab oad and in some circumstances becomes our Charge-de'Affaires Before we send our diplomats abroad for publicity or

2031

negotiations, let us make sure that our diplomats know our own country. They should also know about our policies. They should have faith in those policies. Many Members of this House and elsewhere are great advocates of the cause of career diplo-I am sorry to say that many mats. of our career diplomats do not have faith in our policies. If they do not have faith in our policies and you cannot dismiss them, make them Sub-Divisional Officers and ask them to collect revenue, do not send them If our personnel are wrong, howsoever good may be our policies, the publicity will be bad,

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I think you should wind up now please.

SHRI ARJUN ARORA: All light, Madam.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI LAKSHMI N. MENON): Madam Deputy Chairman, I have heard with great interest the various speeches on external publicity and I must admit, after so many hours of speeches, that I am at a loss to understanl what I have to say because some of the speeches were answered by some others. In many cases I found that there was a very strong difference of opinion. Some speakers want men from public life and nonofficials to be sent as ambassadors; others want only career diplomats; some are satisfied with the kind of publicity that we give; others are not.

Now, there are one or two things which I would like to place before the House before I answer the points raised in the debate. Publicity cuts very little ice, as Shri Arjun Arora pointed out, because every Government lays down its policies according to its own interests in order to further its own interests. The fact that we are received well or that they are very polite to us or that there have been frequent exchanges of Heads of State or of Prime Minis.ers or of other personnel, does not really mean

that there is a change of policy. invariably happens that because we have supported a country in a particular thing we expect it to support us in all our policies and when that naturally you take cudgels against the Ministry and external publicity. This has happened again and again. As for instance, when the Chinese invasion took place, it was taken for granted that the rest of the world did rot know that China had Why?-because our exinvaded us. ternal publicity was a failure. And recently, both in the case of the Rann of Kutch as well as the conflict with Pakistan because some of the Western Press-in some countries in the West the Press is free-did not support us, all kinds of arguments we have nut forward to say that but for our publicity, those countries would have been on our side. Let us take, for instance, a country like England. Now, there is no reason for anybody associated with the Gov. rnment of England to say that they do not know anything about the Indo-Pakistan relationship. It is a question that they have created, it is a question that they have nurtured and it is a question which is insoluble because of them. And for either the members the Parliamentary Delegation which is on a visit now or the Prime Minister of England to say that he was not fully aware of what was happening in India or what was happening between India and Pakistan, is, in my opinion, just nonsense because there is no reason why they should not know. This is more or less true of all the chanceries of the world. Every country knows what is happening but they protend ignor-It is ance because it suits them. better for a country to say, well, we really do not know the exact points of dispute between India and Pakistan; therefore we would not like to express an opinion. That is the best and the most polite way of getting away from the responsibility.

I have myself talked these things over the people who should know about India and just because they do

[Shrimati Lakshmi N. Menon.] not want to give a categorical answer about a particular question, they will always say, well, the Indo-Pakistan dispute has been going on for the last 18 years; we have lost the thread and you would forgive us if we do not express an opinion. Now, this is a very polite way of doing it. Why? It is because those countries are not interested in taking sides. should they take sides with Pakistan or India? Why should they support one country or the other? It is not going to further their interests and they do not want to make enemies of one country or the other. you will find in those countries where there is no free Press where the policies are dictated by the Government, that any amount of publicity material or any amount of propaganda will not have any effect at all. But we will do these things because our country is not a country which is intent on propaganda for its own sake. We have no ideology to promote; we do not want to force our attitudes on anybody. All that we want to do is to pass on information and news of what is happening here. And this is being done. Of course. it can be more perfectly done. as some other Members have pointed out, it is not possible to compete with any country, either with the United States or the United Kingdom or even with Pakistan, because Pakistan can divert as much funds as is possible for publicity or it can waste its money and nobody is going to enquire about it. There is Parliament which is going to ask questions every Monday and every Tuesday as to whether the money has been properly spent or whether external publicity has been properly done. There is no question of fixing priorities or even questioning the acts of Government. Let us not compare ourselves with Pakistan I think it is a matter of great shame that we should always say that our publicity is so poor, that Pakistan's publicity is so good, it is so wonderful and our publicity is so poor because our Government has no policy, because our

officers are so inefficient and therefore, the publicity is so poor and, therefore, the rest of the world is against us. Madam, Mr. Arjun Arora rightly pointed out very wisely that we are suffering from an isolation complex. We are so used to always getting support from other countries, we are so used to people talking highly of India's moral stature, India's leadership, India's greatness, India's planned development, etc. that today we are so weakened by these praises that we are not able to stand criticism or face criticism. That is what is wrong with us. It is not External publicity that has gone wrong. It is something which has gone wrong psychologically with our people. was pointed out, what is it that makes a nation great or makes the image of the nation appear bright in the other countries? It is what we do within our country. If we are sure of our policies, if we are sure of our confidence, if we are sure that we can go ahead without looking either to the right or to the left because our face is turned to the right direction, then certainly all this would not have happened at all.

Then, Madam, I come to certain aspects of our administration which were raised in the debate today. Some hon. Members said that because we promote Section Officers as Information Officers, that is why our Information Service is so ineffective. An hon. Member said that we do not draw from the professional class and, therefore, our Information Service is not so efficient. Now, let me inform the House that till 1959 our Information Services were filled with recruits drawn through the UP.S.C. professional journalists, and the reason why our late Prime Minister tioned about the inefficacy of procedure stands testimony to the fact that because you are a journalist it does not mean that you will make a good Public Relations Officer just as Members said that because a person passes in an examination he does not become qualified to be a diplomat. It is quite true.

2035

Madam, since 1959 we are not giving regular training but we are giving opportunities to our I.F.S. Officers to do Information work and we think they do much better work. that all these officers are bureaucrats and they have no love of the country, they have no sense of education is also wrong. Madam, love of the country, or patriotism, or dedication are not the monopoly of Members of Parliament alone. Everybody who is in service,—and I vouch for this because I am associated with the External Affair's Ministry for the last 13 years—I am not saying, all of them are perfect In fact, there is no human being who is perfect. Therefore, I am not talking of perfection but as far as human abilities go, as far as devotion to service goes, I can say without hesitation that the officers who are looking after administration, who are looking after publicity, are as good as any that you can find anywhere in India, perhaps anywhere in the world. Well, I do not know about the world, but I know about India, and I can say without hesitation that they are doing an excellent piece of work against difficulties.

Madam, 'vlembers have pointed out that our financial resources are limited. If we want to do publicity in the West, we will have to buy space in the Press No press is going to give you space to enable you to write everything about India so that the people become enlightened-certeinly not-unless you buy space. what is the price of space? have to spend millions of pounds, millions of dollars if you want to have space in the newspapers regularly publicise India. Even that will not educate the people. Now. what happens, Madam, is this. certain Member of Parliament or a certain person from India goes along and he finds very nice people on the road. Then naturally with our enthusiasm to let the whole world know as to what 's happening in India, we try to tell them about Pakistan. Now, it has happened with me also. When I said that Pakistan is divided up into

two portions by one thousand miles of Indian territory, their eyes popped out, "What? How can you have a country with two portions divided by one thousand miles of foreign territory?" However much you tell them, you might show them maps, you might talk to them, people are not interested in knowing all that, in knowing where Pakistan is. people may be interested in knowing but not the ordinary run of people.

I remember after the police action in Goa, a lady got very annoyed with the idea that after preaching peace and peaceful methods we should go and take action against an island of Portugal. When we said that Goa is not an island, it is a part of India she said, "O, that changes the situation. That is a different matter."

Madam, you do not expect everybody in the world to know as much as a Member of Parliament knows about his own country. I would not say that we all know about other Secretary surprised that the Third country. I am not at all umo ino conntries as much as we know about did not know where Indore is. He must be a probationer and, maybe, after coming from one of the Northern States after his examination, after his probationership, he was sent Moscow as a probationer and naturally he sail that Indore is nearer Bombay than his home. And that is no crime. Certainly it is enough if he says that Indore is in India. is somewhere in India. Certainly if he knows that Indore is in India that is much better than if he says . . .

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He must have come from Kanpur.

SHR!MATI LAKSHMI N. MENON: That is right, Madam. I have come across post-graduate students from our Indian Universities. I have interviewed them. One of them did not know where Australia was tried to persuade him to give me the answer, at 'he end of it he said that it is on the west coast of India. That

2037 appointment of a Parliamentary

[Shrimati Lakshmi N. Menon.] does not mean that the whole educational system in India is bad, or the University in which he was admitted or where he was studying is really an inefficient University. We should not take these individual cases as an expression of national opinion

Now, some Members said that people go there and meet the personnel of the Government and even they discuss with them. They said have big public meetings. Madam, big public meetings like the ones that we have in the Pamlila Ground are not held elsewhere in the world unless it is for election purposes.

SHRI G RAMACHANDRAN Madam, may I interrupt for moment? I doubt whether there is quorum. There is decorum but no quorum.

SHRIMATI LAKSHMI N MENON. Madam, it is said that Members of Parliament and others who go abroad must meet the ordinary people in big Now, who is going to meetings arrange these big meetings I should like to know. And vhat guarantee is there that whatever you speak will be understood by the people, or they are going to take any interest in these things?

So, Madam, as I have said, the people who are manning the Information Service of our Ministry are not Section Offiers promoted are really people who have the capacity, the talent, the training and the proficiency in the work that they do.

Many of the things that have been said are quite true, and I agree that we do not have enough of people who know foreign languages to take control of the entire publicity. You must remember. Madam, that this Ministry had started functioning only after Independence, and if the recruitment of personnel in the early stages was on an ad hoc basis, it was because we had to pick up people who were available, whom we

thought would be able to do a man's job in the particular sphere he was asked to function. Now things are changing. Slowly we are getting better and better people We are organising ourselves better and better. But that does not mean everything is all right with us everything is not wrong either

Committee to

Now I come to the other point. We have, for instance, 42 Missions. Of these Information Officers, 30 have been recruited through the UPS.C Even now in 30 of our Missions the Information Services are handled by regular journalists recruited by UPSC. Now, Madam, one of hon Members said that one of reasons why these Press Attaches are ignorant, according to him, or the Information Officers are ignorant, according to him, is because they have been away for 10 to 12 years and they never get a chance of knowing what is hoppening in India. This is also wrong. After each posting the officer is called back to India and sometimes he is given a Bharat Darshan tour and is briefed fully before he is sent back again. After about one or two terms, he is posted at Healquarters for one Therefore I think those points term. are not properly presented at all.

About briefing our Missions, were told that one of the reasons why our propaganda has failed-I am sorry, I have to use that word again and again-is one, lack of coordination between the External Affairs Ministry and the I. and B. Ministry and the other, they say, is lack of coordination in other places. talk about lack of coorlination. This is not correct. There is proper cooperation. In fact there is absolute co-operation or co-ordination between the various Departments of the Government of India which deal with such materials For instance, there is continuous discussion with the A.I.R, with the Films Division, because the films for external publicity are handled by them, with the Publications Division and the P.J.B. These discussions take the form of day-toappointment of a Parliamentary

day consultations and periodic meetings. There is collaboration also with the Territorial Division of the Ministry and wim other Ministries and organisations, such as the Indian Council of Cultural Relations and the Education Ministry. One thing of course is rather unfortunate because external publicity through the A.I.R. is not in our control. It is really done by the I. and B. Ministry and there is scope for greater co-ordination, greater control and greater formulation of a uniform policy which would enable us to present the image of India better abroad.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: Why does not the Minister take that Division out of the I. and E. Ministry and put in her Ministry? What is the difficulty?

SHRIMATI LAKSHMI N. MENON: There are certain difficulties and you must address the I. and B. Ministry. For one thing, most of these things have been part of our legacy and these things have stayed where they were irrespective of the irrational way in which it has come to work now.

I think one of the speakers, in fact two or three speakers, pointed out that if we want to present a bright image of India abroad, we must be bright at home, that is, there must be more uniformity, more co-ordination, more unity, more agreement on policies, etc. Now India is a free count v. We have got freedom of the press and freedom of information and anybody who comes to India can see what is happening. They can see hear and know all our weaknesses and all our points of strength. In fact, if you ask my opinion, I would say that we need not spend any money on publicity at all. We have for instance, the foreign correspondents here. We have to control them better so that they may send out less biassed reports. Then we have the foreign Embassies here and they have all the freedom to gather whatever news they want. These are things

which you do not find in the East European countries or in the Latin American countries or in the South East and Asian countries where news is controlled, the gathering of news is not as free as it is in this country. Let us improve the image of India so that we can present a better image elsewhere.

Regarding the output of material, as I said, we do not do any propaganda. It is not our intention to do propaganda. Our intention is only to give them information. tance, there are morsecast transmission services twice a day. 5 000 words are sent abroad day giving all our Missons news of what is happening. There is a teleprinter service which covers about fortyeight Missions and th se Missions serve various other Missions around them and then of course there are press telegrams to those Missions where they do not have teleprinter link. To say that our Missions are not supplied with news and information is also not correct because enough information and enough news are given. One of the Members pointed out that in one place he found that they were not making use of material but even that is not now possible because we exercise stricter control over them. Therefore even that is not correct.

Nov. I come to the crux of the Resolution, namely, about having a Committee of fifteen Members of Pa.liament. Now what will the Members of Parliament do? For instance, we know the views of the Members because in the last thirteen years 1 have been sitting here and listening to the views of Members regarding external publicity and these points are noted by the Government, noted by the Ministry and they are discussed in the Ministry at these coordination meetings and we find out how best we can implement the suggestions made by the Members. This is being done year after year. of course we have the P.A.C. and the Estimates Committee which also take

[Shrimati Lakshmi N. Menon.] into consideration these points which are raised by the Members. Therefore what more can the Members of Parliament do by going round? That is the point. Now we have about seven teams of Members of Perliament who will be going. Two nave already gone and others are on their way and let us see what they will have to report when they come back. One thing I would like to tell you. People think that if a man from the public life or a team from the public life go to a new country, it will be able to do much more than the permanent diplomat who is stationed Now when they go, whom do they consult? Where do they get the information? From the permanent diplomat. With whom do they discuss? In the presence of the permanent diplomat with these officers.

How do we gain by it, I cannot understand. The idea that our . . .

SHRI ARJUN ARORA: Why are they being sent?

SHRIMA'TI LAKSHMI N. MENON: I 'o not know. You must ask the persons or authorities who are responsible for sending them. What I am trying to say is this. Perhaps it is good to promote goodwill. Perhaps it is to see more people coming and going and perhaps it will mean tha! we are still keeping our friendly relations with other countries; othe wise, there is very little more that can be done than by the Ambassador who is already posted there who is fully informed about the situation there. To think that because are service men they do not love out country or because they are service men they do not interpret our cou try properly, it is all being unnecessarily unkind because I would like to be so uncharitable as to that anyone of our officers or anyon: of the officers of the Government of India is less patriotic than any of us. Everybody tries to do his or her jub properly. May be they are not perfect After all we cannot have perfection. We can only try to be perfect and I think this is being done.

Therefore I do not think that Committee like the one suggested in the Resolution will have any value at all. Moreover among the Members themselves I found great disagro. ment because some of the Memb : did, in their intervention, say such a Committee may not be useful and the only reason why they have brought this Resolution is to get an opportunity to have a full-dress debate on external publicity. I think if they want to supplement this material, they should really have a debate on every Department of the Government because we have very little time for our Budget debate and other things and Private Members' Resolution time can be used to have a full-dress debate on each Department. I think I must say that our Ministry is unnecessarily harassed It always happens as if everything, the entire image India presented abroad . . .

SHRI ARJUN ARORA: Get help from the Ministry of Home Affairs.

SHRIMATI LAKSHMI N. MENON: . . . the entire thing is really dependent upon the one Ministry which not even an administering Ministry, which is only a representative Ministry, which tries to put out in the best possible way, the image of India and as I pointed out earlier, if the image of India at home is bright and shining, then we will have our job very easy indeed. We are a free country where we have freedom of information, where everybody has the right to think what he likes, say what he likes write what he likes, we are misunderstood because of the possibility of biassed reporting.

One more point before I conclude. People think that if we have officers who know the language of the place, then that country will be on our side. Now all the people who are in England are peole who know or speak

English and most of the people who are in Moscow are people who do not speak Russian and yet Russia is on our side all the time and England is not on our side but mostly against us. Why? Because the Russian policy is such that it wants friendship of In lia, wants the understanding of England does not care for it and therefore let us not think that bv having an External Publicity Division going on full force in publicising whatever we have to do is going to bring us friends. As Mr. Sen Gupta has said, let us not be so naive as to imagine that by putting out a pamphlets or giving a few interviews or writing a few articles we change the policies of a Government. I am sure Members of Parliament are mature enough to know that these are only adjuncts to spread information, not a method to change the policy of any country.

Thank you.

SHRI P. ABRAHAM (Pondicherry): Madam Deputy Chairman, I am very happy that the entire House has taken a keen interest in this Resolution of mine. Also I am grateful to the many hon. Members who have discussed at length the various weak points and suggested how our external publicity has to be reorganised to impress the nations of the world more effectively and purposefully with our stand, our policies. After prolonged discussion on the subject for two days, Madam, now my reply has become all easier in view of the almost unanimous opinion that our external publicity is lacking in initiative and drive and therefore has to be reviewed without further loss of time. I think that the Government are now well aware of all the criticisms and all the suggestions from the various sections of this House. Stress has been laid more particularly on the functioning of our embassies abroad, on the proper selection of our ambassadors, envoys, press attaches, and so on to function in foreign countries, on the dissemination of news, on the character or 965 RS-5.

tone of our publicity, on the coordination of the concerned Ministries, on the training of the information personnel and also on the setting up of a central agency to co-ordinate the activities of the External, Information and Broadcasting, and Defence Ministries, and so on. Now I do not wish to take more time over the details many of my learned colleagues have done full justice to the various aspects of this important subject, which has taken a considerably long time of this august House. The very purpose my Resolution to focus the attention of the Government on this subject of the day has been, I think, well served, as is evident from the almost animous support I received from almost all the Members who participated in this discussion.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA: If there is unanimity, let us put it to vote and find out.

SHRI P. ABRAHAM: Now, Madam, considering the present activities of our Government in this field and also taking into consideration the various steps that our Government is now contemplating, and also hoping that our viewpoints and suggestions will be considered seriously by the Government, I feel it is not necessary for me to press this Resolution. Therefore, Madam, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my Resolution.

The Resolution was, by leave, with-drawn.

RESOLUTION RE APPOINTMENT
OF A PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE TO EXAMINE THE FOOD
POSITION OF INDIA

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Resolution No. 2 by Shri Sitaram Jaipuria. You seem to be hesitating. Have you not made up your mind?