La la metal

श्री चन्द्र शेखर: मैं एक मिनट में हो खत्म करे देता हं। तो मै यह कह रहा था कि इस विल के पास होने के बाद जब कोठारी कमेटी फिर रिपोर्ट देगी तो क्या एक माडल बिल फिर ले आएगी ? मैं, श्रीमन्, ग्रापसे यह कहना चाहुंगा कि शिक्षा-संस्थायों में अनशासन के सवाल पर विचार कन्ते समय ज्यादा संजीदगी से, ज्यादा सोन-समझ कर ग्रोर भावनाग्रों से ऊपर उठकर इस सवाल को हल करने के लिये कोई कदम उठाया जाये तो उचित होगा और मैं माननीय शिक्षा-मंत्री जी से श्राग्रह करूंगा कि इस बिल को वापस लें। कोठारी कमेटी की रिपोर्ट ग्राने पर या किसी एक्सपर्ट कमेटी की रिपोर्ट से माडल बिल प्रस्तुत करें जो युनि-बर्सिटो की ग्राटानामी दे सके, जो विद्यार्थियों में अनुशासन के लिए प्रेरणा दे सके, जो बाइस चान्सलर को सद्वुद्धि दे सके कि वे श्रपनी जिम्मेदारियों को संभाल सके। धन्यवाद ।

## CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

STATEMENT MADE BY SHRI G. V. KETKAR REGARDING THE PLAN OF NATHU RAM GODSE TO ASSASSINATE MAHATMA GANDHI—contd.

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA): Sir, following the recent release of the three convicts of the Mahatma Murder Case, certain reports appeared in the Press which have caused intense pain and resentment. According to these reports, which have subsequently been confirmed by the State Government, a meeting was organised at Poona on November 12, 1964 to felicitate two of the convicts-Gopal Godse and Vishnu Karkare-on their release. Presiding over the meeting, Shri Ketkar, Editor of Tarun

Bharat, claimed that he had known of the intention of Nathuram Godse to assassinate Mahatma Gandhi and had conveyed this information to the late Shri B. G. Kher, the then Chief Minister of Bombay, through Babu Kaka Kanetkar. He is further reported to have said that he had made an attempt to dissuade Nathuram Godse from carrying out his intention. Shri Ketkar repeated the statement substantially at another meeting held on November 15, 1964 at Poona to mark the death anniversary of the assassin of Mahatma Gandhi. As both Babu Kaka Kanetkar and Shri Kher are now no more, it has not been possible immediately to verify Shri Ketkar's claim of having communicated his knowledge of the intention to assassinate Gandhiji to Government are making a thorough inquiry into the matter with the help of old records and in consultation with the Government of Maharashtra.

Whatever the truth or otherwise of this matter, the fact that the death anniversary of the assassin of one of the greatest men of all times, whose memory is highly venerated and cherished not only in this country but throughout the world, should be observed and that the other ex-convicts should be felicitated on their release at a public function has come as a profound shock to the Government and the people of this country. It is amazing that an act so revolting to human decency and the highest values cherished by this ancient land since the dawn of time should hold an appeal even to an infinitesimal section of our people.

Shri A. D. MANI (Madhya Pradesh): Sir, I would like to know from the Home Minister whether he has received a detailed report on what happened at the meeting. According to what has been published. Mr. Ketkar disclosed that for about three months prior to Gandhiji's murder, Nathuram "used to discuss with me the pros and cons" of his idea to kill Gandhiji. He was opposed to the idea and "used to

[Shri A. D. Mani.]

tell Nathuram to consider the consequences, both social and political." Mr. Ketkar said that after the first incident—this is important—(Madanla) had exploded a bomb at Gandhiji's prayer meeting a few days before the murder), Badage (who turned approver) had come to Poona and told him (Mr. Ketkar) of "their future plans." Mr. Ketkar added that he thus knew that they were going to kill Gandhiji. As Mr. Ketkar said these things, Mr. Gopal Godse asked him not to speak "more about it." But Mr. Ketkar said that "they will not arrest me now for that." Sir, I should like to ask the Home Minister and the Leader of the House, who happens to be here

SHRI P. N. SAPRU (Uttar Pradesh): I did not follow the last sentence.

SHRI A. D. MANI: The last sentence was this. As Mr. Ketkar said these things, Mr. Gopal Godse asked him not to speak "more about it." But Mr. Ketkar said that "they will not arrest me now for that." Sir, I should like to ask the Home Minister and the Leader of the House, who is an emi-Judge, whether under law the conspiracy to kill Gandhiji ended with the murder trial of Godse or the conspiracy is a continuing conspiracy. If some persons had known more about it, that fact should be ascertained from them by the Government. It is not a enquiry question of making an through the Government of Bombay. The person said that he knew about the murder plan. I would like to ask Home Minister whether attempt has been made by the Government of India to ask Mr. Ketkar to give all those details which he knows.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): Sir . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): There are some Members who had given the notice. Would you like to answer one by one or would you hear them all and then reply?

SHRI A. D. MANI: One by one, so that he may not get confused.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: you like, Sir. So far as this particular question is concerned, it is a matter not of my opinion, but what the proper legal course and possibilities would be and I understand that it should be possible to take action against a person for having been an accessory before the act. In that sense possibly the conspiracy would not have terminated at that point. But in this case how exactly that has a bearing situation, I am not able to say immediately. We are in touch with Maharashtra Government. So far now ascertained, the position is that this gentleman claims, of course, knowledge, but also that he had tried to dissuade this person and further that he had tried to prevent such a thing happening by giving an early intimation to some persons who could have done something about it.

SHRI ABID ALI (Maharashtra): In the notice which I had submitted, this was also mentioned. I had requested Government to kindly mention the their reaction to the receptions which are being organised for the ex-prisoners recently released. In this connection, I would also request the hon. Minister to let the House know about the action they contemplate with regard to what has been mentioned. In this connection, as he knows, a copy of the Bhagawat Gita and a picture of Lord Krishna, which were with the person who was hanged and a Bhaguva Jhanda of the Hindu Maha Sabha, which he had pinned on his shirt, when he was hanged, were also displayed at this meeting. The photo of the hanged Godse was decorated and displayed there. Sir, in this connection it is known that the Government of Maharashtra has sought the advice of the Government of India. know whether they have received their communication and what they contemplate to do in this behalf? The situation is full of anxiety very much, not

996

only of this Godse, but also the photo of Mao is being decorated and displayed at many places. It is a very serious question that such traitors should be going on in this way and their photos are displayed, decorated, garlanded and respected. The Government has to be alert. Of course, it is alert about it. But the House would like to know what is contemplated by the Government, so that such things are stopped.

## (Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHR M. P. BHARGAVA): One by one. Let him reply to this.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA From what we have known from both press reports and the other information that we have obtained, it is obvious that what occurred there at that reception can only be called in the nature of an atrocious manifestation of an ugly mentality bordering on insanity and I cannot characterise it in terris less severe. Now, what has to be done about it? What Government can do is merely one part. I see that there is a report that Mr. Naik, the Chief Minister, told pressmen here yesterday that the State Government would take necessary action against those who had recently arranged a public reception at Poona to felicitate Gopal Godse and Vishnu Karkare on their release from prison, etc.

So, it appears that the Maharashtra Government is considering this question.

The other part of the question was about the dangers, the hazards that it creates. I think the best answer to that certainly is an awakened community sensitive to all these happenings and therefore creating a widespread feeling against such manifestation. That social awakening is really the best and most adequate answer for this. Whether anything in the nature of a legal action is possible or not I cannot say, but we are in touch with the Maharashtra Government.

We have not received any communication from them, which the hon. Member referred to, but we shall certainly be in touch with that Government, and any information or help or advice that that Government seeks from us certainly we shall be prepared to give.

About the other thing which was brought into this question, I think there may be some other occasion to answer that unless the idea of the hon. Member is that there are instigations to violent activity which are associated with certain names. Wherever there is any violent activity, certainly we have to put it down or any effort or attempt or any kind of a feeling generated for that purpose.

SHRI CHANDRA SHEKHAR (Uttar Pradesh): Mr. Vice-Chairman, with your permission I may read only a few lines of the report that appeared in the Indian Express of November 15th:

"Several of those present offered pooja to a photograph of Nathuram Godse hung decoratively in an outline of undivided India. Those who offered pooja included Gopal Godse, Vishnu Karkare, both of whom were sentenced to life imprisonment and were released last month and a freelance journalist."

The report further says:

"Speakers on the occasion including Mr. N. G. Abhyankar, RSS leader, Mr. P. V. Davare and Mrs. Shantabai Gokhale said that Nathuram was a "martyr".

Mr. Abhyankar described Nathuram as a "true follower of Bhagwan Krishna and Chatrapati Shivaji".

I am more concerned about that aspect of the question. It is not only that certain people held a reception for Godse but these people are guilty of a criminal offence for perpetuating a cult of violence, a cult of political assassination that Nathuram Godse

[Shri Chandra Shekhar.]

represents. It is not a question people getting awakened as the hon. Home Minister has said. As it is a criminal offence under the present law of the land, why did not the Government move in the matter, whether it is the Maharashtra Government or the Union Government, to take action against those persons who are trying to glorify a person who is the symbol of political anarchy, who is the symbol of political shame? the supreme affront that the Indian nation can face that the assassin of the l'ather of the Nation is being glorified as a martyr and indirectly people are inciting that this cult of violence and political assassination should continue. It is a peculiar thing that the particular editor who is mentioned by Home Minister sends in a report to say that the published reports of that speech are generally correct. This is a clear confession. I wonder why the Home Ministry here and the Government of Maharashtra did not move in the matter and arrest all those persons. Those persons who are preaching only for economic interests of poor people, are being dealt with under the Defence of India Rules. But these murderers who are preaching political assassination are going scot-free, and it is a very sorry affair that the Home Minister should say that the people should get awakened and boycott these people and not see eye to eye May I know from the with them. Home Minister what positive, immediate action he is contemplating? Why was no action taken? This is a criminal offence under the present law of the land.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: If the hon. Member had followed my earlier reply, he would have found an answer to the question that he has raised. I said that whatever has to be done in the matter, legal action or whatever it is, that is being considered by the Maharashtra Government, and there is no question of any kind of consideration being given to

any person who is culpable in this matter. I said that in addition it is the community which has to resist and to see to it that such things are not possible.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir. there are clearly two things with which we are concerned here: (1) disclosures about the plan to murder Mahatma Gandhi that were made at that particular meeting by Mr. Ketkar; (2) the organisation of the reception itself. With regard to the first, I should like to make the following submission. I should like to know the position of the Government in the matter. At time of the assassination of Gandhiji there was a feeling abroad that there had been some dereliction of somewhere, that he had not been given the protection which should him. Now this is a been given to startling revelation after all that. person publicly states that the intention to murder was communicated to him and that he had also communicated the same through an intermediary to the then Chief Minister of Bombay State, and this report he has owned up-and Mr. Ketkar is there, others may not be there. Am I to understand that in view of the circumstances of the case and having regard to the grave misgivings that we had in 1948 when Gandhiji fell to the assassin's bullet, all that have to do is to find out things from old records? Is it not a fit case for a very high-powered enquiry into the whole revelations that had been made in order to find out whether and in what manner the information was received, the communication about the intention was received by Mr. Ketkar, what he did later on. to whom he went, and so on? I think that if it had been so talked about at that time, the wouldbe murderer coming and talking to Mr. Ketkar, it is tantamount to an admission that the matter had been discussed in a conspiratorial manner amongst others also. What was the Bombay Government doing at that time? We would like to know whether the Bombay Government and the

Central Government and the Central intelligence had any inkling or indication with regard to such things. This is very very important.

I think it is not enough for Union Home Minister today, after the startling revelation that had made by Mr. Ketkar, to say that the records will be looked into. The country would like to be assured of a thorough, searching enquiry into the entire episode, the entire circumstances in order to find out whether there was a deliberate dereliction of duty on the part of some people, whether some people in high authority suppressed it after having got the news through Mr. Ketkar directly or indirectly. This is what I would like to know. Therefore, I would suggest here a high-powered enquiry into the whole matter.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): You have made your point about a high-powered enquiry.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I cannot imagine this matter being treated in this manner, the manner in which the Central Government now wants treat it. Our suspicions have been roused again, the country's suspicions have been roused again. I do not know whether Mr. Ketar had been summoned here to make his disclosures or whether the Home Minister sent emissaries to Mr. Ketkar to get statements from him as to what he knew at that time, what he did, and so on. Therefore, I charge the Government of India of not moving in this matter with the alertness and agility that are called for in a situation of this kind. Secondly, with regard to the reception, Mr. Naik's statement had been brought in. What Mr. Naik said is rather shocking. Mr. Naik made a statement. He said that it was not organised by any party but by only some individuals and asked, "Why blame the party for some individuals' action?" and so on.

Now, am I to understand that the Bombay Government is going to deal

with this matter properly? First of all, we would like to know whether the Government of Mr. Naik knew about the proposal for holding a reception which was held on the 12th. We know from the newspapers that invitations were sent, arrangements had been made prior to that date, and certainly there is a thing called Intelligence there, the State Intelligence, the Central Intelligence. What were they doing? Did know that the plan was afoot in order to organise a reception to felicitate the murderers of Mahatma Gandhi on the 12th of November? Arrangements were made. And you see, the very organisation of the meeting would show that lots of things had been done. It was not suddenly done or too secretly done that the Government could not have known about it. Therefore I take it that the Government was in the know of things, that some such thing was going to take place. Why did not the Government act before it was late? I would like to know whether the Central Government was sent any report, what the Central Intelligence or its subsidiary Bureau or whatever it is there was doing in Bombay, what the Intelligence of the Bombay Government was doing, what the administration in Poona, its police and CID were doing. We would like to know these.

matter of urgent

public importance

Secondly, when the meeting was in progress, there was no interference whatsoever; it went on. Do I understand then that, when the news that the meeting was in progress, that the reception was being held, reached the Government of Bombay did not send any police or officials of the Government to watch that particular meeting and find things out? I would like to know that thing also. If they were present, what were they doing? If they were not present on behalf the Government of Bombay or Poona Administration or the Poona authorities, why were they absent? Therefore, there also we have very strong grounds to complain against the Bombay Government. I therefore [Shri Bhupesh Gupta.] demand that the Central Government should take the initiative in this matter wholly and fully and must not leave things in the hands of Mr. Naik. Mr. Naik's statement is utterly disappointing. He has shown utter incompetence in this matter. He did not act even when the meeting was in progress nor had he acted after the thing was over. Now we are told that some action will be taken after so many days. Yet, it was open to them to act immediately.

Therefore, I think that this is a very serious matter, this holding of meeting. Imagine Mahatma Gandhi is not just one person killed in India among persons killed. It is not the case of an ordinary assassin or a political assassin. Mahatma Gandhi was killed and the killers were these people. There are no two Mahatma Gandhis, there have not been two. And we cannot think of such monstrous killers and assassins as we say this particular case, and this is how the Government of India is reacting to this matter. And the hon. Minister says, the nation's conscience will be roused. Yes, the nation's conscience has been roused. But we should like to know what the nation is getting from the Government of India and the administration of the State Government which are financed by the nation. I should like to know whether they, after having failed to protect Mahatma Gandhi's life. are today going to allow these kinds of things. All these things have to be explained.

SHRI ABID ALI: And also about Mao.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): The Home Minister.

SHRI ABID ALI: Do not forget him.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: If the intensity of feelings on a subject is to be judged only by the pitch of one's voice, he may score over me but I cannot, I do not have words to say. But personally, I can myself feel it. I think as if that scene of murder is being enacted before us. again when I read about that meeting and the description of what occurred there. But what is to be done? This question arose in some form even at the time of the trial. I have before me the judgment of the High Court. When this point was raised -not in the context of any information that this gentleman got or gave to anybody-it was then urged that some persons in authority in the Bombay Government then had acted in time. This question was very thoroughly dealt with, examined, and the Court pronounced that all was possible in those circumstances was done. Therefore, I am taking the one point which the hon. Member raised, as to what the Goverament then did. There are specific pronouncements on that subject. The Maharashtra Government and ourselves are in close contact about We have instituted, this started, initiated a search into the records and everything possible, anything, clue that could be obtained from it, will certainly be obtained and similarly, the Maharashtra Government are also engaged in this. Whatever it may lead to, whatever kind of enquiry is left to be pursued, well, that is going to be taken up. And as far as any action by the Maharashtra Government is concerned, as I said. Sir, we are awaiting the reply of the Maharashtra Government.

Shri D. THENGARI (Uttar Pradesh): On behalf of the Jana Sangh, I strongly condemn the statement of Mr. Ketkar and the functions held at Poona on the 12th and 15th of this month. The Jana Sangh would support every move of the Government of India when it deals firmly with all the culprits who believe in violence as a method of revolution, whether those culprits proceed from Poona or Telengana.

Then there are certain questions, Sir. Is the Government aware that Mr. Ketkar . . .

(Interruptions)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Go on with your tions.

SHRI D. THENGARI-Is the Government aware that Mr. Ketkar subsequent to his statement that has been referred to resigned from the ed torship of "The Tarun Bharat'?

An Hon, MEMBER: Yes.

(Interruptions)

SHRI A. D. MANI: Let ask him questions.

SHRI D. THENGARI: Again, mav I know whether Mr. N. G. Abhyankar whose statement has been referred to has resigned from the Rashtriva Swavam Sewak Sangh after this statement?

Again, Sir, may I know whether the Government is aware of the statement by Mr. M. G. Kanitkar, son of Mr. Balukaka Kanitkar, in the course of which he stated that the Government forewarned was bv Balukaka Kanitkar and was urged to take precautions?

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: the hon. Member chooses to give this information.

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI (Macras): I would like to know whether the Government has enquired into fact of the allegation that he given that information to the late Mr. B. G. Kher. Has the Government got anything definite to say on that matter? And has the Home M nister found out anything from the records of the Central Government to say that such information was received by the Central Government?

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: It is now available; there is no clue to that at all.

SHRI B. K. P. SINHA (Bihar): The statement of Mr. Ketkar, if reported correctly, splits up his knowledge into two parts. The first part was when he was informed in the beginning, he

vaguely had the notion that there was a vague idea-in their mind to murder Mahatma Gandhi, Secondly, when there was the bomb explosion. then he became definite that the plan was going to be executed. I would like to know whether the communication that he sent was in between these two stages or after he had formed the definite opinion after the bomb blast that there was a definite plan to murder Mahatma Gandhi. was that information sent? Was there anything specific and definite in that information that a full-fledged plan. had been hatched and was going to be executed to mu**rd**er Mahatma Gandhi? That is part number one.

matter of urgent

public importance

Number two is this. I hope that the hon. Minister for Home Affairs aware that while in civil law a period of limitation is prescribed for action. under the Indian criminal law no period of limitation is prescribed prosecutions for criminal actions. the circumstances, does he realise that even now, whether 17 years or even 70 years might have elapsed, if crime has been committed, people can be brought before a court of law and tried and punishment can meted out to them?

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: shall endeavour to obtain information on the aspects mentioned by the hon. Member. With regard to the latter part of it, I have already myself stated that it should be so.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Mr. Abdul Ghani, you will get your chance. Please sit down.

SHRI G. RAMACHANDRAN (Nominated): The Home Minister's ment was full of very high sentiments. I listened with profound regard to the fine statement he made. I only missed one thing in that statement, and' that was the quick ring of Even later, when some hon. Members from this side challenged the Home-Minister, he said, "Yes, this is true. But the whole problem is what shall'

[Shri G. Ramachandran.]

we do?" That is just the crux of the whole problem. Мy friend, Chandra Shekhar, said it is not the particular event that rouses distress and unhappiness and, Ι strong may say so, resentment in the minds of the people. How did this happen? Му friend, Mr. Bhupesh Gupta, with whom for once I thought I was in agreement today-had a passionate ring in what he was saying and the manner which he brought up the subject. He analysed the matter there must have been the notice of the meeting, there must have been preparations for the meeting. He analysed the whole thing like a lawyer, brilliantly,

Some Hon. MEMBERS: He is a lawyer.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): What is your point, Mr. Ramachandran?

SHRI G. RAMACHANDRAN: I am coming to that.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Please make your point. Do not repeat what has already been said.

SHRI G. RAMACHANDRAN: One of the major things he mentioned has to be repeated that the statement of the Chief Minister of Maharashtra was wholly disappointing, and something has to be done with that kind of a statement. I want to go a little deeper into this matter. Many years after the event this thing comes anything up again. If it reveals it reveals this that there is a cancer in the body-politic of this country. It is persisting and it is going to persist unless something done about it. What can be Home Minister •done? The may stake penal action. But I say just penal action is not going to solve this problem. It is a problem of the culture and conduct in the national life of a great people. What has become absolutely necessary is to study this

phenomenon? Who are behind this whole thing, this whole phenomenon, because it appears there people keeping this cancer alive in the body-politic of India. We have to approach this problem-and if may say so with our Education Minister sitting here-from an educational angle, This is an educational problem. Have we educated the youth of Maharashtra and India against this cancer? Have we educated our young, people to reject this kind of poison in the life of our people? Let us organise a project of study. Let the Education Minister take it up. Let the Home Minister take it up. Let there be a complete study of this phenomenon in Poona and roundabout. On behalf of the Gandhi Peace Foundation, of which the Chairman is sitting here, and of which I have the honour to be the Secretary, we offer to associate ourselves, not in order to take any penal action, not in order to punish anybody, but to go into roots of the matter to find out why this phenomenon is persisting in the life of a section of our people. If we do not do that, we will be guilty of high treason against the people and Republic.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): Any reply?

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: It does not call for any reply because I fully reciprocate the sentiments and that is what I started with. I agree, Sir, that this poison persists. There is evidence of it concentrated somewhere and thinner somewhere else, and it has to be dealt with by governmental action whatever possible.

SHRI G. RAMACHANDRAN: If you knew that this poison was persisting, what did you do about it?

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: We took several steps, for example, national integration, emotional integration and also dealing with communalism, rapid communalism from whatever source it comes and in whatever form it comes. I have been trying

to study this And I think the hon Member and the institution which he represents, along with others, will, initiate a big movement in the country. And we shall be all in it

Shri DEOKINANDAN NARAYAN (Maharashtra) May I know, Sir, if the Government is aware that at the reception meeting there was reac the will of Mr Godse? Will the Government secure that will and place t on the Table of the House? There is another thing After the will was read out, oaths were taken that they would further the expectations of the oath That means, the persistence of the cult of Mr Godse That is my one question

My another question is that Mr Ketkar, who was the editor of Tarun Bharat, has not resigned but he was made to resign But I would like to know if Mr Ketkar was not the editor of Kesari when Mahatma Gandhi was murdered And before that event, were not articles irritating the people written by Mr Ketkar, though not exactly encouraging the murder of Mahatma Gandhi but spreading disaffection against him

Shri ABID ALI Hatred not only disaffection

SHRI DEOKINANDAN NARAYAN
I withdraw the word "disaffection"
It should be "hatred" Replace it by "hatred"

The third question is when his event, this reception took place according to my information, more than 500 cards were distributed. How is it 'hat the police did not come to know of them' And when that meeting was held, it was attended by not less than 300 persons. How is that the police intelligence department could not come to know of it?

My fourth question is that Shri Thengari referred to Shri N G Abhyankar who attended the meeting He was and he is the leader of RSS He resigned In his resignation he has stated that he is resigning simply because his speech there may not embarrass the position of RSS Not that he has given up his views. He has stated therein that his views are there, and that whatever he spoke in the meeting at his correct views. But he said that he was resigning simply because his speech might no embarrass the position of RSS.

And my last question is Will the Government when it goes into a thorough enquiry, also go into this matter that how far RSS was connected with this event when its leaders were present there and they also took active part in it

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA I am grateful o the hon Member for some information given which was a repetition of what other Members had said.

Shri R S KHANDEKAR (Madhya Pradesh) I want to ask one or two questions Firstly, may I know, Sir, why these wo convicts were released when their application was pending in the Supreme Court? Is the Government not aware of an earlier Suprement not aware of an earlier Suprement of their claims? Therefore I want to know why they were released at all Why did the Government not wait for the final disposal of their application in the Supreme Court?

Secondly—this is a repetition—may I know, Sii, whether the Government have found out from their records where it was suggested, during the trial also and it appeared in the newspapers also, that the Maharashtra Government had referred the matter to the Central Government? They had also prior information about this May I know Sir, whether the Government have examined their old record after it appeared in the newspapers?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M P BHARGAVA) Please repeat your second part of the question

SHRI R. S. KHANDEKAR: The first part of the question is why they were released. The second part is there were reports in newspapers that even the Maharashtra Governmentthen Bombay Government referred the matter to the Central Government, I should like to know whether the Government of India have searched their old record regarding this matter. As the hon, Minister said, something of this came in at the time of evidence during the murder trial. But apart from it, whether now the Government have searched their own old record and found out whether they had received prior intimation from the Maharashtra Government and, if so, what did they do with that information?

Shri GULZARILAL NANDA: About the first part of the question, this release occurred as in the normal course. Under the present rules whatever is the normal action that was taken.

Secondly, about the other thing, the information which the hon. Member wants—he referred to the judgment—I have already stated, Sir, that we are trying to search for any piece of information that may be available in this connection.

PROF. M. B. LAL (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, I wish to ask two or three questions. Mr. Ketkar has tried to prove his innocence by two statements. The first is that he tried to dissuade Godse from committing Mr murder, and the second is that communicated the news to some one in authority in Bombay, that a thing like that was going to happen. But the fact that he presided over the meeting indicates that he is not so innocent as he tries to prove himself to be. Just now a Member of Parliament also revealed to us that at that time he had written a number of articles inciting against Mahatma Gandhi. Therefore, I feel, Sir. that he cannot be taken as a very itmocent person.

poin' that I wish The second point out is this. Now we know person who says he knows about the conspiracy. Is it not time for us to insti'ute another enquiry and force him to place all the facts before that enquiry committee and institute thorough enquiry into the conduct of those who are noted to be involved in this matter? Sir, as a Member of Parliament, I am not prepared to condemn the then Government of Bombay outright. But I do feel that an enquiry is needed. I have great regard for Mr. Kher who was then the Chief Minister of Bombay and I am perfectly sure that he must not have been a party to any complacency in matter. But his this own name requires to be cleared and a thorough enquiry has to be made so that it may be possible for us to say that while such a horrible incident happened, that man who was associated with Mahatma Gandhi for so many years, should not be accused of complacency in the matter. If unfortunately, being engaged in many other matters, got himself involved in complacency, then the poor man, with due respect to him, will have to suffer from the verdict of that enquiry. I feel that the question is not merely that of the Government of Bombay. The question is of those who were involved in that conspiracy, and I feel that in the United States of America and other places high-power enquiries are held after many years, when a clue is found out.

The second thing to which I wish to invite the attention of the Government is whether this reception assassins and the worship of assassins is allowed under our law or is not allowed under our law. This is a very important question. The question is whether a person who had assassinated another person and has convicted by a law court for assassination, can be welcomed, the way he and whether welcomed was there persons who are sentenced for assassination by a competent court can be defied the way Mr. Godse was deified on that day. And just now a person asked. "Why not?" Perhaps he was saving it as a joke. But if it is a fact, then I would request the Government to look into the matter, whether the law needs to be revised in this respect or not. The hon. Home Minister is in no way less concerned than myself in this matter. the Home Minister was more closely associated Mahatma Gandhi than I was,-still 1 am sorry to say that he has not given us the impression that he wishes to move in the matter with the necessary speed.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: How do I move? I cannot move out to him and shake hands with him. Anyway, as I said, I am moving, I agree that my glorification of such hideous crimes is certainly a heinous act, if not a My hon, colleague crime in itself. sitting here may know more about the precise intent of the law on the subject. But I say it is hideous, this glorification of such murders and such acts, any act of assassination of any person-nothing to speak of a person like Mahatma Gandhi.

Regarding the other part of what he said, about his suggestion that he has made for an enquiry, certainly it is being vigorously pursued. I would only rather request hon. Members and say let us not anticipate. He said something may go against Mr. Kher . . . .

Prof. M. B. LAL: I am not anticipating. I wish his position to be cleared.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA It is not necessary. Whatever is needed is going to be done in this matter

PROF. M. B. LAL: Will it be possible for the Leader of the House to give some opinion on the legal aspect of the question?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHR M. P. BHARGAVA): This is not the occasion. Mr. Gujral.

SHRI I. K. GUJRAL (Delhi): While I am one of those who have been considerably satisfied with the feelings expressed by the Home Minister, I only like to remind him that when Gandhiji was murdered a particular organisation was banned because we felt that it was giving way and giving expression to certain trends in which are not healthy for democratic growth. Later on that party was again legalised on the understanding that they had given up their methods. Now a prominent leader of theirs goes and delivers speeches and participates actively and perhaps took over himself. Later on he resigns from party. The question I wish to put Sir, is this. In view of the fact that such extreme communal parties have for long past given vent to have expressed their views with hatred, have even preached hatred, would the Government now consider taking active steps against their modus operandi. particularly the indoctrination which they do to the young children in the mornings? Would the Government see to it that this particular type or cult, not only of hatred but of Fascism, is now nipped in the bud. On this incident I will just read out what has appeared in a local Urdu paper It is like this:

دد یه تقریب نتهو رام کاتس ارر نارائن آیتے کی جنہیں کاندھی جی کے مقدمه قتل میں سزائے موت دی گئی تھی ۔ ۱۹ ویں سالانہ بوسی کے سلسله میں ملعقد ھوئی تھی ۔ کئی اشتخاص نے جو اس تقریب میں موجود تیے نتھورام کاتسے کی تصویر کی یوجا کی ۔ ان میں گویال کاتسے وشتر کرکرے اور مستر اے ۔ جے کرماکر جرنلست شامل تھے ۔ نتھو رام کی وصوحت پڑھ کر

[Shri I. K. Gujral.]

سلائي - جسمهن لکها تها - ميري راکھ سبز جھنڈے کے نہجے انہیں بلکہ بهگوے جهلدے کے نهجے رکھ کر دریائے سندهه مهن پرواه کی جائے - اس موقعہ پر وشنو کرکرے ہے۔ بھکوت گیتا -بهگوان کرشن کی تصویر منتصفه هندوستان کا خاکه اور هندو مها سبها كا بهدوا جهندا تقريب مين پيص كيا -اس موقعہ پر تقریرین کرنے والوں میں راشتریہ سویم سیوک سنگھ کے لیڈر شرى اين جي ابههلکر - شرى پي - وي داورے اور مسو شائتا بائی گوکھلے شامل تھیں - انہوں نے کہا کہ نتھو رام شہید تها - شری ابهیلکر نے کہا کہ علیه رام بهگوان کرشن اور چهتريني شيوا جي کا سجها بهكرت تها - كويال كوتس اور کرکرے نے جیل میں ایلے کچھ تجربات بهان کئے - وو

ं ['यह तकरीब नथूराम ोडसे श्रौर नारायण श्राप्टे की जिन्हें गांधी जी के मुकदमा कतल में सजा -ए-मौत दी गई थी । मोलवी सालाना बरसी के लिससिल मे मुनक्कद हुई थी । कई श्रशखाश ने जी इस तकरीब में मौजूद थे नथूराम गोडसे की तस्वीर की पूजा की । इन में गोपाल गोडसे, विष्णु करकरे श्रौर मिस्टर ए० ज० करमारकर जर्नलिस्ट शामिल थे । नथूराम गोडमे के एक छाट भाई ने नथराम की वसीयत पढ कर मुनाई । जिस मे लिखा था, मेरी राख सब्ज झडे के नीचे नहीं बल्कि भगवें झंडे के नीचे रख कर दरयाये सिन्ध में प्रवाह की जाये। इस मौके पर विष्णु करकरे ने भगवत गीता, भगवान कृष्ण की

तस्वीर मृत्तह्दा हिन्दुस्तान का खाका और हिन्दु महासभा का भगवा झंडा तकरीं व में पेण किया । इन मौके पर तकरीरें करने वालों में राष्ट्रीय स्वय सेवक सम के लीडर श्री एन० जी० अभयकर, श्री बी०वी० दावरे, और मिसिज णान्ता बाई गोखले गामिल थी। उन्होंने कहा कि नथूराम जहीद था। श्री अभयंकर ने कहा कि नथूराम भगवान कृष्ण आरं छनरपति शिवाजी का सच्चा भगत था। गोपाल गोडसे और करकरे ने जेल में अपने कृष्ठ तज्वांत ब्यान किये। '']

Sir, the simile may not apply, but I only want to bring to your notice that whenever Fascism . . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): What is the name of that paper?

SHRI I. K. GUJRAL: Pratap, dated the 17th November.

SHRI P. N. SAPRU: An enquiry should be made.

Shri I. K. GUJRAL: Whenever Fascism is allowed to raise its head in democratic countries, these are the beginning symptoms, and if democracy does not rise in good time and curb them, then it becomes dangerous. I suggest that the Government should take strong action in this matter. I should also like the Home Minister to assure us that not only will he take strong action but 'hat it will not be left to the State Government alone and that he also intends to see that such communal parties which are the breeding grounds of hatred and Fascism are also dealt with properly.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: The question of communal parties is being considered separately. The parties which promote communal hatred is a separate question which has to be considered.

<sup>+[]</sup> Hindi transliteration.

شرى عبدالغلى (پلجاب) : كيا

آريبل هوم منستر صاحب بتائين كے ج باہو جی شہود کئے گئے تھے تو اس وقت شای جے پرائس جی نوائن نے باپو جی کی شہادت کے سلسلہ میں کچھ بیان دیئے تھے اگر دئیے تھے تو اس دو سینتول گورنمنت نے کیا انکوائری کی تھی ۔ دوسرتے جو باپو کو شہید کرنے والے میں ان کی اس سبها میں جہاں کہ ان کی تعریف کی گئی تھی اس کے پہلے کوئی مضمون ایسا لتربیجر سیفترل کو نمذت کے ساملے آیا جس میں گو**تیے اور دوسروں کی بو**ی تعریف کی گئی تھی تو اس سے سينترل كورندنت يا مهاراشتر كورنمنت نے کیا ایکھوں لھا ۔ نیسوے یہ سب كجه گورو گوالكر جو راشتريه سوئم سهوك سنئه کے نیتا میں ان کی پردھان مذاری جی کی ملاقات کے بعد ہوئی -اگر بعد هوئي تو اس سلسله سهي پردهان منتری جی کی گورو گول والکر جی سے بات چیت هوئی نهی -

ं श्री ग्रब्द् लगानी (पजाव) : क्या तव ग्रानरेबल होम मिनिस्टर साहब बतायें गे जब वापू जी शहीद किये गयं थे तो इस वक्त धी जय प्रकाण जी नारायण ने बापू जी की शहादित के सिलसिले में कुछ ब्यान दिये थे ग्रगर दिये थे तो इस पर सेन्ट्रल गवर्न मेंट ने क्या इन्क्वायरी की थी। दूसरे जी बापू को शहीद करने वाले है उन की इस सभा में जहां कि इन की तारीफ की गई थी उस के पहले कोई मजबून ऐसा लिट्रेचर सेन्ट्रल गवर्न मेंट के साम ने ग्राया जिस

म गोड से श्रोर दूसरों की बडी तारीफ की गई थी तो इस समय सेन्ट्रल गवर्न मेट या महाराष्ट्र गवर्न मेट ने क्या ऐक्शन लिया। ते.सरे यह सब कुछ गुरू गोलवाल्कर जो राष्ट्रीय स्वय सेवक सघ के नेता है इनकी प्रधान मत्नी जी की मुलाकात के बाद हुई। श्रगर बाद हुई तो उस मिलसिले में प्रधान मंत्नी जी की गुरू गोल-वाल्कर जी से जान चीत हुई थी?]

श्री गुलजारी लाल नन्दा जो तहकीक यौर तशखीस होगा उस के श्रन्दर इन सब बातो पर गौर किया जायेगा ।

شری عبدالغنی: میں یه پوچهنا چاهتا هرں که ان کی گورو گولوالکر جنی سے بات چیمت هوئی تهی یا نهیں -

ं[श्री श्रब्दुलग़नी मैं यह पूछना चाहता हू कि इन की गुरूगोलवत्वर जी में बात चीत हुई थी या नहीं ?]

प्रो॰ मुकुट बिहारी लाल जब इल्क्वायरी होगी तो प्रधान मोत्री जी से यह बात पूछी जायेगी।

شری عبدالغنی : نہیں پوجھی جائیگی پردھان منتری جی ہے -

†[श्री **श्रव्युल ग़नी**ः नहीं पूछी जायेगी प्रयान मवीजी सं]

شریمتی انیس قدوانی ( انر پردیش ) : میں آنریبل منستر صاحب کو بدلانا چاهتی هوں که انغاق سے ۱۹۲۷ کے اس مہیله اور وه دن میری آنکھوں کے سامنے

## [شويمتي أبيس قدوائي]

گذرے هيں - کانگريس سيوا دل سے مهرا تعلق رها هے - کانگریس سیوا دل کے لوگوں نے مجھے بتایا که کاندھی جی کے قدل کونے کی اطلاع ہمیٹی سے آئی ہے اور یہاں پر تین آدمی انہیں مارنے کے لئے آئے میں اور یہ خبر گورنمذہ آف اندیا کو دے دى كُنُى تهى - صهرا مطالبه يه هے که اس ساوا سال پرانے قصه کو جو گاندھی جی کے مرقو کا تھا اس کی اب آپ کو پوری پوری انکوائی کر دیدی چاهئے۔ اگر اننی سی بات سامنے آئی ہے تو نہ معلوم کتنی اور باتان ساملے آئیں کی –

فاوسرن جهز مهن يه كهاا جاهتي هوں که شبی گرڈسے کو کی لوگوں نے فانْنَفْس كيا تها - أس بات كو بهي إنكوائري مين شامل كر ليا جانا چاهئے۔ ان دنوں دلی بمبئی اور گوالهار سے ایسی انفارمیشن ملی نہی جس سے یہ شبہہ ہوتا تھا کہ ساری اسکیم بمبدئی میں بدائی گئی تھی۔ اور اس کے بعد جو لوگ آئے ولا وہا سے آئے -میں صرف یہی عرض کرنا چاہتی نهي -

†शिमती ग्रनीस किदवाई (उत्तर प्रदेश ) : मैं ग्रानरेबल मिनिस्टर साहब को बतलाना चाहती हुं कि इत्तफाक से १६४७ के इस महीना ग्रीर वह दिन मेरी ग्रांखों

के सामने से गुजरे हैं। कांग्रेस सेवा दल से मेरा ताल्ल्क रहा है। कांग्रेस सेवा दल के लोगों ने मुझे बताया कि गांधी जी के कतल करने की इतला बम्बई से ऋाई है श्रौर यहां पर तीन श्रादमी इन्हें मारने के लिए ग्राये है ग्रीर यह खबर गवर्नमेंट भ्राफ इंडिया को दे दी थी। मेरा म्तालबा यह है कि इस सत्तरह साल पूराने किस्सा को जो गांधी जी के मर्डर का था इस की अब आपको पूरी पूरी इन्क्वायरी कर देनी चाहिये। ग्रगर इतनी सी बात सामने श्राई है तो न मालुम कितनी ग्रीर वातें सामने ग्राएंगी।

दूसरी चीज मैं यह कहना चाहती हूं कि श्री गोडसे को किन लोगों ने फाइनांस किया था। इस बात को भी इन्ववायरी में शामिल कर लिया जाना चाहिये। इन दितों दिल्ली बम्बई श्रौर ग्वालियर से ऐसी इनफार्मेंशन मिली थी जिस से यह शबह होता था कि ये सारी स्कीमें बम्बई में बनाई गई थी और इस के बाद जो लोग ग्राये वे वहां से ग्राये। मैं सिर्फ यही ग्रर्ज करना चाहती था।

SHRI N. SRI RAMA (Madras): Sir, the crux of the problem is this. I would like to know what organisation or organisations were behind the reception, or what political organisations had organised the reception to these assassins of Mahatma Gandhi. That is the most important thing, Sir, that this House should know. And when the information about the political organisations that organised this reception is known, what action is proposed to be taken to deal with these organisations? I would like to know.

SHRI P. N. SAPRU: Mr. Vice-Chairman . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): There are others. Your turn will come.

<sup>†[ ]</sup> Hindi transliteration.

GULZARILAL NANDA. Shri Whatever is necessary will be done

श्री गिरिराज किशोर कपर (मध्य प्रदेश): क्या माननीय मत्री जी यह बतलाने की कृपा करेंगे कि राष्ट्रियता की हत्या के १७ साल बाद भी जनता को राष्ट्रपिता की हत्या का पूरा राज नहीं बतलाया गया है ? क्या इसलिये नहीं बतलाया कि जो शासन कर रहे हैं वे जब चाहें तब जिस के नाम पर उनकी हत्या का आरोप लगा कर अपना राजनीतिक प्रचार पूरा करे ?

दूसरी बात मै यह पूछना चाहत ह कि वह उमचा किस का था जिससे जीकी हत्याकी गई थी? मरकार वह तमंचा मिला, वह गोली भी मिली, लेकिन उसका राज जनता को म्राज तक क्यों नही बतलाया गया ?

तोसरी बात मैं यह पूछना चाहता ह कि स्राज जब कि देश में फिर ऐसी चीज हो, तो यह देश का दुर्भाग्य ही है कि बापू जी के हत्यारे की देश मे पूजा हो। हमारा जो गृह मत्रालय का विभाग है, उसका जो इटिलिजेन्स डिपार्टमेट है श्रीर यह जो सर-कार है वह सिर्फ यह कह कर कि हम इन्क्वायरी करेंगे, इन्क्वायरी करेगे, सदन को विश्वास मे नहीं ले सकेगी। क्या हमारे गह-मला जी हमको कोई ठीक समय बतलायेगे जब कि मुल्क को यह बतला दिया जायेगा कि ग्राखिर महात्मा गाधी जी की हत्या का परा राज क्या था ग्रौर जो भी ग्रिधि हारी होंगे, उनको बगैर किसी कंसिडरेशन के पुरापूरा दंड दिया जायेगा। स्रौर तब ही देश की सुरक्षाहो सकती है।

श्रो गुलजारो लाल नन्दा : इस बडे ग्र**फ्सोसनाक मामले मे जिस पर बहुस हो** रही है एक अच्छी चीज मालुम होती है कि मेम्बर साहब ने जो कुछ कहा, जिन जजबात का इजहार किया, जिन भावनात्रा को दर्शाया, वह एक अच्छा चिह्न है। तो भ्राज जरूरत इस बात की है कि जो प्रापने कहा कि इस वक्त क्या हो रहा है उसका ग्रसर ग्रागे वाकयात पर पड सकता है । इसलिए उनकी पार्टी ग्रौर सब पार्टिया इस बात मे शामिल हो जायेगी कि हम ऐसी कोई चीज नहों होने देगे जिसका ग्रसर भविष्य मे पडे, तो बहुत ग्रन्छी बात होगी।

matter of urgent

public importance

श्री उद्धवराव साहेबराव पाटिल (महा-राष्ट्र) : मैं हुकुमत से यह जानना चाहता ह कि महात्मा गाधी जी का जो कत्ल हम्रा वह पाकिस्तान के वजुद में ग्राने के बाद जो लोग ग्रखड भारत बनाना चाहते थे, जो ग्रखड भारत के कहने वाले है, उनमे से ही चन्द नौजवानो ने यह कन्ल किया थ।। इसलिए जिन लोगों ने कसम खाई थी कि ग्रखंड भारत होकर रहेगा, तो क्या हकुमन को ऐसे लोगों के बारे मे पूरी पूरी जानकारी है ग्रीर उनकी जो रोजाना की एक्टिविटीज है उन पर वह कडी नजर रखनी है या नहीं ? क्योंकि न सिर्फ पुना में यह ह'लचल हैं बल्कि इन ख्यालात का इजहार हर प्रान्त में किया गया है ग्रौर वे लोग ग्रपने को ग्रखंड भारत का बतलाते है ग्रौर इस तरह के लोगो का साथ देते है। तो ऐसे लोगो पर कडी नज़र रखी जानी चाहिये चाहे उन्होंने ग्रार० एस० एस० से रिजाइन क्यो न कर दिया हो, मगर उनका स्नार्गनाइजेशन हर प्रान्त मे है ग्रौर वह काम कर रहे है। इसलिए मेरी हक्मत से यह दरख्वास्त है कि इस तरह के लोगों के ऊपर कड़ी नजर रखी जानी चाहिये।

थो गुलजारो लाल नन्दा नजर रहनी चाहिये ग्रीर मिर्फ ग्रागे फैमला करने की बात नहीं है। जहां तक मेरा सम्बन्ध है, मैं इस चीज को बहुत गम्भीर समझता हू स्रीर इस पर हम कडी नजर रख रहे है।

Shri P N SAPRU. Chairman, what I would like to say is that the matter is of a most serious character and the inquiry should be

matter of urgent public importance

of a high-powered character. It would be desirable to have a judicial inquiry or quasi-judicial inquiry such as they had in the United States under the chairmanship of Chief Justice Earl Warren into the assassination of President Kennedy. I think the inquiry should not be left in the hands of the police only.

SHRI GULZARILAL NANDA: This will be kept in view.

SHRI LOKANATH MISRA (Orissa): Mr. Vice-Chairman, as I gather, Mr. Ketkar has been naming two persons, who are no more to whom he had passed on the information. One is Mr. Kher and the other is Babu Kaka Kantekar. But both of them are no more and it may be that he is trying to get away by saying that he had passed on the information to persons who can never now be consulted about the mat'er about whether he had passed on any such information. Because he knew that the things were developing according to the designs of the murderers, did he think it proper to pass on the information to the Centre? Or, is there any evidence here at the Centre now to show that he absolved at least himself of responsibili v of keeping secret such an important information? This is one The second is whether the meeting in Poona had taken place in a public premises or in a private premises. If had taken place in a public premises I think the Maharashtra police failed completely in their duty as they did not obstruct it. (Interruptions) I would charge the police for not having prevented such an objectionable assembly carrying on objectional proceedings in a public place. If Intelligence Department had failed, the police should not have failed. The District Magistrate should not have failed. The S.D.O. should not have failed because they were all persons could have taken action on the spot. What happened to them? Why was this particular meeting, which was thought to be objectionable, not prevented? Why was such an unlawful assembly allowed to proceed with such an objectionable affair?

Shri GULZARILAL NANDA: These questions have been raised by other Members also, but I must add this that whatever may be said by this gentleman in defence, that he had communicated this information, there is no answer to this that he again associated himself with a similar function subsequently. Therefore it reveals his spirit.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. P. BHARGAVA): The House stands adjourned till 11 A.M. tomorrow.

The House then adjourned at five of the clock till eleven of the clock on Wednesday, the 25th November, 1964.