[Shri K. D. Malaviya.] located at the intermed are stage betthe oilfields and Barauni in addition to having a refinery at Barauni Government have already appointed consultants to undertake the pipeline survey from the oilfields to the refinery sites and also for the refinery project studies. The sizes. actual locations and the patterns or production to be adopted for the two refineries now proposed to be established in the public sector will be determined after receipt and examination of the project reports. The first of the two refineries is likely to be located somewhere in Assam and it is possible that this refinery will be on stream within about three years, from now.

PRIVATE MEMBER'S RESOLUTION REGARDING MOBILISING PUBLIC ENTHUSIASM AND SUPPORT FOR THE SECOND FIVE YEAR PLAN—
continued.

DR. R. B. GOUR (Andhra Pradesh): Mr. Chairman, this Resolution that has been moved by my hon. friend, Prof. Malkani, in this House today gives us an opportunity to speak about certain aspects of our Plan which so far have not been, in our opinion, fully discussed or brought to light. We have been discussing the targets of the Plan, the resources for the Plan, the foreign exchange required for the Plan and such other matters, but the question of organising the people to achieve the targets of the Plan, to see that waste is avoided, to see that maximum efforts bring forth the maximum results, the other aspects of the Plan and the functioning of the Plan have not been discussed thoroughly and these could be discussed today when this Resolution is under discussion.

Sir, it is a tragic reality that lack of organisation—and I should add lack of co-ordination—between the various wings of economic activity in our country is leading to very serious

difficulties. May I draw your attention, and through you the attention of the House, to one single fact? We are having so many projects. The labour project is there: the contractors to mobilise the labour are there, and the Government administration is there. Now, Sir, there is a tendency to depend on the administration, on the bureaucracy, on the officials for the entire execution of the schemes. Even in projects of public sector it is the administration and the contractor who are playing the major role, in fact the main role. The labourers in a public project like Nagarjunasagar or Bhakra-Nagal or any other project feel that they are just employees of the concern. The atmosphere created is not one that one should really have co-operative endeavour in building a national project. May I suggest, Sir, that we should organise a certain committee or a Project Committee which should include not only representatives of the labour, not only the representatives of the administration in charge of the project, but which should also represent the peo-· ple of that locality or of that district" That would, I think, create the necessary enthusiasm in that area and a sense of responsibility and cooperation in the labour concerned and the people at large in the locality will all be interested in implementing the target concerning that project and they will all be interested in seeing that waste is avoided and the maximum output is put in in order to get the best results in the least time and with the least amount expended.

Sir, May I also draw your attention to such projects as the community projects? I think during the last session I had an opportunity in the debate on the Appropriation Bill to say something about the difficulty in the community project areas. Now, Sir, you have taken a decision that the activities of the Social Welfare Board will be more or less confined to the areas community development and national extension service. At the village level and at the level where

Resolution re

mobilising public comm••nity development and social welfa activities are going on they are togother. There is a sort of coordinatic But you do not find that at all levels Is there any co-ordination between the various departments of the State Governments? For example, there is the Co-operative Department, there is the Revenue Department, there is the Forest Depar ment; there is the Agriculture Department, there is the Labour Department and there is the Small Scale and Cottage Industries Department Now, Sr, the community development work is done in a particular area and the national extension service work is done in a particular area Well, a co-operative society is organised or is sought to be organised but the organisation of a co-operative society and getting the necessary funds for that society itself a very tedious job Rural cooperative credit societies are organised for getting the credit supplied by the Reserve Bank But to what ex tent has the farmer or the organiser of that co-operative society to dang attendance on the officers concurred us order to get funds or to got the bene fits of the schemes which we ourseive promulgated? For example the Forest Department does not cooperate In a particular area any damn becomes a forest and it cannot be cleared for the simple reason that the Forest Department does not know anything as to what the community development department is doing Sir, we have the Irrigation Department Minor irrigation is the job of that department In the community development areas people have become newly conscious, and they are co-operating with the community development authorities for the development of certain things But does the Irrigation Department of the State Government concerned move with the same speed, or at least with half the speed, with which the people there are moving? The Agriculture Depart-

ment does not co-operate Its techni-

cal wing does not co-operate So, Sir,

have decided on a Plan, we have

decided on certain activities and cer-

this is a very serious problem

tain targets, and we have also decided on certain resources But when the organisation is lacking, when the coordination is lacking, when the necessary business-like approach towards our problems is lacking, obviously the Plan suffers Whatever the targets may be there, they are not achieved and our resources are thus wasted. So, Sir, this is a very serious question and there is no doubt about it

enthusiasm and support 1792

for 2nd Five Year Plan

[MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair]

Therefore, Sir, there is an absolute nece sity for organising such committees to enlist the co-operation of various sections so that we could create and generate the necessary enthusiasm of the people in national endeavour There should be certain co-operative efforts of all the sections concerned

Sir, we have organised the Bharat Sewak Samaj I have nothing to say But our Government is about it thinking more in terms of Bharat Sadhu Samar thus wasting lot time I do not know if these Sadhus with their slogan of 'Alakh Niranjan' can ever bring about our projects do not know to what extent it is I can understand mobilisapossible tion of industrialists with accumulated capital I can understand mobilisation of labour But I cannot understand mobilisation of Sadhus and all the paraphernalia that they possess

SHRI JASWANT SINGH (Rajas-For spiritual salvation than)

DR R B GOUR Government is wasting lot of time (Interruption) Let them go on with their own moral re-armament We are more interested in the economic re-armament of our Let us carry on with this country business that we have taken up in our hands Therefore, Sir, this question of organisation has to be taken up seriously I am sorry I may not agree

SHRI J S BISHT (Uttar Pradesh): What about the district boards?

DR. R. B. GOUR: Yes, the district boards are there. They must be given more authority and more power. Their co-operation has to be enlisted. They are a very good instrument of public co-operation in this matter, and there is no doubt about it. (Interruption) Sometimes, they are not being elected at all. In my unfortunate State, District Board elections are not to be held at all. Sir, I am glad that Mr. Bisht has provoked me to certain things to which I was just going to refer. But before doing that, I might submit that I am not in agreement with the suggestion made by my friend, Dr. Thomas, that our labour here is lazy, and they are not getting up early etc. I do not agree with him for the simple reason that in spite of no incentive, in spite of so much of red-tapism, in spite of the rising cost, and in spite of lack of recreation in the places where projects are being undertaken, our workers are working very hard. That throws a flood of light on the capacity and the potenti-12 Noon ality of our labour. Take for emaple the vast areas in the Nagarjunasagar area. Labour all over the area has been mobilized there. Though proper water arrangements are not there, and health arrangements are not proper, still the workers are working there suffering from all sorts of troubles. You must understand the capacity of our labour to sacrifice by living away from their families and working in the midst of difficulties. So I think the idea that there is something wrong with our labour or even with our traditions is wrong. My only contention is that in the days of hostile British bureaucracy, we did not depend on the bureaucracy. We organised the people for so much work. I want to know, after having attained freedom, after having got full control over that bureaucracy, why is it that you are not able to mould the bureaucracy in the new spirit of democracy and cooperative endeavour with the people? Why is it that you are failing to organise the people? Because. wrong is with our administrators, the fault is with them. They have forgotten the tradition of not depending on hostile bureaucracy and to organise the people themselves. Thev forgotten it. They have given up this attitude and after having come to power, instead of remoulding this bureaucracy into a new democratic spirit, instead of mobilizing the people for attaining these targets, they are depending on the same age-old bureaucracy. That is the whole defect. Why not we remould it? Why cannot we enlist the co-operation of the people for the national Plan? The local bodies that my friend Shri Bisht suggested, will be very important instruments in mobilising the people but the whole difficulty is that the entire attitude towards these local bodies, I am afraid, is hostile. There are supersessions of Panchayats, not allowing District Boards to be formed at all or having nominated District Boards, even municipalities and Town Committees. The whole attitude towards these local bodies has to be very seriously revised and examined. Let us have duly elected local bodies with due responsibility on them. You are talking of minor irrigation. The Panchayats in a village would be able to mobilise the entire peasantry repairing the particular tank which benefits them directly but our minor Irrigation Department will not move because they want the whole system of contractors, they must mobilize bulldozers and all labour, machinery. We talk of having more and more labour intensive programmes because we have to save foreign exchange in the matter of purchase of machinery. If that is the position, if one has to have more and more labour intensive programme, then one must mobilize more and more people. Then it is the job of the administrators to organise the people. We have to organise the people. It will be the job of these local bodies who will do it with credit.

Sir, we do feel that Prof. Malkani's Resolution has given an opportunity to this House to throw light on these particular aspects of our Planning in this country which so far have not

been given due consideration and thought.

With these words, I conclude.

SHRI C. P. PARIKH (Bombay): Mr. Deputy Chairman, this Resolution is important in this way that it is brought at a crucial period of difficulty for the Plan. Now I will offer my remarks on three points, as regards industrial production and agricultural production and targets and the co-operation of the student community which is most essential for the Plan. Now with regard to industrial sector, I am quite confident that 90 per cent. of the target will be fulfilled. What is the reason? spite of all the difficulties, the industrial and commercial community fully prepared to meet the needs of the country and they think that whatever is allotted to them, they must try to fulfil and it shows that they have greater ability and greater power to fulfil if more is allocated to them which I think is denied to them and is reserved for the public sector. That does not matter. But even this development which is envisaged for the private sector would be retarded owing to the difficulties that have been created during the last 12 months by various acts of the Government. First of all I want to point out some of them. Take the Wealth Tax on companies. It is a new tax that is levied in no part of the world except in two small countries. Now we are going in an academic and theoretical manner in the matter of finances of the country. I say that the companies should be considered as corporate bodies and whatever is left with them in reserves is for development. When this tax was levied, I told this House and I again point out, that the company should be exempted from such tax for such funds as are employed for industrial development of the country, for land, building and machinery. Any economist or financier or any man who has the grip of finances and economy and the development and the need for industrial enthusiasm and initiative will have to admit that this is necessary. If people want to invest for industrial production, why tax them? They are not taking away anything with them. They are adding to the production and they are making all efforts to have additional production. So I say, unless and until this tax is removed, there will be a lull in the development climate of industrial development.

SHRI J. S. BISHT: Will you also include private limited companies?

SHRI C. P. PARIKH: They should not be taxed to the extent that they employ their funds for capital and machinery. If a private limited company is fully employing its funds for land, building and machinery for industrial development, that should be exempted, whether it is private limited company or otherwise. I mean to the extent that those funds employed for land, building and machinery they should be exempted. For the rest, for working capital etc. you may tax I don't deny that. But whatever is invested for production should not be taxed. The difference should be properly understood. That is the point I am making. It is very much against the principle of development, industrial or economic.

I then come to the expenditure tax. It is not going to bring any big revenue. You have levied it at a rate that cannot be justified. Prof Kaldor has made the recommendation income-tax and super-tax should be upto the maximum extent of 45 per cent. and then you can levy Wealth and Expenditure taxes. If we had followed that suggestion, I would have agreed to these taxes but by keeping the income tax and super-tax at this highest level, I think you have practically wiped off the whole industrial enthusiasm and initiative in the country. I think we shall have to be alive to this situation, if we want to achieve our target in the public sector. Then there is compulsory deposits system under which funds which are lying with them, the companies have to the Government. You deposit with can levy tax on reserves which are not employed on industrial develop[Shri C. P. Parikh.] ment. There are so many ways of taxing companies but don't tax them for industrial growth and development. You are asking for deposits from those who are debtors. That is the position. That is not a good thing.

Then as regards labour, we have one legislation that even if a company is making financial losses, which it cannot bear and on which account the company will have to go into liquidation, even then we have to give layoff and retrenchment benefits for a long period. That would amount half the wages they draw at present. As a matter of fact, I know that in the textile industry at present about a hundred out of four hundred mills are making a loss. But they cannot close their mills. They are making a loss to the extent of Rs. 25,000 per month on an average; but if they close, then they would have to pay Rs. 50,000 a month to pay the idle labour, because they cannot sell their goods. They cannot sell their goods little lower than the price of production even. They cannot sell it unless it be at a heavy loss. I think this law also is creating difficulties. Therefore, what I say is, if we want to develop our industries to meet the requirements of this ambitious Plan, then we shall have to revise our views in respect of conducting industrial enterprises in a manner that is suited to our Plan.

We want foreign aid and we are asking for foreign aid. I can say that foreign aid to the extent of Rs. 500 crores can come for the private sector to help the development of certain industries, because the investors these foreign countries have greater reliance on the private sector's capacity of development than on that of the public sector. At the State to State level there are negotiations for the bigger enterprises. But for smaller concerns, of say Rs. 2 or Rs. 5 crores, foreigners are willing to invest in this country, if there is Indian collaboration and such foreign aid to the extent of the smaller requirements of the Plan can come and it can amount to as much as Rs. 500 crores. But Indians cannot raise the corresponding capital in this country because of an unfavourable climate prevailing now in the country. That fact should not be lost sight of. We must be realistic in the matter of industrial investment.

We have to organise our exports. How are we to meet our liabilities as regards our imports unless we adopt of a radical nature encourage our exports? I have not the time now to explain what those Anyhow it must be measures are. seen that we increase our exports to the extent of 25 per cent. That is the only way to get the imports we need. There is no golden way of getting our imports into this country. We should export to the extent of 25 per cent. more and we have to organise measures in order to achieve this objective. Only then can we make progress. We are denied foreign aid or foreign aid does not come in. That is because those who want to invest in this country do not know what is the policy of our Government. It is not, in their opinion, very clearly defined. We know it has been defined. But what I want to point out here is that it has been represented in other countries that our leanings towards Communism. Why is there this misrepresentation? We detest Communism to the maximum degree. But we have not expressed it formally. We do not like Communism, especially on one account, that is because there is element of violence and denial of individual liberty and the denial of individual expression of opinion. That is the main reason why we Indians will detest Communism. Other reasons also may be there. This suppression of individual liberty and the suppression of liberty of association, this country will never accept.

Next I come to the question of agricultural production. Without better agricultural production we can make no progress. We are talking much about the agriculturist's lot. We talk

of helping the cultivators and we profess loud sympathy and there is tall talk. But there is very little of action. The Rural Credit Survey Report is of closely typed 600 pages. I have gone through all their recommendations and I feel if these recommendations are implemented in full, our agricultural production will go up by 30 per cent. It talks of the yield per acre. It talks of measures for seed distribution. It talks improving the agriculturist's position. It talks of giving additional employment through cottage industries. talks of marketing of the agricultural products. It talks of giving employment through the Ambar Charkha. It talks of maximum and minimum prices to be assured to the cultivator. supplying raw also talks of materials and stores to the cultivators at cheaper cost. But there is no agency to attend to these things.

At present the cultivator while buying his goods is being exploited to the extent of 20 to 30 per cent. While selling his goods also he is being exploited to the extent of 30 per cent. How can the cultivator stand this? establish Unless we co-operative societies on the lines recommended in the Rural Credit Survey Report and give ample funds by contributing to these co-operative societies even to the extent of 90 per cent, as suggested in that report, the lot of the cultivator cannot improve. It is no use leaving it all to the cultivator because he is in a miserable position. Unless we assist the cultivators by finance, we will not be able to succeed. But unfortunately we are not alive to this situation.

I was very glad to hear the ex-Minister Shri M. C. Shah say yesterday that he was a layman in finance and economics. He said that in all humility, but I think he said it in understanding also. I do consider him to be a layman in full finance and economics even after his experience of five years as a Minister, because to be an adept in one's own department practical experience is necessary. If Mr. Shah had this practical experi-

ence, he would not have been a layman. But he had not the necessary practical experience and therefore he admitted and admitted frankly that he is a layman. I can say, Sir, that so many of our Ministers manning some of the important and key departments are still laymen in their own departments and the sooner they understand that, the better.

DR. RAGHUBIR SINH (Madhya ' Pradesh): You say they were laymen?

SHRI C. P. PARIKH: They were and they are. And owing to absence of this practical experience there centralisation of power. The Ministers do not want to part with their power. There are some 4,000 elected representatives of the people in the Centre and all the States. But the Ministers do not want to part with their power consulting even these elected representatives. They concentrate the power in the officials and they unwilling to part with power in favour of persons who can contribute experience their without asking for power. Mr. Malkani has rightly stated that non-official element is not associated these efforts and as long as this non-official element is not associated with our efforts, we shall not make a great progress. We have an ambitious plan and we have to fulfil it. We have got the capacity to fulfil it and we have got the capacity to make the necessary sacrifices. But for that we have to create the proper mass enthusiasm. That has to be evoked and this can be evoked only by the representatives of the people. I think many workers and persons of repute side-tracked at present. should select Ministers with better knowledge. What is the use of flattery? Certain people will never flatter because they know their service to the country is more important than the service of the Ministers. That they know.

Dr. RAGHUBIR SINH: Efficiency is at a discount, is it?

SHRI C. P. PARIKH: It is at a discount.

Now I come to the subject of students. The student community is very important. But practically we have not looked at it, nor have we looked at the wants of the student community. There are a number of graduates, technical graduates and postgraduates. What payment are we making to them? What opportunities are we able to offer to them? What amount of resentment will there be in them if opportunities are denied to them and they see much less competent persons enjoying greater benefits? That is the position. Therefore, I want to make the suggestion that technical training should be utilised and rewarded to the maximum degree. Those who are post-graduates, graduates and graduates with technitraining should be employed by Government in factories which are carrying on industries. These graduates should be imposed on these con-There are about a thousand factories which can absorb graduates, these technical graduates. I think if these graduates are not finding employment anywhere in the country, then they should be absorbed in these factories especially these concerns are making profits. These graduates should be made to join those concerns or they must be imposed on these concerns on a graded scale of salary so that the employers do not dislodge them. I know, Sir, that the heads of many departments of some of these factories are much less clever than these graduates. Technical training facilities should be provided in the country. The progress of our education is lagging to a large extent. How can we finish this Plan unless we have a manpower suitable for it? There is a limited admission technical colleges. People denied admission in the technical colleges and engineering colleges.

Now, Sir, the last point is with regard to administration. There is a great dissatisfaction on this account that the letters and the complaints which are addressed to the Ministries are not replied to even after 12 months in spite of three reminders. If this defect could be rectified, a lot of public resentment and discontent would go. I think every letter must be acknowledged within a period of a week and a thorough reply should be given within a period of three months.

पंडित भ्रलगू राय शास्त्री ( उत्तर प्रदेश ) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, में इस प्रस्ताव का विरोध करने के लिये खड़ा हुग्रा हूं । विरोध इस लिये कर रहा हूं कि इस प्रस्ताव में कोई बात निश्चित रूप से नहीं बताई गई है कि सरकार क्या करे। गोल भोल शब्दों में यह बता दिया गया है कि प्लान को सफल बनाने के लिये यह ग्रावश्यक है कि सरकार जनता में प्रत्येक लेवल पर, हर स्तर पर उत्साह पैदा करे।

**एक मानतीय सदस्य** : इस में क्या मत भेद है <sup>?</sup>

पंडित म्रलगू राय शास्त्री : सुनिये तब म्राप को पता चलेगा ।

"नासौ मुनिर्यस्य मतं न भिन्नम "

बातचीत के बाद, विवाद के बाद्, तथ्य निकलता है। बड़ा भारी विरोध इस में है। जब इस प्रस्ताव को हम इस रूप में रखते हैं तो एक कल्पना हमारे मन में बैठ जाती है कि जनता में उत्साह नहीं है ग्रौर सरकार ने प्लान को चिन्तार्थ करने के लिए जो योजनाएं बनाई हैं वे न सफल है ग्रौर न उन के सफल होने की कोई सम्भावना है, जब तक इस प्रस्ताव में बतलाई गई चेतावनी को कार्यान्वित न किया जाय।

जिन लोगो ने पिछले प्लान यानी प्रथम पंचवर्षीय योजना के परिणाम का देखा है वे यह कह सकते हैं कि सरकार ने जो कदम उठाये उन से ही वह योजना सफल हई । उसकी सफलता पर विदेशों से ग्राये लोग चिकत हुए है। ग्रभी जब उस दिन श्री बुल्गानिन ग्रौर ख्रचेव यहा ग्राये थे तो उन्हे यह सारा भारत एक कारखाने की शक्ल में नजर ग्राया था। तो इस बात को देखकर हम यह नही कह कि सरकार की वाहियों में कोई त्रृटि होने से, कोई खामी होने से इस तरह के परिणाम प्राप्त हए है। जिस तरह से ग्रौर जिस मिशनरी मे सरकार इस काम को कर रही है वह एक उचित मशिनरी है श्रौर उसके द्वारा वह काम चलाया जा रहा है। ग्रगर इस प्रस्ताव कोई बात इस कही जाती कि हमको यह करना चाहिय, वह करना चाहिये तो वह विचार के योग्य बात होती । अगर मेरे माननीय मित्र श्री मलकानी इस सभा से यह कहते, जैसा गाधी जी डिग्निटी श्राफ कि महात्मा लेबर के स्राधार पर कहते थे कि एक सप्ताह में एक दिन गलियों की सफाई को निकलिये, झाडु ग्रौर फावडा ग्रपने कंधो पर रख कर इस काम को करिये, या जिस तरह महात्मा गाधी जी हरिजन-उद्धार के काम के बारे में कहते थे, ग्रगर उसी तरह की बात मेरे माननीय मित्र मलकानी जी के इस प्रस्ताव में होती तो वह एक डिग्नीफाइड बात होती । इस प्रस्ताव के बारे में अपने एक मित्र श्री पारिख जी की बात सून रहा था--प्राइवेट सैक्टर, टैक्स, वैल्थ टैक्स, यह टैक्स, वह टैक्स, यह सब उन्होने इस प्रस्ताव पर सूनाया । ऐसा मालुम होता है कि पेन्डौरा के बाक्स से ये सब चीजे निकल आई। लेकिन प्रस्ताव मे इस तरह की कोई चेतावनी नही दी गई है कि गवर्नमेट को क्या चीज करनी चाहिये। प्रस्ताव या रेजुलेशन का मतलब होता है डेफनिट कोर्स भ्राफ ऐक्शन बताना श्रीर जो मतभेद की बातें होती है वह भी बताना। उसमे

कोई निश्चित बात बतलाई जाती है श्रौर तभी उसका नाम प्रस्ताव होता है। यह कह देना कि सरकार को यह करना चाहिये श्रौर वह करना चाहिये एक सुन्दर बात मालम होती है। लेकिन यह तो एक ऊचे स्तर पर ग्रौर ग्रासमान से बात करना हम्रा । म्रगर उन्होंने प्रस्ताव में सरकार को काम करने के बारे में राय दी होती, कोई नया तरीका बतलाया होता तो बात समझ में ग्रा सकती थी। मगर उन्होने तो जो खामिया देखी वे सब बतला दी। इस प्रस्ताव को रख कर वे सरकार को जो चेतावनी दे रहे हैं उससे तो वे ऐसी गुम-राही पैदा करने वाली बात कर रहे हैं जिस से भोली भाली जनता इसका गलत ग्रर्थ समझ सकती है। हमारे गौड साहब इस समय चले गये है, नही तो में ग्रकलमंदी की बात बतलाता जो साधु समाज के संगठन में है। उन्होने साधु समाज सगठन के बारे में जो बात कही उसमें उन्हें बहुत बड़ी खामियां नजर स्राती है। वे किसी भी स्रार्गनाइजेशन का चाहे वह भली भी हो विरोध स्वरूप विरोध करते हैं । ग्रकलमंदी की बात तो यह है कि यह देखा जाय कि किसी चीज का उपयोग किस तरह से किया जाता है। जिस तरह से सूखी पत्तियों को भाड़ में डाल कर उनसे म्रनाज भुनने का काम हम यहा लेते है, लेकिन नारवे के म्रादमी उससे कागज बनाते है जिस तरह से टुटे टूटे शीशों को गला कर अञ्छे काच के नये गिलास बनाये जाते है। इसी तरह से जो अकलमंद हैं, समझदार स्रादमी है, वे गई गुजरी हुई चीजो का भी अरच्छी तरह से इस्तेमाल कर सकते है। जिस तरह से सूखे पत्तो द्वारा हम कागज जैमी मुन्दर चीज बना लेते हैं उसी तरह से हम ग्रगर श्रकलमंदी से काम ले तो साधु समाज संगठन से भी अपनी योजनास्रों के लिये बहुत काम ले सकते है। जब ग्राप इस तरह की बात करते हैं तो सारी योजना में, सारे प्लान में ग्रगर ग्राप उसके साहित्य को

[पंडित म्रलगु राय शास्त्री] पढिये तो ग्रापको मिलेगा कि हमारे नीतिक, सामाजिक, ग्रीर ग्रायिक जीवन का कौन सा स्तर है जिसके ऊपर में विचार नही किया विद्यार्थियों. गया है। वया सरकार नागरिकों में इस तरह का प्रचार नहीं कर रही है। सरकार यथ फेस्टिवल कर रही है जिस में विद्यार्थियों में योजना के बारे में प्रचार हो। देश में ऐसा कौन सा वर्ग रह गया है जिसकी तरफ सरकार की उपेक्षा है। मँ ग्राप से पूछता हं कि नान ग्राफि-शियल एँजेन्सी से ग्राप किस तरह से काम कर सकेंगे ? ग्रगर कही पर जमीन की श्रावश्यकता होगी तो हमें लंड एक्वायर करनी होगी, उसके लिए हमको सरकारी कर्मचारियों की ग्रावश्यकता पडेगी। नहर चलाने के लिये जमीन की ग्रावश्यकता है, भी उनकी जरूरत पड़ेगी। इंजीनियरों के बिना नहर नही बन सकती पल नहीं बन सकते है, सड़क नही बन सकती है। तो इस तरह के सब कामों के लिए हमें सरकारी कर्मचारियों की भावश्यकता होगी। जो कुछ भी हम करेंगे वह सरकारी स्तर पर होगा। क्या हम चाहते है कि सरकार ग्रलग बैठ जाय । हमारे मित्र मलकानी साहब के प्रस्ताव का हल एक यह हो सकता है कि हम कंस-किपशन करे। हम फोर्स ले कर इन सब योजनाम्रों को पूरा करे। हमने देखा कि य रिलाविया में विद्यार्थियों ने एक बडी नदी का कोर्स बदल दिया और एक बड़ा भारी डेम बना दिया । श्रौर वहां पर एक बड़ा सुन्दर बिजली घर चलने लगा। यह हमने देखा। यह सब हो सकता है। हमारे विद्यार्थी भी काम कर रहे है। जब में पी० सी० मी० वा प्रेजीडेन्ट या तो नैनीताल में हम लोगों ने विद्यार्थियों की मदद से सड़क बनवाई ग्रौर कई तरह के कार्य किये।

**अरकार** की दृष्टि हर तरफ है भौर वह

योजना को चला रही है। फिर इस प्रस्ताव को लाकर हम सरकारी कार्यवाहियों पर एक बुद्धि भेद पैदा करते है ग्रौर एक संकचित दिष्ट पैदा करते हैं कि यह ग्रसफल सरकार है, कुछ काम नहीं कर रही है। इस तरह की बातें इस प्रस्ताव से पैदा होती है । इस लिए मैं इस प्रस्ताव का विरोध करता हं। नहीं तो हम बजट के जमाने में, प्रेजीडेन्ट ऐड्रेस के समय, जब बहस होती है उस समय हम सरकार की त्रृटियों ग्रौर खामियों की स्रोर ध्यान स्नाकर्षित कर सकते है। मगर इस प्रस्ताव का सब से बडा दोष यह है कि यह ऊंची भावना का उद्घोष करता है कि यह होना चाहिये, वह होना चाहिये। लेकिन इस में यह नहीं बतलाया गया है कि योजना को किस तरह से कार्यान्वित किया जाना चाहिये, इस दिशा में कोई चेतावनी या दिशा प्रदर्शन नहीं किया गया है । इस लिये यह प्रस्ताव सर्वथा एक गोल मोल चीज है ग्रीर ग्रक्सेप्ट करने लायक नहीं है। श्री मलकानी साहब मेरे बड़े पुराने मित्र है, उन के दिल में चिन्ता 🖔 उन में फायर है, स्राग है कि कैसे हमारा देश आगे बढे, इसलिये कोई संदेह नहीं कि देश को ग्रागे बढाने के लिये उत्साह (इंथ्जिएज्म) में उनका जो डिस**क्रेशन है** वह पीछे हट जाता है, जो इंयुजिएज्म है वह ऋागे बढ़ जाता है। जहां डिस-केशन के ऊपर हमारा इंथुजिएज्म स्रधिकार कर ले वहां पर काम बनने के मुकाबिले में बिगड़ता ज्यादा है। इससे सरकार ज्या**दा** एक्सपोज हो जाती है। प्रस्ताव में कोई रास्ता नहीं बतलाया गया है ग्रीर न कोई चेतावनी दी गई है, यह तो बृद्धि भेर पैदा करता है। प्रस्ताव में जो यह शब्द्ध है ''ग्रार्गनाइज दी पीपूल ऐट ग्राल लेविल्स'', इस शब्द के माने क्या हैं? यह तो लाजिकल बात नहीं है, यह तो एक तर्कहीन बात हो जाती है । हर लेविल में, हर ग्रादमी को, पूरो जनता को, ोबलाइज करना, ये शन्द्ध तो ऐसे हैं जिन्हें अनवसायी हैत्वाभास कहा जा

सकता है। प्रस्ताव में डायरेक्टिव होना चाहिये था। अगर प्रस्ताव मे इस तरह की बात स्पष्ट की जाती, तो हम कह सकते कि प्रस्ताव स्पष्ट है, किन्त् इसमे तो कोई बात भी। स्पट नहीं है। ग्रतः यह प्रस्ताव निरर्थक मालम पडता है। स्रगर स्राप यह कहे कि जनता के ऊपर सरकार जबर-दस्ती काम लाद दे श्रौर यह कहे कि सप्ताह मे एक दिन झाडुलेकर नगर की नालियो सडको की सफाई ग्रौर करनी होगी, तो यह एक तरह से तानाशाही हो जायेगी । इस तरह की बात तो सरकार ला सकती है मगर वह जनता से इस तग्ह की बेगार नहीं ले सकती है जब कि उसने वेगार को दूर करने के लिये कानुन बनाया है । तो फिर किस तरह से यह जनता आर्गनाइज होगी। ये सब बात इस प्रस्ताव से स्पन्ट नहीं होती है ग्रीर प्रस्ताव बिलकुल बेकार है, गोल मोल है। यह भावनाए अची रखता है लेकिन उन भावनाम्रो को चरितार्थ करने के लिए कोई पत्र प्रदर्शन नहीं है, इसलिये यह प्रस्ताव फेक देने लायक है श्रौर ग्रस्वीकार होना चाहिये।

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Mr. Deputy Chairman, this Resolution standing in the name of Mr H. P. Saksena was moved in his characteristic way by Professor Malkani this morning. The other aspects of the Plan have been discussed in this House many times but the one particular aspect of public co-operation which this Resolution seeks to bring in the forefront has evoked new thoughts and the discussion that we have had today has been very profitable in one way. We have had the views of the industrialists, labour leaders and other sections of thought. All are of opinion that in order to make the Plan a success public co-operation is essential. In his speech just now concluded, Shri Algu Raiji Shastri has taken a verv fiferent view and it is not understood why such a non-controversial Resolution as the one before the House should

have excited him into making a speech of the kind which he delivered. From the very start, as soon as the Plan was undertaken by the Government some seven years ago, the Prime Minister and other Ministers of the Centre as well as in the various States have been stressing the point that if the Plan has to succeed, the co-operation of the public and every section of the public is essential and that merely on the efforts of the Government alone this Plan will not succeed. This Resolution before the House today stresses the same point of view. We heard this morning that the Panchayats and the district boards—in fact the cooperation of the local bodies-can mobilise public co-operation. We also heard about the youth movement being mobilised and so on and so forth. So far as the local bodies are concerned, Sir, I feel that they can play a very big part in this line only if they are properly elected. Prof. Malkani laid very great stress on panchayats and some of the other speakers also spoke at length about the district boards and the part they could play in evoking public co-operation I know that in many of the States the people composing the panchayats or the district boards are nominated. Of conditions vary from State to State but the point is that the district boards and some times even the panchayats cannot command the respect of the people. In my own State particularly, and I think it is the same in other States also, I know that the panchayats are composed mainly on the basis of communities. In a village, the panches are selected from the community which is in a majority. In such circumstances, if works like these are given to the panchayats, the people will not have any faith that justice and fairplay will be meted out to them. Similarly, Sir, the district boards cannot command any respect whatsoever from the public. Therefore, in theory we can say that the Panchayats and district boards can play a very big part in mobilising public co-operation but in practice in the States if the panchayats and district boards are given

[Shri Jaswant Singh.] funds or are put in charge of the works under the Five Year Plan. whatever little co-operation there is on the part of the people will withdrawn. That unfortunately been our experience. Therefore, Sir, first of all, the Central Government and the State Governments have to see that the panchayats and the district boards are made representative of public opinion, that they are composed and elected in such a manner that people will have confidence in them. Only when such conditions are created will public opinion be favourably inclined and this will go a long way in making this Five Year Plan a success. My reading of the situation is a bit different. All these things are very necessary. Our Prime Minister, wherever he goes, appeals for public co-operation and mass enthusiasm for the Plan but it is all right so far as the theory is concerned but in practice, the position is very different and unless Government, in distributing the funds and in distributing the industries to the various States, takes an impartial attitude. public co-operation would not be forthcoming in spite of the efforts of Government on these lines Sir. we got independence some ten years ago and soon after that, Government undertook the planning. What has been our experience? Our experience is this that while in theory the Prime Minister and the other Ministers have been clamouring for public co-operation, in actual practice, what has happened is something different. the Government tried to see that the States which are backward, where the living standards of the people are very low, are given a fair deal by the Government?

SHRI P D. HIMATSINGKA (West Bengal): What do you mean by Government?

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Have they been fair to the States which are backward? Have they been fair in distributing industries, in distributing funds

and in removing the disparity that exists from State to State? That is what I meant At the time when the first Five Year Plan was launched, the States in our vast country were at various stages of development. Some were very progressive, some very industrialised in some States agriculture and irrigation were at an advanced stage while there were States which were very backward. Now, what did the Government do? At the time of the launching of this first Plan, whichever State was most clamorous, whichever State had got more power, whichever State could use its influence, got the lion's share in respect of industrialisation, agriculture, construction of dams, hydro-electric power stations and so on and so forth. Did all the States get an equal share in this huge amount of money that went round in the first Five Year Plan? Sir, take the case of Rajasthan. Unfortunately, the Congress Government in Rajasthan was not very strong They did not have much pull with the Central Government. What did they get? Even when equal conditions existed in regard to several matters, the claim of Rajasthan was completely overlooked because powerful States intervened and brought to bear upon the Centre their influence and pressure.

SHRI J. S BISHT: Why do you say that? UP. got nothing.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: U.P. may be one of the unfortunate States. In other respects also, if we are to see the figures of the money that has been allotted either in the first Plan or in the second Plan, I do not think U.P. has got much ground for grouse as some of the other States have. You now want the co-operation and the enthusiasm of the people of Rajasthan to make the Plan a success. As far as that is concerned, are you justified in expecting the people to have this enthusiasm? How can we say that the country is one and they should be so selfless as not to care for their own affairs? I am sorry to say that such sacrifices cannot be expected at this stage.

Now, Sir, Rajasthan was one of the States which was very backward, industrially and in other respects also. What did they get in the First Five Year Plan? What enthusiasm and cooperation can be expected from the people in such circumstances when they see other States nearby already very rich, also industrially developed? Even then because of the influence they bring to bear on the Government they get more money and they could spend further. Look at the prosperity next door and the conditions of near starvation in Rajasthan. Now there was in the First Five Year Plan the proposal to open fertilizer factories in different parts of the country. Nearly 10,000 people would have got work if a fertilizer factory could have been opened in Rajasthan. The conditions in Rajasthan were no less favourable. In fact the raw material that was available in Rajasthan was of a much better type than in the places where the factory was opened.

(Time bell rings.)

Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: One minute more.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: I will try to wind up in two minutes, Sir. What I want to submit is this, Sir, that these regional disparities are very serious impediments in inviting co-operation and help from the people. Not only are there regional disparities but also in the same State there is disparity in the districts and divisions. It is because of various factors and when people see that one district is prosperous while the other district is starving we cannot expect enthusiasm from the people and co-operation from people in making the Plan a success. Therefore, Sir, in winding up I will say that the youth movement, cooperation from the panchayats, cooperation from the district Boards and all the other things are very necessary, but according to me the most necessary thing in inviting the enthusiasm and co-operation from the public is this, that the Central Government has to be fair to all the States, and not only have they to be fair but also where conditions exist equally or even where the conditions are a little less favourable as in the backward areas, they have to be given more help than has so far been given, and if this is forthcoming and this is being done, co-operation will be forthcoming in abundance from the people and there will be all round enthusiasm for this Plan.

Thank you.

शीलभद्र याजी (बिहार) : मा० डिपटी चेथरमैन महोदय , ग्रभी इस सदन में प्रोफेसर मलकानी जी ने द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना को ग्रच्छी तरह सम्पादित करने के लिये तथा उसके लक्ष्य की पति के लिये जनता के सहयोग और सहायता लेने के विषय में प्रस्ताव जो पेश किया है मे उसका तहेदिल से समर्थन करता हं क्योंकि . यह जानी हुई बात है कि जब गवर्नमेंट ने समाजवाद का लक्ष्य सामने रखा है तब उस समाजवाद की स्थापना के लिए जब तक हमारी पंचवर्शीय योजना तरह से सफली भृत नहीं होती तब तक हम उसे सिद्ध नहीं कर **इ**स दष्टि से यह प्रस्ताव बहुत ही ग्रौर समयोचित है। हालांकि ग्रलगराय शास्त्री जी ने इस प्रस्ताव का विरोध करते हुए कहा कि इस में कोई ऐसी बात नहीं है या कोई चीज नहीं कही गई है कि जिससे यह बात प्रकट होती है कि यह प्रस्ताव लाना जरूरी है लेकिन श्राप जानते हैं कि हमारी प्रथम पंचवर्षीय-योजना पूरी हुई और कोई पांच वर्ष उसमे लगा ग्रौर उसके समय बाद द्वितीय पंच वर्षीय योजना चल रही ग्रौर उनके ग्रनुसार जो कार्य सार हिन्द्स्तान में हुन्ना है उसकी तुलना न्राप रूस श्रीर चीन से करें तो श्रापको मालुम

for 2nd Five Year Plan

[श्री शीलभद्र याजी]

होगा कि वहां भी इस तरह की योजनाएं एक के बाद एक आती है लेकिन वहां योजना के साथ सरकार भी चलती है श्रौर उसके साथ जनता भी चलती है। हमारे देश में योजना को चलाने के लिए काफी संगठन (ग्रार्ग-नाइजेशन) हो रहा है, भारत सेवक समाज का सहयोग मिल रहा है, गवर्नमेंट की सारी

मशीनरी लगी हुई है, ग्रौर जितनी भी देश के म्रन्दर पार्टिया है, इंडियन नेशनल कांग्रेस से लेकर कमयुनिस्ट पार्टी, पी० एस० पी० म्रादि, ये तमाम पार्टियां कहती

है कि हम इस योजना की पूर्ति के लिये, इसके लक्ष्य की सिद्धि के लिए काम कर रहे है, लेकिन में समझता हू कि यहां पर यह सिर्फ सरकार की चीज रह गई है श्रौर जनता से इसका कोई सरोकार नहीं है। जैसा कि हमारे श्रौर पूर्ववक्ताश्रों ने भी कहा

है ग्रौर जैसा कि पहले भी कहा जा चुका है जब तक हमारी द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना स्रौर इसके बाद स्नाने वाली योजनास्रो के कार्य संचालन में जनता का सहयोग नही रहेगा ग्रौर जनता मे उत्साह नही ग्रायेगा

तब तक मै समझता हं कि इस देश की जो गरीबी है, बेकारी है, ग्रौर भी तरह तरह की समास्याए है, उनका समाधान नही हो सकता है। हमारे बहुत से लोग प्रतिनिधि ग्रौर चीन

श्रौर उन्होने वहा जाकर यह देखा है कि सिर्फ ठेकेदार श्रौर या मजदूर से ही नहीं, सरकार से ही नहीं, जनता से भी सहयोग हर प्रकार

के प्रोजेक्ट को पूरा करने में मिलता है। हमारे यहा भारत सेवक समाज

उद्देश्य में बनाया गया है श्रौर उस म तमाम हिन्दुस्तान की जितनी या गैर पार्टिया के लोग हैं, या स्वतंत्र विचार

सब को उसमें लिये जाने की कोशिश हो रही **है ग्रौर** स्वयं <mark>भारत</mark> सेवक समाज इस दिशा में प्रयत्न शील है। लेकिन भारत सेवक समाज को जिस मुस्तैदी ग्रौर जोश व खरोश के साथ हमारी दितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना को जनता के बीच ले जाकर उसमें उत्साह जगाकर ग्रौर उनकी मदद लेकर उसको कामयाब बनाना चाहिये

था, में समझता हूं उस के इस प्रयास में ग्रवी कमी है। हमारे साथी डा० राजवहाद्र गौड, ने कहा कि सब कुछ तो हो रहा है लेकिन इन साधुय्रों की ग्रोर से क्या हो रहा है ? मैं समझता हू, ये ५६ लाख जो देश में है, गांजा पीते हैं, बैठे रहते है, माल पुत्रा खाते है इन में राजनैतिक चेतना के साथ वर्ग चेतना लाने की की जरूरत है पंचवर्षीय योजना को सफलीभृत करने के लिए भ्रापको भ्रादमी नही मिलते हैं, लेबर नही मिलता है, इसलिए ये ५६ लाख, साधु स्राज हिन्द्स्तान में बेकार बैठे है मगठन (भ्रार्गनाइजेशन) यदि ग्रापको कोग्रापरेशन मिले समझता हूं कि तमाम पौलिटि कल पार्टीज

वभृति लगाकर इधर उधर जाते हैं। इनका ज्यादा ग्रसर होता है। इसलिए हमारे प्लानिग मिनिस्टर ने साधुय्रों का

को ग्रलगरसा दीजिए, ये ५६ लाख

ज्यादा से ज्यादा काम करके हमारी योजना

को कामयाब कर के दिखा सकते है। हमारे देश मे इन साध्य्रो का समाज मे बडा भ्रसर

है, बल्कि वडे बड़े पोलिटिक्**ल लीडरों ना इ**तना

ग्रमर नही है जितना इन साधुग्रों का है जो

लेने की जो यक्ति को है सहयोग उसकी हंसी हमे नहीं उड़ानी चाहिये, मजाक नही उड़ाना चाहिये बल्कि उसके तथ्य में जाना चाहिये। ग्रभी ग्रलगराय शास्त्री जी ने कहा भी कि हम जोग भी उनको बतायें कि उन्हें हमारी योजना में किस तरह सहायता करनी चाहिये। सरकार का भी कर्तव्य है कि जो उस के नशन ग्रौर इंफार्मेशन डिपार्टमेंट डिपार्टमेट है. कोशिश करे कि किम मे हम सहयोग लें । तो मै ग्राप से कह रहा था कि भारत सेवक समाज के ढंग पर ग्रापको साधग्रों को भी संगठित करना चाहिये। जो लोग कहते हैं कि भारत सेवक समाज में सब पार्टियों के लोग नही जाते है और यह खास कांग्रेस की है तो यह बात गलत है। भारत सेवक समाज हिन्दुस्तान की जितनो पार्टीज हं, सबको है। इसके साथ साथ हिन्दुस्तान की प्रलग, म्रलग पार्टियों के जो यथ म्रार्गेनाइजेशन है चाहे काग्रेस पार्टि के हो चाहे प्रजा मम्प्राज-वादी पार्टी के. उनको भी भारत सेवक समाज के साथ लगाया जाय। मैं यह जानता हं कि इस योजना के खिलाफ भी हमारे हिन्द्स्तान में, हमारे इस हाउस में, हमारी पार्टी में ग्रास्तीन के सांप बैठे हुए है श्रीर जब में यह योजना बनी तब में इसके काम में रुकावट डालने की कोशिश करते है । कछ लोग खासकर जो कैपिटेलिस्ट है वे नही चाहते कि यह कामयाब हो श्रौर इसके विरूद्ध तरह तरह की बातें सामने रखते है।

इसलिए में कहता हूं कि जब तक जनता का सहयोग हमें उस तरह से नही मिलता जिस तरह रूस में या चीन मे लिया गया है तब तक हम ठीक से प्रगति नही कर सकेंगे। भारत सेवक समाज, लोक सेवक समाज को, यूथ कांग्रेस को, डिमोक्रेटिक यूथ लीग स्रौर समाजवादी युवक सभा, इन

तमाम संगठनों को एक साथ लेकर हमे उसी तरह से लड़ाई लड़नी होगी जिस तरह ग्राजादी की लडाई लडी भ्राज हमें पूर्ण सन्यावह (टोटल मोबिलाइ-जेशन) की व्यवस्था से लडाई लडनी है अभी इस सेकेड फाइव इयर प्लान को पूरा करने के बाद जब तक हम तीसरी. चौथी. पांचवी. छटी इसी प्रकार की योजना को नही परा करेंगे तब तक समाजवाद स्थापना नही होगी, क्योंकि ग्रापने रूस में भी देखा कि किस तरह से वहां इन्कलाब हग्रा, कांति हई भौर उसके बाद छ: बार उनकी पंचवर्षीय योजना बनी स्रौर तब जाकर ४० वर्ष में वहां ममाजवाद की स्थापना हुई । शुरू में रूप मे भी वहीं हालत थी. लोगों को रोटी खाने को नहीं मिलती थी. बडी बडी तकली फें थीं। इसलिए हमें ग्रभी ग्रधीर नहीं होना है कि हमारे पास पैसा नही है। कल इस हाउस में रिजर्व बैक आफ इंडिया बिल की तरमीम की बहस के सिलमिले में हमारी दित्रिय पंचवर्रीय योजना के ऊपर बरी तरह से प्रहार श्रूक हुन्ना था। कहा गया है कि हमारे पाम पैसा नहीं है ग्रीर हमारे उद्योगपति बिरला के नेतत्व में ग्रमरीका चले गये थे भीख मांगने और इस तरह इस दश की हमारी जो भरकार है उसकी भी वे खिल्ली उडाते हैं ग्रीर कहते हैं कि हमारी सरकार की कोई "भह" नहीं है उद्योग पतियों को जो यह छट दी गई यह नहीं दी जानी चाहिये । सरकार हमारे उद्योगपतियों को छुट दिये जाती है और वे सब जगह जाकर तरह तरह की बातें करते हैं जो कि नहीं होना चाहिये वयोकि इससे मुल्क को धोका हो सकता है क्योंकि विदेशियों के व्यापार की वजह से ही यह देश गुलाम हम्रा। इसलिए में भ्रापसे कहता हूं कि यह प्रस्ताव बहुत मौज् तथा सूनियोजित है। अगर यह प्रस्ताव स्वीकार कर लिया जाता है तो हमारे ोनों हाउसों के जितने 1817

[श्री शील भद्र वाजी] मेम्बर है उनके श्रीर राज्य विधान सभा, डिस्ट्विट बोर्ड, पंचायत भौर म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड के मेम्बरों के सहयोग से इस चीज का प्रचार किया जाना चाहिये कि बगैर जनता के सहयोग के हमारा देश उन्नति नहीं कर सकता है। जो हमारे किसान और मजदूर हैं, देश में जितनी भी पार्टियां हैं जो द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के प्रति सहानुभति रखती हैं ग्रगर उन्होंने इस योजना में सहयोग दिया तो सब को लाभ पहुंचेगा। लेकिन मैं देखता हं कि बहत सी संस्थायें प्लान के प्रति मौखिक सहानुभूति तो रखती हैं मगर अपनी गुप्त मीटिंग्स में दूसरी तरह की बातें करती हैं। जिस तरह से हमारे देश के उद्योगपति बात श्रच्छी करते हैं मगर चाहते हैं कि यह प्लान फेल हो जाये श्रौर नेहरू जी की सरकार फेल हो जाय ताकि दूनिया को यह दिखला सकें कि वे द्वितीय योजना को पूर्ण करने में सफल नहीं हो सके इसलिये उनकी सरकार फेल हो गई। इसलिये मैं यह कहता हूं कि हिन्दुस्तान में जितनी भी संस्थायें हैं, चाहे वह भारत सेवक समाज हो, यूथ संगठन हो या और कोई संस्था हो, जब तक द्वितीय योजना के लिये जन ग्रान्दोलन शुरू नहीं करतीं तब तक हमारी यह योजना सफली-भृत नहीं हो सकती । हमें जनता को बत-लाना चाहिये कि तुम्हारी ग़रीबी भौर बेकारी तब तक दूर नहीं हो सकती जब तक द्वितीय पंचवर्षीय योजना पूरी नहीं हो जाती है। देश में समाजवाद की स्थापना तब तक नहीं हो सकती है जब तक कि हम इस योजना को पूरी नहीं करते । इसलिये नेशनल प्ला-निंग डिपार्टमेंट के मिनिस्टर महोदय को श्रीर हमारे भूचना विभाग को इस बात के लिये जनता में जाना जरूरी है कि बगैर उनके सहयोग से यह योजना पूरी नहीं हो सकती। भ्रतः प्रचार के लिये इस विभाग को सिन्नय होना पहेगा । यह प्रस्ताव उपयुक्त है भ्रौर प्लानिंग के मिनिस्टर महोदय को इसे स्वीकार करने में कोई उजर नहीं होना चाहिये।

जैसा कि मैंने शुरू में कहा कि ग्राज यह देखा जा रहा है कि देश में जो भी कार्य हो रहा है उसमें जनता का सहयोग नहीं है। जनता काम करने के लिये तैयार है मगर सरकार की श्रोर से ही इसमें कमी हो रही है। बिहार में जनता ने कोसी प्रोजेक्ट में जिस तरह की सहायता दी है उससे जन सहयोग का ग्राभास होता है। लेकिन ग्राज देखने में यह आता है कि देश में जितना भी निर्माण का कार्य हो रहा है उससे जनता का कोई वास्ता नहीं है . यह कहा जाता है कि इन प्रोजेक्टों को पूरा करने के लिये स्पये की कमी है। ग्रगर जनता ग्रौर हिन्दुस्तान की जितनी भी पार्टियां हैं, उनका सहयोग मिल गया, उन सबने इस योजना को पूरा करने का बीड़ा उठा लिया तो हमारी यह योजना पूरी हो सकती है। उद्योगपित और कृछ और ग्रास्तीन के सांप हैं जिनके द्वारा यह कहा जा रहा है कि देश के रिसोर्सेस बहुत कम है, इस प्लान को ४८ सौ करोड से ३३ सौ करोड रुपये तक कर देना चाहिये। बाहर से रुपया नहीं मिल रहा है श्रीर देश को चारों तरफ से कैपटिलिस्टों ने घेर रखा है । मेरा उन लोगों से यह ग्राप्रह है हस ने भी ग्रकेले ग्रपनी योजना को बगैर किसी बाहरी मदद पूरा किया भ्रौर वह कामयाब बना । उसने जितने भी लक्ष्य थे उनकी पूर्ति की ग्रौर देश में समाजवाद की स्थापना की । इसी तरह से हमारे देश में जितने रिसोर्मेज हैं उन्हें काम में लाना चाहिये। छोटी बचत ग्रान्दोलन श्रौर नेशनल सेविग्स सार्टिफिकेट द्वारा हमें धन इकट्ठा करना चाहिये। इसके लिये **ग्रा**न्दोलन की बात उठती है। हमारे दोनों सदनों में करीब ७५० सदस्य हैं, ग्रगर वे देश में एक एक घर में जा कर इस चीज का प्रचार करे तो हमें करोड़ों रुपया प्राप्त हो सकता है। इस काम के लिये सब पार्टियों का सहयोग होना चाहिये ग्रीर उन सब को इस काम का बीडा उठाना चाहिये। ग्रगर हमने यह कार्य किया तो हमें बाहर की सहायता की ग्रावश्यकता नहीं होगी । ग्रगर हमने

इस काम के लिये पूर्ण सन्यावह (टोटल मोबिलिजेशन) किया तो मुझे पूर्ण ग्राशा है कि हम देश के ग्रन्दर ही काफी रुपया प्राप्त कर लेंगे।

इसके साथ ही साथ हमारे देश में त्रो बडे ज़मीदार श्रीर राजा महाराजा है उनके पास ग्रब भी काफी रुपया पड़ा है । बहुत सा दंवर उनका छिपा पड़ा हुग्रा है, उनके नुमाइन्दे यहां पर बैठे हुये है, फारेन इंवेस्टमेंट को कंफिसकेट कर लेना चाहिये। उद्योगपतियों के जो कल कारखाने हैं। उन्हें सरकार नेशनलाइज कर ले स्रौर जो उचित मग्रावजा है वह दे दे। देश में इस समय सरकारी कर्मचारियों की कमी है। जो राष्ट्रीयकरण द्वारा कल कारखानों को चला सकें इसलिये इन कल कारखानों को मरकार भ्रपने कब्जे में जब कर लेगी तो इन मालदारो भ्रीर उद्योगपतियों को ही उन कारखानों के जनरल मैनेजर के रूप में रख सकतो है ग्रौर दो चार हजार रुपया तनस्वाह भी दे सकती है। इसी तरह से योजना को पूरा करने के लिये, देश की अलाई दे लिये हमें जितनी भी दिक्कतों का सामना करना पड़े, सब को, चाहे वह किसान हो, विद्यार्थी हो, नौजवान हो, गरीब हो, भ्रमीर हो, टोटल मोबिलिजेशन करके, समान रूप से सामना करना चाहिये ताकि हमारी द्वितीय योजना पूरी हो सके । लेकिन मै समझता हं कि जिस रूप में हमारा यह काम ग्रभी चल रहा है उससे हमारी योजना पूरी नही हो सकती है। इसमें कमी जो है वह जनता के सहयोग की कमी है। बगैर जनता को साथ लिये हुये हम कामयाब नहीं हो सकते हैं। जनता को साथ लेना ही · नहीं है, उसमें उत्साह भी पैदा करना है। इन शब्दों के साथ मैं इस प्रस्ताव की तहेदिल से ताईद करता हूं श्रौर उम्मीद करता हूं कि हमारे प्लानिंग मिनिस्टर महोदय इस

प्रस्ताव को ग्रवश्य स्वीकार करेंगे।

SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR EXPENDITURE OF THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT (EXCLUDING RAILWAYS) IN THE YEAR 1957-58

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI T. T. KRISHNAMACHARI): Mr. Deputy Chairman, I beg to lay on the Table a statement showing the Supplementary Demands for Grants for Expenditure of the Central Government (excluding Railways) in the year 1957-58. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-425/57.]

## MESSAGE FROM THE LOK SABHA

THE COAL BEARING AREAS (ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT) AMENDMENT BILL, 1957

SECRETARY: Sir, I have to report to the House the following message received from the Lok Sabha, signed by the Secretary of the Lok Sabha:—

"In accordance with the provisions of Rule 96 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Amendment Bill, 1957, as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 5th December, 1957."

I lay the Bill on the Table.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned till 2.30 p.m.

The House then adjourned for lunch at one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at half-past two of the clock, THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI M. B. JOSHI) in the Chair.

PRIVATE MEMBER'S RESOLUTION REGARDING MOBILISING PUBLIC ENTHUSIASM AND SUPPORT FOR THE SECOND FIVE YEAR PLAN continued

श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण (मुम्बई) . ग्रादरणीय उपसभाष्यक्ष जी, इस प्रस्ताव के