enclosing wall, the rest of the filling and earth work will be done by 'Shramdan' of the locality". We have certain examples from Saurashtra and Gujarat also. You might have noticed that in the new line Hindumalkot-Shri Ganganagar, the Panchayat Parished of Rajasthan has offered to do all the earth work for the entire line and construction of the railway stations and the platforms. I have taken up this question as an experimental measure, and if we succeed in this, it will be a great example for the whole country. I have mentioned this because there are many things which can be done very cheaply if voluntary co-operation is forthcoming from the locality. All of you here are representatives of public opinion in your parts, and if you emphasize the importance and usefulness of these effects, perhaps we will be able to satisfy the demands of the .people to a great extent.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1959-60 for the purposes of Railways, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken the into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause by clause consideration.

Clauses 2 and 3 and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: Sir, I move:

"That the Bill be returned."

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question

"That the Bill be returned."

The motion was adopted.

THE APPROPRIATION (NO. 3) BILL, 1959

THE DEPUTY MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRIMATI TARKESHWARI OINHA): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, 1 beg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for th« authorisation of appropriation of moneys out of the Consolidated Fund of India to meet the amounts spent on certain services during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1956, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

This Bill arises out of the Demands for Excess Grants relating to the year 1955-56, voted by the Lok Sabha on the 4th May, 1959. Copies of the Demands were circulated to the hon. Members of this House on the 29th April, 1959. The reasons which led to the excesses have also been explained in the footnote below each Demand on Appropriation.

As the hon. Members themselves are aware, these excesses are, in the first instance, required to be examined by the Public Accounts Committee and it is only after the Committee have looked into the facts of each case and recommended their regulari-sation that the matter is brought before Parliament. The Public Accounts Committee have, in their ninth and tenth Reports, recommended the regu-larisation of these excesses. I do not, therefore, propose to take the time of the House to explain these excesses in detail except briefly to mention that out of a total number of 143 Grants and Appropriations for the year 1955-56, excesses occurred only in 9 Voted Grants and 8 Charged Appropriations. The total excesses amount to Rs. 107

[Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha.] lakhs which is about -03 per cent, of the total final grants and appropriations of Rs. 374661 crores for the year.

Appropriation

I might also state here that every effort is made to avoid or reduce such excesses to the minimum, but cases do arise sometimes either as a result of book adjustments made after the close of the year or otherwise when such excesses become unavoidable.

Sir, I move.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to provide for the authorisation of appropriation of moneys out of the Consolidated Fund of India to meet the amounts spent on certain services during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1956, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO (Andhra Pradesh): Mr. Deputy Chairman, in this debate I wish to raise the matter about the situation that is obtaining in some of the newspaper concerns. Of course, Sir, it can be broadly related to Demand No. 62 wherein the question of publicity and display comes up. In our country the newspapers instead of solely serving the nation by disseminating news, have become a sort of big business and a sort of big capital investment for financiers. One such group of concerns is the Express Newspapers Limited. I need not go into the history of this concern. The whole country is aware of how from a very humble beginning, it has become today a multi-million organisation operating its own newspapers from Delhi, Bombay, Madras, Madurai, etc. In the beginning, the management found it to its advantage to start new branches so that they could evade the tax on the profits that

accrue at one centre and distribute them to other places. Now, when this organisation is beginning to earn dividends at various places, suddenly the management thought that it would not be in the interests of profits to continue these newspapers under one and the same management. So a fine 'device is thought ofto evade taxes; to escape their commitment to pay better wages to the working journalists by coming into the next category and to allow all the benefits that have so far accrued to the workers by working in such a big company as such to lapse'. Sir, the Express Newspapers Limited in Madras, I think, will come under the 'B' category of newspapers. Now suddenly they want to split up this concern into two or three. They publish two Telugu papers—one a daily and the other a weekly. These are . . .

Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This is Appropriation Bill for excess grants, for moneys spent in 1956.

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: The money was spent on publicity; it was spent to give publicity in such newspapers. We are feeding them from the publicity funds. That is my point.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We are not concerned with the newspaper at all. If you have got to say anything about the amounts spent in 1956 by the Information and Broadcasting Ministry, certainly it will be relevant. Please be relevant to the Bill.

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: In five minutes' time I am going to finish.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You can take a little more time. But let us be relevant.

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: It is relevant in the sense that this money which we are spending on publicity is being spent to give publicity, to give display advertisements to a

SHRIMATI TARKESHWAR! SINHA: On a point of explanation, Sir, this publicity is not that type of newspaper publicity at such. It is, the visual publicity for Five Year Plan etc. It forms part of the I. and B. Ministry. It is not that thing he is referring to.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You will please be relevant. They do not refer to it.

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: That is relevant to it.

SHRI P. S. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU (Madras): Sir, the matter is sub-judice. It is pending in the High Court. It will be highly improper to talk anything about it now.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Is it subjtidice?

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: Indian newspapers . . .

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Anyway, we are not concerned with it.

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: I am not saying anything against its closure or for its closure.

SHRI P. S. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU: But the matter is pending in the High Court.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That 19 another point which we ought to consider. Anyway, we are not concerned with the Indian Express.

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: That is only in regard to these things. Today, there is a practice on the part of newspaper owners to split up their concerns and transfer them to benami

people and even to tneir own sons, saying that it is a different concern, exactly to evade the tax to the exchequer and to deprive the working journalists and other workmen who are working under them and to deny the lawful wages that are to be paid to them according to the new Wage Board Regulations tha: are going to come. It is exactly against these things that benami transfer are taking place i today. And unfortunately we recognise newspapers as a business concern, as a sort of private enterprise, as a source to make profits, as a capitalist, not as a source from which news could be disseminated impartially. It is against such things that the Government must come out and come out boldly. It is unfortunate sometimes that even the regulations of the Press Act are completely violated. I know, Sir, just on the 22nd April, a newspaper publisher goes to a District Magistrate . . .

(No. 3) Bill, 1959

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You are persisting in the same thing. Please read Demand No. 62 which refers to the Director of Advertising and Audio-visual Publicity. Demand No. 64 is something about Song, and Drama and Film Division. If you have anything to remark on these you must say.

SHRI V. PRASAD RAO: Publicity is publicity. If you say that, I will keep quiet. I will not touch it at all.

There is another aspect about this audio visual publicity. The Information and Broadcasting Ministry has promised that it would take up the Film Institute during this year. We want to know when this Film Institute is going to come into being, whether there is a proposal now to start it this year or whether it is going to be postponed to the next year? We want to be assured about the type of course that is going to be introduced in this Film Institute. If it *s not going to start this year, when it is likely to start?

شرى فريد التحق نصارى (اتر

يريهر): مستر دپتي چيرمين -

میں نے محتومہ کی ابھی ا پیچ سلى - ميى كوئى فائنينشيل ايكسپوت

هم سے اس خوب کو مانلے کے لئے لجازت مانگے تو یہ کچھ ٹھیک معلوم نهين ديتا -

श्रो टो॰ पांडे (उत्तर प्रदेश): किसकी स्पीच ग्रापने सुनी?

لپیں هوں مگر منجهے

شرى فريد النحق انصارى: محترمه

استيتمنت أف أبجيكتس ايند ريونس ميں يه جو لکها هوا هے که

"... to provide for the appropriation out of the Consolidated Fund of India of the moneys required to meet the expenditure incurred in excess of the appropriation charged on the Fund. . .

اس کو پوھه کر کے مجھے بہت ھی تعجب هوا اس لير كه معمولي طريقه پر اگر اس پر غور کیجئے تو یہ هوتا هے که جتنا خرج کا اختیار پارلیمنت نے گورنمذے آف اندیا کو دیا تھا اس خرچ سے زیادہ انہوں نے خرچ کو دیا يعنى ليكل الفاظ مين مس إيرو پریشن کیا - معمولی کوئی شخص اکر اس طرح سے کسی ڈیپارٹمنٹ میں خوچ کر دیتا تو میرے خیال میں آپ اس کے خلاف فوراً مقدمه چلاتے اور اس کو سؤا دیتے - اب اگر اس طرح سے گورنمات أف اندیا جنا پارلیمنت اس کو اجازت دیتی هے خرچ کرنے کی اس سے زیادہ خرچ کرتی رہے اور پھر پارلیمنٹ میں آکو

بہر حال میں اس بل کے آئٹم نعبر ۲ کے متعلق کنچه کہذا چاھتا هوں - ولا بھی اس لگے که میں نے ابهی ایک سوال کیا تھا اور جو جواب مجه ملا هے اس کے سلسلہ میں آب بهی کنچه عرض کرنا چاهتا هون که يه جو پوست اينڌ تهليگراف کا دیپارٹینٹ ہے جس کے ذریعہ ممارے شہریوں کی ڈاک سینسر کی جاتی ھے اور تمپر کی جاتی ھے اس کے متعلق ضلع مظفر نگر کے پترکار سقام نے ۷ سکبی سلتہ ۱۹۵۸ کو وہاں کے پوست مائد کو ایدا ایک ریزولهوشون بهینجا لیکن اس شخیت کا کوئی جواب نهیں آیا که شهریوں کی ذاک اور خطوط کو کیوں سلسر کیا جانا ھے - جب اس کا جواب نہیں آیا تب ملستر صاحب کو بھی لکھا گیا ليكن ٢٣ اگست سنه ١٩٥٨ كو وهان سے بھی کوئی جواب نہیں آیا - اگر واقعى اس كا جواب اتفا أسان تها جیسا که منستر صاحب نے کیا ہے کہ میل کا میرنگ صحیح نہیں ہے -"Allegations were not found correct" اگر اندا آسان جواب تها تو ميرے خيال ميں ان کو اس ميں کوئی دقت نہیں ہوئی چاهیئے تھی که جن لوگوں نے شکایت کی تھی ان کو ایک هفته کے اندر و ایک مہینه

طرح سے برباد ہو رہا ھے ، اس کو کنتریکتوس اور پی - ذہلیو - ذبی - کے لوگ ہرباد کو رہے عیں - اسی کے ساته ساته یه بهی غور کینجئے که اتلی ہوی بلڈنگ کھوی ہو جانے کے بعد جب بهی کوئی معمولی بارش یهان دئی میں ہوتی ہے تو ہم کو یہ پته چلتا هے که کسی بلدنگ کے نیمے کا فلور پانی سے بھر گیا اور جالمے كافذات تهے ولا سب تباہ و برباد هو كيُّ ، خواب هو كيُّ ، ساياناس هو گئے - دلی ات**نا پرانا** شہر ہے ، هدووں نے بھی اسے آباد کیا مسلمانوں نے بھی اسے آباد کیا ، لیکن آج تک اس شہر میں بارش سے نہ اس قدر نقصان هوا ، ثم فاق آیا ، ثم اس طوم کی کوئی تباهی آئی - همارے سینتول پی - قبلهو - آبی - کے جو نئے نئے انجنیر نکلے هیں انہوں نے آج اس سنة ٥٧ - ٥٨ اور ٥٩ مين اور اس سے کنچھ پہلے والے سالوں میں جو بھی عمارتیں کھوی کی ھیں بغیر سوچے ، بغیر پلان کئے ہونے ، بغیر یه دیکھے هوئے که وهاں کی زمین برابر ہے یا نہیں ، نیچی اونچی ہے یا نہیں جس سے کہیں نقعے پانی

نه چلا جائے ، عمارتین کہوی کر دی

هين - اس كا مظلب صرف ييسة

خرچ کروا دیائے سے سے - اور پرسینٹیم

لیلے سے ہے۔ اس لئے میں اس

دیماند کی مخالفت کرتا هوں ـ

کے اندر جواب دے دیتے نہ کہ ۷ مئی سله ۱۹۵۹ء تک اس جواب کو روکے رکھتے - اس شلسلہ میں جب پوست آفس کے افسروں سے زبانی بات کرتے۔ هیں تو وہ کہتے هیں که یہ معامله تو تھیک ہے مگر یہ تو ہوتا ہی رھنا ھے -

Appropriation

डा॰ रघुवोर सिंह (मध्य प्रदेश): आपके खत कहीं रासतो में गुम तो नहीं हो गए?

شرى فريد الحق الصارى: كوثني جواب نهین دیا - بر

श्रोमश्री तारकेशवरी सिनहा : इस 'डिमांड से उसका कोई ताल्लुक नजर नहीं श्राता है । एवसेस डिमांड का जहां टक सवाल है यह दूसरी बातों से ताल्लुक रखता है।

شرى فريد الحق انصارى: پهر حال يه جو ۱+۱ تيمانة سول وركس کے متعلق ھے اس کے جواب میں میں عرض کروں که شاید منجترمه یہ کہیں ک<mark>ہ اس کا ڈیمائڈ سے</mark> ہ خرچوں سے تعلق نہیں ھے لیکور ميري عقل ناقص مين يه خرچا، بھی جو سول ورکس پر ھی ھوتا ھے پائیسی میں داخل هو کا - جو بوی بوى نهايت عاليشان بلذنگين بن رهی هیں ، همارے هاؤس کے ارد کرد ہی رہے میں ۽ ان کو دیکھئے تو آپ کو یته چلیگا که ملک کا روپیه ، اتنی محقت ومشقت كاروبية كس بري †[श्री फरीदुल हक श्रन्सारी (उत्तर प्रदेश): मिस्टर डिप्टी चैयरमैन, मैने मोहतरिमा की श्रभो स्पीच सुनी । मैं कोई फाइनेनसियल एक्सपर्ट नहीं हूं मगर मुझे--

श्री टो॰ पांडे (उत्तर प्रदेश): किसकी स्पीच आपने सुनी?

श्री फरीदुल हक ग्रन्सारी : मोहतरिमा की----

स्टेटमेंट आफ ओब्जेक्ट्सएण्ड रीजंस में ये जो लिखा हुआ है कि

"... to provide for the appropria tion out of the Consolidated Fund of India of the moneys required to meet the expenditure incurred in excess of the appropriation charged on the Fund

इसको पढकर मझे बहत ही ताज्ज्ब हम्रा इसलिये कि मामली तरीके पर अगर इस पर गौर कीजिये तो ये होता है कि जितना खर्च का ग्रक्तियार, पालियामेंट ने गवर्नमेंट ग्राफ इन्डिया को दिया था इस खर्च से ज्यादा उन्होंने खर्च कर दिया यानी लीगल ग्रल्फाज मैं मिसएत्रोप्रिएशन किया । मामुली कोई शरूस अगर इस तरह से किसी डिपार्टमेंट में खर्च कर देता तो मेरे ख्याल में आप उसके खिलाफ फौरन मकदमा चलाते ग्रीर उसको सजा देते । ग्रब ग्रगर इस तरह से गवर्नमेंट ग्राफ इंडिया जितना पालियामेंट उसको इजाजत देती है उससे ज्यादा खर्च करती रहे ग्रीर फिर पालियामेंट में ग्राकर हम से इस खर्च को मानने के लिये इजाजत मांगे ये कुछ ठीक मालम नहीं देता।

बहरहाल में इस बिल के आइटम नं ६ के मृतिल्लक कुछ कहना चाहता हूं। वो भी इसलिये कि मैंने अभी एक सवाल किया था और जो जवाब मुझे मिला है उसके सिलसिले में आज भी कुछ अर्ज करना चाहता हं कि ये जो पोट्ट एण्ड टेलीग्राफ का डिपार्टमेंट है जिसके जरिये हमारे शहरियों की डाक सैंसर की जाती है और टैम्पर की जाती है उसके मुतल्लिक जिला मुजप्फरनगर के पत्रकार संघ ने ७ मई, १६५८ को वहां के पोस्ट मास्टर को भ्रपना एक रेजलेशन भेजा लेकिन उस शिकायत का कोई जवाब नहीं आया कि शह-रियों की डाक श्रीर खतुत को क्यों सैंसर किया जाता है। जब इसका जवाब नहीं ग्राया तब मिनिस्टर साहब को भी लिखा गया---२३ ग्रगस्त, १६५६-वहां से भी कोई जवाब नहीं ग्राया। ग्रगर वाकई इसका जवाब इतना ग्रासान था जैसा कि मिनिस्टर साहब ने कहा है कि मेल का टैम्परिंग सही नहीं है 'Allegation were not found correct" अगर इतना ग्रासान जवाब था तो मेरे रूपाल में उनको इसमें कोई दिक्कत नहीं होनी चाहिये थी कि जिन लोगों ने शिकायत की थी उनको एक हफ्ते के अन्दर एक महीने के अन्दर, जवाब देते। ना कि ७ मई, १६५६ तक इस जवाब को रोके रखते। स सिलसिले में जब पोस्ट श्राफीस के श्रफपरों से जबानी बात करते हैं तो वे कहते हैं कि ये मामला तो ठीक है मगर ये तो होता ही रहता है।

डा० रघुबीर सिंह (मध्य प्रदेश): ग्रापके खत कहीं रास्ते में गुम तो नहीं हो गये।

श्रो फरीदुल हक ग्रन्सारी : कोई जवाब नहीं दिया ।

श्रामती तारकेशवरी सिनहा : इस डिमांड से उसका कोई ताल्लुक नजर नहीं आता है। एक्सेस डिमांड का जहां तक सवाल है यह दूसरी बातों से ताल्लुक रखता है।

श्री फरीदुल हक श्रन्सारी: बहरहाल ये जो १०१ डिमांड सिविल वर्कस के मुतिल्लक है उसके जवाब में मैं अर्ज करूं कि शायद मोहतिरिमा ये कहें कि उसका डिमान्ड से, खर्ची से ताल्लुक नहीं है लेकिन मेरी अकल नाकिसः में ये खर्ची भी जी सिविल वर्कस पर ही होता

^[] Hindi translation.

है पोलिसी में दाखिल होगा। जो जो बडी-बडी निहायत आलीशान बिल्डिगें बन रही हैं, हमारे हाउस के इदं गिर्द बन रही हैं उनको ेखिये तो ग्रापको पता चलेगा कि मल्क का रुपया इतनी मेहनत और मुशक्कत का रुपया किस बरी तरह से बरबाद हो रहा है उसको कंट्रैक्टरस ग्रीर पी० डब्ल्य्० डी० के लोग बरबाद कर रहे हैं। इसी के साथ साथ ये भी गौर कीजिये कि इतनी बड़ी बिर्लिडग खडी हो जाने के बाद जब भी कोई मामली बारिश देहली में होती है तो हमको ये पता चलता है कि किसी बिल्डिंग के नीचे का पलोर पानी से भर गया ग्रीर जितने कागजात थे वे सब तबाह बरबाद हो गये, खराब हो गये, सत्यानाश हो गये। हला इतना पुराना शहर है.हिन्दुओं ने भी इसे आबाद किया, मसलमानों ने भी इसे ग्राबाद किया लेकिन थाज तक इस शहर में बारिश से ना इस कदर नकसान हम्रा ना पलड ग्राया ना इस तरह की कोई तबाही आई। हमारे सैंट्रल डब्ल्य ० डी ० के जो नये नये इंजीनियर निकले हैं उन्होंने ग्राज इस सन् ४७-४= ग्रीर सन ५६ में और इससे कुछ पहले वाले सालों में जो भी इमारतें खडी की है बगैर सोचे, बगैर प्लान किये हये बगैर ये देखे हये कि वहां की जमीन बराबर है या नहीं, नीची ऊंची है या नहीं, जिससे कहीं नीचे पानी न चला जाय इमारतें खडी कर दी हैं। इसका मतलब सिर्फ पैसा खर्च करवा देने से हैं और परसेंटेज लेने से है इसलिये में इस डिमान्ड की मुखालकत करता ह।

Appropriation

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): Mr. Deputy Chairman, I would request you to refer to Demand No. 64 which deals with the Song and Drama Unit. Many Members might feel: How is it that I speak on a subject of this kind? But my point is that in this matter the Government firstly is not spending enough, and secondly they are not properly using the funds. And we expect from the Government that in these two years

or so, there should be some development in the institutions. For instance, there is a demand for a national theatre in various States. This demand should be seriously considered by the Government and accepted. I think, more or less, we are all agreed that the Government should play a more important part in promoting culture,. fine art and so on. We have got our all-India institutions. But then it is necessary to take these things to the various States and even down below. This is point number one

(No. 3) Bill, 1959

Secondly, we are very glad to find that sometimes provisions are made for such items as these. But I do not see as to why this Dramatic Performances Act of 1876 should not be repealed. This gives powers to the police to censor various things. If I have to dramatise something I have to put it before the Censor, even Ranbindranath Tagore's play. There is unanimity of opinion in the country among the dramatists and artistes that this Act, which the British enacted, should be repealed. The Government should consider it; otherwise I think we cannot promote merely by allocating funds for these things.

Now, Sir, talented artistes should be encouraged and we should go out in search of talent from among the people. That is most important. Somehow or other we have confined our activities within, what is called, the existing set-up, and we do not go beyond. The result is not enough artistes are coming or are being cultivated.

Then, Sir, kindly refer to the other item, Demand No. 24-well, I wanted to say one or two words about the External Affairs Ministry but I had better not.

Now, Sir, I come to Demand No. 88 — Government collieries. Some funds have to be found in order to meet the excess requirements because there have been some additions to the

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta.]

foreigners, who have come here and I think money when such demands for excess grants that he did not contradict it. would not be necessary to ask for.

Now, Sir, let me come to another item and I think it is the Home Affairs. I would not give any sensational story at all this time. As you see, some moneys are required by the Government but what we would say is that as far as the Home Ministry is concerned, considerable sums of money are sanctioned every year and in that year also a lot of money was sanctioned. Why should not they find money from internal economy, I cannot understand, in order to avoid such kinds of demands.

Now, Sir, in this connection I would say that as far as the Home Ministry is concerned, it was possible for that Ministry to find money. I will take this opportunity to remind you of what I said in the last session when we dispersed on the last day and you were good enough to describe it as my tactics but I was not using any

[tactics. I mentioned as to how some ' funds of capital at charge. Now, for the development of the Home Ministry were being spent to run a these industries we would be all willing to paper by the Intelligence Branch. Now that was allocate funds. Our opinion is known on that exactly in this period in 1955-56 and that was score. But it is no use telling that there has the boom period of that expenditure and been an increase in the capital at charge in misappropriation of funds. Sir, I mentioned it on 1955-56. When telling us you must say as to the last day because until that night before I was what you did in order to arrange internally the not in the possession of the written signed finances in such a manner that such a charge document. That is why what looked like a becomes unnecessary. Sir, I do not think that surprise I had to spring where. Otherwise I would in such undertakings the Government should have brought it before. Sir, before I left I gave it come with excess demands for meeting these to Comrade Hiren Muk-herjee of the other small demands of expenditure. What they House. The matter was raised there and copies should do is to find the money by economy were passed on to the Home Minister and I had and by expansion of business. I have already personally talked to the Finance Minister about said in this House that we can earn a lot of it. Now, all these days; have passed. No one has money by running our mines properly and also contradicted I so far what I said. The Home in the trade of oil and so on. I understand Minister was there. He never contradicted discussions are going on between the because he knows he cannot contradict it, authorities here and some oil chiefs, some because I am in possession of certain interesting things, because the moment he contradicts I will we would be in a position to find our feet soon come out with interesting documents. He is a in the distribution of oil and make some $_{\mbox{\scriptsize Very}}$ shrewd intelligent ma_n and I am very glad

.(No. 3) Bill, 1959

SHRI AKBAR ALI KHAN (Andhra Pradesh): It may not be worth contradicting.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Well, Sir, it depends on how you view what is what. Some people have got a wrong understanding that I have said some-

thing on the last day and all that. That is nothing of the kind. Sir, all I tell "is that the Finance Minister should look into it. This is all that I say. Since then the Assistant Editor of the paper made a public statement in the Press saying that what I said is right; he is still the Assistant Editor and the paper is under suspension and the dispute is pending with the Labour Conciliation Officer and the High Court and so on and still he is the Assistant Editor technically. But I need not go into all that now. He made a public statement, a copy of which is available to everybody. It appeared in the newspaper also. He not only corrobo-

1 rated what I said, not only substan-

tiated what I said but he also said that he would be prepared to help any investigation if such investigations were undertaken by the Ministry of Home Affairs to trace the money. That is one thing.

Now, I would not like to expect the Government to tell me their secrets; least of all that of the Home Ministry.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is appropriation on account of railway accommodation.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Very good, Sir. What a prudent man does is this. If he needs a little more money for his children's education, he would save on something else, say, on clothings or house rent.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You confine yourself to the particular appropriation.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Therefore, Sir, it was not possible for the Home Ministry to save money under other heads of the Ministry. When you spend money on other heads without consideration of economy, naturally you will be faced with a situation where you will have to ask for excess grants, and I say it was not necessary. My whole point is that every Ministry should internally, taking the Ministry's allocation as a whole, so handle the finances that it does not become necessary for it to come under a particular head with demands for excess grants. It will not be necessary. For instance, if the Home Ministry had saved money under other heads, it would not have been necessary for it to demand under a particular head this kind of excess grants. Economy could have been made here also about travelling.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: **That** will be a saving in that particular head.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Then you do not need to come here with this

demand. Then I am prepared to give it even if they come.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is. not the Parliament sanction; it is reappropriation.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Then I am prepared to give it. Then I am prepared to give appropriation excess if I feel that you are economising in other places and here you need excess, because I do not lose in the sum total and I would have no hesitation in sanctioning this excess 'grant. This is how I view the matter. I think, Sir, you understood my point.

Then the External Affairs Ministry is here in the graceful presence of the very brave Deputy Minister and I should have liked to have known from her as to what are these missions abroad for whom some excess grant is required, a petty amount, but then it is necessary for us to know what were these missions abroad, because all kinds of missions go; some are good, some are indifferent and some are bad. Therefore, it is very necessary to state what kinds of missions went. Sir, we are all for sending missions abroad and I would like the hon. the Deputy Minister herself going in a mission or two. I do not mind that.

SHRIMATI TARKESHWARI SINHA: Sir, under which head he would like to go?

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Under which head I would like to go? I do not think I would come under this grant. The hon. Deputy Finance Minister should know that my expenses will not be covered under this grant. I am not in that happy position in which she finds herself in.

Therefore, I wanted to know a little about it. It is not known.

Then, Sir, about colliery.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have finished colliery.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Sir, I forgot to mention one thing about colliery.

Appropriation

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You want to go backward and not forward.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I forgot to mention that a lot of money is being wasted under this head, capital at charge, in the collieries, buying things which they are not using. I find at Calcutta Docks capital goods for the collieries had been bought-for Korba and some other mines— and they are lying. Some people say several crores of rupees worth of machinery are lying there. They are shown as capital at charge because the money had been spent but they are not in fact capital which is actually spent. They are lying in the Docks and other places. This .should be looked into. I think some jolly loaders etc. are lying there. These should be gone into by the Ministry. That is the point I missed.

Now, about visual publicity and all that. Sir, Rs. 4 lakhs they want; they spent Rs. 4 lakhs that was sanctioned. I think we are entitled to ask whether they did their job well. It seems to me that there is some lack of imagination here. There is not an attempt to portray the life effectively and usefully. I find in the Press that there is a lot of criticism about the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, coming even from the supporters of the Government, especially relating to the enterprises and activities, under this visual publicity. I think these should also be gone into and things should be rectified if they go wrong.

Now, I have finished but I would only like to say that this principle of excess grants is not desirable. Every time we raise a point. We are not as such opposed to excess grants; if they are essential, they must be sanctioned and must be granted. We are not opposed to them. But I think that after years of budgeting we should realise that this is not a healthy practice that after two years you

come for excess grants and all these two years things go on. And all these two years things go on. What should be done is, when budgeting is done, it should be carefully made and when the Government makes Demands for Excess Grants, the Government should make it in the background of an economy, that is to say, under some item they are making Demands for Excess Grants and under other tems they would be in a position to state that they have made an economy. Therefore, people will not take exception to it. People will know that these Excess Grants are essential, that they are prudent and they had been made

after careful management of our financial affairs. This should enable and also put the hon. Minister in a far stronger position to make Demands for such Grants; but this is not doner Every year the P.A.C. makes criticisms and observations, adverse observations at 'times, and we are confronted with a Bill for Excess Grants. This is not right. Therefore the other

side should also be developed, the side of economy. Every department can economise, and may launch an economy drive. I don't say by economic drive that the drive should be retrenchment or cutting down of the salaries. On the contrary, the lower grade employees should be given more salaries. That is my point. But we can save money under various Heads. Lot of money is wasted under various Heads, as we can see. Travelling as you have said is there. Some unnecessary travelling takes place and some of the officers go about in air conditioned cars. I find sometimes some officers of the Government-I would like them to enjoy all the required comforts. I would not envy an officer travelling first class when I travel first class or even second class but at the same time why should many officers always travel in air conditioned car? I don't see, that it is necessary either for efficiency or anything else. Therefore, we can save therein and Demands like these

need not come. Adjustment with the Railway Board always takes •2423

[8 MAY 1959]

place. That is how you can make economy drive. I say, take by all means, bring by all means Demands for Excess Grants but tell us how you are carrying on your economy drive in the right way in the various Ministries under various Heads and in the various departments. and I think all of us can contribute in this, especially those who are at the top. There is waste, there is carelessness and there is negligence there and that should be prevented. This is another aspect of the matter Government should consider. Every year we say! this and nobody listens to us. Again I am repeating. As long as I am here, I will continue to repeat it and my fear is the hon. lady Member, as long as she is there, she will prosper and j shine more and she will continue to evade it. That will be my lot.

DEPUTY MINISTER THE EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI LAKSHMI MENON): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, since the hon. Member made special reference to the Excess of Grants demanded by the External Affairs Ministry, I would like to give a little clarification. Sir, usually in order to simplify accounting, the rate of exchange is quoted as a fixed thing but in many cases. in many missions, we find there has been devaluation of currency and this has involved certain changes in the amount demanded. For 1955-56 we find that the most important single fact which contributed to increased requirement is the devaluation of the Pakistan Rupee, on 1st August 1955. This could not have been foreseen when the original estimates were framed. Another thing is, we get our returns from the missions by the 7th March every year. So the data thus furnished by the missions does not cover the whole of the financial year. In the circumstances the Ministry is unable to make a correct forecast of the requirements and hence this small amount of Rs. 19,000 is demanded.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I sought a little enlightenment.

SHRIMATI LAKSHMI MENON: 1 hope you are enlightened by this.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I am always enlightened by the hon. lady.

SHRIMATI TARKESHWAR! SINHA: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, the hon. Members opposite, Mr. Gupta and Mr. Ansari, raised the point about why all the time the Government should come for regularising the Excess Demands. We are in complete sympathy with the views of the Members. Certainly they are justified in making this criticism as to why all the time the Excess Demands should be brought forward, but the thing is that we have been trying our best to plug these loopholes as far as possible, because not only the P. A. C. has been stressing this fact very much but also the Parliament is very agitated about this. But the Government feels that so long as a complete system of Exchequer Control is not introduced and a total ban is not imposed on transfer of book adjustments in respect of interdepartmental subjects or any transfers after the close of the year, Excesses of this type cannot altogether be avoided. As you yourself pointed out, they cannot be reappropriated in the same Ministry or in respect of different Ministries. The Parliament has to regularise it and to give its sanction for appropriating a particular sum of money. Even if it is a saving in a particular department, then also the Parliament has to vote it and regularise it. Therefore we cannot make such transfer from our own choice. Parliament has to look into that and also the P.A.C., as hon. Members are aware, have now, in the reports they have submitted-even before the final report—mentioned about the regularisation of a particular Excess Demand. Therefore this criticism is not justified in the sense that never should an Excess Demand be presented before Parliament for voting. It is bound to come here and there when necessity arises. So far as reasons for the Excesses are concerned, they are given. Shri Gupta raised the point about collieries. I do not disagree with him that economy shoul

[Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha.]

be maintained as far as possible and in all the Ministries they are trying their level best to economize over expenditure and try to avoid wasteful expenditure but this particular Excess Demand was due to certain unavoidable facts. It was nothing like an Excess Demand that was not foreseen or was not calculated, or it was due to lack of judgment that brought this Excess Demand to be appropriated now. It was because the rate of interest changed at that time and therefore the revised rate of interest became 3'25 per cent. This fact was intimated to the Ministry after the estimates had been made by the Finance Ministry and therefore this revised rate could never have been foreseen at the time when the Budget was framed. It could never have been anticipated and so there was no question of those things being put in the Budget Estimates as actuals. This revised rate of interest came afterwards and therefore there was no other alternative but to bring these additional amounts for appropriation.

There were certain points raised by Mr. Ansari concerning the P. & T. though this particular Demand does not at all include that subject under review because it takes a different case altogether. But I would request the hon. Member that if he has any such case or any grievance, as he has mentioned that they are genuine, he is welcome to refer to those things. He can send all those papers and certainly I shall forward all those papers to the respective Ministries for their consideration. And I do not think there is any need for him to be sorry about the whole thing, that they will not be looked into. I invite him to give us all those papers where he thinks something has been done which is not really proper and I shall certainly send those papers to the respective Ministries to get them examined.

Some other points have been raised by the hon. Member, Mr. Rao, but they do not really come under the scope of this Bill. They are really beyond the scope of the Bill. They really

relate to Five Year Plan publicity or visual publicity. They concern only some booklets for the Five Year Plan, dance and dramas for the publicity of the Plan and such things. So I do not think I really need reply those points. Moreover, as my hon. friend to my right pointed out, the whole thing is *sub judice* and it is not proper for anybody to raise those points on the floor of the House. Not many points have been raised and . . .

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: What about the Home Ministry and the sum of 'Rs. 8 lakhs which was spent, that, free-lance venture?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is not connected with this Bill. You need not reply on that point.

SHRIMATI TARKESHWARI SINHA: Mr. Deputy Chairman, I think I have already said what I wanted to say on points that have any bearing on the Bill. We have noted whatever Shri Bhupesh Gupta has said. Whatever he speaks is noted; whether we like it or not as to what he says, they are noted and of course, if they are genuine, they are certainly looked into. But if they are such that they do' not require to be looked into, he must not feel that they are not noted. They will all be looked into if they required to be.

With these words, and thanking specially Mr. Bhupesh Gupta for his very constructive approach—he has been so very constructive—I move.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Thank you very

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: **The** question is:

"That the Bill to provide for the authorisation of appropriation of moneys out of the Consolidated Fund of India to meet the amounts spent on certain services during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1956, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for

that year, as passed by the Lok, Sabha, be taken into consideration."

Appropriation

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now we take up the clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

There are no amendments.

Clauses 2 and 3 and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRIMATI TARKESHWARI SINHA: Sir, I move:

"That the Bill be returned."

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill be returned." The

motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned sine *die*.

The House then adjourned *sine die* at fifty-three minutes ■ past four of the clock.