tance by the Central Government to the Kosi Project has not yet been decided.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

REPORT OF THE TARIFF COMMISSION ON THE CONTINUANCE OF PROTECTION TO THE MACHINE SCREW INDUSTRY AND PAPERS CONNECTED THEREWITH.

12 P.M.

THE MINISTER FOR INDUSTRIES (SHRI N. KANUNGO): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of each of the following papers under sub-section (2) of section 16 of the Tariff Commission Act, 1951:--

- (i) Report of the Tariff Commission on the continuance of protection to the Machine Industry.
- (ii) Government Resolution No. 18 (1)-T.B./55, dated the August, 1955.
- (iii) Government Notification No. 18 (1)-T.B./55, dated the 23rd August, 1955.
- (iv) Statement under the proviso to sub-section (2) of section 16 of the Tariff Commission Act, 1951, explaining the reasons why a copy each of the documents referred to at (i) to (iii) above could not be laid within the period mentioned in that sub-section. [Placed in the Library. See No. S-290/55 for (i) to (iv).]

STATEMENT BY THE PRIME MINISTER ON KASHMIR PRINCESS CRASH

DEPUTY THE MINISTER EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI ANIL K. CHANDA): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a Statement by the Prime Minister on Kashmir Princess Crash. [Placed in the Library. See No. S-295/55.]

RESOLUTION RE COMMISSION ON PRIMARY EDUCATION—continued

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. D. Narayan. You have taken ten minutes

already. You have now five minutes more.

श्री दंबकीनन्दन (मुम्बई): सभापति महोदय. में गत बक्त यह कह रहा था कि सार्वित्रक प्राथमिक शिचा के लिए जो सबसे बड़ी कमी हमार प्रदंश की सरकारों को मालम दंती हैं वह पैसे की कमी है और जब तक केन्द्रीय सरकार से राज्य सरकारों को इस काम के लिए खास मदद नहीं मिलेगी तब तक यह काम आगे बढ़ने वाला नहीं हैं। यह बात १६९३ से चली आ रही हैं. उस वक्त भी मरहाम श्री गोखले जी ने यह कहा था कि जहां तक इस सवाल का सम्बन्ध है राज्य सरकार इस काम को बिना केन्द्रीय सरकार की मदद के आगे नहीं बढ़ा सकती हैं। आज क्या हो रहा हैं? हो यह रहा हैं कि प्रदेश सरकार इस सावीत्रक प्राथीमक शिक्षा का बहुत कुछ काम डिस्टिक्ट बोर्ड स. लोकल बोर्ड स और म्युनिस-पॅलिटियों को साँप दंती हैं। आप जानते हैं कि आज हिन्द्स्तान में डिस्टिक्ट बोर्ड्स और म्य-निसपल बोर्ड स की क्या हालत है और यही कारण है कि लोकलबोर्डास और म्यानिसपेलिटीज अपने शिचकों को न तो ठीक तनस्वाह दं सकती हैं और न वक्त पर तनस्वाह दे सकती हैं। राज्य सरकारों ने जो तनस्वाहीं निश्चित की हीं वह तनस्वाह बहुत से डिस्ट्रिक्ट और लोकल बोर्ड स दं नहीं पाते हैं। सबब यह है कि डिस्टिक्ट और लोकल बोर्डीस को अपने काम से इतनी फूर्सत ही नहीं होती कि इस तरफ ध्यान दें. दूसर उनके पास पेंदाइश कम हैं और तीसर आपस के भगई हैं। शायद ही एंसा कोई लोकल बोर्ड होगा जिसमें कि भगड़ा न हो. इसलिए अगर आप म्युनिसपल बोडो और लोकल बोडो के कब्जे में प्राथमिक शिद्धा जैसे महत्वपूर्ण काम को दं दंते हैं तो आप निश्चित समीभग्र कि उनसे कोई काम आगे बढ़ने वाला नहीं हैं। इसलिए मेरा यह सुभाव हैं कि डिस्टिक्ट बोर्डों. और खास कर म्युनिसपैलिटियों से प्राथीमक शिद्धा का काम निकाल लिया जाय ।

दूसरी बात जो में आपसे कहना चाहता हूं वह दुःख की बात है। वह यह कि शहरों में प्राथमिक शिचा का जितना प्रचार हैं उतना

प्रचार गांवों और दंहातों में नहीं हैं। आज जो ६ से १९ वर्ष तक के लहके स्कूलों में जाते हैं उनमें यह दंखा जाता हैं कि साँ के पीछ रू गांवों के हैं और ६२ शहरों के हैं। जहां पर इस शिद्धा का खासकर के प्रसार होना चाहिए वहां कम निगाह दी जाती हैं और जहां और तरह से भी यह शिक्षा मिल सकती हैं यानी जहां खानगी तार से भी अपने अपने स्कूल खोल सकते हैं और खुले हैं वहां भी सरकार अधिक लद्द्य दृंती हैं और अधिक पैसा खर्च करती हैं। यह दू:स की बात हैं। इसलिए में यह कहूंगा कि यदि आप कहीं फ्री और कम्पलसरी प्राइमरी एज्केशन शुरू करना चाहते हैं तो वह पहले दहातों में करें और बाद में शहरों में, शहरों का नम्बर बाद में आए।

तीसरी बात जो मूक्ते इस सम्बन्ध में कहनी हैं यह यह हैं कि जितना लद्द्य लडकों की प्राथमिक शिद्धा के ऊपर दिया जाए उससे कम लड़िक्यों की प्राथमिक शिद्धा के ऊपर नहीं दिया जाना चाहिए। मैं तो यहां तक कहूंगा कि इस सम्बन्ध में लडकों से लडकियों की शिद्धा पर ज्यादा नवज्जह दी जाय।

श्री श्या० सू० तन्खा (उत्तर प्रदेश)ः लङ्कियों के ऊपर क्यों ज्यादा तवजजह दी जाय?

श्री इंवकीनन्द्रन: आज हालत यह हैं कि मदर्स में जाने वालों में लड़ कियों की तादाद लडकों की तादाद से बहुत कम है, यहां तक कि स्करल में पांच लड़के हैं तो तीन लड़कियां हैं ।

श्री किशोरी राम (बिहार): बहुत ज्यादा हैं?

श्री दंवकीनन्दन: आपकी निगाह से ज्यादा हां सकता है पर गांवों में दुखा जाय तो वहां लह-कियों की तादाद बहुत ही कम हैं, अगर ४ लडके होंगे तो लडकी एक होगी। इसलिए हमारा कर्तच्य हो जाता है कि यदि आप प्राथमिक शिचा को एक आवश्यक चीज समभते हैं तो हमें प्रथम लडकियों से शुरू करना चाहिए ज्योंकि लड़िक्यां ही आगे माता होने वाली हैं और माता के ही जिस्से बच्चों की शिद्धा वा कास होता हैं। मैं तो यहां तक कहुंगा कि प्राथीमक शिचा के शिद्धकों का काम खास तार से स्त्रियों की ही दं दिया जाए, प्राइमरी स्कूलों में पूरुष शिचकों के बजाय स्त्री शिचक हों क्योंकि शरू में उनका ही बच्चों से सम्बन्ध रहता है। यदि शिवकों का काम बहनों को द दिया जाय तो मुभी उम्मीद हैं और आशा करता हूं कि बहुनें उस काम को वीनस्बत पुरुषों के बेहतर तरीव से कर सर्केंगी।

Primary Education

गत वक्त एक बात यह कही गई थी कि प्राथ मिक शिद्या के ऊपर केन्द्रीय सरकार का कुछ कंट्रोल हो। मैं इस बात को अच्छी तरह नहीं समभता क्योंकि प्राथीमक शिद्धा खासकर गांवीं तक पहुंचेगी और यदि गांवीं की शिद्धा का कंट्रोल दिल्ली से करना चाहें तो यह किसी प्रकार से चल नहीं सकता और वह हितकारी नहीं हो सकता। इसलिए मेरा तो यह सुभाव हैं कि प्राथमिक शिद्धा के बार में जहां तक हो सके उसमें ज्यादा से ज्यादा सहयोग शिवकों से लिया जाए। यही बेहतर हो सकता हैं। हम दृंखते हैं कि मजदूर कहते हैं कि मिलों के व्यवस्था के काम में उनका हिस्सा हो। बहुत सी जगह यह शिका-यत पेश की जाती हैं कि जो कारखाने होते हैं, जो मिलों में काम होता है उसमें वहां के काम करने वालों को, मजदूरों को, वहां के कामकाज में, वहां की दंख रंख में, हिस्सा नहीं दिया जाता है। उसके लिए मांग की जाती हैं तो जहां तक इन प्राइमरी शिवकों का सम्बन्ध हैं, जिन्हों ने कि शिद्धा के काम में अपनी उम गंवा दी. जिनमें बहुत से विशेषज्ञ भी हो सकते हैं, बहुत सं शिवण शास्त्री भी हो सकते हैं उन लोगों को इसप्राथमिक शिद्धा की व्यवस्था में किसी तरह का रिप्रेजेंट्रान, प्रीतिनिधित्व का हक न दिया अय यह मैं अच्छा नहीं समभ सकता।

(समय की घंटी)

मेरा तो सुभाव यह हैं कि मंत्री महोदय यह कोशिश कर कि पाथीमक शिवा की व्यास्था में शिचकों को प्रतिनिधिन्व दिया जाए और उनका उनके शिद्धा काम से ज्यादा सम्बन्ध रखा जाय और उनकी सलाह से, उनके मश्विर से, यह काम आगे बहुत कुछ बढ़ा सकते हैं। अगर एंसा किया जाए तो काम ठीक हो सकेगा एंसा में मानता हो।

MR. CHAIRMAN: That will do. Dr. Raghubir Sinh.

(Madhva DR. RAGHUBIR HITIS 1944. Bharat)': Mr. Chairman in when the tide of invasion through air-bombing planes that were retarding. England England was thought of reconstruction and postwar education, and a White paper was then presented to the Parliament. which actually began as follows:-

"Upon the education of people of this country the fate of the country depends."

And this warning was given to the nation when England was since more than half a decade spending a sum equivalent to Rs. 33/2 per head. Now, Sir, even today, we are spending not more than Rs. 3/4 per head. In India, the population is predominantly rural—82.7 per cent.

[Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair.]

Literacy is again 16.6 per cent. females, it is just 7.9 Among cent which goes down to 6.0 per cent among the rural areas. The number of children of school-going age receiving primary education. Sir, is not more than 41.9 per cent. And then. Sir, the number of girls receiving education is only 24.0 per cent. The First Five Year Plan has failed to make much headway. was expected to increase the number of such children to 60 per cent. But it is not likely to touch even the figure of 50 per cent. Sir, we are in the throes of the preparation of a Plan, and more than half of period allowed under article 45 has elapsed. The time has now come when the situation needs to be carefully and thoroughly reviewed. for this reason, Sir, that I strongly support the Resolution that is before the House.

Sir the first and foremost question that needs to be decided by the Commission is about the system to be followed in respect of primary education. I am sure my eminent friend there will say that the question has been settled, and a definite lead has already been given by the Congress Resolution on the basic education. I do not dispute that. In my opinion. Sir, today as many as three different systems of basic education are prevalent. There is, first of all Talim which was the Nai System enunciated by Zakir Saheb the other system is the one that was initiated by Sir John Sargent in the Sargent Report. Thirdly, the prevalent one is what I would the current type which varies from place to place depending on fads and fancies of the interpretors I know the Central of the system. Advisory Board of Education in March 1952 gave a lead by defining what the basic system is. But I am afraid that has not solved the problem, for no one else than Shri B. G. Kher, who was one of the architects of System, has had to Basic Education say this in his last address to the Primary Teachers' Conference. savs:

"One of the things about our education which has struck me is that there is no clear national policy and no definite objective, no uniformity of any kind in regard to 'the free and compulsory education for all children until they complete the age of 14 years' which is to be provided for according to Article 45 of our Constitution. Each State has its own policy or lack of it, and the nation drifts along according to the views of the person in charge for the time being."

Sir, lately, we find that a new interpretation of the whole system is being made and this I would call the "Saiyidain interpretation".

An. Hon. MEMBER: What is "Saiyidain interpretation"?

SHRI B. K P. SINHA: Salyidain originated it.

Dr. RAGHUBIR SINH: No. no. It was Zakır Saheb, not Mr. Saiyidaın.

Sır. I find all this confusion is mainly due to three reasons Firstly, the entire system is yet in its primitive stage or still in the making I would say it is very necessary now that the details should be settled, remaining principles still in outline or theory be worked out and all the essentials should be duly explained The Basic Education System Assessment Committee which has been recently set up by the Government has got its scope very much restricted. It has been asked to go about and see as to how the educational system has developed. But, it is not likely to find out or to suggest as to what should be done to bring about uniformity in system

Then the other thing is that there is an absolute lack of trained teachers and it is that thing because of which the whole scheme is unable to make any progress The fact is that, while I admit that the basic system may be the best, what is definitely as the best is often the worst of all. In mefficient hands it defirately deteriorates into something worse than what we have always complained of about the very much condemned present primary system I am not saying all this just from imagination I have seen facts. I know of schools that have deteriorated under these incompetent, incapable teachers and that is why I press the demand that something should be quickly done for this system

Supply of good trained teachers is a matter of time and cannot possibly be made good in all haste Now. Sir, the Deputy Mınıster told us here in this very House the other day, in answer to a question, that even after the next Five Year Plan has been completed and it has been fully worked out, no more than one-fourth

of the existing schools will be turned into basic schools and the number of students in the primary schools would not rise more than 50 per cent or 60 per cent at the most Now the question is Are we going to think of everything or nothing? it the idea of the Education Ministry that either they will basic system only or they will allow the present system to go Obviously enough we have got to let the present system also continue. I believe, as somebody too said, we want more and more schools in rural areas I daily see more and more schools being opened more than not under the scheme of finding the employment for educated unemployed. Now, these new schools are coming into existence and a satisfactory system is wanted for all these new schools Ιf we are going to improve the present system. I think much of the money that we are going to spend on it is going to nothing more than a Therefore, the most essential thing I would say is that the present system should be improved and a way out should be found by which, even if we are not able to convert all the schools that are going on now into basic system schools, we can improve the existing system so that it will be possible to give some education to the students

Finally, there are a few other questions also that will have to be taken up by this Commission No 1 is the question as to whether these primary schools should continue to remain in the hands of the local bodies remind the House that a Committee was appointed by the Ministry in 1951 or 1952, by whom this question was discussed in detail was presided over by Shri B G. Kher It presented a report with a dissenting note I do not know what Shri B. G. Kher thinks on the quest on, because the dissenting note says that Shri Kher's view was very much different that of the majority, for Mr Kher was unable to be present in the last few days But, Sir, I have got with me here a note under "Current Topics"

[Dr. Raghubir Sinh.] from "The Times of India" dated 31-5-55 which says: "Unbelievable thought it may sound, the period of the First Five Year Plan is likely to end in U.P. with fewer primary schools than there were when the Plan went into operation in 1951." Then again it says: "In 1956, there will be nearly a thousand primary schools fewer than in 1951." Finally it is "The experience of U.P. once again emphasises the need for State Governments to take over the primary schools themselves for direct management."

Commission on

Now, I do not say that it is correct. But what I point out is that it is a very live question and must be examined in detail and satisfactorily solved.

Then, again, there is one other question—a really major and very important one-the question of the wages of these primary teachers. As the House knows very well, there have been good many cases of these primary teachers going on strike and the Education Ministry had to intervene when there was a strike in the Punjab. There have been strikes at some other places also. Therefore. this matter has got to be set right, for, as far as I can say, the primary teacher is above all an integral factor of what may be called the National Educational Service and he cannot be completely ignored, especially when the Central Advisory Board of Education has laid down a minimum salary for the primary teacher. I think the Central Education Ministry cannot just let it go after that.

Now, I only want to say one thing and that is this: I am sure my friend there would be thinking in his mind that he can just brush aside what I have said by saying that this is not a State subject and he has got nothing to do with it

SHRI B. K. P. SINHA (Bihar): Do not anticipate.

SHRI RAGHUBIR SINH: Well. Sir. you are a lawyer, he is a lawyer and he too must be well aware that lawyers must anticipate.

Now, Sir, the question is.....

SHRI KANHAIYALAL D. VAIDYA (Madhya Bharat): We are law makers.

Dr. RAGHUBIR SINH: Yes, we also see what law-breakers will think of.

My submission to the Education Ministry is that this matter of education is vital and I do not say that the Central Ministry should control it, but it is very necessary that it should duly supervise. When I ask for this, I say that it is not unconstitutional, because we give grants-in-aid and they can be used for establishing supervisory authority In that respect I think everybody knows that federalism is most strongly entrenched in the United States of America and this is what has happened in U.S.A. I quote from a Book called 'The Growth of Constitutional Power in the United States':

"As a direct result of federal grants-in-aid and as an indirect result of the lack of a comparable amount of initiative on the part of the States, the federal government has become in many matters the architect of the policy administered by the States."

Then again in U.K....

Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is time, Dr. Raghubir Sinh, Shri Bhupesh Gupta.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA (West Bengal): Mr. Deputy Chairman, this is in a way a very important Resolution and I think we should speak on it and express our point of view with regard to primary education. Article 45 in the Directive Principles of our Constitution says:

"The State shall endeavour to provide, within a period of ten vears from the commencement of this Constitution, for free and compulsory education for all children until they complete the age of fourteen years."

This is what the Constitution lays Over 5½ years have passed since this Constitution came into force and today in the sixth year of the Constitution and also at a time when the First Five year Plan is coming to its completion, we are confronted with the question of reviewing the position of primary education. We should ask ourselves today as to where we stand vis-a-vis the objective of free compulsory education for our children until they complete the age of fourteen. Now I would only give you certain facts to impress upon the House the state of affairs that exist today. has been stated in the Draft Recommendations for the Second Plan by no other than Prof. Mahalanobis as follows:-

"Of children in the school-going age, less than half attend primary stage and less than one-fifth the secondary stage."

This is what he said. But from the reports of the Primary Teachers' Association, the picture would appear to be still more dark than what is depicted here because they say that only 40 per cent of the children of school-going age ever' attend schools. It means that sixty per cent do not have the opportunities in life even to cross the threshold of primary school. As you know, those who are fortunate enough to go to a primary school don't pass beyond the elementary stage and their education is cut short even before it had hardly begun. Then, what does it mean? It means that the Directive Principle in the Constitution is more observed in violation or disregard than in acceptance. This is what I want to say.

If we look at the reports of the Second Five Year Plan that we get from time to time, the picture would be even clearer than what I have said. You will find that the targets for the Plan are 38,059 additional primary

schools and 40 lakh additional primary students today. That is stated in the First Five Year Plan as the objective. As far as elementary and basic education is concerned, the target is 9,471 additional schools and 27 lakh additional students. Thus, taking the primary and the basic education schools together, we should have at the end of the First Five Year Plan period 47,500 additional schools and 67 additional students both in the primary and in the basic education schools. What do we find from the reports themselves? In the first 3 years only 16,226 primary schools were opened and the number of students went up by 9 lakhs. Now even according to the First Five Year Plan we should have in this period of three years at least 22,000 additional primary schools and 24 lakh additional students in the primary schools. The latest report-I have in mind the report covering the period April-September 1955—that report avoided giving certain relevant figures. Even there what you find is this. There, if we take all these figures into account and judge as to what we have done in the first 31 years of the Plan the picture is as follows. Only 20,000 primary and basic education schools were opened in the first 31 years of the Plan as against 47,500. I have already stated that the number of additional students in primary as well as secondary schools for the period is only 23 lakhs as against the Plan target of 67 lakhs. This is the performance under the First Five Year Plan. If you go into the figures a little deeply and analyse them, then you will find the actual number would be much less than 23 lakhs. We have got reports from Malabar for instance. which say that a number of primary schools under the District Boards are being closed down for various reasons. This is true of many other States. The progress reports of the Plan of course don't take these into account. the credit is shown and the debit is not shown at all. I don't know how the balance will stand out but assuming for argument's sake that no school has closed down, even so, we find that [Shri Bhupesh Gupta.] we are far far behind the targets that we have set under the First Five Year Plan or the target that should have been fulfilled in the 3½ years of the Fist Five Year Plan.

SHRI H P. SAKSENA (Uttar Pradesh): You will get a deficit balance.

We

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Yes, have deficits in so many things.

are living in a deficit regime. no wonder therefore that between 1951 and 1954 only 48.8 crores out of the estimates of 73 crores had been spent on primary education. In this period this comes to about 43 per cent of the total expenditure that is supposed to be incurred in the five year period. We should have spent in years at least 60 per cent of the total estimated amount. It is very interesting that when it comes to primary education and expenditure on account of that, we find that we are not in a posiallocated. This is, to say the least, a tion to spend even the money that is little scandalous for any Government. comes to At the same time when it police and other bureaucratic administration, time and again we are presented with Supplementary Grants not only meet the expenditure that is sanctioned but also to earlier meet additional demands. It speak well of those people who call themselves democratic and speak of Welfare what they call a building State. Here again I would like point out that last year the President of the Gujrat Primary Teachers' Association wrote a letter to the President of the Congress, at that time it was Jawaharlal Nehru, and his Secretary in reply to that letter which was published also, stated that the Prime Minister was greatly interested in the question of teachers and primary education because that education important and was the basis of all education. I think he was quite right in

taking that view of the matter. Then

in that letter it was also said that the

Government, in the Planning Commi-

"seriously considering the whole ques-

tion of primary education."

quote the words,

ssion, were, to

Then in this House, in reply to a question by Shri Mazumdar, the Minister said as follows:—

"The Government of India are aware of the present unsatisfactory salary standards of the teachers and have under examination the general question of the revision of the teachers' pay-scales."

All these things happened about a year ago and, therefore, I hope the Government will kindly explain to this House what exactly they have done in the course of this one year. Since the Prime Minister was greatly interested. and the Planning Commission was greatly concerned and since the Ministers were making admissions about the frightful state of affairs in regard to primary education. I think we are entitled to ask the Government after period of one year, what has been done to fulfil some of the objectives that they had set before themselves, and to give an account of their sincerity in this matter, for, as the saying goes, the proof of the pudding is in the eating.

It is very important, as I said, that this question of primary education should be gone into and here are a few points to which I would like to draw the attention of the Government in particular. First of all, primary education must be made free and compulsory, as is laid down by the Constitution. I say this, because in our country it is not possible to have primary education of a universal character until it is made free, for the simple reason that the majority of our people are not in a position today to find the wherewithal to give their children primary education. There are many facts here with me which I might give, but I will only refer to one statement occurring in the recommendations of Prof. Mahalanobis about the Second Five Year Plan Draft. There he has said:

"Half of the population of India or 135 million persons spend less than Rs. 13 per month on consumer goods and possibly half of this amount is consumed in kind or in the form of home-grown food or home-made articles."

Sir, when such is the condition, when such is the appallingly low standard of living of the people, you cannot expect them to find the money to make arrangements for educating their children. Therefore, Government should find more money; they should allocate more funds for the expansion of primary education. That is point number one.

Secondly, it has been suggested by the teachers' associations that at least 15 per cent of the Central Budget and 25 per cent of the State Budgets should be ear-marked for education and a good part of that should go to primary education.

Then changing the pattern of education is also of vital importance. But looking at the syllabus in the schools and the curricula there one finds that has changed in India, nothing we find the same old type of text books, teaching the same sort of things as of old, which completely out of place at present. Our students, Sir, should be imparted with a sense of national patriotism pride and their should roused and their education should be brought into line with present worldly life and it should the requirements of meet national reconstruction of our country. This matter of syllabus and curricula should never be left in the hands of a set of vested interests who carry on business rather than impart education to our coming generation.

Sir, the next question that I want to refer to is that of the teachers engaged in our primary schools. Sir, primary education in our country will never advance until we take into account the condition of the teachers there and make their lives at least a little better, a little more bearable than it is today. Sir, I have got a lot of figures, but I need only say that even according to the findings of the Central Advisory Board, it appears that the average earnings of a primary school teacher come to about Rs. 27. There have been cases where he gets only Rs. 8/3 per month. From my experience of what happens in West Bengal I may say that the highest paid primary teacher there, the headmaster who is a matriculate and a trained teacher gets only Rs. 50 per month as his basic wage and together with the allowances he gets only Rs. 62/8 in all. Those who are lower in the rung get less and the lowest gets only Rs. 20 as his basic wage and if you add the allowances a'so, in all he gets about Rs. 40/8. What does it mean? It means that the primary the highest paid among them, gets Rs. 40/8 per month as against Rs. 4,000 per month of a Secretary of the Government of India or, if I may say so.....

(Time bell rings.)

I will finish in another two minutes. Therefore, it would seem that they get about one-hundredth of what a Secretary to the Government of India gets and only about one-twentieth of what hon. Members of Parliament get. Sir, I think this does not require any more comments. In Orissa and other places, the story is the same, in Madras and in your part, Sir, it is the same Therefore, no one can expect that primary education in our country will advance when those men who are given the responsibility of moulding the character of the coming generation at a very formative stage are made to live under sub-human conditions and on the borderline of starvation. Is this the way to build up primary education in our country? It cannot be done.

In conclusion, Sir, I would only give two or three suggestions. One is that a commission should be appointed, a Primary Education Commission. This. Sir, is a very legitimate demand. Then the second is that the Central Government should not wash its hands clean responsibility. The should undertake its task of supervising and helping in every way the primary education system in our country, specially of providing cash. At the same time, it is necessary for the Government to see that teachers themselves are associated at all levels with J 773

[Shri Bhupesh Gupta.] the running and management of edu cational centres. It is these people who are running your schools and it is . cnese people who are to be brought into leadership in this matter. I think this is a very legitimate demand.

Then, as I have already said, primary education should be made free and the salaries of teachers should be increased. Also the text-books should be improved. Indeed they should be re-written in the light of what we are trying to achieve.

Sir, such are some of the many demands which I would like to place before Government. In conclusion I would refer the Government to publications of the All-India Primary Teachers' Federation in which many demands have been set forth and I do hope the Government will give attention to these demands and that at least a little bit is done for implementing and carrying out 'the Directives of our Constitution which are before us, that these principles are .not violated, but are observed.

BEGAM AIZAZ RASUL (Uttar Pradesh): Mr. Deputy Chairman, it has been said that the first essential for successful educative effort is that the community as a whole should have a true estimate of the nature and value of education. The value of education in a democratic State cannot be mini-.mised. The question of the nature of education is, of course, before the country. It will take some time for .us to settle down to new conditions. And as was said by my hon, friend Dr. Sina in his speech we have several kinds of primary education in our country, this being so, I rise to support this Resolution, because through it we can tackle this problem for which there is a crying need in the country.

Sir, according to our Constitution, education is mainly the concern of the States. But the Centre has the overall responsibility for co-ordinating and guiding the work of the States

that national policies can be evolved. The Centre, therefore, cannot give up its responsibility in this respect. That the Centre also realises its responsibility is borne out by the fact that committees have been appointed to go into this question and in the year 1951, the Central Advisory Board of Education appointed a committee to go into the question of the relationship between the State Governments and the local bodies, in the administration of primary education. This Committee in its Report has said:

"The principle of federal responsibility for primary education is supported by several weighty considerations. To begin with, federal aid for primary education is claimed on the ground of financial equity. Usually, the Federal Government is assigned the best and the largest financial resources of the country and collects its revenues in all parts of the country. This is particularly true of India. It is, therefore, urged that the local areas and the States have a right to demand that some of the funds raised by the Federal Government in their areas should be floated back through a system of grant-in-aid in order to enable them undertake development grammes like universal compulsory education."

It goes on to say, "But now it is discovered that, like the communities, the States themselves are unequal not only in educational achievement but in the capacity to support a programme of compulsory education as well. It is, therefore, urged that unless the Federal Government steps in, accepts financial responsibility for compulsory education, and institutes a proper system of grants-in-aid, equality of educational opportunity cannot be provided at the State level. Lastly, it is pointed out that the Constitution of India definitely supports federal aid for education. Article 45 provides that the 'State' shall endeavour to provide universal compulsory education and the word 'State' is explicitly defined to include the Federal Government, the

local State Governments, and the The Federal Government is thus clearly made responsible for universal and compulsory education and obviously, the one effective way which it can discharge this responsibility is to provide federal aid for primary education." Sir, I may be excused for again quoting this Report. Dealing with the important matter of Central responsibility towards primary education, the Report says, "To sum :au We recommend that the Union Government should assign specific grants for universal and compulsory primary education in the States; and that such grants should be based on the principle of equalisation; and that a preliminary investigation to ascertain the nature and extent of such grants should be undertaken without delay.

Commission on

* * * *

"Since the Federal Government has taken no effective steps to fulfil its responsibilities in this respect and as the local bodies are unable to make a large contribution to the support of primary education, the main responsibility for financing primary education falls upon the State Governments at present. It also appears that this position will remain substantially unchanged for some years to come".

It will be seen that there is a vast difference in the method and advance of compulsory primary education in the different States in India. Our first Five Year Plan says, "The existing educational facilities are obviously inadequate. They provide only for 40 per cent of the children in the age group 6-11, 10 per cent in the age group 11-17, and 0:9 per cent in the age group 17-23, whereas the Constitution requires that within 10 years of its commencement free and compulsory education should be provided for all children up to the age of fourteen." This was implicitly laid down in our first Five Year Plan but then it goes on to say:

"The Commission recommends that a serious attempt be made to achieve the following 'road targets subject to such modifications as may be required to suit local conditions:

(1) By the end of the period covered by the Five Year Plan, educational facilities should be provided for at least 60 per cent of the children in the age group 6—11. These should be extended as early as possible to cover the age group 6—14. The percentage of girls in the age group 6—11 should be raised from 23·3 in 1950-51 to 40 in 1955-56."

Therefore, Sir, the necessity for these things is entirely recognised. I find that in 1950-51 there were 2,10,112 primary schools all over India with a total number of 18.352.383 students and the expenditure on these primary schools was to the tune of about 36.71 crores of rupees. In some States compulsory education has been in existence for the last five or six years. In certain parts of Assam, West Bengal, Bihar, Bombay, M.P. Orissa, Punjab, U.P., Madras, Mysore, Delhi and Travancore-Cochin, students in the age group 6-11 are receiving compulsory primary education. According to the Progress Report for the year 1953-54, total amount spent for the period 1951-54 was Rs. 40:8 that is in 1951-52 it was Rs. crores, in 1952-53 it was Rs. 13.0 crores, in 1953-54 it was Rs. crores that gives a total of Rs. 40.8 crores for the period 1951-54. The revised estimates for primary education for the period 1951-56 is now put at Rs. 73 crores; that means that an additional sum of about Rs. 20 crores would have been spent from 1954-56. The Progress Report also states, "The plans of all the States put together would, if successfully implemented, lead by 1955-56 to the opening of 38,059 additional primary schools as against 16,276 primary schools attended by about 9 lakh pupils opened by the end of 1953." I am quoting all these figures in order to show that there has been increase in the expenditure on primary education in the States, in fact it is nearly double but I do not find any aid having been

[Begam Aizaz Rasul.] given from the Centre for primary education.

As I have not got much time, I will not take up other issues or quote facts and ngures but the Report called 'The Seventh Year of Freedom' gives facts and figures regarding primary education and we find a very appreciable increase in the number of institutions for primary education. One hon. Member specifically mentioned the case of U.P. I would like to tell him that U.P. has increased very appreciably the number of primary institutions and the number of students that are receiving education.

DR. RAGHUBIR SINH: I did not vouch for its correctness but it was a statement in "The Times of India".

BEGAM AIZAZ RASUL: I would like to say also that the U.P. Government has made a stipulation that there should be a change in the system of education. primary Government issued directions to every primary school that from the commencement of the academic session, every schoolespecially in view of the agricultural aspects of the country-should have attached to it a farm of 5 acres. This was also to ameliorate the conditions of the teachers and the boys of the primary schools. I need not go into the figures of the States but I would like to draw the attention of the House to the proceedings of the 20th and 21st meeting of the Central Advisory Council of Education in India.

There was a very important memorandum that was submitted to this Board and that was to consider the progress of free and compulsory education in the country and the special measures to be devised to achieve the target of providing compulsory and free primary education by 1960 as provided in article 45 of the Constitution and it requested the Ministry of Education to urge the Planning Commission to make more adequate provision for education, particularly for universal free and compulsory education during the second Five Year Plan.

The Board was of the view that special consideration should be given to the States that were economically or otherwise less developed. It has also dealt with the Directives of the Constitution and the duty of the States.

So ail these points that I have brought out show that the duty of the Central Government is implicit and although it is being recognised by the Education Ministry, they have a very great responsibility in this matter of primary education.

As I said, Sir, in a democratic State it is more necessary even because our people should at least be able to read and write, at least be able to read newspapers to find out what is happening in the world day by day and also be able to cast their votes properly. The necessity of this has been emphasized through ages.

I would have also supported Mr. Sarwate's amendment, but the reason why I do not support it is not because I do not realize the necessity and the importance of it but because I find that it is not possible at this stage to implement it. We should first of all have at least compulsory free primary education in our country before we think of other things. I know that money will have to be found for these things. The second Five Year Plan has said in its tentative proposals that the number of pupils in schools between the ages of 6 to 14 will increase from about 29 millions in 1955-56 to about 46 millions in 1960-61. So we have to realize the financial implications of the whole thing, but in spite that, as I have said, the Centre must allocate some funds for primary education in the States.

SHRI B. M. GUPTE (Bombay): Sir, being one of the persons who had sponsored this Resolution I, of course, support it. It can very well be argued that this Commission is overdue. In fact it should have preceded the University Education Commission and the Secondary Education Commission

£779

because, as has been emphasized by all the persons who have preceded me, primary education is of vital importance to our infant democracy. If our country is to be spared the trouble, the expense and the risk of an illiterate democracy, the spread of primary education has to be speeded up very rapidly. It was with this view that the Directive Principle was inserted in our Constitution about providing within 10 years primary education to all school-going children up to the age of 14 years. Now we are half-way through the period and we are far from the goal. Even at the time of the Constitution-making there were some of us who objected to setting the limit of ten years, but at that time it was believed that there ought to be some driving force and a definite time limit would provide that driving force. and therefore caution had to yield to enthusiasm. I personally do not mind if we are not able to keep to the schedule and the period is extended by a few years more. But the problem is not there. The real problem is the question of finance and the question of finance is practically dependent upon the question of the remuneration of the teachers and the improvement of the quality of teaching in rural schools, because in the case of primary education the teachers' salary is the major portion of the cost of that education. Although I sympathise with the demand of the teachers better pay, I can point out that in my State at least. that the ïs, in State of Bombay, much has been done another States however respect. In much remains to be done. Though I sympathise with this demand, it must be admitted that if by increasing the salaries of the teachers to a pitch where we shall make it impossible financially to spread the education, then, of course, the teachers reconsider the position. As I said, I sympathise with them and certainly not only more schools should be opened but the teachers must be made more contented. That is quite true because while a discontented servant is always a nuisance a discontented

teacher is a danger, not only a nuisance but a danger, because we place our children in their hands at an impressionable age and if the teachers do not carry out their duties in the proper spirit, then naturally the rising generation would not rise to the stature we expect of it. It is the teacher that counts. I can just give an example though it happened a long time ago. At the very outset of my career I was the president of a taluka local board and I often visited the villages for the inspection of the works of the board. Then at that time when I was going to the villages it sometimes happened that as soon as the children spotted me they began to run to their school. accosted them, I called them enquired why they were running to They said that the school. teacher had told them, "As soon as you see an outsider or visitor you Till then come away to the school. playing." And then you can go on when I went to the school I found the teacher dozing. I told him: For God's sake don't do this. You can hold the classes for a fewer number of hours; you can hold the school for a fewer number of days. but for God's sake don't teach them falsehood from the very beginning. I therefore say that this is an important task that they should be made contented and they made to discharge should be duty in the proper spirit, but at the same time I realize the difficulties of finance. However, anyhow those difficulties and the demands of the teachers must be reconciled. A Commission would not be necessary for the purpose if it were a question of finance only. The Commission is necessary for the purpose of determining the kind of primary education that is to imparted. In this connection I find that there is a divergence in the principles or in the policy followed by the Centre and in the implementation of that policy in the States. As far as I see, the Centre has resolved that basic education should be the pattern of our primary education for the future. But in the States this policy is being implemented in a very half-hearted and haphazard manner. Any good [Shri B. M. Gupte.]

policy, if it is not followed vigorously and if it is not followed zealously, then it cannot yield the desired results, and that has happened in this case. In most of the States the personnel of the Education Department are against bacic scheme; if they are not defileast they nitely hostile, at apathetic. Such is the case with also. When some Ministers enthusiasm Minister comes with about basic education. then starts new schools, starts a training college for basic school teachers, and later on another Minister comes in his place, and if he does not believe in it. then he allows all those institutions to languish and in my own district a basic school has closed down. So all the energy and all the resources are frittered away and they are frittered away at a time when we have not adequate resources for our purpose. I therefore think that the time has come when once for all this question must be settled whether we go in for basic education or not. I do not propose to go into the merits of the case today as the Resolution before us does not require a discussion on whether we should adopt the scheme of basic education or the orthodox scheme, but anyhow scheme must be adopted and vigorously sipshed throughout the country. Plipshod manner and the half-hearted manner in which the basic education scheme is being implemented today is yielding no results; on the contrary it is frittering away our resources. As far as the basic scheme is concerned.....

SHRI KISHEN CHAND (Hvderabad): May I make a suggestion here that we sit through the lunch hour? view of the fact that have spent one hour in Question time—normally Friday on а Question Hour-we i_S no may sit through the lunch hour and make up for the time lost. Moreover this is a very important Resolution and many would like to speak. There are the other Resolutions also.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Can we have this one hour beyond 5, that is, up to 6 P. M.? Or this can be carried to the next day. There is another non-official day.

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY (Mysore): It can be carried over to the next day if the debate is not finished.

DR. SHRIMATI SEETA PARMA-NAND (Madhya Pradesh): It is not fair to the other Resolutions.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I suggest that we have the rest of the day today and if necessary we can carry it over also.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, no, we can't have both. Is the House willing to sit through the lunch hour?

Hon. MEMBERS: No, no.

SHRIMATI CHANDRAVATI LAKH-ANPAL (Uttar Pradesh): Not during the lunch hour.

SHRI KISHEN CHAND: Those who do not want to sit, they can go.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Then it will be carried over.

The House then adjourned for lunch at one of the clock.

The House re-assembled after lunch at half past two of the clock, Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair.

Shri B. M. GUPTE: Sir, when we adjourned, I was referring to the point that it is not necessary for the purpose of this Resolution to go into the merits and demerits of the basic education scheme but at the same time I feel that there is a very sound idea behind that scheme and that idea is learning through doing or educt ion through

work. It is universally accepted principle and even such high authorities as the University Commission and the Secondary Education Commission have both endorsed it. But it is one thing to have a good principle behind a scheme and it is another thing to have the principle properly worked Of course, it is admitted that merely teaching of a craft does not make a basic school; but I must confess that I have not yet seen one school which is a proper basic school, that is, a school in which the teaching of all the subjects like History Geography is integrated into the teaching of the craft, where these subjects are taught through a craft. 1 have not seen it but I do not mean to say that therefore it does not exist; for, I have not gone in search of it with a determination to find it. May be, it is existing, but at the same time it must be admitted that many schools pass for basic schools are not genuine variety and when we adopt a principle unless we have got a genuine variety we shall not reap the advantages expected of that scheme. I therefore submit that it should be the business of the Commission to study this problem taking into consideration all these facts and all these practical difficulties and the attitude of the Department through which the scheme has to be worked out, and also the financial implications. expected that the scheme would pay for itself but it was later on found that it was not so. So if the basic becomes so costly school that the spread of primary education retarded. then we have to abandon it. After all the number of people who would benefit by it is the real criterion. Therefore I submit that it should be the business of the Commission to enquire into all these matters and once for all determine whether we go in for basic whether we go in schools or ordinary orthodox primary schools. Once that is determined, then it should be the policy of the Centre to see that it is vigorous'y and consistently followed. It is no use following a policy in slip-shod, half-hearted and

haphazard manner. However good a policy may be, if it is implemented in. an anaemic way, then it will not yield the desired results. With these observations I, Sir, would support Resolution and I hope that the Government will appoint a Commission without any delay.

Primary Education

DR. SHRIMATI SEETA PARMA-NAND: Mr. Deputy Chairman, I have no hesitation in saying that this Resolution focuses attention on a very important question and I would naturally expect the Government to give some assurance, even if as usual it says that it would not be possible toaccept the Resolution, that it will take all steps to allay the anxiety that hon. Members and people of thiscountry feel over this matter. At the same time, Mr. Deputy Chairman, I am sorry that I am not able to support the demand that a Commission should be appointed for this purpose because I feel that we have more than enough data with us already and the appointment of a Commission would only be a delaying factor. Sir, it was said formerly in the days of the British that if you want to postpone a decision on a matter, the best way to do that would be to appoint a Commission and: to some extent it does happen even today, because the Commission must take some time to prepare and study the terms of reference, go on tour meet people and then prepare a report. Again, we have already had two education Commissions, the University Education Commission and the Secondary Education Commission. And all that has happened is that we go on. perpetually asking questions why a certain recommendation is not accepted or what is being done with regard to certain other recommendations. We all know that the various Education Ministers are meeting in conferences severa' times a year, sometimes twice or thrice; then the Chief Ministers are meeting and the Vice-Chancellors and Head Masters too; then there is the all-India Educational Conference,-and on all these occasions all the three categories of education are discussed. And what is more, members of the

[Dr. Shrimati Seeta Parmanand.] Education Department often go and preside at conferences and they are able to hear the views of the people in different spheres. If I may point out. the hon, the Deputy Minister himself was presiding over a section of this Education Conference at while Mr. Saiyidain was the President of the whole conference. So Government and the country are fully seized of this question. They are aware of the various problems and there are also before us many suggestions for solution. So what is the thing that the Primary Education Commission proposed to be set up will be able to point out anew, which is not known already?

Then there is another objection to appointing a Primary Education Commission at this stage. We would be setting a bad example by appointing now. When we appointed the Secondary Education Commission after the University Education Commission we were criticised and people remarkironically whether the next step would not be the appointment of a Primary Education Commission, Moreover, the terms of reference of the 'Secondary Education Commission of necessity included various matters concerning primary education 'those who have seen the evidence and studied the questionnaire and themselves replied to the questions will be able to see how the various vital prob'em; connected with primary education had also to be considered along with secondary education in order to make connected and concrete suggestions with regard to that. Of course, the question of primary teachers' salaries is a separate matter and was not covered by Secondary Education *Commission. There are some other questions too for instance, if you were to look at the text-books etc. which are being prescribed for the children and at the note books and other paraphernalia required even for a first or second grade primary school student, you would be staggered at ine cost which a parent has to bear. Apart from making primary education

free, we have planned it in such a way that the cost of education to the parent has become almost prohibitive. Barring that question-which I think the State Governments are very competent to tackle-I do not see what other thing is there which it is not possible for the Government and the people to appreciate and to know through these various means mentioned already. As I have said, there is hardly anything which is already not in existence but which the Commission would be able to find out. Sir. education is one of the subjects on which there are various organised bodies and institutes through which opinions are always being expressed. The press also is one of the means through which the reports of these conferences and other grievances are always being perpetually ventilated. So, from that point of view I feel that a Primary Education Commission at this stage will not be of much use. It will only add to the expenditure. And then in this House we will come forward and ask questions as to what the cost was which the Government incurred over such and such a Commission and why it was that much and so on, as we are doing with regard to the other Commission in this respect, that is, the Secondary Education Commission.

Moreover, there are other practical difficulties which though they are rather unfortunate I have to mention so that we have a realistic picture. With the present poverty in the country and the economic conditions we all know that the mortality statistics of children up to 12 shows that as many as fifty per cent and of the children do not reach the age of 12 i.e. almost half of the children do not reach that age.

SHRI H. P. SAKSENA: Are you sure?

DR. SHRIMATI SEETA PARMA-NAND: I am sure it is some very staggering figure. so, for the time being in the villages at least, rather than this Commission recommeding compulsory education, I would suggest

that for the next ten years the education resources should be directed towards social education. That would be more useful to the majority of the people. That does not mean that the present expenditure on programme has to be slackened.

Then, Sir, it has already been found that even if we wanted to make primary education compulsory to fulfil article 45-Directive Principle of the Constitution, it would be impossible to find the required teachers to give according to our present education ideas of giving education. That is, we do not believe in doing anything which is in any way less than the standard prescribed. And in this connection unless we decide to do that-that can be decided only at the State level-it would not be possible to give compulsory primary education with the availability of trained teachers today. Are these teachers educated enough to meet the demand? Besides that, there is another question. Even if the Centre decides to give help for primary education to States, the problems of different States in this respect are different according to their own development. For instance, the problems of Bombay State, Madras State or Travancore-Cochin State would not be the same as those of Madhya Pradesh, Vindhya Pradesh or Assam. latter States being backward comparatively will require certainly more attention and as such these problems can be solved, I feel, by the States themselves. These States are themselves appointing and have appointed Commissions for this purpose and they have decided to follow their own policies. From what little I know of the attitude of State administrators towards subjects which are entrusted to them, I can say that they certainly will not like to hand over this particular question to the Centre. Even if they take the money from the Centre they would like to follow their own policy, because I have heard certain State Ministers and State authorities saying that they would do just what they like in subjects on the State list. If the Centre wanted to interfere too

much, the Centre could keep its own money. So, this consciousness managing their own affairs according to their own needs and requirements is so deep that a Central policy about education may not necessarily be liked followed. As such I feel apart from taking up this question at the different levels which are available to the Government-such as the Central Advisory Board of Education, and other conferences that are held in the country on different types of education -- University, Secondary, and Primary -there would not be anything very much that would be gained by the appointment of the Commission.

I would not like to be misunderstood that I am not aware of the gravity of the situation viz. the deplorable condition of primary education. But I feel that the way of tackling the situation would certainly not be through the appointment of a fresh Commission, but through seeking solution through various other channels. And for that all the representatives of the people in the various Legislatures-be they at the Centre or in the States-will have to strive in this direction, by creating an urge for education, more than what they are doing today and then alone the question would be solved. Thank vou, Sir.

श्री गोपीकृष्ण विजवनींच (मध्य भारत) : उपसभापित महोद्य. श्रीमती सींता परमानन्द ने जो कठिनाइयां कमीशन कायम करने के मागं में बतलायीं, वे मुभे कुछ जचीं नहीं। मेरा ख्याल यह हैं कि जिस प्रकार सेकेंड्री एजुकेशन के लिये कमीशन कायम हुआ और यूनिवर्सिटी एज्केशन के लिये भी कायम हुआ, जिसकी रिपोर्ट भी निकल गई हैं वैसे ही एलीमेंट्री एज्केशन के बारें में अगर एक कमीशन कायम हो जाय तो उससे बहुत फायदा होगा।

बीसक एज्केशन का प्रयोग कई जगह हो रहा हैं और अलग अलग प्रान्तों में अलग अलग ढंग से बीसक एज्केशन का काम चल रहा हैं। इस विषय में कोई खास सिद्धांत या तरीका तय हो जाना चाहियं और यह काम तभी हो सकता हैं • [श्री गोपीकृष्ण विजयवगरिय]

जब केन्द्र की तरफ से एक कमीशन कायम हो। कमीशन तो केवल सलाह दंगा और उस्ली बातों को डिस्कस करेगा। इससे हम कोई खर्चा केन्द्र के ऊपर नहीं लाद रहे हैं । जो उसकी जिम्मेदारी कां आर्डिनेट करने की और गाइड स देने की हैं, उतनी ही जिम्मेदारी केन्द्र पर आती हैं। इस लिये कमीशन कायम करने में कोर्ड कठिनाई होगी, एंसा में नहीं समभता । इस विषय में मिस्टर सरवर ने जो संशोधन रखा है वह भी उचित हैं कि प्रि-प्राइमरी स्टंज में या नर्सरी स्टंज में भी जो बच्चों के लिये तालीम हो उसकी ओर भी विशेष ध्यान दुने की आवश्यकता हैं। इन दोनों वर्गा में जो शिद्या हो वह किस प्रकार की हो, क्या हो, इसके लिये मेरे ख्याल से यह उचित हैं कि एक कमीशन कायम किया जाय। इस विषय में सरकार को कठिनाई में हालने की मेरं विचार से किसी भी मंम्बर की मंशा नहीं हैं। इसीलये एज्केशन के सम्बन्ध में जैसे और कमीशन कायम हुचे वेंसे ही प्राइमरी एज्केशन के लिये भी कमीशन कायम किया जाय । और यह जो प्रस्ताव हैं इसको अगर मंजूर कर लिया जाय तो अच्छा हैं। बस मुभे इतना ही कहना है।

Shri N. D. M. PRASADARAO (Andhra): Sir, I support this Resolution wholeheartedly. I do not want to go into the aims of primary education and all those things because the time is limited. But I want to bring to the notice of the House what some eminent educationists have also stressed A committee has been formed by the Andhra Government, called the Elementary Education Committee. They have said that the primary education is directly related to the economic conditions of the masses. This is what the committee says:

"Unless the homes of the industrial and agricultural workers are enriched, there is very little scope for the advancement of our country. The chief means of such enrichment is through primary education, giving proper facilities for the children and

fundamental education, giving proper facilities for the adults."

Again, the Committee continues and says:

"The proper development of the individual depends upon the provision of suitable environment for his growth. The need for the proper facilities environmental becomes imperative for the children who are coming from the backward homes. These homes are poor not only economically but culturally and socia-Consequently, any beneficial system of education should provide for the best kind of environment for as long a period as possible for the children coming from such backward homes."

If you look into the figures of boys and girls going to these primary schools, you will find that they are large in the first and the second standard. But as they proceed to the and standard fourth third gradually the figure upwards, decreases. I can quote some figures relating to Andhra. They are very revealing figures. Between the ages of 6 and 11 years, the number of boys and girls attending schools is 63.3 per dent. and between the ages of 11 and 14 years, their number is only 8.4 per cent. That shows that a great majority of the boys and girls who desire to be educated cannot pursue their studies because of the poor economic condition of the masses. Therefore, if we really want to promote primary education, it is quite necessary that proper facilities be given to them. We know that the great majority of our people in the villages are peasants and agricultural labourers, and their condition is so poor that even the children have to assist their parents in their daily Therefore, unless we relieve living. these poor people of these difficulties and provide proper facilities their children to be able to attend their schools, primary education cannot make any advance. It is very necessary to provide mid-day meals, free books and other things, to the

children. In this way, these children have to be helped and encouraged. Thus, their parents also will be encouraged to send them to the schools. There may be innumerable schools in the villages, but they exist there only for name sake. And how horrible the condition of those schools is will be known from the Report itself Sir, the Report says:

"We found one school in Guntur District managed by the District Board located in a cattle-shed, onethird of the shed being occupied by two buffaloes, and the other twothirds by 97 children. The buffaloes had more space between them than the children. We learnt that this was a rich village. We were aghast to see about 30 children of the tender ages of 6 and 7 sitting on nearly two inches of dust in an aidedschool in Visakhapatnam municipal area "

So, Sir, this is the condition of the primary schools. It is, therefore, clear that unless the Government comes to the aid of these villages, the promotion of primary education cannot proceed.

Sir, I would draw your attention to another thing. Why is this primary education not making any quick progress? One of the reasons for that is that the selection of the language used for educating these small children is not proper. There is a great controversy about the language question, especially in my part of the country. The question is which language should be used—whether it should be colloquial or whether it should be classical. whether it should be Grantham or Vyavaharikam There has been a long continuersy over this question, and it has not yet been settled. And even in the elementary stages we find that the language used is highly classical which cannot be understood, not only by young boys and girls, but even by adults So, it is quite necessary that this language should be simplified. It should be such that the young children can easily understand everything

Then, Sir, there is a lot of wastage going on. I have already quoted some figures of the children who attend the schools. A majority of the children of the school-going age do not attend the schools. I do not wish to go into this aspect of the question, but the point that I want to bring to the notice of this House is that the money spent on educating these boys up to the first and the second standard is, more or less, wasted, because proper facilities are not being given to them, so that they can prosecute their studies fur-So, a lot of money is being wasted in this manner. And that is one of the remarks made by the Elementary Education Committee also. Therefore the Government should see to it that all the children of the schoolgoing age are properly educated. And more and more financial aid should be given to such children

Sir, there is one more thing that I want to bring to the notice of the House, and that is about the deplorable service conditions of the teachers. This point has already been dealt with by some of the speakers who spoke But I have also to say betore me. something with regard to it, from the experience that I have gained with regard to my State the State of Sir, generally, there is a Andhra saying there that whoever has got no living can take to this profession and become a teacher. Hence we find that a large number of untrained people also have taken to this profession of teaching, because they have no other living.

Sir, in Andhra, we find that a great majority of the schools run are what are called aided-schools. The number of the Government-run schools is 660. the number of the District Board schools is 6,799, and the number of the aided-schools is 9,578 They are more than 50 per cent. of all the elementary schools in the entire State. But what are the living conditions of the teachers in these elementary schools, and particularly in the so-called aided-The salaries that are given schools? to the teachers are very very low.

[Shri N D M Prasadarao]

Commission on

This fact has been admitted by even Prof Humayun Kabir who said in the All-India Primary School Teachers Conference that these elementary should be properly school teachers He expressed his personal opinion by saying that a higher grade school teacher should be paid Rs. 65 secondary grade per month, and a school teacher should be paid Rs. 75 per month. Recently also a conference was held-of the Secretaries of the Education Departments and the Directors of Education of the various States -where a salary of Rs. 50 was recommended for the trained teachers. But what do we find in Andhra? We find that the school teachers in the aidedschools are paid only Rs. 27 to Rs. 30 with an increment of half a rupee, and the school teachers in the Local Board schools are paid only Rs. 30 to Rs. 45 with an increment of one rupee, and the school teachers in the Government schools are paid Rs 30 to Rs 50 with increment of one rupee. figures show the horrible conditions of our teachers: and even these small salaries are not being paid to them properly The number of the District Board schools is not small—it is more than 6 000

3 PM

The Committee says:

"On the other hand, the teachers working in the District schools bitterly complained regarding delays in payment of salaries in We found, in some places, time that even in the last week of the month the teachers had not received the salaries of the previous month."

So this is the condition of the teachers of the District Board Schools.

if we look at the fate of these school teachers of the aided schools, it is much worse I say that the teachers of these schools are mere slaves of the managers of the schools and on the authority of this Committee itself, I can say that these managers eat away most of the grants that are

given by the Government. And the Committee also have said that they are not really grants-in-aid schools, Government schools, because managers do not pay even a single pie from their pockets towards the maintenance of the schools, run the whole show with the money grabbed from the Government itself and even from that money these managers take away something. This is what the Committee says:

"Individual teachers have complained that they are forced to pay the institutions as well as the individual managers Rs 3 to Rs 5 and some times even as much as Rs. 10 out of the grant. The management deducts this amount and pays only the balance"

So this is the condition of the school teachers

In such circumstances, how can we expect them to take proper care of the children and their education? fore in many cases, you will find that the school teachers will take up some other profession also, some other side-In many places, you eai ning find that they become touts or clerks or do something else. So, for the most part of the day, they do not sit in classes, but go out and work When there is inspection, they will collect some children Therefore, it is quite necessary, as the Committee has also recommended, that all the schools, at the primary stage, must be taken over by the Government and run by them.

Sir, apart from this, there are cerdemands of the school tain other teachers Generally we find in case of Government servants.

(Time bell rings)

more, Sır Two minutes Certain amenities are provided for them by the Government, like Provident Fund. Pension and such other things the school teachers have got nothing of that sort So the teachers demand. and rightly so, that these facilities

which are given to Government servants should also be given to them. Similarly, they demand that the scales of pay recommended by the Pay Commission should also be given to them.

Sir, I come to the last point that all the text-books, we find, are generally changed year to year or once in two or three years and in doing so, there takes place a lot of corruption, a lot of bribery and other things. Generally, whoever is in power will recommend the text-books to be prescribed, which are to be published by particular companies. Sir, recently, this has become a scandal and even the Ministers have not escaped from this blame. Recently some cases have been reported in the press also about some Ministers in Andhra commending about some books published by the Kaviraja Publications of Tenali or the Jacobine Publications of Tenali and ordering that text-books be published by them and they have compelled the Special Officers also to do that. Therefore, this thing can be ended only when the Government itself takes over the publication of the text-books. Thank you, Sir.

Prof. N. R. MALKANI (Nominated): Sir, I wish I had been present at the last meeting, because then I would have moved an amendment to this Resolution. But it is too late now. Therefore, I will confine my remarks to what I would have said and what amendment I would have moved if I had been present then.

We all know—know it very well—that the present educational system is a wasteful; it is inadequate. But what to my mind appears to be the greatest evil is our confusion about what we want. Today, there are, to my mind, two systems in existence, both competitive, and two other systems, just on the door-step, pressing that they should also be recognized. There is the ordinary primary system with lower primary and upper middle and so on. There is again this, so-called—I call it so-called—basic

education, junior and senior. There is the Rajaji Scheme which I would call preparatory basic education for the common man. That is also there, and we cannot ignore it. It is there. It has some importance and it has significance also. Even as it is, there is the Wardha type, there is the Jamia Milia basic, there is the Gandhigram type basic and the actual basic which is only just paying a few charkhas and putting them in the store-room. That is the most common type of basic aducation.

There was also a type of scheme suggested by Mr. Deshmukh, the Deshmukh Scheme, for the Madhya Pradesh. It did not come to us, but it was there. It was also knocking at the door for admission. It was an important scheme.

Our minds are not quite clear as to what we want and today there are two rival schemes and two others are trying to enter. We do not know what we really want. Therefore, I say that a Commission is absolutely necessary to remove our confusion and bewilderment as to what we actually want. It is not good as it is. It is not enough. But what do we want? That I do not know and I am not sure whether the hon. Member who opposed it knows it himself.

Dr. RAGHUBIR SINH: I am not opposing it.

Prof. N. R. MALKANI: I mean the Minister. Excuse me. I should have been very clear about this. I mean the Minister. I do not think even the Minister is clear.

I come to the point again. What amendment would I have introduced to this Resolution? This Resolution to my mind, is not half as good as the provision in the Constitution.

It says under article 45:

"The State shall endeavour to provide, within a period of ten years from the commencement of this Constitution, for free and compulsory education for all children

[Prof. N. R. Malkani.] until they complete the age of fourteen years."

It is the age of 14 years. But it is also the words 'ten years'; it is very definite. It is very clear. But what type of education? That is what we want to know. I prefer this article very much more to the Resolution that is before us. The Resolution is very vague; but on the important and material point-what kind of education—it is silent. What period? Within what period is it to be intro-'Ten years'; and the course to last for how many years? Up to fourteen. This too is not clear.

But I would say that the most important function of such a Commission would be not to tell us the pattern of education. I think Government is sure of the pattern. There seems to be not a 'basic system of education' but a pattern of the basic system. I would say 'pattern' because it has become more fashionable. We do not say 'Socialism', but 'Socialistic pattern of society.' So, we say 'basic pattern of education' so that it will have flexibility. And I am quite serious about it for the reason that there can be a number of varieties of the pattern; there can only be a variety of There would set pattern. be a variety for rural areas and a variety for urban areas. They must be of the same pattern, but with a different variety, with a different emphasis. There would be a variety, say, for the Tribals. I think Rajaji has said something extremely wise on this, as he always does. He has said that there are millions of children in families called the 'under-privileged' who do not know any type of education. It is a new thing for them. My own children were born to it.

DR RADHA KUMUD MOOKERJI (Nominated): The fundamentals education are universal.

PROF. N. R. MALKANI: But there are varieties of a universal. He said that the approach to different classes

should be different. The approach to a child from an un-privileged class should be different. The approach to Malkani's child or Ranga's child will be different. So also, in basic education, whether the emphasis will be on spinning or whether it will be spinning intensive, I want to know. In Wardha there is something called spinning intensive; somewhere else is agriculture intensive; somewhere elso there is no intensive. I would therefore want the Commission to go into these matters, but not to tell us the pattern. The pattern is already there. There must be a directive to the Commission, as was given to the Kher Commission, that Hindi is to be the official language and how, when and in what progression we must have it. They must go into it. We say here that the pattern should be basic education but what, when, of what variety and in what circumstances of the State etc. We must find out and therefore we want the Commission because our brain is completely confused about the variety. Mine is confused and I want to know exactly the differkinds of approaches and the different varieties of basic pattern of education. For instance, take girls' education. Again what would be the place of Hindi in that pattern of education and where is it to start? I read a few days ago what Gandhiji said. He said that it was to be from the fifth year of the child. Now perhaps it is too fast. It is breathless and even I thought it was rather breathless and I think Prof. Ranga too has gone off his breath. I have made up my mind. I would say that the child's mothertongue is something different-not Hindi. I don't know, it may be the sixth or the seventh year. For the South it may be the seventh and for another region it may be the sixth year, but I would like the Commission to tell us what it should be for different kinds of children in the different regions. It may be the third class for some and it may be the second class in other regions. Now I think of the Sindhi child. A Sindhi child, if he is put to Hindi in the second class i.e., in the sixth year, would take to it

very easily because he has no other language, Sindhi language is dying. There are a number of points also about what kind of school we should have. Is it going to be a one-teacher school or a two-teacher school or a three-teacher school? Today the oneteacher school is growing up so wildly. It gives no education at all and it is unequipped, unfurnished, and has teachers. One-teacher untrained school is no school at all. I think it is to the great credit of the Social Welfare Board that they found by experience that even in a village very much in the interior there is not one girl worker, not two girl workers but there are three girl workers in a village of only 500 persons to work intensively. They are sensible and they have taken lessons from experience of the Kasturba One girl will be lost in such a village. There should be three and then multiply it so that the three girls will feel that there are other three girls in a neighbouring place. The one-teacher schools are wildly growing without any use. The Commission must say that there shall be no one-teacher school. Whether they should be twoteacher schools or three-teacher schools and whether they should be basic or ordinary schools and whether they should be 10 miles away from each other-these things I don't know. They have to tell us these and other things. There are a number of such things and a large number of things. Even then we say that they should be of the basic pattern. What varieties they are going to be they must tell us firmly and they must say "This shall not be so."

Quite a number of excrescences have grown up in this Department. I think it is a very unprogressive Department, which should be the most progressive Department. Today we are progressing on all lines—excuse me if I say so—except on this line, and to my mind today the greatest need in India is the growth of personality, the evolution of the person, the character of the child and the personality of the teacher. If the teacher

s nothing-today he thinks he is a contemptible creature, I am very sorry to say so, he himself thinks so and when a man does not respect himself, how will society respect himif the teacher is like that, how do we expect him to build up the character of the children and the character of the little ones which goes to build up the nation. It is the saddest thing today, the tragedy of India, that the little children at the most important age when we can build up character, are going to pieces because we are neglecting the teacher so the Commission is very badly needed, with a directive that there shall be a basic pattern. But they should give the variety and give us the 'dos' and 'don'ts' of this variety; we don't want anything else.

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY: Mr. Deputy Chairman, in discussing this Resolution much of the discussion has centred round the defects of the present system of elementary education. I don't think anybody is more conscious about the defects of the system than the Government themselves. The several pamphlets which were issued from time to time and the several Conferences which they have convened, and including those which the hon. Minister of Education has addressed, the Central Advisory Council, they have all gone into this question and have admitted that the primary system of education is defective and that it should be improved upon. So in discussing this Resolution we should not give the impression that the country is not making progress in primary education. We are making progress in primary education. I will just point out a few figures and indicate what progress we have made since we attained independence. In 1947-48 we had nearly 12 lakh schools in the country. By 1952-53 this grew to nearly 2,20,000 and the enrolment of student population was about 1,10,000 in 1947-48. By 1952-53 it rose to nearly 2 crores.....

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: What was the increase in the population in this period? You must keep it in view.

Shri M. GOVINDA REDDY: Yes. everybody knows it—much more yourself. The expenditure in 1947-48 on primary education was about Rs. 18 crores or Rs. 19 crores and by 1952 it went up to Rs. 43 crores 70 lakhs. That means there is no comparison between the position of the primary education.....

DR. RAGHUBIR SINH: Meanwhile the rupee has been devalued.....

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY: That also everybody knows, I suppose. There is no comparison between the stage at which the primary education then was and the progress that we have made, and the Education Department also have not been sitting idle over it because the First Five Year Plan seeks to achieve a definite target. They seek to achieve by the end of the First Plan the target 55 to 60 per cent, of the school-going age children should be put in school, and the schools themselves to increase by about 40 per cent. Then they also seek in the Second Five Year Plan. to increase the number of school-going children by fifty per cent, and also the number of schools by about the same number. They have different schemes. For want of time I am not going into them. But the question is, we want a Commission according to the Reso-The Commission is to go lution. through the system of primary education. Whatever the progress may be in the system of primary education, is it the pattern of education that we want? Is it the pattern of education that under the circumstances of the country would help the proper growth of citizenship and the leadership in the country, or do we want a different system? Government have taken a decision, unfortunately, and the decision is that by gradual stages, all the primary schools are to be switched on to basic schools. They have programmed to reach this goal by gradual stages. But what is this basic education? Sir, I agree with Mr. Gupte that we are not now certain of what pattern of basic education we should have in the country. There is the pattern of basic education that is there

in Wardha which was evolved by Mahatma Gandhi and later on it is heing experimented on at Sevagram and those being practised by the pioneer State of Bihar and elsewhere in the country widely differ. Apart from theory, on the question whether basic system of education is the right type of education or the correct type of education to be followed at the primary stage. educationists have not agreed. Educationists have differed on the practicability of the basic system of education, on its utility and its suitability in the present circumstan-Considering the circumstances existing in Indian society, if we believe in the principle that schools should be linked up with the surroundings, with things round about in that society, then we have to evolve some type of basic education system. I do not think there will be any dispute about that. But about the successful implementation of this system there are very serious doubts and whether it can be applied universally in the country, on that also there are doubts. I am myself running a school of about 180 students and it is a fullfledged basic school and nearby there is a basic teachers' training college. I myself have been a student of basic education and I have also tried to teach it myself. But I have found it difficult, almost impossible, to carry out or implement it to the letter. Specially that one aspect of basic education, that is the correlation of teaching with doing, is a thing which even experts cannot do efficiently. I have had discussions also about basic education with experts on this aspect and they have also admitted that correlation of teaching is a very difficult thing to achieve. Sir, if the essence of basic education depends upon this factor, that some craft and teaching should go together, that teaching should go round a particular craft, and if it is difficult to correlate knowledge with the actual craft, there the question arises, whether we can find sufficient number of teachers to teach this in the correct way and whether we can universally apply this. Taking the present situation into consideration, it must be said that we have very few basic education teachers. There are, of course, a number of teachers, the so-called basic education teachers-who are put in charge of basic schools. But these people do not know and they have not understood what basic education is and have not been trained properly. The Education Department itself admits that basic education as it is taught is not effectually taught and both from the point of view of teacher material as well as the technique. the present system falls far short of the necessities. Though the Government have taken the decision that primary education should be on the pattern of basic education system, when these difficulties are there, the difficulty of getting proper teachers. apart from the difficulty of the teaching system itself, how can the Government succeed in achieving their objective? This at least, if not for consideration, anv other examination. They all admit that in order to build up the country, we have to get a proper system of education. In one of the pamphlets issued by the Ministry of Education I found this passage:

"The future of this great land is being forged not so much in factories and big hydro-electric projects, or even in Assemblies or the Parliament, but in the lakhs schoo's, big and small, well-known and obscure, in which millions of children are being cated. Anything that we can do to improve the efficiency of these schools and that of the teachers and to give them contentment and peace of mind will be repaid hundred fold."

Sir, if this be so, what is the use of linking it up with a system of education which we cannot implement? That is the question. Therefore, I hope the Education Ministry also will admit that they have to examine this question. No doubt, they have taken a decision, but they can examine it again. The Ministry has come in for a lot of criticism,

because the country is now looking to the Centre for everything and whatever defects there may be in the country in the primary education system, that is attributed to the Education Ministry. This is not a correct appreciation of the situation. some hon. Members pointed out the Constitution lays the task of bringing about compulsory free elementary education for children between the ages of six and fourteen at the door of the Education Ministry. It is their task. But what are their powers? Primary education is a State subject. Also, what are the resources available to make elementary education free? The Education Ministry, I am sure, is suffering for want of resources. I will not wrong if I say that the Education Ministry is the Cinderella in country. They need resources, they do not get them. I am told that the Second Five Year Plan visualises an expenditure of about a little over a thousand crore of rupees.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: No, no. It has been cut since.

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY: Whether we can, with the other commitments that we have, impose expenditure also is a question which we cannot answer now. Anyway, there is no point in insisting the Education Ministry's sticking to the letter of the Constitution when the implementation of it in this respect is almost impossible within the time stipulated in the Constitution. Therefore I am not blaming the Government for not implementing it. But I would ask the Government why they have not taken a step towards it? Till today they have not tried to direct the States, to give directions to the States so as to have a uniform pattern of education which they could do in order to achieve this universal compulsory primary education. Although Government may say that they have taken a decision, still, on account of the difficulties that I have already out and which have been out by other hon. Members

[Shri M. Govinda Reddy.] Government will have to admit that there is a case for going into this question of the pattern of primary education.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is time to conclude.

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY: is time, then I will end up, Sir. Therefore, I would only say that I do not want the Education Ministry to take the technical stand on this matter and say that they have already taken the decision and therefore, there need not be any Commission, or that a Commission need not be appointed at all. Of course, the hon. Member Dr. Shrimati Seeta Parmanand said that a Commission instead of achieving the result, put off the result. I will just read out a sentence that I came across and then finish my observations. The hon. Member was referring to Royal Commissions. Well, the sentence says:

"Referring to Royal Commissions was a recognised method of postponing decisions on matters which appeared to be embarrassing."

Sir, I hope that at least this will not be the tradition of our Government.

श्रीमती चन्द्रावती लखनपाल (उत्तर प्रदृश): उपसभापीत महोदय, मेरं लिये श्री मलकानी श्री भ्रांश गुप्ता तथा श्री रंड्डी जेंरी आंजस्वी वक्ताओं के बाद बोलना एक बई घाट की भी बात हैं। मेरा एक छोटा सा प्रस्ताव हैं आर्रेर वह यह कि हम स्त्रियां कूछ थोड़ से ही विषय कं अन्दर विशेषज्ञ होती हैं, या तो एज्केशन या स्वास्थ्य या सांशल वंलफंयर ये हमार खास विषय हैं, तो हम लोग यह आशा कर सकती हैं कि कम से कम इस तरह के जब कोई विष्य आयें तो हम लोगों को बोलने के लिये प्राथमिकता मिलनी चाहिये। आप कहीं ने कि रिजर्वशन और स्पेशल रिप्रजेंटेशन का समय अब चला गया. पर मेरा निवेदन इतना ही हैं कि जब तक इस सदन के अन्दर स्त्रियों की संख्या इतनी वेयर माइनोरिटी में रहती हैं तब तक में समभती हूं कि कम से कम इस

प्रकार के विशेष प्रतिनिधित्व और विशेष कंसेशन की मांग हम कर ही सकती हैंं।

श्रीमन्, विषय पर आते हुये मुभं तो यही कहना हैं कि आज के प्रस्ताव ने एक बहुत ही महत्त्वपूर्ण विषय की ओर हमारा ध्यान खींचा है। समस्त शिद्धा के अन्दर जो प्राइमरी शिचा हैं वह सब से महत्वपूर्ण स्थान रखती हैं. ठीक एंसे ही जैसे कि इमारत के अन्दर नींव का. फाउंड शन का स्थान होता हैं. वही स्थान शिद्या के अन्दर प्राइमरी शिद्या का हैं और खासकर जब कि हमारा प्रजातंत्र राज्य हैं, हमारा प्रजातंत्र का दंश हैं तो प्राथीमक शिद्धा तो एक तरह से हमारा मुख्य आधार हैं, यह तो हमारी जान हैं। इसलिये मेरा कहना हैं कि प्राथमिक शिद्धा की तरफ आज तक हमारा जो ध्यान जाता रहा है वह बहुत ही कम हैं। सब से महत्वपूर्ण विषय होते हुए भी यह सब सं अधिक नेगलेक्टंड रहा हैं. उपेद्या का पात्र रहा हैं। जितने भी उन्नत दंश हैं वे सब सं पहले अपनी प्राथीमक शिचा की और ध्यान दंते हैं लेकिन हम अब तक सेकेंडरी एजुकेशन और युनिवींसटी एजुकेशन पर कमीशन बेंठा चुके हैं परन्तू अभी तक प्राइमरी एज्केशन का नम्बर नहीं आया हैं। आज का यह प्रस्ताव उस आवश्यक स्थिति की आंर ध्यान आकर्षित करता हैं इसलिये में इसंबद्दत ही महत्वपूर्ण समभती हूं।

मुम्नं तो श्रीमती डा० सीता परमानन्द का जो स्माव था उसको सून कर आश्चर्य हुआ। उन्होंनं कमीशन का विरोध किया। मैं उसकी गहराई में नहीं जाऊंगी क्योंकि उसका उत्तर श्री मलकानी जी ने तथा और बन्धुओं ने बहुत अच्छी तरह से दं दिया हैं। मेरी समक्ष में प्राइमरी शिक्षा का विषय एंसा हैं और यह समस्या इतनी जीटल हैं और इतनी ज्यादा सींचग्ध अञ्रथा में, कन्फ्युजन की हालत में, सब कुछ हैं कि न तो जनता के सामने और न ही हमारी सरकार के सामने अभी प्राथमिक शिक्षा की बहुत सी बातों के सम्बन्ध में कोई साष्ट चित्र उपस्थित हैं।

कमीशन का नियुक्त किया जाना *इ*सीलये एक बड़ी आवश्यक सी बात हैं।

श्रीमन्, अभी तक अपने दंश के अन्दर दर्भाग्य सं प्राथीमक शिक्षा को बहुत ही कम महत्व मिलता रहा हैं. उसका कारण यह रहा *हैं* कि यहां विद'शी शासन था ऑर अंग्रं<mark>जों</mark> नीति प्राथीमक शिद्धा के सम्बन्ध में बहुत ही उपेन्ना की रही हैं। उनका एक विशंष उद्दंश्य था ऑर वह यह कि वे तो आनं शासन को चलाने के लिये थोड़ से चन्द आदमी चाहते थे जां कि अच्छ पर्ट लिखे हो ऑर इसलिये उन्होंने कांची शिवा 'प्रबन्ध किया। उनका कहना था कि यह ऊंची शिक्षा जो है वही छन कर नीचे आयंगी। इसको रिकोलंशन थ्योरी कहते हैं. यानी शिद्धा छन कर ऊपर से नीचे की और आ जाय। तां इस प्रकार से देखते हैं कि अंगुंजीं के राज्य में प्राथमिक शिद्धा के सम्बन्ध में हमेशा उल्टी गंगा बहती रही हैं। लेकिन आज जब कि हमारा देश स्वतंत्र हैं और जब कि हमें एक रवतंत्र भारत का निर्माण करना हैं तब हम छत के ऊपर से बनाना प्रारम्भ नहीं कर सकते। हमें तो नींव से इमारत की उठाना गर्डगा और अपनी राष्ट्रीय इमारत को, राष्ट्रीय भावना को नींव से ऊषर उठाना पड़िंगा. छत के ऊपर से बनाने से कोई लाभ नहीं हैं। यह प्रसन्नता की बात हैं कि दंश के अन्दर जैसे जैस राष्ट्रीय चेतना का उदय हुआ है वैसे वैसे हमारा ध्यान प्राथमिक शिद्धा की और धीर धीर गया हैं। महात्मा गांधी पहले व्यक्ति थे जिन्होंने मुख्यतया अपने अध्ययन का विषय, अपने विचार का विषय, प्राथमिक शिद्धा को बनाया और इस शिद्धा के ऊपर बड़ी बडी कांफ्रेंसें हुई हैं. बडी बडी चोजनायें वर्धा में हुई हैं, जाकिर हुराँन कमेटी और दूसरी कमीटियां स्थाीित हुई हैं और उसका परिणाम यह हुआ कि हमें एक शिचा पद्गीत मिली जिसको बुनियादी तालीम या वर्धा शिद्धा प्रणाली कहा जाता हैं। इसके भी कर्ड रूप हैं और कर्ड प्रयोग हैं जैसा कि । ही अन्यविरयत और चिन्ताजनक हालत में

श्री मलकानी जी नं बताया, लेकिन बहुत सं संशोधनों के बाद, बहुत से परीचणों के बाद हम एक एंसे फाइनल स्टंज पर, एक एंसे अन्तिम रतर पर, पहुंच चुके हैं जिसको हमारी सरकार ने मान लिया है और अब यह माना जाता है कि प्राइमरी शिचा चेत्र में बेसिक शिचा प्रणाली ही हमारा एक मूख्य ध्येय हैं। लीकन इतना हो चुकने के बाद भी आज देश के अन्दर एक नहीं अनेक प्राथीमक शिक्षा पद्धीतयां चल रही हैं। एक शिद्धा पद्धीत तो यही हैं जो कि अंगंजी जमाने में शरू हुई. जिसको कि कितानी शिद्धा कह सकते हैं। इसको एकेडेंमिक एज्केशन भी कह दिया जाता है लेकिन वह शब्द उतना ठीक नहीं जितना कि शब्द ''किताबी शिद्धा" ठीक हैं। यह शिद्धा प्राइमरी के दीव में इतनी रद्दी तरीके से चल रही हैं कि इसका भार डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड ्स और म्यूनिसपेंलिटीज के हाथ में हैं और इनके पास न धन हैं, न अक्ल हैं इस शिद्धा को चलाने की। इसके दोषों का विवेचन करने के लिये मेरे पास समय भी नहीं हैं और बहुत कुछ कह भी दिया गया हैं। दूसरी जो शिद्या प्रणाली हैं वह बेसिक प्रणाली हैं। यद्यीप वह सरकारी सं अपनी एक निश्चित मान ली गई हैं लेकिन उसका स्वरूप भी इतना ज्यादा अव्यवस्थित हैं, इतनी शोचनीय हालत में हैं, इतनी असंतोषजनक हालत में हैं कि उसके बार में जितना भी कहा जाय उतना थोड़ा हैं। बहुत संबन्ध्ओं ने उस की और ध्यान आकर्षित किया है। जितने भी शिचा विभाग के डाइरंक्टर्स और इंस्पेक्टर्स हैं उनका न तो इस तरफ ध्यान हैं और न इस शिद्या प्रणाली पर विश्वास है, जो स्करल्स ाँर वालेजेज के प्रिसिपल्स हैं उनकी इसमें आस्था नहीं हैं और बेसिक शिद्या के पढ़ाने वाले टीचर्स हैं उनके अन्टर इतनी प्रतिभानहीं हैं कि वे इस चीज को समभ सकें और अपने विद्याधियों को समभा सर्वे। उसका नतीजा यह है कि आज बीसक शिद्धा प्रणाली का जो रूप हमार सामने हैं वह बहुत श्रीमती चन्द्रावती लखनपाली

हैं। इसके अतिरिक्त जो तीसरी शिद्या प्रणाली प्राइमरी के ब्रेंच में चल रही हैं वह हमारं पिब्लक स्काल्स की हैं. कानवेंट के नाम के स्कल्स की या पब्लिक स्कल्स की हैं। आज हमें बहुं दख के साथ कहना पहला है कि यह शिक्षा प्रणाली वही है जिस का कि हम विरोध करते आये हैं। ये जो पब्लिक स्कल्स हें इनका वातावरण अभारतीय हैं. अराष्ट्रीय हैं। ये तो एक प्रकार से इस तरह के दिलाधी गँदा करते हैं जो कि दंखने में तो हिन्द्स्तानी हैं लेकिन जिन का दिल व दिमाग अंग जियत से भरा हुआ हैं। लीकन मुभे इस बात का आश्चर्य होता हैं और अफसोस भी होता है कि हमार समाज की जितनी इंटलेजेंशिया हैं. जो क्रीम आफ सोसाइटी हैं. वे अपने बच्चों को पिब्लक स्कृत्स में भेजने में गर्व समभते हैं और वै समभते हैं कि जो चाल प्राइमरी शिद्धा है जो बीसक स्कूल्स हैं. वे गरीबों के लिये हैं. धे जंगली लोगों के लिये हैं और वे जिस तबके के लोग हैं. अपने बच्चों में जिस कल्चर को बढ़ाना चाहते हैं उस कल्चर को. उस शिष्टाचार को, उस क्वालिटी आफ एज्केशन को वे स्कूल नहीं द' सकते इसलिये वे उसे बिल्कूल उपेचा की दिष्टि से. घणा की दिष्टि से इंखते हैं और हमार उर्ड बर्ड नेता. जिन को राष्ट्रीयता में बड़ा दिश्वास है. भारतीयता में बडा विश्वास है. वे अपने बच्चों को पब्लिक स्क्राल्स में भेजते हैं। इस सम्बन्ध में हमारी सरकार की जो नीति है वह भी कुछ अजीब हैं। जो पिब्लक स्कूल्स खाले जा रहे हैं उनको. निश्चित रूप रो में कह सक्ती हूं. सरकार का प्रोत्साहन प्रात है। बहुत से मिडिल क्लास के लोगां को स्कालरशिपस दी जा रही हैं ताकि वे अपने बच्चों को पीब्लक स्कृत्स में भेजें। इससे पता चलता हैं कि सरकार की जो नीति है वह अभी प्राइमरी शिक्षा र्ज बार में साफ नहीं हैं। श्री मलकानी जी ने कहा है कि जनता भम में हैं. मैं कहती हैं कि सरकार के सामने भी कोर्ड साफ

तस्वीर नहीं हैं और हमार दिमांग मैं भी नहीं हैं कि प्राथीमक शिद्धा किस प्रकार चलानी चाहिये। इसके अतिरिक्त एक और विचार शिद्धा के दोत्र में हैं. और वह हैं कोएगी जस्टम का सहिश्चा का सिद्धांत । कल लोगों का यह विचार है कि हमार देश के अन्दर दोनों शिद्धा प्रणालियां. अर्थात् जो कि प्रानी शिद्धा है अंगुंजी तरीके की शिद्या हैं और जो बेसिक शिद्या हैं. वे दोनों साथ साथ चलनी चाहियें। इस प्रकार की विचारधारा. इस प्रकार के सिद्धान्त अपने देश के एक महान शिचाविज्ञ, विज्ञान के शिचा-विज्ञ. डा० अमरनाथ भा द्वारा कुछ हुए समाचारपत्रों में प्रकट हुए थे। उनका कहना है कि जिस प्रकार पौलिटिक्स की अंदर दोनों विरोधी विचारधाराएं रह सकती हैं उसी प्रकार शिद्धा चीत्रों के अंदर भी दी विचारधाराएं. चाहे वे जुदा जुदा ही क्यों न हों. क्यों न रहीं। इससे पता चलता है कि अपने देश के शिद्याविज्ञों को अभी तक बीसक प्रणाली पर अधिक विश्वास नहीं हैं और यह जो विचार हैं इसके खिलाफ तो यही कहा जा सकता है कि बीसक शिचाती एक प्रकार से जो चली आ रही शिक्षा प्रणाली हैं. उसके प्रतिक्रिया रूप में. उसके जवाब में. र्वंदा हुई हैं। इसलिए दोनों का एक साथ रहने का कोई मतलब नहीं हो सकता है क्यों कि एक पद्धति ने दूसर की रिप्लीस करना हैं। इस तरह की जो भिन्न भिन्न विचारधाराएं दंश में चल रही हैं उसके सम्बन्ध में मेरा तो यह विचार है कि हम सब को मिल कर इस विषय पर छानबीन करने की आवश्यकता है।

श्रीमन्, इस विषय पर विचार करने के लिए जो बातें अत्यन्त जरूरी हैं जो कि कमीशन के कार्यद्वेत्र के अंदर आनी चाहिएं वह इस प्रकार हैं। पहला तो यह कि अपने देश के अंदर एक प्रकार की शिच्चा सब बच्चां के लिए होनी चाहिए। अपने देश के कह अमीर बच्चों को कोई शिच्चा मिले, गरीब बच्चों को कोई शिच्चा मिले, गरीब बच्चों

को कोई शिद्या मिले एसा नहीं होना चाहिए। शिद्धा क द्वित्र में एक प्रकार की शिद्धा प्रणाली हांनी चाहिए और सरकार को पर निश्चित कर देना चाहिए कि वह कौन सी शिक्षा प्रणाली होगी। श्रीमन् हम आज सोशितस्ट पेंटर्न आफ सोसाइटी ताना चाहते हैं। मेरा यह कहना है कि हमनो सोशलिस्ट पटन आफ सोसाइटी को समाज में लाने सं गहरां शिद्धा के दीन में उसे लाना होगा।

मंत दूसरा सुकाव उसी प्रकार हैं जैसा कि अभी मेर एक बन्धू ने दिया है। वह यह हैं कि प्राथीनक शिद्धा का अधिकाश हिस्सा महिलाओं के हाथ में होना चाहिए। आपको मालूम हैं कि जितने भी ससार के दूंग हैं उनमं प्राहमरी शिचा ज्यादातर रिक्यों व हाथ में हैं। उसकी वजह यह हैं कि मीरलाए जितनी अच्छी तरह से बच्चों वे मनाविज्ञान को समभ सकती हैं उतना पुरुष नहीं समभ सकते। इसलिए हमारं दंश में शिद्धा वे इस भाग, प्राथीमक शिचा, में हमें रिवयो को ज्यादा प्रौत्साहन और स्थान दंना चाहिए और सरकार को अपनी नीति का एक आवश्यक हिस्सा इसको बनाकर घोषित कर दंना चाहिए। जेंसा कि बेसिक शिद्या प्रणाली ने सम्बन्ध में घोषणा की गई हैं वसे ही यह भी घोषणा कर देनी चाहिए कि सारी शिद्धा प्रणाली जहां तक हो सकेगा रित्रयों के द्वारा चलाई जायगी।

श्रीमन् तीसरा स्भाव मेरा नरसरी एज्केशन वे सम्बन्ध में हैं जिसके उत्पर किसी माननीय बन्ध द्वारा संशोधन लाया गया हैं। इस प्रकार है कि प्राइमरी शिद्धा का नर्सरी एज्केशन एक आवश्यक अग हो जाय। अपने देश की अवस्था दिनों दिन गरिवर्तित होती जारही हैं अपने दंश के अदर संघर्ष दिनों दिन कठोर होता चला जा रहा है? और आज स्त्रिया जो पहले कैवल घर में ही बँठना जानती थी. अपने पुरुषों की अपनी लीमली की इनकम को सीप्लमें करने के लिए उसे।

बढाने व लिए व्यवसायों के अदर काम कर रही है। शायद अपने देश के अदर कोई भी एंसा प्रोफेशन नहीं होगा नोई व्यवसाय नहीं होगा जहां पर रित्रया काम न कर रही हो । टर्सालए यह राष्ट्र का कर्तव्य हो जाता हैं कि जो विकिंग मदर्स हैं जो काम करने वाली माताए हैं उनके बच्चों का उचित पालन पोषण हो और उन्हें उचित शिद्धा दीहा दी जाय। दुसरं दंश इस द्वेत्र में बहुत आगे बढ़ हुए हैं, हम अभी बहुत पीछ हैं। इस लिए मेरा यह कहना है कि सरकार को नर्सरी रक्लस खोलनं के सम्बन्ध में भी अपनी नीति नो निर्धारित करके उसे घोषित कर देना नाहिए।

Primary Education

मरा चांथा सुभाव यह है कि बीसन एज्क्यन रवरूप को स्थिर करने के लिए उसे ऊचा बनाने वे लिए सन्वार को चाहिए कि एक तौ रपया ज्यादा खर्च कर और दूसर मॉडल ढग वे रक्त, जैसे कि अंगुंजो वे जमाने में मांडल स्कल्स खोले जाया करते थे उसी पद्धीत से बीराक शिद्धा के लिए सरकार की आर रो खोले जाने चाहिए जिनको देख कर जिनका अनुकरण कर के दूसरे स्कूल भी अपनी पद्गीत उसी तरह की बना सके। श्रीमन् इसलिए मेरा कहना है कि प्राइमरी शिज्ञा नं त्रंत्र में अभी बहुत सी समस्याओं पर हमें ीचार करना हैं गम्भीरता नं साथ और नहत से विचारों की सफाई होना बाकी हैं। ट्रगीलए यह कार्य कमीशन ही ज्यादा अच्छी तरह कर सकता है इन शब्दों के साथ में इस प्रस्ताव का समर्थन करती हा।

SHPI J S BISHT (Uttar Pradesh). Mr Deputy Chairman, I feel a little diffidence in speaking on a subject in which so many eminent educationists have spoken, in a House abounds in many educationists only excuse is that I happened to be for a very long time Chairman of district and municipal boards and that capacity had to handle this problem of primary education at the ground level to which it belongs

[Shri J. S. Bisht.]

That is why I wish to express a few opinions of a layman on this subject.

to the learned While listening speeches of many of my hon. colleagues here I was impressed by one fact, namely, that we seem to have got a little infection of what is called totalitarianism. We forget often that ours is a federal Constitution, that the Government has certain Central limited powers, that Parliament not supreme and sovereign in all matters, that it is supreme and sovereign only with regard to the subjects that are mentioned in List I of Schedule Seven, that the State Governments are equally sovereign and supreme in List II of that Schedule and that List III relates to concurrent subjects. As far as I remember this subject of 'education' is entirely the subject of State Governments. I have also heard certain very derogatory remarks respect to the functioning of district boards and municipal boards. In fact the learned lady Member who just sat down did not wish to speak on that subject. Probably she was too full of these derogatory remarks about them. But the fact really remains that in a federal constitution the federating States are independent in home affairs and the local self-governing bodies within those States-be it a town or a district-within their respective jurisdictions are also equally sovereign with respect to the subjects that are entrusted to them.

Dr. RAGHUBIR SINH: Sovereignty is indivisible. Autonomy is different from sovereignty.

SHRI J. S. BISHT: Let us remember please that we have not received the light of Heaven to the exclusion of everybody else in this land, that there are equally patriotic men, equally hardworking men at all levels of our society, that there are men working in these district and local boards at great personal sacrifice, who are equally patriotic, who are equally anxious as we are to raise the level

of our people there and to spread education wide. So let us not assume that what we think here is something which exclusively belongs to us.

regard to this we are all' With anxious that there should be compulsory primary education throughout this land as quickly as possible for the simple reason that a free democracy cannot exist among a people where there is 85 per cent illiteracy. There is no difference of opinion on that point at all. The point only is with regard to this particular form of the Resolution that is put in here which says that a Commission should be appointed to examine the kind of primary education that obtains in the country and to recommend suitablemeasures with a view to making it available to all children of going age.

Now, Mr. Deputy Chairman. have experience of the law courts and there is a principle there observed in all the civil courts that no civil court will grant a decree which cannot be executed and that is exactly what weare asked to do here. The Central Government is asked to appoint Commission on a subject which is exclusive jurisdiction under the State Governments and the implementation of which belongs to the local self-governing bodies throughout the length and breadth of the country. Supposing you appoint this Commission today and it makes certain recommendations, have you got any power to compel any State Government to observe and implement those recommendations?

SHRI H. C. DASAPPA (Mysore): How did they appoint the Secondary Education Commission?

Shri J. S. BISHT: But what has been the fate of those recommendations—may be implemented anywhere. I say: They make a recommendation. ...

THE DEPUTY MINISTER FOR EDU-CATION (DR. K. L. SHRIMALI): I may

the Members that hon majority of their recommendations are already being implemented.

SHRI M. GOVINDA REDDY: Does the hon. Member mean to contend that the Central Government has no power to issue directions?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let him continue.

SHRI J. S. BISHT: I think so many minutes lost will not be deducted from my share. They are unnecessarily there n is interrupting me. Then another difficulty aboutit. My fon friend Mr. Malkani who has got extensive experience in these matters was complaining in regard to certain confusion that is in our minds as to the form this compulsory primary education should take. That is very natural because when we have got such a vast sub-continent with States and about 300 to 400 districts there are bound to be difference. Every region is developing its education according to its own genius and according to the circumstances which suit it best.

Prof. N. R. MALKANI: Many educationists have told me that they want directives, and that no directive was forthcoming. The Government does not know its own mind.

SHRI J. S. BISHT: Sir, I am sorry I seem to be treading on delicate ground. The point is this. should the Centre lay down a certain uniform rule and say that this will be the form in which education should be given from Travancore-Cochin to Kashmir and from Assam to Kathiawar? How are we to know that these five or six gentlemen who will constitute this Commission will have some sort of heavenly light and what they recommend is the only formula that is suitable to the entire country? Why should we not allow people to develop themselves according to their own

lights and in this free play of ideasthe best will survive. That is the right way. Even in England where the population is hardly five crores, there is no uniform system of primary education. In Wales it is different; in Scotland it is different; in England it is different. Why should we have this sort of imposition from the Centre, some uniform system forced down our throats and why should it be laid down that all the children should be brought up in one uniform manner? Sir, in fact the Chief Minister of Uttar Pradesh, Dr. Sampurnanand, who is an eminent educationist and has been himself the Minister for Education. has said that it is wrong to dabble in such radical experiments in matter of education just to suit the passing fancies of politicians. Education is a very serious subject which is undergoing a certain evolution. In fact the educationists are trying, the teachers are trying, the Vice-Chan cellors are trying and the Directors of Public Instruction are trying to find out the best way of bringing up children and giving them education on sound lines. If experiments are made in different places and if some very good system is discovered by the genius of some men, naturally it will survive and it will then be copied and imitated everywhere else. There is no system which is perfect in this world and in this matter of education the last word has never been written and no last word will ever be written at all. It will always be a process of evolution and the States are quite competent to deal with this problem. After all, they have got Assemblies which are elected by the same electorate that elects this Parliament. In fact, this particular House should be very anxious to preserve the rights of the States instead of trying to have something imposed on them from the Centre. This is the Council of States and it represents the interests of the Therefore it should be first concern of the Council of States to see that the rights of the States are not affected in such vital matters.

Then there is another difficulty with regard to this education. So far as

[Shri J. S. Bisht.]

cities are concerned, compulsory prieducation is being enforced practically by all Municipalities leaving aside small towns and other areas. The difficulty arises with regard to the District Boards. In big rural areas on account of the paucity of funds there is great difficulty. Now, you already got with you all the My hon. friends data. here, both ladies and gentlemen, quoted chapter and verse and gave various figures. What do they want a Commission for? You have got all the data in your possession. You know how many schools are required, how much material is wanted and all that. What else is this Commission going to find out? Sir, the only question is of rupees. annas, pies-the question of funds to finance a system of education so that it can be enforced throughout length and breadth of the country. This is the main difficulty which we have in our own districts in matter of education. My hon. friend Prof. Malkani was very angry with one-teacher schools. If you close these one-teacher schools you deprive those children even of this education.

PROF. N. R. MALKANI: I did not say so.

SHRI J. S. BISHT: Because in small villages where communications bad you have got one teacher under whom about 30 children learn their lessons. If you abolish those schools even those children will be deprived of that education. You cannot have two teachers because there are no funds. They depend on land revenue, cess and whatever little grants they are able to get from the State Gov-In Uttar Pradesh, ernments. instance, the educational budget is far more than the police budget. It is now quite the reverse of the position which used to be in 1946 when the education budget was not even onethird of the police budget. Today it is far more; in fact, it is one and a half times the police budget. Even so, the money is not sufficient to cover the vast area of 49 districts with lakhs of schools and so many teachers. This difficulty can be minimised to some extent if our educational experts were relax the rules a little. instance, there are rules laid down that the teachers should be trained to such a standard; the school should have so much accommodation; there should be so much material and so many children and so on. If you relax all these rules for the time being and encourage local people, that is to say, who are available in people village and who earn their livelihood through farming, that is, those who need not have to go elsewhere, if such people are encouraged probably you the pace of compulsory can push primary education. If, on the other hand, you strictly adhere to the formula that has been laid down by the educational authorities whereby a certain standard has to be observed. then it will take some time. It may not be possible to complete this within the prescribed period of ten years. It will take a little more time. It may take another five years. But difficulty will remain there as long as we are not able to find out this is to be financed and how the money is going where come from. Merely making speeches will not help in any way. We may get the sympathy of people and some cheap popularity but actually it is not going to serve any useful purpose.

This is not merely with education Take the Bhore Committee's Report with regard to medical facilities. We have not been able to do anything at all, again because of the question of funds. We have got to implement many plans. We want Five Year Plans to be got through; we want to have heavy industries; we want to have cottage industries; we want railways; we want roads; we want education. We want so many things. After all, it is a question of priorities. There are no unlimited funds. have only limited funds and out of that limited capacity you must see how much can be spared for

undoubtedly Education is important and we must provide some funds for it. Unless we can say where the money is going to come from, the problem cannot be tackled. This Commission is not going to supply us with all the funds necessary. Commission's Taxation Enquiry Report has said that the way to get money is by levying taxes. It is for the Government to take courage in their hands and tax the people. But remember, you will have to face the comes. electorate when the election So, Sir, with all the sympathy that I have for the compulsory primary education to be made universal in this country as quickly as possible, I humbly beg to submit that no useful purpose will be served by the appointment of a Commission by the Central Government because you will be putting the Central Government in a very embarrassing and awkward position. Because, supposing this Commission makes some recommendation and the State Governments have some objection to it, you will be putting all these Governments in a false position, as if to say that you want the spread of education but they do not want it. With these remarks I submit that the hon, the mover will be well advised to withdraw his Resolution.

SHRI H. C. DASAPPA: Mr. Deputy Chairman, I feel happy in having to rise after the speech of my friend Mr. His speech almost reminded Bisht. me of the great Ma-bap Governmental Ministers of the pre-freedom days. During the British rule they advanced these very theories, which my friend Mr. Bisht has advanced today, when great patriots like the late Gopala Krishna Gokhale made eloquent plea on behalf of the ignorant and illiterate people of the land. Sir, the question of finance was raised even then but it used to be accompanied by tons and tons of sympathy.

SHRI J. S. BISHT: The hon. Member has been a Finance Minister. I hope he will enlighten us as to how finance this

SHRI H. C. DASAPPA: I am sorry time before me is extremely limited.

Sir, I expected my friend Mr. Bisht who is an able lawyer to have applied his mind a little better to the Constitution of India. Sir, item on Inquiries is in the Concurrent List and it is one very valuable contribution and help which the Centre should render to the States and a help which they should warmly welcome. It is a question of undertaking an enquiry on behalf of the whole country and that, Sir, is item 45 in the Concurrent List. suppose that article is sufficient answer to Mr. Bisht that this Resolution is perfectly in order. That is enough to dispose of my friend, Mr. Bisht.

4 P.M.

Then, Sir, I would like to say a word about the hon. lady Member Dr. Seeta Parmanand. I am glad that one lady Member, Shrimati Chandra-Lakhanpal who followed, course, demolished most of her arguments. She followed a similar argument like my friend, Mr. Bisht and said, why should we appoint a Commission, what is the use of it. There are so many other important things to attend to and she almost treated this as a very inconsequential affair. That was the greatest surprise to me I am not worried if a friend like Mr Bisht adopts a cavalier attitude to this. but a mother and a lady should take that attitude surprised me.....

Dr. R. P. DUBE (Madhya Pradesh): She is not a mother.

SHRI H. C. DASAPPA: I used it in the general sense. The reason is this. We talk of succession; we talk of marriages: we want Hindu reform. And all for what purpose? To that we can build up a fine posterity. How is she going to handle this posterity? By neglecting.....

Dr. RAGHUBIR SINH: It is not her worry.

Shri H. C. DASAPPA:.....and thinking about so many things. This to me seems to be an extraordinary attitude and I for my life would never understand the position which some hon. Members have taken with regard to this Resolution.

Then, Sir, I would like to deal with some of the specific points, but I am only sorry that there is not enough time. My friend, Mr. Bisht hails, I think, from Naini Tal, northern part of U.P.....

Shri J. S. BISHT: I thought you had disposed me off!

Shri H. C. DASAPPA: I have disposed of one or two points and I am trying to take some other point. I am surprised—here is the census about education and curiously enough of these six zones: West India, South India, East India, North West India, Central India and North India—North India has the least amount of literacy, 10·8. This is 1951 census, West India and South India have the highest, about 23·8 and 22·8....

SHRI J. S. BISHT: I may inform him.....

SHRI H. C. DASAPPA: I can very well understand with such enthusiastic and public citizens like Mr. Bisht......

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Order, order.

SHRI H. C. DASAPPA:....literacy has attained the magnificent level of 10.8—being the lowest in India. Let us not tinker with this question......

SHRI J. S. BISHT: I may correct him that in Kumaon it is the highest. He is taking the whole of U.P. together.

Shri H. C. DASAPPA: I am only taking the whole of U.P.—India, that is Bharat, that is U.P.

Sir, then there are certain things which do necessitate a more serious approach to this problem, apart from the number of things which I have already referred to. I do not want to repeat them. Take the question of literacy in the country—the urban and the rural; the agricultural and the non-agricultural. I will give you only one instance to show how the things differ so widely and we must go into the root causes of these, and see that this kind of inequality does not exist in the land. It is extremely unfair, I think. For instance, the agricultural classes have a percentage of literacy of 12.1: while the non-agricultural classes have 29. I think that is a very unfair position. I want to know whether my friend, Mr. Bisht, is going to justify it on the plea that we are helpless. If that is all the counsel, that he can give to the country, I think we would do well to resign and make room for people who will be able to handle this affair.

Dr. Shrimati Seeta Parmanand said that the Secondary Education Commission had already dealt with this ques-I happen for the moment to have the various recommendations of the Secondary Education Commission and they are about the main ones which are enumerated here in this Book "India, 1955". I do not find anything about primary education. What is this kind of misleading I should say—to use the mildest term-the House that the Secondary Education Commission has dealt with this? There is no such thing as that.

Then, Sir, there are other problems. I wanted to refer in particular to what my friend, Prof. Malkani, said. He has anticipated it, and that is with reference to the most important question, namely, that Hindi must become the real Rashtra Bhasha of this country and it must become the State language, the official language, within fifteen years as laid down in the Constitution. How are we going to best achieve that result? I have had talks with great education experts who are advising my friend, Dr. Shrimali. I have had very instructive talks with some of them and I have gathered

this: that it is wrong, educationally speaking, psychologically speaking, for children to be taught a language the meaning of words in which they do not readily understand. I say having regard to the experience in India, if there is any time when a language can be most easily learnt, it is when a child is young. I am not exaggerating it. I think you can easily ask a child to learn three or four languages at a time when it is very young. But you try the same joke with some of us here. It will be a very difficult thing. I am at the head of a Hindi institution. Each time I went to jail. I would start with a primer and end with that. So, it is an ordinary psychological truth that a child can more easily pick up these languages than when it becomes an adult. This is a question on which we would like to have a direction from the Commission.

So, also, with regard to the cost, I will only lay this proposition before the House. My friends were talking of basic education; pre-basic education; post-basic education, and all kinds and varieties, and my friend, Prof. Malkani, pointed out the confusion prevailing. I suppose we had that confusion becoming worse confounded. The main consideration should be as to whether we are justified in talking about the nature of education when millions and crores in our land have no education whatever. I say it is a crime that we are committing to postpone the day when we can make the people literate-howsoever it may be. Out of 36 crores of people there are, I think, six crores of literates. And what kind of literates? Out of six crores literates, five crores are hardly able to read and write. And with this state of affairs, are we going to run this democracy? We seriously suggest that we must make some further experiments. As I said, it does not matter so much whether we have these other special institutions or not. First, let them learn at least the three 'R's. Now everybody is being taxed, and everybody is filling the coffers of the State. I ask: Is there any justification in preventing a child from

having education, when the family is paying tax to the coffers of the State? I say, it is an untenable, an immoral and an irrational position. As I said, it is the biggest crime. Sir, it all depends upon the attitude that we take. There are countries where the education department is held by the most important Minister in the land. Well, my friend, Mr. Govinda Reddy, described it as Cinderella, more or less. That is very unfair. Sir, recently had been to Turkey, and Turkey. today, is one of the most powerful nations. And what did Kamal Pasha do in addition to making his nation strong, that nation of soldiers? first thing that he did was that he teaching alphabets to children. He changed the whole script. He started the teaching himself along with his own colleagues.

Therefore I say that it is up to us to put this tempo into the whole movement for mass education and see that democracy is not endangered by the people who do not know what democracy means. Well, Sir, I do not think I should take more time. I think I have convinced my friends, Mr. Bisht and Dr. Shrimati Seeta Parmanand. But I will say one thing more and finish

I have got my own doubts. Judging from the way in which the Ministry is going on, I doubt very much whether it means any serious business with regard to this matter. i am afraid the Government may not favour the of this acceptance Resolution. means a great obligation; it means a great deal more of sympathy, and a great deal more of pumping in money to the States. There is no doubt about I think it is the States that must implement the programme. I am afraid, the Ministry has not got the same tempo as some of us have, and Dr. Shrimali said that there are many difficulties coming in our way, and all that. My friend, Mr. Bisht, said that Mr. Vaidya would do well to withdraw the Resolution. Well, it does not matter whether my friend,

[Shri H. C. Dasappa,]
Mr. Vaidya, withdraws the Resolution,
or whether he is going to press it. But
the world at large is going to judge
the Central Government today by the
amount of interest that they are going
to take in this vital question of
introducing literacy in every nook and
corner of India.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Savitry Nigam.

SHRI KAILASH BIHARI LALL (Bihar): Sir, I have not sent my name. I may say a few words, if you permit me.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: 1 have already called upon Mrs. Nigam. There are two more speakers who have given their names earlier.

श्रीमती सावित्री दंबी निगम (उत्तर प्रदंश) : उपसभाति महोदय, यह प्रस्ताव बो आब सदन के सामने हैं उसका में हार्दिक समर्थन करने के लिये खड़ी हुई हुं। माननीय दासप्पा जी ने श्री बिष्ट और मिसेज परमानन्द को काफी जवाब दं दिया हैं और मेरा काम बहुत कुछ हल्का हो चुका हैं। किन्तु, प्राइमरी एज्केशन सर्वसुलभ बनाने का सवाल एक बड़ा ही अहम सवाल हैं, इसलिये इस सवाल पर विचार करने में इम लोगों को बड़ी गम्भीरता और बड़ी समफदारी से काम लेना हैं।

श्रीमन्, गांधी जी का मत था कि जिस प्रकार जल और वायु पर सभी व्यक्तियों का समान अधिकार होता हैं उसी प्रकार शिक्षा को भी निःशुल्क बना दिया जाना चाहिये तािक वह हर एक बालक के लिये. हर एक दंशवासी के लिये सर्वसुलभ हो सके। इसके अतिरिक्त उन्होंने यह भी कहा था कि बालक का यह जन्मसिद्ध अधिकार हैं कि उसकी शिक्षा का श्रबन्ध वह समाज कर जिसमें कि उसने अन्म लिया हैं। अभी बिष्ट जी ने कहा कि इतना धन कहां से आयेगा, कहां से इतना रूप आयंगा। इसके पाने हैं कि वे भी

इस बात का समर्थन करते हैं कि जरूर कमीशन नियुक्त हो और वह इस बात हर विचार कर कि यह रूपया कहां से लाया जाय आर किस प्रकार से काम हो। इसमें संदंह नहीं हैं कि यह प्रश्न हम सभी लोगों के गामने हैं कि कहां से इतना यथेष्ट धन लाया जाय जो कि दंशवासियों की शिवा के लिये यथेष्ट हो । किन्तू, श्रीमन्, यदि हमने शिचा चेत्र में इतनी बड़ी गलती न की होती और १६३७ में बाप ने जिस प्रस्ताव को रखा था. जिसका सर्वसम्मति से समर्थन हुआ था, जिस का देश के ही नहीं बल्कि विदेशों के बहें वडं शिक्षा शास्त्रियों ने पूरी तरह से समर्थन किया था, उसको अर्थात् बुनियादी तालीम की वह रूप रंखा अपनी सरकार के द्वारा पूरी नरह से अपना ली गई होती तो आज देश का डांचा ही बदल गया होता और दृश के लिये जो शिक्षा के सम्बन्ध में आज आर्थिक कठिनार्ड उपस्थित हैं वह कभी न हुई होती। यह सब एक बडी दुखद कहानी हैं। तब से ले कर अब तक बीनयादी तालीम के साथ जो खिलवाड हुआ हैं उसरो यहां हम सब लोग भली भांति परिचित्त हैं। छोटं से लंकर बर्ड से बर्ड अधिकारी तक ने, सब ने, ब्रीनयादी तालीम का उच्च स्वर से बराबर समर्थन किया हैं। एक और उच्च स्वर से समर्थन करते हैं औं दूसरी और कथनी और करनी में इतना अंतर रहा है कि ब्रिनयादी तालीम हमेशा उन लोगों के हाथों में रही हैं, उन्हीं लोगों ने इसकी जिम्मेदारी संभाली हैं जो कि ब्रीनयादी तालीम के बार में कुछ जानते ही नहीं हैं। यदि में यह कहूं तो अतिशयोक्ति नहीं होगी ब्रिनयादी तालीम के शिशु की कौमल गर्दन हमेशा उन शिचकां, अधिकारियां आंर डाइरॅक्टरों के शथ में रही हैं जो कि बनियादी नालीम की परिभाषा पश्चिमी शिद्धा प्रणाली के शिद्धा शास्त्रिया हेलवीसियस हैं वर्ड और कैंडोल्य आदि की पुस्तकों में ढंढते रहे हैं और अब तक वे समभ ही नहीं पाये हैं कि ब्रीनयादी तालीम हैं क्या और किस प्रकार इसका प्रसार देश में किया

हैं। इसलिए मेरा सरकार से अनुरोध हैं कि वह शीघू ही एक कमीशन की नियाक्ति करं जो कि विभिन्न प्रान्तों में नई तालीम के चेत्र में होने वाले प्रयोगों का बड़ा स्दम निरीचण कर के. उनका विशेष अध्ययन और विश्लेषण कर के, एक सर्वश्रेष्ठ ब्रीनयादी तालीम की रूप रखा बना ले और उसको पूर्णतया मान्यता द' द' ताकि एक युनिफर्मिटी सारं दंश में आ सके और सारं प्रान्तों में शिद्धा प्रसार के दोत्र में पूरी प्रगति हो सके। श्रीमन्, अब वह समय आ गया हैं कि ये प्रयोग शीघ ही खत्म किए जाएं और यह प्रयोग तब तक खत्म नहीं किया जा सकता है जब तक कि इस बार में एक कमीशन की नियुदित नहीं होगी और इस बार में पूरी तरह से जांच पड़ताल नहीं की जाएगी। दूसरा मेरा यह अनुरोध हैं कि यह कमीशन इस चीज की भी जांच कर इन स्क्लों में, जो कि बुनियादी शिचा के आधार पर चलाये जा रहे हैं, कितना मीिक्समम आउटप्ट होना चाहियं। वह इसको भी ्निधारित कर दं क्योंकि जब यह निश्चित र्टे। आएगा कि विभिन्न प्रान्तों में स्कूली का मॅीक्समम आउटपूट क्या होना चाहिए या किस मात्रा तक वे स्वावलम्बन प्राप्त कर सकते हैं तब, में सोचती हूं कि, कार्यक्रम में भी और पाट्यक्रम में भी एक समानता आ जाएगी। तीसरी बात जो कमीशन के विचार करने की होगी वह यह होगी कि जा मौजूदा डाइरक्टर्स और वर्ड वर्ड पदा-धिकारी शिद्धा के द्वेत्र में बेंठ' हुए हैं. जिनको कि बीनयादी तालीम की ए, बी, सी, डी, भी नहीं आती हैं उनको किस प्रकार प्रशिद्धित किया जाय कि वे उस ढांचे में फिट इन हो सकें क्यों कि में यह सलाह तो नहीं दुंगी कि मौजूदा अधि-कारियों को सरकार निकाल कर फेंक दं। इसके बाद, चौथी बात जिस पर इस कमीशन को विचार करना चाहिए वह यह हैं कि किस प्रकार हर गाम में एंसी एक ब्रीनयादी पाठशाला कायम की जा सकती हैं जिसके पास जमीन भी हो और जो नवभारत के लिए एक प्रयोगशाला का रूप धारण कर ले, जिसमें आ कर बालक हस्तकला, गृह विज्ञान आदि की शिचा लें और खेती भी करें और साथ ही साथ अपनी जरूरत की सारी चीजें.

जो कि गाम्य जीवन के लिए आवश्यक होती हैं, उनका उत्पादन करना भी सीख लें। यह सब निश्चित करने का काम कमीशन का ही होगा। इसीलए कमीशन की नियुक्ति अत्याधिक आवश्यक हैं। जो एक सब से बड़ी बात कमीशन को विचार करनी हैं वह यह हैं कि जैसे कि आवड़ी सेशन में हमने सोशीलीस्टक पेंटने आफ सोसाइटी का जो रंजोल्युशन पास किया हैं उसे शिद्धा द्वेत्र में भी किस तरह लागू किया जाए। किस प्रकार इस अन्तर को मिटाया जाए कि आज एक प्राइमरी स्कूल का शिच्चक २० रुपया महीना पाता है तो यूनिवर्सिटी का शिचक तीन हजार या चार हजार रुपया पाता हैं। भी कमीशन को यह निश्चित करना होगा कि यह जो वलगर डिसपेरिटी हैं उसको किस प्रकार दूर किया जाए।

श्रीमन्, आप बजट पर भी एक मिनट के लिये दृष्टि डालें कि कूल १८ करोड़ ३१ लाख रुपया पूरी शिद्धा के लिये निश्चित किया गया है. जिसमें से २ करोड ४ लाख विश्व-विद्यालयों के लिये हैं, और जहां ३० करीड़ लोगों को शिद्धित करने के लिये ८ करोइ २२ लाख रुपया है, वहां १० करीड रुपया उन o करोड लोगों को शिवित करने के लिये हैं जिनको कि शिद्धा की बदहजमी करा शिचित बेकारों की बस्ती में बैठाया जाना है। क्या यह शिद्धा द्वेत्र में बहुत बड़ा अपव्यय नहीं हैं। मैं बिष्ट साहब से पूछना चाहती हूं, कहां से यह रूपया आया ? वही रूपया जो अन्धाधून्ध फेंक कर गंवाया जा रहा हैं. यदि प्राइमरी शिक्षा की तरफ डाइवर्ट दिया जाय तो फिर हमें धन की अधिक कमी नहीं रहेगी क्योंिक जो लोग युनिवर्सिटी में शिद्धा प्राप्त करने जाते हैं उनमें अधिकांश लोग शिद्धा का व्यय भार भी उठा सकते हैं।

श्रीमन्, बस दो एक बात कह कर में समाप्त करना चाहती हुं। जब तक शिद्धा के तंत्र में इस प्रकार का शोषण बन्द नहीं होगा, कभी भी प्राइमरी शिद्धा आगे बढ़ न सकेगी गनिष न सकेगी। इसलिए में चाहती हूं श्रीमती सावित्री दंवी निगम]

कि यह कमीशन प्री तरह पर्यवेद्मण कर के यह हमें बताये कि किस प्रकार के शिद्मा होत्र में उन पिब्लिक स्कूलों और बूनियादी अधकचर स्कूलों को लाया जा सकता हैं। यह जो बूनियादी तालीम हैं वह एक महान् संदंश लाने वाली हैं जीवन का, क्योंकि यह एक एसा तरीका प्रस्तुत करती हैं जो कि विश्व शांति को जन्म दंने बाला हैं।

श्रीमन्, जैसा कि हमार कई एक और भी वक्ताओं ने कहा कि शिक्षा को म्युनिसपल या हिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड आदि के ऊपर ही छोड़कर हमें निश्चिन्त न हो जाना चाहिए क्योंकि उन्होंने जो सफलता पायी हैं उसके लिए हम लोगों के हर्यों में सिवाय दया के और कुछ नहीं हो सकती, उसके साथ साथ में इसका भी प्रा समर्थन करती हूं कि प्राइमरी एजुकेशन का द्वेत्र सिर्फ स्त्रियों के लिए ही छोड़ दिया जाना चाहिए क्योंकि मातृत्व के जिन गुणों की प्राइमरी स्कृत के बच्चों को सिखाने में आवश्यकता होती हैं वह केवल वात्सल्यमयी नारी में ही पाये जा सकते हैं।

भीमन्, कमीशन को एक बात और बताना होगा। उसको एक अवधि मुकर्र कर दंनी होगी कि इस अवधि के अंदर अंदर जितने ये माँज्दा एंग्लो इंडियन या एंग्लो हिन्दी स्क्ल हैं उनको परिवर्तित करके बेंसिक स्क्ल बनाया जा सकेगा। उसको इसका निर्णय भी करना होगा कि किस प्रकार शहरी शिक्षा और ग्रामीण शिक्षा का अन्तर द्र किया जा सकेगा क्योंकि जब तक यह न हो सकेगा, कभी भी प्राइमरी एजुकेशन आगे नहीं बढ सकेगी।

श्रीमन्, इसके अतिरिक्त यह कह कर में सभाप्त करना चाहती हूं कि सरकार को यदि सच्चे तरीके से. सही ढंग से बुनियादी तालीम को दंश में प्रतिष्ठित करना हैं तो उसके लिए एक बहुत बड़ी अनिवार्य आवश्यकता यह हैं कि वह इस बात की घोषणा करें कि १४ साल बाद या दस सास बाद जिलने भी सरकारी नॉकिरियों के पद होंगे वे उन्हीं लोगों को दिये जायंगे जो बूरेनयादी शिक्षा पर तालीम पाये हुए होंगे। तभी यह सम्भव हो सकेगा कि बुनियादी स्क्लों की शक्लों बदल सकें, उनको सब लोगों का सहयोग प्राप्त होगा, बहुं से बहा आदमी भी अपने लड़कों को उन स्क्लों में पढ़ाने को तैयार होगा और इस प्रकार बुनियादी शिक्षा सही रुख अस्तियार कर सकेगी।

में एक बार फिर इस कमीशन की नियुक्ति की मांग का अनुरोध करती हुं।

SHRI H. P. SAKSENA: Sir, if I were to play to the gallery, I would also say that I recommend the formation of a Commission for primary education. I express my sympathy with my hon. friend, Mr. Bisht, who was subjected to an unnecessary persecution at the hands of some hon. Members-persecution of which he was made a victim. I quite understand it But I have not yet been able to understand what moral, legal or constitutional right the hon. lady Mrs. Savitry Devi Nigam has got to speak on a subject like Education and say that my friend, Mr. Bisht was wrong. This is a place, Sir, -the House of Parliament-where anybody can speak on any subject wnether he knows even the A.B.C. of the subject or not. What experience has she got of teaching, excepting that of having taught her own children in her own

SHRIMATI SAVITRY DEVI NIGAM. I pity your ignorance.

SHRI H. P. SAKSENA: That is all There is no other experience of education.

Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Saksena, no personal attacks.

SHRIMATI SAVITRY DEVI NIGAM: Shame, shame.

SHRI H. P. SAKSENA: I wanted to know of her experience, Sir. I beg

of you not to misunderstand me, beause I simply wanted to make a query. That is all.

Now, Sir, so far as the illiteracy ot our country is concerned stupendous and it is a matter of shame that one of the greatest countries on earth should have such an alarming scale of illiteracy in it. I know of any other country-any civilized country on the face of the earth-which has got such a huge amount of illiteracy in its population as India has. The only thing that has got to be done is to remove this illiteracy. That is the first and foremost thing. As my hon friend, Bisht said, it is a thousand times have schools better to with one teacher only than to wait for teachers to be appointed and meanwhile, to give no education with the help of one-teacher schools in Now, as I understand it, country. Education is in the Concurrent List and it is the primary and necessary duty of the States to give education to their people. Happily enough, come from a State which is self-contained and very progressive in the matter of education also like so many other departments. Therefore, I am not in a hurry so far as the spread of education is concerned. It is only seven or eight years since we became free and we have to put Education as the first and foremost necessity for our development. The Five Year Plan is and we hope to achieve very great things through the agency of Plan. But then to hustle up things bring forward and to resolutions which take us nowhere is, to my mind, most un-national.

Let us bestow some thought upon the problem and try to look at it from a national approach. I say we can very easily make use of these Panchayat Ghars that have been established all over the country, make them the school-buildings and select a few educated, half-educated, partially-educated people living in those very villages and give the facility of imparting education to people. In this

way, instead of waiting for a huge sum of money to fall from Heaven when we shall be able to start schools for the education of the entire population, we can make a very good beginning in that respect.

Now, a lot of things have been said about the inadequacy of funds and about the amount of salaries that we are giving to the teachers. But nobody has ever made a single remark as to the stuff that we have necessarily to employ for teaching purposes. stuff is very very bad. They are the spoilers of the young children, their educators and those who will give us boys and girls who will form our future society. It is not only the money that is paid to a teacher that makes him a good teacher. stuff he is made of, what qualifications he has got, what is the standard of his efficiency—these things have got to be taken into consideration.

Now, Sir, one thing is that I am in great personal attachment with the Ministry of Education and the Head of the Ministry of Education. I don't. think it is wise and proper for us to be saddling the Ministry of Education with additional burdens. It is already over-busy and over-worked. Therefore no additional burden by the appointment of any Commission or whatsoever should be put on it and to expect any guidance from the Centre is to hope for the moon. There is no need for asking anything to be done by the Central Government. is all very well, exalted in its glorified position and doing the things that that Ministry thinks ought to be done for the country. With this hope all our aspirations and all our expectations are being fulfilled, will be fulfilled and therefore there should be no worry about it.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Your five minutes are over.

Shri H. P. SAKSENA: Sir, I beg leave of you and request you that the country should not spend its [Shri H. P. Saksena.]

energies and money on these Commissions which lead us nowhere.

Commission on

Dr. K. L. SHRIMALI: Mr. Deputy Chairman, in the first place I must thank the hon. Members who have participated in this debate and have made very valuable suggestions. we were to enumerate the evils of the present system of education and its defects, we could go on ad infini-The Government of India and the State Governments are fully aware of the evils of the present system. We must remember that this is a legacy of the past and educational systems are not transformed over-night. It is a colossal task and will require years before we can really reconstruct the whole system. So, though I am in general agreement with many remarks which have been made with regard to the present system of education, I am afraid I cannot accept the Resolution which has been moved by my hon. friend Shri Vaidya.

Sir, with regard to the pattern of education, through the various Committees, Conferences and the meetings of the Central Advisory Board of the Government of India, we have come to a decision and the decision is that basic education will be the pattern of national education.

Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Resolution, as it is worded, does not deal with the pattern at all.

Dr. K. L. SHRIMALI: It says:

"This House is of opinion that Government should appoint a Commission immediately to examine the kind of primary education..."

I thought when the hon, mover is referring to the kind of primary education.....

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It says "kind of primary education that obtains in the country"—that is at present-and the effective portion is "and to recommend suitable measures with a view to making it available....."

It refers to the kind of education that now obtains in the country. There is no question of improvement The Resolution is very unhappily worded. I don't think that is the object of Mr. Vaidya.

1834

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: The Resolution, I thought, was very unhappily worded. I thought it also referred to the kind of pattern.....

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He MR. wants the Commission to suggest suitmeasures to spread the same system of education—that is not his object.

Dr. K. L. SHRIMALI: Yes, that was not his purpose. It was the intention of the mover that the Commission would examine the kind of primary education that exists in the country and would suggest suitable measures for its reconstruction. That I think was the purpose of the Resolution.

There has been a lot of discussion on basic education. I think we have already told several times in this House and I might repeat here again that as regards the pattern of education is concerned at the primary stage, the Central Advisory Board of the Government of India, where all the State Governments are represented, have agreed that basic education will be the pattern of national education. The only hurdle which the Government of India have to face in implementing the scheme of basic education and in making up free and compulsory lack of adequate funds. I do not agree with Members when they say that we have made no progress in the expansion of basic education. Figures have been quoted by Members and I need not repeat them. If you only look at them you will find that there has considerable progress in expansion of basic education. We are not satisfied with the progress made and if we had more funds available, we would like to utilize them for the purpose of expansion.

Shri BHUPESH GUPTA: Is it then the contention of the Government that the expansion of education at the primary level is not possible because of lack of funds?

Commission on

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: Yes, that is the greatest hurdle. The lack of adequate funds is the greatest hurdle in the implementation of the Directive of the Constitution. Our new democracy at present is confronted on the one hand with the pressure for expansion. Democracy cannot succeed inless we can bring all the schoolgoing children to the educational institutions and give them free education. During recent years, there has been a great consciousness among our people and there is a demand education. People have awakened to the need of education and the Government, with their limited capacity, are meeting this pressure pressure of expansion, but at the same time if our democracy is to succeed, we must also improve the quality of education at the present moment we are confronted with this great problem. the one hand, there is the problem of expansion of education—there is a great desire on the part of the people to have more educational institutions -and rightly-and on the other hand we feel that unless we also improve the quality of education we shall not raise the quality of our citizens. These are the two great pressures at present on the Government and a democratic society like ours, having accepted the great ideal of socialistic pattern, must simultaneously meet both these demands. On the one hand we must continuously improve the quality education, and on the other hand we must go on expanding education so that we make it accessible to every child in the country.

I shall not deal with all the points that have been raised during the course of the debate. But there is one point to which I think I should refer and that is with regard to the salary of the teachers. Sir, unfortunately in our country, the teacher has occupied a very low position. In ancient India the teacher was adored. He was held in high esteem, he was respected but during the recent past, when we were governed by a foreign power, unfortunately the status of the teacher was considerably lowered. He not only lost his prestige, but also his economic status. I entirely agree with Members that unless we improve the scales of pay of our teachers, we shall not improve the quality of education. We cannot give first-rate education by keeping discontented teachers who

Primary Education

Prof. G. RANGA (Andhra): I hope my hon. friend will dissociate himself and the Government from the two unfortunate expressions about teachers -- "contemptible" and "spoilers children" which were used here?

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: It is therefore absolutely necessary......

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: They are a fine set of people.

Dr. K. L. SHRIMALI: It is, therefore, absolutely necessary that we must improve the quality of teachers. Of course, the teachers are working under very difficult conditions and in spite of that, they are doing a good job.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Since the hon. Minister is on that point and he appreciates it, may I ask him what steps Government contemplate taking. with a view to increase the salaries and the earnings of these teachers?

Dr. K. L. SHRIMALI: The Government of India are very keen to see that the salaries of teachers, their scales of pay are raised. Recently we have started with university education and we have increased the scales and made some contributions to the universities to increase the scales of teachers. As I said, if more funds are available in the next Five Year Plan -and we are trying for it-we hope that the scales of pay of teachers at the primary stage and the secondary stage will also be improved.

SHRI D. NARAYAN (Bombay): So you have started with the highly paid people.

Dr. K. L. SHRIMALI: Sir, the reason for that is that the whole educational system is interlinked. Unless you have better university teachers, you will not get good teachers in the secondary schools and unless you have better secondary schools you will not get good teachers for the primary schools. So it is not possible to isolate one stage from the other. The Government of India and also the State Governments are, within their limited resources, trying to tackle this colossal problem. But. ultimately, Sir, the question is whether shall have adequate funds to improve the educational system and to expand it at the same time. If we look at the figures, we will find that we have 4.5 crores of children between the ages of six and eleven and 2.4 crores of children between the ages of eleven and fourteen. Their total will be about 6.9 crores or approximatery 7 crores of children. If we spend on an average Rs. 20 per child, we would require approximately Rs. 150 crores per year. Is the country prepared to spend this much amount at this stage? We have also to remember that we have at the same time to spend some money on secondary education and also on university education. We cannot starve them. This is the hard fact which the country must face. Are we prepared to pay more taxes? Are we prepared to make some sacrifices ourselves? If we are prepared to make sacrifices, if we are prepared to pay more taxes, we can introduce compulsory free education tomorrow. But I am afraid the resources of the country.....

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: It is most unfortunate that the hon. Minister should be posing this question of raising more taxes, for money can be found from other sources.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please to not disturb.

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: In the First and in the Second Five Year Plan the aim has been to develop the natural resources of the country and every effort is being made by Government to exploit all the possible resources. We cannot consider education in isolation. We have to link up education with the general econo- $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}$ mic and social structure. economy develops and as we have more resources available for education, we shall expand and improve the quality of education.

Sir, I shall just quote a few figures to show that in spite of these limited resources, the State Governments and the Central Government have made some progress. I am not satisfied myself and I do not say that anybody in the country, in the Government or outside the Government, can be satisfied with the progress that we have made. But we cannot ignore the fact that during the last five or six years of our independence, we have worked under extremely difficult conditions. My hon, friend Dr. Raghubir Sinh referred to conditions in England at the time of the war. Here there has been no war, but the country had to face various difficult problems in order to maintain and stabilise the democracy and therefore

(Interruption from Dr. Raghubir Sinh).

Sir, I did not interrupt the hon. Member when he was speaking and I may please be given an occasion to explain my point.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Order, order.

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: If he wants to speak, I am prepared to yield.

Prof. G. RANGA: No, not necessary, you go ahead.

DR. K. L. SHRIMALI: Sir, the country had to struggle against heavy difficulties and in spite of these difficulties, we have made some progress. Let us look at the figures. I do not know wherefrom my hon. friend here got his figures for U.P. for as far as

my information goes, the progress has been satisfactory all over the country. I do not know from where my hon. friend was quoting. The number of primary schools in 1947-48 1,40,794. In 1952-53 it was 2,21,082. Enrolments in primary schools was 1.10.68.273 and in 1952-53 it 1.92.96.840. The expenditure on primary schools ın 1947-48 Rs. 18,90,05,064. In 1952-53 it 11700 Rs. 43,70,89,002. Anybody who studies these figures would admit that there has been some progress.

With regard to the amendment which was moved by my hon, friend Shri Sarwate, I would like to one or two words. There is no denving the fact that pre-school education is important. The pre-school period is the most impressionable period for the formation of character. I do not in any way wish to underrate minimise the value of education at the pre-school stage and if the country had resources we would like to have more of nursery schools. In fact, we have already been giving some grants to the State Governments to introduce a few nursery schools. In the first Five Year Plan period, Government gave grants to the State Governments for the development of pre-school education; the grants are paid on a matching basis, the Centre bearing 66 per cent, of the non-recurring expenditure and also a share of the recurring expenditure on a sliding scale. During 1954-55, the States of Bengal, Punjab, Coorg, Travancore-Cochin, Rajasthan, Bihar and Assam were sanctioned total Central grants amounting to about Rs. 1:48 lakhs. This is not a very big amount but do realise the Central Government the value and importance of pre-school education. They have already formed a National Committee on Childhood Education and this Committee has made certain valuable recommendations. Government are already trying to implement those recommendations.

In the end, I would like to say one word with regard to the work that

has been done by the Education Ministry. We can never be satisfied with education. A country has a right to ask for more and better education and a democracy which is continuously developing-a democracy like oursmust continue to have a dvnamic system of education. We cannot be satisfied with static things and agree with hon. Members that 3370 must move very rapidly towards the expansion of education and the improvement of education.

Having accepted the basic education as the pattern of national education. the Government of India have adopted for the improveseveral measures ment of basic education. We have now. in most of the States, a pilot project where experiments are being conducted for the improvement of techniques and methods of basic education. Some people have raised the objection that basic education is probably an expensive education. Good education is expensive; we cannot give education and at the same time make it cheap. If we want to have good education, then we must have better equipment, better buildings and better teachers. When people say that basic education is an expensive education, I shall reply to them that if country wants to have a better type of education, then it must be prepared to pay more for education.

It was also said that there was a lot of confusion. My hon, friend Mr. Malkani said that there was confusion: there is no confusion in my mind and there is no confusion in the mind of the Government of India with regard type of education to the that we want. We are quite clear about our goal and about our objectives. have only to find the means and resources to realise our objectives. Our objective is to develop a sound system of basic education which might prepare citizens for our new democratic society. We want to have a dynamic system; there cannot be any rigidity even in basic education. The broad outline of national education must be there in every country but

[Dr. K. L. Shrimali.] in a democratic society there can be no rigidity. There must be diversity from place to place. You cannot have one uniform system all over the country; the environments differ, the circumstances differ, the local conditions differ and if we wish to education and at the same time relate it to life, then, Sir, education must differ as conditions differ. Of course, must be a general national framework and that has been laid down by the Father of the Nation and the country has accepted it and

Prof. N. R. MALKANI: Please do not misquote him.

Government have accepted it.

Dr. K. L. SHRIMALI: I was, in my own humble way, trying to interpret Gandhiji had said. I do not think even he has said that the final word has been said about basic education. Gandhiji himself was a seeker after truth and he wanted to make his whole life an experiment with truth. He never laid down a rigid system. Before the country evolve a national system, a lot of experimentation must be done and that process is going on now.

In view of what I have said, I hope my hon. friend Mr. Vaidya will withdraw the Resolution.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: I want to ask one question, Sir.

MR DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No more questions; there is no time.

Shri KANHAIYALAL D. VAIDYA: What about the Constitution? The hon. Minister said nothing about it.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Leave alone the Constitution. What about the Resolution?

श्री कन्हें यालाल दाँ० वेद्य (मध्य भारत) : अगर सब लोगों की राय हो तो में कुछ भी न बोल्ं। केवल दो मिनट बाकी रहे हैं 'क्या इसी में प्रा करना है ? MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If you are withdrawing the Resolution, you need not make a speech but if you are pressing the Resolution then you will have to make a speech.

SHRI KANHAIYALAL D. VAIDYA: I have to reply.

SHRI BHUPESH GUPTA: Let the hon. Member reply; then, if he likes, he can withdraw the Resolution.

श्री कन्हें यालाल गाँ० वेंचा : उनसभापति महोदय, में ने सदस्यों की बहस सूनी और जो स्थिति इस रंजील्यूशन के विवाद में सामने आई हैं उसको दृखते हुये में इतना ही कह सकना हूं कि विधान के अन्तर्गत एक बहुत बही जिम्मेदारी हमारी सरकार के ऊपर हैं। सरकार ने अपनी कठिनाइयां बताई हैं कि धन नहीं हैं। अभी माननीय मंत्री जी ने कहा कि क्या टंक्स के द्वारा धन वस्त कर के हम इस कार्य को कर ? में सरकार को यह सुभाव देना नहीं चाहता कि टंक्स लगा करके शिचा के कार्य कां आगे बढ़ाया जाय क्योंकि जनता की स्थिति इस लायक नहीं हैं कि जो दूसर कार्या के लिये उससे टेक्स मांगा जा रहा है वही वह दे सके। फिर भी शिद्धा के सम्बन्ध में यह आवश्यक हैं कि संविधान की पवित्रताकी रक्षाकी जारू मेरा यह सुभाव होगा विधान की धारा को ही बदल दिया जाय। आज देश के करोड़ों बच्चे जवाहरलाल जी की तरफ देख रहे हैं और उनको अपने पापा के रूप में मानते हैं और वे भी दंश का भीवष्य बस्वों में दंखने हैं। एंसी दशा में जो दंश के करोड़ों बच्चे बिल्क्र्ल निकम्मा और पश्वत जीवन बिला रहे हैं उनको शिवित करना इस सरकार का कर्तत्य हैं और बदि सरकार इस कर्त्तव्य की निभाये तो बड़ा अच्छा हैं। इन सारी परिस्थितियों में इस रंजील्य्शन पर सदन ने जो विचार व्यक्त किये और जिस प्रकार इस रंजील्य्शन का समर्थन किया, उसके लिये में सब सदस्यों का बहुत आभागी हूं। इसके साथ साथ सरकार के सामने इन विचारों को रखते हुये में आशा करता हूं कि अरकार गम विषय

में उचित निर्णय करंगी और जो दंश की आकां झाएं हैं जनकी पूर्ति करंगी। इस वर्तमान परिस्थिति में में इस प्रस्ताव को आगे प्रेस करना नहीं चाहता ।

Mr. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Has he the leave of the House to withdraw the Resolution?

(No hon, Member dissented.)

The Resolution was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

RESOLUTION RERESTRICTION ON PRODUCTION OF CLOTH BY MILLS

SHRI KISHEN CHAND (Hyderabad): Mr. Deputy Chairman, I beg to move:

"That this House, having taken into consideration the recommendations of the Textile Enquiry Com-

mitte, is of opinion that production of cloth by mills should be limited to 5,000 million yards per year and that after the year 1955-56 all additional production should be by the handloom sector."

In 1952, Government appointed a Textile Enquiry Committee. That Committee worked for nearly two years and submitted its Report in September 1954, Shri N. Kanungo who is now a Minister was the Chairman of that Committee.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You can continue on the next non-official day.

The House stands adjourned till 11 A.M. on Monday, the 5th.

> The House then adjourned at five of the clock till eleven of the clock on Monday, the 5th September 1955.