

PROF. G. RANGA : That has already been done. We have already made it.

SHRI T. S. PATTABIRAMAN : Sir, we have a responsibility. This is a sovereign Parliament and when the people are in the grip of an emotion....

MR. CHAIRMAN : We are a sovereign Parliament. Why not the representatives of this sovereign Parliament advise the people to be calm?

SHRI T. S. PATTABIRAMAN : Yes, Sir, that is what I say. That will ease the situation.

SHRI P. SUNDARAYYA : The only question is "What is the Government's policy? Is it dilly-dallying?"

MR. CHAIRMAN : It is now understood on all sides of the House that as soon as possible a statement will be made.

AN HON. MEMBER : Will the Government be asked to stop shooting?

SHRI P. SUNDARAYYA : Is the statement a general statement or does the statement contain concrete suggestions which the Government are going to take?

MR. CHAIRMAN : All this discussion will be communicated to the Government that you wanted a statement suggesting concrete steps.

SHRI H. P. SAKSENA (Uttar Pradesh): Is it not time to resume discussion of the Five Year Plan?

PROF. G. RANGA : Sir, Mr. C. G. K. Reddy, Mr. Sundarayya and myself yesterday suggested our intention to move a further discussion on this matter. He also now suggested that the Government should give us an opportunity—give the House an opportunity—some time, if not a whole day some portion of a day, to discuss this matter. Once they make a statement as our hon. friend Mr. Pattabiraman has suggested, in the light of

that statement it might also become possible to lay additional stress on the need for all those people immediately to come back again to sanity with the assurance that the Andhra Province is going to be created.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Mr. Ranga, you are making a serious charge by saying that they have fallen into insanity.

SHRI C. G. K. REDDY : Sir, this is a matter of importance to us. You may find time for an hour or even two minutes for the discussion on this matter. *He is the Leader of the House and he should be able to say something.*

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON RIVER VALLEY PROJECTS

THE DEPUTY MINISTER FOR PLANNING, IRRIGATION AND POWER (SHRI J. S. L. HATHI): Sir, I lay on the Table a copy of each of the following Reports:—

AN HON. MEMBER : Is it Mr. Nanda?

MR. CHAIRMAN : Yes, officially.

SHRI J. S. L. HATHI : (i) Report of the *Ad Hoc* Committee in connection with the investigations of the River Valley Projects. [Placed in Library. See Index No. IV. M. 4 (24).]

(ii) Report of the Advisory Committee on Hirakud Dam Project—June, 1948. [Placed in Library. See Index No. IV. M. 4 (11).]

(iii) Report of the Advisory Committee on Hirakud Dam Project—March 1952. [Placed in Library. See Index No. IV. M. 4 (11).]

(iv) Report of the Advisory Committee on the Kosi Project, 1952. [Placed in Library. See Index No. IV M. 4. (27).]

PROF. G. RANGA : Sir, I hope you have taken note that I used the wrong word. I withdraw that word to "a peaceful frame of mind".

MR. CHAIRMAN : I am glad about it.

RESOLUTION RE FIVE YEAR PLAN—*continued*

MR. CHAIRMAN : Further discussion of the Resolution moved by the Prime Minister on the Planning Commission.

PROF. N. R. MALKANI (Nominated): Sir, I rise to support the resolution moved by the Prime Minister. Sir, some efforts have been made in this House as well as in the other House to belittle the importance and significance of the Resolution. It therefore bears repetition to say that the Plan is a national plan—national in the sense that it is the first plan of its kind. It is also an important plan. It is national because it is the first plan of its kind produced by the Indian Government, by the independent Indian Government, by a democratic independent Indian Government. It is a plan which is comprehensive. It deals with all aspects of Indian production and consumption and distribution. It deals with all the available Indian resources, human, animal, water and mineral. The survey made of these resources may be inadequate. But it is adequate for the framing of the Plan under the present circumstances. Finally it is a plan which also lays down certain priorities. I have not come across a single voice to controvert the priorities that have been accorded by the Plan.

As I have said, Sir, it is also an important plan, for it aims at raising the

national income by 11 per cent. It aims at doubling the income *per capita* by 1977, that is, 25 years hence. Sir, such a plan cannot but be called a national plan and also an important national plan. I go further, and say that it is also a progressive plan. I cannot say that it is a revolutionary plan. Sir, this plan does not have any colour and in a sense, it is a colourless plan. It is not red for it is not Communistic. It is not yellow for you cannot say that it is a Socialist plan. Nor is it a black plan for I do not think there is much room for capitalism in it.

AN HON. MEMBER : It is a white plan.

PROF. N. R. MALKANI : No, not even white. It is not a white plan, because it does not embody within it the principle of Sarvodaya Samaj.

No, not even a white plan of the Sarvodaya Samaj, but there are patches of each in it. More or less, it may be called a multicolour plan, for it has no definite colour at all. In a sense this is a natural limitation of the plan that it is not a Socialist or a Communist or a Capitalist or a Sarvodaya plan. Sir, you ask what colour it should have? Can't it have this colour or that colour? Now, we are all discussing the Plan here. But have we got within ourselves, within our hearts within our minds, the necessary colour? There were two Members here who wanted it to be red. But two do not make the 36 crores of India, nor even the 216 in this House. Nor can we make it white because we are not ourselves white, pure milk white or any other shade of white. We have ourselves not yet received the proper colour, are not in the proper condition, have not yet accepted the proper ideology. Now, such an ideological plan wants public opinion—its education, its mobilisation, its activation. Have we started doing that? It is true. Our people feel frustrated. Here and there they are agitated. But unless there is strong aroused public behind it, the plan can have no particular colour. I am however satisfied that it is a progressive plan. I am also satisfied that during the next