

**श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण :** क्या मंत्री महोदय से मैं जान सकता हूँ कि स्काउट्स और गाइड्स मूवमेंट के अलावा और किसी यूथ मूवमेंट को पैसा दिया जाता है ?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: We are starting various youth welfare programmes, but as far as the all-India organisations are concerned, it is the scout movement which we are supporting.

SHRI H. N. KUNZRU: Are Government aware of the harmful effect that the rapid expansion of the A.C.C. and N.C.C. is having on the growth and development of scouting and guiding, which give the basic education that no other movement gives?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: The real trouble is that there is no voluntary organisation at the present moment to guide the youth in proper channels and if the scout movement filled that gap it would be most welcome. But the Government cannot sit silently. The N.C.C. is also a useful organisation. It has organised a great deal of enthusiasm among the youth and it is necessary to direct their energies into proper channels. But we would welcome the scout movement also to do the same thing.

**श्रीमती सावित्री निगम :** क्या मैं जान सकती हूँ कि यह बात कहाँ तक सच है कि एन० सी० सी० का जो करिकुलम है उसमें सारी वे बातें जो स्काउट्स और गाइड्स के करिकुलम में हैं ले ली गई हैं ?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: This should be welcomed by the scout movement. After all the programme of the scout movement should not be the monopoly of the scout movement. In fact, the scout movement should welcome that other organisations are taking up their activities and their curriculum.

**श्री बी० बी० शर्मा :** ये कितने स्काउट्स हैं कि जिनके ऊपर एक करोड़ रुपया खर्च किया जायगा ?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: I cannot give the figures regarding the number of scouts.

SHRIMATI T. NALLAMUTHU RAMAMURTI: Is it not a fact that the N.C.C. organisation incurs a good deal of expenditure on equipment as such? I do not want to say that the scout and guides movement does not require more provision by way of funds. Both are very important.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Is it not a fact that you spend a good deal of your money on equipment with regard to N.C.C.—that is the question.

Dn. K. L. SHRIMALI: Equipment is part of the expenditure.

SHRI P. D. HIMATSINGKA: Is the hon. Minister aware that the scout movement cannot be expanded for want of funds and they have not been granted even though the requisition was made?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: I can only assure hon. Members that the Government are most sympathetic towards the scout movement and we shall be very happy if they can utilise the sum of Rs. 1 crore which has been provided in the second Five Year Plan. If they ask for more we shall try to get some more.

#### AMOUNT SPENT ON EXPANSION OF BASIC EDUCATION DURING THE FIRST FIVE YEAR PLAN PERIOD

\*28th DR. RAGHUBIR SINH (ON BEHALF of MOULANA M. FARUQI): Will the Minister for EDUCATION be pleased to state the amount spent by the Central Government on the expansion of Basic education in the various States during the First Five Year Plan period?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER FOR EDUCATION (DR. K. L. SHRIMALI): A total grant of Rs. 1,76,24,638 was sanctioned to various State Governments but complete information regarding the amounts actually utilised by them has not yet been received.

DR. RAGHUBIR SINH: May I know if the information is correct that in many cases, big grants were given just in the last months of the Five Year Plan?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: So many schemes are sanctioned and it is very difficult to answer that question off-hand. But it is true that some schemes are sanctioned late in the year also.

SHRIMATI SAVITRY DEVI NIGAM: May I know the number of schools which have been converted from ordinary schools and the number of schools which have been started anew and which have been given grants by the Central Government?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: I am afraid I shall need notice to answer that question.

#### AMOUNT GRANTED FOR IMPROVEMENT OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS

\*294. DR. RAGHUBIR SINH (ON BEHALF OF MOULANA M. FARUQI) : Will the Minister for EDUCATION be pleased to state:

(a) the amount granted to each of the States during 1955-56 for improvement of Secondary Schools; and

(b) the amount set apart for each State for this purpose during the current financial year?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER FOR EDUCATION (DR. K. L. SHRIMALI): (a) A statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

(b) This will depend on the nature of proposals for 1956-57 to be received in this behalf from different States.

#### STATEMENT

State	Amount granted during 1955-56 Rs.
Assam . . .	20,14,249
Andhra . . .	10,80,730
Bombay . . .	17,23,340

State	Amount granted during 1955-56
Bihar . . .	17,86,796
West Bengal . . .	63,81,439
Orissa . . .	5,85,420
Punjab . . .	42,900
Madhya Pradesh . . .	15,58,372
Madras . . .	19,64,995
U. P. . .	5,04,900
Saurashtra . . .	27,18,289
Travancore-Cochin . . .	20,71,094
Mysore . . .	20,460
PEPSU . . .	1,06,166
Rajasthan . . .	39,600
Madhya Bharat . . .	2,00,000
Hyderabad . . .	3,00,300
Ajmer . . .	1,01,682
Bhopal . . .	3,40,723
Delhi . . .	99,740
Kutch . . .	77,417
Vindhya Pradesh . . .	1,96,208
Coorg . . .	19,840
Tripura . . .	2,79,470
Manipur . . .	7,830
Jammu and Kashmir . . .	1,50,000
TOTAL . . .	2,43,71,960

DR. RAGHUBIR SINH: May I know the basis on which these various allocations given in the statement are made?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: Does the hon. Member want to know the basis *era* which we are giving grants?

DR. RAGHUBIR SINH: Yes.

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: Under scheme No. 1, that is, for the reconstruction of secondary education, Central assistance was given at the rate of 66 per cent, of the total nonrecurring expenditure and 25 per cent, of the recurring expenditure. Under scheme No. 2, that is, improvement of selected secondary schools, Central assistance was calculated at the rate of 66 per cent. of nonrecurring expenditure and one-third of recurring expenditure.

SHRI H. C. DASAPPA: How is it that some States get disproportionately low grants while others get fairly reasonable grants?