

"To advise the management of the undertaking on questions relating to training of new starters, apprentices, workers on transfer and promotion etc."

Now, Sir, our Ministry held the view that he was required to advise us as to the training of workers on transfer and promotion and not as to the transfer and promotion of the officers, and this view was upheld by the Law Ministry and the Home Ministry, and later on the Labour Ministry modified their instructions.

**श्री नवाबसिंह चौहान :** क्या माननीय मंत्री जो यह बतायें कि यह क्लेरिफिकेशन खास तौर से उनकी मिनिस्ट्री को ही भेजा गया है या यह आम तौर से सरकुलेट किया गया है जैसा कि पहला सन् १९५७ का आर्डर सरकुलेट किया गया था ?

**SHRI ANIL K. CHANDA:** At least I do not know whether it was generally circulated, Sir, to the other Ministries. So far as we are concerned, we raised this point and we were told that it was entirely left to our discretion.

**पेकिंग के पत्र द्वारा भारत के आर्थिक विकास की आलोचना**

**\*३५३. श्री नवाब सिंह चौहान :** क्या योजना मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार का ध्यान ८ अक्टूबर, १९६१ के "टाइम्स ग्रॉफ़ इंडिया" में प्रकाशित एक लेख में पेकिंग के "ए स्टडी आफ़ इंटर-नेशनल प्रॉब्लम्स" नामक एक पत्र से उद्धृत उन अंशों की ओर दिलाया गया है जिन में भारत के आर्थिक विकास को चीन के आर्थिक विकास के मुकाबिले में थोड़ा, अमरीका पर आश्रित और श्रमिक वर्ग के लिये लाभ न पहुंचाने वाला बताया गया है; और

(ख) यदि उपरोक्त भाग (क) का उत्तर 'हां' हो, तो इस सम्बन्ध में वास्तविक स्थिति क्या है ?

†[PEKING JOURNAL'S CRITICISM OF INDIA'S ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

**\*353. SHRI NAWAB SINGH CHAUHAN:** Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the portions quoted from a Peking Journal entitled "A Study of International Problems" in an article published in the "Times of India", dated the 8th October, 1961, in which it is stated that India's economic development is slow as compared to that of China and that it is dependent on America and is not beneficial for the working class; and

(b) if the answer to part (a) above be in the affirmative, what is the actual position in this regard?]

**अम तथा सेवा नियोजन और योजना**

**उपमंत्री (श्री एल० एन० मिश्र) :** (क) और

(ख) विदेशी पत्रिकाओं के लेखों के इस प्रकार के उद्धरण तुलना के उपयुक्त आधार नहीं हो सकते ।

†[THE DEPUTY MINISTER OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT AND PLANNING (SHRI L. N. MISHRA): (a) and (b). Such extracts from articles in foreign periodicals do not provide a proper basis for comparison.]

**श्री नवाबसिंह चौहान :** क्या माननीय मंत्री जी को निजी तौर से या सरकारी तौर से कोई इस प्रकार की सूचना है कि चीन सरकार की तरफ से इस तरह से जो प्रोडक्शन के क्लेम्स होते रहते हैं वे बड़े इनफ्ले टेड होते हैं, जैसे कि एग्रोकल्चरल प्रोडक्शन के बारे में उन का क्लेम है हालांकि वह कागज़ पर ही और वहां पर अकाल पड़ रहा है और पानी की बहुत कमी है ?

श्री एल० एन० मिश्र : कुछ लोग वैसा ही कहते हैं और भिन्न भिन्न लोगों के भिन्न भिन्न विचार हैं ।

INDIA'S APPROACH TO WESTERN POWERS  
FOR ASCERTAINING THEIR INTERESTS IN  
THE CONGO

\*354. SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY:  
Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased  
to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government are in touch with the Western Powers with a view to ascertain their interests in the Congo; and

(b) if the answer to part (a) above be in the affirmative, what are the replies so far received?

THE MINISTER OF INFORMATION  
AND BROADCASTING (DR. B. V.  
KESKAR): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: May I know, Sir, the attitude of Western Powers, notably Great Britain, France and the United States of America towards a unified Congo? Do they want a unified Congo or do they want to disrupt it? I also want to know what their attitude is towards the mercenaries that are said to have been employed by the Katangan Government, whether this information is available at the disposal of the hon. Minister.

SHRI JAWAHARLAL NEHRU: It is difficult for us, Sir, to give a precise reply about the attitudes of other Powers, but broadly speaking, the United States of America has been supporting, is supporting the U.N. action there and holds that the Congo should be a unified country. That, I think, has given us enough indication of their views. In actual fact they are not only verbally supporting, but by equipment, the U.N. action.

So far as the United Kingdom is concerned, they have stated quite clearly that they believe in a unified

Congo and are not in favour of partition or secession. But a controversy has been raised, and is going on still, as to how far they have themselves carried out their policy in practice. Evidently there is a difference of opinion in regard to that matter between the United Kingdom and some other countries, also the United States of America.

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: I want to know the attitude of France towards the united Congo question.

SHRI JAWAHARLAL NEHRU: I cannot say. I do not remember seeing a precise definition of that attitude. But there have been statements made that sometimes there has been some help forthcoming from France for those in favour of secession.

SHRI N. SRI RAMA REDDY: It is reported in today's papers, Sir, that Brig. Rikhy has stated that bombs manufactured by the United Kingdom were found in the hands of Katangan military personnel. If this is read with the refusal of delivery of bombs to the United Nations Forces as promised by Great Britain, will we be right to conclude that Britain is sabotaging the United Nations' efforts in Katanga in the Congo, Sir?

SHRI JAWAHARLAL NEHRU: I would be reluctant to make any remarks which is not substantiated. I do not know about this discovery of bombs, British bombs, with the Katangan forces. I have not seen this anywhere but as I have just stated, there is a difference of opinion between the U.K. Government and other Governments including that of the U.S.A. in this matter. It appears that they do not wholly approve of the U.N. action against the Katangan forces.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: May I know if the Prime Minister's attention has been drawn to the report in the "Hindustan Times", from its own correspondent in London, saying that now