Metro train in Guwahati

- 304. SHRIMATI NAZNIN FARUQUE: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a proposal has been received from the State Government of Assam for starting metro train in Guwahati ;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) by when Guwahati city is proposed to be brought under metro network?

 THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SAUGATA RAY): (a) No, Sir.
 - (b) and (c) Do not arise.

Proposal of metro rail project in Pune

- 305. SHRI HUSAIN DALWAI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government proposes to take up metro rail projects in major metropolitan cities in India;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof;
 - (c) whether there is a proposal to take up metro rail project in Pune too;
 - (d) if so, the present position of the project;
 - (e) whether any target has been set up to complete the project; and
 - (f) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SAUGATA RAY): (a) and (b) Urban Transport is inter-twined with Urban Development which is a state subject. Therefore, all the proposals of Mass Rapid Transit System including metro rail projects are to be conceived, approved and sent by State Governments to Centre Government for financial assistance and sanction which is either in the form of Budgetary support or Viability Gap finding.

- (c) No, Sir as no proposal has been received from the State Government.
- (d) to (f) Do not arise.

Including more cities under JNNURM

- †306. SHRI RAGHUNANDAN SHARMA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:
- (a) the State-wise details of cities under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) scheme of Central Government;
 - (b) the proposals submitted by Madhya Pradesh Government to the Central

[†]Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Government under the above scheme and the proposals which have been approved and the quantum of funds released and by when the remaining proposal would be approved; and

(c) whether it is a fact that Central Government is considering to include some more cities under JNNURM and if so, the names of cities and by when they will be included?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SAUGATA RAY): (a) Details of cities covered under Urban Infrastructure and Governance (UIG) Sub-Mission of Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JnNURM) are given in Statement-I and Statement-II (*See* below). Cities that are not covered under UIG are eligible for assistance under Urban Infrastructure Development Scheme for Small and Medium Towns (UIDSSMT) of JnNURM.

- (b) 23 projects have been approved for the mission cities of Madhya Pradesh with approved cost of Rs. 2459.22 crore with ACA commitment of Rs. 1259.20 crore, out of which, Rs 668.80 crore has been released for utilization for the projects. The projects under UIG Sub-Mission are considered for approval subject to their technical appraisal and availability of funds for the States.
 - (c) In the present JNNURM, there is no proposal for inclusion of new cities.

Statement- I
List of Cities included under UIG of JNNURM

| Sl.No. | City/Urban Agglomeration | Name ot the State | Population as per 2001 census (in lakh) |
|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| (a) M | ega Cities | | |
| 1. | Delhi | Delhi | 128.77 |
| 2. | Greater Mumbai | Maharashtra | 164.34 |
| 3. | Ahmedabad | Gujarat | 45.25 |
| 4. | Bangalore | Karnataka | 57.01 |
| 5. | Chennai | Tamil Nadu | 65.60 |
| 6. | Kolkata | West Bengal | 132.06 |
| 7. | Hyderabad | Andhra Pradesh | 57.42 |
| (b) M | illion-plus Cities | | |
| 1. | Patna | Bihar | 16.98 |
| 2. | Faridabad | Haryana | 10.56 |
| 3. | Bhopal | Madhya Pradesh | 14.58 |

| 226 | Written Answers to | [RAJYA SABHA] | Unstarred Questions |
|-----|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. | Ludhiana | Punjab | 13.98 |
| 5. | Jaipur | Rajasthan | 23.27 |
| 6. | Lucknow | Uttar Pradesh | 22.46 |
| 7. | Madurai | Tamil Nadu | 12.03 |
| 8. | Nashik | Maharashtra | 11.52 |
| 9. | Pune | Maharashtra | 37.60 |
| 10. | Cochin | Kerala | 13.55 |
| 11. | Varanasi | Uttar Pradesh | 12.04 |
| 12. | Agra | Uttar Pradesh | 13.31 |
| 13. | Amritsar | Punjab | 10.03 |
| 14. | Visakhapatnam | Andhra Pradesh | 13.45 |
| 15. | Vadodara | Gujarat | 14.91 |
| 16. | Surat | Gujarat | 28.11 |
| 17. | Kanpur | Uttar Pradesh | 27.15 |
| 18. | Nagpur | Maharashtra | 21.29 |
| 19. | Coimbatore | Tamil Nadu | 14.61 |
| 20. | Meerut | Uttar Pradesh | 11.61 |
| 21. | Jabalpur | Madhya Pradesh | 10.98 |
| 22. | Jamshedpur | Jharkhand | 11.04 |
| 23. | Asansol | West Bengal | 10.67 |
| 24. | Allahabad | Uttar Pradesh | 10.42 |
| 25. | Vijayawada | Andhra Pradesh | 10.39 |
| 26. | Rajkot | Gujarat | 10.03 |
| 27. | Dhanbad | Jharkhand | 10.65 |
| 28. | Indore | Madhya Pradesh | 16.40 |

Statement-II

Identified cities/Urban Agglomerations (UAs) with less than one million population

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|----|----------|-------------------|------|
| 1. | Guwahati | Assam | 8.19 |
| 2. | Itanagar | Arunachal Pradesh | 0.35 |
| 3. | Jammu | Jammu and Kashmir | 6.12 |

Jobs earmarked for SC/ST categroy

307. SHRI AMBETH RAJAN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of total sanctioned staff strength in the Minstry group-wise *i.e.* Group A to Group D;