

parties are recognised on the basis of parliamentary elections but in States all depends on how a party fares in the State elections. But the paradox arises when a party recognised as an all-India party does not do well in the State as a party. For example, an all-India party may not do well in Goa or in some other Place. The all-India party should stand on its own feet as an all-India party leaving it to the party to show whether it is supported in the State or not But that is not being done.

SHRI A. K. SEN: I do not know; but I remember that the Jana Sangh case came up because they had an all-India symbol but in certain States they were not given the same symbol. Is it not a fact? That is my recollection. I was told having regard to the rules framed, in order to be eligible for a State symbol they have to be entitled by a certain percentage of votes in the State elections; otherwise they will not get the same symbols in the States. The rules were framed in 1961 and as I said if certain difficulties had occurred since the promulgation of these rules, I have no doubt that any reasonable representations will be attended to. There is no question of being unreasonable in this matter.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I thought I would exhaust the list. There are still three questions but the questioners are absent. The¹ Question Hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

दिल्ली में सहकारी समितियों को दी गई रकम का बट्टे खाते में डाला जाना

*421. श्री विमलकुमार मन्नालालजी
चौरङ्गिया: क्या सामुदायिक विकास तथा सहकार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) दिल्ली में सहकारी समितियों को दी गई रकमों में से किन-किन समितियों को

दी गई कितनी-कितनी रकम 1947 के वर्ष से 31 अक्टूबर, 1964 तक की अवधि में बट्टे खाते में डालनी पड़ी; और

(ख) उन रकमों को बट्टे खाते में डालने के क्या-क्या कारण थे ?

f [WRITE-OFF OF AMOUNTS GIVEN TO CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES IN DELHI

*421. SHRI V. M. CHORDIA: Will the Minister of, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION be pleas-ed to state:

(a) the names of the co-operative societies in Delhi in whose cases the amounts given to them had to be written off during the period from the year 1947 to the 31st October, 1964 along with the amount that had to be written off in each case; and

(b) the reasons for writing off the said amounts?]

सामुदायिक विकास तथा सहकार मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री बी० एस० मूर्ति) : (क) दिल्ली प्रशासन के सहकारी विभाग के माध्यम से दिल्ली की सहकारी समितियों को दी गई रकमों में से कोई भी रकम 1947 से 31 अक्टूबर, 1964 तक की अवधि में बट्टे खाते में नहीं डाली गई ।

दिल्ली प्रशासन के सहकारी विभाग के अलावा दूसरी संस्थाओं ने उन्हें सीधे जो रकमें दी हैं और 1947 से 31-10-64 तक की अवधि में बट्टे खाते में जो रकमें डाली गई हैं उनके बारे में जानकारी तुरंत उपलब्ध नहीं है ।

(ख) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठता ।

t[THE DEPUTY MINISTER m THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION (SHRI B. S. MURTHY): (a) No amounts

t[] English translation.

given to the co-operative societies in Delhi through the Co-operative Department of the Delhi Administration were written off during the period 1947 to 31st October, 1964.

Information regarding amounts advanced to them directly by agencies other than the Co-operative Department of the Delhi Administration and written off during the period 1947 to 31st October, 1964 is not readily available.

b> The question does not arise.]

ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR MINOR IRRIGATION

*422. THAKUR BHANU PRATAP SINGH: Will the Minister of FOOD AND AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government of India have recently sanctioned some additional funds for minor irrigation under the Grow More Food Scheme; and

(b) if so, whether any amount has been sanctioned for Madhya Pradesh?

THE MTNISTER OF FOOD AND AG-
RICULTURE (S«PT C. SUBBAMA-NIAM):
(a) and (b) Yes, ad! allocation of Central
assistance amounting lo Rs. 7-65 crores was
made in May-June. 1965, ic \ ' State
Governments for minor tion schemes. The
amount sanctioned for Madhya Pradesh was
Rs. 1 crore.

In view of the scope for further acceleration
of minor irrigation programme during the
current financial year, 1965-66, proposals for
making further additional allocations are
under consideration.

FARMERS DELEGATION TO U. S. A.

*423. SHRI BANSI LAL: Will the Minister
ot FOOD AND AGRICULTURE be pleased to
state:

(a) whether any delegation af farmers was
sent to the United States of America in 1965;

(b) if so, the names of the persons
included in the delegation;

(c) the basis on which the selection was
made; and

(d) whether any non-agriculturist
was included in the delegation and if
so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OP FOOD AND
AGRICULTURE (SHRI C. SUBRA-
MANiAM)r (a) Yes. Two such delegations
were sent to the U.S.A. in 1965, i.e., one
under the "International Farm Youth
Exchange Programme" and the other under
the "Farmers Exchange Programme."

(b) and (c) A Statement is laid on the
Table of the Sabha.

(d) One non-agriculturist, viz., Shrimati
Usha Deshpande, was included in the
delegation sent under the Farm Leaders
Exchange Programme in pursuance of a
special request from the Farmers and World
Affairs Inc., U. S^ A. since she looks after
American farmers coming to India under the
Exchange Programme and is directly incharge
of the Programme.

STATEMENT (b)

Names of the delegates	State to which they belong
<i>I. International Farm Youth Exchange Programme</i>	
1. Shri Malbhog Boruah .	Assam
2. Shri J. Govind Rao .	Andhra Pra- desh.
3. Shri B. N. Prasad Singh.	Bihar
4. Shri M. M. Joshi .	Gujarat
5. Shri K. P. Augustin .	Kerala
6. Kumari Hari Priya .	Himachal Pra- desh.
7. Shri Ram Pratap Thanna.	Madhya Pra- desh.